

Powering Anomalous X-ray Pulsars by Neutron Star Cooling

Jeremy S. Heyl

Lars Hernquist¹

Lick Observatory, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA

ABSTRACT

Using recently calculated analytic models for the thermal structure of ultramagnetized neutron stars, we estimate the thermal fluxes from young ($t \sim 1000$ yr) ultramagnetized ($B \sim 10^{15}$ G) cooling neutron stars. We find that the pulsed X-ray emission from objects such as 1E 1841-045 and 1E 2259+586 as well as many soft-gamma repeaters can be explained by photon cooling if the neutron star possesses a thin insulating envelope of matter of low atomic weight at densities $\rho < 10^7 - 10^8$ g/cm³. The total mass of this insulating layer is $M \sim 10^{-11} - 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$.

Subject headings: stars: neutron — stars: magnetic fields — radiative transfer — X-rays: stars

1. Introduction

In recent years, several “breaking” (Mereghetti & Stella 1995) or “anomalous” (Van Paradijs, Taam & van den Heuvel 1995) x-ray pulsars have been discovered (Vasisht & Gotthelf 1997, Corbet et al. 1995). These objects typically have pulsed X-ray emission with steadily increasing periods ~ 10 s, X-ray luminosities $\sim 10^{35} - 10^{36}$ erg/s, soft spectra, and no detected companions or accretion disks. Furthermore, they are typically observed through hydrogen column densities $\sim 10^{22}$ cm⁻² indicating that they are not common. Vasisht & Gotthelf (1997) describe several of these sources and present observations of 1E 1841-045, whose properties are characteristic of this class of objects.

Specifically, Vasisht & Gotthelf (1997) use archival observations of the supernova remnant Kes 73 obtained with the ASCA and ROSAT satellites. They find that the x-ray source in the center of the SNR, 1E 1841-045, had a period of 11.766684 s from the ASCA data taken in 1993 October. The ROSAT data of 1992 March is best fitted with a period of 11.7645 s, yielding a period derivative of $\dot{P} \simeq 4.73 \times 10^{-11}$ s s⁻¹ and a characteristic spin-down age of 4,000 yr – close to the estimated age for Kes 73 of 2,000 yr. Using these values and assuming that magnetic dipole radiation dominates the spin-down, they estimate the dipolar field strength of the neutron star to be $\sim 10^{15}$ G, well above the quantum critical field, $B_{cr} \approx 4.4 \times 10^{13}$ G. Other anomalous x-ray pulsars (AXPs) generally have small ages and long periods, leading one to derive similar field strengths.

With x-ray luminosities $L_X \sim 10^{35} - 10^{36}$ erg/s, AXPs are underluminous relative to accretion powered X-ray pulsars and are generally isolated. For 1E 1841-045, Vasisht & Gotthelf (1997) estimate a spin-down power of 10^{33} erg/s which falls short of the observed luminosity. They also argue that although 1E 1841-045 has a period near the equilibrium spin period for a young pulsar with $B \sim 10^{12}$ G and $L_X \sim 10^{35}$ erg/s,

¹Presidential Faculty Fellow

only an unlikely evolutionary process could spin down the neutron star to this rate within the 2,000 year age of Kes 73. They suggest that 1E 1841-045 may be powered by magnetic field decay in a dipolar field of strength $B \sim 10^{15}$ G (Thompson & Duncan 1996, Goldreich & Reisenegger 1992).

In this *Letter*, we propose a natural explanation for the observed X-ray emission from AXPs. Neutron stars with ages $\sim 1,000$ yr and magnetic fields $B \gtrsim 10^{15}$ G have thermal emission in the X-ray-band with total luminosities $\sim 10^{35}$ erg/s, if their surface layers consist of light-weight material, such as hydrogen and helium. In previous papers, we have developed an analytic model for ultramagnetized neutron star envelopes (Heyl & Hernquist 1997a) and calculated the emission through iron envelopes and showed how a strong magnetic field affects neutron-star cooling (Heyl & Hernquist 1997b). Here, we will examine the properties of ultramagnetized hydrogen and helium envelopes and draw parallels with the observed properties of AXPs.

2. Model Envelopes

Heyl & Hernquist (1997a) have developed analytic models for ultramagnetized neutron star envelopes and find that the flux transmitted through the envelope is simply related to the direction and strength of the magnetic field and to the core temperature (T_c). Specifically, these models apply only below densities ρ_{\max} at which the ground Landau level becomes filled; *i.e.* $2.2 \times 10^8 - 7.1 \times 10^9$ g/cm³ for polar fields, $B = 10^{15} - 10^{16}$ G.

