

# A Test For An Intervening Stellar Population As The Origin of Microlensing Events Toward the Large Magellanic Cloud

Eyal Maoz

Astronomy Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

† *Submitted to the Astrophysical Journal (Letters)*

## ABSTRACT

Zaritsky and Lin (1997) have recently presented observations which can be interpreted as evidence for an intervening stellar population along the line-of-sight to the LMC, at a distance of about 34 kpc. The evidence is based on detected populations of red-clump and lower main-sequence stars which appear brighter than the principal distributions by about 0.9 magnitude, and which have similar fractional surface densities of  $\sim 6\%$ . ZL97 suggest that the intervening population may belong to a low surface-brightness dwarf galaxy, or to the tidal debris tail of a disrupted galaxy. They derive an optical depth for gravitational lensing through the intervening system which is consistent with the observed value, thus suggesting that MACHOs comprise at most a small fraction of the Galactic dark halo.

Such interpretation of the microlensing events toward the LMC has major implications for the nature of the dark matter, and thus should be tested in every conceivable way. We suggest a test which is based on the microlensing data themselves: if the observed events arise in the ZL97 intervening population, then all the lenses are at nearly the same distance, and have nearly the same transverse velocity. Thus, the predicted distribution of event durations should directly reflect the stellar mass function in the intervening population, which is probably not significantly different from that in the Galactic disk.

We find that the first eight MACHO events toward the LMC are as consistent with a standard halo distribution of  $0.5 M_{\odot}$  MACHOs (best fit), as they are with an intervening population having a transverse speed of  $\sim 240 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and a Miller-Scalo stellar IMF. Monte Carlo simulations reveal that in order to completely rule out either the standard halo distribution of MACHOs or the ZL97 intervening population, about 40 events will be required if the IMF is rising below the H-burning mass limit, and  $\approx 140$  if it is falling. If the intervening population hypothesis is correct then: (a) the currently observed range of event durations directly implies a lens mass range of  $\approx 0.06\text{--}0.8 M_{\odot}$ , which brackets the mass of  $\approx 0.2 M_{\odot}$  where many investigations claim that the stellar mass function peaks, and (b) the event distribution provides valuable information on the low-mass end of the stellar IMF.

*Subject headings:* gravitational lenses – dark matter - Galaxy: halo – Magellanic Clouds – stars: low-mass, brown dwarfs, mass function

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ground based observations of stars in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) have recently revealed a population of red-clump stars that appear brighter by about 0.9 magnitude than the peak of the principal red clump distribution (Zaritsky & Lin 1997, hereafter ZL97). After considering and rejecting various possible explanations, ZL97 argue that these red-clump stars belong to a spatially localized intervening population of stars along the line-of-sight to the LMC, at a distance of about 34 kpc. ZL97 present corroborating evidence for this interpretation from HST observations of LMC stars (Holtzman *et al.* 1997). These data show a faint trace of a secondary lower main sequence population which is shifted by 0.9 mag and has a fractional surface density similar to that of the brighter red-clump stars ( $\sim 6\%$ ). Using the derived distance and estimated projected density of the proposed intervening population, ZL97 derived an optical depth for gravitational lensing which is within a factor of two of the observed value, thus suggesting that MACHOs with masses in the range of  $\sim 0.1\text{--}1 M_{\odot}$  comprise at most a small fraction of the Galactic dark halo.

These findings seem to support an earlier suggestion (Zhao 1997) that the observed gravitational microlensing events toward the LMC may be due to a low surface-brightness dwarf galaxy or the tidal debris of a disrupted system at the foreground of the LMC. Such interpretation of the microlensing data would have major implications for the nature of the dark matter, and thus should be tested in every conceivable way. Several potential tests have already been suggested: (a) looking for spatial correlations between the red-clump and the brighter red-clump stars in fields farther from the LMC center, as attempted by ZL97 who found suggestive support for the foreground population hypothesis; (b) analyzing variable stars in microlensing databases, as performed by Alcock *et al.* (1997b) who excluded the possibility of a dwarf galaxy along the l.o.s. to the LMC out to a distance of 30 kpc; examining surface-brightness maps within large areas around the LMC (Gould 1997); and (d) looking for differences in the radial velocity distributions of the principal red-clump and brighter red-clump stars, as suggested by ZL97.

