

Probing the LHS Catalog. I. New Nearby Stars and the Coolest Subdwarf¹

John E. Gizis

I. Neill Reid²

Palomar Observatory, 105-24, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125,
e-mail: jeg@astro.caltech.edu, inr@astro.caltech.edu

ABSTRACT

We present moderate resolution spectroscopy of 112 cool dwarf stars to supplement the observations we have already presented in the Palomar/MSU Nearby-Star Spectroscopic Survey. The sample consists of 72 suspected nearby stars added to the *The Preliminary Third Catalog of Nearby Stars* since 1991 as well as 40 faint red stars selected from the LHS catalog. LHS 1826 is more metal-poor and cooler than the coolest previously known extreme subdwarf, LHS 1742a. LHS 2195 is a very late M dwarf of type M8 V, probably at a distance of ten parsecs. LHS 1937 is an M7 V star at ~ 20 parsecs. Three other previously unobserved LHS stars have estimated distances that place them within 25 parsecs.

1. Introduction

M dwarfs make up the vast majority ($\sim 80\%$ by number) of the stellar component of the Galaxy. With the exception of the few clusters which are near enough to study, field samples are our primary source of observational data on these cool stars. The two most important compilations are the LHS Catalog (Luyten 1979), listing the known stars with $\mu > 0.5$ arcseconds per year, and the preliminary Third Catalog of Nearby Stars (Gliese & Jahreiß 1991, hereafter pCNS3), listing the known stars within 25 parsecs. There is considerable overlap between these two samples, and indeed most of the nearby stars were first identified in proper motion surveys. Despite the importance of the proper motion stars, there have been no followup observations of many of the fainter LHS stars.

Spectroscopy is a powerful way to make an initial survey of these stars because it measures temperature, metallicity, and chromospheric activity. We have already presented the first stage

¹Observations were made partially at the 60-inch telescope at Palomar Mountain which is jointly owned by the California Institute of Technology and the Carnegie Institution of Washington

²Visiting Research Associate, Carnegie Institute of Washington

of the Palomar/Michigan State University Nearby Star Spectroscopic Survey (Reid, Hawley, & Gizis 1995, hereafter PMSU1; Hawley, Gizis, & Reid 1996, hereafter PMSU2), which includes measurements of the 2063 accessible pCNS3 M dwarfs over the whole sky in a consistent system. These observations were used to identify misclassified and metal-poor stars (PMSU1, PMSU2), estimate the completeness of the catalog (PMSU1), redetermine the disk luminosity function (PMSU1), reanalyze the local disk space kinematics (PMSU1), and investigate the dependence of chromospheric activity on spectral type (PMSU2). In addition, Gizis (1997, hereafter G97) has obtained spectra on the same system for a large sample of cool metal-poor LHS stars. These observations were used to develop a spectral classification system and determine the metallicity scale for the M subdwarfs.

Here we present spectroscopic observations on this same system aimed at identifying interesting red dwarfs, in particular new nearby stars, ultra-cool dwarfs, and very metal-poor stars. In Section 2, we review the sample selection and data reduction. In Section 3, we discuss observations of a sample of suspected nearby stars added to pCNS3 since 1991. In Section 4, we present observations of selected faint ($r > 17$) LHS stars that have been previously neglected. We summarize in Section 5.

2. Sample Selection and Data Reduction

Two samples of stars were selected for observations. First, Dr. Jahrei provided us with a list of 85 candidate nearby stars (53 of which are LHS stars) which were not included in pCNS3. Most are relatively bright ($V < 15$) and have been identified by (B)VRI photometry; a handful have trigonometric parallaxes of low quality. We have observed 72 of these stars as well as one common proper motion companion (G240-045) not included in the list. Second, we have begun a program of observing faint ($m_r > 17$) red LHS stars without published data. Dr. Kirkpatrick kindly provided a list of previous unpublished observations to prevent duplication. A few of our targets do have some previously published observations; in particular, we note that LHS 1506, 3868, 5356, 5359, and 5360 have accurate USNO trigonometric parallaxes (Monet *et al.* 1992). After taking the observations, we found that spectra of LHS 1826, 2099, and LHS 2100 were presented by Bessell & Scholz (1990).

Our observations were taken and reduced in the same way as described in PMSU1, PMSU2, and G97. The new nearby star candidates were observed at the Palomar 60-in. in June 1995 and January 1996, at the Hale 200-in. telescope in October 1995, and at the Las Campanas 100-in. telescope in December 1995. The faint red LHS stars were observed at the Hale 200-in. in November 1996 and the Las Campanas 100-in. in December 1996. The resolution was $\sim 3\text{Å}$ per pixel with wavelength coverage from 6200 – 7500Å. Marcy & Benitz (1989) stars were used as radial velocity standards. No radial velocities were determined for the December 1996 data. Radial velocities have an accuracy of $\sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The bandstrengths are accurate to ~ 0.02 with the resulting spectral types accurate to 0.5 subclasses.

3. The Candidate Nearby Stars

We present the data for the nearby dwarfs in Tables 1, 2, and 3 which are in the same format as Tables 1, 2, and 3 of PMSU1 and PMSU2. Positions in Table 1 were redetermined for the stars using the Digitized Sky Surveys with proper motions added to make the positions epoch J2000. The spectra show that the candidate nearby stars are all ordinary disk dwarfs: none are M subdwarfs and the frequency and strength of $H\alpha$ emission is consistent with that found in PMSU2.

