

Double Mass Extinctions and the Volcanogenic Dark Matter Scenario

Samar Abbas ¹, Afsar Abbas ² and Shukadev Mohanty ¹

1. *Department of Physics, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar-751004, Orissa, India* *

2. *Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar-751005, Orissa, India* †

Abstract

A few of the major mass extinctions of paleontology have recently been found to consist of two distinct extinction peaks at higher resolution. A viable explanation for this remains elusive. In this paper it is shown that the recently proposed volcanogenic dark matter model can explain this puzzling characteristic of these extinctions. The accumulation and annihilation of dark matter in the center of the Earth due to the passage of a clump leads to excess heat generation with the consequent ejection of superplumes, followed by massive volcanism and attendant mass extinctions. This is preceded by an extinction pulse due to carcinogenesis arising from the direct interaction of the clumped dark matter with living organisms.

*e-mail : abbas@beta.iopb.stpbh.soft.net

†e-mail : afsar@beta.iopb.stpbh.soft.net

It has recently been noticed that the most severe biotic crisis on record, the end-Paleozoic Permo-Triassic mass extinction, in fact consisted of two distinct pulses of extinction separated by a period of recovery. The late Devonian event may also have been a double extinction. A viable explanation of this new feature has been a stumbling block for most existing extinction models. This additional empirical information of double mass extinctions should help in identifying the real culprit for these extinctions. In this paper we show that the recently proposed volcanogenic dark matter scenario can provide a consistent explanation for this characteristic and makes concrete verifiable predictions for other features of biotic crises. This should be considered a unique success of this model.

The Permo-Triassic extinction is the most severe ever recorded in the history of life on earth. It has been estimated that 88 - 96 % of all species disappeared in the final stages of the Permian (Raup, 1979). However, Stanley and Yang (Stanley and Yang, 1994) discovered that this biotic crisis in fact consisted of two distinct extinction events. The first and less severe of the two was the Guadalupian crisis at the end of the penultimate stage of the Permian, followed after an interval of approximately 5 million years by the mammoth end-Tartarian event at the P/T boundary. Traditionally, the Signor-Lipps effect has been used to explain the high rates of extinction during the last two stages of the Permo-Triassic extinction. It was generally believed that the actual extinction occurred at the Permo-Triassic boundary during the end of the Tartarian stage, with the high Guadalupian metrics being due to the 'backward smearing' of the single grand extinction event. However, Stanley and Yang found that the high rates of extinction of the Guadalupian stage were not artefacts of the Signor-Lipps effect, but represent actual extinction.

This is evident from the pronounced morphological and taxonomical pat-

terms of the extinction which indicate that ascribing the observations to the Signor-Lipps effect is erroneous. Thus, for example the fusulinacean foraminifera that remained after the Guadalupian were small, while all that possessed a keriotheca (a skeletal wall resembling a honeycomb) perished during the Guadalupian mass extinction in strata occurring from Texas to China. The probability that this occurred by chance is 0.12 %.

In fact, they found that a period of recovery marked the aftermath of the Guadalupian crisis. Immediately after the Guadalupian event brachiopods experienced a rampant growth in speciation, as did the Fusulinaceae in the Permian fossil record of the Permian (Stanley and Yang, 1994). In addition the lower part of the Tartarian stage possesses lower rates of extinction and in fact display a high rate of appearance of new brachiopod and Fusulinaceae species instead.

They conclude that the Permo-Triassic extinction consisted of two separate extinction events: the Guadalupian event when 71 % of marine species died out, and the Tartarian, with an 80 % disappearance of marine species still the largest mass extinction in paleontological history. The occurrence of two mass extinctions within 5 my of one another would be possible only if the causative mechanism of the first one had ceased to operate to allow for the observed recovery.

The Siberian flood basalt volcanic episode occurs during the end of the Tartarian and is a possible culprit for the Tartarian extinction. This volcanism commenced less than 600,000 years before the P/T boundary (Campbell et al, 1992), much after the Guadalupian extinction. Hence the Siberian Traps could not have been the cause of the Guadalupian extinction.

