

Global three-dimensional simulations of magnetic field evolution in a galactic disk

I. Barred galaxies

K. Otmianowska-Mazur^{1,4}, S. von Linden², H. Lesch³, G. Skupniewicz¹

¹ Astronomical Observatory, Jagiellonian University, ul. Orla 171, Kraków, Poland

² Landessternwarte Heidelberg, Königstuhl, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany.

³ Universitäts-Sternwarte, Scheinerstr. 1, D-81679 München, Germany

⁴ Max-Planck Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany

Received 28 June 1996

Abstract. The evolution of three-dimensional, large-scale, magnetic fields, in a galactic disk is investigated numerically. The N-body simulations of galactic dynamics are incorporated into the kinematic calculations of induction equations to study the influence of non-axisymmetric gas flows on the galactic magnetic field. The time-dependent gas velocity fields are introduced as input parameters for the MHD-simulations. The effects of interstellar turbulence are given as the turbulent diffusion of the magnetic field. Our principal concern is to check how dynamical evolution of the galactic gas affects the global magnetic field structure and intensity.

We have found that the magnetic field responds sensitively to changes in the gas velocity field, and even slight variations of the dynamical parameters, such as the gas mass/total mass ratio results in nonuniform intensity structures, i.e. magnetic arms. The magnetic lines of force are well aligned with spiral arms and bar due to compressional flows in these features. In the inter-arm regions the areas with magnetic vectors going opposite to the main magnetic spirals are present. Moreover we could identify Parker-like intensity features perpendicular to the disk plane. Obviously even weak perturbations of the velocity field already produce almost instantaneous changes in the local magnetic field geometry. This proves the importance of our approach to use the velocity fields resulting from global dynamical instabilities for magnetohydrodynamical simulations.

Key words: MHD; - galaxies: magnetic fields; kinematics and dynamics; spiral

Send offprint requests to: K. Otmianowska-Mazur (Kraków), e-mail: otmian@oa.uj.edu.pl

1. Introduction

Spiral galaxies are magnetised disks. The evolution and origin of their large-scale magnetic field is supposed to be related to induction processes, via motion of highly conducting plasmas (for recent reviews see Wielebinski and Krause 1993; Kronberg 1994; Beck et al. 1996). This relation between the plasma motion and the magnetic field is well known as a dynamo. The conventional dynamo theory contains a large-scale velocity typically given by the differential rotation of the disk plus some turbulent contribution which describes the turbulent diffusion and the helicity. Since the helicity is of the order of 1 km s^{-1} , it is not an observable quantity and its value and physical origin can only be determined from theoretical considerations (Hanasz and Lesch 1993; Beck et al. 1996).

The dominant term for magnetic field amplification is the large-scale velocity field, which is a really observed quantity. The large-scale velocity field of a spiral galaxy is the product of the differentially rotating disk, an almost rigidly rotating galactic bulge, and non-axisymmetric velocity components, which are the result of dynamical instabilities (e.g. Binney and Tremaine 1987 and references therein). Whereas the energy density of the large-scale magnetic field is a negligible portion of the rotational energy density of a galactic disk ($W_{\text{mag}} \propto 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$ ($B \sim 5 \mu\text{G}$), $W_{\text{rot}} \propto 10^{-10} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$ ($v_{\text{rot}} \sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$)), it is comparable with the kinetic energy density of the perturbations driven by dynamical instabilities like spiral arms and bars ($W_{\text{dyn}} \sim 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$ ($v_{\text{dyn}} \propto 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$)). Since the dynamical instabilities present global responses of the self-gravitating, dissipative component of the interstellar medium in disk galaxies, they will have a considerable influence on the structure and evolution of large-scale magnetic fields in disk galaxies (Chiba and Lesch 1994).

A tight relation between the galactic velocity structure and the B -field is indeed clearly visible in many radio observations: recent high frequency (Faraday rotation negligible) observations of radio polarisation from nearby galaxies: M83 (Neininger et al. 1991), M51 (Neininger 1992, Neininger and Horellou 1996) and NGC 6946 (Ehle & Beck 1993) show that the large-scale galactic magnetic field is aligned with the spiral arms and bars, which suggests the presence of the dynamical influence of non-axisymmetric gas flows on the galactic magnetic field. High angular resolution interferometer observations support this hypothesis, showing that the galactic magnetic fields follow the dust lanes in M31 (Beck et al. 1989) - regions with a high ratio of gas compression. Narrow lines of highly polarised emission have been found at the inner edges of the spiral arms in IC 342 (Krause 1993). These facts could mean a close dynamical connection between magnetic fields and interstellar gas flows in the spiral arms and bars. Lower spectral resolution radio observations, which are more sensitive to extended regions of polarised emission, show the presence of such areas also in the inter-arm regions (Beck & Hoernes 1996). The magnetic field vectors there show a spiral structure which has the same pitch angle as do the spiral arms in NGC 1566 (Ehle et al. 1996), M81 (Krause et al. 1989) and NGC 4254 (Soida et al 1996).

The appearance of the dynamical instabilities does not depend on an existing magnetic field (Binney and Tremaine 1987). Thus, we have to investigate the magnetic response to a large-scale non-axisymmetric instability. Since the dynamical instabilities are inherently time-dependent, the magnetic field will also vary with time. We will present time-dependent 3D dynamical simulations of galactic disks, containing stars and molecular-gas clouds. The excited instabilities will lead to a time dependent velocity field, which will be inserted into the induction equation.

It is the aim of this contribution to present and discuss the results of this procedure. We consider the following problems: (1) What is the structure and evolution of the large-scale galactic magnetic field under the influence of spiral and bar structures present in a galactic disk? (2) To what extent could the resulting magnetic field explain the observed spiral pattern of magnetic fields in nearby galaxies?

