

Evidence for Diverse Optical Emission from Gamma-Ray Burst Sources

H.Pedersen

Copenhagen University Observatory, Niels Bohr Institute for Astrophysics, Physics, and Geophysics, Juliane Maries Vej 30, DK 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

A.O.Jaunsen, and T.Grav

Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, Oslo, Norway

R.Østensen, and M.I.Andersen

Nordic Optical Telescope, La Palma, Spain

M.Wold, H.Kristen, A.Broeils, M.Näslund, and C.Fransson

Stockholm Observatory, Sweden

M.Lacy

Nuclear and Astrophysics Laboratory, Oxford, UK

A.J.Castro-Tirado, and J.Gorosabel

Laboratorio de Astrofísica Espacial y Física Fundamental, INTA, Madrid, Spain

J. M. Rodriguez Espinosa, and A.M.Perez

Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Tenerife, Spain

C.Wolf, and R.Fockenbrock

Max-Planck Institute für Astronomie, Heidelberg, Germany

J.Hjorth

Nordic Institute for Theoretical Physics, Copenhagen, Denmark

P.Muhli and P.Hakala

University of Helsinki, Finland

L.Piro, M.Feroci, and E.Costa

Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale, CNR, Frascati, Italy

L.Nicastro, and E.Palazzi

Istituto Tecnologie e Studio Radiazioni Extraterrestri, CNR, Bologna, Italy

F.Frontera, and L.Monaldi

Universita di Ferrara, Italy

and

J.Heise

Space Research Organization of the Netherlands, Utrecht, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Optical Transients from gamma-ray burst sources, in addition to offering a distance determination, convey important information on the physics of the emission mechanism, and perhaps also about the underlying energy source. As the gamma-ray phenomenon is extremely diverse, with time scales spanning several orders of magnitude, some diversity in optical counterpart signatures appears plausible.

We have studied the Optical Transient, which accompanied the gamma-ray burst of May 8, 1997 (GRB 970508). Observations conducted at the 2.5-m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) and the 2.2-m telescope at the German-Spanish Calar Alto observatory (CAHA) cover the time interval starting 3 hours 5 minutes to 96 days after the high energy event. This brackets all other published observations, including radio. When analyzed in conjunction with optical data from other observatories, evidence emerges for a composite light curve. The first interval, from 3 to 8 hours after the event was characterized by a constant, or slowly declining brightness. At a later moment the brightness started increasing rapidly, and reached a maximum approximately 40 hours after the GRB. From that moment the GRB brightness decayed approximately as a power-law of index -1.21. The last observation, after 96 days, $m_R = 24.28 \pm 0.10$, is brighter than the extrapolated power-law, and hints that a constant component, $m_R = 25.50 \pm 0.40$ is present. The OT is unresolved (FWHM $0.83''$) at the faintest magnitude level.

The brightness of the optical transient, its duration and the general shape of the light curve sets this source apart from the single other optical transient known, that of the February 28, 1997 event.

Subject headings: gamma rays: bursts

1. Beppo-SAX

The launch of the Italian/Dutch BeppoSAX satellite, on April 30, 1996, facilitated the swift calculation of accurate GRB positions, and thereby the search for rapidly decaying multiwavelength counterparts. Five such opportunities have been reported: GRB 960720, 970111, 970228, 970402, and 970508. The localizations and numerous follow-up studies were published in IAU Circulars and elsewhere.

Before GRB 970508, the best studied BeppoSAX event was GRB 970228 (Costa et al. 1997a). This led to the first detection of an associated X-ray transient (Costa et al. 1997b), an optical transient (van Paradijs et al. 1997), and an infrared source (Klose et al. 1997; Soifer et al. 1997), all at consistent positions. The earliest optical detection (Pedichini et al. 1997; Galama et al. 1997) was made 15.4 hours after the high energy event ($T+15.4^h$). Thereafter the optical source declined monotonically in brightness, and was a factor 40 fainter in the R-band by $T+6^d$.

