Parity Violation in Neutrino Transport and the Origin of Pulsar Kicks

Dong Lai

Theoretical Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125; Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 E-mail: dong@spacenet.tn.cornell.edu

and

Yong-Zhong Qian

Physics Department, 161-33, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

ABSTRACT

In proto-neutron stars with strong magnetic fields, the neutrino-nucleon scattering/absorption cross sections depend on the direction of neutrino momentum with respect to the magnetic field axis, a manifestation of parity violation in weak interactions. We study the deleptonization and thermal cooling (via neutrino emission) of proto-neutron stars in the presence of such asymmetric neutrino opacities. Significant asymmetry in neutrino emission is obtained due to multiple neutrino-nucleon scatterings. For an ordered magnetic field threading the neutron star interior, the fractional asymmetry in neutrino emission is about $0.006 (B/10^{14} \text{ G})$, corresponding to a pulsar kick velocity of about $200 (B/10^{14} \text{ G}) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for a total radiated neutrino energy of 3×10^{53} erg.

Subject headings: stars: neutron — pulsars: general — supernovae: general — dense matter — magnetic fields — radiation transfer

1. Introduction

Recent analyses of pulsar proper motion (Lyne & Lorimer 1994; Lorimer et al. 1997; Hansen & Phinney 1997; Cordes & Chernoff 1998), observation of pulsar bow shocks (Cordes et al. 1993), and studies of pulsar-supernova remnant associations (Frail et al. 1994) indicate that supernova explosions are asymmetric and the neutron stars receive large kick velocities at birth (250–500 km s⁻¹ on average, but possibly with a significant population having velocities greater than 1000 km s⁻¹). Compelling evidence for supernova asymmetry also comes from the detection of geodetic precession in PSR 1913+16 (Cordes et al. 1990) and orbital plane precession in the PSR J0045-7319/B star binary (Kaspi et al. 1996). In addition, evolutionary considerations of neutron star binary population also imply the existence of pulsar kicks (e.g., Fryer et al. 1998).

Two classes of mechanisms for the natal kicks of pulsars have been suggested. The first class relies on local hydrodynamic instabilities in the proto-neutron stars (e.g., Burrows et al. 1995; Burrows & Hayes 1996; Janka & Müller 1996) as well as global asymmetric perturbations seeded in the presupernova cores (Goldreich et al. 1996). The asymmetries in matter and temperature distributions lead to asymmetric explosion and/or asymmetric neutrino emission, although the magnitude of the resulting kick velocity is still unclear.

In this *letter*, we focus on the second class of models in which the large pulsar velocities arise from asymmetric neutrino emission induced by strong magnetic fields. Although a number of papers have been written on this subject (Chugai 1984; Dorofeev et al. 1985; Binovatyi-Kogan 1993; Vilenkin 1995; Horowitz & Piekarewicz 1997; Horowitz & Li 1997), they are unsatisfactory for a number of reasons: they either failed to identify the most relevant neutrino emission/interaction processes or the relevant physical conditions in proto-neutron stars, or stopped at estimating the magnetic field effects on neutrino opacities, and none of them treated neutrino transport in any systematic manner. As a result, their estimates of the kick velocity that may be produced are unreliable. We have carried out systematic studies of neutrino transport in strong magnetic fields (Lai & Qian 1998a,b) in order to pin down the magnitude of the magnetic field effects on neutrino transport and pulsar kicks. Here we report our most important finding on the macroscopic consequence of asymmetric neutrino opacities due to parity violation in weak interactions. More details can be found in our forthcoming publication (Lai & Qian 1998a, hereafter LQ).

2. Parity Violation and Asymmetric Neutrino Opacities

In the presence of an external magnetic field, the differential opacity for neutrino-nucleon scattering, $\nu_{(e,\mu,\tau)} + N \rightarrow \nu_{(e,\mu,\tau)} + N$ can be written in the form:

$$\left(\frac{d\tilde{\kappa}_E^{(\mathrm{sc})}}{d\Omega'}\right)_{\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}\to\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}'} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \kappa_E^{(\mathrm{sc})} \Big[1 + \epsilon_{\mathrm{in}} \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} + \epsilon_{\mathrm{out}} \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} + \mathrm{const} \times (\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}') \Big],\tag{1}$$