With the same motivation Otmianowska & Chiba (1995, Paper I) have shown from their simulation that the magnetic field follows closely the interstellar gas motions, resulting in structures aligned with the spiral arms and the bar, a configuration which is in good agreement with observation (Ehle & Beck 1993, Neininger 1992, Neininger et al. 1991). However, this effect is visible only near the spiral arms and rather than in the inter-arm regions, where the derived magnetic field vectors go outward from the galactic center. This is not observed in actual galaxies. This fact is due to certain simplifications of the first model:

the given gravitational potential of stars and the single (not evolutionary) velocity field of the interstellar gas. The present project constitutes fully dynamical evolution of the gas velocity field over 10^9 yr in 3D and simulates two components of interstellar medium: stars and molecular gas. The mean-field dynamo mechanism is not considered in our calculations.

The outlay of the paper is as follows: Sect. 2 is devoted to a description of the simulation methods. The input model parameters are presented in Sect. 3, and the results of the simulations appear in Sect. 4. Conclusions and discussion are given in Sect. 5.

2. Models and numerical methods

First, to obtain realistic velocity fields for calculations of magnetic field evolution (solving the induction equation), we perform a three-dimensional N-body code, using the particle-mesh scheme. Next the resulting velocity fields of the gaseous component are used to calculate the three-dimensional large-scale galactic magnetic field. The N-body simulations obtain two components: stars and molecular clouds. The clouds represent the major mass component of the interstellar gas. Since typically the gas mass is only 10% of the total mass, the gas reacts like a test particle to the gravitational potential built up by the stellar and dark matter background. The importance of the gas is emphasized given by the fact that only in the presence of the dissipative gas component are dynamical instabilities long-lived features in a disk (Binney and Tremaine 1987). Without a considerable amount of gas, like in S0-galaxies, no spiral structure or bars appear; this is supported by many observations and N-body simulations (Sellwood and Carlberg 1984; Kennicutt 1989). The magnetic field is indeed connected with the gaseous component of a galaxy and the instabilities responsible for structure will produce a non-axisymmetric velocity field, which in turn will have a significant influence on the magnetic field.

2.1. N-body numerical scheme

We use self-consistent 3D simulations for the modelling of the galaxy. The code is a 3D version of the 2D code of Combes & Gerin (1985) kindly supplied by F. Combes.

The disk of the galaxy consists of stars ($N_s \sim 38\,000$) and clouds ($N_g \leq 19\,000$). The particles are interacting gravitationally with each other and are embedded in a bulge and a halo. The gravitational potential is calculated from the density via fast Fourier transforms (FFT) using a $128 \times 128 \times 64$ grid (with a useful grid of $64 \times 64 \times 32$). We calculate the density at each spatial point and at each integration step.

Collisions between molecular clouds are highly inelastic, and a particle model can provide the best control of the corresponding energy dissipation. To simulate a collision in the cloud ensemble, we adopt the same scheme and

local processes as described by Casoli & Combes (1982) and Combes & Gerin (1985). The cloud ensemble is represented by its mass spectrum (masses between $5 \cdot 10^2$ and $5 \cdot 10^5 M_\odot$). We also take into account that giant molecular clouds (GMC) have a finite lifetime. After about 10^7 years, the clouds are destroyed by tidal forces and/or star formation (stellar winds, supernova explosions etc.). To describe this destruction numerically we explicitly focus on the GMC (mass of one GMC $M_{\text{GMC}} > 2 \cdot 10^5 M_\odot$). After $4 \cdot 10^7$ years, cloudlets are reinjected into interstellar space with a steep power-law spectrum and a 2-dimensional random-velocity distribution. A star particle is added at this location to the stellar disk.

We use two analytical components to simulate the bulge and dark halo. These components are Plummer spheres $\Phi_{\text{bulge}}(r) = -GM_{\text{b}} \cdot (r_{\text{d}}^2 + b^2)^{-1/2}$ and $\Phi_{\text{halo}}(r) = -GM_{\text{h}} \cdot (r_{\text{h}}^2 + a^2)^{-1/2}$. The halo mass within disk radius r_{d} is M_{h} , a is its scale length and the bulge mass is M_{b} (within radius r_{h}) with a scale length b .

The velocity distribution of the particles is then chosen to stabilise the disk with respect to axisymmetric disturbances. For a stellar disk to be stable against these disturbances, the Toomre parameter $Q \sim \frac{\kappa v}{G\Sigma}$ has to be larger than 1. Here v denotes the velocity dispersion of the different components (gas or stars), and Σ is their surface mass density. κ is the epicyclic frequency. When Q is in the range $1 < Q < 4$, the stellar disk is, however, unstable with respect to non-axisymmetric perturbations and in particular to bar formation (Hohl 1971).

One can define the Toomre parameter Q (Toomre 1964) separately for gas and stars:

$$Q_{\text{gas}} = \frac{v_{\text{gas}} \kappa}{3.36 G \Sigma_{\text{gas}}} \quad Q_{\text{star}} = \frac{v_{\text{star}} \kappa}{\pi G \Sigma_{\text{star}}} \quad (1)$$

but these are only indicative, since there is no independent stability criterion. The coupling between gas and stars favours instabilities: both components could be unstable together in situations where they would be stable separately (Jog & Solomon 1984; Jog 1992). The initial circular velocity is computed with the N-body integrator, in order to take into account softening and disk truncation; then the initial rotational velocity is computed from the Jeans equations, taking into account the velocity dispersions.

The present code has been tested in many simulations (e.g. Combes et al. 1990). The collision parameters (number of particles, elasticity of collisions, etc.) have been varied around the values chosen here, without any effect on the results (e.g. von Linden et al. 1996).

2.2. Solving the induction equation

The evolution of the large-scale magnetic field is simulated with the three-dimensional ZEUS code, in which the recently developed constrained transport (CT) algorithm is

implemented for the numerical evolution of the components of the magnetic field in three dimensions (Stone & Norman 1992). We use only the part of the magnetic field evolution, where the flux-freezing approximation applies:

$$\partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t = \text{rot}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{v} is the velocity of gas and \mathbf{B} is the magnetic induction. The numerical method fulfills automatically the condition $\text{div} \mathbf{B} = 0$. Diffusion effects are approximated by "pulsed-flow" method (Bayly & Childress 1989), where first evolution of perfectly frozen in magnetic field is considered. Then after a few tens of time steps the effects of the magnetic diffusion are introduced using the solution of the diffusion equation with an application of the Green's function (Otmianowska-Mazur et al. 1992). In practice this is equivalent to convolving the whole grid of magnetic field components with a Gaussian function having dispersion $\sqrt{(2\eta\Delta t)}$, where η is the magnetic field diffusion coefficient. The convolution method also satisfies the divergence-free condition of the magnetic field. Computations with the solution of the induction equation, including magnetic diffusion, are in preparation.

