

# The Milky Way is just an average spiral

Simon P. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, John Gribbin<sup>1</sup>, Martin A. Hendry<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Astronomy Centre, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QH*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ*

27 August 2018

## ABSTRACT

It has been thought for many years that the Milky Way is an overly large spiral galaxy. Using Cepheid distances to 17 spiral galaxies we calculate the true linear diameters of those galaxies. These diameters are then compared to that of the Milky Way which is found to be, at most, an averagely sized spiral galaxy. When compared to galaxies of approximately the same Hubble type ( $2 < T < 6$ ) the Milky Way is found to be slightly undersized. This suggests that the Hubble parameter is at the lower end of the currently accepted range of possibilities.

**Key words:**

## 1 INTRODUCTION

It is part of astronomical lore that we inhabit an overly large spiral galaxy. This belief has little grounding but remains as a hang-over from early large estimates of the value of the Hubble parameter ( $H_0 > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ) which implied that the Milky Way was perhaps the largest spiral galaxy in the observable Universe (Hubble 1936). There is still considerable uncertainty about the value of  $H_0$ , and values as high as  $80 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  and as low as  $50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  have recently appeared in the literature (Freedman 1994; Sandage 1996). When the linear diameters of distant galaxies are measured from their angular diameters and distances obtained solely from their redshifts, high values of  $H_0$  make galaxies closer and therefore smaller. Such a situation goes against the cosmological prejudice that applies the principle of terrestrial mediocrity: that there is nothing special about where or when we live and observe from (Vilenkin 1995). We seem to live on an ordinary planet orbiting an ordinary star, and it is natural to infer that the Solar System resides in an ordinary galaxy.

The diameter of a galaxy is problematic to define and here we take it to be the face-on diameter of the 25 B-mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> isophote, allowing direct comparison between external galaxies and the Milky Way. We present calculations of the true 25 B-mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> isophotal diameters of 15 spiral galaxies with independent distance estimates derived from Cepheid variable observations, mostly carried out in the past few years with the Hubble Space Telescope, and compare these with the inferred diameter of the Milky Way at this same surface brightness.

## 2 THE DIAMETERS OF OTHER GALAXIES

Until recently, it has not been possible to compare the size of the Milky Way with the sizes of a statistically meaningful sample of other nearby spirals because very few independent distance estimates had been obtained to such galaxies. This situation has now changed dramatically with the advent of the Hubble Space Telescope. The external galaxies in our sample were chosen because they have distances that have been determined via the application of the Cepheid period-luminosity relation, which has long been recognised as the most reliable primary extragalactic distance indicator. The availability of an accurate independent distance estimate removes any requirement to assume a Hubble parameter or correct for any peculiar motion. 17 calibrating galaxies were chosen, mainly from the targets of the HST ‘Key Project’ survey (Kennicutt, Freedman & Mould 1995) whose distances have recently been collated in the literature (Giovanelli 1996; Freedman 1996).

All of these galaxies are included in the RC3 bright galaxy catalogue from which their Hubble type ( $T$ ) and isophotal diameters ( $D_{25(\text{ang})}$ ) were taken (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). These isophotal diameters have been corrected for Galactic extinction but not for inclination as the RC3 catalogue assumes that the discs are optically thick and hence the major axis diameter is used directly.

Table 1 presents the angular diameters, distances and inferred linear diameters of 17 spiral galaxies.

## 3 THE SIZE OF THE MILKY WAY

The 25 B-mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> isophotal diameter of the Milky Way has been calculated by assuming that the Galactic disc is

NGC	M	T	$D_{25(\text{ang})}$ arcmin	$d$ Mpc	$D_{25(\text{true})}$ kpc
224	31	3	204	0.77	45.7
300		7	22.4	2.15	14.0
598	33	6	74.1	0.85	18.4
925		7	11.0	9.38	30.0
1365		3	11.2	18.2	59.3
2366		10	8.32	3.44	8.32
2403		6	22.9	3.18	21.2
3031	81	2	27.5	3.63	29.0
3109		9	20.0	1.23	7.16
3351		3	7.59	10.1	22.3
3368	96	2	7.59	11.6	25.6
3621		7	13.5	6.80	26.7
4321	100	4	7.59	16.1	36.2
4496		9	3.98	16.8	19.5
4536		4	7.59	16.7	36.8
4639		4	2.82	25.1	20.6
5457	101	6	28.8	7.38	61.8

**Table 1.** The Hubble type  $T$ , face-on angular 25 B-mag arcsec $^{-2}$  isophotal diameters  $D_{25(\text{ang})}$ , Cepheid distances  $d$  and actual 25 B-mag arcsec $^{-2}$  isophotal diameters  $D_{25(\text{true})}$  for 17 spiral galaxies.