The detection of an underlying extended source, ostensibly a galaxy (van Paradijs et al. 1997), led to the conclusion that GRB 970228 is extragalactic. However, the claim by Caraveo et al. (1997) that the OT shows proper motion (which is disputed by Sahu et al. 1997¹) argues for a galactic origin. The possibility that the extended source is variable (Metzger et al. 1997a) would further complicate interpretation, but also this result has been questioned (Fox et al. 1997).

Upper limits to the optical emission have been derived for two other events, GRB 970111 (Castro-Tirado & Gorosabel 1997) and 970402 (Pedersen et al. 1997; Groot et al. 1997). For the first event, observations started 19 hours after the GRB and continued until one month later. Any fading was < 0.2 mag for objects with $m_B < 21$, $m_R < 20.8$ and < 0.5 mag for those down to $m_B = 23$, $m_R = 22.6$. Thereby the source differs significantly from GRB970228. The second event, which was followed by an X-ray transient (Piro et al. 1997b), is located at low galactic latitude, $b^{II} = 9^\circ$, for which reason the deepest optical data do not constrain the emission beyond that known from GRB 970228.

¹An HST observation, conducted September 4, and reported by A.Fruchter at the Fourth Huntsville Symposium on Gamma-Ray Bursts, further argues against proper motion

2. GRB 970508

The rather weak gamma-ray burst GRB 970508 was detected (Costa et al. 1997c) by BeppoSAX on May 08, 1997 UTC 21 h 41 min 48 s; it lasted 15 s. It was recorded also by the BATSE detectors on-board Compton Gamma Ray Observatory (Kouveliotou et al. 1997). At the higher sensitivity of that instrument, the duration was 35 s, including a single pulse of 3.6 s FWHM.

Within three hours, the source was located to a precision of $10'$. This led to the successive detection of a transient (decaying) X-ray source (Piro et al. 1997a;c), a variable optical source (Bond 1997), and a radio source (Frail et al., 1997; Taylor et al. 1997), all at consistent positions. The radio source was initially undetected, at $T+3.7^h$ and $T+22.5^h$, and seen rising from $T+5.0^d$ to $T+6.2^d$. VLBA measurements (Taylor et al. 1997) show that it is unresolved to $0.0003''$ (at 8.4 GHz). The closest galaxies detected by Palomar and HST observations are several arcseconds away (Djorgovski et al. 1997; Pian et al. 1997), and they have a large probability of being present due to chance.

At two epochs of spectroscopic measurements the redshift $z=0.835$ was deduced, first from absorption lines, then also from emission of [O II] 372.8 nm (Metzger et al. 1997b;c). This constitutes the first distance determination for a Gamma-Ray Burst. Assuming a redshift $z=1$, Waxman (1997) estimated the total energy output as 10^{52} ergs.

3. NOT data

Observations at the NOT (+ALFOSC, HiRAC) began May 9 UTC 00 h 47 min 18 s, i.e. 3 h, 5 min after the GRB (see the observing log, Table 1). The ALFOSC detector was a thinned Loral 2K CCD, with a spectral range of 320-1050 nm. Multiplied with the atmospheric- and instrumental transmission functions we estimate that the sensitivity function has a maximum between 600 and 700 nm, and a FWHM range from 450 to 800 nm (http://www.not.iac.es/instruments/alfosc/ccd_sens.html). When used without a filter, this represents a wide R band, hereafter referred to as R' . Observations in V (recalibrated since first published, Jaunsen et al. 1997) and I are listed for reference only; they are too few to merit separate discussion.

The last observations were done August 13 - 15, 1997, i.e. 95 to 97 days after the event. Figure 1 represents the digital sum of all 13 exposures done in that time interval. The image stack has been corrected for cosmic rays, using a skewness criterion. On this image, the FWHM of two field stars is $0.83''$ that of the OT $0.79''$ (i.e. consistent within errors). There is an extremely faint intensity maximum, conceivably due to statistical noise, $\sim 1''$ W

of the OT. The 13 measurements of the OT brightness relative to object A have r.m.s. = 0.30 mag, fixing $m_R = 24.28 \pm 0.10$.

