

# GENERAL-RELATIVISTIC CONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE OF DENSE MATTER IMPLIED BY KILOHERTZ QUASI-PERIODIC OSCILLATIONS IN NEUTRON-STAR X-RAY BINARIES

W. Kluźniak<sup>1</sup>

Physics Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA  
Copernicus Astronomical Center, ul Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warszawa, Poland

## ABSTRACT

If the observed millisecond variability in the X-ray flux of several neutron-star low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) is interpreted within a general-relativistic framework (Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990) extant at the time of discovery, severe constraints can be placed on the equation of state (e.o.s.) of matter at supranuclear densities. The reported maximum frequency ( $1.14 \pm 0.01$  kHz) of quasiperiodic oscillations observed in sources as diverse as Sco X-1 and 4U 1728-34 would imply that the neutron star masses in these LMXBs are  $M > 1.9M_{\odot}$ , and hence many equations of state would be excluded. Among the very few still viable equations of state are the e.o.s. of Phandaripande and Smith (1975), and e.o.s. AV14 + UVII of Wiringa, Fiks & Fabrocini (1988).

## 1. Introduction

It has long been hoped that observations of neutron stars will allow an empirical determination of free parameters in the theory of nuclear interactions as applied to matter at extremely high density. Until now, only the softest equations of state of dense matter had been excluded (e.o.s. B, G, in the terminology of Arnett and Bowers [1977]) by the measured mass of the Hulse-Taylor pulsar ( $M = 1.44M_{\odot}$ ). A third e.o.s., F, has been eliminated (e.g. Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky 1994) by the probable mass of the binary X-ray pulsar Vela X-1.

The observed shortest pulsar period,  $P = 1.56$  ms, poses no additional restriction, neither would a 1.2 kHz frequency of stellar rotation, because the stiffest e.o.s., M, allows a neutron star period as short as  $P = (1.23 \text{ kHz})^{-1} = 0.83$  ms, and all other viable equations of state allow significantly higher rotational frequencies (Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky 1994). However, as explained below (Section 4), identification of an orbital (keplerian) frequency of 1.2 kHz, and especially of a *maximum orbital frequency* of 1.2 kHz in the motion of matter around a neutron star, would severely constrain hithertofore viable models. It is quite likely that this frequency has now been observed.

Indeed, it has been proposed that clumps in the inner accretion disk of a neutron star which is endowed with at most a dynamically unimportant magnetic field ( $B < 10^8$  G) will give rise to millisecond variability in the X-ray flux, and that the variability will have a characteristic maximum frequency whose observation should allow a determination of the mass of the neutron star (Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990). Such millisecond variability has now been observed in the form of kilohertz quasi-periodic oscillations (kHz QPOs). This letter interprets the observed kHz QPOs within the general-relativistic framework of accretion onto neutron stars (Lipunov & Postnov 1984; Kluźniak & Wagoner 1985; Kluźniak & Wilson 1986; Sunyaev & Shakura 1986; Kluźniak 1987; Kluźniak & Wilson 1989; Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990; Kluźniak & Wilson 1991; Hanawa 1991; Kluźniak 1991; Biehle & Blandford 1993), and describes the resulting limits on the mass of the neutron star sources of kHz QPOs.

## 2. Neutron stars and accretion disks in LMXBs

We are going to claim a lower limit to the mass of the compact object in a class of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). It is necessary to review the theory of such sources, to make clear why they are believed to contain a neutron star and hence why the derived mass-limit is relevant to the theory of dense matter. The relativistic paradigm of accretion is also reviewed in this section.