The system made up of G 035-027 and LP 469-118 has a photoelectric V measurement by Fleming *et al.* (1988). They were unable to resolve the binary and therefore reported V magnitudes by on the basis of an assumed ΔV of 2.53 magnitudes. That value was derived from their own spectral types; however, we predict $\Delta V \sim 0.4$ based on the TiO indices. The noted appearance of the system in the TV guider and on the Digitized Sky Survey suggests only a small magnitude difference, and in fact, Luyten estimated $\Delta m_r \sim 0.1$. We would like to note however that our observations were taken a large airmass and that the G 035-027 spectrum has a very red slope, which we attribute to an observational artifact. We estimate the distance in Tables 1 and 3 assuming the total magnitude ($V = 14.20$) reported by Fleming *et al.* and our own ΔV of 0.4.

None of the candidate nearby stars appear to belong in the eight parsec sample, but one may lie within ten parsecs. LHS 2395 is 9.9 parsecs away on the basis of the TiO index. The same value is derived on the basis of its color in the Jahreiß list.

4. The Faint LHS Stars

Indices, radial velocities, and spectral types (G97 system) for the faint LHS stars are given in Table 4. In this sample, 19 are near-solar metallicity M dwarfs (M V), 13 are sdM, and 6 are esdM. We also attempted to observe LHS 5082 but were unable to detect it with either the Double Spectrograph on the 200-in. or VRI CCD imaging using the Palomar 60-in. telescope. On the Palomar Sky Survey images, a diffraction spike from the much brighter LHS 5081 passes through the position listed by Luyten. We conclude that LHS 5082 does not exist.

LHS 1826 is the coolest extreme subdwarf known. A spectrum appears in Bessell & Scholz (1990), but our indices allow us to estimate a quantitative spectral type of esdM6.0, later than either the parallax stars LHS 3061 (esdM5.0, $M_V = 14.25$) or LHS 1742a (esdM5.5, $M_V = 14.44$). The spectrum is plotted in Figure 1. The value for TiO5 should be viewed with caution because the spectrum is noisy, but there is no doubt that the CaH bands are stronger and the TiO weaker than either LHS 3061 or 1742a. It appears LHS 1826, like LHS 453, is more metal-poor than most extreme subdwarfs. Fits to the Allard & Hauschildt (1995) synthetic spectra using the G97 procedure gives $T_{eff} = 3300$ and $[m/H] = -2.5$, although fits with cooler temperatures and lower metallicities are almost as good. There is evidence of atomic lines in the spectrum which supports

$[m/H] \sim -2.5$, but there is too much noise to measure them.

LHS 2099 and 2100 are a pair of extreme subdwarfs with common proper motion. LHS 2100 appears to be significantly fainter than expected given the similarity in the CaH strength (i.e., temperature) when compared with LHS 2099. No accurate photometry is available, but the LHS catalog lists $\Delta m_r = 3$ and comparison of the flux in our spectra gives $\Delta m_r \sim 2$. A linear fit to the extreme subdwarf sequence in the HR diagram suggests that the luminosity difference between the two stars should only be ~ 0.2 magnitudes. If LHS 2099 is an unresolved equal-luminosity binary, then we would expect an additional 0.75 magnitudes difference, but this is not enough to explain the observations. The system may be similar to GJ 1230 which consists of stars that lie at the top and bottom of the “kink” in the HR diagram at spectral type M4.0 V (Gizis & Reid 1996). At the kink, a small difference in spectral type or color corresponds to a large difference in luminosity; this behavior is not accounted for in a simple linear fit. For metal-poor stars, there may be a similar kink in the HR diagram at spectral type $\sim esdM2.0 - 2.5$. Comparing to the colors and spectral types of the extreme subdwarfs in G97, we find that LHS 2099 and 2100 should have $V - I \sim 2.0$. Accurate photometry is needed to measure the luminosity ratio and colors of these two stars.

Another subdwarf system of interest is LHS 2139/2140. For this observation, the spectrograph slit was rotated to the position of angle of 24 deg given by Luyten. The primary, LHS 2140, is an sdM0.5 but the fainter LHS 2139 is not an M-star. Our spectrum is noisy but appears to be featureless; the ratio of fluxes in the spectrum gives $\Delta m_r \sim 4.7$ which is consistent with Luyten’s value $\Delta m_r \sim 4.6$. We conclude that LHS 2139 is a cool white dwarf. LHS 2140 is spectroscopically very similar to LHS 307 (G97) which implies LHS 2140 is at ~ 80 parsecs: the implied $M_r \sim 14$ for LHS 2139 is reasonable for an old halo white dwarf.

Until now, very few cool sdM have been identified (Monet *et al.* 1992; G97). However, Table 4 lists eight stars with spectral types in the range sdM4.0 to sdM6.5. The previously observed lack of these stars has thus been due to chance or selection effects. Photometry and trigonometric parallaxes would fill in the present gap in the HR diagram.

LHS 2195 is an ultra-cool M dwarf. We have shown in PMSU1 that our indices are not useful beyond $\sim M6.5$, because TiO and CaH begin to weaken with decreasing temperature. We therefore have compared our spectrum by eye to PMSU1 spectra of very late M dwarfs with spectral types by Kirkpatrick, Henry, & McCarthy (1991). We find a spectral type of M8 V; observations that include redder wavelengths will be needed to obtain a definitive spectral type. Using the Luyten m_r magnitudes and comparing to the M8 V star vB 10 (LHS 474), we estimate that the distance to LHS 2195 is 10 parsecs. The resulting tangential velocity is $\sim 43 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which together with the radial velocity of $\sim 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, suggests that LHS 2195 is an old disk star and not a young brown dwarf.

