

# Exponentially small oscillation of 2-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds in 4-dimensional symplectic mappings

Yoshihiro Hirata\*, Kazuhiro Nozaki and Tetsuro Konishi

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Nagoya University, Furo-cho,  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan*

## Abstract

Homoclinic bifurcation of 4-dimensional symplectic mappings is asymptotically studied. We construct the 2-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds near the sub-manifolds which experience exponentially small splitting, and successfully obtain exponentially small oscillating terms in the 2-dimensional manifolds.

---

\* E-mail address: yhirata@allegro.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Homoclinic (or heteroclinic) bifurcation plays an important role to cause chaotic motion near hyperbolic fixed points. Melnikov's method (or Melnikov's integral), which is based on the regular perturbation method, is a powerful tool to detect transversal homoclinic points.<sup>1)</sup> On the other hand, it is known that in the rapidly forced systems and the standard map, and so on, splitting of separatrices is exponentially small. Consequently it is impossible to capture exponentially small oscillation straightforwardly with Melnikov's method.

In the last decade the difficulty has been overcome by using the method called *asymptotic expansions beyond all orders*. This method enables one to capture exponentially small oscillation of the stable and unstable manifolds in 2-dimensional symplectic mappings which are perturbed from linear mappings,<sup>2), 3), 4)</sup> and to construct functional approximations of the manifolds.<sup>5), 6), 7)</sup>

For 4-dimensional symplectic mappings, Gelfreigh and Shatarov treated a coupled standard mapping and computed the crossing angle between the 2-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds.<sup>8)</sup> We obtained the functional approximations of particular sub-manifolds of the stable and unstable manifolds in a 4-dimensional double-well symplectic mapping with a weak coupling.<sup>9)</sup> In this letter, we construct the functional approximations of 2-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds in 4-dimensional double-well symplectic mappings with more general weak couplings.

We start with the 4-dimensional symplectic map  $(q_j, p_j) \mapsto (q'_j, p'_j)$

$$\begin{cases} p'_j &= p_j + \epsilon(q_j - 2p_j^3) - \epsilon^3 \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial q_j} \\ q'_j &= q_j + \epsilon p'_j \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $j, k \in \{1, 2\}, j \neq k$ ,  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$  is a perturbation parameter. To simplify computation we take the coupling potential  $\tilde{J}$  as  $\tilde{J} = \frac{a}{2}q_1^2q_2^2 + \frac{b}{3}q_1^3q_2^3$ .<sup>†</sup> Note that the map (1) possesses the substitutional invariance between  $j$  and  $k$ .

The map (1) possesses a hyperbolic  $\times$  hyperbolic fixed point at the origin  $(0, 0, 0, 0)$  and there exist 2-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds near the origin. In Ref. 9), we applied the method to the particular 1-dimensional stable and unstable sub-manifolds defined as  $q_1 = q_2$  and successfully obtained the functional approximations of the sub-manifolds when  $b = 0$ . In this letter we study the neighborhood of the sub-manifolds as a perturbation problem.

One can rewrite the map (1) in the second order difference equation

$$\Delta_\epsilon^2 q_j(t) = q_j(t) - 2q_j(t)^3 - \epsilon^2(aq_j(t)q_k(t)^2 + bq_j(t)^2q_k(t)^3), \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>†</sup> In Ref. 9) we show that if  $\tilde{J}$  is a polynomial of  $q_j$ 's of degree six, it is possible to capture exponentially small oscillation. Hence this coupling term is considerably general.

where  $\Delta_\epsilon^2 q(t) \equiv \{q(t+\epsilon) - 2q(t) + q(t-\epsilon)\}/\epsilon^2$ . The difference equation (2) is equivalent to the infinite order differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 q_j}{dt^2} = q_j - 2q_j^3 - \epsilon^2(aq_j q_k^2 + bq_j^2 q_k^3) - 2 \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^{2l-2}}{(2l)!} \frac{d^{2l} q_j}{dt^{2l}}, \quad (3)$$

which is called *the outer equation*. The boundary condition with which we solve the equation (3) is  $q_j^\pm(t; \epsilon) \rightarrow +0$  ( $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ), where the superscript  $\pm$  stands for the stable and unstable manifolds, respectively.<sup>‡</sup>