To incorporate the particle-based flow into the grid-based code, we adopt the approximation of a spline function. The method is described in detail in Paper I (Paper I, eq. no.4 & ref. therein).

The computations have been performed using a Cray Y-MP in HLRZ Jülich and Convex Exemplar SPP-1000 Series in ACK CYFRONET-KRAKÓW.

3. Input models parameter

3.1. N-body model

We simulate non-interacting disk galaxies using a 3D code to study the effect on the vertical extension of the disk.

The time step of the N-body simulation is 10^6 yr. The time step for collisions has not to be so frequent, since the collision time-scale is of the order of 10^7 yr.

The stellar particles are initially distributed in a Toomre disk (Toomre 1963) of mass M_{d} within disk radius r_{d} and scale length d . The gas clouds are distributed in an exponential disk, with scale-length 12 kpc, and mass $4 \cdot 10^9 M_\odot$, i.e. corresponding to 4.4 % of the disk mass.

We made several different simulations by varying the input parameters for the disk and the bulge. Depending on the input parameters, the simulations produce bar and/or spiral structures or only axi-symmetric structure like rings. We are primary interested in the qualitative results. Thus we present here only barred galaxies and we focus on the development of strong bars. Friedli & Benz (1993, 1995) explore the influence of different initial conditions of the gas, disk, bulge, and halo mass on the formation of bars. For this reason, we concentrate on two basic experiments which differ in the input parameters (see Table 1).

Model	I	II
Mass in $10^{10} M_{\odot}$:		
- dark-halo mass M_h	9.6	9.6
- disk mass M_d	7.2	7.7
- gas mass M_g	0.4	0.4
- bulge mass M_b	4.8	4.3
- mass ratio $(M_d + M_g)/M_{\text{tot}}$	0.35	0.37
Scale length in kpc:		
- dark halo h	15	15
- bulge b	1.85	2
- disk d	6	6

Table 1. Input parameters for model I and II

3.2. Magnetic field evolution model

The model parameters adopted in the computations are summarised in Table 2. Our experiments are divided into two main groups: magnetic field evolution in a galaxy possessing gravitationally strong (I) and weak (II) bar disturbance (Table 2).

The kinematic evolution of the magnetic field is solved in a 3D rectangular grid of points, where the XY plane is the galactic plane and the Z-axis is the axis of galactic rotation pointing in the northern direction. The rectangular size in the X and Y directions is 30 kpc with the grid interval of 600 pc (51 grid points) or 300 pc (101 grid points), depending on the experiment. The height of the model galaxy is 1 kpc with spatial resolution of 50 pc (21 grid points) (Table 2). The adopted time step is $2 \cdot 10^5$ yr. Computations with a smaller time step, 10^4 yr, give identical results. The input magnetic field configuration is purely axisymmetric, going in the anti-clockwise direction, although a more general structure would be the superposition of several magnetic modes (see Paper I for discussion). The initial field is toroidal, its intensity decreases toward the galaxy center (from $R = 4$ kpc) to avoid numerical instabilities and at radii larger than 4 kpc is taken as a constant. At $R > 12$ kpc it decays exponentially. The z-component is initially zero. A calculation with more realistic seed fields is in preparation. The intensity of the initial field is 10^{-6} G, since fields of this order are widely observed in spiral galaxies and the actual value of the initial field does not change the results (Paper 1). We adopt so called "outflow" boundary conditions (Stone & Norman, 1992). Physically this means that magnetic flux outflow from the galaxy is present. Such boundary conditions result in a strong diminishing of the magnetic energy density during all the experiments. The decrease of magnetic energy is connected with the effective magnetic Reynolds number in the ZEUS code. The magnetic field decay simulations made by Hawley et al. (1996) show that the diffusivity provided only by numerical truncation errors gives Reynolds numbers of order 10^3 and 10^4 , depend-

ing on the grid spacing (Hawley et al., 1996). Our concern is to clarify how the numerical diffusion affects our magnetic simulations. To solve this problem, the calculations for model I are performed for two cases (see Table 2): 51 grid points in the X and Y directions with step size of 600 pc (Ia) and 101 grid points with spacing 300 pc (Ib). Both experiments are made with no additional diffusion ($\eta = 0$) to check how the grid spacing effects the truncation.

Fig. 1. a: Model I at time step $8 \cdot 10^8$ yr. The upper picture shows the total gas (right) and 9500 stellar (left) particles of the $x - y$ plane. The lower picture shows the belonging $y - z$ plane of the simulation.

We adopt a diffusion coefficient η based on the turbulent motions of the interstellar gas. The value of η changes from 10^{26} cm²/s (models Ic and IIb) by $3.6 \cdot 10^{26}$ cm²/s (Ie) to 10^{27} cm²/s (Id) and is two or three-dimensional (see the discussion below). The computations can last no longer than about $6 \cdot 10^8$ yr, because of the significant decrease of magnetic energy due to numerical dissipation and the outflow boundary conditions. In order to check late as well as early epochs of the velocity field evolution the calculation are performed from $7 \cdot 10^8$ to about 10^9 yr for model I and from $2 \cdot 10^8$ to about $8 \cdot 10^8$ yr for model II. The computations have also been done for two dimensional diffusion working only in the XY plane (Table 2, Id and Ie) to study the possibility of much smaller magnetic dissipation in the Z direction than in the galactic plane. The diffusion coefficient value in this case is 10^{27} cm²/s (Id) or $3.6 \cdot 10^{26}$ cm²/s (Ie).

