

From sikora@rocinante.Colorado.EDU Thu Aug 28 10:14:59 1997 Date: Wed, 27 Aug 1997 11:03:31 -
(MDT) From: Marek Sikora jsikora@rocinante.Colorado.EDU; To: Rafal Moderski jmoderski@mesioq.ob
Subject: macro do "Variability": lecproc.cmm

arXiv:astro-ph/9708261v1 28 Aug 1997

2 Missing name(s) of the author(s)

Variability, Power, and Pair Content of AGN Jets

Marek Sikora ¹, Greg Madejski ^{2 3}, and Mitchell Begelman ⁴

¹Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Warsaw

²NASA/GSFC

³Dept. of Astronomy, Univ. of Maryland

⁴JILA and Department of APS, Univ. of Colorado

Abstract: Simultaneous observations of blazars in different spectral regimes imply that the amplitude of variability depends on the observational band. Both in the low energy spectral component and in the high energy spectral component, the amplitude increases with the photon energy. We show that such behavior can be explained in terms of a two-component model, where the spectra observed during flares are superpositions of spectra arising from at least two distinct, spatially separated sources, one which is relatively long-lived (weeks/months), and another which is short-lived (hours/days). In the proposed model, both sources represent dissipative “events” (shocks/reconnection sites), propagating along a jet at relativistic speeds, with the long-lived source “developing” over much larger distances in a jet than the short-lived source. In both of these sources, the low energy radiation is produced by synchrotron emission, and the high energy radiation is produced by inverse-Compton (IC). In quasars, dominant sources of seed photons for the IC process are Broad-Emission-Line-Clouds located at distances corresponding to the propagation distance of the short lived sources and hot dust distributed over distances corresponding with the extent of the long lived sources. In BL Lac objects, for both short lived and long lived sources, the seed photons for the IC process are presumably provided by local synchrotron radiation. Using the two-component model for blazar variability we derive constraints on jet physics in quasars. Particularly, we demonstrate that if production of X-rays during rapid flares is dominated by Comptonization of external radiation, then the upper limits for the total power of jets imply that the jet plasma is strongly dominated by pairs. A low pair content would require a low-energy cut-off in the electron energy distribution and production of X-rays by the SSC process. The break in the electron energy distribution should then be imprinted in the soft γ -ray band (0.1 - 10 MeV) and, therefore, detailed observations in this band can provide an exceptional opportunity to study the pair content in AGN jets.

1 Introduction

Blazars are known to be strongly variable sources in all spectral bands, from radio, IR, optical, UV, X-rays, up to γ -rays at GeV and TeV energies. The patterns of variability are usually complex, as they cover a wide range of time scales (from hours to years) and depend on the spectral band (see, e.g., Ulrich, Maraschi & Urry 1997). Most of the variability data comes from the low energy bands, collected by optical and radio telescopes, often covering a span of tens of years. These data show that, on average, the amplitude of variability is increasing with photon energy, and the same was recently discovered in the high-energy spectral components, both in BL Lac objects and flat spectrum radio-quasars (Maraschi et al. 1994). In addition to the amplitude-energy dependence within the low energy and the high energy spectral components, there is a clear trend observed in quasars showing higher variability amplitudes in the high energy spectral component than in the low-energy spectral component (see, e.g., Wehrle et al. 1997).

As we demonstrate in §2, these variability properties can be explained in terms of a multi-component model, where the blazar spectra observed during flares are superpositions of spectra produced by several spatially separated regions of the jet. In this model, the radiatively active regions are represented by dissipative “events” (shocks/reconnection sites), propagating along the jet at relativistic speeds. They develop at different distances, and produce radiation which is observed as flares with time scales $\sim r/\Gamma^2 c$. The scenario involves synchrotron radiation which is responsible for the production of the low-energy spectral component and the IC process which is responsible for the high-energy spectral component. The seed photons for the latter are provided by local synchrotron radiation as well as by external sources, and the respective processes are denoted by SSC (synchrotron self-Compton) and by ERC (external radiation Compton).

