

A candidate γ -ray pulsar in the supernova remnant CTA 1

K.T.S. Brazier¹, O. Reimer², G. Kanbach² and A. Carramiñana³

¹ *Department of Physics, University of Durham, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, England*

² *Max-Planck Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, 85740 Garching bei München, Germany*

³ *Astrofísica, INAOE, Luis Enrique Erro 1, Tonantzintla, Puebla 72840, Mexico*

Key words: ISM: individual objects (CTA 1, G119.5+10.2) — γ -rays: observations — X-rays: observations

ABSTRACT

We present a detailed analysis of the high energy γ -ray source 2EG J0008+7307. The source has a steady flux and a hard spectrum, softening above 2 GeV. The properties of the gamma-ray source are suggestive of emission from a young pulsar in the spatially coincident CTA 1 supernova remnant, which has recently been found to have a non-thermal X-ray plerion. Our 95% uncertainty contour around the > 1 GeV source position includes the point-like X-ray source at the centre of the plerion. We propose that this object is a young pulsar and is the most likely counterpart of 2EG J0008+7307.

1 THE *EGRET* SOURCE 2EG J0008+7307

The high-energy γ -ray source 2EG J0008+7307, announced in the First *EGRET* catalogue as a ‘high confidence’ detection (GRO J0004+73; Fichtel et al., 1994), and included as a $\sim 9\sigma$ source in the Second *EGRET* (2EG) catalogue of point sources (Thompson et al., 1995), is still unidentified. Nolan et al. (1996) found on the basis of *EGRET* data from observation phases 1 and 2 that the source had a spectral index of -1.58 ± 0.20 and was variable ($> 95\%$ confidence). In McLaughlin et al., (1996), however, the source was placed slightly above their variability threshold, but close to the range in which variability is uncertain. 2EG J0008+7307 is a well-defined, isolated source 10.5 degrees from the Galactic plane.

In this paper we attempt to identify 2EG J0008+7307. To date, primary candidates for *EGRET* sources have included blazars, pulsars, and more diffuse objects such as supernova remnants (SNRs) and OB associations, which may appear as *EGRET* point sources. Only blazars and pulsars have been unambiguously identified as *EGRET* sources (see e.g. von Montigny et al., 1995; Thompson et al., 1994). The two source types are theoretically easy to distinguish: blazars are highly variable, have power-law spectra of order ~ 2 or steeper and are spread uniformly across the sky; pulsars are persistent long-term sources, have harder spectra and are often associated with supernova remnants. A γ -ray flux from a *known* pulsar can be verified by the detection of pulsations, which make up close to 100% of the radiation at these energies. Historically, radio observations have provided the accurate pulse timing measurements used in this work, but such measurements are not available for either radio-quiet or unknown radio-loud pulsars. Distinguishing an *unknown* pulsar from possible SNR emission is difficult.

The two may be spatially indistinguishable to *EGRET* and we must rely initially on spectral evidence and data from other wavelengths with higher angular resolution. Careful analysis of the primary γ -ray data is of course essential.

2 DETAILED ANALYSIS OF *EGRET* DATA

The *EGRET* database has now expanded beyond the two and three years’ data analysed by Nolan et al. (1996) and McLaughlin et al. (1996) respectively. Throughout this paper, we will use data from the first five years of *EGRET* operation (Phases 1–5). This large database has enabled us to complete a more detailed study of 2EG J0008+7307 than has previously been made.

Because this source is clearly visible above 1 GeV, we have been able to determine its position from likelihood analysis (Mattox et al., 1996) of data from these high energies alone, where the instrumental point spread function is much smaller than at lower energies. The > 1 GeV position of $l=119.87$, $b=10.52$ is compatible with the positions listed in the 2EG catalogue ($l=119.77$, $b=10.52$) and in Nolan et al. ($l=119.81$, $b=10.65$). The improved statistics from the increased database, combined with the benefits of the > 1 GeV analysis, reduce the positional error to 11 arcmin at the 95% contour and 8 arcmin at the 68% contour. The source position uncertainty is also small because 2EG J0008+7307 is well outside the Galactic plane and no other *EGRET* sources are detected nearby.