The choice of two diffusive models needs some more explanation. In our philosophy, the magnetic field evolution is a direct consequence of the dynamical instabilities

Fig. 1. b: Model I at $8.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr**Fig. 1. d:** Model I at 10^9 yr

Model	$dx(=dy)$ pc	dz pc	$N_x \cdot N_y \cdot N_z$	η cm^2/s
Ia	600	50	$51 \cdot 51 \cdot 21$	0
Ib	300	50	$101 \cdot 101 \cdot 21$	0
Ic	600	50	$51 \cdot 51 \cdot 21$	10^{26}
Id	600	50	$51 \cdot 51 \cdot 21$	10^{27} planar
Ie	300	50	$101 \cdot 101 \cdot 21$	$3.6 \cdot 10^{26}$ planar
IIa	600	50	$51 \cdot 51 \cdot 21$	0
IIb	600	50	$51 \cdot 51 \cdot 21$	10^{26}

Table 2. The magnetic field evolution model parameters

scale λ_c and velocity dispersion v_c can be defined with the critical value $Q = 1$ (Lesch 1993)

$$\lambda_c = \frac{2\pi^2 G \Sigma}{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad v_c = \frac{\pi G \Sigma}{\kappa}. \quad (3)$$

Fig. 1. c: Model I at $9 \cdot 10^8$ yr

driven by non-axisymmetric perturbations of the gravitational potential. The molecular clouds are very sensitive to such perturbations. The formation of the clouds also needs an instability, which produces the local gravitational collapse. In disk systems, such a local process can be described by a global stability criterion, depending on the disk rotation, i.e. the epicyclic frequency and the surface mass density Σ (Binney and Tremaine 1987). In terms of the Q -value (see Eq. 1) the condition for axisymmetric collapse can be rewritten as $Q < 1$ and a typical collapse

As an initial condition we assume that the disk already contains molecular clouds. That is, the disk is kept on the border of gravitational instability, creating a cloudy medium with both cloud sizes and separation of order λ_c . These clouds should provide the angular momentum transport by a two dimensional random walk with a step length λ_c and velocity v_c , i.e. the viscosity is (Lin and Pringle 1987)

$$\nu \sim \lambda_c v_c \sim \lambda_c^2 \Omega(R). \quad (4)$$

We note that from the onset condition $Q < 1$, one can define a critical surface mass density Σ_c . If the theory is

Fig. 2. a: Simulation for model II at time step $2 \cdot 10^8$ yr (see caption Fig. 1a).

Fig. 2. b: Model II at $3 \cdot 10^8$ yr

correct then for $\Sigma > \Sigma_c$, cloud formation and star formation should start. Indeed, Kennicutt (1989) and Elmegreen et al. (1994) found that in disk galaxies of all Hubble types, the size of star forming regions is of the order of λ_c , and that the star formation starts at a radius where $\Sigma \sim \Sigma_c$. They concluded that the star forming threshold is associated with the onset of the large-scale gravitational instability in the galactic gas disks.

Thus, the gravitational disk-instability may serve as the ultimate pool for turbulence in the interstellar medium. We take the gravitational viscosity equivalent to the magnetic diffusivity. According to Eq. 4 a typical galactic disk model gives a characteristic value of

$$\nu \sim 10^{26} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda}{100 \text{ pc}} \right]^2 \left[\frac{\Omega}{10^{-15} \text{ s}^{-1}} \right] \quad (5)$$

(Lesch 1993). Since the cloud formation is restricted to the disk, the diffusivity acts only radially in the disk plane, provided the disk activity is low, so that no considerable gas flow into the halo is expected. This is obviously the case in most disk galaxies, which show no extended radio halos (Dumke et al. 1995).

4. Results

4.1. Model I

During the first time steps the disk exhibits a strong spiral structure.

Since the stars are collision-free the stellar spiral arms are much broader than the gaseous spirals. The winding of spiral arms can lead to the formation of pseudo-ring

Fig. 2. c: Model II at $5 \cdot 10^8$ yr

structures (Friedli & Benz 1993) which is seen in the very outer part of the disk.

After $3 \cdot 10^8$ yr a 3-armed spiral structure appears. The mass transport, initiated by the spiral arms, leads to a strong mass concentration in the central region, which changes the behaviour of the disk. First, the mode changes into a 2 armed spiral and few time steps later the mass concentration allows the formation of a very massive and long bar. This bar reaches its maximal strength within a

Fig. 3. a: Magnetic field vectors (left) and intensity of magnetic field (gray plot, right) in the galactic plane for the model Ib with no magnetic diffusion at $t = 8 \cdot 10^8$ yr (top) and at $t = 8.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr (bottom)

few time steps. After $8 \cdot 10^8$ yr the bar is 25 kpc long and ended at the bar-corotation radius (12.5 kpc) (see Fig. 1a).

The gas bar is very thin in comparison to the stellar one (see also the simulation CSG1 from Combes & Elmegreen 1993). During the bar evolution a 2 armed spiral mode is excited in the outer disk radii is starting at the bar-corotation radius.

The stars are collision-free so their Q-parameter is high. Therefore the stellar disk is thicker (dynamically hotter) than the gas disk, which can be seen in the $y-z$ diagram (see Fig. 1). The evolution of the stellar disk is very similar to the simulation made by Gerin et al. 1990. We found the same peanuts shape bulge in the $y-z$ diagram, which is formed due to the bar formation.

The bar pattern speed decreases slowly (Fig. 1b and c), but the bar is visible until the end of our simulation ($1.4 \cdot 10^9$ yr) (Fig. 1d). We present here the same time steps of the dynamic evolution as we show for magnetic field experiment (see Sect. 4.3).

4.2. Model II

Initially this model is similar to the case I. A spiral structure appears earlier in the disk than in model I, (after $2 \cdot 10^8$ yr) (see Fig. 2a). the arms are more open than in the first simulation (Fig. 2b). The mass concentration in the inner disk is higher than in model I. For example after

Fig. 3. b: Magnetic field vectors (left) and intensity of magnetic field (gray plot, right) in the galactic plane for the model Ib with no magnetic diffusion at $t = 9 \cdot 10^8$ yr (top) and at $t = 9.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr (bottom)

$9 \cdot 10^8$ yr model II has accumulated 30% more mass in the inner 1 kpc than model I.