We show in §3 that detailed observations of short term flares in X-ray and soft γ -ray bands can be used to determine the relative roles of ERC and SSC radiation mechanisms, and to evaluate the pair content of subparsec jets in AGN. We summarize the results and predictions of our two-component model in §4.

2 The Two-Component Model

2.1 Characteristic frequencies

There are several characteristic frequencies where the blazar radiation components change their spectral slope significantly. They are related to: maximum and minimum electron energies; “cooling” break energy (below which the time scale of electron energy losses due to radiation processes is longer than the time scale of the source propagation); and in the case of synchrotron radiation, there is a cut-off due to synchrotron self-absorption. These spectra can also show a high energy cut-off due to γ -ray absorption in the pair production process; however, for our model parameters, the ambient radiation field is transparent even for most energetic γ -rays.

In the case of synchrotron and SSC components, photons are produced with energies scaled by the magnetic field intensity, and since this drops with distance, the spectra produced closer to the black hole are expected to be shifted to higher frequencies than the spectra produced in the more distant regions. Thus, if the apparent luminosities of flares produced closer to the black hole are comparable to or smaller than the apparent luminosities of flares produced at larger distances, then the short term flares will be observed to have high amplitudes only in the high energy tails of the respective spectral components. This can explain why BL Lac objects such as Mkn 421 (Takahashi et al. 1996) show much higher amplitude of variability in X-ray and TeV bands than at lower energies within respective spectral components.

In the case of γ -ray radiation produced by the ERC process, the situation is different. The photons are upscattered to energies which are proportional to the energy of seed photons, and if the spectrum of the external diffuse radiation field doesn't change very much with the distance, then for the same electron injection functions, the ERC spectra produced at different distances cover the same frequency range. However, there is a quite sharp transition in the spectrum of the diffuse external radiation field at a distance $\sim \sqrt{L_{UV}/10^{46}\text{ergs}^{-1}}$ pc, from that dominated by the broad emission lines to that dominated by the IR radiation from dust. Since rapid flares are produced below this transition distance, they should have their high energy break at higher energies than the ERC spectrum produced at larger distances, approximately by a factor $\nu_{UV}/\nu_{IR} \sim 30$. This can explain why the spectra measured by EGRET are steeper during the quiescent phases than those during the rapid flares. This is because during the quiescent phases, the radiation is produced at larger distances, and thus the high energy break is shifted into the EGRET range.

If the electron energy spectrum has a low energy break in the range $1 < \gamma'_{min} < 100$, then such a break should be imprinted on the ERC(UV) spectrum in the frequency range 1 keV - 10 MeV and in the ERC(IR) spectrum in the frequency range up to ~ 300 keV. No spectral break related to $\gamma'_{min} < 100$ can be visible in the synchrotron component, because its location is below the frequency at which synchrotron-self-absorption becomes important. Neither is the break expected to be imprinted in the SSC component.

A very informative spectral feature is the one related to the electron energy, γ'_b , below which radiative cooling of electrons is inefficient. In the case of electron energy losses dominated by the ERC process, this energy is (Sikora et al. 1994)

$$\gamma'_b \simeq \frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{r}{\xi L_{UV}} \frac{m_e c^3}{\sigma_T}, \quad (1)$$

where ξ is the fraction of the central radiation reprocessed by clouds and dust at a distance $\sim r$. This ‘‘cooling break’’ is imprinted in the radiation spectra at energies $\nu_b \propto \gamma'^2_b$, i.e. at $\nu_{b,EC} \propto r^2$. However, since the energies of seed photons at subparsec distances and at larger distances differ by a factor $\nu_{UV}/\nu_{IR} \geq 30$, the location of the break in the spectra of the rapid flares does not have to be very different from the location of the break in spectra produced at larger distances. For

quasars with powerful jets, both are located in the range 1 – 30 MeV range. This explains why in quasars the X-ray spectra are always harder than γ -ray spectra, regardless of whether they are detected during rapid flares or between them.