The smaller datasets used by Nolan et al. (1996) and McLaughlin et al. (1996) indicated some variability in 2EG J0008+7307. Using the > 1 GeV source position, we have examined the viewing periods 018, 022, 034, 211, 303.2, 319, 319.5, 325, 401 and 530 in order to determine

the long term flux history of the source. This analysis included only observations in which the offset of the source from the *EGRET* axis was < 35 degrees. The lightcurve of 2EG J0008+7307 from these observations is shown in Fig. 1, in which fluxes are for photon energies above 100 MeV, from a source at the > 1 GeV position. Using the method described by McLaughlin et al., we find a variability index $V = -\log(Q)$ of 0.55, where $V \geq 1$ indicates variability. Q is defined as

$$Q = 1 - P\left(\frac{N_{obs} - 1}{2}, \frac{\chi^2}{2}\right),$$

where $P(a, b)$ is the incomplete gamma function, N_{obs} is the number of observations analysed and, as discussed in McLaughlin et al., 6.5% systematic errors in the fluxes were included. There is therefore no significant variability in the source. The apparent variability claimed by Nolan et al. in Phases 1 – 2 may have resulted from the inclusion of 2 observations in which 2EG J0008+7307 was > 35 degrees from the instrument axis, where the response is less well known and effective area is low. McLaughlin et al. used only three observations (Phases 1 – 3) with an off-angle < 30 degrees, of which one in their analysis did not result in detection of the source.

As the source flux is consistent with non-variability, we were able to construct a single spectrum from 30–10000 MeV (Fig. 2) using the four prime observations in which the source was within 20 degrees of the instrument axis. Each of these observations taken individually gives a significant detection of the source. The spectral index of -1.58 ± 0.18 , obtained from a fit to the data between 70 and 2000 MeV, is consistent with the indices found by Nolan et al. (1996) and Thompson et al. (1995); the smaller uncertainty in the new spectral index results largely from replacing two upper limits (70–200 MeV) with detections from the larger dataset. The spectrum is extremely well determined between 200–2000 MeV, with index -1.58 ± 0.09 . Above 2000 MeV the spectrum softens, falling below the extrapolated power law.

The total flux above 100 MeV implied by this spectrum is $46.4 \pm 6.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for Phases 1–5, compatible with the values of $(55.2 \pm 8.0, 56.8 \pm 8.2, 40.1 \pm 7.1) \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ calculated by previous authors (Nolan et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1995; McLaughlin et al., 1996).

3 IDENTIFICATION OF 2EG J0008+7307

The clear position of 2EG J0008+7307 makes it an excellent target for identification work. The source was discussed in Nolan et al. (1996) as an intermediate-latitude source and it was suggested that the most plausible counterpart was the brightest point-like X-ray source in the field, an AGN identified by Seward, Schmidt and Slane (1995, SSS). From Fig. 3 it is clear that this is now an unlikely candidate, since the AGN is not consistent with the refined *EGRET* position. Furthermore, the optical spectrum presented by SSS has broad emission lines and the object has a radio flux of less than roughly 20 mJy (cf. Pineault et al. 1993). We propose that the AGN is more likely to be a Seyfert unrelated to the *EGRET* source.

Mattox et al. (1997) assigned probabilities to the identification of *EGRET* sources with catalogued flat-spectrum




Figure 1. *EGRET* flux history for 2EG J0008+7307, from 1992–1995 (observation phases 1–4). The horizontal line is the weighted mean of the individual fluxes.




Figure 2. The high energy γ -ray spectrum of 2EG J0008+7307, derived from data taken between 1992 and 1995. To maximise data quality, observations were included in this analysis only if the source was within 20 degrees of the target direction. The power law model was fitted between photon energies of 70 and 2000 MeV; there is evidence of spectral softening above 2000 MeV.

radio sources. For 2EG J0008+7307 only a single, improbable counterpart was found (QSO B0016+731), which is about 1 degree from the *EGRET* source position. We can now definitely exclude QSO B0016+731, on the grounds of inconsistency with our *EGRET* source position. No other catalogued point-like object has been proposed as the source of the gamma-ray emission.

