# Flares from the Tidal Disruption of Stars by Massive Black Holes

Andrew  $U$ lmer<sup>1</sup>

Princeton University Observatory, Peyton Hall, Princeton, NJ 08544 submitted to  $ApJ$ , June 24 1997

# ABSTRACT

Tidal disruption flares are differentiated into two classes – those which are sub-Eddington and those which radiate near the Eddington limit. Flares from black holes above  $\sim 2 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$  will generally not radiate above the Eddington limit. For a Schwarzschild black hole, the maximum bolometric luminosity of a tidal disruption is  $\sim L_{\text{Edd}}(5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot})$ , substantially below the Eddington luminosities of the most massive disrupting black holes ( $\sim 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ ). Bolometric corrections to the spectra of the brightest flares are found to be large ∼ 7.5 mag. Nevertheless, the brightest flares are likely to have absolute magnitudes in excess of  $-19$  in V and  $-21$  in U (in the absence of reddening). Because the spectra are so blue, K-corrections may actually brighten the flares in optical bands. If such flares are as frequent as believed, they may soon be detected in low or high redshift supernovae searches. The He II ionizing radiation produced in the flares may dominate that which is produced by all other sources in the centers of quiescent galaxies, creating a steady state, highly ionized, fossil nebula with an extent of  $\sim$  1 kpc which may be observable in recombination lines.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks – black hole physics – Galaxy: center – galaxies: nuclei – quasars: general

# 1. Introduction

Many lines of indirect evidence suggest that massive black holes reside in the centers of a substantial fraction of galaxies (e.g. [Rees 1997](#page-16-0); [Kormendy & Richstone](#page-15-0) [1995;](#page-15-0) and references therein). For example, remarkable stellar-dynamical studies of M32

<sup>1</sup>andrew@astro.princeton.edu

<span id="page-1-0"></span>([van der Marel et al. 1997\)](#page-16-0) and maser studies of NGC 4258 (Watson [& Wallin 1994;](#page-16-0) [Miyoshi et al. 1995\)](#page-15-0) show extreme central mass concentrations. Such concentrations are difficult to understand without a black hole. The most popular alternative explanation of extremely dense clusters, runs into problems at such high densities (e.g. [Goodman & Lee](#page-14-0) [1989\)](#page-14-0), although dynamical "mixtures" of a black hole with a small, dense cluster cannot be excluded (e.g. [van der Marel et al. 1997\)](#page-16-0). A nagging theoretical problem with black holes in quiescent galactic centers was that the black hole luminosities were too low for the expected levels of accretion; however the low luminosities can now be understood in context of low-efficiency, advection dominated models (e.g. [Narayan, Yi, & Mahadevan 1995\)](#page-15-0).

If black holes do, in fact, reside in the centers of galaxies, one clinching sign would be the tidal disruption of stars by the black hole (e.g. [Rees 1988\)](#page-15-0). Importantly, the detection of a single tidal disruption event could even allow for a crude determination of the black hole mass (e.g. Loeb and Ulmer 1997). Such estimates would yield the black hole mass apart from any contribution from a dense stellar cluster.

Tidal disruption events are rare ( $\sim 10^{-4}$  per year per  $L_{\star}$  galaxy), bright, short (months to years), and seemingly unavoidable consequences of  $10^6 - 10^8 M_{\odot}$  black holes in galactic centers. The general picture for tidal disruption of a star has been developed over the last 20 years (e.g. Hills 1975; Young, Shields, & Wheeler 1977; Rees 1988). The picture has evolved from one in which the disruption rate was so high as to fuel quasars to one with a more modest rate in which disruptions are rare transients which may slowly grow a lower mass,  $\sim 10^7 M_{\odot}$ , black hole (Goodman & Lee 1989).

If a star is scattered onto a near radial orbit (inside its loss cone) and at pericenter passes within the tidal radius,  $R_t$ , of the black hole, then the star will be disrupted as has been illustrated by a variety of numerical simulations (e.g. Nolthenius [& Katz 1982](#page-15-0), [Evans](#page-14-0) [& Kochanek 1989,](#page-14-0) [Laguna et al. 1993](#page-15-0), [Diener et al. 1997\)](#page-14-0). For the Sun,

$$
R_{\rm t} = R_{\odot} (M_{\rm bh}/M_{\odot})^{1/3} \sim 25 R_s M_6^{-2/3},\tag{1}
$$

where  $R<sub>S</sub>$  is the Schwarzschild radius, and  $M<sub>6</sub>$  is the black hole mass in units of  $10<sup>6</sup>M<sub>\odot</sub>$ .

As the star is ripped apart by the tidal forces of the black hole, the debris is thrown onto high eccentricity orbits with a large range of energy/period, where

$$
\Delta E \sim \frac{GM_{\rm bh}R_{\star}}{R_{\rm p}^2} \tag{2}
$$

and $R_p$  is the pericenter of the star's orbit ([Lacy, Townes, & Hollenbach 1982](#page-15-0)). The distribution of mass as a function of energy is nearly constant as is borne out by simulations (e.g. [Evans & Kochanek 1989,](#page-14-0) [Laguna et al. 1993](#page-15-0)).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Half of the debris is unbound with velocities around  $5 \times 10^3$  km/s. This unbound debris may possibly produce some long-term observable effects in the surrounding medium. Nucleosynthesis due to the extreme compression of a star may occur in a small fraction of tidal disruptions([Luminet & Pichon 1989; Luminet & Barbury 1990](#page-15-0)) and lead to observable enrichment within the Galactic center. In AGN and Seyferts, a highly-enhanced rate of tidal disruptions may produce enough unbound debris to form broad line and narrow line quasar-like regions [\(Roos 1992](#page-16-0)). Khokhlov and Melia (1996) show that interactions between the high-velocity unbound debris and the ISM which may result in a supernovae-like remnant with properties similar to those of Sgr A East. In extremely low-angular-momentum encounters, the material could deposit over  $10^{52}$  ergs into the ISM, creating a very large supernova remnant. More typically, the energy deposited would be  $\sim 10^{50}$  ergs.

