

Magnetic Flares and State Transitions in Galactic Black Hole and Neutron Star Systems

Sergei Nayakshin[†] and Fulvio Melia*¹

[†]*Physics Dept., The University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721*

**Physics Dept. & Steward Observatory, The University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721*

ABSTRACT

We here examine the conditions of the two-phase disk model under which magnetic flares arise above the cold accretion disk due to magnetic buoyancy and produce X-rays via Comptonization of the disk's soft radiation. We find that the disk's ability to produce strong magnetic flares is substantially diminished in its radiation dominated regions due to the diffusion of radiation into the magnetic flux tubes. Using a simplified, yet physically self-consistent, model that takes this effect into account, we show that the hard X-ray spectrum of some GBHCs can be explained as the X-ray emission by magnetic flares only when the disk's bolometric luminosity is a relatively small fraction ($\sim 5\%$) of the Eddington value, L_{Edd} . Further, we compute the hard (20 – 200 keV) and soft (1 – 20 keV) X-ray power as a function of the disk's luminosity, and find an excellent agreement with the available data for GBHC transient and persistent sources. We conclude that the observed high-energy spectrum of stellar-sized accretion disk systems can be explained by Comptonization of the disk's soft radiation by the hot gas trapped inside the magnetic flares when the luminosity falls in the range $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-1} \times L_{\text{Edd}}$. For higher luminosities, another emission mechanism must be at work. For lower luminosities, the X-ray emissivity may still be dominated by magnetic flares, but this process is more likely to be thermal or non-thermal bremsstrahlung, so that the X-ray spectrum below $\sim 10^{-3}L_{\text{Edd}}$ may be quite distinct from the typical hard spectrum for higher luminosities.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — black hole physics — galaxies: Seyfert — magnetic fields — plasmas — radiative transfer

¹Presidential Young Investigator.

1. Introduction

Many Galactic Black hole Candidates (GBHC) display two relatively well defined (“hard” and “soft”) spectral states (Grove et al. 1997; Zhang et al. 1997a). There is no well established theory as to why these transitions occur, nor is there a complete understanding of what constitutes either of these definite states, though a variety of models have been suggested.

Recently, Nayakshin & Melia (1997a) have shown that the spectrum of Cygnus X-1 in its hard state can be explained by the two-phase model with magnetic flares accounting for the patchy overlying corona, if one takes into account the time-dependent nature of these flares (also referred to below as Active Regions [AR]). The two-phase model was originally applied to Seyfert Galaxies by Haardt & Maraschi (1991, 1993) (though the model of a hot corona above the cold accretion disk was suggested long before that for the Galactic source Cygnus X-1; see, e.g., Liang & Price 1977) in order to explain the observed multi-wave band spectrum of these sources, and appears to be successful in accounting for many of the observed features in these objects (see also Galeev, Rosner & Viana 1979; Field & Rogers 1993; Haardt, Maraschi & Ghisellini 1994; Svensson 1996; Nayakshin & Melia 1997b,c,d). Nayakshin & Melia (1997a) also showed that the differences in the spectrum, the ionization state of the cold disk, and the iron lines and edges in the hard state of Cygnus X-1 compared to the corresponding observables in Seyfert Galaxies may be a natural consequence of a change in the physical state of the *transition layer*, where the hard X-rays from the ARs are reflected or reprocessed. Therefore, the magnetic flare-cold disk model appears to be a “unifying” link between Seyferts and GBHCs in their hard state.

In view of the fact that GBHCs display the softer spectral state as well, it would be helpful to examine more fully the physics of magnetic flares in a cold disk to see if these can provide an explanation for both states, and the transitions between them. In this *Letter*, we attempt to determine whether or not the flare activity is correlated with the physical state of the disk. In particular, we shall examine whether the rate of magnetic flare generation within a radiation-dominated disk is diminished relative to that in a gas dominated configuration. If this holds true, then the model would predict that radiation-dominated accre-

tion disks should be in a softer state, while gas dominated disks should be in the hard state. Finally, we will compare the model predictions with the available observations of GBHCs and Neutron star (NS) systems.