After $4 \cdot 10^8$ yr a bar is formed in the disk. This bar increases its length during the next 10 time steps (see Fig. 2c). The bar is less massive and shorter than in the first simulation and has its longest extend (20 kpc) after $6 \cdot 10^8$ yr. In the outer part of the disk a two armed spiral pattern is situated like in the simulation made by Gerin et al. 1990 . This has been verified by an analysis of the potential via FFT like Sparke & Sellwood (1985). The ring-like structure at the bar belongs to orbit families at Lagrange point (Contopoulos & Papayannopoulos 1980).

In the z-direction one can see again that the gas disk in the inner part gets thinner during this evolution. In the

outer part of the disk $r > 30$ kpc the disk gets thicker (3 kpc, Fig. 2 y-z diagram).

During the next time steps the bar shrinks and more mass is concentrated at the bar. We follow the bar evolution for $1 \cdot 10^9$ yr.

4.3. The 3D evolution of magnetic field: model I

The calculations with the strong bar disturbance (model I) have been done for five sub-cases: Ia and Ib with no magnetic diffusion (with 51 and 101 grid points in the X and Y axes to check the rate of numerical dissipation), Ic with the diffusion in three dimensions ($\eta = 10^{26} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, 51 grid points), Id with the planar diffusion (only in the XY

Fig. 4. a: Magnetic field vectors (left) and intensity of magnetic field (gray plot, right) in the galactic plane for the model Id with the 2D magnetic diffusion at $t = 8.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr (top) and at $t = 9 \cdot 10^8$ yr (bottom)

plane, $\eta = 10^{27} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, 51 grid points) and Ie with the planar diffusion ($\eta = 3.6 \cdot 10^{26} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, 101 grid points). The results concerning the evolution of a magnetic field structure are discussed, as an example, for the case Ib and Id. The rest of computations for the model I are presented in the discussion about magnetic energy density time evolution, later in this paragraph. In Fig. 1a-d the distribution of the stellar and gaseous disk for the model I is presented for the same evolutionary stages. We can see that the whole galactic structure is clearly visible for stars and for gas. The system rotates in the anti-clockwise direction. The evolution of magnetic field for the case Ib is presented in Fig. 3a (top) for $8 \cdot 10^8$ yr, where the rotation of completely frozen-in magnetic field, which follows the motions of gas

is shown. The magnetic field lines are aligned with the bar and spiral arms, however in the inner layers of both arms a small reversals of magnetic field vectors (shown in the galactic plane) could be seen (Fig. 3a, top-left). The main magnetic arms are distributed slightly outside the main molecular arms. The magnetic intensity distribution in the galactic plane (Fig. 3a top-right, gray plot) shows that the magnetic spirals, as well as spiral arms from molecular clouds do not form a single, well described feature. The magnetic arms are dispersed into two, three parts, where the direction of vectors could be opposite to the initial field configuration. There could be also seen magnetic bridges between two closely distributed magnetic arms (Fig. 3a, top-right). The magnetic field vectors

Fig. 4. b: Magnetic field vectors (left) and intensity of magnetic field (gray plot, right) in the galactic plane for the model Id with the 2D magnetic diffusion at $t = 9.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr

start to be mixed at the ends of the bar (Fig. 3a, top-left). For $8.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr (Fig. 3a, bottom) the regions, where field direction changes abruptly, are also present. The magnetic field configuration begins to be more complicated than the velocity field in the same moment of time, what is present also in the magnetic evolution performed in the 2D experiment (Otmianowska-Mazur et al. 1995). The regions with magnetic vector reversal are distributed in the inner layers of the magnetic arms (Fig. 3a, bottom-left). The magnetic intensity maxima are visible again in the spiral arms and along the bar (Fig. 3a, bottom-right) and the reversal regions show significantly lower intensity. For $9 \cdot 10^8$ yr (Fig. 3b, top) the rotation of the structure follows, the galaxy rotates once, field is more and more twisted and two additional spiral arms, going in the opposite direction than main spirals, form. The magnetic strength, as it is seen in Fig. 3b top-right (gray plot), is highest in the dynamical structure, however regions with reversals are here better visible than for $t = 8.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr, especially for the upper magnetic arm. The last graph for $9.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr presents regions where even three reversals of magnetic field vectors could be seen (Fig. 3b, bottom-left). The magnetic configuration shows two arms in the upper part of the figure with opposite vector direction. The intensity of the arm going according to the input magnetic direction is certainly higher, which is visible in the magnetic intensity gray plot (Fig. 3b, bottom-right). In the lower part of Fig. 3b, bottom-left, only one magnetic arm is visible with normally directed vectors; the second arm shows opposite direction. The magnetic evolution with high Reynolds numbers shows that the influence of a dynamical galactic

evolution on a magnetic field history is much more complicated than we expected. The magnetic field configuration, as well as the velocity field, rotates in the anti-clockwise direction in the whole evolutionary time. The numerical simulations also present that the magnetic field vectors are mixed at both ends of a bar (e.g. Fig. 3a, bottom-left). The analysis of gas density maxima distributions shows that maxima of magnetic intensity are distributed slightly outside the molecular spirals.

The presence of the magnetic diffusion changes the picture significantly (case Id, Fig. 4). For the presentation we choose the case with the highest value of the coefficient $\eta = 10^{27} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$. At the first evolutionary stages the magnetic field structure is not influenced strongly by the diffusion. The situation changes for $8.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr (Fig. 4a, top), when the difference between configurations with and without dissipation becomes extremely large. Figure 4a shows that the magnetic structure in the galactic plane is not so aligned with the bar as for the model Ib (Fig. 3a, bottom). The magnetic arms are much broader and big parts of them are completely dispersed. The main magnetic arm which survives is that one with magnetic vectors directed opposite to the initial direction of the magnetic field (Fig. 4a, top) and is certainly not connected with dynamical structure of the model galaxy. For $9 \cdot 10^8$ yr the magnetic intensity maxima are again more dissipated, leaving only two arms with opposite directions of the vectors (Fig. 4a, bottom) and with similar intensity (Fig. 4a, right) and rather weak magnetic arm on the left-hand side of the figure. Figure 4b shows magnetic intensity (right) and magnetic field vectors (both in the galactic plane) (left)

for $9.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr. At this stage of evolution the magnetic energy density is extremely low due to very strong diffusion. Almost the whole structure is dispersed, however in the upper part of the figure there could be seen two magnetic arms with the field reversal. The numerical experiment with the high value of the diffusion coefficient results in much more smoothed magnetic structures (Fig. 4) than for the simulations Ib (Fig. 3). The intensity of regions, where magnetic vectors are distributed randomly, decreases fast and causes higher contrast between smoothed and random vector areas (Fig. 4).

