

The radioastronomical "Time Machine" effect and the solution of gamma ray bursts mystery

Galina V. Lipunova, Vladimir M. Lipunov, Ivan E. Panchenko*

Abstract

The possible low-frequency radio emission from the progenitors of gamma ray bursts can experience a delay from tens of seconds to hours on the way to the observer due to the dispersion in galactic and extragalactic plasma, and thus reach the observer as a radio afterglow of the burst. This opens a unique possibility (peculiar "Time Machine") of seeing what happened in that place before the catastrophe.

1 Introduction

It is well known, that if the gamma ray bursts (GRB) origin from the mergers of relativistic binary stars, their progenitors should be a strong source of gravitational waves, observable by the detectors of the advanced LIGO type.

In addition, there are some reasons to expect that the progenitors of gamma-ray bursts (GRB) could be also a powerful sources of radio emission. For example, if GRB origin from binary neutron stars or neutron stars and black holes mergers, their pre-merger evolution could be accompanied with pulsar-like phenomena arising due to fast orbital motion of two compact objects (Lipunov & Panchenko, 1996) or their magnetospheric interaction (Vietri, 1996; Nulsen & Fabian, 1984). This emission could be observable as a radio "before-glow" of GRB.

The observational detection of such radio sources is complicated because their position on the sky is a priori unknown. But the time delay that occurs in the propagation of low-frequency signal through the galactic and extragalactic plasma makes it possible to observe the signal emitted by the GRB progenitors *before* the burst *after* the burst itself has been already observed and the position is known, thus changing the beforeglow into afterglow.

*Department of Physics, Moscow State University; Sternberg Astronomical Institute

2 The radio signal delay

The delay of a radio signal of a frequency ν passing a distance dr through plasma with electron density n_e equals to:

$$dt'_\nu = \frac{1}{2c} \frac{\nu_0^2}{\nu^2} dr, \quad (1)$$

where ν_0 is the plasma frequency

$$\nu_0^2 = \frac{e^2 n_e(z)}{\pi m_e}. \quad (2)$$

In the observer frame the same delay is multiplied by $(1+z)$.

Using for estimate the simple case of a flat universe ($\Omega = 1$) with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0$, in which the metric distance is

$$D_m(z) = \frac{2c}{H_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z}} \right) \quad (3)$$

taking into account that the emission frequency changes while it propagates through the Universe ($\nu(z) = \nu_{obs}(1+z)$) and assuming that the relative density of extragalactic plasma Ω_p is constant within some z which implies the electron density

$$n_e(z) = n_e(0)(1+z)^3 \quad (4)$$

at a redshift z , we obtain the delay

$$\Delta t_\nu = \frac{1}{2c} \frac{e^2}{\pi m_e \nu_{obs}^2} \text{DM} \approx 0.42 \nu_8^{-2} \text{DM}, \quad (5)$$

where $\nu_8 = \nu_{obs}/100$ MHz and the dispersion measure is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DM} &= \int_0^z \frac{n_e(z) dD_m}{1+z} \\ &= \frac{H_0 c \Omega_m}{4\pi G m_p} \left((1+z)^{3/2} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\Omega_{0.01} = \Omega_p/0.01$ and $h_{50} = H_0/75$ km/s/Mpc.

Now the delay can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_\nu &= \frac{e^2 H_0 \Omega_m}{8\pi G m_p m_e \nu_{obs}^2} \left((1+z)^{3/2} - 1 \right) \\ &\approx 75 \Omega_{0.01} h_{75} \nu_8^{-2} \left((1+z)^{3/2} - 1 \right) \text{ s}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Of course, the delay takes place not only in the extragalactic media, where the electron density is $6.5 \cdot 10^{-8} h_{75}^2 \Omega_{0.01}$, but also in our Galaxy, and in the host

galaxy. For example, our galaxy provides the dispersion measures from 16.5 in the polar direction to 1400 in the direction of its center (Taylor, Cordes, 1993). The contribution of the host galaxy can be in the same range, or even higher because of its lower age.