LHS 1937 is also an ultra-cool M dwarf; in this case, our Las Campanas spectrum extends to 8000\AA and shows VO absorption. We estimate that it is spectral type M7.0 V. Using the Luyten

r magnitudes and the comparison star vB 8 (LHS 429), we estimate a distance of ~ 21 parsecs, which implies $V_{tan} \sim 55 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

For the remaining M V (near-solar metallicity) stars we have estimated absolute magnitudes and distances using the relation $M_r = 19.4 - 16.0 \times \text{TiO5}$, which is a “by-eye” fit to the LHS r magnitudes for the eight parsec single stars (Gizis & Reid 1996). As the LHS magnitudes are not very accurate, these estimates are necessarily quite crude. Three stars have estimated distances within 25 parsecs: LHS 1146 (24 pc), LHS 1531 (17 pc), and LHS 2064 (23 pc). Another four stars (LHS 1157, 1506, 3762, and 5356) have estimated distances between 30 and 35 parsecs, although the USNO parallaxes show that LHS 1506 and 5356 actually lie at 41.5 ± 2.2 and 56.8 ± 14.2 parsecs respectively. Three stars seem to have improbably large distances (their apparent magnitudes are too faint for their spectral type given their proper motion): LHS 1294, LHS 1657, and LHS 1986. These stars have most likely been misidentified by us, or may have been mismeasured by Luyten. We note that LHS 1986 is reported as a DC white dwarf in McCook & Sion (1987).

5. Conclusions

Our spectroscopic observations of the candidate nearby stars have not found any unusual stars, but do support the photometric estimate that LHS 2395 lies within ten parsecs.

Obtaining trigonometric parallaxes or other followup observations is demanding on observing time and often must be restricted to the most promising candidates. We wish to bring attention to the faint LHS stars that deserve additional followup. We have identified five stars likely to be within 25 parsecs (including an M8 V star), eight M subdwarfs later than sdM4.0, a possible old halo white dwarf, and six extreme M subdwarfs (including an esdM6.0 which is more metal-poor than the previously known very cool extreme M subdwarfs).

We wish to thank the staffs of Palomar and Las Campanas Observatories. We also would like to thank Dr. Jareiß for providing the list of candidate nearby stars and making useful comments. JEG gratefully acknowledges partial support from both a Greenstein and a Kingsley Fellowship. This research has made use of the Simbad database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. The Digitized Sky Surveys were produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under U.S. Government grant NAG W-2166. The images of these surveys are based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain and the UK Schmidt Telescope.

Table 1. Candidate Nearby Stars: Basic Data

name	b	α	(J2000)	δ	Src. ^a	M_V	r	ϵ_r	% π	% S	Sp	V
LHS 1037		0 11	56.3 33	3 17	5	11.72	18.0	5	0	100	M3.5	13.00
LHS 1062		0 21	53.9 38	16 29	5	11.56	18.1	5	0	100	M3.0	12.85
LHS 1104	p	0 35	53.3 52	41 14	5	10.80	22.3	5	48	52	M2.5	12.54
LHS 1105	s	0 35	53.7 52	41 39	5	13.14	24.5	6	52	48	M4.0	15.09
G 217-060		0 39	18.7 55	8 13	5	12.73	19.4	3	75	25	M3.5	14.17
LHS 1169		0 57	2.9 45	5 9	5	11.26	13.7	4	0	100	M3.0	11.95
LHS 1182		1 3	14.7 71	13 14	5	12.08	22.7	7	0	100	M3.5	13.86
G 173-018		1 45	18.4 46	32 10	5	10.31	16.8	5	0	100	M2.0	11.44
LHS 1311		1 53	50.5 -10	32 14	5	14.10	18.4	6	0	100	M5.0	15.43
G 035-027	p	2 8	12.2 15	8 45	5	12.95	59.8	18	0	100	M4.0	14.76 ^b
LP 469-118	s	2 8	12.2 15	8 45	5	13.37	15.4	5	0	100	M4.5	15.18 ^b
LP 709-43		2 10	3.6 -8	53 0	5	11.29	13.9	0	91	9	M3.5	12.
LHS 1349		2 10	35.5 46	42 5	5	14.37	18.6	6	0	100	M5.0	15.71
LHS 1412		2 30	35.0 -15	43 25	5	11.16	24.4	7	0	100	M3.0	13.1
G 134-035		2 30	44.3 40	28 53	5	12.12	16.4	2	90	10	M1.0	13.19
LHS 1553		3 30	55.0 70	41 14	5	11.56	24.9	7	0	100	M3.0	13.54
G 038-024		4 4	6.0 30	42 46	5	10.71	25.6	4	75	25	M1.5	12.75
LHS 1631		4 8	11.3 74	23 1	5	11.69	21.4	6	0	100	M3.5	13.34
LHS 1659		4 20	57.5 37	28 45	5	10.97	22.3	5	26	74	M3.0	12.71
LHS 1675		4 31	7.3 -5	20 25	5	12.96	13.0	1	82	18	M3.5	13.54
LHS 1679		4 33	17.7 68	46 52	5	14.21	21.9	7	0	100	M5.0	15.91
LHS 1808		6 2	25.6 66	20 40	5	13.24	18.1	5	0	100	M4.5	14.52
LHS 1817		6 5	29.6 60	49 23	5	13.04	13.5	4	0	100	M4.5	13.69
LTT 2494		6 14	57.0 -5	2 53	5	11.69	18.3	4	54	46	M3.0	13.
LTT 2495		6 15	0.5 -5	2 45	5	10.74	22.5	3	79	21	M0.5	12.5
LHS 1853		6 32	30.9 64	6 18	5	12.76	18.2	5	0	100	M4.0	14.06
LHS 1962	p	7 58	18.5 87	57 40	5	12.29	22.7	7	0	100	M4.0	14.07
LHS 1964		7 58	22.1 49	39 54	5	12.84	11.6	3	0	100	M4.0	13.16
LHS 1973		8 2	44.0 59	1 43	5	11.65	25.7	8	0	100	M3.5	13.70
LHS 1993		8 13	44.7 79	18 12	5	13.41	19.0	6	0	100	M4.5	14.80
LHS 2002		8 21	57.1 17	48 56	5	14.30	19.1	6	0	100	M5.0	15.71
LHS 2025		8 31	29.8 73	3 47	5	12.42	12.6	1	79	21	M3.5	12.92
LTT 12375		9 3	53.3 12	59 27	5	10.35	25.4	8	0	100	M2.0	12.37
G 253-034		10 1	11.5 81	9 21	5	13.48	22.3	7	0	100	M4.5	15.23
G 042-036		10 6	57.9 12	40 54	5	9.90	26.9	8	0	100	M1.5	12.05
LHS 2232		10 12	34.5 57	3 50	5	11.57	10.8	3	0	100	M3.0	11.73

