

A varying kHz peak separation in 4U 1608–52

M. Méndez^{1,2}, M. van der Klis¹, J. van Paradijs^{1,3}, W. H. G. Lewin⁴,
B. A. Vaughan⁵, E. Kuulkers⁶, W. Zhang⁷, F. K. Lamb⁸, D. Psaltis⁹

¹*Astronomical Institute “Anton Pannekoek”, University of Amsterdam and Center for High-Energy Astrophysics, Kruislaan 403, NL-1098 SJ Amsterdam, the Netherlands*

²*Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Paseo del Bosque S/N, 1900 La Plata, Argentina*

³*Physics Department, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA*

⁴*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Center for Space Research, Room 37-627, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

⁵*Space Radiation Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, MC 220-47, Pasadena CA 91125, USA*

⁶*Astrophysics, University of Oxford, Nuclear and Astrophysics Laboratory, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom*

⁷*Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA*

⁸*Departments of Physics and Astronomy, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA*

⁹*Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

Abstract.

Using a new technique to improve the sensitivity to weak Quasi-Periodic Oscillations (QPO) we discovered a new QPO peak at about 1100 Hz in the March 1996 outburst observations of 4U 1608–52, simultaneous with the $\sim 600 - 900$ Hz peak previously reported from these data. The frequency separation between the upper and the lower QPO peak varied significantly from 232.7 ± 11.5 Hz on March 3, to 293.1 ± 6.6 Hz on March 6. This is the first case of a variable kHz peak separation in an atoll source.

INTRODUCTION

Observations with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) have so far led to the discovery of kilohertz quasi-periodic oscillations (kHz QPOs) in about a dozen low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXB; see van der Klis 1997 [1] for a review). In most cases the power spectra of these sources show twin kHz peaks that move up and down in frequency together, keeping a constant separation (e.g., Wijnands et al. 1997 [2]). In several sources a third peak has been found near a frequency equal to

the separation of the twin peaks, or twice that value, suggesting a beat-frequency interpretation for the kHz QPOs [3].

Here we present the results of the analysis of two RXTE observations of the atoll source 4U 1608–52 on 1996 March 3 and 6, during an outburst. Using a new technique to increase the sensitivity to weak QPOs, we detected a second peak at ~ 1100 Hz, simultaneous with the $\sim 600 - 900$ Hz peak discovered by Berger et al. (1996) [4] in the same data.

DETECTION OF THE SECOND QPO

We divided the high-time resolution data in segments of 64 sec and calculated a power spectrum for each segment. During both observations the strong peak previously reported by Berger et al. (1996) [4] was well detected in each segment. Its frequency varied from ~ 820 to ~ 890 Hz on March 3, and between ~ 650 and ~ 870 Hz on March 6. We fitted the centroid frequency of the strong peak in the power spectrum of each segment, and we then shifted the frequency scale of each individual spectrum to a frame of reference where the position of the strong peak was constant in time. Finally, we averaged these shifted power spectra.




FIGURE 1. Power spectra for the observations of 1996 March 3 (left panel) and March 6 (right panel). The frequency of the strong peak was arbitrarily shifted to be the same in both power spectra (see text for details). On March 3 the data cover the full PCA energy band (2 – 60 keV), while on March 6 only data from 2 to 12.7 keV were available.

If the frequency separation between the two peaks were constant, then this “shift and average” procedure to compensate for the frequency change of the strong peak would also compensate for the frequency change of the weak peak, optimizing chances to detect it. The improvement in the sensitivity comes from the fact that the signal-to-noise ratio S/N of a QPO peak of given rms amplitude is inversely

proportional to the square root of its width [5], and the motion of the peak, if uncorrected, makes it much wider, reducing S/N .

In Fig. 1 we show both power spectra calculated using the above method. In both cases a second QPO peak can be seen at a frequency $\sim 200 - 300$ Hz above that of the strong peak of Berger et al. (1996) [4]. We fitted each power spectrum with a function consisting of a constant level, representing the Poisson noise, the Very Large Event contribution [6,7], and two Lorentzians. The 1σ error bars from the fits indicate the second peak to be 4.3σ and 4.4σ significant on March 3, and March 6, respectively. An F -test to the χ^2 of the fits with and without this peak yields a probability of 7.1×10^{-10} on March 3, and 5.3×10^{-8} on March 6, for the null hypothesis that the peak is not present in the data. Considering the number of trials implied by the number of independent frequencies analyzed [5], these probabilities increase to 1.4×10^{-7} and 1.1×10^{-5} , respectively.

