COMETARY KNOTS AND HIGH ENERGY PHOTONS AND NEUTRINOS FROM GAMMA RAY BURSTS

Arnon Dar

Department of Physics and Space Research Institute Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel.

Abstract

The Hubble Space Telescope has recently discovered thousands of gigantic comet-like objects in a ring around the central star in the nearest planetary nebula. We suggest that such circumstellar rings exist around most stars. Collisions of the relativistic debris from gamma ray bursts in dense stellar regions with such gigantic comet-like objects, which have been stripped off from the circumstellar rings by gravitational perturbations, produce detectable fluxes of high energy γ -rays and neutrinos.

PACS numbers: 98.70.Rz, 97.60.-s

Typeset using $\text{REVT}_{\text{E}}X$

The isotropy of the positions of gamma ray bursts (GRBs) in the sky and their brightness distribution as observed by the BATSE detector aboard the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory (CGRO) strongly suggested [1] that GRBs are at cosmological distances. The recent discovery [2,3] of an extended faint optical source coincident with the optical transient of GRB 970228 and, in particular, the detection of absorption and emission line systems [4] at redshift z=0.835 in the spectrum of the optical counterpart [5] of GRB 970508, which may arise from a host galaxy, have provided further evidence that GRBs are indeed at cosmological distances. Cosmological distances imply [6] that GRBs have enormous fluences and peak luminosities. For instance, in a Friedman Universe with $\Omega \approx 0.2$, $\Lambda = 0$ and $H_0 \approx 70 \ km \ Mpc \ s^{-1}$ the luminosity distance of GRB 970508 is $D = 1.49 \times 10^{28} cm$. Its fluence [7] in the energy range 10 - 1000 keV was $F_{\gamma} \approx 3 \times 10^{-6} erg \ cm^{-2}$ within a few seconds, implying a total energy radiated in γ -rays of approximately $(1+z)F_{\gamma}D^2d\Omega \approx 6 \times 10^{50}d\Omega \ erg$ where $d\Omega$ is the solid angle into which the emitted radiation was beamed $(7 \times 10^{51} \ erg$ if the emission was isotropic). Such intensities require that the gamma rays are highly collimated in order to avoid self opaqueness due to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ pair production. A sufficient, and probably necessary, condition for this to occur is that they are emitted far away from the source by highly relativistic outflows with bulk Lorentz factors, $\Gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta^2} \gg 1$. Their non thermal spectrum also suggests that they are emitted from highly relativistic flows, such as relativistic fireballs [8] or relativistic jets [9]. The observed radiation may be produced by self interactions within the flow [10] or by interactions with external matter [11] or with external radiation [12]. If the highly relativistic flow consists of normal hadronic matter, and if it collides with gas targets along the line of sight before it decelerates significantly, it will produce high energy γ rays [13] and neutrinos [14] through $pp \to \pi^0 X$; $\pi^0 \to 2\gamma$, and $pp \to \pi^{\pm} X; \pi^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm} \nu_{\mu}; \mu^{\pm} \to e^{\pm} \nu_{\mu} \nu_{e}$, respectively, which are beamed towards the observer. Here we show that a recent discovery with the Hubble Space Telescope implies that very large number of such gas targets with a large column density may be present in dense stellar regions, where GRBs are most likely to take place, and produce detectable fluxes of high energy gamma rays and neutrinos from GRBs. Although the Universe becomes opaque to γ -rays at TeV energies due to $\gamma + \gamma_{IRB} \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$ pair production on the intergalactic IR background radiation [15], the Universe is transparent to neutrinos (and to γ -rays below TeV), which can be used to detect cosmological GRBs in TeV energies from cosmological distances with 1 km^3 underwater/ice neutrino telescopes [16].