Fig. 6. a: The topolines of the magnetic field component B_z at $t = 9.0 \cdot 10^8$ yr in the galactic plane for the model Ib.

Fig. 5. The topolines of the velocity field intensity and magnetic intensity as a gray plot at $t = 9 \cdot 10^8$ yr for the model Ib with no magnetic diffusion.

In order to compare the structure of the magnetic field with the velocity field intensity Fig. 5 presents the topolines of the velocity field intensity over the magnetic intensity gray-plots for the case without the diffusion (model Ib, $t = 9 \cdot 10^8$ yr). The basic velocity minimum is situated in the galactic center, then two maxima are visible under and above the galactic bar. The magnetic maxima connected with the bar coincide to some extent with the velocity maxima, however the magnetic spirals are distributed slightly outward from the galactic center. The spiral arms are not observed in the topolines map, which means that velocity field gradients connected with them are not high.

The magnetic configuration is examined not only for the galactic plane but also for levels above and under the XY plane. We have found that the magnetic field forms the configuration depending on the z coordinate. In Fig. 6a

Fig. 6. b: The same as in Fig. 6a but 350 pc above the galactic plane.

the topolines of the B_z component for the galactic plane (level=11) at time step $9 \cdot 10^8$ yr is presented for the experiment Ib. In Fig. 6b and c we present parallel cuts of these B_z component 350 pc above (level=4) and under (level=17) the galactic plane. Comparing Fig 6a with Fig. 6b it is visible that the magnetic field disappears in certain regions. Above the galactic plane the situation is just opposite (Fig. 6c). Regions vanishing at level=4 now are clearly visible, but a few next regions disappear. The edge-on-view of the model galaxy would give different structures above and under the galactic plane.

The maps of the topolines of the B_z component (Fig. 6) inform us also that the magnetic field lines wave in the Z direction going along the spiral arms and bar. The minima and maxima of the B_z component go one by one along these structures changing their sign from plus to minus (Fig. 6). The amplitude of these waves is not high - the value of B_z is of one order smaller than the value of B_x and B_y , but such waving is visible in most time steps of the experiments with and without diffusion.

Figure 7 presents the time evolution of magnetic energy density (E) normalised to the initial energy density E_0 for the five experiments which have been performed for the model I galaxy. The figure legend is in agreement with the description of the model I in Table 2. In order to solve the problem of the numerical diffusion two experiments with no additional magnetic dissipation have been made. In the case Ia two times larger distance between grid points have been applied. The simulations Ib result in significantly higher increase of magnetic energy density than for the case with larger step. It means that numerical dissipation due to truncation errors is higher for the bigger grid interval. We estimate that in the case Ia the numerical diffusion is of order 10^{26} cm²/s and about one order less for the case Ib. For both simulations the growth of magnetic energy density is present, however the increase in the experiment Ib is certainly higher. The initial three maxima of E are followed by quick decaying of its value due to the effects of numerical diffusion. The magnetic energy evolution for the model Ib could be compared with the case Ie with the same number of grid points and grid interval. The simulations Ie have been done for the planar coefficient of diffusion $\eta = 3.6 \cdot 10^{26}$ cm²/s. Figure 7 shows that a small increase of magnetic energy density is still present in this case, however after very short evolutionary time ($8 \cdot 10^8$ yr) a fast decreasing appears. The models Ic and Id are prepared for 51 grid points in the X and Y directions and could be compared with the case Ia. The simulations Ic and Id have been done for three-dimensional diffusion $\eta = 10^{26}$ cm²/s and for the planar dissipation 10^{27} cm²/s, respectively. For both cases the magnetic energy density E simply decreases with the evolutionary time due to the numerical and physical diffusion. The diminishing of E is faster for the computations Id with the biggest magnitude of the diffusion coefficient. The decaying of E is connected with magnetic energy outflow through X and Y borders of

Fig. 6. c: The same as in Fig. 6a but 350 pc under the galactic plane.

Fig. 7. Time evolution of the magnetic energy density E normalised to the initial energy density E_0 for the following models: Ia and Ib with no magnetic diffusion for 51 and 101 grid points, respectively, Ic with 3D magnetic diffusion coefficient 10^{26} cm²/s, Id with planar diffusion coefficient 10^{27} cm²/s and Ie with planar diffusion coefficient $3.6 \cdot 10^{26}$ cm²/s.

Fig. 8. a: Magnetic field vectors (left) and intensity of magnetic field (gray plot, right) in the galactic plane for the model IIa with no magnetic diffusion at $t = 3 \cdot 10^8$ yr (top) and at $t = 4.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr (bottom)

the model galaxy, while for the three-dimensional diffusion (Ic) outflow is present mainly in the Z direction.

The maxima of the energy density evolution in case Ia and Ib can be clearly identified as driven by the different stages of bar evolution. At timestep 76 the bar starts to grow, reaching its maximum strength and length at timestep 81 and then it shrinks slowly (up to timestep 90) followed by an accelerated shrinkage.

All of our simulated models result in the decay of magnetic energy density due to the numerical and/or physical diffusion. Each model suggests the amplification of magnetic field in the arm due to compressional and shearing motions of gas induced by the bar and arms. The models with the diffusion working mainly in the XY direction (model Ie) show much slower decline of the energy density.

4.4. The 3D evolution of magnetic field: model II

The calculations of magnetic field evolution with gravitationally weak bar disturbance (model II) have been performed for earlier time stages than in the model I.