Table 1—Continued

name	b	α	(J2000)	δ	Src. ^a	M_V	r	ϵ_r	$\%_{\pi}$	$\%_S$	Sp	V			
Ross 895		10	47	24.3	2	35	35	5	9.77	31.0	7	31	69	M2.0	12.23
LHS 2395		11	19	30.6	46	41	43	5	15.81	9.9	3	0	100	M5.5	15.78
LHS 2403		11	25	0.5	43	19	37	5	13.37	22.0	7	0	100	M4.5	15.08
Wo 9364		11	31	36.2	40	30	0	5	7.81	25.0	3	-1	-1	K	9.80
LP 63-218		11	33	55.6	62	22	5	5	12.98	29.1	9	0	100	M4.0	15.30
LHS 2439		11	40	29.5	77	4	20	5	10.29	19.3	6	0	100	M2.0	11.72
LHS 2513		12	7	57.6	77	15	0	5	11.79	21.9	7	0	100	M3.5	13.49
Steph 2.809 ^c		12	15	38.3	52	39	11	5	11.52	16.1	5	0	100	M3.0	12.55
LHS 2546		12	21	29.3	54	8	5	5	12.31	32.0	10	0	100	M4.0	14.83
LP 321-300		12	30	55.4	31	52	12	5	12.18	25.8	8	0	100	M3.5	14.24
LHS 2613		12	42	49.8	41	53	46	5	12.15	10.8	1	79	21	M3.5	12.31
EXO1259+1238		13	2	5.9	12	22	21	5	10.68	26.1	8	0	100	M2.5	12.76
LHS 2672		13	2	47.4	41	31	9	5	11.72	17.6	5	0	100	M3.5	12.95
LHS 2686		13	10	12.8	47	45	21	5	13.79	14.0	4	0	100	M4.5	14.52
G 014-046		13	20	25.0	-1	39	28	5	9.88	22.2	4	-1	-1	K	11.61
LP 271-35		14	31	43.1	32	14	32	5	12.77	24.7	7	0	100	M4.0	14.74
LHS 2935		14	32	8.5	8	11	31	5	14.39	18.2	5	0	100	M5.0	15.69
LHS 3009		15	0	10.2	60	21	47	5	12.00	21.1	6	0	100	M3.5	13.62
LHS 3031		15	10	12.0	46	24	7	5	13.07	26.4	8	0	100	M4.5	15.18
LHS 3044		15	14	46.8	64	33	43	5	11.94	25.7	8	0	100	M3.5	13.99
GJ 2120		16	27	33.2	-10	0	29	5	9.64	17.9	3	-1	-1	K	10.90
G 240-044	p	17	6	17.7	64	38	8	5	11.06	26.5	8	0	100	M2.5	13.18
G 240-045	s	17	7	28.2	64	37	27	5	12.56	29.4	9	0	100	M4.0	14.90
LHS 3318		17	42	42.5	75	37	23	5	13.43	15.0	4	0	100	M4.5	14.30
G 205-019		18	22	43.5	37	57	47	5	9.70	24.5	7	0	100	M1.0	11.65
LHS 3420		18	52	33.7	45	38	33	5	13.16	24.1	7	0	100	M4.5	15.07
LHS 3429		19	5	17.4	45	7	15	5	12.03	23.4	5	55	45	M3.5	13.88
BD+28 3698 ^d		20	18	13.5	29	12	14	5	7.42	22.7	34	-1	-1	K	9.2
LHS 3547		20	24	50.7	74	12	24	5	14.12	17.8	5	0	100	M5.0	15.37
LP 74-35		20	54	54.7	67	35	9	5	10.12	24.5	7	0	100	M1.5	12.07
LTT 16250		21	18	33.7	20	57	3	5	10.01	25.0	42	-1	-1	K	12.
LHS 3705 ^e		21	44	53.9	44	17	8	5	9.74	30.3	6	14	86	M1.5	11.40
G 241-021		22	47	47.1	66	23	37	5	11.89	22.1	7	0	100	M3.5	13.61
LHS 3879		22	57	41.0	37	19	23	5	11.45	19.3	6	0	100	M3.0	12.88
LHS 3898		23	7	46.9	-27	54	23	5	10.38	24.8	6	15	85	M2.5	12.35

^aThe source of positional data for all stars is the Digitized Sky Survey and is denoted by 5. Sources 1-4 are used in PMSU1.