2EG J0008+7307 is coincident with a supernova remnant, G119.5+10.2 (CTA 1). The radio remnant is an incomplete shell, approximately 100 arcmin in diameter, with a diffuse bar running across its centre from north to south. Pineault et al. (1993, 1997) propose that the missing north-west part of the shell can be explained by expansion of the remnant into a low density region of the interstellar medium. They also derive a kinematic distance of 1.4 ± 0.3 kpc from neutral hydrogen observations. The age of the remnant is estimated from the $\Sigma - D$ relationship to be 10^4 years but could be as low as 5000 years (Slane et al. 1997).




Figure 3. Smoothed *ROSAT* PSPC image of the centre of CTA 1 (greyscale and fine contours), overlaid with 68% and 95% confidence contours of the *EGRET* source position (heavy contours). The AGN identified by SSS (SSS 2, RX J0002.4+7254) and the pulsar candidate are indicated. Other point sources are marked by crosses.

Source	SSS number	RA (J2000)	Dec. (J2000)	Uncert. (arcsec)	PSPC cts/ksec
RXJ0003.3+7313	3	00 ^h 03 ^m 23 ^s .0	73° 13 13''	6	7 ± 1
RXJ0007.0+7302	7	00 ^h 07 ^m 00 ^s .6	73° 02 57''	6	5 ± 1
RXJ0002.4+7254	2	00 ^h 02 ^m 27 ^s .5	72° 54 36''	2	35 ± 2
RXJ0005.1+7253	5	00 ^h 05 ^m 06 ^s .1	72° 53 20''	4	5 ± 1
RXJ0007.1+7246	-	00 ^h 07 ^m 05 ^s .2	72° 46 11''	10	8 ± 1

Table 1. Point sources detected in the *ROSAT* PSPC data illustrated in Fig. 3. RX J0002.4+7254 (SSS 2) is the AGN identified by SSS and previously proposed as the counterpart to 2EG J0008+7307. RX J0007.0+7302 (SSS 7) is suggested by Slane et al. (1997) to be the object powering the diffuse X-ray emission in that region. Position uncertainties are statistical only.

3.1 X-ray observations of CTA 1

As a high-latitude SNR, CTA 1 is relatively uncontaminated by foreground or background Galactic emission and has attracted observations in radio, infrared, optical and X-ray bands. Of particular interest to the purposes of this paper

are the *ROSAT* and *ASCA* soft X-ray data, presented by SSS and Slane et al. (1997) respectively. Parts of the remnant were also observed by the *Einstein* satellite (Seward 1990).

The *ROSAT* PSPC data (Fig. 3) confirm that CTA 1 is dominated in X-rays by a patch of central, diffuse emission

that coincides with the brightest part of the 22 MHz image of the remnant and the northern part of the north-south 1420 MHz bar (Pineault et al., 1993, 1997). This places it in a class of “composite” remnants that are shell-type radio remnants and centre-filled in X-rays.

Five point sources within the remnant boundaries are also detected in the *ROSAT* data and are listed in Table 1. Note that the positions and fluxes listed in Table 1 differ from the standard analysis (SASS) results presented by SSS. The difference is explained by the two methods used: SASS uses a sliding box method to detect and characterise sources; the results in Table 1 were obtained with the EXSAS software package (Zimmerman et al., 1997) maximum likelihood fit to the point spread function and are more reliable. The extended emission around RX J0007.0+7302 is sufficiently broad and smooth for us to neglect its effect on this simple point source analysis.