In order to illustrate high dynamics of the simulation process, the evolution of stars and molecular clouds is presented in (Fig. 2a-c) for three evolutionary stages: $t = 2 \cdot 10^8$ yr, $t = 3 \cdot 10^8$ yr and $t = 5 \cdot 10^8$ yr. The magnetic field behaviour in this case is shown for later moments of time (Fig. 8). Figure 8 presents the gray plots of the intensity and the vectors of the magnetic field in the galactic plane, for the model IIa (see Table 2), without the magnetic diffusion. Figure 8a, top, corresponds to the evolutionary stage of $t = 3 \cdot 10^8$ yr, Fig. 8a, bottom, to $t = 4.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr, and Fig. 8b to $t = 5 \cdot 10^8$ yr. Al-

Fig. 8. b: Magnetic field vectors (left) and intensity of magnetic field (gray plot, right) in the galactic plane for the model IIa with no magnetic diffusion at $t = 5 \cdot 10^8$ yr

ready for the first time of evolution, the initially circular magnetic field configuration is transformed into a structure with a bar and spiral arms. The direction of vectors is mainly anti-clockwise, however in the inner region of the upper arm (Fig. 8a, top-left) the small area with vector reversal appears. The magnetic intensity gray-plot (Fig. 8a, top-right) shows nonuniform distribution of the magnetic arms, which consist of two, three stripes due to a similar behaviour of the dynamical arms (Fig. 2b). According to dynamical features, after $1.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr (Fig. 8a, bottom) the magnetic arms become more narrow. The intensity along the arm (Fig. 8a, bottom-right) is still nonuniform forming some kind of bifurcation regions. The magnetic field waves in the XY plane in the lower magnetic arm (Fig. 8a, bottom-left). The region with opposite direction of magnetic vectors inside the upper spiral arm is again visible, however its intensity is rather weak (Fig. 8a, bottom-right). The magnetic field vector mixing is present in both time steps in Fig. 8a. Figure 8b illustrates that magnetic field structure is different from the molecular gas distribution (Fig. 2c). In the lower part of the figure the magnetic bridge between two arms is present. The intensity map (Fig. 8b, left) presents that the magnetic arms are nonuniform and wave in the XY plane.

The magnetic time evolution of energy density E normalised to the initial energy density E_0 is illustrated in Figure 9. The simulation for the model IIa results in the initial growing of E . After two close maxima, E decreases with the evolutionary time due to numerical dissipation. In the case IIb with 3D magnetic diffusion the magnetic energy density simply diminishes with time due to physical as well as numerical diffusion.

Calculations for model II result also in magnetic field mixing and waving of the magnetic lines of force in the Z direction.

The magnetic field diminishing in the central part in all experiments is connected with assumed lower magnetic strength in this region and with numerical dissipation due to truncation errors.

5. Conclusions and discussion

The evolution of the large-scale galactic magnetic field affected by non-axisymmetric dynamical structure like spirals and bars has been demonstrated using 3D numerical simulations. The problem is solved with three-dimensional, fully dynamical velocity field evolving in time, resulting from N-body particle hydrodynamics, as the input parameter. The calculations have been performed for two dynamical experiments with strong bar and clearly seen spiral structure at the end of a bar. The basic configuration for the initial magnetic field is axisymmetric structure. The case of the uniform input magnetic field has been demonstrated in Paper I and missed as not interesting in the actual project.

During the first time steps of magnetic field evolution the lines of force follow closely the non-axisymmetric dynamical structures. After about $5 \cdot 10^7$ yr the situation changes and the magnetic fields become more complicated than the velocity field at the given time step. The regions with magnetic vectors going opposite to the main magnetic spirals are visible. This fact is connected with the molecular gas flows in the dynamical structure. Such reversals could probably explain the observed reversals of magnetic field vectors in our Galaxy (Rand and Lyne

1994). Further evolution shows more and more complicated structures of magnetic lines of force and after the whole evolutionary time the magnetic field is still aligned with the bar and spirals and the regions with reversals are still present. In the simulation with planar diffusion they are still seen after $9.5 \cdot 10^8$ yr.

preparation. The mechanism of magnetic field tangling at the ends of a bar is normally explained by enhanced turbulent motions of molecular clouds (e.g. Neininger et al. 1991).

The non-symmetrical effect of the levels from above and under the galactic plane shows that the magnetised galactic disk is not uniform along the Z-axis. The magnetic field changes its configuration from the galactic plane to the z-edges of the disk. The magnetic field structure is also highly different in the part above and under the galactic plane. There is certainly no mirror symmetry according to this plane as it is normally used in a number of simulations (e.g. Brandenburg et al. 1992).

The mechanism of magnetic field waving in the Z-axis constitutes the next interesting result from our simulations. The B_z magnetic component obtained from our calculations is of one order smaller than B_x and B_y . The magnetic field observations show that the magnetic field in the direction perpendicular to the galactic plane is not high (Dumke et al. 1995). Such behaviour of magnetic lines of force has been found observationally in M31 (Beck et al., 1989) and probably could help to initiate development of the Parker instability in the spiral arms and bar (Hanasz and Lesch 1993).

Finally, we discuss the time-evolution of the magnetic energy density $W_B = E/E_0$. We have already mentioned that the decline of W_B is mainly related to the numerical diffusion which could be even of 10^{26} cm²/s order in the case of big grid interval.

Our simulations clearly indicate the relation between the non-axisymmetric dynamical perturbations and the magnetic field evolution as long as the diffusion is not too strong. Any local deviation from the global velocity field is immediately visible in the magnetic field strength and structure. We emphasize that in case Ia and Ib the different stages of bar dynamics can be found in the evolution of the magnetic field energy density W_B . Growth of a bar up to its maximum strength (time step 76 to 81) and its subsequent shrinkage (slow up to time step 90 faster for time steps > 90) can be easily related with the maxima of the energy density time profile. The same holds for model II. After a first maximum is reached ($3.2 \cdot 10^8$ yr), which is correlated with the appearance of the 2 arm spirals, W_B drops a little and then increases again. The second maximum appears when the bar reaches his maximum length and strength ($3.9 \cdot 10^8$ yr). After $t = 4 \cdot 10^8$ yr W_B decreases due to numerical diffusion connected with the truncation errors.