^bBased on Fleming *et al.* (1988) observed $V_{system} = 14.20$ and assumed $\Delta V = 0.42$.

^cThis is the 800th star in Stephenson (1986). Photometry is from Weisz (1991).

Table 2. Candidate Nearby Stars: Bandstrengths

Star	TiO1	TiO2	TiO3	TiO4	TiO5	CaH1	CaH2	CaH3	CaOH	H α
LHS 1037	0.785	0.648	0.734	0.635	0.451	0.820	0.447	0.723	0.463	...
LHS 1062	0.813	0.667	0.707	0.636	0.464	0.838	0.442	0.735	0.468	...
LHS 1104	0.812	0.730	0.766	0.723	0.547	0.815	0.502	0.752	0.522	...
LHS 1105	0.780	0.577	0.643	0.672	0.379	0.780	0.374	0.655	0.385	...
G 217-060	0.765	0.610	0.693	0.628	0.429	0.753	0.394	0.650	0.374	...
LHS 1169	0.792	0.694	0.763	0.721	0.489	0.799	0.454	0.712	0.473	...
LHS 1182	0.758	0.636	0.688	0.712	0.425	0.795	0.404	0.683	0.398	...
G 173-018	0.854	0.735	0.809	0.776	0.589	0.808	0.516	0.742	0.547	2.92
LHS 1311	0.693	0.504	0.565	0.514	0.312	0.866	0.307	0.640	0.251	...
G 035-027	0.753	0.511	0.639	0.570	0.371	0.775	0.305	0.608	0.299	6.12
LP 469-11	0.770	0.483	0.633	0.576	0.348	0.778	0.375	0.619	0.411	9.38
LP 709-43	0.759	0.617	0.670	0.608	0.425	0.884	0.383	0.692	0.424	...
LHS 1349	0.757	1.226	1.270	1.333	1.717	0.115	0.829	0.439
LHS 1412	0.795	0.647	0.707	0.676	0.498	0.804	0.460	0.715	0.483	5.61
G 134-035	0.900	0.811	0.912	0.866	0.656	0.868	0.602	0.821	0.610	...
LHS 1553	0.795	0.683	0.649	0.668	0.464	0.776	0.432	0.689	0.419	...
G 038-024	0.832	0.774	0.806	0.741	0.645	0.753	0.410	0.702	0.404	...
LHS 1631	0.749	0.640	0.678	0.650	0.454	0.781	0.424	0.680	0.419	...
LHS 1659	0.793	0.685	0.737	0.701	0.487	0.855	0.471	0.752	0.486	...
LHS 1675	0.783	0.638	0.695	0.619	0.427	0.803	0.455	0.732	0.450	...
LHS 1679	0.710	0.470	0.595	0.529	0.307	0.761	0.319	0.604	0.321	3.39
LHS 1808	0.797	0.529	0.643	0.648	0.355	0.848	0.384	0.691	0.378	...
LHS 1817	0.818	0.503	0.649	0.628	0.366	0.875	0.406	0.678	0.488	6.81
LTT 2494	0.793	0.672	0.712	0.627	0.461	0.790	0.386	0.692	0.426	...
LTT 2495	0.890	0.825	0.845	0.823	0.699	0.813	0.533	0.784	0.629	...
LHS 1853	0.820	0.601	0.672	0.617	0.382	0.866	0.413	0.712	0.415	...
LHS 1962	0.789	0.607	0.696	0.645	0.411	0.848	0.425	0.709	0.424	...
LHS 1964	0.757	0.564	0.670	0.652	0.377	0.855	0.396	0.697	0.422	...
LHS 1973	0.778	0.637	0.722	0.664	0.457	0.802	0.426	0.701	0.440	...
LHS 1993	0.738	0.496	0.614	0.579	0.346	0.756	0.338	0.608	0.361	3.82
LHS 2002	0.695	0.504	0.585	0.512	0.303	0.747	0.315	0.608	0.275	...
LHS 2025	0.778	0.611	0.689	0.636	0.413	0.829	0.419	0.714	0.408	...
LTT 12375	0.826	0.766	0.801	0.753	0.584	0.818	0.591	0.801	0.616	...
G 253-034	0.755	0.487	0.631	0.575	0.342	0.812	0.354	0.653	0.370	5.82
G 042-036	0.845	0.804	0.825	0.773	0.644	0.813	0.554	0.781	0.611	...
LHS 2232	0.805	0.649	0.710	0.681	0.463	0.817	0.439	0.708	0.443	...