The area enclosed by the *EGRET* 95% confidence contour includes only one of the *ROSAT* sources, RX J0007.0+7302. *ROSAT* exposure across the *EGRET* region is, however, uneven, preventing a useful calculation of the detection limit. It is not possible from the currently available X-ray data to determine the nature of RX J0007.0+7302, but the source is at the centre of the diffuse emission that is the brightest part of the X-ray remnant. ASCA data have recently revealed that this diffuse emission has a non-thermal spectrum (Slane et al. 1997), strongly suggesting that it is driven by a pulsar. Slane et al. propose that RX J0007.0+7302 is the pulsar. They place an upper limit of 75% on the pulsed contribution to the point source emission, which is not a restrictive limit given the low pulsed X-ray fluxes from known pulsars of around 10,000 years age.

3.2 Optical observations of RX J0007.0+7302

In order to search for an optical counterpart to RX J0007.0+7302, observations were carried out on Jan 1st – 5th 1997 at the “Guillermo Haro” astrophysical observatory located at Cananea, northern Mexico. Using the 2.12m telescope with the faint-object spectrograph camera, which was designed by the Landessternwarte Heidelberg-Königstuhl specifically for searches for counterparts to ROSAT sources, a series of B,V,R and I images were taken of a 6×10 arcmin field centred on RX J0007.0+7302. These were then analysed using the IRAF software package. Individual exposure times ranged between 300 and 1800 seconds and the combined exposure time of all frames recorded was over 8 hours (~ 2 hours per filter). Reference star positions were measured from a digitised POSS plate of the field.

The *ROSAT* uncertainty radius was assumed for this work to be 10 arcsec, in order to allow for systematic errors caused by the local diffuse emission or uncertainty in the satellite pointing direction, as well as the statistical 6 arcsec uncertainty listed in Table 1. No object is found in any of the images inside the 10 arcsec error circle for RX J0007.0+7302 (Fig. 4). 3σ limits for an object inside this box are $B \gtrsim 23.1$, $V \gtrsim 22.5$, $R \gtrsim 22.6$ and $I \gtrsim 21.5$. The nearest detection, at $0^{\text{h}} 06^{\text{m}} 58^{\text{s}}.0$, $+73^{\circ} 03' 03''.5$, is $\sim 18''$ from the centre of the error box; this is a $m_V = 17.2$ star of spectral type $\sim K0$ and with no signs of coronal activity. The small absolute magnitude for such a star is consistent with this object’s observed proper motion, which by comparison

with the 1954 POSS image amounts to $1.5''$ over 43 years.

Stocke et al. (1991) produced distributions of the X-ray to optical flux ratios for stars, AGN, galaxy clusters and BL Lacs detected in the *Einstein* Medium Sensitivity Survey. This has been adapted for use with *ROSAT* by Bower et al. (1996), who defined the parameter α_{OX} to be

$$\alpha_{OX} = [11.88 - 0.4m_I - \log(F_{X(0.5-2.0)}/10^{-14})]/2.861,$$

where m_I is the I-band magnitude and $F_{X(0.5-2.0)}$ is the X-ray 0.5–2.0 keV flux in units $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, derived from the ROSAT PSPC count rate by assuming a power law spectrum of photon index -2.

Using this spectral assumption and the N_H measured by Slane et al., the X-ray flux of RX J0007.0+7302 is around $9 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Our upper limit to an optical source within the *ROSAT* error circle in Fig. 4 is $m_I = 21.5$, implying $\alpha_{OX} < 0.82$. An AGN with this small relative optical luminosity is unlikely and a stellar source is ruled out. We require deeper optical and radio observations to address the possibility of a BL Lac.

If the K star is the counterpart to RX J0007.0+7302, then its α_{OX} is 1.5, making it extremely X-ray luminous among stellar sources. This seems unlikely for the spectral type; given the lack of emission lines and the positional offset, we reject the star as the source of the X-ray flux.

4 DISCUSSION

Through a detailed analysis of the *EGRET* data on 2EG J0008+7307, we have established that this gamma-ray source has combined properties found among identified sources only in pulsars: a hard spectrum ($\alpha = -1.58 \pm 0.18$) with steepening above $E_\gamma \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$ and a non-variable flux.