In the present paper, we suggest a method utilizing the observed microlensing events themselves to discriminate between the possibilities that they arise in a standard halo distribution of MACHOs, or in an intervening stellar population at  $\sim 34$  kpc. We demonstrate that the distribution of event durations should be different in these two cases, and examine the likelihood that the observed events are drawn from either population (§2). Using Monte Carlo simulations, we estimate the number of events required to safely rule out either hypothesis. In §3 we discuss possible implications of the results to the nature of the lensing population, and to the low-mass end of the stellar IMF.

## 2. DISTRIBUTION OF MICROLENSING EVENT DURATIONS

The characteristic timescale of a gravitational lensing event towards the LMC is given by

$$\hat{t} = \frac{2r_E}{v_t} \equiv \frac{4[Gmx(1-x)d_{LMC}]^{1/2}}{v_t c}, \quad (1)$$

where  $r_E$  is the Einstein radius,  $x \equiv d/d_{LMC}$ ,  $d$  is the distance to a lensing object of mass  $m$ ,  $d_{LMC}$  is the LMC distance ( $\simeq 50$  kpc; Feast & Walker 1987), and  $v_t$  is the transverse velocity of the Einstein ring relative to the line-of-sight to the source. Therefore, the shape of the distribution of event durations depends on the density distribution of lenses along the l.o.s.,  $\rho(x)$ , their velocity distribution, and their mass function. These ingredient distributions are very different in the two cases between which we wish to discriminate. In the case of a dark halo distribution of MACHOs,  $\rho(x)$  is reasonably well determined, and the distribution of transverse velocities can be derived by assuming an isothermal velocity distribution, taking into account the Solar and LMC motions. The MACHO mass function is a priori unknown.

By contrast, in the case of the intervening population proposed by ZL97, all the lenses are at nearly the same distance,  $d_{int} \approx 34$  kpc, and have similar transverse velocities. The reason for the latter is the following: the angular size of the intervening system must not be much smaller than that of the LMC since otherwise microlensed stars would have appeared clustered in a limited area of the LMC (Maoz 1994). In addition, the mean surface mass density of the intervening population should not exceed

$$\Sigma_{int} \lesssim \frac{c^2 \tau_{obs} d_{LMC}}{4\pi G d_{int} (d_{LMC} - d_{int})} \simeq 44 \left( \frac{\tau_{obs}}{2.9 \times 10^{-7}} \right) M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-2} \quad (2)$$

in order to be consistent with the inferred optical depth for microlensing toward the LMC (Alcock *et al.* 1997; hereafter A97). If the intervening system is bound, the dispersion in transverse velocities is  $\sigma_{int,\perp} \sim (GM_{int}/R_{int})^{1/2} \sim (\pi G \Sigma_{int} R_{int})^{1/2}$ , where  $R_{int}$  is the system's characteristic radius. This yields  $\sigma_{int,\perp} \lesssim 24 (R_{int}/\text{kpc})^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which is very likely to be negligible relative to the motion of the entire intervening system. In the case of an unbound or a tidally disrupted system, the total solid angle of the intervening structure must be much smaller than  $4\pi$  since otherwise its total mass would be  $\sim 4\pi d_{int}^2 \Sigma_{int} = 6 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ , which exceeds the entire mass of the dark halo. Thus, if the intervening population is to be relatively confined in space, its velocity dispersion must be much lower than the circular velocity in the halo,  $v_c \simeq 220 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (any possible large-scale velocity gradient along a tidal tail would not introduce much of a dispersion in the velocities of those intervening stars within the small solid angle of the LMC). We conclude that the distribution of event durations should directly reflect the mass function of the intervening population. Again, in contrast to the a priori completely unknown MACHO mass function, it is reasonable to expect that the stellar mass function in the intervening population is not significantly different from that in the Galactic disk.