Table 2—Continued

Star	TiO1	TiO2	TiO3	TiO4	TiO5	CaH1	CaH2	CaH3	CaOH	H α
Ross 895	0.813	0.764	0.801	0.728	0.591	0.837	0.528	0.773	0.565	...
LHS 2395	0.683	0.386	0.528	0.568	0.242	0.798	0.274	0.576	0.262	...
LHS 2403	0.513	0.469	0.611	0.617	0.348	0.746	0.337	0.583	0.434	7.39
Wo 9364	0.968	0.959	1.011	0.985	0.938	0.987	0.880	0.923	0.909	...
LP 63-218	0.841	0.572	0.665	0.610	0.369	0.805	0.385	0.673	0.395	...
LHS 2439	0.825	0.759	0.808	0.749	0.591	0.849	0.552	0.791	0.576	...
LHS 2513	0.773	0.641	0.700	0.635	0.446	0.804	0.438	0.727	0.422	...
Steph 2.809	0.789	0.625	0.703	0.706	0.467	0.760	0.419	0.654	0.475	5.94
LHS 2546	0.771	0.534	0.665	0.691	0.410	0.830	0.366	0.631	0.447	2.76
LP 321-300	0.810	0.544	0.689	0.701	0.418	0.783	0.391	0.644	0.451	10.14
LHS 2613	0.797	0.602	0.677	0.623	0.427	0.806	0.415	0.691	0.451	4.58
EXO 1259+1238	0.797	0.699	0.761	0.715	0.546	0.795	0.475	0.713	0.528	3.61
LHS 2672	0.722	0.622	0.657	0.672	0.451	0.846	0.471	0.729	0.502	...
LHS 2686	0.754	0.511	0.613	0.591	0.327	0.781	0.344	0.635	0.343	2.86
G 014-046	1.105	1.071	1.115	0.987	0.976	1.014	0.971	1.005	0.970	...
LP 271-35	0.769	0.569	0.671	0.661	0.381	0.820	0.403	0.689	0.423	1.64
LHS 2935	0.793	0.415	0.619	0.619	0.299	0.818	0.306	0.609	0.331	9.7
LHS 3009	0.794	0.626	0.703	0.665	0.431	0.846	0.438	0.729	0.467	...
LHS 3031	0.803	0.540	0.623	0.604	0.364	0.732	0.348	0.613	0.411	3.3
LHS 3044	0.750	0.643	0.706	0.650	0.435	0.709	0.385	0.639	0.391	...
GJ 2120	0.946	0.991	0.948	1.015	0.994	1.022	0.934	0.946	0.916	...
G 240-044	0.784	0.711	0.749	0.688	0.507	0.793	0.456	0.718	0.475	...
G 240-045	0.739	0.608	0.670	0.625	0.394	0.757	0.378	0.655	0.378	...
LHS 3318	0.739	0.541	0.638	0.556	0.345	0.809	0.365	0.660	0.371	1.39
G 205-019	0.849	0.817	0.861	0.818	0.674	0.855	0.610	0.818	0.658	...
LHS 3420	0.774	0.523	0.624	0.594	0.359	0.754	0.349	0.619	0.360	...
LHS 3429	0.772	0.656	0.712	0.658	0.434	0.778	0.411	0.678	0.424	...
BD+26 3698	0.984	0.985	1.000	0.991	0.980	1.015	0.952	0.968	0.945	...
LHS 3547	0.709	0.475	0.594	0.556	0.311	0.799	0.327	0.631	0.319	2.72
LP 74-35	0.833	0.774	0.812	0.751	0.613	0.838	0.553	0.786	0.586	...
LTT 16250	0.985	0.977	1.002	0.978	0.971	0.982	0.901	0.951	0.924	...
LHS 3705	0.829	0.789	0.832	0.789	0.623	0.844	0.567	0.794	0.603	...
G 241-021	0.803	0.626	0.700	0.644	0.439	0.835	0.436	0.724	0.460	...
LHS 3879	0.777	0.662	0.712	0.655	0.473	0.820	0.448	0.727	0.477	...
LHS 3898	0.804	0.711	0.764	0.699	0.541	0.857	0.502	0.763	0.528	...

Table 3. Candidate Nearby Stars: Space Motions

Star	μ_α	μ_δ	V_{rad}	U	V	W	M_V
LHS 1037	-0.5755	-0.3896	1.0	54.	12.	-22.	11.72
LHS 1062	0.6123	-0.3093	-62.6	-12.	-85.	-4.	11.56
LHS 1104	0.7723	-0.1613	-25.6	-54.	-66.	-17.	10.80
LHS 1105	0.7723	-0.1613	26.3	-87.	-26.	-29.	13.14
G 217-060	-0.4107	-0.2286	-47.4	59.	-22.	-13.	12.73
LHS 1169	0.6397	-0.0548	-13.6	-27.	-35.	2.	11.26
LHS 1182	0.5219	-0.0338	-85.2	0.	-101.	-14.	12.08
G 173-018	0.4100	0.2358	11.5	-33.	-6.	21.	10.31
LHS 1311	0.6324	-0.2710	-2.5	-27.	-53.	9.	14.10
G 035-027	0.2471	0.0303	-11.7	-13.	-19.	18.	12.95
LP 469-118	0.2471	0.0303	7.8	-25.	-12.	5.	13.37
LP 709-43	-0.3479	-0.2527	-17.3	33.	1.	3.	11.29
LHS 1349	0.0363	-0.9903	0.0	-6.	-35.	-80.	14.37
LHS 1412	0.5562	-0.0732	39.7	-54.	-52.	-14.	11.16
G 134-035	0.2560	0.0045	23.6	-31.	1.	0.	12.12
LHS 1553	0.3710	-0.4905	-27.1	-33.	-65.	-27.	11.56
G 038-024	0.3290	-0.1534	-19.9	4.	-44.	19.	10.71
LHS 1631	0.6737	-0.5877	-29.1	-43.	-85.	-4.	11.69
LHS 1659	0.0496	-0.5247	-58.8	48.	-60.	-26.	10.97
LHS 1675	-0.1884	-0.4857	-44.7	55.	-1.	4.	12.96
LHS 1679	0.2049	-0.5852	8.2	-48.	-37.	-22.	14.21
LHS 1808	0.3432	-0.4848	45.6	-60.	-21.	26.	13.24
LHS 1817	0.3224	-0.7746	-19.4	-8.	-56.	-7.	13.04
LTT 2494	0.2208	-0.1275	48.3	-31.	-43.	3.	11.69
LTT 2495	-0.0071	0.2039	40.4	-45.	-6.	2.	10.74
LHS 1853	0.3049	-0.5479	62.5	-73.	-20.	34.	12.76
LHS 1962	-0.2874	-0.4654	-23.4	-38.	-39.	-32.	12.29
LHS 1964	0.1681	-0.7166	-48.4	35.	-48.	-21.	12.84
LHS 1973	0.4841	-0.2206	-96.4	95.	-67.	-1.	11.65
LHS 1993	-0.3181	-0.4101	-2.1	-36.	-24.	-18.	13.41
LHS 2002	-0.4800	0.1504	68.7	-82.	-8.	1.	14.30
LHS 2025	0.5973	0.3123	-106.0	99.	-44.	-33.	12.42
LTT 12375	-0.0339	-0.2143	-5.9	10.	-20.	-15.	10.35
G 253-034	-0.1259	-0.3458	-12.3	-15.	-37.	6.	13.48
G 042-036	-0.3036	-0.0159	5.5	-33.	-10.	-19.	9.90
LHS 2232	-0.3836	-0.5073	-17.3	-6.	-33.	-16.	11.57

