

# Large Coherent Structure Formation by Magnetic Stretching Term in Two-Dimensional MHD Turbulence

Akihiro ISHIZAWA\* and Yuji HATTORI<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

*Naka Fusion Research Establishment, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Ibaraki 311-0193*

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Fluid Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577*

(Received June 8, 1998)

**KEYWORDS:** MHD turbulence, self-organization, coherent structure, EDQNM, magnetic stretching term, eddy viscosity

In the 2-D MHD turbulence there arise large coherent magnetic structures.<sup>1)</sup> The formation of these coherent structures is interpreted as the self-organization due to the selective decay and the dynamic alignment<sup>1)</sup>; it is related to the inverse cascade and understood as the coalescence of small magnetic eddies due to the high eddy viscosity (turbulent diffusivity) in recent papers.<sup>2)3)</sup> In the 2-D non-MHD turbulence the coherent vortical structures are known to form.<sup>4)</sup> In this case, since there is only one nonlinear term,  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$ , this convection term should be responsible for the formation of coherent structures. In the 2-D MHD turbulence, however, since we have several nonlinear terms in the equations of motion, it is not clear which term contributes to the formation of coherent structures. Our previous numerical results<sup>5)</sup> have suggested that the magnetic stretching term conveys the energy from small scale to large scale, which implies that this term is responsible for the formation of coherent structures.

In order to elucidate which term contributes to the formation of large coherent magnetic structures, we investigate the roles of nonlinear terms in the MHD equations by estimating the eddy viscosity with the eddy damped quasi-normal Markovian (EDQNM) approximation.<sup>6)</sup> The eddy viscosity represents the effect of the small-scale turbulence on the large-scale velocity and magnetic fields and its sign implies the direction of the energy cascade. It will be shown that the sign of the eddy viscosity due to the magnetic stretching term,  $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$ , is negative and thus this term contributes to the formation of the large coherent magnetic structures.

We consider the homogeneous isotropic turbulence of incompressible conducting fluid. The non-dimensionalized equations for an MHD flow are written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p_t + \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}, \quad (0.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \eta \Delta \mathbf{B}, \quad (0.2)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (0.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $p_t$  denote the velocity field, the magnetic field and the total pressure, respectively, and  $\nu$  and  $\eta$  denote the kinematic viscosity and the magnetic diffusivity. We call the nonlinear terms  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$  as hydrodynamic convection, magnetic tension, magnetic convection and magnetic stretching, respectively. Note that the hydrodynamic convection conserves the kinetic energy and the magnetic convection conserves the magnetic energy, while the magnetic tension and the magnetic stretching convey the energy between the kinetic and magnetic energies.

We apply the EDQNM approximation for two-dimensional case in the absence of cross helicity. Then the evolution equations of kinetic energy spectrum  $E_V(k)$  and magnetic energy spectrum  $E_M(k)$  are calculated to be

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2\nu k^2 \right\} E_V(k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Delta} \theta_{kpq} \{T_{vv}(k, p, q) + T_{BB}(k, p, q)\} dpdq \quad (0.4)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2\eta k^2 \right\} E_M(k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Delta} \theta_{kpq} \{T_{vB}(k, p, q) + T_{Bv}(k, p, q)\} dpdq \quad (0.5)$$

where

$$T_{vv}(k, p, q) = \frac{\sin \alpha}{k^2 p q} (k^2 - p^2)(p^2 - q^2) \times \{k E_V(p) E_V(q) - p E_V(q) E_V(k)\},$$

$$T_{BB}(k, p, q) = \frac{p \sin \alpha}{k^2 q} (q^2 - p^2) \times \{p E_M(k) E_M(q) - k E_M(p) E_M(q)\},$$

$$T_{vB}(k, p, q) = \frac{1}{q \sin \alpha} \left\{ \frac{k^3}{p} (y^2 + xyz) E_V(p) E_M(q) + [q^2 (xyz + x^2 y^2) - k^2 (xyz + y^2 z^2)] E_M(k) E_M(q) - p^2 (z^2 + xyz) E_V(q) E_M(k) \right\},$$

$$T_{Bv}(k, p, q) = \frac{1}{q \sin \alpha} \left\{ \frac{k^3}{p} (z^2 + xyz) E_V(p) E_M(q) + p^2 (xyz + 2x^2 z^2 - z^2) E_M(k) E_M(q) - p^2 (y^2 + xyz) E_V(q) E_M(k) \right\},$$

are the nonlinear interactions corresponding to the terms of hydrodynamic convection, magnetic tension, magnetic convection and magnetic stretching in eqs. (0.1) and (0.2), and  $\theta_{kpq}$  is the triad-relaxation time, which depends on time and involves the eddy dumping rate for

\* E-mail: ishizawa@fusion.naka.jaeri.go.jp

\*\* Present address: Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu 804-8550. E-mail: hattori@mns.kyutech.ac.jp

EDQNM approximation<sup>7)</sup> or is equated to be constant for Markovian random coupling model<sup>10)</sup> (see refs. 7-10 for the details). The integral in the  $pq$  plane is taken over the domain  $\Delta$  that  $k$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  form a triangle, and  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  denote the cosines of the angles opposite to the sides  $k$ ,  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively, and  $\alpha$  is the interior angle opposite to the side  $k$ . We should remark that the nonlinear interaction reduced from the total pressure term vanishes in the Fourier space and that  $T_{vv} + T_{BB}$  and  $T_{vB} + T_{Bv}$  are studied by Pouquet.<sup>7)</sup>