2.2 Relative Luminosities

Since both the ERC luminosity and the synchrotron luminosity scale linearly with the injection rate of electrons, the ratio L_{ERC}/L_S is predicted to be constant during a flare. Contrary to this prediction, the observations of the best studied blazar, 3C279, show much higher amplitudes of variability in the γ -ray band than in the optical/UV band. Such variability patterns are predicted by the SSC model, where $L_{SSC} \propto L_S^2$. However, the amplitude ratio observed in the January 1996 flare of 3C279 was even larger than that predicted by the SSC model (Wehrle et al. 1997). This can be explained by the one-zone ERC model only if the increased injection rate of relativistic electrons is accompanied by increase of the bulk Lorentz factor. However, at distances of 0.1 – 1 parsec, the jet energetics is expected to be dominated by the kinetic energy flux rather than by internal energy of the jet, and any strong dissipative events should be followed by a *deceleration* of the jet, rather than its *acceleration*.




Fig. 1. Contribution of different radiation processes to the spectrum of a blazar. The solid lines mark the radiation produced in a distant zone, while the dashed lines mark radiation produced in a near zone.

The above difficulties can be resolved by using our two-component model, and postulating that the more distant dissipative events propagate with a lower Lorentz factor than the nearer ones. The consequences of this are schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. There are two spectra: the one marked by a solid line is produced over a distance range $\Delta r_1 = 4.5 - 1.5 = 3$ pc by events propagating with the Lorentz factor $\Gamma_1 = 5$, and the one marked by a dashed line is produced over a distance

range $\Delta r_2 = 0.45 - 0.15 = 0.3$ pc by an event propagating with Lorentz factor $\Gamma_2 = 10$. The spectra are calculated assuming conical geometry of the jet and conservation of magnetic energy flux. The opening half-angle of the jet is taken to be $\theta_j \sim 1/\Gamma_2$, and the observer is assumed to be located at an angle $\leq 1/\Gamma_2$. The observed variability time scales of such events are $t_{fl,1} \simeq \Delta r_1/c\Gamma_1^2 \simeq 160$ days and $t_{fl,2} \simeq \Delta r_2/c\Gamma_2^2 \simeq 4$ days, and the luminosity amplification is respectively $\sim \Gamma_2^4$ and $\sim \Gamma_1^4$. In our example, the energy dissipation rate in both events is the same, and is calculated assuming that the apparent luminosity of the rapid flare is $\sim 10^{48}$ ergs $^{-1}$. Electrons are assumed to be injected with the same distribution in both events, with the power index $q = 2 (Q \propto \gamma'^{-q})$ and maximum energy $\gamma'_{max} = 10^4$. The presented spectra are calculated for $\xi L_{UV} = L_B = 10^{45}$ ergs $^{-1}$, where $L_B \simeq (B'^2/8\pi)c\Gamma^2\pi a^2$ is the flux of magnetic energy carried by the jet plasma, and $a = \theta_j r$ is the source size.

One can see from Figure 1 that during the rapid flare, a comparable contributions to the X-ray band can come from ERC and SSC radiation produced in this flare and from the SSC radiation produced at larger distances. Hence, the latter dilutes the X-ray part of the rapid flare and this explains why the fast flares show lower fractional variability amplitude in the X-ray band than in the γ -ray band. Our example also shows that in the two-component scenario, the amplitude of the γ -ray variability can be much larger than the amplitude of the optical flare. This is a consequence of the fact that ratio of the ERC luminosity to the synchrotron luminosity depends strongly on Γ . Then, for the rapid flare produced closer to the black hole and moving faster, this ratio is much higher than for radiation produced at larger distances.

We have also marked in Figure 1 the bump at ~ 1 keV. It is predicted to result from Comptonization of UV radiation by cold electrons in a jet (Begelman & Sikora 1987; Sikora et al. 1997) and is not confirmed by observations. Production of such a bump can be avoided near the black hole by assuming that the jet is not yet fully developed at this point. But at the distances where the strong nonthermal flares are produced, the jet must be already fully developed (collimated, accelerated, and mass loaded), and any presence of cold electrons should be imprinted in the excess of radiation above the power-law X-ray spectra in the soft X-ray band, provided that the production of X-rays down to lowest energies is dominated by Comptonization of UV radiation. Such a bump can be partially hidden by the SSC component produced at larger distances. Also, there can be a significant contribution, not marked in the Figure, from Comptonization of the dust radiation by electrons in the “near” event. Finally, the lack of the “soft X-ray bump” can result from the cutoff of electron distribution at $\gamma' \gg 1$, but then the rapid X-ray flares correlated with the γ -ray flares must be produced by the SSC process. However, flares produced by the SSC process are predicted to have much softer spectra than observed.