## 2.1. Localized Intervening Stellar Population

In the case of the intervening stellar population proposed by ZL97, we can approximate the lens distribution along the l.o.s to the LMC by  $\delta(x - x_{int})$ , where  $x_{int} \simeq 0.68$ , and the transverse velocity distribution of the stars by  $\delta(v - v_t)$ , where

$$v_t = |\mathbf{v}_{int,\perp} - \mathbf{v}_{los}(x_{int})| \quad , \quad (3)$$

$\mathbf{v}_{int,\perp}$  is the transverse velocity vector of the intervening system, and  $\mathbf{v}_{los}(x)$  is the transverse velocity of the line-of-sight to the source, at the distance of a lens, given by

$$\mathbf{v}_{los}(x) = (1 - x)\mathbf{v}_{\odot,\perp} + x\mathbf{v}_{LMC,\perp} \quad , \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_{\odot,\perp}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{LMC,\perp}$  are the transverse velocity vectors of the Sun and LMC, respectively. In a Cartesian coordinate system where  $v_x$ ,  $v_y$  and  $v_z$  are in the directions of the Galactic center, the Solar motion, and the Galactic North pole, respectively, the LMC motion with respect to the Galaxy is  $\mathbf{v}_{LMC} = (60 \pm 59, -155 \pm 25, 144 \pm 51)$  km s<sup>-1</sup> (Jones, Klemola & Lin 1994), the Solar motion with respect to the Galaxy is  $\mathbf{v}_{\odot} = -\mathbf{v}_{LSR} + \mathbf{v}_c = (9, 231, 16)$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, and the unit vector in the LMC direction is  $\hat{n}_{LMC} = (0.146, -0.826, -0.545)$ .

The differential event rate is

$$d\Gamma = 2\Psi(\tilde{m})\tilde{m}^{1/2}v_tR_E(M_{\odot}, x_{int})d\tilde{m}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\tilde{m} \equiv m/M_{\odot}$ ,  $R_E$  is defined in Eq. (1), and  $\Psi(\tilde{m})$  is the present day mass function of the intervening stellar population, normalized such that  $\int \Psi(\tilde{m})m d\tilde{m} = \Sigma_{int}$ . Although the universality of the stellar mass function is yet to be proven, it is reasonable to assume that  $\Psi(\tilde{m})$  is not significantly different from the stellar mass function in the Galactic disk.

The understanding of the stellar mass function has advanced substantially since the concept of the IMF was introduced by Salpeter (1955), but at the low-mass end ( $\simeq 0.08$ – $0.2 M_{\odot}$ ), the results of various workers have been discrepant (*e.g.* see Miller & Scalo 1979; Simons & Becklin 1992; Kroupa, Tout & Gilmore 1993; Comeron *et al.* 1993; Tinney 1993). On one hand, there are claims for a turnover in the mass function at  $\sim 0.2 M_{\odot}$ , supported by observations of star forming regions (*e.g.* Hillenbrand 1997) and by possible evidence for a falling IMF at masses below the H-burning limit ( $\simeq 0.08 M_{\odot}$ ) from observations of proto-brown dwarf clumps (Pound & Blitz 1995), thus suggesting that brown dwarfs exist in such small numbers as to make a negligible contribution to the mass density of the Galactic disk. At the other extreme, there are claims for a steeply rising mass function all the way down to the H-burning mass limit (*e.g.* Mera, Chabrier, & Baraffe 1996), implying a substantial amount of brown dwarfs in the Galactic disk (see also Tinney 1993).