The bound half may create a bright flare as it accretes onto the black hole. The most bound material first returns to pericenter after a time,

$$
t_{\min} = \frac{2\pi R_{\rm p}^3}{(GM_{\rm bh})^{1/2}(2R_{\star})^{3/2}} \approx 0.11 \left(\frac{R_{\rm p}}{R_{\rm t}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{R_{\star}}{R_{\odot}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} M_{6}^{1/2} \text{years.}
$$
 (3)

The bound material returns to pericenter at a rate [\(Rees 1988, Phinney 1989](#page-15-0)) given by

$$
\dot{M} \sim \frac{1}{3} \frac{M_{\star}}{t_{\rm min}} \left(\frac{t}{t_{\rm min}}\right)^{-5/3}.
$$
\n(4)

The peak return rate according to simulations by Evans & Kochanek (1989) is

Peak Rate ~ 1.4 
$$
\left(\frac{R_{\rm p}}{R_{\rm t}}\right)^{-3} \left(\frac{R_{\star}}{R_{\odot}}\right)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{2} M_{6}^{-1/2} M_{\odot} \text{ year}^{-1}.
$$
 (5)

which occurs at  $t \sim 1.5t_{\text{min}}$ .

The scalings given above are for  $R_p \gg R_s$ . When  $R_p \sim R_s$ , the tidal interactions are stronger (e.g. [Frolov et al. 1994\)](#page-14-0). These effects of the Schwarzschild metric can be approximated in the pseudo-Newtonian potential of Paczyński and Wiita (1980),  $\Psi(R) = -GM/(R - R<sub>S</sub>)$ . For instance, close to a black hole, the tidal radius,  $R_{t,S} = R_{\odot} (M_{bh}/M_{\odot})^{1/3} + R_{S}$ , differs from that of the Newtonian potential. The deepest, non-captured orbit has  $R_p = 2R_s$ , so as is well-known, the maximum mass black hole that can disrupt a solar-type star is  $\sim 1 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ . As discussed in § IV, encounters more distant than the tidal radius may still strip significant matter from the star allowing disruptions for black holes up to  $\sim 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$  in the Schwarzschild case. Also altered is the range of energy of the debris (Eq. [2](#page-1-0)),

$$
\Delta E_{\rm S} \sim \frac{GM_{\rm bh}R_{\star}}{(R_{\rm p}-R_{\rm S})^2}.\tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The increase in binding requires that Eqs. [3](#page-2-0)-[5](#page-2-0) be modified (for the pseudo-Newtonian potential,  $R_p$  is replaced by  $(R_p - R_s)$ , and has the effect of reducing the minimum return time and of increasing the peak debris return rate.

Another relativistic phenomenon, orbital precession, may lead to the crossing of debris orbits and may form strong shocks [\(Rees 1988\)](#page-15-0). The explicit evolution of the debris is complex and is dependent on the black hole's properties as well as those of the star and the stellar orbit. As discussed by Kochanek (1994), at intersections between the debris streams, energy and angular momentum may be transfered. The computational complexities of the problem prohibit a direct numerical solution, but as an approximation, a large fraction of the debris can be said to circularize in a time,

$$
t_{\rm cir} = n_{\rm orb} t_{\rm min},\tag{7}
$$

where  $n_{\text{orb}}$  is a number greater than 1, and probably between 2 and 10.

After, and perhaps while, the debris circularizes, it may accrete onto the black hole. The dynamical time at the tidal radius is short relative to the return time, so it is likely that the disk accretes quickly, resulting in a luminous flare. Cannizzo, Goodman, & Lee (1990) investigated the accretion of a tidally disrupted star in the thin disk case.

Ulmer (1997) has investigated accretion in a thick disk case. The emergent spectra may have a strong dependence on the structure and orientation of the disk, as well as on the possible existence of winds. A starting point for models of the emergent radiation is the temperature associated with an Eddington luminosity emanating from the tidal radius

$$
T_{\text{eff}} \approx \left(\frac{L_{\text{E}}}{4\pi R_{\text{t}}^2 \sigma}\right)^{1/4} \approx 2.5 \times 10^5 M_6^{1/12} \left(\frac{R_\star}{R_\odot}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{M_\star}{M_\odot}\right)^{-1/6} K \tag{8}
$$

or from a small factor times the Schwarzschild radius:

$$
T_{\text{eff}} \approx \left(\frac{L_{\text{E}}}{4\pi (5R_{\text{s}})^2 \sigma}\right)^{1/4} \approx 5 \times 10^5 M_6^{-1/4} K. \tag{9}
$$

In either case, the temperature scaling with black hole mass is slight. Therefore, in the simplest models, all disruption flares would have comparable characteristic temperatures.

A tidal disruption event has yet to be definitively detected, but there are a number of suggestive observations. First, a UV flare from the center of an elliptical was observed on the timescale of a year by Renzini et al. (1995), but this flare is  $10^{-4}$  as luminous as might be expected. Furthermore, the spectrum flattens in the near UV ( $\sim 2000\AA$ ) which would not be expected except perhaps in the case of a very strong wind (see  $\S V$ ). The possibility remains, as they suggest, that they have observed the disruption of only the outer layer

<span id="page-4-0"></span>of a star. Second, Peterson and Ferland (1986) observed the optical brightening of an optically variable Seyfert along with the appearance of a strong, abnormally broad He II recombination line. The event is intriguing, but without a light curve or other definitive evidence, the event is not conclusive. As pointed out by Rees (1997), the most convincing disruption would be one which comes from a quiescent galaxy. Third, observations of variable double-peaked  $H_{\alpha}$  lines in spectrum of Seyfert NGC 1097 may be modeled as emission lines from the appearance of an elliptical disk (possibly formed through tidal disruption) close to a black hole, but other explanations exist (Eracleous et al. 1995; Storchi-Bergmann et al. 1995).

