

# Cooling flows and quasars:

## Different aspects of the same phenomenon ? I. Concepts

Luca Ciotti<sup>1</sup> and Jeremiah P. Ostriker

Princeton University Observatory, Peyton Hall, Princeton, NJ 08544 USA

Received \_\_\_\_\_; accepted \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted to ApJ (Letters) - June 17, 1997

---

<sup>1</sup>On leave from Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Zamboni 33, 40126 Bologna, Italy.

## ABSTRACT

We present a new class of solutions for the gas flows in elliptical galaxies containing massive central black holes (BH). Modified King model galaxies are assumed. Two source terms operate: mass loss from evolving stars, and a secularly declining heating by supernovae (SNIa). All relevant atomic physical processes are modeled in detail. Like the previous models investigated by Ciotti et al. (1991, CDPR), these new models first evolve through three consecutive evolutionary stages: wind, outflow, and inflow. At this point the presence of the BH alters dramatically the subsequent evolution, because the energy emitted by the BH can heat the surrounding gas to above virial temperatures, causing the formation of a hot expanding central bubble. Short and strong nuclear bursts of radiation ( $L_{\text{BH}}$ ) are followed by longer periods during which the X-ray galaxy emission comes from the coronal gas ( $L_{\text{X}}$ ). The range and approximate distribution spanned by  $L_{\text{X}}$  are found to be in accordance with observations of X-ray early type galaxies. Moreover, although high accretion rates occur during bursting phases when the central BH has a luminosity characteristic of quasars, the total mass accreted is very small when compared to that predicted by stationary cooling-flow solutions and are in accord with putative BH nuclear masses. In the bursting phases the X-ray gas luminosity is low and the surface brightness profile is very low compared to pre-burst or to cooling flow models. We propose that these new models, while solving some long-standing problems of the cooling flow scenario, can provide a unified description of QSO-like objects and X-ray emitting elliptical galaxies, these being the same objects observed at two different evolutionary phases.

*Subject headings:* Galaxies: Evolution, Cooling Flows, Black Holes

## 1. Introduction

As first revealed by *Einstein* observations, normal elliptical galaxies, both isolated or in groups and clusters, can be powerful X-ray sources with 0.5 - 4.5 KeV luminosities  $L_X$  ranging from  $\sim 10^{39}$  to  $\sim 10^{42}$  erg s $^{-1}$ . This emission is associated with hot gaseous haloes within the galaxies, containing  $M_{\text{gas}} = 10^8 - 10^{11} M_\odot$  (see Fabbiano 1989).

In order to explain this observational finding, a certain class of solutions designated cooling flow models have been proposed and extensively investigated (e.g., Fabian, Nulsen, & Canizares 1984; Sarazin & White 1987,1988; Vedder, Trester, & Canizares 1988). While these models have many attractive features, they are far from giving a totally satisfactory account of the X-ray properties of all elliptical galaxies, as most observed systems are much fainter in the X-rays than the models predict and have different radial profiles than expected. Moreover, the cooling flow models do not solve the question of *where* the cool gas is deposited: over a Hubble time an amount of material comparable to the mass of stars in the galactic core flows into the nucleus, but the expected distortions of the central optical surface brightness and velocity dispersion are not observed.

One possible solution to part of the previous set of problems was proposed by D’Ercole et al. (1989) and CDPR, who showed that the heating from SNIa could be effective in maintaining low luminosity galaxies in a wind phase over an Hubble time (and so preventing the gas from accumulating in the centre). But the most massive galaxies ultimately experience a central cooling catastrophe, leading to a situation similar to a cooling flow. Clearly a component of the explanation is missing and is possibly related to the fact (e.g., Rees 1984) that many (perhaps most) early-type galaxies show a nuclear activity, and, according to the standard interpretation of the AGN phenomenon, a massive BH is at its origin. So it is natural to investigate the accretion of a galactic gas inflow onto galaxies within which lurk massive central BHs ( $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 10^8 M_\odot$ ). Binney & Tabor (1995, BT)