The shape of the low-mass end of the IMF is likely to be better determined in the next few years (*e.g.* due to deep IR searches for brown dwarfs), but at present we

cannot rule out either possibility. Thus, we shall explore two mass functions which differ significantly at low masses: (a) the Miller and Scalo model (1979; hereafter MS79) where the IMF is fit by a lognormal distribution with a slope  $d \log \Psi / d \log \tilde{m} = -(1 + \log \tilde{m})$ , *i.e.*  $\Psi(\tilde{m}) d\tilde{m} \propto \tilde{m}^{-(0.5 \log \tilde{m} + 2)} d\tilde{m}$  (turns over at  $0.1 M_\odot$ ), and (b) the three-component power-law fit of Kroupa, Tout, and Gilmore (1993; hereafter KTG93), which is monotonically rising down to the H-burning limit, extended here down to a Jupiter mass

$$\Psi(\tilde{m}) \propto \begin{cases} 3.5 \tilde{m}^{-1.3} & 0.001 < \tilde{m} < 0.5 \\ 1.9 \tilde{m}^{-2.2} & 0.5 < \tilde{m} < 1.0 \\ 1.9 \tilde{m}^{-2.7} & 1.0 < \tilde{m} < \infty \end{cases} . \quad (6)$$

The cutoff mass is introduced because the IMF cannot rise indefinitely, but the choice of a Jupiter mass is arbitrary. We note that the results will not be sensitive to the exact cutoff mass since the detection efficiency for very short events is low.

Let us define  $t_\odot$  as the crossing time of an Einstein ring due to one solar mass object at a distance of the intervening system,

$$t_\odot \equiv \frac{2 r_E(M_\odot, x_{int})}{v_t} = 166 \left( \frac{v_t}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \text{ days}, \quad (7)$$

where  $v_t$  is defined in Eq. (3). Transforming  $d\Gamma/d\tilde{m}$  (Eq. [5]) into  $d\Gamma/d\hat{t}$ , we obtain for the MS79 mass function

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\hat{t}} \propto \left( \hat{t}/t_\odot \right)^{-2[\log(\hat{t}/t_\odot)+1]}, \quad (8)$$

and for the extended KTG93 mass function

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\hat{t}} \propto \begin{cases} 3.5 (\hat{t}/t_\odot)^{-0.6} & 0.03 < \hat{t}/t_\odot < 0.707 \\ 1.9 (\hat{t}/t_\odot)^{-2.4} & 0.707 < \hat{t}/t_\odot < 1 \\ 1.9 (\hat{t}/t_\odot)^{-3.4} & 1 < \hat{t}/t_\odot < \infty \end{cases} . \quad (9)$$

Figure 1-a presents examples of both event distributions in the case of  $v_t = 100$  and  $300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

## 2.2. Halo Distribution of MACHOs

The event distribution in the case of a dark halo which consists of single mass MACHOs with a Maxwellian velocity distribution, taking the Solar and LMC motions into account, is (Alcock *et al.* 1996)

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\hat{t}} \propto \frac{1}{m\hat{t}^4} \int_0^1 dx \rho(x) r_E^4(x, m) e^{-Q(x, m)} e^{-[v_{los}(x)/v_c]^2} I_0[P(x, m)] \quad (10)$$

where  $Q(x, m) \equiv 4r_E^2(x, m)/(\hat{t}^2 v_c^2)$ ,  $P(x, m) \equiv 4r_E(x, m)v_{los}(x)/(\hat{t}v_c^2)$ ,  $I_0$  is the modified Bessel function, and  $v_{los}(x) = |\mathbf{v}_{los}(x)|$  is defined in Eq. (4).

We shall consider here only a “standard halo” dark matter distribution of equal mass MACHOs (A97 present two examples of event distributions due to power-law mass functions, which closely resemble those due to equal mass MACHOs). Following Griest (1991), we take  $\rho(r) = \rho_0(a^2 + r_0^2)/(a^2 + r^2)$ , where  $r$  is the Galactocentric radius,  $a = 5$  kpc is the core radius, and  $r_0 = 8.5$  kpc is the Sun’s distance from the Galactic center. The density along the l.o.s. to the LMC is  $\rho(x) = \rho_0 A'/(A' + Bx + x^2)$ , where  $A' \equiv (a^2 + r_0^2)/d_{LMC}^2 = 0.039$ , and  $B \equiv -2(r_0/d_{LMC}) \cos b_{LMC} \cos l_{LMC} = -0.054$ .