Recent observations with the Hubble Space Telescope of the Helix Nebula, the nearest planetary nebula at an estimated distance of 150 pc, have discovered [17] that the central star lights a circumstellar ring of about 3500 gigantic comet-like objects ("Cometary Knots") with typical masses about $M = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$, i.e., comparable to our solar system planets $(M_{Earth} = 3 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot}, M_{Jup} = 9.6 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot})$ and a total mass of about $M_T \sim 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$. The gaseous heads of these Cometary Knots have a typical size of about $r_c \sim 10^{15} cm$, which yields an average column density of $N_p \sim M/\pi m_p r_c^2 \sim 10^{22} \ cm^{-2}$. They look like ionization bounded neutral clouds, but it is not clear whether they contain a solid body or uncollapsed gas. They are observed at distances comparable to our own Oort cloud of comets [18], but they seem to be distributed in a ring rather than in a spherical cloud like the Oort cloud: The Helix Nebula has a structure of a ring lying close to the plane of the sky. The Cometary Knots are not seen close to the central star, but become very numerous as one approaches the inner ring and then become fewer out in the main body of the ring (perhaps, because it becomes more difficult to detect them there against the bright background). This suggests a ring rather than a spherical distribution, since no foreshortened objects close to the direction of the central star are seen. It is possible that these Cometary Knots have been formed together with the central star [17] since star formation commonly involves formation of a thin planar disk of material possessing too high an angular momentum to be drawn into the nascent star and a much thicker outer ring of material extending out to several hundreds AU [19]. Evidence for this material has been provided by infrared photometry of young stars and also by direct imaging of this material [20]. The observation of comets of very long period and unconfined to the ecliptic plane gave rise to the idea of the Oort cloud of billions of comets in our Solar System at distances out to many tens of thousands of AU [18]. It is argued that small gravitational perturbations have circularized their parking orbits where occasionally another perturbation puts them into an orbit which brings them into the inner planetary system where they are finally viewable as comets. The mass distribution of the observed comets has been determined over only a small range since there are observational selection effects acting against finding the small ones and there are very few large ones. It is possible that the Cometary Knots are the high mass end of the vastly more numerous low mass comets [18] and are confined to the ecliptic plane because of their relatively larger masses. It is also possible that most stars are surrounded with such circumstellar rings of Cometary Knots. We propose that these loosely bound Cometary Knots are stripped off from their mother stars by gravitational perturbations in dense stellar regions (DSR), such as star burst regions, super star clusters, galactic cores and core collapsed globular clusters. Such DSR, where GRBs are likely to take place [21], provide large column density gas targets for the highly relativistic GRB debris.

The cross section for inclusive production of high energy γ -rays with a small transverse momentum, $cp_T = E_T < 1 \ GeV$ in pp collisions is well represented by [22]

$$\frac{E}{\sigma_{in}} \frac{d^3 \sigma}{d^2 p_T dE_\gamma} \approx (1/2\pi p_T) e^{-E_T/E_0} f(x), \tag{1}$$

where E is the incident proton energy, $\sigma_{in} \approx 35 \text{ mb}$ is the pp total inelastic cross section at TeV energies, $E_0 \approx 0.15 \text{ GeV}$ and $f(x) \sim (1-x)^3/\sqrt{x}$ is a function only of the Feynman variable $x = E_{\gamma}/E$, and not of the separate values of the energies of the incident proton and the produced γ -ray. The exponential dependence on E_T beams the γ -ray production into $\theta < E_T/E$ along the incident proton direction. When integrated over transverse momentum the inclusive cross section becomes $\sigma_{in}^{-1} d\sigma/dx \approx f(x)$. If the incident protons have a powerlaw energy spectrum, $dI_p/dE \approx AE^{-\alpha}$, then, because of Feynman scaling, the produced γ -rays have the same power-law spectrum:

$$\frac{dI_{\gamma}}{dE} \approx N_p \sigma_{in} \int_E^\infty \frac{dI_p}{dE'} \frac{d\sigma}{dE} dE' \approx N_p \sigma_{in} IAE^{-\alpha},\tag{2}$$

where N_p is the column density of the target and $I = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} f(x) dx$. Because of the exponential dependence of the production cross section for $pp \to \gamma X$ on the transverse

energy of the produced γ -rays, most of the γ -rays that are seen by the observer must arrive from within a cone of a solid angle $\pi\theta^2 \approx \pi (E_0/E_\gamma)^2 < d\Omega$ along the line of sight. The average column density within such a cone in a DSR, e.g., a galactic core [23] with a typical radius, $R = R_{pc} pc$, a total mass $M = M_6 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ and a mass fraction $f = f_{-2} \times 10^{-2}$ in Cometary Knots [17], is $N_{eff} \sim 3 \times 10^{23} M_6 f_{-2} R_{pc} \ cm^{-2}$. It produces a high energy γ -ray flux of

$$\frac{dI_{\gamma}}{dE} \approx N_{eff} \sigma_{in} IAE^{-\alpha}.$$
(3)

The duration of the high energy emission from such a cone is $T \approx R/2c\Gamma^2 + R\theta^2/2c$. For $\Gamma \gg 1/\theta \approx E_{\gamma}/E_0$,

$$T \approx R\theta^2 \approx 10^4 R_{pc} E_{10}^{-2} s, \tag{4}$$

where $E_{\gamma} = 10E_{10} \ GeV$. Thus, we predict the "afterglow" of a GRB in ~ 10 GeV photons to extend over, typically, a couple of hours and the typical duration of a pulse from a single cloud to last $t \sim r_c^2/2cR \sim 5r_{15}^2/R_{pc} s$. These predictions are consistent with the CGRO/EGRET observations of the afterglow of GRBs in GeV photons [24].