where $\hat{\Omega}$ and $\hat{\Omega}'$ are the unit momentum vectors for the incoming and outgoing neutrinos, respectively, $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is the unit vector along the magnetic field direction, and $\kappa_E^{(\mathrm{sc})}$ is the total scattering opacity without magnetic field (the subscript "E" implies that the opacity depends on the neutrino energy E). The presence of the $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ -dependent terms in Eq. (1) implies that there is a preferred direction in the opacity. This is a manifestation of parity violation in weak interactions. The coefficients ϵ_{in} and ϵ_{out} are related to the nucleon polarization P by

$$\epsilon_{\rm in} = 2P \frac{c_A(c_A - c_V)}{c_V^2 + 3c_A^2}, \quad \epsilon_{\rm out} = -2P \frac{c_A(c_A + c_V)}{c_V^2 + 3c_A^2}, \tag{2}$$

where $c_V = -1/2$, $c_A = -1.15/2$ for neutron and $c_V = 1/2 - 2\sin^2\theta_W = 0.035$, $c_A = 1.37/2$ for proton (Raffelt & Seckel 1995). For nondegenerate nucleons, the spin polarization P is $P = \mu_m B/kT = 3.15 \times 10^{-5} g_m B_{14} (10 \text{ MeV}/T)$, where μ_m is the nucleon magnetic moment

 $(g_m = -1.913 \text{ for neutron and } 2.793 \text{ for proton})$, and B_{14} is the field strength in units of 10^{14} G. In the degenerate regime, we have $P = 3\mu_m B/(2\mu_N) = 4.73 \times 10^{-5} g_m B_{14} (10 \text{ MeV}/\mu_N)$, where μ_N is the nucleon chemical potential (or Fermi energy). A general expression for P is given in LQ. In proto-neutron stars, one should average Eq. (1) over composition (neutrons and protons). It is also important to note that the differential opacity for $\bar{\nu}_{e,\mu,\tau}$ scattering on nucleons is obtained by interchanging $\epsilon_{\rm in}$ and $\epsilon_{\rm out}$ in Eq. (1) as a result of the crossing symmetry of the leading order matrix elements.

The neutrino absorption opacity is also asymmetric, i.e., $\tilde{\kappa}_E^{(\text{abs})} = \kappa_E^{(\text{abs})}(1 + \epsilon_a \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}})$, with $\epsilon_a \sim P$. However, in the bulk interior of the neutron star, this asymmetry is exactly canceled by the asymmetry in emission (see §4). One also expects asymmetry in $\nu + e \rightarrow \nu + e$. However, for relativistic degenerate electrons, the ν -e opacity is much smaller than the ν -N opacity, while the electron polarization $P_e \simeq 2 \times 10^{-5} B_{14} (200 \,\text{MeV}/\mu_e)^2$ is of the same order as P for nucleons. Therefore the contribution from ν -e scattering to asymmetric neutrino transport is small compared with that from ν -N scattering.

3. Toy Problem: Effect of Multiple Scatterings

The asymmetry coefficients (ϵ_{in} and ϵ_{out}) in the opacity are extremely small even at $B_{14} = 10$. However, cumulative effect due to multiple scatterings can enhance the asymmetry in neutrino emission. To demonstrate this effect, we consider a simple toy problem in which neutrinos leak out of a slab (with infinite extent perpendicular to the z-axis which coincides with the magnetic axis) via biased diffusion, i.e., the neutrino scattering probability has the form $\propto (1 + \epsilon \cos \theta)$, with $\epsilon \ll 1$ and θ being the angle between the z-axis and the direction of neutrino propagation after each scattering. The evolution of the neutrino number density n(z,t) is governed by the Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{3} c\lambda \,\nabla^2 n - \frac{1}{3} c \,\nabla \cdot (\epsilon n \hat{\mathbf{B}}),\tag{3}$$

where λ is the (constant) mean free path of the neutrino. The surfaces of the slab are located at $z = \pm \lambda \tau_s$ (so $2\tau_s$ is the total optical depth of the slab). Suppose we start with a uniform distribution $n = n_0$ at t = 0 (so that there is no initial asymmetry). As neutrinos gradually diffuse out, the profile n(z,t) becomes increasingly asymmetric, and the flux asymmetry $\Delta F/F$ grows (where F is the sum of the fluxes from both surfaces and ΔF is the difference). For $t \gtrsim \tau_s^2(\lambda/c)$, the "cooling" front reaches the center of the slab, and $\Delta F/F$ saturates to constant value, $\Delta F/F \simeq \epsilon \tau_s/2$ (valid for $\epsilon \tau_s \ll 1$). This constant value can be derived analytically from a quasi-steady-state analysis. The fractional asymmetry in the total neutrino radiation energy is $0.34 \epsilon \tau_s$.