The kHz QPOs were discovered in Sco X-1, GX5-1 and several X-ray bursters (van der Klis *et al.* 1996; Strohmeyer *et al.* 1996; Zhang *et al.* 1996; Ford *et al.* 1997; van der Klis, M. *et al.* 1996.) These Z, GX, and atoll sources (in current terminology, van der Klis 1995) are all thought to be binary systems containing

---

<sup>1</sup> Alfred P. Sloan Fellow.

an accreting neutron star. For X-ray bursters, but not for the Z sources, there is indeed compelling evidence (Lewin & Joss 1983; Lewin, van Paradijs, & Taam 1995) that they are neutron stars or stars very much like neutron stars (i.e. with about solar mass,  $M \sim M_\odot$ , and a stellar surface at radius  $R \sim 10^6$ cm). The X-ray bursts (Grindlay *et al.* 1976; Belian, Conner, & Evans 1976) are well explained (Maraschi & Cavaliere 1977; Woosley & Taam 1976; Joss 1978) as a result of thermonuclear instabilities (Hansen & Van Horn 1975) in the transmutation of more or less freshly accreted material. Fits to X-ray spectra in the decay phase of the burst severely constrain the mass–radius relationship, which in all cases is found (Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam 1993) to be consistent with theoretical models of neutron stars (Arnett & Bowers 1977; Friedman, Ipser & Parker 1986; Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky 1994).

The discovery of kHz QPOs has not been entirely unexpected. There is a school of thought which would have the magnetic field of at least some neutron stars in LMXBs so low ( $B < 10^8$ G) as to be of no dynamical importance. For certain combinations, strongly dependent on the equation of state of dense matter, of mass and angular momentum it would then be possible for the accretion disk to be terminated by strong-field effects of general relativity (GR)—this is the relativistic “accretion-gap” regime. It has been thought (Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990) that the X-ray flux in such systems is likely to be modulated at the keplerian frequency in the kHz range.

Specifically, it has been pointed out (Kluźniak & Wagoner 1985), that many equations of state of dense matter allow neutron stars to have radii smaller than the radius,  $r_{ms}$ , of the innermost (marginally) stable circular orbit allowed in general relativity (Kaplan 1949). For such stars (Kluźniak & Wagoner 1985; Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990; Kluźniak & Wilson 1991), as for black holes (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Stoeger 1976; Muchotrzeb & Paczyński 1982), the accretion disk will terminate at a radius  $r_{in} \sim r_{ms}$ . (Estimates of the effects of the radiation field, created by emission at the neutron star surface within  $r_{in}$ , suggest [Biehle & Blandford 1993] that  $r_{ms} - r_{in} \approx 0.5$ km  $> 0$ . Under special circumstances,  $r_{ms} < r_{in}$  also seems possible [Walker & Mészáros 1989].) Upon crossing the sonic point (Stoeger 1976; Muchotrzeb & Paczyński 1982) at  $r_s \approx r_{in}$  matter will fall freely (or nearly freely if magnetic or radiative stresses are not entirely negligible) to the surface of the star (Kluźniak & Wagoner 1985; Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990; Kluźniak & Wilson 1991). The free-free cooling time is shorter than the transit time (Kluźniak 1987; Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990) so no emission is expected in the accretion gap between  $r_s$  and the top of the atmosphere in the equatorial accretion belt (Fig. 1). Some of the phenomenology of the Z sources can be explained by the “closing” of the accretion gap as the mass accretion rate increases (Biehle & Blandford 1993)

Two clear predictions were made within the accretion-gap framework in GR (Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990; Kluźniak & Wilson 1991). First, according to self-consistent computations of its structure and radiation field, the accretion belt emits hard X-rays with a power-law spectrum, which should be observable at lower mass accretion rates, when the accretion gap is optically thin to electron scattering (Kluźniak & Wilson 1991). Similar conclusions were reached by Hanawa 1991. Second, kilohertz variability (caused by inhomogeneities in the flow) should be seen in the X-ray flux with a clearly defined maximum frequency corresponding to the orbital frequency at the inner edge of the disk (Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990). Interestingly, these predictions have now apparently been verified. In particular, a robust maximum in the QPO frequency has indeed been observed (Zhang *et al.* 1997). It seems natural then, to interpret the higher frequency of the observed kHz QPOs as the keplerian frequency.