Table 3—Continued

Star	μ_α	μ_δ	V_{rad}	U	V	W	M_V
Ross 895	0.1712	0.0727	-66.3	32.	53.	-36.	9.77
LHS 2395	0.3023	-0.6225	-49.0	40.	-30.	-31.	15.81
LHS 2403	-0.5448	-0.2290	32.7	-55.	-39.	17.	13.37
Wo 9364	-0.1712	-0.0361	24.0	-25.	-10.	17.	7.81
LP 63-218	0.0283	-0.2010	21.6	-1.	-13.	33.	12.98
LHS 2439	-0.5448	0.0152	-34.5	-27.	-40.	-36.	10.29
LHS 2513	-0.6518	-0.3628	32.0	-66.	-35.	37.	11.79
Steph 2.809	-0.1113	0.0450	-18.7	-3.	-7.	-19.	11.52
LHS 2546	-0.3675	-0.3637	4.1	-28.	-70.	22.	12.31
LP 321-300	-0.1294	-0.0718	-5.0	-9.	-16.	-6.	12.18
LHS 2613	-0.5495	0.0404	-27.0	-21.	-19.	-28.	12.15
EXO 1259+1238	-0.1876	-0.0875	-1.6	-14.	-21.	-3.	10.68
LHS 2672	-0.5488	-0.1847	6.4	-30.	-37.	12.	11.72
LHS 2686	-0.5974	-0.5689	-15.8	-9.	-56.	1.	13.79
G 014-046	0.1353	-0.2655	105.5	63.	-47.	77.	9.88
LP 271-35	0.0178	-0.2032	-19.6	14.	-21.	-18.	12.77
LHS 2935	-0.5016	0.0210	0.9	-29.	-27.	18.	14.39
LHS 3009	-0.6374	0.3150	-60.6	-56.	-68.	-30.	12.00
LHS 3031	0.3881	-0.3841	-22.0	64.	-4.	-33.	13.07
LHS 3044	-0.3994	0.3738	1.0	-64.	-18.	6.	11.94
GJ 2120	-0.1934	-0.3095	79.6	75.	-24.	32.	9.64
G240-044	-0.1297	0.1923	-52.3	-23.	-51.	-21.	11.06
G240-045	-0.1297	0.1923	-54.8	-25.	-54.	-21.	12.56
LHS 3318	0.5747	0.2816	-7.3	-18.	11.	-41.	13.43
G 205-019	0.0262	-0.3741	-57.8	17.	-60.	-37.	9.70
LHS 3420	0.2156	0.4666	-38.4	-65.	-19.	-17.	13.16
LHS 3429	0.0170	-0.6088	-57.6	48.	-61.	-43.	12.03
BD+28 3698	-0.0020	-0.0900	40.4	22.	34.	-8.	7.42
LHS 3547	0.2369	0.6171	-18.4	-46.	-36.	2.	14.12
LP 74-35	0.1758	0.1529	-18.8	-22.	-23.	-9.	10.12
LTT 16250	0.0892	-0.2325	44.4	24.	27.	-39.	10.01
LHS 3705	-0.1347	-0.6390	-40.9	75.	-46.	-52.	9.74
G 241-021	0.2670	0.3758	-20.6	-32.	-37.	20.	11.89
LHS 3879	-0.5405	-0.3483	-75.8	69.	-63.	20.	11.45
LHS 3898	0.7667	-0.0982	-0.3	-74.	-40.	-35.	10.38

