

Spectral properties of galaxies in the Stromlo-APM redshift survey: clues on the local star-forming galaxies

Laurence Tresse¹, Steve J. Maddox¹ and Jon Loveday²

¹*Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK*

²*University of Chicago, 5640 S Ellis Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

Abstract. We analyse emission-line properties of the b_J -selected Stromlo-APM spectra ($\langle z \rangle = 0.05$). Because this is a representative sample, we can study the global spectral properties of the local galaxy population. We classify spectra according to their $H\alpha$ emission, which is closely related to massive star formation. This study gives a comparative local point for analysis of more distant surveys. We show that in the local universe, faint, small galaxies are dominated by star formation activity, while bright, large galaxies are more quiescent. Obviously this picture of the local universe is quite different from the distant one, where bright galaxies appear to show a rapidly-increasing activity back in time.

1. The sample

We measured emission lines for 1671 spectra in the Stromlo-APM (SAPM) redshift survey of galaxies with $15 \geq b_J \geq 17.15$ mag, covering 4300 square degrees of the southern sky. Galaxies are at $z < 0.13$, and $\langle z \rangle = 0.051$ (Loveday et al. 1996). These galaxies have been selected randomly at a rate of 1 in 20 from automated plate measurements (APM) scans (Maddox et al. 1990). Spectra were obtained using the Dual Beam Spectrograph equipped with 4 CCDs on the ANU 2.3m telescope. The wavelength coverage is 3700–5000 Å in the blue, and 6300–7600 Å in the red. The dispersion is typically 1 Å per pixel, and using a slit of width 8'' the spectral resolution is 5 Å. We checked that our equivalent widths (EW) are not dependent on the area of each galaxy covered by the slit, which is $\sim 50\%$ on average. Consequently, our results are comparable to integrated spectra of nearby galaxies, or to distant galaxies (Tresse et al. 1998).

2. Emission and absorption $H\alpha$ line galaxies

We find that 62% of galaxies are $H\alpha$ emission-line galaxies (ELG) with $EW(H\alpha) \geq 2$ Å. The remaining are classified as absorption-line galaxies (ALG). The sample is divided into equal numbers of ELG and ALG at $EW(H\alpha) \simeq 5$ Å. EW are not corrected for stellar absorption. When comparing surveys, percentages of ELGs have to be taken with extreme care, since the spectral resolution, the aperture, the magnitude limit, the passband selection, etc. lead to very different percentages. The fraction of ELG depends strongly on the absolute magnitudes,




Figure 1. Top-left panel: $N(M(b_j))$ distributions. Bottom-left panel: Percentage of ELG as a function of $M(b_j)$. Top-right panel: Projected size distributions. Bottom-right panel: Percentage of ELG as a function of size, where size is defined as area brighter than $\mu(b_j) = 25$ mag/arcsec. Key to histograms: whole sample of 1671 galaxies (thick solid line), ELG (thin solid line), ALG (dashed line).

$M(b_j)$, and the size of galaxies (Figure 1). From -23 to -18 mag, or from size 10,000 to 100 kpc^2 , it increases by a factor of 2 or 4, respectively. ELG are more common than ALG in intrinsically faint galaxies, and small systems. This agrees with the general picture where dwarves (faint and small) are more actively forming stars, than their bright counterparts in the local universe. This is a reflection of the difference between ELG and ALG luminosity functions (Loveday et al. 1998). It is also consistent with the “peak” of SFR observed at $z \sim 1 - 2$, since the overall luminosity density is dominated by bright galaxies, which formed most of their stars much earlier than dwarves, which are actively forming stars today.

3. $H\alpha$ emission-line galaxies

The mean and the median $EW(H\alpha)$ ($> 2 \text{ \AA}$) are respectively 19 and 15 \AA in the SAPM. The $H\alpha$ line has the advantage that it is directly correlated to the “instantaneous” star formation rate (SFR), or the time scale since the last burst. Whereas $[O \text{ II}]\lambda 3727$ line depends on the metallicity of the galaxy, and on the hardness of the global ionizing spectrum. $EW(H\alpha)$ is the ratio of the flux originating from massive, young stars (OB type) in H II regions, over the flux from the old stellar population emitting in the rest-frame R passband, which forms the continuum at $H\alpha$. In Figure 2, we show that the fraction of faint ELG ($M(b_j) > -21$) increases as a function of EW . From $EW(H\alpha) = 10$ to 60 \AA (which corresponds to a SFR increasing by a factor ~ 5), the fraction increases by a factor ~ 2 . Another way to look at this is to consider the fraction of high EW




Figure 2. Top-left panel: $EW(H\alpha)$ distributions for all ELG (933) (thick line), for bright ELG (416), $M(b_j) \leq -21$ (thin line), for faint ELG (517), $M(b_j) > -21$ (dashed line). Bottom-left panel: Percentage of faint galaxies as a function of $EW(H\alpha)$. Top-right panel: $M(b_j)$ distributions for all 933 ELG (thick line), for low EW ELG (471), $EW \leq 15 \text{ \AA}$, (thin line), for high EW ELG (462), $EW > 15 \text{ \AA}$, (dashed line). Bottom-right panel: Percentage of high EW ELG as a function of $M(b_j)$.