Thus, we can expect that at a frequency of 100 MHz the delay will be of the order of minutes or tens of minutes, and even hours at 10 Mhz. Therefore, the gamma-ray burst allows us to observe up to an hour of its radio prehistory.

3 The possible radio flux

Let us estimate the radio flux on the base of magnetic dipole energy losses.

The spectral flux density observed at the frequency ν_{obs} if the source has a power-law spectrum with the index n and is located at a redshift z , is equal to

$$F_{\nu_{obs}} = \frac{L_{\nu_{obs}}}{4\pi D_m(z)^2 (1+z)^{2+n}}, \quad (8)$$

where $L_{\nu_{obs}}$ is the spectral luminosity of the source at the frequency ν_{obs} .

For the purpose of estimating the flux, let us assume that $L_\nu \sim \eta L/\nu$, where L is the total energy output of the source. Then η has the meaning of the "efficiency of radioemission". If we approximate the total luminosity by the magnetic dipole formula, then we would obtain the flux

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\nu_{obs}} &= \frac{\mu^2 \pi^3 \nu_{spin}^4 \eta H_0^2}{c^5 \nu_{obs}} K(z) = \\ &= 3.7 \text{Jy} \frac{\eta_{-4} h_{50}^2}{P_{-3}^4 \nu_{obs}} K(z), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\eta_{-4} = \eta/10^{-4}$, P_{-3} is the dipole spin period in milliseconds, and

$$K(z) = \left((1+z) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z}} \right) \right)^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

This flux estimate is rather high, which means that even for less efficient energy losses than a dipole one the value of the flux can be over the limit of detection.

4 Discussion

As shown in previous sections, the dispersion of the low-frequency emission during its propagation in plasma can lead to the "time-machine" effect, allowing us to observe several minutes of the radio prehistory of a GRB at 100 Mhz, or even hours at 10 Mhz. As shown by Lipunov & Panchenko (1996), this last

minute can be accompanied by powerful pulsar-like emission, arising from the fast orbital motion of two magnetic dipoles with millisecond periods. According to the well-known formula, describing the gravitational wave lifetime of a binary (Shapiro & Teukolsky, 1983):

$$T_0 = 10^5 P_{orb}^{8/3} \text{s}, \quad (11)$$

a minute before the merger (which is associated with the GRB itself) the orbital period is ≈ 10 ms, and a hour before it is ≈ 140 ms. The pre-GRB emission should (see Lipunov & Panchenko (1996), Fig. 2) display a quick burst-like power-law growth of intensity, and should probably be modulated with the decreasing as given by (11) period.

In general, this emission could be observed as a “beforeglow” of a GRB together with its gravitational wave “beforeglow”, but if the dispersion measure is high enough, the time delay may turn it into its afterglow.

Due to the fact that this effect has a strong observational frequency dependence ($\sim \nu_{obs}^{-2}$), it could be preferably detected by the large phased arrays similar to those of Pushino and Kharkov radio astronomy observatories Kharkov, which are sensitive in the frequency range 10 – 100 Mhz.

The importance of the described effect of “radio-astronomical time machine” is that it provides a new tool for studying GRB by opening a direct view of their precursors. Also search for it can involve new kinds of radio instruments in the GRB follow-up observations programme.

Authors acknowledge their colleagues Drs K.A. Postnov and M.E. Prokhorov for useful discussions.

References

- [1] Nulsen, P.E.J. & Fabian, A.C. *Nature* **312**,48-50 (1984)
- [2] Lipunov, V.M. & Panchenko, I.E. *A. & A* **312** L937 (1996)
- [3] Shapiro, S.A. & Teukolski, S.A. *Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars*: John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1983
- [4] Taylor, J.H. & Cordes, J.M. *ApJ*, **411** 674 (1993)
- [5] Vietri, M. *ApJLetters*, **471** L95 (1996)