Here we consider hydrogen and helium envelopes with $B \sim 10^{15}$ G and core temperatures expected for cooling by the modified URCA process after $\sim 1,000$ yr. Although our models do not extend to the high densities traditionally associated with the isothermal core of a neutron star ($\rho \gtrsim 10^{10}$ g/cm³), for $B \sim 10^{15}$ G and $T_c \sim 10^8 - 10^{8.5}$ K, the envelope is nearly isothermal at ρ_{\max} . We denote the temperature at this density by T_{\max} and for this analysis take it to be equal to the core temperature.

The envelope models are calculated using a plane-parallel, Newtonian approximation. In this approach, the core temperature is a function of F/g_s , B and ψ (the angle between the radial and field directions). F is the transmitted heat flux, g_s is the surface gravity, and all of these values are taken to be in the frame of the neutron star surface. For such strong fields, the models have a simple dependence on the angle ψ , *i.e.* $F/g_s \propto \cos^2 \psi$ (Heyl & Hernquist 1997a, Shibano et al. 1995, Shibano & Yakovlev 1996) and furthermore the flux for a fixed core temperature is approximately proportional to $B^{0.4}$. With these two facts, we find that the average flux over the surface of a neutron star with a dipole field configuration is 0.4765 times its peak value at the magnetic poles.

For a given core temperature, magnetized envelopes transmit more heat than unmagnetized ones (*e.g.* Hernquist 1985). Furthermore, as Hernquist & Applegate (1984) found, the relationship between the core temperature and the transmitted flux is strongly sensitive to the composition of the degenerate portion of the envelope, specifically iron insulates the core much more effectively than hydrogen or helium. Because of the intense magnetic field, the luminosities for a given core temperature are even larger than those found by Potekhin, Chabrier & Yakovlev (1997).

3. Luminosity Evolution

We have calculated the expected luminosity of an ultramagnetized neutron star as a function of time. We assume that the core of the neutron star cools by only the modified URCA process (*e.g.* Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983),

$$\Delta t(\text{URCA}) \simeq 1 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{nuc}}} \right)^{-1/3} T_{c,9}^{-6}(f) \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{T_{c,9}(f)}{T_{c,9}(i)} \right]^6 \right\} \text{yr}, \quad (1)$$

where $T_x = T/10^x$ K and Δt is an estimate of the age of the neutron star. For simplicity, we will assume that the initial temperature is much larger than the current temperature $T_c(f)$.

If one ignores gravitational redshift effects which depend on the radius of the neutron star, the photon luminosity is given by

$$L_\gamma = 4\pi GM \frac{F}{g_s} \approx 9.5 \times 10^{32} \frac{\bar{T}_{\text{eff},6}^4}{g_{s,14}} \frac{M}{M_\odot} \text{erg s}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

We will take $M = 1.4M_\odot$.

Figure 1 depicts the photon luminosity and mean effective temperature as a function of time for several field strengths with iron, helium, and hydrogen envelopes. We see that the photon luminosity increases with increasing magnetic field, but the composition of the envelope is a more important effect. The luminosity through a hydrogen envelope is ten times larger than through iron and 1.6 times larger than through helium. The relationship between core temperature and flux is most sensitive to the thermal conductivity in the liquid portion of the degenerate envelope (Gudmundsson, Pethick & Epstein 1982). In this zone, the conductivity is proportional to Z^{-1} ; consequently, low Z envelopes conduct heat more readily.

To lowest order, for a given magnetic field strength and core temperature, the transmitted flux is proportional to $Z^{-2/3}$. The dependence on Z/A is weaker. The flux dips sharply for hydrogen and helium with $B = 10^{16}$ G, when the material near the degenerate-non-degenerate interface begins to solidify. At a given density the conductivity due to degenerate electrons is smaller in the solid state than in the liquid phase.

We note that our assumption that the magnetic field has no explicit influence on the phase of the matter is problematic for these intense fields. For example, the magnetic field may well alter the state of the material in the solid portion of the crust, particularly when the magnetic stress exceeds the yield stress of the lattice. The shear modulus of the lattice is $\mu \sim (Ze)^2 n_Z^{4/3}$, where n_Z is the ion number density (Ruderman 1972). Assuming that the lattice breaks when the strain angle $\chi_{\text{strain}} \sim 10^{-2}$, magnetic stresses dominate when (*e.g.* Blandford & Hernquist 1982; Blandford, Applegate & Hernquist 1983)

$$B \gtrsim 1.2 \times 10^6 Z A^{-2/3} \left(\frac{\rho}{1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}} \right)^{2/3} \text{G}, \quad (3)$$

which is satisfied throughout the crust for fields $B \gtrsim 10^{14}$ G.