Hadronic production of photons in diffuse targets is also accompanied by neutrino emission through $pp \to \pi^{\pm}X$; $\pi^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_{\mu}$; $\mu^{\pm} \to e^{\pm}\nu_{\mu}\nu_{e}$. If the incident protons have a power-law energy spectrum, $dF_{p}/dE = AE^{-\alpha}$, and if the cloud is transparent both to γ rays and neutrinos, then because of Feynman scaling, the produced high energy γ rays and neutrinos have the same power law spectrum and satisfy [25]:

$$dI_{\nu}/dE \approx 0.7 dI_{\gamma}/dE \approx 0.7 N_{eff} \sigma_{in} IAE^{-\alpha}.$$
 (5)

Consequently, we predict that γ -ray emission from GRBs is accompanied by emission of high energy neutrinos with similar fluxes, light curves and energy spectra. The number of ν_{μ} events from a GRB in an underwater/ice high-energy ν_{μ} telescope is $SN_A T_{GRF} \int R_{\mu} (d\sigma_{\nu\mu}/dE_{\mu}) (dI_{\nu}/dE) dE_{\mu} dE$, where S is the surface area of the telescope, N_A is Avogadro's number, $\sigma_{\nu\mu}$ is the inclusive cross section for $\nu_{\mu}p \to \mu X$, and R_{μ} is the range (in $gm \ cm^{-2}$) of muons with energy E_{μ} in water/ice. For a GRB with a fluence of $10^{52}d\Omega \ erg$ in TeV γ -rays (similar to that observed in the 10 $keV - 10 \ MeV$ band) and a power index $\alpha \sim 2$, as suggested by the observed spectrum of GRBs in the multi GeV energies [24], we predict ~ 1 neutrino event in a 1 km^2 telescope. Since the Universe is transparent to neutrinos, they can be used to detect TeV GRBs from any distance. In spite of the small number of events expected from individual GRBs (except for a few relatively close), the fact that there are ~ 3 GRBs per day and the coincidence of neutrino events in time and direction with GRBs can be used to enhance the cumulative neutrino signal from GRBs over the atmospheric background by a very large factor.

Hadronic production of TeV γ -rays is also accompanied by production of TeV electrons and positrons mainly via $pp \to \pi^{\pm} X$; $\pi^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm} \nu_{\mu}$; $\mu^{\pm} \to e^{\pm} \nu_{e} \nu_{\mu}$. Their production suddenly enriches the jet with high energy electrons. Due to Feynman scaling, their differential spectrum is proportional to the γ -ray spectrum

$$dI_e/dE \approx 0.5 dI_{\gamma}/dE \tag{6}$$

and they have the same power-index α as that of the incident protons and the produced high energy photons and neutrinos. Their cooling via synchrotron emission and inverse Compton scattering from internal (trapped and produced) and external (clouds, stars) magnetic and radiation fields, respectively [26], produce delayed emission of γ -rays, X-rays, optical photons and radio waves with a differential power-law spectrum (assuming no absorption in the cloud)

$$dI_{\gamma}/dE \sim E^{-(\alpha+1)/2},\tag{7}$$

where $(\alpha + 1)/2 \approx 1.75 \pm 0.25$. Hence, emission of TeV γ -rays is accompanied by delayed emission (afterglows) in the γ -ray, X-ray, optical and radio bands.