3 Power and Pair Content

The spectral shapes of γ -ray flares reflect roughly the power-law injection function of relativistic electrons, with a power-index $q \sim 2$. If the electrons are injected with the same slopes down to the lowest energies, then the average energy of an injected electron is $\bar{\gamma}'$ is ~ 10 . The electrons injected at energies $> \gamma'_b$ are cooled very efficiently, and produce γ -ray spectra with the energy index $\alpha_\gamma = q/2 \sim 1$, while the electrons injected at lower energies reradiate only a fraction $(r/c)/t_e$ of their energy, and thus produce spectra with the energy index $\alpha_X \sim 0.5$, where t_e is the time scale of the electron radiative energy losses. The rate at which the jet energy must be converted to relativistic electrons producing apparent γ -ray luminosity L_γ is

$$L_e \simeq L'_e \simeq L'_\gamma/\eta_\gamma \simeq L_\gamma/\eta_{\text{gamma}}\Gamma^4, \quad (2)$$

where η_γ is the fraction of injected energy reradiated by electrons in the γ -ray band. (Note that $L_e = L'_e$ because for isotropic electron injection the angle averaged momentum in the source frame is zero and electron energies are Lorentz-transformed like time intervals.)

For a given ratio of electron to proton densities, n_e/n_p , the minimal energy requirement for the energy flux of the jet to provide L_e can be obtained by assuming that electrons are injected at the expense of the bulk-kinetic energy flux, L_K , dominated by cold protons. If the bulk velocity is β_0 and the shock, where the jet kinetic energy is dissipated, moves at speed $\beta < \beta_0$ and both are relativistic, then energy flux of the matter moving through the shock front is

$$L_{in} = L_K(\beta_0 - \beta) \simeq \frac{L_K}{\Gamma^2} \frac{\Delta\Gamma}{\Gamma} \quad (3)$$

This together with eq. (2) gives

$$\frac{\Delta\Gamma}{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{\eta_e\eta_\gamma\Gamma^2} \frac{L_\gamma}{L_K}, \quad (4)$$

where $\eta_e \equiv L_e/L_{in}$. Noting that $n_e m_e \bar{\gamma}' \Gamma = \eta_e n_p m_p \Delta\Gamma$ and using equation (4) we obtain

$$\bar{\gamma}' = \eta_e \frac{\Delta\Gamma}{\Gamma} \frac{n_p m_p}{n_e m_e} = \frac{1}{\eta_\gamma} \frac{n_p m_p}{n_e m_e} \frac{L_\gamma}{\Gamma^2 L_K} \simeq 600 \frac{n_p/n_e}{(\eta_\gamma/0.3)(\Gamma/10)^2} \frac{L_{\gamma,48}}{L_{K,47}} \quad (5)$$

This can be reconciled with low values of $\bar{\gamma}'$ (~ 10 for $q \simeq 2$) obtained under the assumption that there is no low energy break in the electron injection function only if the jet plasma is strongly dominated by pairs. Otherwise the jet kinetic luminosities must be extraordinary high or the electron injection function must have a low-energy cutoff at $\gamma'_{min} \sim 100(n_p/n_e)$. It is worth noting that the same conclusion has been reached from analyses of energetics of VLBI sources (Ghisellini et al. 1992; Celotti & Fabian 1993; Reynolds et al. 1996). The stage of the low-energy electron distribution can be verified directly by observations in the X-ray

band and in the soft γ -ray band (0.1–10 MeV), where the low-energy break should be imprinted in the ERC spectral component.