We consider the effect of small-scale turbulence on the large-scale field in terms of the eddy viscosities. The eddy viscosities are obtained by calculating the contribution of nonlinear interaction with large wavenumbers  $p$  and  $q > k_m \gg k$  in the evolution equations (0.4) and (0.5) of energy spectra  $E_V(k)$  and  $E_M(k)$ . Then the rates of the change of the energy spectra by the small-scale nonlinear interaction can be written as

$$\frac{\partial E_V(k)}{\partial t} = -(\nu_{vv} + \nu_{BB} + 2\nu)k^2 E_V(k),$$

$$\frac{\partial E_M(k)}{\partial t} = -(\nu_{vB} + \nu_{Bv} + 2\eta)k^2 E_M(k),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{vv} &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{k_m}^{\infty} \theta_{kpp} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (p E_V(p)) dp, \\ \nu_{BB} &= \int_{k_m}^{\infty} \theta_{kpp} \left\{ E_M(p) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial (p E_M(p))}{\partial p} \right\} dp, \\ \nu_{vB} &= \int_{k_m}^{\infty} \theta_{kpp} \left\{ 2E_V(p) - E_M(p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \{ p(E_V(p) + E_M(p)) \} \right\} dp, \\ \nu_{Bv} &= \int_{k_m}^{\infty} \theta_{kpp} \left\{ -E_M(p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \{ p(E_V(p) + E_M(p)) \} \right\} dp, \end{aligned}$$

are the eddy viscosities due to the nonlinear interactions  $T_{vv}$ ,  $T_{BB}$ ,  $T_{vB}$  and  $T_{Bv}$ , respectively. The eddy viscosity of hydrodynamic convection term,  $\nu_{vv}$ , is the same as the one for non-MHD 2-D turbulence and it is negative since  $E_V(p)$  decreases faster than  $1/p$  for large  $p$ .<sup>5)</sup> This implies the inverse cascade by this term.<sup>7)</sup> The viscosity  $\nu_{BB}$ , which is due to the magnetic tension term, represents the effect of small-scale magnetic field on the large-scale velocity field, and is positive if  $E_M(p)$  decreases faster than  $1/p$  for large  $p$ .<sup>7)</sup>

We have obtained the two eddy viscosities,  $\nu_{vB}$  and  $\nu_{Bv}$ , which are due to the magnetic convection and the magnetic stretching term, respectively. Note that these viscosities are different from those considered in Pouquet,<sup>7)</sup> who decomposed  $\nu_{vB} + \nu_{Bv}$  by the type of acting energy. The eddy viscosity  $\nu_{vB}$  is positive if  $2E_V(p) - E_M(p) > 0$  for  $p > k_m$  and total energy,  $E_V(p) + E_M(p)$ , decreases faster than  $1/p$ . These conditions are satisfied in our numerical results for  $k_m$  larger than the wave number in the middle of inertial range<sup>5)</sup>; thus the magnetic convection yields the normal cascade.

The eddy viscosity  $\nu_{Bv}$  is negative,

$$\nu_{Bv} < 0,$$

since the total energy decreases faster than  $1/p$ . Therefore the magnetic stretching term transports the energy from the small scale to the large scale to form the large coherent magnetic structures. It appears to be contradictory that although the total eddy viscosity  $\nu_{vB} + \nu_{Bv}$  for magnetic energy spectrum, already obtained by Pouquet,<sup>7)</sup> is negative for our numerical results which show  $E_V(p) - E_M(p) < 0$  for  $p > k_m$ ,<sup>5)</sup> the numerical results exhibit the energy transfer of the magnetic energy to the small scale.<sup>5)</sup> This point should be studied further. We remark here that the inequality  $E_V(p) - E_M(p) < 0$  for  $p > k_m$  seems not to be generally true.<sup>11)</sup>

Using the EDQNM approximation, we have shown that the magnetic stretching term is responsible for turning the small-scale turbulent structures into the large coherent magnetic structures in the 2-D MHD turbulence. This mechanism, first deduced from numerical results for a single set of parameters, has now a theoretical background and it is expected to be an important mechanism in the 2-D MHD turbulence generally. In the process of fast coalescence of small magnetic eddies, fine structures of magnetic field may be removed by this term through the eddy viscosity.<sup>5)</sup>

One of the authors (A. I.) would like to thank Dr. M. Azumi for his encouragement.

- 
- [1] D. Biskamp: *Nonlinear Magnetohydrodynamics*, (Cambridge U. P., 1993).
  - [2] D. Biskamp and U. Bremer: Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 3819.
  - [3] R. Kinney, J. C. McWilliams and T. Tajima: Phys. Plasmas **2** (1995) 3623.
  - [4] M. E. Brachet, M. Meneguzzi, H. Politano and P. L. Sulem: J. Fluid Mech. **194** (1988) 333.
  - [5] A. Ishizawa and Y. Hattori, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67** (1998) 441.
  - [6] S. A. Orszag: *Fluid Dynamics*, ed. R. Balian and J. J. Peube (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1977) pp. 235-374.
  - [7] A. Pouquet: J. Fluid Mech. **88** (1978) 1.
  - [8] A. Pouquet, U. Frisch and J. Leorat: J. Fluid Mech. **77** (1976) 321.
  - [9] D. C. Leslie: *Developments in the theory of turbulence*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1973).
  - [10] U. Frisch, M. Lesieur and A. Brissaud: J. Fluid Mech. **65** (1974) 145.
  - [11] A. C. Ting, W. H. Matthaeus and D. Montgomery: Phys. Fluids **29** (1986) 3261.