### 3. Kilohertz QPOs and orbital frequency

At present there is only circumstantial evidence as to the nature of the kHz QPOs. It is therefore worthwhile to review the basic theoretical arguments behind their interpretation. The idea of QPOs at keplerian frequencies is not new. Twenty five years ago already, Bath (1973) has argued that “the periodicities observed in dwarf novae near maximum light are (...) consistent with periodic eclipses of temperature fluctuations in the innermost regions of the disk.” Boyle, Fabian & Guilbert (1986) argued that QPOs in LMXBs may be caused by “oscillations of disturbances in the inner regions of a corona above an accretion disk. Such oscillations will occur predominantly at  $\nu_k$ , the keplerian angular frequency of disk matter.” Other models yielding modulation of the flux at keplerian frequency have also been proposed (e.g. Abramowicz *et al.* 1992).

Specifically referring to LMXBs, Kluźniak (1987) and Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner (1990) suggested

that “clumps” in the inner accretion disk could give rise to QPOs or X-ray modulations at kHz frequencies. The authors assert that the QPOs in X-ray pulsars are observed at the keplerian frequency of the inner accretion disk (this maximum frequency in a disk which is disrupted by the strong magnetic dipole is referred to as the “Ghosh-Lamb” frequency); hence, in LMXBs containing only weakly magnetized neutron-stars, the orbital frequency in the inner disk which terminates close to the marginally stable orbit of general relativity should be observable in an identical manner. (In view of the uncertainties inherent in extrapolating the phenomenology of QPOs by four orders of magnitude in frequency [from  $\sim 0.2$  Hz in the X-ray pulsar EXO 2030+375—see Angelini, Stella & Parmar 1989—to  $\sim 1$  kHz in LMXBs], the authors also considered the alternate model of fluctuations in the power spectrum, such as the ones reported in Cyg X-1).

Thus, the interpretation of QPOs in terms of keplerian frequency was well established in the literature at the time of discovery of the kHz QPOs. But, as is well known, two distinct QPO frequencies (each one varying in time) are observed in many LMXBs, and in the atoll sources the difference of the two frequencies appears to be constant for any given source (Strohmeyer *et al.* 1996; Zhang *et al.* 1996; Ford *et al.* 1997). Again, the framework for understanding this phenomenon can easily be found in the literature. Already Patterson (1979), in discussing the QPO he observed in AE Aquarii, gives a model which would seem applicable to the kHz QPOs: “If the blobs [are moving] at their own Keplerian rotation periods  $P_K$  [in] an illuminating searchlight that is strictly periodic with a period  $P_s$  and rotating in the same direction as the disk, then the result is a QPO with a period given by

$$\frac{1}{P} = \frac{1}{P_s} - \frac{1}{P_K}.$$

Since most of the disk has  $P_K > P_s$ , we expect in general that QPOs should have periods slightly longer than  $P_s$ .” It is straightforward to apply Patterson’s model when two frequencies, with a constant difference between them, are observed, as in the atoll sources. The detection of the difference frequency, or its harmonic, in X-ray outbursts lends support to this “keplerian beat frequency” model for the lower frequency kHz QPOs, as now all three frequencies involved are apparently observed (albeit not all at the same time).

The actual cause of the modulation of flux has yet to be determined. One possibility is that modulation of flow in the inner accretion disk is imprinted on the X-ray flux when the flow reaches the surface of the neutron star. This is the essence of the accretion gating mechanism proposed to operate at the beat frequency by Alpar & Shaham (1985). The greatest challenge, for any model, is to explain the timescale of variability of the QPOs. A full theory is unlikely to emerge before a realistic two-dimensional solution to the accretion disk in neutron stars is constructed.

In Sco X-1, although there is positive correlation between the two QPO frequencies, their difference varies with time,  $\nu_1 - \nu_2 \neq \text{const}$ , and the third frequency is not observed (van der Klis 1996). We may speculate that the second QPO simply corresponds to an azimuthal velocity in the differentially rotating equatorial accretion belt. Calculations show that the infalling stream penetrates the zone of optical depth unity ( $\tau = 1$ ) with a velocity corresponding to  $\nu_0 = \text{few} \times 10^2 \text{ Hz}$  (Kluźniak & Wilson 1991). Inhomogeneities in the flow would rotate about the star with frequency  $\nu_0 + \nu_s$ , which would vary as  $\nu_0$  varies with differing accretion conditions. For reasonable stellar periods, i.e., for  $\nu_s^{-1} \equiv P_s \sim \text{few ms}$ ,  $\nu_0 + \nu_s$  would correspond to  $\sim 0.8$  kHz.