The peak emission of synchrotron radiation by electrons with a Lorentz factor Γ_e traversing a perpendicular magnetic field $B_{\perp}(Gauss)$ in the flow rest frame which moves with a bulk Doppler factor $\delta = (1 - \beta \cos\theta)/\Gamma$ occurs at photon energy [27] $E_{\gamma} \sim 5 \times 10^{-12} B_{\perp} \Gamma_e^2 \delta \ keV$. The electrons lose $\sim 50\%$ of their initial energy by synchrotron radiation in

$$\tau_c \approx 5 \times 10^8 \Gamma_e^{-1} B_{\perp}^{-2} \delta \ s \approx 1.2 \times 10^3 B_{\perp}^{-3/2} E_{\gamma}^{-1/2} \delta^{-1/2} \ s.$$
(8)

Consequently, the time-lag of synchrotron emission is inversely proportional to the square root of their energy. It is small for γ -rays and X-rays but considerable (~ hours) for optical photons and (~ days) for radio waves. The spectral evolution of the afterglow is a convolution of the spectral evolution of the production of high energy electrons and their cooling time. It is harder at the beginning and softens towards the end of the glow. Such a tendency seems to have been observed in GRBs. The fading of the afterglow has a simple power-law dependence on time [28], ~ $t^{3(\alpha-1)/4}$ consistent with the observed fading of the X-ray and optical afterglows of GRB 970228 [29,2,3] and GRB 970508 [30,4].

Gamma ray emission from GRB are usually interpreted as synchrotron emission or inverse Compton scattering of highly relativistic electrons in the ejecta. Efficiency considerations require that the ejecta be purely leptonic. However, it is difficult to explain extended GeV γ -ray emission from GRBs if the ejecta is purely leptonic. The main difficulty is the fast cooling of electrons and positrons which are assumed to produce the initial short keV-MeV GRB. Moreover, such a model does not provide a satisfactory explanation for the very short time-scale variability of GRBs (because of limited statistics the true short time variability of GeV emission is not yet known). Instead, in this letter we have assumed that the highly relativistic flow which produces the GRB consists of normal hadronic matter. We proposed that high energy γ -rays and neutrinos are produced efficiently by the interaction of the high energy particles accelerated by the highly relativistic flow with gas targets of sufficiently large column density. Such gas targets may be provided by gigantic comet-like objects, stripped off from the circumstellar rings of the stars in the dense stellar region where GRBs are more likely to take place. Thousands of such gigantic comet-like objects have been discovered recently with HST in a ring around the central star in the Helix nebula. The simple properties of hadronic production of high energy γ -rays, which are well known from lab experiments, together with the properties of the gigantic Cometary Knots can explain extended GeV emission from GRBs. The model also predicts a simultaneous emission of TeV neutrinos and a delayed emission (afterglow) in the γ -ray, X-ray, optical and radio bands with comparable integrated energies. Although further observations may provide more supporting evidence for the hadronic nature of the ejecta, conclusive evidence, probably, will require the detection of TeV neutrinos from GRBs, perhaps by the 1 km³ neutrino telescopes which have been proposed.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank D. Fargion and A. Laor for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- See, e.g., C. A. Meegan et al., Nature, **355**, 143 (1992); G.J. Fishman and C. A. Meegan,
 Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap. **33**, 415 (1995).
- [2] J. van Paradijs et al., Nature, **386**, 686 (1997).
- [3] K. C. Sahu et al., Nature, **387**, 476 (1997).
- [4] M. R. Metzger et al., Nature **387**, 878 (1997).
- [5] S. G. Djorgovski et al., Nature **387**, 876 (1997).
- [6] For a review of cosmological models see C.D. Dermer and T. J. Weiler, Ap. Sp. Sc. 231, 377 (1995).
- [7] C. Kouveliotou et al., IAU Circ. 6600 (1997).
- [8] B. Paczynski, Ap. J. 308, L43 (1986); J. Goodman, Ap. J. 308, L47 (1986).
- [9] See, e.g., B. McBreen et al., Astr. Ap. Suppl. 97, 81 (1993); C. Dermer and R. Schlickeiser,
 AIP, 307 (1994); J. Roland et al., Astr. Ap. 290, 364 (1994); N. J. Shaviv and A. Dar, Ap.
 J. 447, 863 (1995); A. Dar, submitted to Ap. J. Lett. 1997 (astro-ph/9704187).
- [10] B. Paczynski and G. Xu, Ap. J. 427, 708 (1994); M. J. Rees and P. Meszaros, Ap. J. 430, L93 (1994).
- [11] M. J. Rees and P. Meszaros, Month. not. Roy. Astr. Soc. 258, 41P (1992); P. Meszaros and M. J. Rees, Ap. J. 405, 278 (1993). J. I. Katz, Ap. J. 422, 248 (1994).
- [12] See, e.g., A. Shemi, Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. 269, 1112 (1994); N. J. Shaviv and A. Dar, Ap. J. 447, 863 (1995); N. J. Shaviv and A. Dar, in *Neutrinos, Dark Matter and The Universe*, eds. T. Stolarcyk et al. (Editions Frontieres 1997) p. 338.
- [13] See, e.g., J. I. Katz, Ap. J. **432**, L27 (1994).
- [14] See, e.g., B. Paczynski and G. Xu, Ap. J. 427, 708 (1994); N. J. Shaviv and A. Dar,

in Neutrinos, Dark Matter and The Universe, eds. T. Stolarcyk et al. (Editions Frontieres 1997) p. 338; A. Dar in Very High Energy Phenomena In The Universe ed. J. Tran Thanh Van (Editions Frontieres) in press; E. Waxman and J. N. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2292 (1997).