4. CAHA data

Observations with the 2.2-m CAHA telescope (+CAFOS) began May 9, UTC 01 h 48 min (Castro-Tirado et al. 1997); they include unfiltered as well as R(Kron-Cousins) data.

5. Discussion

Figure 2 shows the combined red and unfiltered photometry, including data obtained by Kelemen (1997), Castro-Tirado et al. (1997), Djorgovski et al. (1997) and Pian et al. (1997) and from IAU Circulars 6654 through 6676. Most, possibly all, R photometry refers to the Kron-Cousins system (cw 659 nm, FWHM 157 nm, Fugukita et al. 1995). For the unfiltered HST/STIS observation (Pian et al. 1997; Sahu et al. 1997) we have used the simulated R magnitude. Gunn r photometry differs mainly by the narrower transmission peak of this system (cw 654 nm, FWHM 89 nm). There is little indication that the merging of data from different color-systems influences the scatter of the data. If anything, the NOT R' data should be shifted by $\Delta m \sim -0.15$ mag, to bring them into better agreement with other data. However, we have no other justification for such transformation.

The rise to maximum is composed by an initial period of constancy or modest fall in brightness, documented between $T+3^h$ and $T+8^h$, and a fast rise, seen between epochs $T+22^h$ and $T+30^h$. The significance of the early decay hinges on the unknown color-indices of the OT as function of time. We do, however, find it difficult to reconcile the early part of the light curve with a *rise* in luminosity.

Subsequent to the maximum near $T+40^h$ the brightness declined approximately as a power law; this has already been discussed by Pian et al. (1997). However, the last observation, at $T+96^d$, $m_R = 24.28 \pm 0.10$, is significantly brighter than indicated by the trend of other data. This indicates that a source of constant luminosity contributes to the total light. We have solved for this contribution, using a weighted least squares fit, and find $m_R = 25.50 \pm 0.40$, where the error includes an estimated uncertainty of the fit. The OT itself would then decline as $m_R = 18.95 + 3.03 \log(T)$, corresponding to index -1.21. Alternatively, the power-law, albeit well founded theoretically (e.g. Vietri 1997; Chiang & Dermer 1997), does not apply over such a long range. We do not detect a visibly extended image profile, which argues in favor of the departure from the power-law

decay being intrinsic to the OT rather than due to the appearance of an underlying host galaxy, although an underlying compact dwarf galaxy may not have been resolved by our observations. The upper limit known from HST data (Sahu et al. 1997) is 25.5, and thus consistent with NOT data.

It is noteworthy that by T+3^h, the OT was ~ 3 magnitudes brighter than the level to which it had declined by T+96^d. In the most plausible scenario, this early brightness would be reached as a consequence of the high energy event, rather than being its progenitor.

Eruptive astrophysical phenomena showing two light-curve maxima include supernovae. However, the involved time scales are much longer, ~ 78 days, for the radioactivity induced *exponential* decay (see e.g. Whitelock 1991).

Some gamma-ray burst models (e.g. Katz 1994) predict an early phase of multiwavelength radiation, simultaneous to the high energy event, others a delayed maximum (e.g. Vietri 1997; Timokin & Bisnovatyi-Kogan 1995), and still others, both (e.g. Mészáros & Rees 1997). Several models involve both internal and external shocks. Wijers, Rees & Mészáros (1997) and Wijers (personal communication) hint at the possibility that a fast jet impacting on slower, yet relativistic material around it may produce curves with different time scales, of which the slower one never makes gamma rays. The initial decay of the present OT light curve -if real- may therefore be more closely related to the central engine of the GRB than the later peak.

Chiang & Dermer (1997) have fitted a model of beamed, superrelativistic outflow to published R photometry of this source. Optical observers viewing the outflow from an angle $\theta > 1/\Gamma_0$ (where Γ_0 is the initial bulk Lorentz factor) would see an almost constant luminosity starting at the moment of the GRB, followed by a rise to maximum when the Lorentz factor has decreased to $\sim 1/\theta$. The early data from NOT and CAHA conform well to this model, but we note that the rise to maximum was faster than described by theory, and the decay slower. To explain this, we propose that the interstellar medium near the GRB is inhomogeneous. Like all models involving beaming, a high rate of events is required, compared to the isotropic emission models.