In summary, without undertaking modeling of the detailed properties of the QPOs, we may say that it appears likely (Zhang, Strohmeyer & Swank 1997) that the higher frequency QPO is the keplerian frequency in the inner accretion disk terminated by strong-field effects of general relativity, as discussed in Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner (1990). The lower frequency QPO appears to be a signature of the presence of the stellar surface or the magnetic field anchored in it. On this interpretation, one would only expect a *single* QPO in an accreting black hole, e.g., at  $\sim 0.3$  kHz for a  $7M_\odot$  Schwarzschild metric. Such a QPO has apparently been observed (Remillard 1997) in the black-hole candidate 1655-40 at the expected frequency. This provides additional support for the idea that the QPO frequency is the orbital frequency. If this interpretation is confirmed, the absence or presence of a *second* high-frequency QPO would be the long-awaited direct signature for the absence or presence of a stellar surface in black-hole or neutron-star candidate systems, such as 1655-40 and Sco X-1, respectively.

#### 4. Constraints on the equation of state

Let us now follow the prescription of Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner (1990) for measuring the mass of the

neutron star through the observation of a maximum frequency in the power spectrum. This will lead to severe constraints on the equation of state of dense matter.

The orbital frequency (Fig. 2), seen by an observer at infinity, has been computed by Kluźniak & Wagoner 1985 through first order in the dimensionless stellar angular momentum  $j = cJ/GM^2$  in the Hartle (1976) metric appropriate to the exterior of a rotating neutron star; see also Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990. It is  $\Omega(r, M, j) = (GM/r^3)^{1/2}[1 - (rc^2/GM)^{-3/2}j]$ . Note that fully relativistic models of rotating neutron stars (Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky 1994) allow only  $j \leq 0.6$ , so this first order (in  $j$ ) result should be a good approximation. The radius of the marginally stable orbit is (Kluźniak & Wagoner 1985)

$$r_{ms} = 6M[1 - (2/3)^{3/2}j](G/c^2). \quad (1)$$

The frame-dragging term (proportional to  $j$ ) gives at most a 4% correction to  $\Omega$  at any fixed radius  $r > 6GM/c^2$ , however it is not negligible in its effect on the maximum orbital frequency, which is  $f_{max} = (2\pi)^{-1}\Omega(r_{ms}, M, j) = (2\pi)^{-1}[1 + (11/12)(2/3)^{1/2}j](GM/r_{ms}^3)^{1/2}$ , i.e. (Kluźniak, Michelson & Wagoner 1990),

$$f_{max} = (1 + 0.749j)(M_\odot/M) \times 2.20 \text{ kHz}. \quad (2)$$

The possible range of  $j$ , for a given rotational frequency of the star,  $1/P$ , can be gleaned from Fig. 3, which shows a linear (in  $j$ ) extrapolation (Kluźniak 1987) of the nonrotating models of Arnett & Bowers (1977) for the canonical mass  $M = 1.4M_\odot$ . The softest hitherto viable e.o.s is A, the stiffest is M. Comparison with precise numerical calculations of rotating models (Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky 1994) shows that the curves labeled A and M are accurate to about 10% throughout the range plotted here, i.e. for  $0 \leq j \leq 0.5$ . Note that a  $1.4M_\odot$  neutron star with rotational frequency of  $1/P \approx 330$  Hz would have angular momentum roughly in the range  $0.1 < j < 0.3$ . However, the functional relationship  $j(P)$  is quite sensitive to the mass of the star.