- [15] F. W. Stecker, et al., Ap. J. **415**, L71 (1993).
- [16] See, e.g., F. Halzen, in Very High Energy Phenomena In The Universe ed. J. Tran Thanh Van (Editions Frontieres) in press; L. Moscoso, ibid; B. Price, ibid; I. Sokalski et al. ibid. Weak experimental limits from much smaller scale ($\leq 10^{-3}km^2$ underground detectors were published by Miller et al., Ap. J. **428**, 629 (1994); Y. Fukuda et al., Ap. J. **435**, 225 (1994) and R. Becker-Szendy et al., Ap. J. **444**, 415 (1995).
- [17] C. R. O'Dell and K. D. Handron, Astr. J., **111**, 1630 (1996).
- [18] J. H. Oort, J. H., 1950, Bull. Astr. Inst. Neth. 11, 91 (1950).
- [19] Beckwith, S. V. W., 1995, in *Science with the VLT*, eds. J. R. Walsh and T. J. Danziger (springer, Heidelberg), p. 53
- [20] O'Dell, C.R. and Wen, Z. 1994, Ap. J., 436, 194
- [21] See, e.g., N. J. Shaviv, Ph.D Thesis, Technion Report Ph-96-16, (unpublished).
- [22] G. Neuhoffer et al., Phys. Lett. **37B**, 438 (1971); H. Boggild and T. Ferbel, Ann. Rev.
 Nucl. Sci. **24**, 451 (1974); T. Ferbel and W.R. Molzon, 1984, Rev. Mod. Phys., **56**, 181.
- [23] D. A. Allen, "The Nuclei of Normal Galaxies" (eds. R. Genzel & A.I. Harris, 1994) p.293.
- [24] K. Hurley et al., Nature **372**, 652 (1994); B. L. Dingus, Ap. Sp. Sci., **231**, 187 (1995).
- [25] See, e.g., A. Dar, and N. J. Shaviv, N. 1996, Astroparticle Phys. 4, 343 (1966).
- [26] L. Maraschi, et al., Ap. J. **397**, L5 (1992) S. D. Bloom and A. P. Marsher, AIP **280**,

578 (1993); C. D. Dermer and R. Sclickeiser, Ap. J. 415, 418 (1993); P. S. Coppi, et al.,
AIP 280, 559 (1993); C. D. Dermer and R. Sclickeiser, Ap. J. Suppl. 90, 945 (1994); M.
Sikora et al., Ap. J. 421, 153 (1994); R. Blandford and A. Levinson, Ap. J. 441, 79 (1995);
S. Inoue and F. Takahara, Ap. J. 463, 555 (1996).

- [27] Rybicki, G.B. & Lightman, A.P. 1979, Radiative Processes in Ap. (N.Y. Wiley)
- [28] See, e.g., R. Wijers et al. Mont. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc., in press 1997 (astro-ph/9704153);A. Dar, submitted to Ap. J. Lett. 1997 (astro-ph/9704187).
- [29] E. Costa et al., Nature, **387**, 783 (1997) and references therein.
- [30] E. Costa et al., IAU Circ. No. 6649 (1997); T. J. Galama et al., IAU Circ. No. 6655 (1997); L. Piro et al., IAU Circ. No. 6656 (1997); A. J. Castro-Triado et al., IAU Circ. No. 6657 (1997) B. E. Schafer et al., IAU Circ. No. 6658 (1997); P. J. Groot et al., IAU Circ. No. 6660 (1997); M. Garcia et al., IAU Circ. No. 6661 (1997); M. Mignoli et al., IAU Circ. No. 6661 (1997); C. Chevalier and A. Ilovaisky, IAU Circ. No. (1997); A. I. Kopylov et al., IAU Circ. Nos. 6663, 6671 (1997); A. Fruchter et al., IAU Circ. No. 6674 (1997).