In proto-neutron stars, the neutrino optical depth τ is large. A rough estimate can be made by setting the diffusion time ~ $3R^2/(\lambda c)$ (*R* is the neutron star radius) to 1 s (the spread in the arrival time of SN 1987A neutrinos was 10 s), which gives $\tau \sim 10^4$. This would imply a large enhancement in neutrino emission asymmetry. Several complications arise when one applies the result of the toy problem to real neutron stars: As shown in §4, the asymmetry in neutrino flux is determined by the coefficient $\epsilon_{out} - \epsilon_{in}$ and the local neutrino energy distribution function. Due to the crossing symmetry, we have $(\epsilon_{out} - \epsilon_{in})_{\nu} = -(\epsilon_{out} - \epsilon_{in})_{\bar{\nu}}$. Because $\nu_{\mu(\tau)}$ and $\bar{\nu}_{\mu(\tau)}$ have the same local energy distribution function, the "drift fluxes" (see Eq. [6]) associated with $\nu_{\mu(\tau)}$ and $\bar{\nu}_{\mu(\tau)}$ exactly cancel. The "drift fluxes" of ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ do not cancel because a newly-formed neutron star is lepton-rich, i.e., it contains more ν_e than $\bar{\nu}_e$, and the typical energy of ν_e is also different from $\bar{\nu}_e$. As the neutron star is deleptonized, the difference between ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ becomes smaller, and we expect the asymmetry in the total neutrino flux to gradually diminish. Another issue which is not clear from the toy problem concerns the role of neutrino absorption: for electron-type neutrinos, absorption is more important than scattering (by a factor of a few) in the deleptonization phase. Does the neutrino absorption completely wipe out the asymmetry associated with multiple scatterings?

4. Thermal Evolution of Proto-Neutron Stars with Asymmetric Neutrino Opacities

We now describe our calculation of the thermal evolution of proto-neutron stars in the presence of the asymmetric neutrino opacities discussed in §2. We start from the general transport equation for the spectral intensity $I_E = I_E(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}, t)$ of a given neutrino species:

$$\frac{\partial I_E}{\partial t} + \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} \cdot \nabla I_E = \rho \,\tilde{\kappa}_E^{(\text{abs})} (I_E^{(FD)} - I_E) + \rho \,(1 - f_E) \int \left(\frac{d\tilde{\kappa}_E^{(\text{sc})}}{d\Omega} \right)_{\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}' \to \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}} I'_E \, d\Omega' - \rho \, I_E \int \left(\frac{d\tilde{\kappa}_E^{(\text{sc})}}{d\Omega'} \right)_{\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} \to \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}'} (1 - f'_E) \, d\Omega', \tag{4}$$

where $I'_E = I_E(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}', t)$, $f_E = (2\pi)^3 I_E / E^3$ is the neutrino occupation number, and $I_E^{(FD)}$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function for neutrinos:

$$I_E^{(FD)}(T) = \frac{c}{4\pi} U_E^{(FD)} = \frac{E^3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{(E-\mu_\nu)/T} + 1}$$
(5)

 $(U_E^{(FD)})$ is the corresponding energy density), with matter temperature T and neutrino chemical potential μ_{ν} . In Eq. (4) the absorption opacity $\tilde{\kappa}_E^{(abs)}$ already includes the effect of stimulated absorption of neutrinos. Multiplying Eq. (4) by $\hat{\Omega}$ and then integrating over $\hat{\Omega}$, we obtain the first order moment equation:

$$\mathbf{F}_{E} = -\frac{c}{3\rho\kappa_{E}^{(t)}}\nabla U_{E} + \epsilon_{a}\frac{\kappa_{E}^{(\text{abs})}}{3\kappa_{E}^{(t)}}c\left(U_{E}^{(FD)} - U_{E}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}} + (\epsilon_{\text{out}} - \epsilon_{\text{in}})\frac{\kappa_{E}^{(\text{sc})}}{3\kappa_{E}^{(t)}}cU_{E}\left(1 - f_{E}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}},\qquad(6)$$