Let us now compare the highest frequency of the kHz QPOs,  $\nu_{max}$ , with the maximum orbital frequency  $f_{max}$  of eq. (2). In doing so we effectively assume that the disk terminates at  $r_{in} = r_{ms}$ . When sufficiently reliable calculations of  $r_{in}$  are carried out, this discussion may have to be repeated for a different value of  $r_{in}$ . However, we expect the conclusions to be upheld because the observed  $\nu_{max}$  has a very similar value in Sco X-1 and in the lower luminosity X-ray bursters, so probably the effective (smallest) value of  $r_{in}$  does not vary much with the accretion rate and hence must be close to  $r_{ms}$ .

Interpreting, then, the maximum frequency of the QPOs, reported to be  $\nu_{max} = 1.15$  kHz in each of the sources<sup>2</sup> 4U 1728-34, 4U 1735-44, 4U 0614+091 (Zhang, Strohmeyer & Swank 1997), as the maximum frequency of stable circular orbital motion allowed in general relativity,  $f_{max}$  of eq. (2), we obtain

$$M = 1.91M_\odot \times (1 + 0.75j). \quad (3)$$

This constraint rules out the e.o.s. A, E, D, FPS, UT, as well as the stiffest e.o.s. M. This is because the value  $1.9M_\odot$  is higher than the highest mass of a nonrotating star ( $j = 0$ ) allowed by these hitherto viable equations of state and there are also no rotating models (Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky 1994), satisfying eq. (3), constructed with these same equations of state—the only models (for these e.o.s.) with  $M > 1.91M_\odot$  require  $j \geq 0.4$ , raising the mass limit of eq. (3) to  $2.5M_\odot$ , which is above the maximum mass limit for any stable configuration constructed with e.o.s. A, E, D, FPS, UT or M. Thus, regardless of the actual period of the neutron star, only a few equations of state would be allowed, such as AU (e.o.s. AV14 + UVII, Wiringa, Fiks & Fabrocini 1988) and L (mean field, Phandaripande & Smith 1975), which do admit models satisfying eq. (3). As it turns out, the allowed e.o.s., L and AU, admit also models of non-rotating stars with  $1.9M_\odot$ . Note that we would have been unable to constrain the equation of state in the Schwarzschild metric, the frame-dragging terms in the Hartle-Thorne metric were crucial to our argument.

## 5. Final remarks

The discovery of kHz QPOs in many LMXBs shows that flow close to the neutron stars is not controlled by magnetic fields in these sources. Standard formulae yield an upper limit on the stellar magnetic dipole

---

<sup>2</sup> Using the frequency reported for 4U 1636-53 (1.17 kHz), or the one reported for Sco X-1 (1.13 kHz), we obtain the same constraints on the equation of state.

moment of  $\mu \leq 2 \cdot 10^{26} \text{G cm}^3$  (i.e., a surface dipole field of  $\leq 2 \cdot 10^8 \text{G}$ , for a radius of  $10^6 \text{cm}$ ). Although both this result, and the magnetic dipole moments of pulsars inferred from observation of the rotation period and its derivative, are really order of magnitude estimates, there is at present no basis for asserting that the observed millisecond pulsar population is similar in the strength or range of their magnetic field to the LMXB population. The supposed evolutionary connection between LMXBs and millisecond pulsars appears to be more tenuous than ever.

In the above remarks, and in Section 4, I have assumed that the higher QPO frequency is the keplerian frequency. On this assumption, a maximum frequency is expected (Kluźniak, Michelson, Wagoner 1990), as is indeed observed. At present there is no other framework within which to understand why a maximum frequency occurs in a continuous distribution of QPO frequencies, and why its value is so similar in sources as disparate as Sco X-1 and 4U 1728-34 (Zhang, Strohmeyer & Swank 1997). On the other hand, there is as yet no direct evidence that this interpretation is unique—it is as yet too early to assert that strong-field effects of general relativity have unambiguously been identified. One can hope that future observations and further theoretical work will lead to absolute certainty.