Obviously, the magnetic field reacts sensitively to variations in the gas velocity, triggered by dynamical processes. These variations can be seen from Fig. 10a and b where we plotted the surface mass density Σ and the gas rotation curves for both models at time steps where in both models a bar has finished its growth. The differences in the dynamical behaviour of both models are obvious. In model II there is much more structure in the

Fig. 9. Time evolution of the magnetic energy density E normalised to the initial energy density E_0 for the model II with no magnetic diffusion (dashed line) and with 3D diffusion (solid line)

Moreover the magnetic field arms are not single features. They form two or three stripes which change their shape during the evolution. The magnetic intensity in arms is not uniform creating some kind of bifurcations along the arms and bar. The presented simulations resulted also in the waving in the XY plane of magnetic field vectors (Fig. 8b, left).

The regions with magnetic field mixing are present at both ends of the bar. This fact results from the rotation of the dynamical structure. The end of the bar is the place where the field aligned along two opposite sides meets (see Figs. 3b, bottom & 4b). The magnetic field vectors have opposite directions going along two bar sides and during rotation they meet at the bar ends.

Both obtained facts: magnetic lines alignment along the spiral arms and mixing of the magnetic vectors at the bar ends could explain the characteristic radio features observed in the barred galaxy M83 (Neininger et al. 1991), however the problem needs further study. The model of polarised emission with the telescope beam and the Faraday rotation for resulting magnetic field structures is in

Fig. 10. Comparison between the two bar models. The left panel shows the surface density Σ , the right panel the rotation velocity v_ϕ of two models

rotation curve and a higher central mass concentration. Dynamically speaking model II is more “active”.

This enhanced activity is easy to understand, since the gas constant of the simulated galaxy is higher in model II. Dynamical disk instabilities rely on the ratio of gas mass to total mass, and a higher gas contents leads to a stronger dynamical disk activity.

Acknowledgements. We thank Prof R. Wielebinski for financial support and the hospitality of Max-Planck-Institute für Radioastronomie in Bonn. SvL thanks Prof. F. Combes for letting us her code. KO wishes also to express her gratitude to Marek Urbanik & Marian Soida for their valuable advices during this work. Calculations have been supported by the Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH and ACK CYFRONET-KRAKÓW. This work was partly supported by the grant from Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN), grant no. PB/0578/P03/95/09.

References

- Bayly B., Childress, S., 1989, *GAFD*, 49, 23.
 Beck R., Loiseau N., Hummel E. et al., 1989, *A&A*, 222,58.
 Beck R., Hoernes P.,1996, *Nature* 376, 47
 Beck R., Brandenburg A., Moss D. et al. 1996, *ARAA* in press
 Binney J.J., Tremaine S., 1987 “Galactic Dynamics”, Princeton University Press.
 Brandenburg A., Donner K.J., Moss D. et al., 1992, *A&A* 259, 453
 Casoli F., Combes F. 1982, *A&A* **110**, 287
 Chiba M., Lesch H. 1994, *A&A* 284, 731
 Combes F., Elmegreen D.M., 1993 *A&A* 271, 391
 Combes F., Gérin M. 1985, *A&A* **150**, 327
 Combes F., Durpraz C., Gerin M. 1990a, in “Dynamics and Interactions of Galaxies”, ed. R. Wielen, Springer, p. 205
 Contopoulos G., Papayannopoulos Th., 1980 *A&A* 92, 33
 Dumke M., Krause M., Wielebinski R., Klein U., 1995, *A&A* 302, 691
 Ehle M., Beck R., 1993, *A&A* 273, 45
 Ehle M., Beck R., Haznes R.F., et al., 1996, *A&A* 306, 73
 Elmegreen D.M., Elmegreen B.G., Lang C., Stephens C. 1994, *ApJ* 425, 57
 Friedli D., Benz W., 1993 *A&A* 268, 65
 Friedli D., Benz W., 1995 *A&A* 301, 649
 Gerin M., Cpmbs F., Athanassoula E., 1990, *A&A* 230, 37
 Hanasz M., Lesch H., 1993 *A&A* 278, 561
 Hawley J.F., Gammie C.F., Balbus S.A., 1996, *ApJ* 464, 690
 Hohl F., 1971, *ApJ* 168, 343
 Jog C., Solomon P.M., 1984, *ApJ* 276, 114
 Jog C., 1992, *ApJ* 390, 378
 Kennicutt R.C., 1989, *ApJ* 344, 685
 Krause M., Beck R., Hummel E., 1989, *A&A* 217, 17.
 Krause M., 1993, in “The Cosmic Dynamo”, eds.: F. Krause, K-H. Rüdler, G. Rüdiger, IAU Symp. 157, Kluwer, Dordrecht, p.305.
 Kronberg P.P., 1994, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 57, 325
 Lesch H., 1993, in “The Cosmic Dynamo, eds.: F. Krause, K-H. Rüdler, G. Rüdiger, IAU Symp. 157, Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 395.
 Lin D.N.C., Pringle J.E., 1987, *MNRAS* 225, 607
 Neininger N., Klein U., Beck R., Wielebinski R., 1991, *Nat* 352, 781.
 Neininger N., 1992, *A&A* 263, 30
 Neininger N., Horellou, C., 1996 in “Polarimetry of the Interstellar Medium”, ed.: W. Roberge & D. Whittet, San Francisco: Astr. Soc. Pacific, in press.
 Otmianowska-Mazur K., Urbanik M., Terech A., 1992, *GAFD* 66, 209
 Otmianowska-Mazur K., Chiba M., 1995, *A&A* 301,41 (Paper I)
 Rand R.J., Lyne A.G., 1994, *MNRAS* 268, 497
 Sellwood J.A., Carlberg R.G., 1984, *ApJ* 282, 61
 Soida M., Urbanik M., Beck R., 1996, *A&A*, in press).
 Sparke L.S., Sellwood J.A. 1987, *MNRAS* 225, 653
 Stone J.M., Norman M.L., 1992, *ApJS* 80, 791

Toomre A., 1963, ApJ 138, 385

Toomre A., 1964, ApJ 139, 1217

Wielebinski R., Krause F., 1993, MNRAS 128,19

von Linden S., Lesch H., Combes. F, 1996, in press