2. Energy Transport due to Magnetic Flares

The reasons why strong X-ray emission might be expected from magnetic flares in accretion disks were first pointed out by Galeev et al. (1979), who showed that magnetic field dissipation inside the disk is not efficient in limiting the magnetic field growth. The field is therefore likely to grow to its equipartition value and then be expelled from the disk due to magnetic buoyancy. Once outside, the field can in principle transfer its energy to particles by reconnection or some other mechanism, not unlike the manner with which the Sun releases energy in flares (e.g., Parker 1979; Priest 1982). The work of Galeev et al. (1979) shows that magnetic flares may in this fashion account for a substantial energy outflux. The fact that the differential rotation in disks is stronger than in stars might also imply a stronger turbulence, convection and therefore, relatively speaking, possibly even a more intense magnetic field than is seen in stellar environments.

At the same time, the standard accretion disk theory of Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) invokes only radiation transport as the mechanism for transferring the gas thermal energy out of the disk (see Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Blinnikov 1977). We shall try to account for the energy being transported out of the disk by magnetic flares with a simple parameterization (cf. Svensson & Zdziarski 1994; hereafter SZ94). The vertical velocity associated with the flux tube buoyancy is limited to the gas sound speed, and the magnetic pressure is limited by the gas plus radiation pressure. Accordingly, we will parameterize the magnetic energy flux for the gas dominated region of the disk as $F_m \equiv \beta c_s P$, where β is a dimensionless parameter of order 1 or less. In equilibrium, assuming that the inward advection of energy in the disk is not dominant, the gravitational energy dissipation rate should be approximately equal to the power carried outwards by the radiation (with a flux $F_r = cP_r/\tau_d$, in terms of the radiation pressure P_r and the disk vertical optical depth τ_d) and the magnetic field.

Vishniac (1995) has argued that β is likely to be of order α^2 , where α is the viscosity parameter in

a standard accretion disk. With this prescription, the magnetic flare energy flux would only be a small ($\sim \alpha$) fraction of the radiative power from the disk. In a longer follow-up paper (Nayakshin & Melia 1997e, hereafter paper II) we shall discuss why β can be much larger than this estimate for magnetic flux tubes with a large spatial scale (i.e., $\sim H$, where H is the disk scale height). We point out that β may be as large as α , which will be interpreted as an indication that the magnetic field energy transport can be extremely efficient compared to that due to radiation (see discussion below and Eq. (1)).

Before investigating the consequences of this parameterization of the magnetic energy flux, let us first discuss the analogous situation in radiation dominated disks. Here, the physics is not as clear cut since the radiation does not interact directly with the magnetic field, but rather via the particles. As is well known (e.g., Parker 1979), the maximum pressure within a magnetic flux tube is set by the external pressure. However, when the flux tube is optically thin, even if it were radiation free initially, the photons diffusing into it would eventually raise the internal radiation pressure to the external value, and the internal magnetic field pressure could then be only as large as the gas pressure. In paper II, we will provide an estimate showing that this is indeed the case for accretion disks in the standard theory (see also Sakimoto & Coroniti 1989; Stella & Rosner 1985). We expect that in the radiation-dominated regime, most of the magnetic field is diffuse, or at best that it forms weak flux tubes, and that it therefore results in a more nearly uniform heating of the upper disk atmosphere as opposed to localized high-energy magnetic flares.

To formalize in the simplest way the foregoing discussion in our modeling of the magnetic flare flux, F_m , we will write $F_m = \beta c_g P_g$ for both the gas- and radiation-dominated disks, in which $c_g \equiv [P_g/\rho]^{1/2}$, and P_g and ρ are the gas pressure and density, respectively. This parameterization assumes that the radiation is completely decoupled from the magnetic field, so that only the gas pressure can contribute to the strength of the flare. A more sophisticated approach than this will be given in paper II. Here we use the simpler approach for clarity, since it provides a good overall description of the magnetic flux and is well suited for comparing to observations of GBHCs. Using the standard disk equations (e.g., Frank, King & Raine 1992), one can show that the total dissipation rate of the gravitational energy per unit area of

one side of the disk is $D(R) = (9/8)\alpha c_s P$. In a steady state disk with negligible advection, $D(R)$ should be equal to the magnetic plus radiative flux. Therefore, for the radiation flux one can write

$$\frac{cP_{\text{rad}}}{\tau_d} = (9/8)\alpha c_s P \left[1 - \frac{8\beta}{9\alpha} \left(\frac{P_g}{P_g + P_{\text{rad}}} \right)^{3/2} \right]. \quad (1)$$