We first construct the solution to the ODE (3) by using the regular perturbation method with respect to  $\epsilon^2$ , i.e., expanding  $q_j(t, \epsilon) = s_j(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}_j(t) + O(\epsilon^4)$ . Substituting the expansion into the ODE (3), one obtains the unperturbed equation as

$$\frac{d^2 s_j}{dt^2} = s_j - 2s_j^3,$$

and the perturbed equation as

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{q}_j}{dt^2} = (1 - 6s_j(t)^2) \tilde{q}_j + F_j(t), \quad (4)$$

where  $F_j(t)$  is a polynomial of  $s_j(t)$ ,  $s_k(t)$  and their derivatives. The boundary condition is rewritten as  $s_j(t), \tilde{q}_j(t) \rightarrow +0$  ( $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ).

The unperturbed solution is obtained as  $s_j(t, t_j) = \text{sech}(t + t_j)$ , where  $t_j$ 's are integration constants. Because the system is autonomous, it has translational invariance for  $t$ . Hence the essential parameter is the difference between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . We put  $t_j = (-1)^j \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary real constant. To simplify notations we put  $s(t) \equiv s_j(t, 0) = \text{sech}(t)$ . Taking the integration constant  $\alpha$ , which was vanished in Ref. 9), as another perturbation parameter, we successfully obtain exponentially small oscillating terms in the neighborhood of the sub-manifolds.

The fundamental system of the solution to the homogeneous equation of the ODE (4) is given as  $\dot{s}_j(t, t_j)$  and  $g_j(t, t_j) = g(t + t_j)$ , where  $g(t) = \dot{s}(t) \int \frac{dt}{\dot{s}(t)^2} = \frac{3}{2} \text{sech}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \cosh(t) - \frac{3}{2} t \sinh(t) \text{sech}(t)^2$ . Note that  $\dot{s}(t)$  and  $g(t)$  are odd and even functions of  $t$  respectively, and Wronskian is equal to 1. The general stable and unstable solutions to the inhomogeneous equation (4) is obtained as

$$\tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, t_j) = c_j^\pm \dot{s}_j(t) - \dot{s}_j(t) \int_0^t F_j(t) g_j(t) dt + g_j(t) \int_{\pm\infty}^t F_j(t) \dot{s}_j(t) dt, \quad (5)$$

respectively, where  $c_j^\pm$ 's are integration constants.

---

<sup>‡</sup> The same notations will be used without further comment.

When  $\alpha = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $t_j = 0, j = 1, 2$ , the solution stands for the 1-dimensional sub-manifolds of the 2-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds, and the stable and unstable sub-manifolds coincide, i.e.,  $q_j^+(t) = q_j^-(-t)$ .<sup>9)</sup> One can therefore restrict the solution to even functions of  $t$ . Putting  $\tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, 0) = \tilde{q}(t)$ , one obtains

$$\tilde{q}(t) = \frac{b+2}{6}\text{sech}(t)^3 - \frac{6a+8b+7}{24}\text{sech}(t) + \frac{t}{24}\sinh(t)\text{sech}(t)^2.$$