### 3. THE MACHO DATA vs. PREDICTED EVENT DISTRIBUTIONS

Our ultimate goal is to rule out either the ZL97 intervening population hypothesis or the standard halo of MACHOs hypothesis by showing that it is extremely unlikely that the observed event durations were drawn from the predicted event distribution. In order to do that we need to take the detection efficiency of the relevant experiment into account. We shall test here the first eight MACHO events toward the LMC (A97) against the predicted event distributions,  $\varepsilon(\hat{t}) d\Gamma/d\hat{t}$ , where  $\varepsilon(\hat{t})$  is the detection efficiency for the first two years of MACHO data (Figure 2). The eight event durations are shown at the bottom of Figure 1-b, along with examples of the predicted event distributions.

Figure 3 shows the results of Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests (Press *et al.* 1992), where  $\alpha$  is the probability of getting a K-S deviation worse than the observed value, namely, the significance level at which we can reject the null hypothesis that the data were drawn from a given distribution. For example, we can reject at the 0.1 significance level the hypotheses that the data were drawn from (a) the ZL97 intervening population with an extended KTG93 IMF when  $v_t$  is either below 30 km s<sup>-1</sup> or above 350 km s<sup>-1</sup>, (b) the ZL97 intervening population with an MS79 IMF when  $v_t \leq 108$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, and (c) a standard halo distribution of single-mass MACHOs with  $m < 0.15 M_\odot$ . All remaining possibilities are currently consistent with the data, the more so for larger  $\alpha$ . We see that the most likely MACHO mass in a standard halo model is  $0.50 M_\odot$ , as concluded by A97, but the ZL97 intervening population with an MS79 IMF and  $v_t \approx 240$  km s<sup>-1</sup> is equally consistent with the present data.

Eight events are clearly not enough for discriminating between the various hypotheses. The MACHO experiment has yielded so far an additional six events toward the LMC (Charles Alcock, private communication), but these are not yet publicly available. We performed Monte Carlo simulations to estimate the number of events required to safely rule out either the standard halo or the intervening population hypothesis, assuming the other hypothesis is correct (Figure 4). We find that a sample of  $\simeq 40$  events will enable to rule out a significant fraction of the parameter space with  $\geq 95\%$  confidence level, but about 140 events will be required to completely rule out either hypothesis. However, this is the worst case scenario estimate for several reasons: (a) we assumed a detection efficiency

similar to that for the first two years of MACHO data, but  $\varepsilon(\hat{t})$  is likely to improve with time, especially at long event durations due to the increasing baseline; (b) the simulations assume that the true event distributions are those with  $v_t$  and  $m$  that best fit the present data, which need not necessarily be the case; (c) our understanding of the low-mass end of the stellar IMF may improve in the near future due to, for example, deep IR searches for brown dwarfs; and finally, (d) the permissible range of  $v_t$  could be narrowed by subsequent investigations, as we discuss below.

The transverse velocity of the ZL97 intervening population,  $\mathbf{v}_{int,\perp}$ , could generally be anything between zero and the escape velocity from the Galaxy, though not at equal probabilities: assuming a probability distribution for  $\mathbf{v}_{int}$  similar to the velocity distribution function in an isothermal halo,  $P(\mathbf{v}_{int}) d^3v_{int} = (e^{-v_{int}^2/v_c^2})/(\pi^{3/2}v_c^3) d^3v_{int}$ , we find that the probability distribution for  $v_t$  (defined in Eq. [3]) is

$$P(v_t) dv_t = (v_t/v_c) \exp[-(v_t^2 + \beta^2)/v_c^2] I_0(v_t\beta/v_c^2) dv_t, \quad (11)$$