where U_E , \mathbf{F}_E is the spectral energy density and flux, and $\kappa_E^{(t)} = \kappa_E^{(sc)} + \kappa_E^{(abs)}$ is the total opacity. The neutrino number flux is simply given by $\mathbf{S}_E = \mathbf{F}_E/E$. Equation (6) reveals that in addition to the usual "diffusive" flux (the first term), there is a "drift" flux (the $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ -dependent terms) along the magnetic field direction due to the asymmetric neutrino opacities. Note that when $U_E = U_E^{(FD)}$ (i.e., when neutrinos are in thermal equilibrium with matter), the asymmetric absorption opacity does not contribute to the drift flux, since in this case asymmetric emission exactly cancels asymmetric absorption. In the following we shall focus on the bulk interior of the star (below the layer where neutrinos decouple from the matter), where U_E for ν_e has the Fermi-Dirac form with chemical potential μ_{ν_e} , while ν_{μ} and ν_{τ} have zero chemical potential.

For a given neutrino species i, the total opacity can be written in the form $\kappa_{Ei}^{(abs)} = \kappa_{Ei}^{(abs)} + \kappa_{Ei}^{(abs)} = \kappa_i (E/E_0)^2 (E_0 \text{ is a fiducial energy})$. In general, because of nucleon degeneracy and/or neutrino degeneracy, there can be additional *E*-dependence in the prefactor κ_i (Burrows & Lattimer 1986). In these cases, we evaluate κ_i at an appropriate mean neutrino energy. If we make a further assumption that ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ have the same form of opacities (Burrows & Lattimer 1986), then an analytical expression for the total energy flux (integrated over neutrino energy) associated with ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ can be obtained:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\nu_{e}} + \mathbf{F}_{\bar{\nu}_{e}} = -\frac{cE_{0}^{2}}{6\pi^{2}\rho\,\kappa_{\nu_{e}}}\nabla\left[T^{2}\left(\frac{\eta_{\nu_{e}}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}}\beta_{\nu_{e}}\epsilon\,c\,T^{4}\left(\frac{\eta_{\nu_{e}}^{3}}{3} + \frac{\pi^{2}\eta_{\nu_{e}}}{3}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}},\tag{7}$$

where we have defined $\eta_{\nu_e} \equiv \mu_{\nu_e}/T$, $\beta_{\nu_e} \equiv \kappa_{\nu_e}^{(sc)}/\kappa_{\nu_e}^{(t)}$, and $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon_{\nu_e} \equiv (\epsilon_{out} - \epsilon_{in})_{\nu_e}$. In deriving Eq. (7) we have used the relation $\mu_{\bar{\nu}_e} = -\mu_{\nu_e}$ and $\epsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e} = -\epsilon_{\nu_e}$. Similarly, the net electron lepton number flux is given by

$$\mathbf{S}_{\nu_{e}} - \mathbf{S}_{\bar{\nu}_{e}} = -\frac{cE_{0}^{2}}{6\pi^{2}\rho\,\kappa_{\nu_{e}}}\nabla\Big(T\eta_{\nu_{e}}\Big) + \frac{1}{3\pi^{2}}\beta_{\nu_{e}}\epsilon\,c\,T^{3}\left(\frac{\eta_{\nu_{e}}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}}.$$
(8)

We shall group ν_{μ} , $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$, ν_{τ} and $\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ together and denote them as ν_{μ} . With opacity $\kappa_E = \kappa_{\nu_{\mu}} (E/E_0)^2$, the total energy flux carried by these neutrinos is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\nu\mu} = -\frac{cE_0^2}{18\,\rho\,\kappa_{\nu\mu}}\nabla T^2.\tag{9}$$

As noted earlier, the drift flux associated with μ -type neutrinos is zero. The equations governing the thermal evolution of a proto-neutron star can be written as

$$\frac{\partial Y_L}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{n} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\nu_e} - \mathbf{S}_{\bar{\nu}_e}), \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{F}_{\nu_e} + \mathbf{F}_{\bar{\nu}_e} + \mathbf{F}_{\nu_{\mu}}).$$
(11)

Here $Y_L = n_L/n = Y_e + Y_\nu = (Y_{e^-} - Y_{e^+}) + (Y_{\nu_e} - Y_{\bar{\nu}_e})$ is the lepton number fraction (*n* is the baryon number density), and *U* is the internal energy density of the medium (a sum of contributions from neutrinos, electrons, positrons, photons, and nucleons). Note that inside the star, β -equilibrium holds to a good approximation, thus we have $\mu_{\nu_e} + \mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e - 1.293$ MeV (μ_n , μ_p do not include rest mass). For simplicity, we have neglected gravitational contraction and have adopted a Newtonian treatment. We assume a uniform magnetic field in the z-direction throughout the neutron star. When the asymmetry is small, we can expand $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $Y_L(\mathbf{r}, t)$ as

$$T(\mathbf{r},t) = T_0(r,t) + \Delta T(r,t)\cos\theta, \qquad Y_L(\mathbf{r},t) = Y_{L0}(r,t) + \Delta Y_L(r,t)\cos\theta, \qquad (12)$$

and similarly for other relevant quantities. The angular dependence can then be factored out. We solve the evolutionary equations numerically using an explicit finite difference code.