Even for the weakest field considered, $B = 10^{15}$ G, the photon luminosity through a hydrogen envelope is 1.5×10^{35} erg/s for an age of 2,000 yr. This is comparable to both the pulsed X-ray pulsed luminosity of 1E 1841-045 of $L_X \approx 5 \times 10^{34} d_7^2$ erg/s (d_7 is the distance to the source divided by 7 kpc) and the total X-ray luminosity of $3.5 \times 10^{35} d_7^2$ erg/s, given observational uncertainty.

The photon luminosity is nearly large enough to account for the luminosity in the quiescent state of the soft gamma repeater (SGR 0526-66). Several authors have advanced the view that strongly magnetized

neutron star power SGRs. For example, Thompson & Duncan (1995) propose that soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) are powered by magnetic reconnection events near the surfaces of ultramagnetized neutron stars. Ulmer (1994) finds that a strong magnetic field can explain the super-Eddington radiation transfer in SGRs. Rothschild, Kulkarni & Lingenfelter (1994) estimate the luminosity of SGR 0526-66 in the quiescent state to be approximately 7×10^{35} erg/sec. Since SGR 0526-66 is located in a supernova remnant, they can also estimate the age of the source to be approximately 5,000 years. For this age and a magnetic field of $B = 10^{15.5}G$, we find a photon luminosity of 1.5×10^{35} erg/sec, not far short of the SGR’s quiescent luminosity.

4. Discussion

To determine the viability of our model for AXP emission, we must ascertain whether or not neutron stars with $B \sim 10^{15}$ G are likely to have an insulating envelope of the required mass in low atomic weight matter. From numerical experimentation, we have found that the relationship between the core temperature and the effective temperature is most sensitive to the composition in the degenerate, liquid portion of the envelope; *i.e.* the “sensitivity” region found by Gudmundsson, Pethick & Epstein (1982). The density in this zone is approximately in the range $10^6 - 10^7$ g/cm³.

We can appeal to the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium to calculate the total mass up to a certain density. If we assume that the envelope is thin, we have

$$\Delta M = \frac{4\pi R^2}{g_s} P, \quad (4)$$

where R is the radius of the neutron star and P is the pressure at the given depth. In the region of interest, the pressure is supplied by degenerate electrons occupying the ground Landau level. In a strong magnetic field, electrons become relativistic at

$$\rho \approx 3.3 \times 10^7 B_{15} \mu_e \text{ g/cm}^3, \quad (5)$$

where $B_{15} = B/10^{15}$ G and $\mu_e = A/Z$ is the mean atomic weight per electron (*e.g.* Heyl & Hernquist 1997a).

For nonrelativistic electrons the equation of state is

$$P_e = 1.5 \times 10^{20} B_{15}^{-2} \mu_e^{-3} \rho_6^3 \text{ dynes/cm}^2 \text{ for } \rho_6 \ll 33 B_{15} \mu_e, \quad (6)$$

where $\rho_6 = \rho/10^6$ g/cm³.

For densities $\rho_6 \gg 33 B_{15} \mu_e$, the electrons are relativistic, and we obtain the equation of state,

$$P_e = 7.5 \times 10^{21} B_{15}^{-1} \mu_e^{-2} \rho_6^2 \text{ dynes/cm}^2. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, depending on the maximum density of the insulating layer, we obtain for its total mass,

$$\Delta M = 7.1 \times 10^{-15} R_6^4 \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{-1} B_{15}^{-2} \mu_e^{-3} \rho_6^3 M_\odot \quad (8)$$

in the non-relativistic limit where M is the mass of the neutron star and $R_6 = R/10^6$ cm. In the relativistic limit, we obtain

$$\Delta M = 3.5 \times 10^{-13} R_6^4 \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{-1} B_{15}^{-1} \mu_e^{-2} \rho_6^2 M_\odot. \quad (9)$$

At a minimum, the low- Z insulating layer must extend into the degenerate portion of the envelope where $\rho_6 \sim 10$. The non-relativistic estimate for the mass of this layer yields $\sim 10^{-11}M_\odot$ for $B = 10^{15}$ G. If the layer extends to the density at which the first Landau level fills ($\rho_6 \sim 200$) well into the relativistic regime, $\Delta M \sim 10^{-8}M_\odot$ for $B = 10^{15}$ G.