This expression is in the form of Equation (4) of SZ94, who assumed that only a fraction $1 - f$ of the gravitational energy is dissipated inside the disk (and subsequently is transferred out by radiation), whereas the remaining fraction f is transferred to the overlying optically thin corona and is dissipated there. We thus see that the fraction f of SZ94 can be interpreted in our picture to be $(8\beta/9\alpha) P_g^{3/2}/(P_g + P_{\text{rad}})^{3/2}$. However, we caution that locally, the ratio f_{loc} of the X-ray flux from the AR to the local intrinsic disk flux *during the flare* is still much higher than $f/(1 - f)$, because the latter is the ratio averaged over the whole disk, i.e., it includes regions where flares are not active at a given time. To reconcile these two quantities, we introduce the covering fraction $f_c \ll 1$ of the magnetic flares (see Haardt et al. 1994), defined as the ratio of the total area covered by the active regions at a given time to the whole area of the disk enclosed by a characteristic size R_g dominating the emission, such that $f_{\text{loc}} = f_c^{-1} f/(1 - f)$. This allows the X-ray spectrum to be steep even in cases where $f \lesssim 1/2$.

3. Spectral Transitions in Accretion Disk Systems

We will now attempt to use our very simple model for the magnetic flux to delineate the distinct regions of the solution phase space. The most important parameter is the dimensionless accretion rate, \dot{m} , defined as $\dot{m} \equiv \dot{M}c^2/L_{\text{Edd}}$, where \dot{M} is the actual accretion rate, and $L_{\text{Edd}} = 1.26 \times 10^{39} M_{10}$ erg/sec is the Eddington luminosity for a 10 Solar mass black hole ($M_{10} \equiv M/10 M_{\odot}$). First of all, it is already clear that the model predicts that the X-ray spectrum softens as the accretion rate increases and the radiation pressure starts to dominate over the gas pressure, since the importance of magnetic flares as the mechanism for generating an outward energy flux decreases. At the same time, the vertical optical depth of the accretion disk decreases (see SZ94) with increasing \dot{m} , and so the average photon finds it easier to escape from the disk, thus making radiation transport more important compared to the flares.

To quantify this situation, we shall seek an approximate description of the ratio $x \equiv P_{\text{rad}}/P_{\text{g}}$ for all accretion rates. We do this by taking the average of this ratio (found in SZ94) for the radiation-dominated and gas dominated disks. Also, we define $f_0 \equiv (8\beta/9\alpha)$, which is the limiting value of f for $x \ll 1$. The fraction f as a function of x is then $f(x) = f_0/(1+x)^{3/2}$. Carrying this derivation out, we obtain an implicit relation between \dot{m} and x :

$$x = 1/2 \left[A\dot{m}^2(1-f(x))^{9/4} + B\dot{m}^{4/5}(1-f(x))^{9/10} \right], \quad (2)$$

where $A \equiv 12.8(\alpha M_1)^{1/4} r_6^{-21/8} [J(r)/0.3]^2$, and $B \equiv 3(\alpha M_1)^{1/10} r_6^{-21/20} [J(r)/0.3]^{4/5}$, with $r_6 = r/6$, $J(r) = 1 - \sqrt{3}/r$ and r is the distance from the black hole in terms of the gravitational radius.