In Table 2 we compare some of the characteristics of GRB 970228 and 970508. While the γ - and X-ray flux of the latter event was weaker by a factor ~ 5 , its optical signature was stronger (factor ~ 2) and it reached maximum with greater delay (factor > 2). As both sources are located off the galactic plane (at $b^{II} = -17.9^\circ$ and $+26.7^\circ$, respectively), we can therefore conclude that Optical Transients from gamma-ray burst sources show considerable diversity.

We are grateful to the director of the Nordic Optical Telescope for his continued support for this program. HP acknowledges support from the Danish Space Research Institute and Copenhagen University Observatory. J.Kemenen is thanked for advance information on Konkoly observations, A.Kopylov for standard stars data. The German–Spanish Astronomical Center, Calar Alto, Spain, is operated jointly by the Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA), Heidelberg, and the Comisión Nacional de Astronomía, Madrid. The Elba workshop on Gamma-Ray Bursts provided a timely occasion for the discussion of this event.




Fig. 1.— The digital sum of all exposures, August 13 to 15, 1997. The field is 22" by 22".




Fig. 2.— The R-band magnitude of the Optical Transient of GRB 970508 as function of time after the event. For clarity, error bars are only applied to the latest data. Following a period of constancy (or modest decline), a peak of optical emission was reached some 40 hours after the GRB. During the subsequent 35-day interval, the emission decayed approximately as a power law. The possible contribution of a constant source, $m_R = 25.50$, is shown by the curved line.

Table 1:

Start, UTC	Filter	Expos. [s]	magnitude	error
NOT:				
May 09 00 h 47 min 18 s	R'	300	21.11	0.08
May 09 00 h 54 min 19 s	R'	600	21.16	0.05
May 09 01 h 48 min 50 s	R'	300	21.21	0.06
May 09 21 h 37 min 05 s	R'	300	21.25	0.06
May 10 21 h 37 min 36 s	V	300	20.00	0.03
May 10 22 h 13 min 50 s	V	600	19.96	0.03
May 10 22 h 37 min 03 s	I	600	19.16	0.03
May 11 22 h 15 min 20 s	V	300	20.45	0.03
May 11 22 h 22 min 00 s	I	300	19.48	0.03
May 14 23 h 29 min 22 s	R	600	21.25	0.05
May 16 21 h 12 min 30 s	R	900, 180	21.51	0.10
May 19 01 h 13 min 46 s	R	1800	21.88	0.25
May 19 04 h 26 min 23 s	R	2700	21.92	0.10
June 1 21 h 53 min 15 s	R	1200	23.10	0.07
June 7 21 h 06 min 06 s	R	1200, 600	23.52	0.10
June 14 22 h 13 min 33 s	R	3 x 1200	23.50	0.25
Aug 09 04 h 03 min 07 s	R	3 x 600	>23.70	(**)
Aug 13.17 – Aug 15.19	R	13 x 600	24.28	0.10
CAHA (*):				
May 09 20 h 36 min 28 s	R'	600	21.12	0.05
May 09 20 h 52 min 25 s	R'	600	21.16	0.05
May 09 21 h 09 min 21 s	R'	600	21.03	0.05

* One earlier R' measurement published by Castro-Tirado et al. (1997)

** Seeing poor, OT not clearly detected.

Table 2:

	GRB 970228	GRB 970508
γ -ray duration (SAX)	80 s (ref. a)	15 s (ref. b)
γ -ray fluence, 40-700 keV (*)	1.1 (ref. c)	0.18 ± 0.03 (ref. d)
X-ray flux 0.5-10 keV (**)	4.0 ± 0.6 (ref. e)	0.7 ± 0.08 (ref. f)
X-ray flux, 2-10 keV (**)	2.8 ± 0.4 (ref. e)	0.63 ± 0.06 (ref. f)
	(@ 8 hour delay)	(@ 5.7 h delay)
Max brightness, m_R	20.7 (ref. g)	19.8
Optical peak	≤ 18 hours (ref. g)	40 hours

a: Costa et al. (1997a); b: Costa et al. (1997c); c: Costa et al. (1997d); d: Piro et al. (1997c); e: Costa et al. (1997b); f: Piro et al. (1997a); g: Galama et al. (1997).

