The frequency content of Double–Mode Cepheids light curves and the importance of the cross–coupling terms

E. Poretti

Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via E. Bianchi 46 22055 Merate, Italy

Abstract. The recent results obtained on the frequency content of Double–Mode Cepheids light curves and the properties of their Fourier parameters are reviewed. Some points briefly discussed in previous papers are described.

1. Results of the analysis of DMCs light curves

Pardo & Poretti (1997) determined the frequency content of Double–Mode Cepheids $(DMCs)$ on the basis of the available V photometry; moreover, the Fourier decomposition was applied and very accurate sets of Fourier parameters were derived. In a second paper, Poretti & Pardo (1997a) discussed their properties by means of generalized phase differences. The main conclusions of the two papers were:

- 1. Each DMC displays a different content of harmonics and cross–coupling terms
- 2. The light curves of Classical Cepheids have the same Fourier parameters as the Fundamental (F) mode of DMCs
- 3. The light curves of s–Cepheids have the same Fourier parameters as the First Overtone (1O) Mode of DMCs
- 4. The discontinuity at $P=3$ d is observed also in the progression of the Fourier parameters of 1O DMC light curves
- 5. The light curve of the unique Second Overtone (2O) pulsator CO Aur is found to be perfectly sine–shaped (see also Poretti & Pardo 1997b)
- 6. No significant third periodicity was found
- 7. Only the F–mode of U TrA shows some hints of period variation
- 8. Only the 1O–mode of EW Sct shows some hints of amplitude variation
- 9. Generalized phase differences have well defined loci in the Fourier space parameters

Figure 1. The last power spectra obtained introducing the known constituents (k.c.) identified in the frequency analysis. The presence of a third frequency was suspected in the cases of TU Cas (left panel) and BQ Ser (right panel), but no peak is discernible at the expected 2O frequencies $(0.825 d^{-1}$ for TU Cas, $0.42 d^{-1}$ for BQ Ser). The observed pattern can be reasonably ascribed to noise effects.

Points 2 and 3 constitute an independent confirmation of the different pulsational nature of Galactic Classical and s–Cepheids; the EROS and MACHO surveys established it for the Cepheids in the Magellanic Clouds on the basis of the observed Period–Luminosity relationships.

In this contribution we describe several points which could only briefly be discussed in the previous papers.

2. No DMC is a triple–mode Cepheid

Our analysis showed that the DMC light curves can be explained by the action of two independent frequencies, their harmonics and cross–coupling terms. In the literature there are two stars for which the presence of a third independent periodicity was claimed. Figure 1 shows the two last power spectra obtained in the cases of TU Cas and BQ Ser, the two candidates.

In the left panel (TU Cas), the power spectrum obtained by introducing the 15 known components (k.c.'s) is shown; the highest peak is at 1.296 d⁻¹, but it can be considered just to be a noise effect, looking at the whole pattern of the peak distribution. It should be remarked that the third frequency (corresponding to the 2O mode) is expected to be seen around 0.825 d^{-1} .

In the case of BQ Ser (right panel), Szabados (1993) found an f_3 =0.42 d⁻¹ term; however, the power spectrum obtained by introducing the 8 k.c. shows

Figure 2. When searching for the third component in the light curve of UZ Cen the observed highest peak was not at 0.424 d⁻¹, but at 2.427 d^{-1} , i.e. an alias. In the subsequent analysis, the 0.424 d^{-1} value was considered and no residual noise was left at $2.427 d^{-1}$, clearly indicating that the right choice was done.

a residual noise around the integer values of d^{-1} . We often found this residual noise in our analysis, since it originates in uncertainties arising from the merging of different sets of data or from instrumental drifts within a single set. Regarding the Szabados identification, the fact that in his analysis the first harmonic $2f_2$ does not appear is doubtful, since the f_2 amplitude (0.11) mag) and the period (3.3 d) strongly support the presence of a measurable $2f_2$ term, i.e. an asymmetrical light curve. Moreover, the 0.42 d⁻¹ term is very close to the $2f_2 - f_1$ term (0.43 d^{-1}) and also all the other terms involving the f_3 term can be easily explained as function of f_1 and f_2 only: $f_1 + f_3 = 2f_2, f_2 + f_3 = 3f_2 - f_1, f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 3f_1, f_2 + f_3 - f_1 = 3f_2 - 2f_1.$ Hence, very probably the third periodicity does not exist at all.

We can conclude that after introducing all the terms detected in the frequency analysis (the two independent frequencies f_1 and f_2 and their harmonics and cross–coupling terms) for all the DMC of our sample the last power spectrum did not show any other significant terms.