ELG ($EW(H\alpha) > 15 \text{ \AA}$), which increases at fainter $M(b_j)$. Since B magnitudes are correlated with $H\alpha$ luminosities, the fainter a galaxy, the smaller its $H\alpha$ luminosity is (Tresse & Maddox 1998, and also these Proceedings). So, faint galaxies with high $EW(H\alpha)$, means they are blue galaxies. This corresponds also to the strong correlation between colors and $EW(H\alpha + [N \text{ II}])$ (Kennicutt & Kent 1983). They have a young stellar population, so blue colors, high $H\alpha$ fluxes, and less old stars. Massive, large galaxies, or bright galaxies do not have the strongest $EW(H\alpha)$. The fraction of young stars to old stars is smaller than for faint galaxies, leading to a red continuum, and smaller EW on average. So they are older systems, and less numerous — but they are still contributing to most of the luminosity density in deep surveys. It is now well established that the faint end of the luminosity function of B -selected surveys is dominated by actively star-forming galaxies. This is what we find also in the SAPM (Loveday et al. 1998, $\alpha \approx -1.2$ and -1.3 for $EW(H\alpha) > 5$ and 19 \AA). We note that the overall SAPM luminosity function at $\langle z \rangle = 0.05$ has a shallower slope than the one from the preliminary 2dF data ($\langle z \rangle = 0.1$), which were selected from the same parent catalog as the SAPM (see Maddox, these Proceedings).

4. $H\alpha$ and $[O \text{ II}]$ correlations

The correlation between $EW(H\alpha)$ and $EW([O \text{ II}])$ has been established by Kennicutt 1992 (K92), using a selection of galaxies covering all spectral types. How-




Figure 3. Left-panel: $EW([O II])$ versus $EW(H\alpha)$. Open symbols are AGN-like spectra. The plain line is our relation, the dashed one the K92 one. Right-panel: $EW([O II])$ versus $EW(H\alpha) + 1.33 EW([N II]6583)$. The dashed line shows the K92 relation $EW(OII)=0.4 EW(H\alpha+NII)$. The square symbols are CFRS-12 data at $\langle z \rangle = 0.2$ (Tresse et al. 1996).

ever, K92’s selection is not a truly representative statistical sample of the local universe, unlike our sample. In Figure 3, we find $EW([O II]) = 0.68 EW(H\alpha)$ (K92: 0.61), $EW([O II]) = 0.47 EW(H\alpha + [N II])$ (K92: 0.40). This is consistent with K92’s result given the large scatter observed in both samples. Our scatter is not due to poor signal-to-noise, but reflects certainly different stellar, dust and metal contents sampled. We emphasize that EW measured from the overall galaxy content are likely to be affected by dust. Indeed, recombination emission lines originate from dusty H II regions, where hot stars (OB type) are formed, whereas the continuum at [O II] or $H\alpha$ comes from long-lived stars sitting in less, or non obscured regions. $EW([O II])$ is more affected by the dust than $EW(H\alpha)$. Hence, the absolute EW relation should be in average slightly higher.

References

- Kennicutt, R.C., Kent, S.M. 1983, AJ, 88, 1094
 Kennicutt, R.C. 1992, ApJ, 388, 310 (K92)
 Loveday, J., Peterson, B.A., Maddox, S.J., and Efstathiou, G., 1996, ApJS, 107, 201
 Loveday, J., Tresse, L., Maddox, S.J. 1998 in preparation
 Maddox, S.J., Sutherland, W.J., Efstathiou, G., Loveday, J. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 692
 Tresse, L., Maddox, S.J., Loveday, J. 1998 in preparation
 Tresse, L., Maddox, S.J. 1998, ApJ, 495, March 10 issue (astro-ph/9709240)
 Tresse, L., Rola, C., Hammer, F., Stasińska, G., Le Fèvre, O., Lilly, S.J., Crampton, D. 1996 MNRAS, 281, 847