explored this problem assuming a release of *mechanical* energy in the central regions of the galaxy, due to the interaction between a nuclear jet and the surrounding ISM. In the present paper we explore, by numerical integration of the fully non-stationary equations of hydrodynamics, the modifications on the results of CDPR, assuming the presence of a massive BH the galaxy centre with detailed allowance for the effects on the flow of the radiation emitted by the central BH. As will be shown, the gas over the body of the galaxy is (as noted by BT) really optically thin, but nevertheless the effect of *energy* exchange between the nuclear *radiation* and the gas flow is dramatic. This effect was already known and extensively studied for accreting compact objects (Ostriker et al. 1976; Cowie, Ostriker, & Stark 1978). In a successive paper (Ciotti & Ostriker 1997, Paper II), a quantitative analysis of various aspects of the scenario summarized in this Letter, together with an exhaustive description of the input physics and its modelization, will be given.

## 2. Results

All the results shown here refer to a model whose parameters are fixed following the line of CDPR. The stellar density profile is a King (1972) distribution, with total blue luminosity  $L_B = 5 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$ , central velocity dispersion  $\sigma_{o*} = 280 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and core radius  $r_{c*} = 350 \text{ pc}$ . The dark-matter halo is described by a quasi-isothermal density distribution, with  $M_h/M_* = 7.8$  and  $r_{ch}/r_{c*} = 4.2$ . The SNIa rate is the same that in the King Reference Model of CDPR. The bolometric luminosity emitted by the accretion near the BH is  $L_{BH} \equiv \epsilon c^2 \dot{M}_{BH}$ , where  $c$  is the light velocity, and  $\epsilon$  is the accretion efficiency, with  $10^{-3} \lesssim \epsilon \lesssim 10^{-1}$ . The spectral distribution of  $L_{BH}$  near the BH is assumed to be  $L_{BH}(\nu) \propto L_{BH} \nu^{-0.5} / (\nu_b^{0.7} + \nu^{0.7})$ , where  $h\nu_b = 1 \text{ MeV}$ . In the present model  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .

The spherically symmetric hydrodynamical equations are integrated numerically using the eulerian up-wind scheme with time splitting and artificial viscosity as used in CDPR.

In the energy equation the contribution of Compton heating (and cooling) of the gas due to  $L_{\text{BH}}$  and to the recycling of the bremsstrahlung radiation produced by the gas heated by the BH activity is included. We allow also for the effect of the photoionization in both cooling and heating of cold gas. Momentum exchange between photons and electrons is included. At each radius the radiation field is integrated, considering the gas differential absorption on  $L_{\text{BH}}(\nu)$  and using for the electrons the Klein-Nishina cross-section. In this way the absorbed fraction of  $L_{\text{BH}}$  is computed self-consistently.

In Fig. 1b (solid line) the temporal evolution of the coronal X-ray luminosity  $L_X$  of the gas in the 0.5–4.5 KeV band is shown over an Hubble time. The evolution up to the so-called *cooling catastrophe* ( $t \simeq 9.4$  Gyr) is analogous to that described in CDPR, but after this time the Compton heating instability completely alters the flow evolution and its properties. At the cooling catastrophe negative infall velocities appear near the galaxy center, with  $\dot{M}_{\text{BH}} \sim 60 M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}$ , and this accretion produces a strong energetic feed-back with a very high  $L_{\text{BH}}$  (Fig. 1a). The gas in the central regions of the galaxy is strongly heated to temperatures comparable with the Compton temperature associated with  $L_{\text{BH}}(\nu)$  ( $\simeq 10^9$  K), and starts to expand, decreasing its density by more than two orders of magnitude, driving a shock wave outwards and producing a hot bubble of a few hundred parsecs in diameter. The net effect is observationally a large reduction of  $L_X$  (Fig. 1b), and hydrodynamically the interruption of the galactic inflow and the consequent shut-off of  $L_{\text{BH}}$ . Then the radiative losses increase again, and, after a period of the order of the hot gas cooling time, the cycle repeats. In the model described here this time is of the order of  $\sim 1$  Gyr. In the case of very high accretion the shock wave can reach the galaxy edge, and expel gas from the galaxy. At higher time resolution each burst shows a very complex structure, that will be discussed in detail in Paper II: the temporal blow-up of the first burst shown in Fig. 1 is plotted in Fig. 2, showing QSO-like luminosities. An important characteristic of all computed models – of which a single representative is here discussed – is that the

fraction of  $L_{\text{BH}}$  effectively absorbed by the gaseous halo is in the range  $10^{-4} - 10^{-2}$ , but *the gas flows are found to be invariably unstable due to Compton heating for all the explored efficiencies*: in presence of a massive BH at the center of elliptical galaxies the possibility of a stationary cooling flow seems to be very remote.