The outline of the paper is as follows. In § II, a crude, but useful phenomenology of accretion disks is discussed. In § III, time scales in the tidal disruption event are presented. In § IV, these time scales are used to delineate regions of Eddington-level tidal disruption flares from the generally, less luminous sub-Eddington flares. In § V, characteristics of the emergent spectra from these flares are investigated. Conclusions are given in § VI.

# 2. Accretion Disk Phenomenology

In this section, the known relationships between luminosity and mass accretion rate are examined for a variety of accretion regimes which are important in determining the luminosity of tidal disruption flares. The mass accretion rate is given in terms of the Eddington accretion rate,  $\dot{M}_{\rm E} \equiv L_{\rm Edd}/\epsilon c^2$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the efficiency of the accretion process and is taken to be 10%.

Figure [1](#page-17-0) shows a schematic of luminosity versus mass accretion rate. The brightest known accretion disks have high mass accretion rates and are optically and geometrically thick, but thick disks are never much brighter than the Eddington luminosity, because at very high accretion rates, pressure gradients push the inner edge of the disk closer to the marginally bound orbit, so that less binding energy is released during accretion and more is carried into the black hole (e.g. Paczyński  $\&$  Wiita 1980). The luminosity increases logarithmically with mass accretion rate for thick disks (Paczynski 1980). Thin disks are less luminous, with luminosity scaling linearly with mass accretion rate (e.g. [Shakura &](#page-16-0) [Sunyaev 1973](#page-16-0)). Slim accretion disks([Abramowicz et al. 1988;](#page-14-0) [Szuszkiewicz, Malkan, &](#page-16-0) [Abramowicz 1995](#page-16-0)), may form a transition between the thin and thick accretion regime. At very low accretion rates, optically thin advection dominated models may exist and are even less luminous (Narayan & Yi 1995). They exist at a maximum accretion rate of  $\sim \alpha^2 \dot{M}_{\rm E}$ , and have  $L \sim \dot{M}^2$ , so that the luminosity falls off faster with decreasing accretion rate. A possibility exists that at accretion rates very much higher than the Eddington rate

(and probably beyond those produced by stellar disruptions), the accretion may become more spherically symmetric and highly optically thick, so the radiative diffusion time could exceed the the infall time. In this case, much of the binding energy could be carried into the black hole (e.g. [Shvartsman 1971](#page-16-0); [Ipser & Price 1977; Flammang 1984](#page-14-0)) and the luminosity could actually fall below the Eddington limit. An additional complication is the possibility of beaming in the thick disk regime, but for simplicity and because of the absence of a preferred beaming model, beaming is set aside for the time being.

The simplified view of accretion disks is that the luminosity increases with mass accretion rate until the Eddington mass-accretion rate, at which point the luminosity reaches the Eddington limit and remains at that level for all higher mass accretion rates. Accretion events with sub-Eddington luminosities occur in thin disks, and accretion proceeds in thick disks for all super-Eddington accretion rates. For sub-Eddington accretion rates, the luminosity falls off at least as fast as linearly with mass accretion rate.

### 3. Derivation of Timescales

Although many of the results derived in this section are already known, I briefly rederive them here for completeness and to scale all relevant parameters. The timescales considered are (1) the radiation time, the time to radiate, at the Eddington limit, the energy of the bound debris; (2) the circularization time, the time for a large fraction of the debris to circularize; and (3) the accretion time, the time to accrete a large fraction of the disk. Throughout the paper,  $m$  is defined as the mass of the star in units of the solar mass, r as the radius of the star in units of the solar radius,  $M_6$  as the black hole mass in units of  $10^6 M_{\odot}$ ,  $R_{\rm S}$  as the Schwarzschild radius,  $2GM_{\rm bh}/c^2$ , and  $R_{\rm p}$  as the pericenter of the orbit in Schwarzschild coordinates.

The main timescales of the problem can now be written in terms of the system parameters.

The time to accrete all of the bound debris (which is half of the stellar mass) if the black hole radiates at the Eddington luminosity is

$$
t_{\rm rad} = \frac{0.5 m M_{\odot} c^2 \epsilon}{L_{\rm E}} \approx 21 m M_6^{-1} \epsilon_{0.1} \text{years}
$$
 (10)

for a hydrogen mass fraction,  $X = 0.74$ , and efficiency,  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .

As discussed in §I, the debris are given a range of periods, and the circularization time is closely related to the the orbital time of the most tightly bound debris,  $t_{\min}$ , (see Eq. [3](#page-2-0))

and [7\)](#page-3-0):

$$
t_{\rm cir} \approx n_{\rm orb} t_{\rm min} \approx 0.11 n_{\rm orb} \left(\frac{R_{\rm p}}{R_{\rm t}}\right)^3 r^{3/2} m^{-1} M_6^{1/2} \text{years},\tag{11}
$$

where *n* is the small number of orbits necessary for circularization to occur.

The time in which a large fraction of the matter in a disk can accrete can be written

$$
t_{\rm acc} \approx \frac{2R_{\rm p}}{v_{\rm r}} \tag{12}
$$

$$
\approx \frac{t_{\rm Kep}(2R_{\rm p})}{\alpha \pi h^2} \tag{13}
$$

$$
\approx \frac{3 \times 10^{-4}}{\alpha h^2} \left(\frac{R_{\rm p}}{R_{\rm t}}\right)^{3/2} r^{3/2} m^{-1/2} \text{years},\tag{14}
$$

where  $h$  is the ratio of disk height to radius and is approximately one for thick disks, and  $t_{\text{Kep}}$  is the rotation period in a Keplerian potential. In § [2](#page-4-0) the geometrical thickness of the disk is discussed, but unless noted otherwise, it is the thick disk case that is of interest.

## 4. Comparison of Timescales

By considering the main timescales of tidal disruption events, the gross characteristics of the flares are investigated. Of particular interest is the luminosity and whether the flare is super-Eddington or sub-Eddington. The argument that will be made stems from the system's time scales:  $t_{rad}$ , the time to radiate, at the Eddington limit, the energy of the bound debris;  $t_{\rm cir}$ , the time for a large fraction of the debris to circularize; and  $t_{\rm acc}$ , the time to accrete a large fraction of the disk.