where  $\beta \equiv v_{los}(x_{int}) = 106 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . It peaks at  $v_t = 236 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , but the distribution is quite broad. It may be possible to derive the transverse velocity of the intervening population by a careful re-examination of the data which led to the determination of the LMC's proper motion (Jones *et al.* 1994). Alternatively, if the radial velocity of the proposed intervening population is measured, then dynamical models may well constrain its transverse motion. Theoretical models may also yield predictions for the transverse velocity. For example, Zhao (1997) suggested that the lensing population toward the LMC may be a part of a tidal debris tail in the Magellanic great circle, in which case the tidal tail and the LMC are expected to have similar specific angular momentum. Neglecting the offset of the Sun from the Galactic center and substituting  $\mathbf{v}_{int} = x_{int}^{-1} \mathbf{v}_{LMC}$  in Eq. [3], we obtain for that scenario  $v_t \approx 201 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Therefore, the statistical test proposed in this paper may rule out various theories for the origin and nature of the intervening population with fewer events than estimated above.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

If the observed microlensing events toward the LMC are due to the intervening stellar population proposed by ZL79, then the currently observed range of event durations (39–143 days) directly implies a lens mass range of  $0.06\text{--}0.8 (v_t/200 \text{ km s}^{-1})^2 M_\odot$ , which happens to bracket the mass of  $\approx 0.2 M_\odot$  where many investigations claim that the stellar IMF peaks (see references in §2.1). On the other hand, if the observed events are due to a halo distribution of MACHOs, then the typical MACHO mass is  $\sim 0.5 M_\odot$  (A97). These objects cannot be H-burning stars due to observational constraints from deep star counts (Gould, Bahcall & Flynn 1997), thus leaving the alternatives of primordial white dwarfs and sub-stellar mass black holes. It is intriguing that the ZL97 intervening population

hypothesis could provide a more “natural explanation” for the observed range of event durations.

We propose a way to test not only the existence of a stellar population at the foreground of the LMC, but more importantly, the possibility that the observed microlensing events are primarily due to such an intervening population. Since the test relies on the shape of the stellar IMF, it could provide invaluable insight into the mass function below the H-burning mass limit if the ZL97 intervening population hypothesis is independently confirmed. Figure 3 could already tell us that if  $v_t \gtrsim 230 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  then a Miller-Scalo type of an IMF is much more likely than a monotonically rising one, and vice-versa if  $v_t \lesssim 130 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

Alcock et al (1996b, hereafter A96) placed strict limits on the contribution of low-mass MACHOs to the dark halo, based on the absence of detected events with durations of  $0.1 \lesssim \hat{t} \lesssim 20$  days. If the observed events are due to the ZL97 intervening population, this null-detection provides an upper limit to the mass fraction in low-mass brown dwarfs. We can estimate the number of expected events due to, for example,  $10^{-4} \lesssim m \lesssim 10^{-2} (v_t/200 \text{ km s}^{-1}) M_\odot$  objects by scaling the A96 results: denoting the fractional contribution of such objects to the total intervening mass by  $f$ , the expected number of short events is  $N_{exp} \simeq 35f(\tau_{obs}/\tau_{halo})$ , where 35 is the expected number of events due to MACHOs in this mass range, assuming they make the entire mass of a standard dark halo (A96, fig. 10),  $\tau_{obs}$  is the microlensing optical depth inferred from the observations, and  $\tau_{halo}$  is the predicted optical depth through a standard dark halo. Since  $\tau_{obs} \simeq 0.5\tau_{halo}$  (A97), we expect  $N_{exp} \simeq 17f$  short events in the case of the intervening population hypothesis. For  $N_{obs} = 0$ , the Poisson 95% confidence level upper limit is 3 events, so we obtain an upper limit of  $f \lesssim 18\%$  to the mass contribution of  $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-2} M_\odot$  objects to the stellar IMF. This limit will continuously improve with time as long as no short events are detected. Constraining the contribution of lower mass objects to the stellar IMF requires a detailed analysis which is beyond the scope of this paper since the detection efficiency of “spike” events does not depend only on  $\hat{t}$  (A96).

I thank Charles Alcock for discussion and comments, and Leo Blitz and Chris McKee for discussions.