They (Stanley and Yang, 1994) consider it likely for the Late Devonian extinction to also consist of two separate extinction episodes; the Frasnian

event followed after an interval by the terminal Fammenian extinction. In addition an analysis of the total extinction intensity reveals that the P/T and end-Devonian extinctions split into two peaks (Benton, 1995).

The occurrence of double extinctions can be explained within the volcanogenic dark matter framework (Abbas and Abbas, 1998; Kanipe, 1997). In fact this is a unique and unambiguous prediction of this model. We outline this scenario below.

Dark matter may constitute more than 90 % of the matter of the universe (Berezinsky, 1993). Evidence in favor of dark matter exists in the form of rotation curves of galaxies, the stability of galactic clusters, etc. Several candidates have been proposed (Watson, 1997). Galactic dark matter is likely to occur in clumped form, with high-density clumps of dark matter within a uniform halo background. During the occasional passage of such a clump dark matter would accumulate in the core and annihilate, producing vast quantities of heat (Kanipe, 1997). Abbas & Abbas estimate that the heat output can exceed present-day terrestrial heat production by five orders of magnitude (Abbas and Abbas, 1998). The detailed process of capture and annihilation is outlined in the Appendix.

These large quantities of heat will in all likelihood lead to the creation of a superplume that initiates, upon arrival at the surface, an episode of intense flood basalt volcanism (Abbas and Abbas, 1998). These have been linked to several of the major extinctions. Besides the K/T event, for which a viable model involving impact at Chicxulub has been built up, none of the other major extinctions has been definitely linked to an impact.

This volcano induced extinction would occur after a time interval representing the duration of creation and migration of the superplume to reach the surface. This should be approx. 5 my with a migration rate of a few cm per

year, and this is in fact the interval separating the Guadalupian and Tartarian extinctions. The same 5 my time gap is consistent with the late Devonian extinction events also.

The direct passage of a dark matter clump itself may lead to a preliminary extinction step by causing lethal carcinogenesis in organisms. Zioutas (Zioutas, 1990) studied the effect of dark matter on living organisms, and concluded that dark matter may be responsible for mutations and cancers in living beings. Changes of biorhythms depending on the direction of flight have been recorded for human as well as fungi during flights across different time zones. Background radiation can explain only 1 in 20000 of the observed spontaneous mutations in *Drosophila*; the remainder may be due to dark matter interactions. Seasonal and diurnal modulation rates expected from dark matter interaction with the Earth are consistent with observed biological rhythms in potatoes and other plants. He concluded that organisms may in fact already be displaying signatures of interaction with dark matter and recommended the implementation of biological properties and processes in future dark matter detectors.

Subsequently Collar analyzed the effect that highly clumped dark matter may have on the biosphere (Collar, 1996). He discovered that such an event would be highly detrimental to life on Earth. The dosage imparted to organisms during the passage of a clump core would in principle be naively comparable to the neutron radiation from a close nuclear explosion protracted over the time required for clump core passage. Dose protraction would further aggravate these effects. Thus the passage of a dark matter clump core would induce a large dose of highly mutagenic radiation in all living tissue. Collar then proposed that dark matter could have caused paleontological mass extinctions; we refer to his idea as the carcinogenic dark matter extinction model.

He also suggested that the bursts in diversification of life after extinctions may be due to this same mutagenic radiation.

Hence within the dark matter scenario the double mass extinctions would take place as follows. Periodically (every 30 my or so) Earth would pass through dense clumps of dark matter. The time of passage would be short (just a few years). During this passage carcinogenesis in living organisms would set in as per Collar's carcinogenic dark matter scenario (Collar, 1996). This would lead to the first short burst of extinction as recorded in the Guadalupian for the P/T case (Stanley and Yang, 1994). In the meantime because of dense accumulation of dark matter in the core of Earth and the subsequent annihilations large excess amount of heat would be generated as shown by Abbas and Abbas (Abbas and Abbas, 1998). This will manifest itself as surface plume volcanism after a gap of approximately 5 my, ie. the time required for plume ascent, and would lead to the second burst of more severe extinction. The Siberian flood basalt volcanism was one such event which led to the final extinction at the P/T boundary (Abbas and Abbas, 1998).