Consider the three possibilities: (1) the accretion rate is so high that the material is drained from the disk nearly as fast as it circularizes, (2) the accretion rate is slower than circularization but faster than the radiation time so the material forms a reservoir which accretes in a thick disk, (3) the material accretes at a rate slower than it circularizes, and on a time scale longer than the radiation time, so the disk is not thick and the debris accretes in the less luminous form of a thin disk.

Let us first consider the these scenarios for a solar-type star with pericenter at the tidal radius which is disrupted by a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole. The parameter,  $n_{\rm orb}$ , related to the efficiency of circularization, is taken as 2. The only unspecified parameter is  $\alpha$ . For a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole: (1) if  $\alpha \gtrsim 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ , then  $t_{\rm acc} \lesssim t_{\rm cir}$  and a thick disk will form and drain as the material circularizes; (2) if  $1.5 \times 10^{-5} \le \alpha \le 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ , then  $t_{\rm cir} \le t_{\rm acc} \le t_{\rm rad}$  and a a reservoir of debris will form and accrete in a thick disk; and  $(3)$  if  $\alpha \leq 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ , then

<span id="page-7-0"></span> $t_{\text{acc}} \geq t_{\text{rad}}$  and a reservoir of debris will form and accrete in a thin disk. Therefore, unless  $\alpha$ is extremely small, much smaller than  $(\sim 10^{-1} - 10^{-3})$  as is estimated from, for example, DN and FU outbursts([Cannizzo 1992;](#page-14-0) [Lin & Papaloizou 1996](#page-15-0); and references therein) and from numerical experiments of the Balbus-Hawley mechanism [\(Hawley, Gammie, & Balbus](#page-14-0) [1995;](#page-14-0) [Stone, et al. 1996\)](#page-16-0), thick disks are the norm. Therefore, it appears likely that for a  $10^{6}M_{\odot}$  black hole, the tidal disruption of a star produces a thick disk which will radiate near the Eddington limit (although it is still uncertain in what energy band the energy will be released).

In figure [2,](#page-18-0) these timescales are shown as a function of black hole mass, again for the case of a solar-type star with a pericenter equal to the tidal radius. Because of the different scalings with black hole mass, the circularization time becomes longer than the radiation time at  $M_{\rm bh} > 2 \times 10^7 \epsilon_{0.1}^{2/3} M_{\odot}$ . This finding is independent of  $\alpha$  as long as  $\alpha$  is larger than  $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ . When the peak accretion rate falls below the Eddington rate above this critical mass, the accretion should occur in a slim disk([Abramowicz et](#page-14-0) al. 1988) or a thin disk rather than a thick disk. Although little has been said yet about the spectra, it is clear that there may be observational differences between the two classes.

A similar conclusion may be reached by considering when the peak return rate of the stellar debris (eqs. [5](#page-2-0)) is super-Eddington. For an accretion efficiency of 0.1, the maximum mass is  $1.5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ . This estimate is slightly smaller than that obtained by considering the timescales, because the circularization time is an underestimate, since a fraction of the matter returns in times longer than the circularization time. Nevertheless, both estimates point to a transition mass around  $10^{7}M_{\odot}$ . For more massive black holes, the return rate is lower, and the luminosity, which for slim to thin disks scales roughly linearly with mass accretion rate, will be lower than Eddington.

The treatment above has be carried out for a solar type star with pericenter equal to the tidal radius. Let us first consider the results for a less massive, main sequence star. These stars will generally be denser with  $r \approx m^{0.8}$  (e.g. [Kippenhahn & Weigert 1990](#page-14-0)). Then, the transition mass will be  $\sim 2 \times 10^7 m^{0.8} M_\odot.$ 

The last parameter that will be considered is the pericenter of the orbit which for disruptions may be small as  $2R<sub>S</sub>$  in Schwarzschild coordinates. The upper radius, or the disruption radius,  $R_d$ , is the radius at which a star in a parabolic orbit is barely disrupted by the tidal field of a black hole. The disruption radius is of order the tidal radius, and is given by

$$
R_{\rm d} = qR_{\rm t},\tag{15}
$$

where the parameter, q, is between about 1 and 3 and hides a number of complexities including relativistic corrections to the potential. Throughout the paper, q is taken as 2. Young, Shields, and Wheeler (1977); Luminet and Carter (1986); Khokhlov, Novikov, and Pethick (1993); Frolov et al. (1994); and Diener et al. (1997) contain discussions of this parameter with a range of analytical approximations and numerical methods. The demarcation of  $R_d$  is hazy as stars still may lose a fraction of their mass at larger pericenteric orbits.

According to the theory of loss cones [\(Frank & Rees 1976;](#page-14-0) [Lightman & Shapiro 1977\)](#page-15-0) there is a critical orbital radius outside of which a star's orbit diffuses in one orbit at an angle greater than the loss-cone angle. If stars which diffuse onto the loss cone primarily come from inside this critical radius, there will be an excess of events with  $R_p \sim R_d$ . If stars diffuse primarily from large radii, where the diffusion angle is larger than the loss cone, one can use the " $n\sigma v$  approximation" which Hills (1975) used to calculate the tidal disruption rate to show that the distribution of lost stars is constant with  $\omega$  (gravitational focusing cancels the gain in cross section at larger  $\omega$ ). The true distribution of lost stars will be a combination of the two extremes mentioned, with an excess of events near  $R_p = R_d$ , and a tail towards  $R_p = 2R_s$ , corresponding to "plunge-orbits" in a Schwarzschild metric ([Novikov & Thorne 1973\)](#page-15-0). The plunge orbits, in which the star is directly swallowed by the black hole, will not produce any tidal debris or accompanying flare. It is well-known that above  $\sim 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ , the plunge radius surpasses the disruption radius, so no tidal disruption events can be produced.