Table 4. Faint LHS Stars

Star	m_r	TiO5	CaH1	CaH2	CaH3	H α	Sp. Type	V_{rad}
LHS 1024	18.0	0.698	0.533	0.377	0.592	...	sdM4.0	-60
LHS 1035	17.7	0.221	0.572	0.246	0.506	...	sdM6.0	-63
LHS 1055	17.2	0.311	0.935	0.343	0.652	...	M4.5 V	19
LHS 1109	17.7	0.320	0.763	0.326	0.603	...	M5.0 V	-1
LHS 1135	18.7	0.194	0.618	0.214	0.471	...	sdM6.5	-27
LHS 1146	18.2	0.191	0.853	0.247	0.591	5.7	M6.0 V	58
LHS 1157	19.0	0.187	0.806	0.272	0.625	...	M5.5 V	-120
LHS 1294 ^a	18.0	0.655	0.966	0.567	0.834	...	M1.5 V	24
LHS 1506	18.0	0.253	0.790	0.274	0.580	...	M5.5 V	...
LHS 1531	17.6	0.182	0.699	0.206	0.486	...	M6.0 V	...
LHS 1657 ^a	19.0	0.559	0.776	0.473	0.740	2.1	M2.5 V	35
LHS 1669	17.7	0.464	0.716	0.356	0.630	...	sdM4.5	...
LHS 1826	18.4	1.079	0.333	0.260	0.363	...	esdM6.0	177
LHS 1937	18.1	0.268	0.862	0.255	0.583	3.7	M7.0 V	...
LHS 1981	17.8	0.707	0.617	0.420	0.637	...	sdM3.5	78
LHS 1986 ^a	17.7	0.989	1.040	0.952	1.033	...	K	...
LHS 2064	17.6	0.224	0.724	0.259	0.547	...	M6.0 V	36
LHS 2089	18.0	0.898	0.724	0.560	0.713	...	esdM1.5	-2
LHS 2099	16.0	0.956	0.698	0.517	0.711	...	esdM2.0	...
LHS 2100	19.0	0.894	0.682	0.499	0.681	...	esdM2.5	...
LHS 2139	18.5	0.894	1.136	0.836	0.936	...	DC?	...
LHS 2140	14.8	0.893	0.770	0.663	0.820	...	sdM0.5	-27
LHS 2167	18.5	0.272	0.814	0.285	0.586	...	M5.5 V	...
LHS 2174	17.9	0.638	0.683	0.420	0.630	...	sdM3.5	-101
LHS 2187	18.6	0.689	0.574	0.411	0.605	...	sdM3.5	172
LHS 2195	19.2	0.309	0.920	0.336	0.620	2.7	M8.0 V	50
LHS 2236	18.0	0.311	0.712	0.275	0.542	...	sdM5.5	...
LHS 2300	17.9	0.663	0.647	0.363	0.573	...	sdM4.5	...
LHS 2347	17.7	0.302	0.849	0.293	0.625	...	M5.5 V	...
LHS 2419	17.8	0.314	0.701	0.284	0.573	...	sdM5.5	...
LHS 3681	17.7	0.891	0.596	0.452	0.672	...	esdM3.0	42
LHS 3762	17.9	0.251	0.852	0.299	0.656	...	M5.0 V	-46
LHS 3868	17.7	0.970	0.835	0.676	0.833	...	esdM0.5	-49
LHS 3958	18.0	0.579	0.585	0.356	0.570	...	sdM4.5	-231
LHS 5071	17.7	0.453	0.842	0.337	0.626	...	sdM4.5	56
LHS 5081	11.7	0.657	0.845	0.592	0.808	...	M1.5 V	37

Table 4—Continued

Star	m_r	TiO5	CaH1	CaH2	CaH3	H α	Sp. Type	V_{rad}
LHS 5356	17.6	0.264	0.794	0.296	0.603	...	M5.5 V	-18
LHS 5359	14.7	0.586	0.778	0.520	0.766	...	M2.0 V	-131
LHS 5360	18.0	0.369	0.693	0.352	0.623	...	M4.5 V	-126
LHS 5387	17.6	0.418	0.733	0.380	0.651	...	M4.0 V	-210

^aMay be misidentified

REFERENCES

- Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P.H. 1995, *ApJ*, 445, 433
- Bessell, M.S., & Scholz, M. 1990, in *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 356, *Accuracy of Elemental Abundances from Stellar Atmospheres*, ed. R Wehrse (New York: Springer), 85
- Couteau, P. 1985, *A&AS*, 60, 237
- Fleming, T.A., Liebert, J., Gioia, I.M., & Maccacaro, T. 1988, *ApJ*, 331, 958
- Gizis, J.E. 1997, *AJ*, 113, 806
- Gizis, J.E., & Reid, I.N. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 365
- Gliese, W., & Jahreiß, H. 1991, *Preliminary Version of the Third Catalog of Nearby Stars (pCNS3)*
- Hawley, S.L., Gizis, J.E., & Reid, I.N. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 2799
- Heintz, W.D. 1993, *AJ*, 105, 1188
- Kirkpatrick, K.H., Henry, T.J., & McCarthy, D.W. 1991, *ApJS*, 77,417
- Luyten, W.J. 1979, *Catalogue of Stars With Proper Motions Exceeding 0."5 Annually (LHS)*, (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota)
- Luyten, W. J. 1980, *New Luyten Catalogue of Stars with Proper Motions Larger than Two Tenths of an Arcsecond (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota)*
- Marcy, G.W., & Benitz, K.J. 1989, *ApJ*, 344, 441
- McCook, G.P., & Sion, E.M. 1987, *ApJS*, 65, 603
- Monet, D.G., Dahn, C.C., Vrba, F.J., Harris, H.C., Pier, J.R., Luginbuhl, C.B., & Ables, H.D. 1992, *AJ*, 103, 638
- Reid, I.N., Hawley, S.L., & Gizis, J.E. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 1838
- Stephenson, C.B. 1986, *AJ*, 92, 139
- Weis, E.W. 1991, *AJ*, 102, 1795




Fig. 1.— Spectrum of LHS 1826. The CaH indices give a spectral type of esdM6.0 on the G97 system and the lack of TiO implies this star is more metal poor than most esdM.