The high temperatures accompanying core collapse are generally thought to process the material that will comprise the neutron star envelope to nuclear statistical equilibrium; therefore, the envelope is expected to consist of ^{56}Fe and heavier nuclei at higher densities (*e.g.* Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983). A hydrogen or helium envelope must contain material accreted by the neutron star from the interstellar medium or from the “detritus” of the supernova. Even with an intense magnetic field to dramatically increase the Alfvén radius, a neutron star would require $\sim 10^6$ yr to accrete $\sim 10^{-11}M_\odot$ from the ISM, unless the neutron star were traveling through an unusually dense region. For example,

$$\dot{M} \sim 10^{-14} n_4^{7/9} R_6^{4/3} B_{15}^{4/9} v_7^{7/9} \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{2/3} M_\odot/\text{yr}, \quad (10)$$

where n_4 is the number density of the ISM in units of 10^4cm^{-3} , $v_7 = v/10^7$ cm/s, and v is the velocity of the neutron star. In such a dense medium, the neutron star could accrete the minimum required $10^{-11}M_\odot$ within $\approx 1,000$ years. If the nascent neutron star received a kick during the supernova explosion, it could accrete material from the high density remnant of the progenitor star. Duncan & Thompson (1992) argue that a strong magnetic field $B \sim 10^{15}$ G can cause the neutrino emission from the nascent neutron star to be anisotropic; consequently, the neutron star would recoil at $v \sim 100$ km/s relative to center of the explosion.

Chevalier (1989) modeled the accretion by a nascent neutron star or black hole from the exploded envelope of SN 1987A. He found that $\sim 0.1M_\odot$ will fall back within several days of the explosion. For a typical type II supernova which has an extended envelope, the total accreted mass may be a factor of 100 smaller, yielding a total of $10^{-3}M_\odot$ of material to comprise the insulating layer. Although much of this material will have been processed during the evolution of the star, the X-ray and gamma-ray light curves of SN 1987A as well as numerical simulations indicate that heavy and light elements mix during the explosion (McCray 1993 and references therein); any unprocessed remnants of the progenitor star’s hydrogen envelope would quickly float to the surface (Lai & Salpeter 1997) and form a hydrogen envelope on the neutron star. Even in an intense magnetic field, pycnonuclear fusion reactions do not proceed quickly enough to substantially process the accreted material within 1,000 yr (Heyl & Hernquist 1996; Lai & Salpeter 1996). If insufficient hydrogen fell back, the photon luminosity for a helium envelope is only one third lower than that of a hydrogen envelope; consequently, even a Type Ib supernova could result in a cooling-powered neutron star with sufficient luminosity to be observed as an AXP.

Zavlin, Pavlov & Shibano (1996) calculate several model atmospheres for cooling neutron stars including hydrogen and helium for weak magnetic fields ($B \sim 10^8 - 10^{10}$ G), and compare the emergent spectra with a blackbody distribution. Because the opacity of a hydrogen or helium atmosphere drops quickly with photon energy, high energy photons originate from deeper and hotter layers of the atmosphere; consequently, hydrogen and helium atmospheres have spectra which peak at a higher frequency and have stronger Wien tails than a blackbody spectrum with the same effective temperature (Romani 1987). Pavlov et al. (1996) convolve the spectra from neutron star atmospheres consisting of hydrogen with the ROSAT response function and find that the fitted values of T_{eff} are 4.17 times higher than the model value. The observed best-fit blackbody temperature for the spectrum of 1E 2259+586 is 0.45 keV. This is about twice the effective temperature of our model envelopes. The processing of the radiation by the hydrogen atmosphere may account for this shift.

Furthermore, in the energy range of 1–10 keV, hydrogen spectra tend to be less steep ($S_\nu \propto \nu^{-5}$) than the equivalent blackbody spectra. Although they are not as shallow as the non-thermal tail observed in 1E 2259+586, $S_\nu \propto \nu^{-3}$, mechanisms in the magnetosphere probably contribute to the high-energy emission.

An intense magnetic field induces a temperature variation across the surface of the neutron star (Heyl & Hernquist 1997a). This gradient combined with the limb darkening manifest in models of magnetized neutron star atmospheres (Romani 1987, Zavlin, Pavlov & Shibano 1996) can naturally explain the observed pulsed fraction of Kes 73 of 35% (Vasisht & Gotthelf 1997).