Tidal disruption events with smaller pericenters will have higher peak luminosities than those with  $R_p \sim R_t$  (see Eq. [5](#page-2-0)). Therefore, the actual transition mass discussed above is better regarded as a gradual rather than a sharp transition. In figure [3](#page-19-0), the fraction of tidal disruption events with peak accretion rates greater than the Eddington-limit is shown for the case of a uniform distribution of  $R_{\rm p}$  and stars with a Salpeter mass function between 0.3 $M_{\odot}$  and 1 $M_{\odot}$ . More realistic distributions of  $R_{\rm p}$ , formed by integrating over a cusp, would provide a somewhat sharper transition.

An interesting result of these scaling arguments is that if the luminosity is roughly limited at the Eddington luminosity, then there is a maximum luminosity that a tidal disruption event may produce. This luminosity occurs when the peak mass return rate is the Eddington rate and the pericenter is the minimum  $(2R<sub>S</sub>)$  for a tidal disruption. The maximum luminosity corresponds to the Eddington limit for a black hole mass  $\sim 5 \times 10^7 \epsilon_{0.1}^{1/3} M_\odot$ , i.e.  $7 \times 10^{45}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> and  $2 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$ . The maximum luminosity is probably somewhat lower, because the estimate assumes that all of the gravitational binding energy of the returning debris is released as it returns to pericenter, whereas the circularization process is probably slower. Such extreme events would be rare, because of the small range of allowable impact parameters. The peak luminosity could be higher for <span id="page-9-0"></span>tidal disruption events which occur in the orbital plane of a Kerr black hole.

Following Rees (1988) and Evans and Kochanek (1989), the duration of a flare may be calculated based on the time that the infall rate is super-Eddington with the assumption that the circularization and accretion are rapid. The duration is

$$
t_{\rm sup} \approx t_{\rm min} \left[ 18 \left( \frac{R_{\rm p}}{R_{\rm t}} \right)^{-9/5} r^{-9/10} m^{6/5} \epsilon_{0.1}^{3/5} M_6^{-9/10} - 1.5 \right] \tag{16}
$$

$$
\approx 1.9 \left(\frac{R_{\rm p}}{R_{\rm t}}\right)^{6/5} r^{3/5} m^{1/5} \epsilon_{0.1}^{3/5} M_6^{-2/5} \text{years.} \tag{17}
$$

If the viscosity is high enough that the matter has been accreted roughly as fast as it circularizes, the emission should fall off after  $t_{\text{sup}}$ .

### 5. Flare Energy Spectrum and Absolute Magnitudes

The peak bolometric luminosity of the flares is determined from the scaling arguments given above. According to this scenario, the brightest flares are those in which accretion occurs in a thick disk (or in a slim disk), so a study of the spectrum for that case alone is the main focus. Cannizzo, Lee, and Goodman (1990) have investigated the spectrum a flare if the debris forms a thin disk. At the earliest and brightest times, the spectrum was found to peak at ~ 300Å, with U and V absolute magnitudes of -16.7 and -15.2 for a  $10^7 M_{\odot}$  black hole.

Consider a thick disk spectra to begin with. Figure [4](#page-20-0) shows the spectra calculated using a thick disk code (Ulmer, 1997). The spectra presented are typical thick disk spectra for a solar mass star disrupted at  $\sim 2R_{\rm t}$ , the disruption radius (Eq. [15\)](#page-7-0), by a  $10^7 M_{\odot}$  black hole. Viewing angle will have a large effect on the spectra, because when seen edge-on, the bright, hot funnel is obscured by the disk. Viewed face-on, the disk is very bright, radiating slightly above the Eddington limit. When viewed edge on, the bolometric luminosity is less by a factor of ∼ 50. For the thick disks considered here, the luminosity is 2 to 3 times the Eddington limit. The absolute magnitudes for a disk around a  $10^7 M_{\odot}$  black hole radiating at 2.5 times the Eddington limit, are for face-on and edge-on disks: U:-21, V:-19.5 and U:-19, V:-17.5, respectively. For a similarly bright disk around a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole, the absolute magnitudes are U:-17, V:-15.5 and U:-15, V:-13.5. The bolometric corrections are  $\sim$  −7.5 mag in V, where reddening, which may well be important in the center of a galaxy, and dimming from cosmological effects (though in a flat cosmology, very high redshift flares would brighten as  $(1 + z)$  due to K-corrections) are neglected. The disks may radiate closer to the Eddington limit than do the disk models above which radiate at 2 to 3 times the

Eddington limit.

A thick disk may drive a strong wind. If a wind were dense enough, the effective photosphere would be moved outwards from the surface of the disk, and the spectra would appear redder. Some variable stars, namely luminous blue variables, show what are believed to be wind induced color changes, resulting in V-band brightening of 2 magnitudes (e.g. [Humphreys & Davidson 1994](#page-14-0)). In super-Eddington thick disks, strong winds are to be expected on the purely phenomenological grounds that in stars, winds increase as a star's luminosity approaches the Eddington limit. Additionally, instabilities in the funnel of thick disks may drive strong winds as well as jets (e.g. Jaroszyńsky, Abramowicz,  $\&$  Paczyński [1980;](#page-14-0) [Nityananda & Narayan 1982\)](#page-15-0). Alternatively, a strong wind could be driven before the debris completely settles into a disk. Rees (1988) observed that the total energy required to eject the majority of the bound material is small (see Eq. [2\)](#page-1-0)  $\sim M_{\odot}c^2R_{\star}R_{\rm S}/R_{\rm p}^2$ .

Limits on the strength of the wind are now examined. The mass loss rate is limited by the energy required to lift the material from the disk and carry it to infinity. In the context neutron stars, Paczyński  $\&$  Proszynski (1986), have considered energy balance limits on winds. Following Paczyński & Proszynski, the mass loss rate is

$$
\dot{m} \approx \frac{(L_{\rm b} - L_{\rm ph}) 2R_{\rm b}}{c^2 R_{\rm S}} \tag{18}
$$

$$
\leqslant \frac{L_{\rm Edd} 2R_{\rm b}}{c^2 R_{\rm S}} \tag{19}
$$

where  $R<sub>b</sub>$  is the base radius from which the matter is lifted into the wind by the radiation, and  $L<sub>b</sub>$  and  $L<sub>ph</sub>$  are the luminosity at the base radius and at the photosphere. If the base radius is taken to be  $\sim 10R_s$ ,  $\dot{m} \leq 0.05M_{\odot}M_6$ years<sup>-1</sup>. The wind may, therefore, carry away a sizable fraction of the disk mass for a  $10^7 M_{\odot}$  black hole.