In Figure 1, we show the run of $1 - f(x)$ versus the accretion rate \dot{m} . The curves are labeled by their corresponding value of f_0 . For $1 - f(x) \ll 1$, the accretion disk solution is that dominated by magnetic flares. In the context of our model, this region corresponds to the hard states of GBHCs and NS systems (see Nayakshin & Melia 1997a for a discussion of the Cygnus X-1 hard state spectrum). The region to the right of the ‘‘kink’’ in the curves corresponds to the soft states. However, we should keep in mind the fact that this very simple model yields the *minimum* \dot{m} for which the transition can occur, since we have assumed that the radiation is completely decoupled from the magnetic field, so that the flares subside as $P_{\text{rad}}/P_{\text{g}}$ becomes larger than 1. At the same time, the accretion disk is still optically thick at $P_{\text{rad}}/P_{\text{g}} \sim 1$, as one may confirm using the results of SZ94 (assuming $\dot{m} \sim 1$). Therefore, we should also investigate the possibility that the transition occurs at a higher value of the ratio $P_{\text{rad}}/P_{\text{g}}$. To account for this qualitatively, we assume that $f(x)$ may be written as $f(x) = f_0/(1+(x/x_c))^{3/2}$, where $x_c \geq 1$. The physical meaning of the parameter x_c is that the radiation decouples from the gas when $P_{\text{rad}}/P_{\text{g}}$ is larger than x_c . The run of $1 - f(x)$ versus the accretion rate \dot{m} is in this case shown in Figure 2, where the solid curve corresponds to the case $x_c = 1$.

Comparing Figures 1 and 2, we see that magnetic flares are important in the overall disk energy balance when the parameter f_0 is close to 1, i.e., $1 - f_0 \ll 1$. If the actual value of x_c is close to 1, then the transition from flare-dominated to radiation transfer dominated disks is relatively gradual, and occurs over a dynamic range in $\dot{m} \gtrsim 3$. However, for $x_c \sim 3$, the transition

can be very abrupt, and may be triggered with just a 30% change in \dot{m} . This is interesting in view of the fact that recent state transitions in Cygnus X-1 have been observed to occur with at most a 30% change in the overall disk luminosity (proportional to \dot{m} in this model) during a hard-soft-hard cycle (Zhang et al. 1997b). Finally, $x_c \gtrsim 3$ may lead to two values of f for the same \dot{m} , i.e., the disk may be either in the hard or the soft state. It is not yet clear whether the hard state is stable against decay to the soft state in this region of phase space, since a vertical perturbation of the disk may result in a decoupling of the radiation from the flares and a consequent transition from the hard to the soft state. Moreover, with our simple parameterization, it is difficult to judge what range of x_c is physical. This question will be pursued in the future, but for now we will study cases with $x_c = 1$ and 2 as representative values in order to compare the predictions of our model with the observations.

4. Phase Space Trajectory of Transient GBHCs and NS Systems

Recently, Barret, McClintock & Grindlay (1996) assembled a sample of GBHCs and several other transient sources in a $L_{\text{hx}} - L_{\text{x}}$ phase space, where L_{hx} is the hard X-ray luminosity in the range 20 – 200 keV, and L_{x} is the X-ray luminosity in the range 1 – 20 keV. One of the striking results of this exercise is that both neutron stars and GBHCs always have relatively soft spectra when they radiate at a high fraction of their Eddington luminosity. In particular, none of the former show a hard tail in their spectrum at a luminosity higher than about 2×10^{37} erg s $^{-1}$, or ~ 0.1 of the Eddington luminosity for a 1.4 M_{\odot} NS. For GBHCs, this dividing luminosity is a fraction of 10^{38} erg s $^{-1}$, or about $0.03 - 0.1 \times L_{\text{Edd}}$ for a 5 – 10 M_{\odot} black hole. Similarly, Grove, Kroeger & Strickman (1997) and Grove et. al. (1998) showed that GBHCs occupy at least four spectral states in order of decreasing X-ray luminosity. In particular, they found that when the X-ray luminosity (above 1 keV) is at about the Eddington limit, the spectrum is dominated by the so-called ultrasoft blackbody component with $kT \sim 1$ keV; a weak hard tail is seen above ~ 10 keV, and rapid intensity variations are present. At lower luminosities (typically $\sim 0.1L_{\text{Edd}}$), the spectrum again shows an ultrasoft component and a weak hard tail, but rapid intensity variations are weak or absent. The hard state exhibits a single power-law spectrum with a photon number index $\Gamma \sim 1.5 - 2$, and corresponds