In Fig. 3 we show the distribution of  $L_X$  from 9 Gyr to 15 Gyr. The dashed histogram shows the model distribution of  $L_X$  given in Fig. 1, and the solid line shows data for (non-boxy) early-type galaxies taken from Fig.1 of CDPR. Finally the dotted histogram is the distribution of  $L_X$  for the same model, with the cooling flow assumption of  $\epsilon = 0$ . We see that the model with  $\epsilon = 0.1$  has a distribution over time of  $L_X$  surprisingly similar to that of observed galaxies, but the cooling flow model (as is well known) produces far too much radiation. In Fig. 4 the X-ray surface brightness profile ( $\Sigma_X$ ) of the presented model is shown at two different epochs, before and during bursts (vertical arrows in Fig. 2). Also shown is the cooling flow profile for the same galaxy at  $t = 15$  Gyr. Note how  $\Sigma_X$  is characterized by a well defined core before a burst, alleviating the problem of the too cuspy  $\Sigma_X$  that afflicts cooling flow models (Canizares, Fabbiano, & Trinchieri 1987). Certainly interesting is the fate of the transient cold shell surrounding the hot bubble (especially in low- $\epsilon$  solutions) during the flaring activity. Due to Rayleigh-Taylor instability the shell will break up, and perhaps cold fingers of gas should be observable inside the hot low density bubble, accreting on the central BH. Fig. 5 shows the bremsstrahlung spectra in the preburst (dotted) and during burst (solid) phases, compared to the cooling flow (dashed) spectrum. The emitted spectrum is never as soft in this set of models as it is in cooling flow models, and, during bursts, occasionally it will have a very hard tail.

### 3. Discussion and Conclusions

In this Letter we show how the presence of a massive central BH in early type galaxies is able to produce naturally both the observed X-ray underluminosity with respect to the pure cooling flow expectations, and the large observed scatter in  $L_X$  at fixed  $L_B$ . As can be seen from Fig. 1,  $L_X$  – except in the very short period of bursts – is always lower than that of the corresponding inflow model with  $\epsilon = 0$ . Moreover, the statistical distribution of observed data compared with the amount of time spent at each  $L_X$  by the model here discussed, is eloquent (Fig. 3). Finally, due to the strong feed-back on the gas flows of the radiation emitted by the accretion, the total mass accumulated by the BH over 15 Gyr is very low ( $\sim 3 \cdot 10^8 M_\odot$ ), to be compared with the  $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$  of the correspondent  $\epsilon = 0$ -model. The same model in pure cooling-flow (without the initial SNIa driven wind-outflow phases) would have accumulated in its center  $\sim 10^{11} M_\odot$  of gas.

From an observational point of view, it is interesting to note that during the accretion phases the galaxy luminosity is dominated by  $L_{BH}$  (with highest values at  $10^{46} - 10^{47}$  erg  $s^{-1}$ ), while during the quiescent BH phases the galaxy emission is due only to the diffuse hot gas  $L_X$ . The total energy emitted by the accretion when  $L_{BH} > 10^{42}$  is  $\sim 7.5 \cdot 10^{61}$  erg, while during the same phases the total energy emitted by the coronal gas is  $\sim 5.6 \cdot 10^{57}$  erg. The ratio between the total time spent by the galaxy when  $L_{BH} > L_X$ , and the total time spanned by the simulation is  $\sim 10^{-2}$ : very few galaxies should be caught in a AGN-like phase even though most contain central BHs.

Thus, the Compton heating instability could be an alternative possibility to that advocated by Fabian & Rees (1995) in order to explain why the nuclei of elliptical galaxies are not luminous sources of radiation as expected if they host a massive central BH. A clear prediction of this model is that some significant fraction of QSOs should be embedded in high temperature, low surface brightness X-ray bremsstrahlung halos.