Given a maximal mass loss rate, the maximum photospheric radius may be estimated as follows. Near the photosphere,  $\dot{m} = 4\pi v \rho R_{\rm ph}^2$  and  $\rho R_{\rm ph} \sim m_{\rm p}/\sigma_{\rm T}$ , where  $m_{\rm p}$  is the proton mass, and  $\sigma_{\rm T}$  is the Thompson cross-section. If  $v \sim v_{\rm esc} \sim \sqrt{GM_{\rm BH}/R_{\rm ph}}$ , then

$$
R_{\rm phot} \leqslant \frac{\dot{m}^2 \sigma_{\rm T}^2}{32\pi^2 G M_{\rm BH} m_{\rm p}^2} \tag{20}
$$

$$
\leqslant 120 R_{\rm S} \left(\frac{v}{v_{\rm esc}}\right)^{-2}.\tag{21}
$$

The minimum effective temperature is expected to be  $\sim 1.1 \times 10^5$  K, which is still very hot. The velocity of the outgoing wind could be lower than the escape velocity, and if the luminosity is very close to the Eddington limit at the photosphere, the escape velocity would be reduced by  $1 - L/L_{\text{Edd}}$  from the expression given above, creating a larger photosphere.

Including a photosphere with  $T \sim 10^5 K$  which radiates at the Eddington-limit in the thick disk spectra calculated above, damps the high energy spectrum but has little effect on the U and V band luminosities. The optical bands are weakly affected even though a larger fraction of the light goes into optical, primarily because the total luminosity of the source is diminished as energy is carried away by the wind and because a significant fraction of the optical light comes from part of the disk outside the photosphere at a few hundred  $R<sub>S</sub>$ . The optical luminosities of a thick disk and of a thick disk with a wind are nearly the same. The main effects of a strong wind are the reduction of the very high energy spectrum of the flare and a possible shortening of the flare by loss of the stellar debris to the wind. Such strong winds would greatly reduce the expected number of soft X-ray tidal disruption flares (Sembay & West 1993) accessible, for instance, to ROSAT.

A third possibility, and the most optically luminous one, is that an extended, static envelope could be formed around the disk([Loeb & Ulmer 1997](#page-15-0)). Such an envelope might form either if the initial collisions of the debris streams or subsequent evolution of the disk stream expels much of the matter to large radii, and strong radiation pressure disperses this marginally bound gas into a quasi-spherical configuration. In this scenario the object is spherically symmetric with Eddington luminosity and effective temperature

$$
T_{\text{eff}} \approx 2.3 \times 10^4 \text{ K} \left(\frac{M_{\text{bh}}}{10^7 M_{\text{env}}}\right)^{1/4}.
$$
 (22)

where  $M_{\text{env}}$  is the mass in the envelope. In this case, the bolometric corrections would be much less ( $\sim$  2.5), and the objects would be as bright as Seyferts.

In addition to observing the very blue continuum of the thick disk, it may be possible to observe a flare in emission-lines. The disk may radiate in emission lines, but to what extent is uncertain because the emission would depend on the temperature and density structure both of the disk photosphere and of any wind. As discussed in Roos (1992) and Kochanek (1994), prior to circularization, the bound material generally has densities higher than 10<sup>12</sup>atoms cm<sup>-3</sup>, so most of the energy the material radiates (or absorbs and re-radiates) will be in the continuum and not in emission lines. Moreover, such debris has a relatively small covering fraction. Recombination lines, e.g.  $H_{\alpha}$ , might be radiated from the recombination of the debris or from the ionization and subsequent recombination of a neutral surrounding environment. The possibility that the disk may radiate in recombination lines was suggested by Eracleous et al. (1995) and Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1995), to explain observations of variable  $H_{\alpha}$  lines in spectrum of the Seyfert NGC 1097. However, the disk is probably extremely optically thick even at thousands of  $R_s$ , with typical surface density  $0.5M_{\odot}/(\pi(1000R_s)^2)$  of  $3000M_6^{-2}$ gcm<sup>-2</sup>, so any emission lines would have to be formed close to the surface of the disk and would be suppressed from optically thin estimates (Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1995), Eq. 2).

# 6. Long-term Emission

Because much of the flare's energy is radiated between 10 and 100 eV, the possibility that the HII region created by the ionizing radiation of a flare could be observed is intriguing (e.g. Rees 1997). Assuming that ∼ 5% of the rest mass of the star is radiated in the flare and using the thick disk spectra discussed above, the total number of photons between ~ 100Å and 1000Å is  $N_{\gamma} \sim 10^{63}$ . The ISM surrounding the BH will be in a steady state, because the recombination time,  $t_{\text{rec}} \sim 10^6 n^{-1} T_4^{1/2}$  years, is longer than the time between disruptions,  $(t_{\text{dis}} \sim 10^4 \text{years})$ , where *n* is the number of atoms per cm<sup>3</sup>. The ionizing photon rate is therefore  $\sim 3 \times 10^{51}$ s<sup>-1</sup> or  $\sim 1\%$  the total ionizing photon rate from the Galaxy (Mezger 1978; Bennett et al. 1994). Direct detection of  $H_{\alpha}$  from tidal disruption ionization is therefore unlikely.

