

How We Got Into The Dark Matter Fix And How We Can Get Out*

Philip D. Mannheim

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269

mannheim@uconnvm.uconn.edu

Abstract

The recent discovery of cosmic repulsion represents a major challenge to standard gravity, adding an apparent missing energy problem to its still not yet adequately resolved missing mass one, while simultaneously requiring the current universe to be a very special, fine-tuned one. We suggest that the resolution of these difficulties lies not in the existence of more and more exotic gravitational sources, but rather in the lack of reliability of the extrapolation of standard gravity from its solar system origins to the altogether larger distance scales associated with cosmology. We suggest a very different such extrapolation, namely that associated with conformal gravity, to find that all of these issues are then readily and naturally resolved.

At the present time the status of the standard Newton-Einstein gravitational theory is somewhat paradoxical. For a theory whose correctness is so overwhelmingly agreed upon that the entire issue is even considered long since settled, it is extremely disquieting that the standard theory seems to so frequently need fixing up as new data come on line. Indeed, not only has normal, visible matter long since been demoted by non-baryonic dark matter into being only a minor contributor to the energy density of the universe, but, in light of the recent detection [1, 2] of an apparent cosmic repulsion,

*astro-ph/9807122, July 13, 1998. To appear in the Proceedings of "PASCOS 98", the 6th Int. Symp. on Particles, Strings and Cosmology, Northeastern Univ., March 1998.

this still highly elusive dark matter itself (be it hot or cold) has, in its stead, now also been demoted by yet more exotic gravitational sources such as a cosmological constant or a possible quintessence (non-luminous matter with negative pressure). Thus given its presumed correctness, it is perplexing that the standard theory so often finds itself in need of remodeling; with this repeated remodeling (which is always done only after the fact) entailing, after generations of work, that the cosmology community apparently has only a rather vague notion as to what the universe is predominantly made of.

Even more disturbing than this is the fact that once the familiar current era cosmological matter density Ω_M is in fact (as now definitively shown by the cosmic repulsion data) found to be less than one (but apparently still found large enough to still require overwhelming amounts of non-baryonic dark matter), the standard macroscopic Einstein-Friedmann cosmological evolution equation then requires some new fine tuning above and beyond that already provided by the popular flat inflationary universe paradigm. Specifically, either the spatial curvature k of the universe is negative, so that we are then once again back to the original fine tuning flatness problem, or some exotic gravitational source of energy density Ω_{EX} then exists which precisely brings $\Omega_M + \Omega_{EX}$ back to the flat universe value of one, with Ω_{EX} then needing to have been fine-tuned in the early universe to incredible accuracy (possibly by as much as 60 orders of magnitude) in order for it to actually be of order one today. (Inflation only fixes the sum of Ω_{EX} and Ω_M but not their time varying ratio.) Thus either way we would now have to be living in a very special universe, regardless in fact of what particular astrophysical form the currently poorly understood Ω_{EX} actually takes, with the evolution equation of classical cosmology thus needing some new form or other of fine tuning. Moreover, it is important to stress, that this particular classical macroscopic fine tuning problem exists independent of the longstanding microscopic cosmological constant problem, since it is a problem for the time evolution of classical cosmology rather than for the sign and magnitude of the vacuum energy density Ω_V of quantum cosmology. Thus, if the long sought but still as yet unidentified mechanism which supposedly brings Ω_V down from Planck density does so by actually making Ω_V vanish, an Ω_{EX} composed of something else would then need to be fine-tuned (by up to possibly 60 orders of magnitude); whereas if Ω_V is in fact to be the requisite Ω_{EX} , a current era Ω_V of order one would then require an even greater degree of fine tuning (120 orders of magnitude no less). To resolve

this issue it thus becomes extremely urgent for candidate quantum theories of Einstein gravity to definitively ascertain the sign and magnitude of Ω_V once and for all, with the very relevance of these theories to the real world in a sense resting on their doing precisely that.

Beyond these issues, there is yet another concern [3, 4, 5] for standard gravity, namely, that despite the huge amount of attention given to it for such a long time now, it has so far only been shown to be sufficient to account for gravitational phenomena, and has yet to be shown to also be necessary (and thus unique); with the core gravitational information garnered from study of the solar system serving only to show us that gravity is indeed a metric theory, and that on solar system distance scales the first few perturbative, weak gravity metric terms (the only ones so far measured) agree with those of the Schwarzschild metric solution to the Einstein equations, and moreover, agree with the Schwarzschild metric as calculated using known luminous matter alone. Then, as soon as this same theory is applied on much larger distance scales (from galaxies all the way up to cosmology) it is actually found to fail drastically if restricted to established visible sources. To save the situation, the theory is then fixed up by assuming the presence of dark matter in just the amount needed. (Since the amount of dark matter needed is only determined after the fact, dark matter cannot yet be categorized as being a falsifiable theory.) Since there is not yet a single independent verification of standard gravity on these larger distance scales which does not involve an appeal to dark matter, we thus see the complete circularity of the reasoning which led to dark matter in the first place, with such dark matter potentially being nothing more than an artifact which serves to parameterize any detected departure from the luminous Newton-Einstein expectation. Taken together with the implications of the new cosmic repulsion data described above, we identify an uncomfortably large number of problems for standard gravity on galactic and larger distance scales, and recognize [6, 7] that all of them actually have one single and common origin, namely the a priori use of the Einstein equations themselves on distance scales altogether larger than the solar system one where the standard theory was first established. Thus solar system, weak gravity Schwarzschild metric based intuition may simply not be a reliable guide as to the structure of gravity on altogether larger distance scales, with the Einstein equations simply giving an inappropriate extrapolation.