*Note added in manuscript*

Other authors (Kaaret, Ford & Chen 1997; and Zhang, Strohmeyer & Swank 1997) have arrived at the same interpretation of the QPOs and have derived similar values for the mass of the neutron star. Applying the same formalism (our eq. [2]) to the source 4U 1636-53, in whose spectrum an absorption feature had been reported, Kaaret, Ford & Chen (1997) have shown how to constrain the equation of state under the additional two assumptions that the stellar rotation rate and the surface redshift are known. Their conclusion that the “result is consistent with the mass-radius relation for the AV14 + UVII equation of state” agrees with ours, even though we have used no information at all about the actual spin rate or the radius of the star and our discussion could have ignored the source 4U 1636-53 altogether. We can turn the argument around to state that there is remarkable agreement between, on the one hand, the mass-radius relation of the few theoretical models of neutron stars which do allow orbital frequency in the marginally stable orbit to be as low as the maximum reported QPO frequency in Sco X-1, and, on the other hand, the mass-radius relation inferred from the apparent redshift of FeXXV absorption feature (Waki *et al.* 1984, Inoue 1988) in the very different source 4U 1636-53.

I thank Drs. A. Alpar, M. van der Klis, R.V. Wagoner, and W. Zhang for helpful conversations. Financial support of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation is acknowledged. This work was also supported in part by the Committee for Scientific Research of the Polish Republic (KBN) through grant number 2-P304-01407.

REFERENCES

- Abramowicz, M.A., Lanza, A., Spiegel, E. & Szuszkiewicz, E. 1992, *Nature* 356, 41.  
 Alpar, M.A. & Shaham, J. 1985, *Nature* 316, 239.  
 Angelini, L., Stella, L., & Parmar, A.N. 1989, *ApJ* 346, 906.  
 Arnett, W.D., & Bowers, R.L. 1977, *ApJSuppl.* 33, 415.  
 Bath, G.T. 1973, *Nature Phys. Sc.* 246, 84.  
 Belian, R.D., Conner, J.P., & Evans, W.D. 1976, *ApJ* 206, L135.  
 Biehle, G.T. & Blandford, R.D. 1993, *ApJ* 411, 302.  
 Boyle, C.B., Fabian, A.C. & Guilbert, P.W. 1986, *Nature* 319, 648.  
 Cook, G.B., Shapiro, S.L., & Teukolsky, S.A. 1994, *ApJ* 424, 823.  
 Ford, E., *et al.* 1997, *ApJ* 475, L123.  
 Friedman, J., Ipser, J.R., & Parker, L. 1986, *ApJ* 304, 115.  
 Grindlay, J.E., *et al.* 1976, *ApJ* 205, L127.  
 Hanawa, T. 1991, *ApJ*, 373, 222.  
 Hansen, C.J., & Van Horn, H.M. 1975, *ApJ* 195, 735.  
 Inoue, H. 1988, in *Physics of Neutron Stars and Black Holes*, ed. Y. Tanaka (Tokyo: Universal Academy Press), 235.  
 Joss, P.C. 1978, *ApJ* 225, L123.  
 Kaaret, Ph., Ford, E.C. & Chen, K. 1997, *ApJLett.* 480, L27.  
 Kaplan, S.A. 1949, *Soviet Phys.—JETP Letters* 19, 951.