* unit 10^{-5} ergs cm^{-2}

** unit 10^{-12} ergs $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

REFERENCES

- Bond, H.E. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6654
- Caraveo, P.A., Mignani, R., Tavani, M., & Bignami, G.F. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6629
- Castro-Tirado, A.J., & Gorosabel, J. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6598
- Castro-Tirado, A.J., et al. 1997, in preparation.
- Chiang, J. & Dermer, C.D. 1997, astro-ph/9708035
- Costa, E., Feroci, M., Frontera, F., et al. 1997a, IAU Circ. No. 6572
- Costa, E., Feroci, M., Piro, L., et al. 1997b, IAU Circ. No. 6576
- Costa, E., Feroci, M., Piro, L., et al. 1997c, IAU Circ. No. 6649
- Costa, E., Frontera, F., Heise, J., et al. 1997d, preprint
- Djorgovski, S.G., Metzger, M.R., Kulkarni, S.R., et al. 1997, *Nature*, 387, 876
- Fox, D.W., Kommers, J.M., Lewin, W.H.G., & Magnier, E.A. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6643
- Frail, D., Kulkarni, S.R. et al. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6662
- Fugukita, M., Shimasaku, K., & Ichikawa, T., 1995, *PASP*, 107, 945
- Galama, T., et al. 1997, *Nature*, 387, 479
- Groot, P. J., Galama, T.J., van Paradijs, J., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6628
- Jaunsen, A.O., Grav, T., Østensen, R., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6656
- Katz, J. I. 1994, *ApJ*, 432, L107
- Kelemen, J. 1997, *Information Bull. Var. Star Obs.* No. 4496
- Klose, S., Stecklum, B., Tuffs, R. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6611
- Kouveliotou, C., Briggs, M.S., Preece, R., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6660
- Mészáros, P., & Rees, M.J. 1997, *ApJ*, 476, 232
- Metzger, M.R., Cohen, J.L., Blakeslee, J.P., et al. 1997a, IAU Circ. No. 6631
- Metzger, M.R., Cohen, J.G., Chafee, F.H., & Blandford, R.D. 1997b, IAU Circ. No. 6676

- Metzger, M.R., Djorgovski, S.G., Steidel, C.C., et al. 1997c, IAU Circ. No. 6655
- Pedersen, H., Castro-Tirado, A., Gorosabel, J., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6628
- Pedichini, F., Di Paola, A., Stella, L., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6635
- Pian, E., et al. 1997, ApJL, submitted
- Piro, L., Costa, E., Feroci, M., et al. 1997a, IAU Circ. No. 6656
- Piro, L., Feroci, M., Costa, E., et al. 1997b, IAU Circ. No. 6617
- Piro, L., et al. 1997c, A & A Letters, submitted
- Sahu, K.C., et al. 1997, ApJ Letters, accepted
- Soifer, B., Neugebauer, G., Armus, L., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6619
- Taylor, G.B., Beasley, A.J., Frail, D.A., & Kulkarni, S.R. 1997, IAU Circ. No. 6670
- Timokin, A.N., & Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G.S. 1995, Ap&SS, 231, 323
- van Paradijs, J. et al. 1997, Nature, 386, 686
- Vietri, M. 1997, ApJ, 478, L9
- Waxman, E. 1997, Nature, submitted
- Whitelock, P.A. 1991, in *SN 1987A and other supernovae*, ed. I.J.Danziger and K.Kjär, ESO Conf. Proc. No. 37
- Wijers, R.A.M.J., Rees, M., & Mészáros, P. 1997, MNRAS, submitted