Motivated to thus look for an alternative to standard gravity with a poten-

tially different large distance extrapolation, we note first that the covariance principle itself entails only that the gravitational action be a general coordinate scalar. Consequently, this action can readily be based on derivatives of the metric higher than the second order ones considered in the standard theory. For such theories curvature remains the essential ingredient, with only the equations used to determine the curvature being changed. Since the vanishing of the Ricci tensor entails the vanishing of its derivatives as well, higher order theories of gravity immediately both contain Schwarzschild (with standard gravity thus explicitly being seen to be only sufficient to give Schwarzschild, but not in fact necessary) and generalize it. Moreover, the attendant departures from Schwarzschild in such higher order theories are precisely found [3, 4, 5] to occur at large distances, i.e. at precisely those distances where the standard theory has to resort to dark matter, with higher order theories thus providing a very different large distance extrapolation. While the class of contemplable higher order theories is very large, it was noted [8] that one of them could be singled out as special, namely a fourth order conformal invariant one based on the Weyl tensor, since it possesses an explicit symmetry (conformal invariance) which immediately sets any fundamental cosmological constant to zero, while simultaneously constraining any induced one to be of the same order of magnitude as the energy density of ordinary matter - to thus naturally yield an Ω_V of order Ω_M rather than one 120 orders of magnitude larger. Conformal gravity is thus recognized as being a theory in which the cosmological constant is actually under control, with no fine tuning then being required for it. In this theory the most general metric exterior to a static, spherically symmetric source such as a star is found [4] to be given by $d\tau^2 = B(r)c^2dt^2 - B(r)^{-1}dr^2 - r^2d\Omega$ where $B(r) = 1 - 2\beta^*/r + \gamma^*r$, to thus indeed enable us to both recover Schwarzschild and depart from it at large distances; with these new linear potential terms which are to now accompany the Newtonian potential actually even being found [9] to provide for a successful accounting of galactic rotation curve systematics without the need to introduce any galactic dark matter. Further, as a cosmology, conformal gravity has an evolution equation different from Friedmann, one which possesses [10, 11] no flatness, horizon or age problems, and which is released from needing to contain overwhelming amounts of cosmological dark matter. So again a cosmological fine tuning problem is avoided, with conformal cosmology even being found [10, 9] to naturally have explicitly negative spatial curvature, and thus actually be far

from flat. Finally, this same $k < 0$ cosmology has even been shown [6, 7] to naturally produce the recently detected cosmic repulsion, with a $k < 0$ geometry acting like a diverging refractive medium, to thus naturally cause galaxies to accelerate away from each other.

We thus see that conformal gravity can readily address a whole host of issues which trouble the standard theory, viz. the cosmological constant problem, the flatness problem, the horizon problem, the dark matter problem, the universe age problem, and the cosmic repulsion problem. And regardless of the ultimate fate of conformal gravity itself (an appraisal of its current status is given in the references below), the very existence of all of these problems could be a warning that the extrapolation of standard gravity from its solar system origins all the way to cosmology might be a lot less reliable than is commonly believed. This work has been supported in part by the Department of Energy under grant No. DE-FG02-92ER40716.00.

References

- [1] P. M. Garnavich et al, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 493, L53 (1998).
- [2] S. Perlmutter et al, *Nature* 391, 51 (1998).
- [3] P. D. Mannheim, *Found. Phys.* 24, 487 (1994).
- [4] P. D. Mannheim and D. Kazanas, *Gen. Rel. Gravit.* 26, 337 (1994).
- [5] P. D. Mannheim, *Found. Phys.* 26, 1683 (1996).
- [6] P. D. Mannheim, "Curvature and Cosmic Repulsion", astro-ph/9803135, March 1998.
- [7] P. D. Mannheim, "Implications of Cosmic Repulsion for Gravitational Theory", astro-ph/9804335, April 1998.
- [8] P. D. Mannheim, *Gen. Rel. Gravit.* 22, 289 (1990).
- [9] P. D. Mannheim, *Astrophys. J.* 479, 659 (1997).
- [10] P. D. Mannheim, *Astrophys. J.* 391, 429 (1992).

- [11] P. D. Mannheim, "Conformal Cosmology and the Age of the Universe", UCONN 95-08, astro-ph/9601071, January 1996.