Our model neutron star has a mass $M = 1.38M_{\odot}$ and a radius R = 11 km, with a density profile resembling a $\Gamma = 3$ polytrope (the central density is $\rho_c = 8 \times 10^{14} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$). The initial temperature and Y_L profiles are shown in Fig. 1. In the stellar interior, we have $Y_e = 0.28$, $Y_L = 0.366$. The temperature is 8 MeV in the inner core $(M \leq 0.7M_{\odot})$ and reaches 22 MeV in the outer core due to shock heating. These initial conditions are typical of those found in core collapse simulations. The initial chemical potentials of various particles at the center are $\mu_n = 97.7$ MeV, $\mu_p = 52$ MeV, $\mu_e = 313$ MeV, and $\mu_{\nu} = 266$ MeV. We assume that there is no asymmetry at t = 0. We adopt the zero boundary condition T = 0 at the stellar surface. Since the neutrino flux asymmetry mainly accumulates in the interior of the star, our crude treatment of the surface boundary condition is adequate.

Figure 1 depicts the T_0 , Y_{L0} profiles and the asymmetric perturbations (ΔT and ΔY_L) as functions of time for a magnetic field of strength $B_{14} = 5$. The evolution of the T_0 and Y_{L0} profiles is similar to that found by Burrow & Lattimer (1986): The deleptonization phase ($t \leq 10$ s) is dominated by the lepton number diffusion. In this early phase a heat wave moves inward, raising the core temperature. This is followed by a thermal cooling phase in which the core temperature decreases gradually due to energy diffusion. The asymmetries in the temperature and lepton number profiles increase during the deleptonization phase, reaching a maximum at $t \sim 8$ s (This behavior is analogous to that found in the toy problem discussed in $\S3$). This growth of asymmetry is also reflected by the increase in the ratio $|\Delta L_z/L|$ (where L is the luminosity, and $\Delta L_z/c$ is the net z-momentum carried away by the neutrinos per unit time) as shown in Fig. 2(b). As the neutron star becomes more lepton-depleted, the difference (in number and energy) between ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ diminishes, and the asymmetry gradually declines. Fig. 2(c) shows the asymmetry in the integrated neutrino luminosity $[\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_0^t dt L(t)]$ as a function of time. The asymmetry in the total radiated neutrino energy is $\alpha = |\Delta \mathcal{E}_z/\mathcal{E}| \simeq 0.006 B_{14}$. This result is smaller than suggested by the toy problem $(\S3)$ mainly because the energy carried away by electron neutrinos during the deleptonization phase is only about 10% of the total neutrino energy released. In addition, geometric factors and cancellation of asymmetries associated with neutrons and protons tend to reduce the total asymmetry in neutrino emission.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have identified the most efficient way of generating natal pulsar kicks based on asymmetric neutrino emission induced by magnetic fields. The key point of this mechanism is parity violation in weak interactions. The resulting pulsar kick velocity is

$$V_{\rm kick} \simeq 1000 \, \left(\frac{\alpha}{0.084}\right) \left(\frac{E_{\rm tot}}{10^{53} \, {\rm erg}}\right) \left(\frac{1.4 \, M_{\odot}}{M}\right) \simeq 214 \, \left(\frac{\langle B_z \rangle}{10^{14} \, {\rm G}}\right) \left(\frac{E_{\rm tot}}{3 \times 10^{53} \, {\rm erg}}\right) \left(\frac{1.4 \, M_{\odot}}{M}\right) \, {\rm km \, s^{-1}},\tag{13}$$

where E_{tot} is the total energy released by neutrinos (of all species) from the proto-neutron star, and $\langle B_z \rangle$ is the (averaged) ordered component of the magnetic field in the star. In reality, rotation (if it is misaligned with the magnetic axis) tends to reduce the kick velocity. We also note that the asymmetric ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ emission from the proto-neutron star would give rise to asymmetric neutrino heating behind the stalled shock in the delayed supernova mechanism. This asymmetric heating could lead to asymmetric supernova explosions.