In Paper II the detailed discussion of the evolution of the hydrodynamical quantities, of the X-ray surface brightness profile and of the emitted spectral distribution of this class of models will be given for various choices of the model parameters. In other works to be reported in Paper II we varied the efficiency in the range  $10^{-3} \leq \epsilon \leq 10^{-1}$  and the galaxy luminosity in the range  $10^{10} \leq L_B/L_\odot \leq 10^{11}$ , with results very similar to those shown in Figs. 2-5.

We would like to thank Giuseppe Bertin, James Binney, Annibale D’Ercole, Bruce Draine, Silvia Pellegrini, and Alvio Renzini for useful discussions and advices. L.C. was supported by NSF Grant AST9108103 and by the Italian Space Agency (ASI) with contracts ASI-94-RS-96 and ASI-95-RS-152.



## REFERENCES

- Binney, J., & Tabor, G. 1995, MNRAS, 276, 663 (BT)
- Canizares, C.R., Fabbiano, G., & Trinchieri, G. 1987, ApJ, 312, 503
- Ciotti, L., D’Ercole, A., Pellegrini, S., & Renzini, A. 1995, ApJ, 376, 380 (CDPR)
- Ciotti, L., & Ostriker, J.P. 1997, in preparation (Paper II)
- Cowie, L.L., Ostriker, J.P., & Stark, A.A. 1978, ApJ, 226, 1041
- D’Ercole, A., Renzini, A., Ciotti, L., & Pellegrini, S. 1989, ApJ, 341, L9
- Fabbiano, G. 1989, ARA&A, 27, 87
- Fabian, A.C., Nulsen, P.E.J., & Canizares, C.R. 1984, Nature, 311, 733
- Fabian, A.C., & Rees, M.J. 1995, MNRAS, 277, L55
- King, I. 1972, ApJ, 174, L123
- Ostriker, J.P., McCray, R., Weaver, R., & Yahil, A. 1976, ApJ, 208, L61
- Rees, M.J. 1984, ARA&A, 22, 471
- Sarazin, C.L. & White, R.E.III 1987, ApJ, 320, 32
- Sarazin, C.L. & White, R.E.III 1988, ApJ, 331, 102
- Vedder, P.W., Trester, J.J., & Canizares, C.R. 1988, ApJ, 332, 725

Fig. 1.— Panel (a): the time evolution of  $L_{\text{BH}}$  (bolometric) emitted at the galaxy centre. Panel (b): the time evolution of  $L_{\text{X}}$  for the model with  $\epsilon = 0.1$  (solid line), and that of the same model with  $\epsilon = 0$ .  $L_{\text{X}}$  is calculated inside the galaxy truncation radius and in the range 0.5–4.5 KeV. Time interval in horizontal error bar is expanded in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2.— Time expansion of the first burst shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1. The solid line is  $L_{\text{X}}$ , the dotted line  $L_{\text{BH}}$ . The temporal sub-structure of the burst is apparent, and the quasar-like luminosity  $10^{45} / < L_{\text{BH}} / \text{erg s}^{-1} < 10^{47}$  is seen during bursts. Arrows mark epochs of “before” and “during” bursts referred to in text.

Fig. 3.— The statistical distribution of  $L_{\text{X}}$  for observed galaxies (solid) in the range  $10.4 < \log(L_{\text{B}}/L_{\odot}) < 10.8$  derived from Fig. 1 of CDPR. The dashed histogram represent the time distribution of  $L_{\text{X}}$  for the presented model from 9 Gyr to 15 Gyr, while the dotted histogram shows the cooling flow ( $\epsilon = 0$ ) model; clearly the bursting model provides a better fit to the observed distribution of  $L_{\text{X}}$ .

Fig. 4.— The  $\Sigma_{\text{X}}$  profile (in arbitrary units) at  $t \simeq 9.36$  (dotted line, immediately before a burst), and  $t = 9.47$  (solid line, during bursts), corresponding to the vertical arrows in Fig. 2. The dashed line is the cooling flow model at  $t = 15$  Gyr. Note the very centrally bright cuspy profile of the cooling flow, the more normal core-halo structure of the pre-burst profile, and the low  $\Sigma_{\text{X}}$  of the post-burst profile.

Fig. 5.— Panel (a): the spectral energy distribution (in arbitrary units) of the coronal  $L_{\text{X}}$ , for the same models shown in Fig. 4. Panel (b): blow-up at low energy of the energy distributions.