2EG J0008+7307 has been known for some time to be coincident with the supernova remnant CTA 1, which is at a distance of 1.4 kpc and has an estimated age of 10,000 years, similar to the age of the Vela pulsar. Our refined position estimate for the γ -ray source places it in the northeast quadrant of the SNR, which includes the maximum of the non-thermal X-ray emission described by SSS and Slane et al. (1997). Only a handful of X-ray synchrotron nebulae are known, including the nebulae around the Crab, Vela, PSR 1951+32 and PSR 1509-58: powerful particle acceleration in a pulsar magnetosphere supplies both the γ -rays and the synchrotron-emitting wind. Like Slane et al., we propose that the point-like X-ray source RX J0007.0+7302 at the heart of the CTA 1 emission is the pulsar that drives the diffuse X-radiation and we further propose that it is indeed the source of the gamma-rays.

The *EGRET* source properties are consistent with emission from a pulsar associated with CTA 1. For an assumed 1 steradian beam, the observed 100 - 2000 MeV flux corresponds to a luminosity of $4 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, within the range of $9 \times 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Geminga) – $4 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Crab) seen in the six confirmed *EGRET* pulsars. Likewise, the ratio F_γ/F_X is of order 5000, similar to the values seen in the Vela pulsar and Geminga. The spectrum of 2EG J0008+7307 is also consistent with a young pulsar at the distance and age of the SNR, assuming the empirical trend in *EGRET* spectral index with pulsar age (Thompson et al. 1994), although this trend is not tight enough for us to predict the proposed




Figure 4. Left – right, top – bottom: B, V, R and I images of a 1 arcmin field centred on RX J0007.0+7302. The circle has 10 arcsec radius and is centred on the position of the X-ray source. The K star discussed in the text is the bright object to the right of centre.

pulsar’s spin parameters. Neither the X-ray nor the γ -ray source is bright enough for us to attempt to identify pulsations with current data.

The most likely alternative explanation for the *EGRET* flux is that cosmic rays accelerated in the SNR shell produce the γ -rays in the surrounding interstellar medium. A detectable *EGRET* flux from this mechanism requires that the SNR is adjacent to a high density cloud that forms the target for incident cosmic rays, or that it is breaking out of a dense cloud (Aharonian et al, 1994). CTA 1 is indeed thought to be breaking out on its northwest side into a rarer environment, although from a region of only average density (Pineault et al., 1997). Is this a good explanation for the observed γ -ray source? The *EGRET* spectrum is much harder than that predicted by cosmic ray models (Drury et al. (1994)) and the flux is very high for a cloud that is not dense. In addition, the non-thermal X-ray nebula within the SNR argues in favour of a pulsar. The current data therefore do not support the cosmic ray origin of this γ -ray source.

To take the identification further, we must clearly confirm that RX J0007.0+7302 is a pulsar and not merely superimposed on the field by chance. To date, our optical observations have ruled out stellar and most AGN source categories. It is still possible that RX J0007.0+7302 is a BL Lac,

a possibility to be tested by future observations, but with the X-ray, optical and radio fluxes already constrained to be very much fainter than the five BL Lacs seen by *EGRET* (Lin et al., 1996), such an object would be an unlikely explanation for the *EGRET* flux.

No radio pulsed source was detected in CTA 1 during recent surveys of SNRs and *EGRET* sources (Biggs & Lyne, 1996; Nice & Sayer, 1997). These surveys yielded upper limits of 10 mJy (BL, 610 MHz) and ~ 1 mJy (NS, 370 MHz). Targeted observations of RX J0007.0+7302 at the Jodrell Bank 76 m telescope have yielded a limit of 0.3 mJy at 1412 MHz (J. Bell & A.G. Lyne, private communication). Thus, if 2EG J0008+7307 and RX J0007.0+7302 are a pulsar, then it is extremely radio-weak. Completely radio-silent objects visible as gamma-ray pulsars are a prediction of some current pulsar models (e.g. Romani & Yadigaroglu, 1995) and may in fact form the *majority* of pulsars visible as gamma-ray sources. The current bias to radio-loud pulsars in the catalogue of *EGRET* pulsars, with only Geminga radio-quiet, is (probably) due to the relative difficulty of detecting unknown pulsation frequencies in X-ray or gamma-ray data.