At higher photon energies, the tidal disruption events may (in a time-averaged sense) contribute the majority of photons. The production rate of He II ionizing photons by tidal disruption events is  $\sim 3 \times 10^{50}$ s<sup>-1</sup>, which if a large fraction (e.g. 10%) of the photons ionize He II and ∼ 20% of these ionization produce He II 4686, then the luminosity in He II 4686 (Pacschen-line) would be  $\sim 2 \times 10^{37}$ ergs s<sup>-1</sup>. The number of He II photons would be reduced by a factor of ∼ 50 in the case of the strongest winds described above. For densities of one atom per cm<sup>3</sup> , the radius of the nebula would be

$$
R_{\rm HII} \approx \left(\frac{3N_{\gamma}}{4\pi n^2 \alpha_{\rm B}}\right)^{1/3} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{21} n^{-2/3} T_4^{1/6} \text{cm},\tag{23}
$$

where  $\alpha_B$  is the case B recombination coefficient for hydrogen. A prediction of tidal disruptions is therefore a highly-ionized nebular emission in the inner kpc of galaxies. Such nebular emission may be produced by a variety of sources. He II 4686 lines for instance may be produced by Of stars [\(Bergeron 1977\)](#page-14-0) or Wolf-Rayet stars (e.g. [Schmutz, Leitherer,](#page-16-0) [& Gruenwald 1992\)](#page-16-0), although such lines would generally be broad ( $\sim 3 - 10\AA$ ) whereas nebular lines would be narrow. Possible competing ionizing sources include shocks([Shull](#page-16-0) [& McKee\)](#page-16-0), X-ray binaries [\(Garnett et al. 1990\)](#page-14-0), and Wolf-Rayet stars. While all of these objects are concentrated towards galactic centers, it may be possible to distinguish between a tidal disruption nebula and most other ionizing sources. The fossil nebulae may be most readily observed in galaxies where the background ionizing level is small, corresponding to a small star formation rate, but since ample gas is required to absorb the radiation, the best candidates for detection of the nebulae may be Sa galaxies. Such nebulae may also be visible in radio lines.

The idea that the unbound debris may produce a supernova remnant has been discussed by Khokhlov and Melia (1996). For typical disruptions, the unbound material is emitted in a fan of small opening angle,  $\Omega < 0.1$  rad<sup>2</sup>, with an energy of  $\sim 10^{50}$  ergs. Rare events

with pericenters close to the Schwarzschild radii, may provide  $10^{52}$  ergs. Such events may produce supernova remnants that could be observed in external galaxies. For remnants which sweep up 100 times their initial mass, the remnants would be displaced from the black hole by

$$
R_{\rm disp} \approx 40 \Omega_{0.1}^{-1/3} n^{-1/3} \text{pc.}
$$
\n(24)

A supernova remnant lasts  $\sim 10^4$  years, corresponding to one such remnant per galaxy.

### 7. Conclusions

Tidal disruption flares occur in two classes – those which are sub-Eddington and those which radiate near the Eddington limit. Flares from black holes above  $\sim 2 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$  will generally not radiate above the Eddington limit.

The brightest flares will occur around  $\sim 5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$  black holes, but they will be relatively rare as they require a finely tuned impact parameter. The majority of the brightest events will occur around  $\sim 2 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$  black holes. The corresponding timescale for decay of such flares (Eq. [16](#page-9-0)) is about one month. For all of the spectral scenarios considered, the optical luminosity is high with peak apparent magnitudes in U and V of -21 and -19.5 for a  $10^7 M_{\odot}$  black hole and -19 and -17.5 for a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole, neglecting reddening. Both high redshift supernovae searches and low redshift supernovae searches may observe the flares. As tidal disruption events occur at a rate of  $\sim 100$  times less frequently than supernovae, many supernovae must be observed before a flare is expected to be seen. Furthermore, if the flares come from the centers of galaxies, then they may be harder to detect against the bright nuclei. The extreme blueness of the flares may help separate them from the background. In fact, because the spectra are so blue, K-corrections may actually brighten the flares in optical bands.

The He II ionizing radiating produced in the flares may dominate that which is produced in the centers of quiescent galaxies, creating a steady state, highly ionized diffuse nebula with extent of ∼ 1 kpc which may be observable in recombination lines in all galaxies with both tidal disruption events and gas near the nucleus.

I thank J. Goodman, D. Spergel, and M.J. Rees for helpful discussions. B. Paczyński's input into this research was invaluable. AU was supported by an NSF graduate fellowship and NSF grants AST93-13620 and AST95-30478.