3. The detection of the true peaks

When identifying a new term in a spectrum, attention should be paid to the aliasing effects. Indeed, it is always possible that a peak corresponding to a small–amplitude term (as a cross–coupling often is) will be shorter than that of its alias, owing to the interaction with the noise. Since each set of data is

Figure 3. The cross–coupling terms must be subtracted from the original measurements to extract the light curves of the two independent frequencies. In the figure, the case of the f_1 term of AP Vel is shown: the light curve is very regular (left panel), but if the cross– coupling terms are not subtracted (right panel), an amplitude modulation appears.

composed of measurements carried out in a single site, an f term produces peaks at $f, 1+f, 1-f, 2+f, 2-f, 3-f, ...$ and hence this complicated spectral window has to be carefully evaluated when interpreting the power spectrum. Moreover, the terms not yet identified can conspire to move power to a close alias peak. Fig. 2 shows the relevant case of the search for the third component in the light curve of UZ Cen: the power spectrum obtained by introducing f_1 , $2f_1$ (i.e. the two terms identified in the first two steps) shows the highest peak at 2.427 d^{-1} , corresponding to the alias $2+f_2$. Of course, in the subsequent analysis the exact value of the second frequency was considered and, as expected, the whole structure disappeared.

4. The cross coupling terms

The light curve of a DMC having a period P cannot be considered to be the sum of the light curves of a Classical Cepheid having the same period P and an s–Cepheid having an observed period $P_1 = 0.7$ P. In a DMC, the action of the cross–coupling terms should not be forgotten: their excitation produces a distortion of the resulting light curve which implies cycle–to–cycle variations. As an example of that, Fig. 3 (right panel) shows the light curve of the first period of AP Vel when only $f_2, 2f_2$ were subtracted: the light curve appears to be noisy, even simulating a modulation of the amplitude at both extrema. Berdnikov (1992) claimed evidence for such a modulation, but probably he neglected the cross–coupling term, obtaining a spurious result. In the left panel of Fig. 3 we

Figure 4. The frequency content of the TU Cas measurements is very rich; the light curve of the cross–coupling term $f_1 + f_2$ not only has a renarkable semi–amplitude (0.078 mag), but its shape is asymmetrical. Indeed the $2(f_1 + f_2)$ term has a semi-amplitude of 0.015 mag.

subtracted all the cross–coupling term we found and we obtained a light curve which shows a very regular shape and constant amplitude. It should be noted that no cross–coupling terms would be detected if instead of a DMC we were observing a pair composed of two Cepheids.

Cross–coupling terms are observed in all DMCs, from a minimum of 2 (V367 Sct) to a maximum of 9 (TU Cas). It should be noted that the $f_1 + f_2$ and the $f_2 - f_1$ terms were always observed and that the amplitude of the $f_1 + f_2$ term is always larger than the $f_2 - f_1$ one. Fig. 5 shows the asymmetrical shape of the $f_1 + f_2$ light curve in the case of TU Cas. Moreover, a third order term can have an amplitude larger than a second order one. Hence we cannot recommend truncating the fit to the second order since a considerable part of the signal might be neglected.

Regarding the harmonic terms (i.e. $2f_1$, $3f_1$, $4f_1$, $2f_2$), a regular decrease in amplitude was measured. This should happen every time we have good phase coverage; if not, it is very probable that the amplitude of at least one of the harmonics is set to a high value. It should be also noted that the $5f_1$ harmonic was never observed, but some fifth order terms arose $(3f_1 + 2f_2$ and $4f_1 + f_2$, TU Cas and U TrA); moreover, the $3f_2$ harmonic was never observed, but the third order term $f_1 + 2f_2$ was.

5. Theoretical models

The determination of the frequency content of the DMC light curves provides a quantitative analysis of the importance of the cross–coupling terms. The amplitudes of each term is reported by Pardo & Poretti (1997; Tables 3, 4 and 5). In our opinion, these results should be used to build more detailed models of DMC stars, since they are giving clear observational evidence of the energy distribution in each mode.

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to acknowledge fruithful discussions with T. Aikawa, E. Antonello, L. Mantegazza.

References

Berdnikov L.N., 1992, SvA Lett. 18, 259

Pardo I., Poretti E. 1997, A&A 324, 121

Poretti E., Pardo I. 1997a, A&A 324, 133

Poretti E., Pardo I. 1997b, IAU Symposium 185, in press

Szabados L. 1993, in Applications of Time Series Analysis in Astronomy and Meteorology, Università di Padova, Sept. 6–10, 1993