to a luminosity in the range $10^{36-37.5}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Finally, for the low luminosity, quiescent state, $L_X < 10^{34}$ erg s $^{-1}$, but its spectral shape is not very well known. We note that the luminosity above 1 keV should be close to the disk’s bolometric luminosity since the temperature in the soft state is about 1 keV, and thus most of the blackbody power should lie above 1 keV, whereas in the hard state the intrinsic disk temperature can be much smaller, i.e., $\gtrsim 0.1$ keV, but its contribution to the overall spectrum is negligible compared to that of the hard power-law (see, e.g., Gierlinski et al. 1996).

Interpreting these intriguing results in terms of the accretion rate (assuming a rest mass energy conversion efficiency of 0.06), we see that the accretion rate dividing the hard and soft states seems to be $\dot{m}_{\text{crit}} \sim 1$ for GBHCs and ~ 1.6 for NS. Positioning these values in Figure 2, it is evident that those curves with $x_c = P_{\text{rad}}/P_g \sim 1$ —few correspond to a transition from the flare-dominated to the no-flare regimes at $\dot{m} \sim 0.3 - 2$. In addition, we note that the intrinsic universality of the two-phase model is well suited to explain the relatively broad luminosity range ($10^{36-37.5}$ erg s $^{-1}$) covered by the hard state. Indeed, this spectral universality was the primary reason for the original application by Haardt & Maraschi (1991) of the two-phase model to Seyfert Galaxies, whose spectra display the “standard” intrinsic X-ray photon index $\Gamma \sim 1.9$. Namely, once $1 - f(x) \ll 1$, the X-ray spectral slope and the ratio L_{hx}/L_x are dictated by the reflection/reprocessing of X-rays at the surface of the underlying cold disk. It is unlikely that these processes will scale significantly with the bolometric luminosity in GBHCs (see, e.g., Nayakshin & Melia 1997a,b), and we therefore expect for the region $1 - f(x) \ll 1$, that the ratio L_{hx}/L_x will be approximately independent of the source luminosity. However, this situation is bound to break down at some relatively low luminosity, since one of the key assumptions of the model is that the formation of the spectrum is due to the Compton up-scattering of the lower energy photons. At the same time, the compactness of the AR is decreasing with decreasing \dot{m} , and at $l \lesssim 0.5$ bremsstrahlung takes over as the leading radiation mechanism (see Fabian 1994). In addition, the explanation for the observed optical depth of Seyferts and GBHCs proposed by Nayakshin & Melia (1997c) requires the compactness to be larger than unity as well. Using the estimates of Nayakshin & Melia (1997d), it is possible to show that the lowest luminosity for which the two-phase model is still

valid is likely to be of order $10^{-3} \times L_{\text{Edd}}$ (using their Eq.[5] with $\alpha \sim 0.1$, $b \sim 10$, and with a magnetic pressure within the flux tube set at the disk equipartition value; a smaller magnetic pressure will only increase this luminosity). Below this minimum luminosity, the spectrum should be quite different from that in the hard state, and it is likely to be dominated by the soft intrinsic disk emission. A power-law tail similar to the Solar X-ray spectrum may be present as well, since a compactness $\ll 1$ is also pertinent to the magnetic flares in the Sun. Accordingly, both the minimum and maximum luminosities of the hard state in GBHCs with $M \sim 6 M_\odot$ seem to be consistent with the predictions of the magnetic flare-disk model.