Thus the same dark matter may be the cause of the major periodic mass extinctions in the history of Earth, as well as the unique double pulse of extinction for each of the cases. Stanley and Yang (Stanley and Yang, 1994) note that, besides the P/T extinction, the late Devonian extinction is also likely to have been a double mass extinction. In this mass extinction reef building stromatolopoids suffered heavy extinction at the end of the penultimate Frasnian Devonian stage and then recovered somewhat before nearly disappearing at the end of the Devonian (Stanley and Yang, 1994). The mechanism for this extinction would be exactly as outlined for the P/T above.

The other major mass extinctions should be double extinctions as per the scenario presented here. In fact, the strength of the volcanogenic dark matter

model is that it can explain why such occurrences should be periodic in nature.

Benton (Benton, 1995) notes that the data show elevated extinction measures in 2-3 stages during 4 mass extinction events, in the late Devonian, late Permian, late Ordovician and end Cretaceous. In all 4 of these cases the second of the two stages shows the higher extinction events, but he considers it likely that they represent evidence for the Signor-Lipps effect (Benton, 1995). However Stanley and Yang (Stanley and Yang, 1995) as discussed earlier have quite convincingly demonstrated the genuineness of the double extinction for the P/T case and perhaps also for the late Devonian extinction as well. As per our model the other two should also under careful study split into two genuine extinctions.

Another likely case may be the extinction during the Late Triassic. During this extinction the extinction matrices show elevated extinction intensities throughout the Late Triassic, with the terminal Rhaetian stage containing the maximum, with high levels of extinction in the Norian stage (Jablonsky, 1986, p.11).

Of all mass extinctions on record the K/T is considered as having been caused by a bolide impact. However, Deccan flood basalt volcanism straddles the boundary, and may have been the primary cause of the extinction (Campbell et al, 1992, Abbas and Abbas, 1998). However this may have been the second and final pulse at the K/T boundary with another pulse of extinction preceding it. It appears that the data may be compatible with this scenario (MacLeod et al, 1997).

Calcareous benthic foraminifera experienced a productivity maximum starting in the late Maastrichtian and lasting 300,000-400,000 years into the Tertiary (MacLeod et al, 1997) and then underwent another wave of extinctions in the Tertiary. While the extinction rate of elasmobranchs (sharks and rays)

is higher in the Maastrichtian than in the Campanian and Danian, so is the origination rate (MacLeod et al, 1997); Maastrichtian 22.6 % are first occurrences, compared to 21.4 % in the Campanian and 18.9 % in the Danian. It thus remains to be explained how the late Maastrichtian period was one of simultaneous extinction and origination. A satisfactory explanation has hitherto been lacking. The framework outlined above, however, can explain these features.

It has recently been found that the middle and late Miocene extinctions of Atlantic coastal plain molluscs was also a doublet (Petuch, 1995); the 5 million year interval is consistent with our model. However the Pliocene-Pleistocene double extinction mentioned in the same paper apparently had a shorter interval; this may indicate that further work is necessary.

We feel that besides the cases of double mass extinctions considered here all the major mass extinctions were double as per the unique prediction of our model. This is a new and discriminating feature which was not anticipated in the earlier extinction scenarios. Hence what today may be appearing as extended extinction or stepwise mass extinction, may under more careful scrutiny like that one undertaken by Stanley and Yang for the P/T case, would point out the same double extinctions features for all the major periodic mass extinctions.