- Kluźniak, W. 1987, PhD Thesis, Stanford University.
- Kluźniak, W. 1991, in *IAU colloquium 129*, C. Bertout, S. Collin-Souffrin, J. P. Lasota & J. Tran Thanh Van eds., (Editions Frontieres: Paris), pp. 327-330.
- Kluźniak, W., Michelson, P., & Wagoner, R.V. 1990, *ApJ* 358, 538.
- Kluźniak, W., & Wagoner, R.V. 1985, *ApJ* 297, 548.
- Kluźniak, W., & Wilson, J. R. 1986, *Bulletin of the AAS*, 18, 928.
- Kluźniak, W., & Wilson, J. R. 1989, in *23rd ESLAB Symposium on Two Topics in X-ray Astronomy*, J. Hunt, B. Battrick eds., (European Space Agency: Paris), p. 477.
- Kluźniak, W., & Wilson, J.R. 1991, *ApJ* 372, L87.
- Lewin, W.H.G, van Paradijs, J., & Taam, R.E. 1993, *Space Sci. Rev.* 62, 223.
- Lewin, W.H.G, van Paradijs, J., & Taam, R.E. 1995, in *X-ray binaries*, ed. W.H.G. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E.P.J. van den Heuvel (Cambridge:Cambridge Univ. Press), p. 175.
- Lewin, W.H.G., & Joss, P.C. 1983, in *Accretion-driven stellar X-ray sources*, eds. W.H.G. Lewin, & E.P.J. van den Heuvel (Cambridge:Cambridge Univ. Press), p. 393.
- Lipunov, V.M. & Postnov, K.A. 1984, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 106, 103.
- Maraschi, L. & Cavaliere, A. 1977, in *Highlights in Astronomy*, ed. E.A. Müller, Vol. 4, Part I, p. 127.
- Muchotrzeb, B., & Paczyński, B. *Acta Astron.* 32, 1.
- Patterson, J. 1979, *ApJ* 234, 978.
- Pandharipande, V.R. & Smith, R.A. 1975, *Phys. Lett. B*, 59, 15.
- Remillard, R. 1997, in *18th Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics*, in the press.
- Shakura, N.I., & Sunyaev, R.A. 1973, *AA* 24, 337.
- Sunyaev, R.A. & Shakura, N.I. 1986, *Pis'ma Astron. Zh.* 12, 286 [*Sov. Astron. Lett.* 12, 117].
- Stoeger, W.R. 1976, *AA* 53, 267.
- Strohmeyer, T.E., *et al.* 1996, *ApJ* 469, L9.
- van der Klis, M. 1995, in *X-ray binaries*, ed. W.H.G. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E.P.J. van den Heuvel (Cambridge:Cambridge Univ. Press), p. 252.
- van der Klis, M. *et al.* 1996, *ApJ* 469, L1.
- van der Klis, M. *et al.* 1996, *IAU Circ.* 6424.
- Waki, I. *et al.* 1984, *PASJ*, 36, 819.
- Walker, M.A., & Mészáros, P. 1989, *ApJ* 346, 844.
- Wiringa, R.B., Fiks, V. & Fabrocini, A. 1988, *Phys. Rev. C*, 38, 1010.
- Woosley, S.E. & Taam, R.E. 1976, *Nature*, 263, 101.
- Zhang, W., Strohmeyer, T.E. & Swank, J.H. *ApJLett.* 1997, 482, L167.
- Zhang, W., Lapidus, I., White, N.E. & Titarchuk, L. 1996, *ApJ* 469, L17.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. A neutron star in the relativistic accretion-gap regime. Strong-field effects of gravity terminate the accretion disk close to the innermost stable circular orbit allowed in general relativity—this innermost orbit has radius  $r_{ms} \sim 10$  km (eq. [1]). The gap between the disk and the star is crossed by the accreting fluid in free fall. Kinetic energy of flow is dissipated in the luminous equatorial accretion belt. [The figure is from Kluźniak & Wilson 1989]

Fig. 2. Orbital frequency in a circular orbit is plotted as a function of the dimensionless circumferential radius in the Hartle metric for two values of angular momentum of the neutron star,  $J = GM^2j/c$ , corresponding to a nonrotating star ( $j = 0$ ) and to a star with a period of a few milliseconds ( $j = 0.3$ ). Note that each curve terminates at a certain maximum frequency,  $f_{max}$  (eq. [2]), corresponding to the smallest radius,  $r_{ms}$ , of a stable circular orbit allowed in general relativity. [The figure is from Kluźniak, Michelson, & Wagoner 1990]

Fig. 3. The rotational frequencies computed to first order in the dimensionless angular momentum,  $j$ , of neutron stars with mass  $1.3M_{\odot}$  are shown for four equations of state (Kluźniak 1987). The extreme curves (e.o.s A and e.o.s M) are accurate to about 10% and span the whole range of hitherto viable equations of state.