## REFERENCES

- Alcock, C. *et al.* 1996, ApJ, 461, 84
- Alcock, C. *et al.* 1996b, ApJ, 471, 774 (**A96**)
- Alcock, C. *et al.* 1997, ApJ, 486, 697 (**A97**)
- Alcock, C. *et al.* 1997b, Submitted to ApJ, (astro-ph#9707310)
- Feast, M. & Walker, A.R. 1987, ARA&A, 25, 345
- Gould, A. 1997, astro-ph#709263
- Gould, A., Bahcall, J.N. & Flynn, C. 1997, ApJ, 482, 913
- Griest, K. 1991, ApJ, 366, 412
- Hillenbrand, L.A. 1997, AJ, 113, 1733
- Holtzman, J. *et al.* 1997, AJ, 113, 656
- Jones, B.F., Klemola, A.R. & Lin, D.N.C. 1994, AJ, 107, 1333
- Kroupa, P., Tout, C.A. & Gilmore, G. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 545 (**KTG93**)
- Maoz, E. 1994, ApJ, 428, L5
- Mera, D., Chabrier, G. & Baraffe, I. 1996, ApJ, 459, L87
- Miller, G.E. & Scalo, J.M. 1979 ApJS, 41, 513 (**MS79**)
- Pound, M.W. & Blitz, L. 1995, ApJ, 444, 270
- Press, W.h., Teukolsky, S.A., Vetterling, W.T. & Flannery, B.P. 1992, In *Numerical Recipes in C*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, p. 623
- Salpeter, E.E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Tinney, C.G. 1993, ApJ, 414, 279
- Zaritsky, D. & Lin, D.N.C. 1997, Submitted to ApJ(**ZL97**)
- Zhao, H. 1997, submitted to MNRAS, (astro-ph#9703097)




Fig. 1.— (a) The predicted distribution of event durations toward the LMC for a standard halo model which consists of  $0.5 M_{\odot}$  MACHOs (solid curve). The dotted (dashed) curves are for the intervening stellar population suggested by ZL97, assuming an MS79 (extended KTG) IMFs, respectively. Each set of curves corresponds to transverse velocities of  $v_t = 100$  and  $300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The triangles indicate the event duration due to a  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  star (note that  $\hat{t} \propto m^{1/2}$ ). b) The predicted distributions for the same parameters, but after taking into account the detection efficiency for the first two years of MACHO data (Fig. 2). The points at the bottom show the durations of the first eight MACHO events (A97). All distributions are normalized to a unity area within  $2 \leq \hat{t} \leq 300$  days, and take the Solar and LMC motions into account.




Fig. 2.— The detection efficiency for the first 2-year MACHO data (A97), kindly provided by Charles Alcock.




Fig. 3.— The results of K-S tests for the hypotheses that the first eight MACHO events were drawn from the predicted event distribution of (a) the ZL97 intervening population with transverse velocity  $v_t$  and an MS79 IMF (dotted); same with an extended KTG93 IMF (dashed), and (b) a standard halo distribution of MACHOs of mass  $m$ . The lower  $\alpha$ , the more inconsistent the data are with the predicted event distribution (see text).




Fig. 4.— Results of Monte Carlo simulations: (a) the mean number of events required to rule out (using a K-S test) a ZL97 intervening population with a transverse velocity  $v_t$ , for an MS79 (dotted) and an extended KTG93 (dashed) IMFs, assuming the events arise in a standard halo distribution of  $0.5 M_\odot$  MACHOs (the currently best fit to the MACHO mass). The upper and lower curves in each pair are for  $\alpha=0.05$  and  $0.1$  significance levels, respectively. (b) the number of events required to rule out a standard halo of single-mass MACHOs of mass  $m$ , assuming the events arise in a ZL97 intervening population with (1) an MS79 IMF and best fit  $v_t$  of  $245 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (dotted), and with (2) an extended KTG93 IMF and best fit  $v_t$  of  $140 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (dashed). See discussion in text.