#### REFERENCES

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Abramowicz, M. A., Czerny, B., Lasota, J. P., & Szuszkiewicz, E. 1988 ApJ, 332, 646
- Bennett, C. J. et al., 1994, ApJ 434, 587
- Bergeron, J. 1977, ApJ 211, 62
- Cannizzo, J. K. 1992, ApJ, 385, 94
- Cannizzo, J. K., Lee, H. M., & Goodman, J. 1990, ApJ, 35, 38
- Diener, P. Frolov, V.P., Khokhlov, A.M., Novikov, I.D., Pethick, C.J. 1997, ApJ, 479, 164
- Eracleous, M., Livio, M., Halpern, J. P., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 1995, ApJ, 438, 610
- Evans, C. R., & Kochanek, C. S. 1989, ApJ, 346, L13
- Flammang, R. A. 1984, MNRAS 206, 589
- Frank, J. & Rees, M. J. 1976, MNRAS, 176, 633
- Frolov, V. P., Khokhlov, A. M., Novikov, I. D., & Pethick, C. J. 1994, ApJ, 432, 680
- Garnett, D.R., Kennicutt, R.C., Chu, T.-H., & Skillman, E.D. 1990 in Massive Stars in Starbursts–Contributed Papers, ed. C. Leitherer & N.R. Walborn (STScI), 15
- Goodman, J.G. & Lee, H.M. 1989, ApJ, 337, 84
- Hawley, J.F., Gammie, C.F., Balbus, S.A. 1995, 440, 742
- Hills, J.G., 1975, Nature 254, 295
- Humphreys, R. M., & Davidson, K. 1994, PASP, 106, 1025
- Ipser, J. R. & Price, R. II 1977, ApJ 216, 578
- Jaroszyńsky, M., Abramowicz, M. A., & Paczyński, B. 1980, Acta Astronomica, 30, 1
- Khokhlov, A., & Melia, F. 1996, ApJ, 457, L61
- Khokhlov, A., Novikov, I. D., & Pethick, C. J. 1993, ApJ, 418, 163
- Kippenhahn, R. & Weigert, A. 1990 Stellar Structure and Evolution, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Kochanek, C. 1994, ApJ, 422, 508
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Kormendy, J. & Richstone, D. 1995, ANRAA 33, 581
- Lacey, J. H., Townes, C. H., & Hollenbach, D. J. 1982, ApJ, 262, 120
- Laguna, P., Miller, W. A., Zurek, W. H., & Davies, M. B. 1993, ApJ, 410, L83
- Lightman, A.P., & Shapiro, S.L. 1977, ApJ, 211, 244
- Lin, D. N. C. & Papaloizou, J. C. B. 1996 ANRAA 34, 703
- Loeb, A. & Ulmer, A. 1997, ApJ (Submitted)
- Luminet, J.P. & Barbury, B. 1990 AJ 99, 838
- Luminet, J.P. & Carter, B. 1986 ApJ Sup. 61, 219
- Luminet, J.P. & Pichon, B. 1989, A& A 209, 85
- Mezger, R. G. 1978, A& A, 70, 565
- Miyoshi, M., Moran, J., Herrnstein, J., Greenhill, L., Nakai, N., Diamond, P., & Inoue, M. 1995, Nature, 373, 127
- Narayan, R. & Yi, I. 1995, ApJ, 452, 710
- Narayan, R., Yi, I., Mahadevan, R. 1995, Nature, 374, 623
- Nityananda, R. & Narayan, R. 1982, MNRAS, 201, 697
- Nolthenius, R. A. & Katz, J.I. 1982, ApJ, 263, 377
- Novikov, I. D. & Thorne, K.S. 1973, in Black Holes, Les Houches, ed. C. DeWitt & B. Dewitt (New York: Gordon & Breach)
- Paczyński, B. 1980, Acta Astron., 30, 347
- Paczyński, B. & Proszynski, P.J. 1986 ApJ, 302, 519
- Paczyński, B., and Wiita, P. 1980, A&A, 88, 23
- Peterson, B. M. & Ferland, G. J. 1986 Nature, 34, 345
- Phinney, E.S. 1989, in IAU Symposium 136, The Galactic Center, ed. M. Morris (Dordrecht: Kluwer)
- Rees, M. 1988, Nature, 333, 523
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Rees, M. 1997, to appear in Black Holes and Relativity, ed. R. Wald, Chandrasekhar Memorial Conference, Chicago, Dec. 1996, preprint [astro-ph/9701161](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9701161)
- Renzini, A.; Greggio, L.; Di Serego Alighieri, S.; Cappellari, M.; Burstein, D.; Bertola, F. 1995 Nature, 378, 39
- Roos, N. 1992, ApJ 385,108
- Schmutz, W., Leitherer, C., & Gruenwald R. 1992, PASP, 104, 1164
- Shakura, N. I. & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A & A, 24, 337
- Shull, J. M. & McKee, C. F. 1979, ApJ, 227, 131
- Shvartsman, V.F. 1971, Sov. Astron. AJ, 15, 377
- Stone, J. M., Hawley, J.F., Gammie, C.F., & Balbus, S.A. 1996, 463, 656
- Storchi-Bergmann, T., Eracleous, M., Livio, M., Wilson, A. S., Filippenko, A. V., & Halpern, J. P. 1995, ApJ, 443, 617
- Szuszkiewicz, E., Malkan, M., & Abramowicz, M. A. 1995, ApJ 458, 474
- Ulmer, A. 1997, PhD thesis, Princeton University Observatory (in preparation)
- Watson, W.D. & Wallin, B.K. 1994 ApJ 432, L35
- van der Marel, R.P., de Zeeuw, P. T., Rix, H. W., & Quinlan, G. D. 1997, Nature, 385, 610
- Young, P.J., Shields, G.A., Wheeler, J.C. 1977, ApJ 212, 367

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IATEX macros v4.0.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

Fig. 1.— Schematic of luminosity versus mass accretion rate (where  $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} \equiv L_{\text{Edd}}/\epsilon c^2$ ), where  $\epsilon = 0.1$  is the efficiency of the accretion process. The brightest accretion disks have high mass accretion rates and are thick. Thick disks are not much brighter than the Eddington luminosity, because at very high accretion rates pressure gradients push the inner edge of the disk closer to the marginally bound orbit, and energy is advected (because of geometry) (e.g. Paczynski and Wiita, 1980). Thin disks, (e.g. Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) and optically thin advection dominated models (e.g. Narayan & Yi 1995) are less luminous.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

Fig. 2.— Timescales as a function of black hole mass a solar-type star with a pericenter equal to the tidal radius. For a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$ , black hole, thick disks can form and bright flares can occur if  $t_{\text{acc}}$  is less than  $t_{\text{cir}}$  which requires only that  $\alpha > 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ . Above ~  $10^7 M_{\odot}$ , the Eddington time becomes shorter than the circularization time, so the disk cannot be thick, and the event will be less luminous.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

Fig. 3.— The fraction of tidal disruption events with peak accretion rates greater than the Eddington-limit are shown (upper curve) for the case of solar-type stars with a uniform distribution of pericenters and (lower curve) stars with a Salpeter mass function between  $0.3M_{\odot}$  and  $1M_{\odot}$ , also with a uniform distribution of pericenters.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

Fig. 4.— Predicted spectra of a tidal disruption flare around a  $10^7 M_{\odot}$  black hole. The flux is appropriate for a source at a distance of 100 Mpc. The two solid lines show calculated spectra from an optically and geometrically thick disk as viewed from face-on and edge-on. The former is much brighter and hotter because a large fraction of the energy is radiated in the funnel. The dashed line is a Planck curve with  $T = 10<sup>5</sup>$  for comparison.