Barret et al. (1996) also plotted the evolutionary track of the GBHC transient source GRS 1124-68 on the same $L_{\text{hx}} - L_x$ phase diagram. During its evolution, this source occupied both a hard and a soft state, and it spanned a broad range in luminosity. It therefore constitutes an especially interesting object to study in the context of our model. To simulate its spectral evolution, we assume the spectral model of Nayakshin & Melia (1997a) in order to describe the hard spectral state of the accretion disk. For a given \dot{m} , we can find the corresponding value of x from Equation (1), which allows us to compute $f(x)$, i.e., the fraction of the gravitational energy being deposited within the active region. For simplicity, we also assume a fixed covering fraction $f_c = 0.2$; our results depend very weakly on this value since the phase space trajectory is decided mostly by the evolution of $f(x)$, describing the partitioning of the total available power into the cold disk and flare components. It is then possible to determine the spectrum for a broad range of \dot{m} , and in Figure 3, we show the decomposition of this spectrum into the hard (L_{hx}) and soft (L_x) components (for two values of x_c —see the caption to the Figure), together with the data for GRS 1124-68 (adopted from Barret et al. 1996). This figure also includes the data for other BHBs (i.e., for GBHCs that have well established mass estimates), whose L_{hx} and L_x are inferred from Figure 1 of Barret et al. (1996), using their Table 1 for the black hole masses. The Cygnus X-1 data are also plotted for reference. Within the framework of this model, the hard X-rays in both the hard and soft states of Cygnus X-1 are created by the same mechanism, viz. magnetic flares, but in the soft state most of the total accretion power is dissipated inside the accretion disk, which acts to soften the spectrum. Here, the

disk's effective temperature T_{bb} is assumed to evolve such that $F_s = \sigma_B T_{\text{bb}}^4$, where F_s is the intrinsic disk flux and σ_B is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant.

It is evident from Figure 3 that the lower part of the phase space trajectory, within the region where $L_x \lesssim 0.2$, is described quite well by the magnetic flare model. As discussed above, the region with $L_x \lesssim 0.02$ corresponds to parameter values where the flares are efficient in producing hard X-rays, and the model predicts a more or less constant ratio L_{hx}/L_x . Above $L_x \lesssim 0.02$, the hard X-ray luminosity declines sharply due to a decrease in the efficiency of magnetic flare generation, since the radiation pressure, constituting the largest reservoir of available energy when $P_{\text{rad}} \gg P_g$, decouples from the magnetic field.

What happens at a still higher value of \dot{m} where the model cannot reproduce the sharp rise in the hard X-ray luminosity? This question is beyond the scope of the present paper, since this high accretion rate is clearly outside the region of applicability of the magnetic flare model. For such high values of \dot{m} , the magnetic flares become ineffective in transporting the dissipated gravitational energy out of the disk. At the same time, it is well known that radiation dominated disks are unstable, and thus it may well be that the disk finds another mechanism for transporting energy outwards. For example, the disk may establish a full corona, heated by hydromagnetic waves, or the disk may evolve into the two-temperature configuration of Shapiro, Eardley & Lightman (1974; see also Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Blinnikov 1977). Whatever its nature, the disk must clearly use a different mechanism for producing the X-rays because the dip in the $L_{\text{hx}} - L_x$ plane is very sharp, suggesting that one emissivity law is being phased out while a second is being turned on. We will address this question more fully in future work. There also remains a great deal to be done with Neutron star systems, which we have only touched on here. Nevertheless, the qualitative luminosity-spectral state relationship for NSs should be similar to that of the black holes, and on this basis we conclude that the spectral evolution of GX-339 shown in Figure 3 of Barret et al. (1996) identifies it as either a black hole positioned further away (~ 6 kpc) than usually assumed, or more likely, as a neutron star.

5. Discussion

We have shown that the magnetic flare-disk model makes clear predictions about the relationship between the spectrum and the accretion rate (or equivalently, the total disk luminosity). In particular, this model predicts that more luminous sources should have softer X-ray spectra, if their luminosity is larger than about 5% of their Eddington limit. We have compared the model predictions with the available data for GBHCs and NS systems, and have found a good agreement with the observations within the uncertainties. Perhaps the most important conclusion we can make is that if the luminosity-spectral state relationship reported here is confirmed by future studies, this representation may allow us to estimate the mass of disk-accreting X-ray sources whose bolometric luminosity is known, and may thus prove to be a valuable tool in both galactic and extragalactic X-ray astronomy.

6. Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by NASA grant NAG 5-3075.

REFERENCES

- Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G. S., & Blinnikov, S. I. 1977, *A&A*, **59**, 111
- Field, G. B., & Rogers, R. D. 1993, *ApJ*, **403**, 94.
- Galeev, A. A., Rosner, R., & Vaiana, G. S., 1979, *ApJ*, **229**, 318.
- Haardt F. & Maraschi L., 1991, *ApJ*, **380**, L51.
- Grove, J.E., Kroeger, R.A. & Strickman, M.S. 1997, Proc. 2nd Integral Workshop, ESA SP-382, p. 197
- Grove, J.E., Johnson, W.N., Kroeger, R.A., McNaron-Brown, K., & Skibo, J.G. 1998, preprint (submitted to *ApJ*).
- Liang, A.P.T., & Price, R.H. 1977, *ApJ*, **218**, 247.
- Haardt, F., & Maraschi, L., 1991, *ApJL*, **380**, L51.
- Haardt, F., & Maraschi, L., 1993, *ApJ*, **413**, 507.
- Haardt, F., Maraschi, L., & Ghisellini, G. 1994, *ApJL*, **432**, L95.
- Nayakshin, S., & Melia, F. 1997a, submitted to *ApJL*, also astro-ph/9709286

Nayakshin, S., & Melia, F. 1997b, *ApJL*, **484**, L103.

Nayakshin, S., & Melia, F. 1997c, *ApJL*, **489**, in press, also astro-ph/9705255

Nayakshin, S., & Melia, F. 1997d, submitted to *ApJ*, also astro-ph/9705254

Nayakshin, S., & Melia, F. 1997e, in preparation, to be submitted to *ApJ*.

Parker, E.N. 1979, *Cosmical Magnetic Fields*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.

Priest, E.R., *Solar magneto-hydrodynamics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1982.

Rybicki, G. B., & Lightman, A.P., 1979, *Radiative Processes in Astrophysics*, John Wiley and Sons: New York.

Sakimoto, P.J., & Coroniti, F.V. 1989, *ApJ*, **342**, 49.

Shakura, N.I., & Sunyaev, R.A. 1973, *A&A*, **24**, 337

Shapiro, S.L., Lightman, D.M., & Eardley, S.L. 1976, *ApJ*, **242**, 374.

Stella, L., & Rosner, R. 1984, *ApJ*, **277**, 312.

Svensson, R., & Zdziarski, A. 1994, *ApJ*, **436**, 599.

Svensson, R. 1996, *A&AS*, 120, 475

Vishniac, E. 1995, *ApJ*, **451**, 816.

Zhang, S.N., et. al. 1997a, invited review at the 4th Compton Symposium, Williamsburg, VA, April 1997, also astro-ph/9707321

Zhang, S.N., et. al. 1997b, *ApJL*, **477**, L95.

Fig. 1.— Fraction of the energy outflux due to radiation transport as a function of the dimensionless accretion rate. Curves are labeled by their corresponding values of f_0 (see text). Notice that in the region with small \dot{m} , the energy outflux is mostly due to flares, whereas for large \dot{m} the radiation transport is most efficient.

Fig. 2.— Same as Figure 1, for $f_0 = 0.99$ and for three different values of the parameter x_c , i.e. the ratio of P_{rad}/P_g where magnetic flares become inefficient in the energy transfer out of the disk (see text).

Fig. 3.— Space phase trajectory of an accretion disk system in the hard-soft X-ray luminosity coordinates for $x_c = 1$, $\eta = 0.12$ (solid curve) and $x_c = 2$, $\eta = 0.06$ (dashed curve), where η is the efficiency of converting gravitational energy into radiation. Data for the transient source GRS 1124-68 evolution are shown with the dots connected by the dashed line. Cyg X-1 1970's hard and soft states (see explanation in Barret et al. 1997) are shown with large polygons, whereas newer data due to Zhang et. al. (1997b) are shown by the two square boxes. Filled triangles represent the other well established black hole systems from Fig. 1 of Barret et. al. (1997).

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