well represented by an exponential disc (Freeman 1970) and using the surface brightness profile equation from de Jong (1996)

$$r = \frac{h(\mu(r) - \mu_0)}{1.086} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the central surface brightness of a galaxy and  $h$  is the disc scale length. For the Milky Way  $\mu_0 = 22.1 \pm 0.3$  B-mag arcsec $^{-2}$  and  $h = 5.0 \pm 0.5$  kpc (van der Kruit 1987, 1990). This leads to a derived 25 B-mag arcsec $^{-2}$  isophotal diameter for the Milky Way of

$$D_{25(\text{true})} = 26.8 \pm 1.1 \text{ kpc}$$

In order to test the validity of the above formula we applied it to the two galaxies in the calibrating sample for which values of  $h$  and  $\mu_0$  were available to us: M31 (van der Kruit 1990) and M100 (van der Kruit 1987). The  $D_{25}$  diameter for M31 was found to be 43.2 kpc (5.5% below the RC3 value) and that for M100 was found to be 36.3 kpc (0.3% above the RC3 value). This gives us confidence that the assumption of an exponential disc is justified and reasonable.

There is some uncertainty as to the Hubble type of the Milky Way. Evidence appears to favour a classification of the Milky Way as an Sbc galaxy ( $T = 4$ ), however morphologies between Sab and Scd ( $T = 2$  to 6) cannot be ruled out (van der Kruit 1987, 1990). There has also been recent evidence indicating the presence of a triaxial bar-like structure in the central region of the Milky Way, with a major axis scale length of the order of 1 kpc (Stanek et al. 1994). It seems unlikely that the existence of such a bar would significantly bias the determination of the disc scale length for the Milky Way quoted above, although we intend in future work to carry out a more detailed comparison of disc sizes in barred and unbarred galaxies.

## 4 CONCLUSION

Figure 1 shows a histogram of the distribution of spiral galaxy sizes for all of the 18 galaxies in our sample, with the positions of the Milky Way and M31 indicated. The Milky Way lies almost exactly on the mean of the galaxy sizes (actually, just below the average as  $\langle D_{25\text{true}} \rangle = 28.3$  kpc).

It is even more interesting to compare the Milky Way with galaxies of a similar Hubble type. Figure 2 shows the histogram obtained for the 12 galaxies of Hubble types 2 through 6. In this case the Milky Way lies further below the average linear diameter of 33.6 kpc; one should not read too much into this, however, since the Milky Way still lies well within one standard deviation of the sample mean. A more quantitative statistical analysis would clearly require a larger calibrating sample and also a more realistic model for the distribution of linear diameters (Sodre & Lahav 1993).

There seems no doubt, however, that the Milky Way is *not* one of the largest spiral galaxies. NGC 1365 and NGC 5457 (M101), in particular, are the local giants, more than twice as large as the Milky Way. This confirms Eddington's (1933) prescient comment, made more than 60 years ago that the 'relation of the Milky Way to the other galaxies is a subject upon which more light will be thrown by further observational research, and that ultimately we shall find that there are many galaxies of a size equal to and surpassing our own.'

The implications of this conclusion for estimates of the Hubble Parameter are clear - specifically, if the Milky Way is an average spiral this may favour estimates of  $H_0$  at the lower end of the range of accepted values. We are carrying out a detailed analysis of the implications for  $H_0$  which will be published shortly.

## Acknowledgements


The authors acknowledge the use of the Starlink computers at the Universities of Sussex and Glasgow.

## REFERENCES

- Eddington A. S. 1933, 'The Expanding Universe' (Cambridge University Press)
- Freedman W. L. et al. 1994, *Nature*, 371, 757
- Freedman W. L. 1996, to appear in *Critical Dialogues in Cosmology*, ed. N. Turok, LANL preprint no. astro-ph/9612024
- Freeman K. C. 1970, *ApJ*, 160, 811
- Giovanelli R. 1996, to appear in *The Extragalactic Distance Scale*, eds. M. Livio, M. Donahue & N. Panagia (Cambridge), LANL preprint no. astro-ph/9610116
- Hubble E. 1936, 'The Realm of the Nebulae' (Yale University Press)
- de Jong R. S. 1996, *A&A Suppl.*, 118, 557
- Kennicutt R. C., Freedman W. L., Mould J.R. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 1476
- Sandage A. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 18
- Sodre L. Jr., Lahav O. 1993, *MNRAS*, 260, 285
- Stanek K.Z. et al. 1994, *ApJ*, 429, L73
- van der Kruit P. C. 1987, in *The Galaxy*, eds. G. Gilmore & B. Carswell (NATO), p27
- van der Kruit P. C. 1990, in *The Galactic and Extragalactic*


- Background Radiation, eds. S. Bowyer & C. Leinert (IAU), p  
85
- de Vaucoulers G., de Vaucouleurs A., Corwin H. G., Buta R. J.,  
Paturel G. & Fouqué P. 1991, Third Reference Catalogue of  
Bright Galaxies (RC3)
- Vilenkin A. 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett., 74, 846

Fig 1



**Figure 1.** A histogram of the true diameters of all 18 spiral galaxies in the sample. The diameters of the Milky Way (MW) and M31 have been marked.

Fig 2



**Figure 2.** A histogram of the true diameters 12 galaxies in the sample with Hubble types 2 to 6 (the range of possible Milky Way values), again the diameters of the Milky Way (MW) and M31 have been marked.