A pulsar in CTA 1 would not be a surprise, given the five radio pulsars and one radio-quiet pulsar that are the only galactic *EGRET* sources identified so far (Thompson

et al., 1994), but its discovery is nonetheless important in the task of characterising both the γ -ray sky and the population of pulsars. A further *EGRET* source, 2EG J2020+4026 has also been suggested as a candidate pulsar, probably radio-quiet (Brazier et al., 1996), and a number of papers have concluded that a large fraction of the unidentified *EGRET* sources near the Galactic plane will probably be pulsars, some of them radio-quiet (e.g. Mattox et al., 1997; Yadigaroglu & Romani., 1997; Kanbach et al., 1996; Merck et al., 1996; Sturmer & Dermer, 1995). If confirmed, the proposed pulsar in CTA 1 will strongly support this prediction.

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jon Bell and Andrew Lyne for providing the radio limit from Jodrell Bank. We acknowledge support for this project from the following sources: the British Council and the DAAD (ARC programme); the Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council (PPARC); the Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF); CONACYT, México. This work has made use of the NGS-POSS photographic plates digitised by the Space Telescope Science Institute, USA.

REFERENCES

- Aharonian F.A., Drury L.O'C., Völk H.J., 1994, *A&A*, 285, 645
 Biggs J.D., Lyne A.G., 1996, *MNRAS*, 282, 691
 Bower R.G., et al., 1996, *MNRAS*, 281, 59
 Brazier K.T.S., Kanbach G., Carramiñana A., Guichard J., Merck M., 1996, *MNRAS*, 281 1033
 Drury L. O'C., Aharonian F.A., Völk H.J., 1994, *A&A*, 287, 959
 Fichtel C.E., et al., 1994, *ApJS*, 94, 55
 Kanbach G., et al., 1996, *A&AS*, 120, C461
 Lin Y.C., et al., 1996, *A&AS*, 120, C499
 Mattox J.R., et al., 1996, *ApJ*, 461, 396
 Mattox J.R., Schachter J., Molnar L., Hartman R.C., Patnaik A. R., 1997, *ApJ*, 481, in press
 McLaughlin M.A., Mattox J.R., Cordes J.M., Thompson D.J., 1996, *ApJ*, 473, 763
 Merck M., et al., 1996, *A&AS*, 120, C465
 Nice D.J., Sayer R.W., 1997, *ApJ*, 476, 261
 Nolan P.L., et al., 1996, *ApJ*, 459, 100
 Pineault S., Landecker T.L., Madore B., Gaumont-Guay S., 1993, *AJ*, 105, 1060
 Pineault S., Landecker T.L., Swerdlyk C.M., Reich W., 1997, *A&A*, submitted
 Romani R.W., Yadigaroglu I.-A., 1995, *ApJ*, 438, 314
 Seward F.D., 1990, *ApJS*, 73, 781
 Seward F.D., Schmidt B., Slane P., 1995, *ApJ*, 453, 284
 Slane P., Seward F.D., Bandiera R., Torii K., Tsunemi H., 1997, *ApJ*, in press
 Stocke J.T., et al., 1995, *ApJS*, 76, 813
 Sturmer S.J., Dermer C.D., *A&A*, 293, L17
 Thompson D.J., et al., 1994, *ApJ*, 436, 229
 Thompson D.J., et al., 1995, *ApJS*, 101, 259
 von Montigny C, et al., 1995, *ApJ*, 440, 525
 Yadigaroglu I.-A., Romani R.W., 1997, *ApJ*, 476, 347
 Zimmermann, H.-U., et al., 1997, EXSAS User's Guide, MPE Report 257, MPI für Extraterrestrische Physik, Munich.