Next we construct solutions when  $t_j \neq 0$ . Let us suppose  $|t_j| \ll 1$ , corresponding to the neighborhood of the sub-manifolds. We expand  $\tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, t_j)$  in the power of  $t_j$  around  $\tilde{q}(t)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, t_j) &= \tilde{q}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^2 t_j \left. \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, t_j)}{\partial t_j} \right|_{t_j=0} + O(t_j^2) \\ &= \tilde{q}(t) + t_j \left( \frac{t_1}{t_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, 0)}{\partial t_1} + \frac{t_2}{t_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, 0)}{\partial t_2} \right) + O(t_j^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and  $c_j^\pm = c^0 + t_j \tilde{c}_j^\pm + O(t_j^2)$ . The condition  $\tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, t_j) \rightarrow \tilde{q}(t)$  ( $t_j \rightarrow 0$ ) implies  $c^0 = 0$ . The terms in the bracket of the right hand side of the equation (6) are independent of  $j$  because of the substitutional invariance between  $j$  and  $k$  of the map (1). Hence we put them  $\tilde{q}^\pm(t)$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{q}_j^\pm(t, t_j) = \tilde{q}(t) + t_j \tilde{q}^\pm(t)$ . A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}^\pm(t) &= \tilde{c}_j^\pm \dot{s}(t) \mp \frac{56a+48b}{105}g(t) + \dot{\tilde{q}}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{7}b \sinh(t)\text{sech}(t)^4 - \frac{28a+24b}{35}\sinh(t)\text{sech}(t)^2 \log(\cosh(t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{8a-6b}{30}\sinh(t)\text{sech}(t)^2 - \frac{28a+24b}{105}\sinh(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the solution to the outer equation (3) is given as

$$q_j^\pm(t, t_j) = s(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}(t) + t_j \{ \dot{s}(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}^\pm(t) \} \quad (7)$$

up to  $\epsilon^4$  and  $t_j^2$ .

The outer solution (7) possesses singular points at  $t = \pi i/2 + n\pi i$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . One must therefore analyze the neighborhood of the points as the inner problem. Introducing the new variables as  $t - \pi i/2 = \epsilon z$ ,  $\tau_j = t_j/\epsilon$  and  $\Phi_j(z, \tau_j) = \epsilon q_j(t, t_j)$ , the difference equation (2) is rewritten as  $\Delta^2 \Phi_j = -2\Phi_j^3 - b\Phi_j^2 \Phi_k^3 + \epsilon^2(\Phi_j - a\Phi_j \Phi_k^2)$ , where  $\Delta^2 \Phi_j \equiv \Phi_j(z+1, \tau_j) - 2\Phi_j(z, \tau_j) + \Phi_j(z-1, \tau_j)$ . In this letter we restrict our attention to the leading term of  $\Phi_j(z, \tau_j)$ , hence truncating the terms with respect to  $\epsilon^2$ , we obtain *the inner equation* as

$$\Delta^2 \Phi_j = -2\Phi_j^3 - b\Phi_j^2 \Phi_k^3. \quad (8)$$

Note that when  $b \neq 0$ , the second term, which is generated from the coupling term, contribute the inner equation.

When  $\alpha = 0$ , putting  $X(z) = \Phi_j(z, 0)$ , the inner equation corresponding to  $s(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}(t)$  is obtained as

$$\Delta^2 X(z) = -2X(z)^3 - bX(z)^5, \quad (9)$$

which is called the first inner equation. The matching condition is  $X(z) \rightarrow \epsilon(s(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}(t))$  when  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, when  $\alpha \neq 0$ , expanding  $\Phi_j(t, \tau_j) = X(z) + \tau_j X'_j(z)$ , we obtain

$$\Delta^2 X'_j(z) = -6X(z)^2 X'_j(z) - bX(z)^4 \{2X'_j(z) - 3X'_k(z)\}. \quad (10)$$

Summing up both sides of the equations (10), one obtains the second inner equation

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{X}(z) = -6X(z)^2 \tilde{X}(z) + bX(z)^4 \tilde{X}(z), \quad (11)$$

where  $\tilde{X}(z) = X'_1(z) + X'_2(z)$ .<sup>§</sup> The matching condition is  $\tilde{X}(z) \rightarrow \epsilon^2(\dot{s}(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}^\pm(t))$  when  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ .

The inner equations (9) and (11) possess formal solutions when  $|z|$  tends to  $\infty$ . Although the formal solutions do not converge, the formal Borel transformations of these formal solutions converge, i.e., these formal solutions are Borel summable. By using Borel transformation, Stokes phenomena, which are abrupt jump of asymptotic expansions, around  $t = \pi i/2$ , can be captured, and the analytic continuation from the left half of  $t$ -plane to the right one can be performed. The details of the computation will be reported elsewhere.<sup>10)</sup>

Finally the stable and unstable solutions which are valid both the left and right half plane are obtained as

$$\hat{q}_j^\pm(t, t_j) = \hat{q}_0^\pm(t) + t_j \hat{q}_1^\pm(t), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}_0^\pm(t) &= s(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}(t) + S(t, \epsilon) M(t, \epsilon) B(t, \epsilon) \\ \hat{q}_1^\pm(t) &= \dot{s}(t) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{q}^\pm(t) + S(t, \epsilon) \{M(t, \epsilon) \tilde{B}_1(t, \epsilon) + \tilde{M}(t, \epsilon) \tilde{B}_2(t, \epsilon)\} \\ M(t, \epsilon) &= -\frac{5}{2} \frac{c}{\epsilon^4} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\epsilon}} \\ \tilde{M}(t, \epsilon) &= -\frac{5}{2} \frac{\tilde{c}}{\epsilon^5} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\epsilon}} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

---

<sup>§</sup> The subtraction of both sides of the equations (10) gives the linearized equation of the equation (9). In this computation the linearized equation has no information.<sup>10)</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
B(t, \epsilon) &= \frac{3}{4}\pi\dot{s}(t)\cos\frac{2\pi t}{\epsilon} + g(t)\sin\frac{2\pi t}{\epsilon} \\
\tilde{B}_1(t, \epsilon) &= \frac{3}{4}\pi\ddot{s}(t)\cos\frac{2\pi t}{\epsilon} + \dot{g}(t)\sin\frac{2\pi t}{\epsilon} \\
\tilde{B}_2(t, \epsilon) &= \frac{3}{4}\pi\dot{s}(t)\sin\frac{2\pi t}{\epsilon} - g(t)\cos\frac{2\pi t}{\epsilon} \\
S(t, \epsilon) &= \begin{cases} 0 & (t < 0) \\ 1 & (t = 0) \\ 2 & (t > 0) \end{cases} \text{ (for small } \epsilon\text{)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Stokes constants  $c$  and  $\tilde{c}$ , which depend on  $b$ , are numerically obtained as  $c = -763$  and  $\tilde{c} = 1146$  when  $b = 1.0$ . For other values of  $b$  the Stokes constants also converge.<sup>10)</sup>

By using the approximate solution (12), the splitting angles between the stable and unstable manifolds can be computed.<sup>10)</sup> One can show that the angle along the sub-manifolds ( $t_1 = t_2$ ) is exponentially small<sup>7)</sup> and the angle orthogonal to the sub-manifolds are  $O(\epsilon^2)$ .

The expression (13) implies that not only the sub-manifolds but also the 2-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds themselves possess exponentially small oscillating terms. In the future work, we extend this computation to  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic mappings with weak coupling terms.

### References

- [1] *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields*, J. Guckenheimer and P. Holmes (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983).
- [2] V. F. Lazutkin, I. G. Shachmannski and M. B. Tabanov, *Physica* **40D** (1989), 235.
- [3] V. G. Gelfreich, V. F. Lazutkin and N. V. Svanidze, *Physica* **71D** (1994), 82.
- [4] V. Hakim and K. Mallick, *Nonlinearity* **6** (1993), 57.
- [5] A. Tovbis, M. Tsuchiya and C. Jaffé, *preprint* (1994).
- [6] A. Tovbis, M. Tsuchiya and C. Jaffé, *Chaos*, **8** (1998), 665.
- [7] K. Nakamura and M. Hamada, *J. of Phys.* **A29** (1996), 7315
- [8] V. G. Gelfreich and D. K. Sharomov, *Phys. Lett.* **A197** (1995), 139
- [9] Y. Hirata and T. Konishi, <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/chao-dyn/9802009>.
- [10] Y Hirata, K. Nozaki and T. Konishi, *In preparation*.