APPENDIX

The capture of dark matter in the Earth was first studied by Press and Spergel (Press and Spergel, 1985). Subsequently Gould obtained a significantly improved formula for capture. The Gould formula for capture of dark matter by the Earth for each element is (Gould, 1997):

$$\dot{N}_E = (4.0 \times 10^{16} \text{sec}^{-1}) \bar{\rho}_{0.4} \frac{\mu}{\mu_+^2} Q^2 f \left\langle \hat{\phi} \left(1 - \frac{1 - e^{-A^2}}{A^2} \right) \xi_1(A) \right\rangle \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{\rho}_{0.4}$ is the halo WIMP density normalized to 0.4GeV cm^{-3} , $Q = N - (1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_W) Z \sim N - 0.124Z$, f is the fraction of the Earth's mass due to this element, $A^2 = (3v^2\mu)/(2\hat{v}^2\bar{\mu}_-)$, $\mu = m_X/m_N$, $\mu_+ = (\mu + 1)/2$, $\mu_- = (\mu - 1)/2$, $\xi_1(A)$ is a correction factor, v = escape velocity at the shell of Earth material, $\hat{v} = 3kT_w/m_X = 300 \text{kms}^{-1}$ is the velocity dispersion, and $\hat{\phi} = v^2/v_{esc}^2$ is the dimensionless gravitational potential.

In the WIMP mass range 15 GeV-100 GeV this yields total capture rates of the order of 10^{17}sec^{-1} to 10^{18}sec^{-1} . According to the equation above, this yields $Q_E \sim 10^8 W - 10^{10} W$ for a uniform density distribution.

In the case of clumped DM with core densities 10^8 times the galactic halo density, global power production due to the passage of the Earth through a DM clump is $\sim 10^{16} W - 10^{18} W$ (Abbas and Abbas, 1998). It is to be noted that this heat generated in the core of the Earth is huge and arises due to the highly clumped CDM.

Another notable feature discovered by Gould is that capture can be greatly enhanced when resonant enhancement occurs, and when the optical depth is near unity. In this case capture would be even larger than that estimated above.

References Cited

Abbas, S. and Abbas, A. , 1998, Volcanogenic Dark Matter and Mass Extinctions: *Astroparticle Physics*, v.**8**, no.4, p.317-320;

<http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/astro-ph/9612214>

Benton, M.J., 1995, Diversification and Extinction in the History of Life: *Science*, v.**268**,p.52-58 p.54

Berezinsky, V.S., 1993, High Energy Neutrinos form Big Bang Particles: *Nuclear Physics B* (Proceedings Supplement) **31**, 1993, p.413-427

Campbell, I.H., Czamanske, G.K., Fedorenko, V.A., Hill, R.I. and Stepanov, V., 1992, Synchronism of the Siberian Traps and the Permian-Triassic Boundary: *Science*, v.**258**, p.1760-1763

Collar, J.I., 1996, Clumpy Cold Dark Matter and biological extinctions: *Physics Letters B*, v.**368**, p.266-269

Gould, A., 1987, Resonant Enhancements in Weakly Interacting Massive Particle Capture by the Earth: *Astrophysical Journal*, v.**321**, p.571-585

Jablonsky, 1986, Causes and Consequences of Mass Extinctions: A Comparative Approach, *in* Dynamics of Extinctions, ed. D.K.Elliott, J.Wiley and Sons, New York, p.183-229

Kanipe, J., 1997, Dark Matter blamed for mass extinctions on Earth: *New*

Scientist, Jan 11 1997, p. 14

Macleod, N., Rawson, P.F., Forey, P.L., Banner, F.T., et al., 1997 The Cretaceous-Tertiary biotic transition: *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, v.**154**, p.265-292

Petuch E.J., 1995, Molluscan Diversity of the Late Neogene of Florida Evidence for a Two-Staged Mass Extinction: *Science*, v.**270**, p.275-277

Press, W. H. and Spergel, D. N. 1985, Capture by the Sun of a Population of Weakly Interacting Massive Particles: *Astrophysical Journal*, v.**296**, p.679-684

Raup, D.M., 1979, Size of the Permo-Triassic bottleneck and its evolutionary implications: *Science*, v.**206**, no.4415, p.217-218

Stanley, S.M., and Yang,X. 1994, A Double Mass Extinction at the End of the Paleozoic Era: *Science*, v.**266**, p.1340-1344, p.1344

Watson, A. 1997, To catch a WIMP: *Science*, v.**275**, p. 1736-1738

Zioutas, K., 1990, Evidence for Dark Matter from Biological Observations: *Physics Letters B*, v.**242**, p.257-264