We would like to emphasize that the constraints on e-p jets obtained from equation (5) can be somewhat eased by allowing that L_K is strongly modulated by the central source. In particular, it is possible that on subparsec scales the jet is not continuous, and its structure is marked by a sequence of “clouds” ejected from time to time from the central source. Then the L_K used in eq. (5) represents the flux of energy carried by a single cloud which can be much larger than the time-averaged kinetic energy flux deduced from energetics of large scale radio structures or from energetics of the central engine.

4 Conclusions

To explain the variability patterns of blazars, we suggest a two-component model of a jet, where the spectra observed during flares are superpositions of spectra arising from at least two distinct, spatially separated sources, one which is relatively long-lived (weeks/months), and another which is short-lived (hours/days). The predictions of the two-component model are that:

- Rapid flares (days in flat spectrum radio-quasars; hours in BL Lac objects) should be fully observed (only marginally diluted) in high energy tails of both the synchrotron and the Compton spectral components, and these two components should be correlated. These flares are expected to be significantly diluted in the low energy parts of the synchrotron and Compton spectral components by radiation from the distant regions;
- Long flares (= high states lasting for weeks/months) have negligible contribution to the high energy tails of both synchrotron and Compton components. They, however, can be visible as a background quasi-steady radiation, on which sporadic rapid flares are superimposed. In the spectral bands where high energy tails of distant sources contribute, the flares cause hardening of the observed spectra, simply because the spectra of rapid flares are shifted to higher frequencies in comparison with spectra of distant sources;
- The frequency shift between spectra of the distant and the near regions is, in general, different for the synchrotron and Compton components. This means that for the ERC models, the synchrotron and Compton peaks are in general dominated by the radiation produced by regions spatially separated in a jet, and, therefore, the assumption about co-spatiality of plasmas producing both peaks, often used to derive physical parameters in a jet (Ghisellini, Maraschi, & Dondi 1996; Sikora et al. 1997), can be overly simplistic;
- The higher amplitudes of γ -ray flares compared to optical/UV flares can be explained by assuming that the distant dissipative events propagate more slowly than the closer ones. This is because the ratio of the Compton luminosity to the synchrotron luminosity in the the ERC model depends very strongly on Γ ;

— Production of X-rays during the intervals *between* flares can be dominated by the SSC process, while X-ray flaring by a factor > 2 is most likely due to the ERC process with the seed photons provided by broad emission line clouds and dust;

— The observed spectra of high energy flares in quasars indicate that the jets are dominated by pairs, i.e. $n_e/n_p \gg 1$. The electron-proton jet models require that the electron injection function has a low-energy cutoff at $\gamma'_{min} \sim 100$. This should be imprinted in the spectra of ERC radiation components at energies ~ 10 MeV, while X-rays should be produced entirely by the SSC mechanism. Our model then predicts steeper X-ray spectra than are observed.

MS acknowledges support from KBN grant 2P03D01209 and MCB acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-9529175 and NASA grant NAG5-2026.

References

- Begelman, M.C. & Sikora, M. 1987, ApJ, 322, 650
- Celotti, A. & Fabian, A., C. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 228
- Ghisellini, G., Celotti, A., George, I.M., & Fabian, A.C. 1992, MNRAS, 258, 776
- Ghisellini, G., Maraschi, L., & Dondi, L. 1996, A&AS, 120, C503
- Maraschi, L. et al. 1994, ApJ, 435, L91
- Reynolds, C.S., Fabian, A.C., Celotti, A., & Rees, M.J. 1996, MNRAS, 283, 873
- Sikora, M., Begelman, M. C., & Rees, M. 1994, ApJ, 421, 153
- Sikora, M., Madejski, G. M., Moderski, R., & Poutanen, J. 1997, ApJ, 484, 108
- Takahashi, T., et al. 1996, ApJ, 470, L89
- Ulrich, M.-H., Maraschi, L. & Urry, C. M., 1997, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., in press
- Wehrle, A., et al. 1997, submitted to ApJ

This book was processed by the author using the \TeX macro package from Springer-Verlag.