5. Conclusions

We find that young ($t \sim 1,000$ yr) neutron stars with strong magnetic fields ($B \sim 10^{15}$ G) and hydrogen or possibly helium envelopes have photon luminosities similar to those observed from anomalous X-ray pulsars and soft-gamma repeaters in their quiescent state. The total mass of the insulating layer is $10^{-11} - 10^{-8} M_\odot$. A strongly magnetized neutron star could accrete enough material from the ISM within 1,000 years only if the ISM is sufficiently dense, $n \gtrsim 10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}$. However, sufficient material is expected to fall back onto the neutron star surface following the explosion of massive stars.

The work was supported in part by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship and the NSF Presidential Faculty Fellows program.

REFERENCES

- Blandford, R. D., Applegate, J. H. & Hernquist, L. 1983, *MNRAS*, **204**, 1025.
- Blandford, R. D. & Hernquist, L. 1982, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.*, **15**, 6233.
- Chevalier, R. A. 1989, *ApJ*, **847**, 847.
- Corbet, R. H. D., Smale, A. P., Ozaki, M., Koyama, K. & Iwasawa, K. 1995, *ApJ*, **443**, 786.
- Duncan, R. C. & Thompson, C. 1992, *ApJL*, **392**, 9.
- Goldreich, P. & Reisenegger, A. 1992, *ApJ*, **395**, 250.
- Gudmundsson, E. H., Pethick, C. J. & Epstein, R. I. 1982, *ApJL*, **259**, 19.
- Hernquist, L. 1985, *MNRAS*, **213**, 313.
- Hernquist, L. & Applegate, J. H. 1984, *ApJ*, **287**, 244.
- Heyl, J. S. & Hernquist, L. 1996, *Phys Rev C*, **54**, 2751.
- Heyl, J. S. & Hernquist, L. 1997a, *Almost Analytic Models of Ultramagnetized Neutron Star Envelopes*, submitted to *MNRAS*
- Heyl, J. S. & Hernquist, L. 1997b, *The Thermal Evolution of Ultramagnetized Neutron Stars*, submitted to *ApJL*

- Lai, D. & Salpeter, E. E. 1996, *Phys. Rev. A.*, **53**, 152.
- Lai, D. & Salpeter, E. E. 1997, *Hydrogen Phases on the Surface of a Strongly Magnetized Neutron Star*, astro-ph/9704130
- McCray, R. 1993, *ARA&A*, **31**, 175.
- Mereghetti, S. & Stella, L. 1995, *ApJL*, **442**, 17.
- Pavlov, G. G., Zavlin, V. E., Trümper, J. & Neuhäuser, R. 1996, *Multiwavelength observations of isolated neutron stars as a tool to probe the properties of their surfaces*, astro-ph/9609097
- Potekhin, A. Y., Chabrier, G. & Yakovlev, D. G. 1997, *A&A*, **323**, 415.
- Romani, R. W. 1987, *ApJ*, **313**, 718.
- Rothschild, R. E., Kulkarni, S. R. & Lingenfelter, R. E. 1994, *Nature*, **368**, 432.
- Ruderman, M. 1972, *ARA&A*, **10**, 427.
- Shapiro, S. L. & Teukolsky, S. A. 1983, *Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars*, Wiley-Interscience, New York
- Shibanov, Y. A., Pavlov, G. G., Zavlin, V. E. & Tsuruta, S. 1995, in H. Böhringer, G. E. Morfill & J. E. Trümper (eds.), *Seventeenth Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics and Cosmology*, Vol. 759 of *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, p. 291, The New York Academy of Sciences, New York
- Shibanov, Y. A. & Yakovlev, D. G. 1996, *A&A*, **309**, 171.
- Thompson, C. & Duncan, R. C. 1995, *MNRAS*, **275**, 255.
- Thompson, C. & Duncan, R. C. 1996, *ApJ*, **473**, 322.
- Ulmer, A. 1994, *ApJL*, **437**, 111.
- Van Paradijs, J., Taam, R. E. & van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 1995, *A&A*, **299**, 41.
- Vasisht, G. & Gotthelf, E. V. 1997, *The Discovery of an Anomalous X-ray Pulsar in the Supernova Remnant Kes 73*, astro-ph/9706058
- Zavlin, V. E., Pavlov, G. G. & Shibanov, Y. A. 1996, *A&A*, **315**, 141.




Fig. 1.— Photon luminosity and mean effective temperature as a function of the age of the neutron star. The upper bold curves trace the evolution for hydrogen envelopes, the intermediate curves give the evolution for helium envelopes and the lowest light curves follow the cooling through iron envelopes. The values of ρ_{max} for hydrogen envelopes are given in the legend.