Another related (but distinct) kick mechanism relies on the fact that ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ absorption opacities near the neutrinosphere depend on the local magnetic field strength (due to quantization of e^- and e^+ energy levels). If the magnitude of magnetic field in the north pole is different from that in the south pole, then asymmetric neutrino flux can be generated. We have shown in Lai & Qian (1998b) that this kick mechanism requires a much larger field strength than the mechanism considered in this letter.

It is plausible that magnetic fields stronger than 10^{15} G can be generated in proto-neutron stars (Thompson & Duncan 1993), and several pieces of evidence (albeit tentative) have been suggested in the literature (e.g., Vasisht & Gotthelf 1997). While hydrodynamically-driven kick mechanisms may still be viable, (depending on the magnitude of asymmetry seeded in the presupernova core), the possibility that a significant number of pulsars have kick velocities greater than $1000 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (e.g., Cordes & Chernoff 1998) may well require large magnetic fields (10^{15} G) to be present in proto-neutron stars.

We thank Sterl Phinney for useful discussion at the early stage of this work. D.L. also thanks Ira Wasserman for discussion. This work was started while D.L. held the Richard C. Tolman Fellowship at Caltech. Additional support was provided by NASA grant NAG 5-2756 and NSF grant AST-9417371. Y.Q. is supported by the David W. Morrisroe Fellowship at Caltech.

REFERENCES

Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G. S. 1993, Astron. Astrophys. Trans., 3, 287.

Burrows, A., Hayes, J., & Fryxell, B. A. 1995, ApJ, 450, 830.

Burrows, A., & Hayes, J. 1996, Phys. Rev. Lett., 76, 352.

Burrows, A., & Lattimer, J. M. 1986, ApJ, 307, 178.

Chugai, N. N. 1984, Sov. Astron. Lett., 10, 87.

- Cordes, J. M., & Chernoff, D. F. 1998, ApJ, submitted (astro-ph/9707308).
- Cordes, J. M, Romani, R. W., & Lundgren, S. C. 1993, Nature, 362, 133.
- Cordes, J. M., Wasserman, I., & Blaskiewicz, M. 1990, ApJ, 349, 546.
- Dorofeev, O. F., et al. 1985, Sov. Astron. Lett., 11, 123.
- Frail, D. A., Goss, W. M., & Whiteoak, J. B. Z. 1994, ApJ, 437, 781.
- Fryer, C., Burrows, A., & Benz, W. 1998, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/9710333)
- Goldreich, P., Lai, D., & Sahrling, M. 1996, in "Unsolved Problems in Astrophysics", ed. J. N. Bahcall and J. P. Ostriker (Princeton University press).
- Hansen, B. M. S., & Phinney, E. S. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 569 (astro-ph/9708071).
- Horowitz, C. J., & Li, G. 1997, astro-ph/9705126.
- Horowitz, C. J., & Piekarewicz, J. 1997, hep-ph/9701214.
- Janka, H.-T., & Müller, E. 1996, A&A, 306, 167.
- Kaspi, V. M., et al. 1996, Nature, 381, 584.
- Lai, D., & Qian, Y.-Z. 1998a, submitted to ApJ (LQ).
- Lai, D., & Qian, Y.-Z. 1998b, submitted to ApJ.
- Lorimer, D. R., Bailes, M., & Harrison, P. A. 1997, MNRAS, 289, 592.
- Lyne, A. G., & Lorimer, D. R. 1994, Nature, 369, 127.
- Raffelt, G., & Seckel, D. 1995, Phys. Rev. D, 52, 1780.
- Thompson, C., & Duncan, R. C. 1993, ApJ, 408, 194.
- Vasisht, G., & Gotthelf, E. V. 1997, ApJ, 486, L129.
- Vilenkin, A. 1995, ApJ, 451, 700.

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm LATEX}$ macros v4.0.



Fig. 1.— Thermal evolution of a proto-neutron star. The distributions of temperature and lepton number fraction (the left panels) and the asymmetric perturbations (the right panels) are shown as functions of time for a uniform magnetic field of strength $B_{14} = 5$.



Fig. 2.— The time evolution of (a) total neutrino luminosity L, (b) fractional asymmetry in luminosity $\Delta L_z/L$, and (c) fractional asymmetry in the integrated luminosity α , corresponding to the evolution depicted in Fig. 1.