

# A Deep Probing of the Coma cluster

C. ADAMI & A. MAZURE

*IGRAP: LAS, T. du Siphon, 13012 Marseille, France, E-mail: adami@astrsp-mrs.fr*

F. DURRET & C. LOBO

*IAP, 98bis Bd Arago, F 75014, France, E-mail: durret@iap.fr*

B. HOLDEN

*University of Chicago, Dept of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 5640 S. Ellis Av., USA*

R. NICHOL

*CMU, Dept of physics, Wean Hall 5000, Forbes Avenue, PA 15213-3890, USA*

We present here results from a deep spectroscopic survey of the Coma cluster of galaxies (29 galaxies between  $18.98 \leq m_R \leq 21.5$ ). Only 1 of these galaxies is within Coma compared to an expected 6.7 galaxies computed from nearby control fields. This discrepancy potentially indicates that Coma's faint end luminosity function has been grossly overestimated and raises concerns about the validity of using 2D statistical subtraction to correct for the background galaxy population when constructing cluster luminosity functions.

## 1 Introduction

The Coma Cluster is one of the most studied clusters of galaxies in the sky. Its high richness and low redshift have led it to become the archetypal target for both observational and theoretical cluster studies. One aspect, however, of Coma that has yet to be fully investigated is the distribution of faint cluster galaxies. Using CFHT and the MOS spectrograph, we have partially addressed this shortfall by obtaining spectroscopy for a sample of  $18.98 \leq m_R \leq 21.5$  galaxies in the direction of the core of Coma. We selected 105 targets within this magnitude range from the deep field already imaged by Bernstein et al. (1995: B95).

## 2 Scientific Goals

There are 3 scientific goals of this work: *1)* We have found 3 faint galaxy overdensities in the deep optical imaging data of B95 that may be coincident with irregularities in Coma's X-ray emission (White et al. 1993). These small scale substructures need to be confirmed using redshift information; *2)* We do not fully know the contribution of galaxies fainter than the photographic plate limit (Godwin et al. 1983) to the overall light of Coma and whether this contribution can increase the known baryonic mass in the cluster; *3)* Finally,

the exact shape of Coma's luminosity function (B95, Lobo et al. 1996) remains uncertain, varying from a single atypical Schechter function with a steep faint-end slope, to a Gaussian at bright magnitudes and a power-law at the faint-end. These uncertainties come from the reliability of the 2D statistical correction for the background, which is prone to severe bias. Coma is an excellent first candidate to check these procedures.

### 3 Results

In March 1997, we spectroscopically observed only 29 of the 105 target galaxies discussed above (bad weather). In addition, we successfully observed 5 other faint galaxies outside the B95 area. The apparent magnitudes of the observed sub-sample are uniformly distributed among the 105 available objects. All observed objects were spectroscopically confirmed to be galaxies *i.e.* we did not discover any misclassified stars or globular clusters. Our success rate in obtaining redshifts from the spectra was 70% with the typical error on each redshift of  $\delta z = 0.001$ . The majority of the redshifts are uniformly distributed along the line of sight between  $0.17 < z < 0.88$  (fig. 1); significantly beyond the redshift of Coma (Biviano et al. 1996). We found only 1 galaxy in Coma ( $z=0.02$ ). We also detected a significant peak of 6 galaxies at  $z \simeq 0.5$  ( $\delta z = 0.02$ ). The probability of having 6 galaxies clustered in redshift – compared to a uniformly distributed – is  $< 2 \times 10^{-6}$  %.

For the 29 observed galaxies in the B95 area, we would have predicted 6.7 should have been part of the velocity field of the cluster. The large error bar on this prediction does not prohibit a conclusive statement about the errors in the 2D statistical background subtraction method. However, it is very important to check this result with more redshifts since the 2D statistical subtraction of background galaxies is now standard practice for constructing cluster luminosity functions; both distant and nearby.

One of the sub-clumps mentioned in section 2 is coincident with the redshift peak at  $z \simeq 0.5$  identified above. The spatial extension of this structure is 110 kpc ( $H_0=100$  &  $q_0=0$ ) and the probability of having 6 galaxies clustered in both redshift and angle now becomes  $< 2 \times 10^{-9}$  % (if we assume a random distribution in redshift and angle). The mean velocity of this structure is  $153790 \text{ km.s}^{-1}$  with a dispersion calculated at  $z=0$  of  $1315 \text{ km.s}^{-1}$ . The 6 spectra all have observed absorption lines which may be a hint of an interaction of these galaxies with a dense medium. These observations are consistent with a group, or cluster of galaxies, beyond Coma at  $z=0.5$ . This possible system does not correspond to any group from Hickson (1982) or Barton et al. (1996).




Figure 1: Redshifts known (dashed), the 34 new ones (solid ) and Coma (long-dashed)

## References

1. E. Barton, M.J. Geller, M. Ramella, *et al AJ*, in press, (1997)
2. G. Bernstein, R.C. Nichol, J.A. Tyson, *et al AJ*, **110**, 1507, (1995; B95)
3. A. Biviano, F. Durret, D. Gerbal, *et al A&A*, **311**, 95, (1996)
4. J.G. Godwin, N. Metcalfe, P.V. Peach *MNRAS*, **202**, 113, (1983)
5. P. Hickson *ApJ*, **255**, 382, (1982)
6. C. Lobo, A. Biviano, F. Durret, *et al A&A*, **317**, 385, (1997)
7. S.D.M. White , U.G. Briel, J.P. Henry *MNRAS*, **261**, L8, (1993)