Interestingly, $\Delta\nu$ changed from 232.7 ± 11.5 Hz on March 3 to 293.1 ± 6.6 Hz on March 6, a change of 60.4 ± 13.3 Hz. We tested the significance of this result by fitting both power spectra simultaneously, but forcing the distance between the peaks to be the same in both of them. Applying an F -test to the χ^2 of this fit and the fit where all parameters were free we get a probability of 2.4×10^{-3} for the hypothesis that the peak separation did not change between the two observations: the difference in the frequency separation between March 3 and 6 is significant at the 3.2σ level.

In Fig. 2 we plot QPO frequency versus count rate for 1996 March 3, 6. In both cases frequency is positively correlated to the source count rate, however there is no simple function that fits both observations simultaneously.




FIGURE 2. The QPO frequency vs. count rate. The data for March 3 and 6 are plotted using filled and open circles, respectively.

A similar effect has been observed when different sources, spanning a very large range of luminosities, are plotted together in a single frequency-luminosity diagram: each source shows a positive correlation, along lines which are more or less parallel (e.g., van der Klis et al. 1997a [8]). A similar behavior as we see in 4U 1608–52 was also observed in 4U 0614+091 [9,10]. The fact that this is observed in individual sources shows that a difference in neutron star properties such as mass or magnetic field strength can not be the full explanation for the differences observed in the frequency-luminosity relations.

CONCLUSIONS

We have found, for the first time, the second peak in 4U 1608–52 expected on the basis of comparison to other kHz QPO sources. We see the separation between the two peaks vary. In the case of Sco X–1, where $\Delta\nu$ also varies [11], it has been argued that the variations can be attributed to near-Eddington accretion. This explanation can not apply to 4U 1608–52, as its luminosity was 1.3×10^{37} erg s⁻¹ and 9.4×10^{36} erg s⁻¹ on March 3 and March 6, respectively [12], less than 10 % of L_{Edd} . The dependence of the QPO frequency on count rate is complex. Although for each individual observation frequency and count rates are correlated, no simple relation fits both observations simultaneously. The frequency of the QPO did not change much while the source count rate dropped by 20 %.

REFERENCES

1. van der Klis, M. 1997, in Proc. of the NATO ASI “The many faces of neutron stars”, Lipari, Italy, in press (astro-ph/9710016)
2. Wijnands, R. A. D., van der Klis, M., van Paradijs, J., Lewin, W. H. G., Lamb, F. K., Vaughan, B. A., & Kuulkers, E. 1997 ApJ, 479, L141
3. Strohmayer, T. E., Zhang, W., Smale, A., Day, C., Swank, J., Titarchuk, L., & Lee, U. 1996, IAU Circ. 6387
4. Berger, M. et al. 1996, ApJ, 469, L13
5. van der Klis, M. 1989, in Timing Neutron Stars, ed. H. Ögelman & E. P. J. van den Heuvel (NATO ASI Ser C262), 27
6. Zhang, W. 1995, XTE/PCA Internal Memo, 5–23–95
7. Zhang, W., Jahoda, K., Swank, J.H., Morgan, E. H., & Giles, A. B. 1995, ApJ, 449, 930
8. van der Klis, M., et al. 1997a, in preparation
9. Ford, E., et al. 1997, ApJ, 475, L123
10. Méndez, M., van der Klis, M., van Paradijs, J., Lewin, W. H. G., Lamb, F. K., Vaughan, B. A., Kuulkers, E., & Psaltis, D. 1997a, ApJ, 485, L37
11. van der Klis, M., Wijnands, R. A. D., Horne, K., & Chen, W. 1997b, ApJ, 481, L97
12. Méndez, M., van der Klis, M., van Paradijs, J., Lewin, W. H. G., Vaughan, B. A., Kuulkers, E., Lamb, F. K., & Psaltis, D. 1997b, ApJ, submitted