

# GAMMA RADIATION BY NEUTRALINO STARS <sup>1</sup>

A.V.Gurevich and K.P.Zybin

*P.N.Lebedev Institute of Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*

## Abstract

A new model of gamma radiation (GR) generated by neutralino annihilation in neutralino stars (NeS) is proposed. Diffuse Galactic and extragalactic GR is calculated for this model and is shown to be in a reasonable agreement with observations.

The point source component (P) is picked out among nonidentified discrete gamma sources from EGRET catalog. This component is shown to be distributed isotropically and homogeneously, as it should be for NeS. Gamma radiation from significant part of P-sources is supposed to be the direct radiation from individual NeS. This supposition is shown to be in agreement with observations. The comparison of the theory with observed GR gives a possibility to establish some important features of CDM particle.

## 1 Introduction

Microlensing observations established, that the large part of dark matter in Galaxy halo consist of unseen objects with masses  $(0.05 - 0.8)M_{\odot}$  [1,2]. These objects are naturally considered to be White Dwarfs, Brown Dwarfs, Jupiters or some other stars or planets. To the contrary in [3,4] was supposed the existence of quite a new type of massive structures – gravitationally compressed objects from nonbarionic cold dark matter (CDM). These objects are the results of a small scale hierarchical structuring developing in CDM [5,6]. They are noncompact, having some spectrum of characteristic dimensions and masses.

After recombination a barionic component falls down into the potential well formed by nonbarionic matter. In this way the compact barionic core is created. The nonbarionic component forms a spread spherical halo, where the dominant mass of the object is concentrated. The main hypothesis of [3]-[6] is that these noncompact nonbarionic objects determine significant part of microlensing events observed in [2].

The particles of which CDM is composed are not known now, though there exist some hypothetical candidates: neutralino, heavy neutrino, axions, strings. Neutralino and heavy neutrino like the Majorana particles can annihilate in mutual collisions. Noncompact objects which consist of such particles are partially disappearing because of annihilation processes. These specific objects we call "neutralino stars" (NeS) [3] and for nonbarionic particles from which they consist of we will use as a general name "neutralino" [4].

Annihilation of neutralino leads to effective radiation of gamma photons, heating of barionic core etc. It should be emphasized, that the energy released in all this processes was input into CDM during the period of its freezing out. The possibility to detect CDM particles through their annihilation was discussed in a number of papers (see [7,8]). In these papers always was supposed a smooth distribution of CDM in the Galaxy. The existence of NeS

---

<sup>1</sup>Published in Phys.Lett.A **225**, 217 (1997)

lead to a new situation, connected with compression of CDM in NeS, which results in strong amplification of neutralino annihilation processes. It opens from one side the possibility of existence of quite observable fluxes of gamma radiation by NeS and from the other side gives significant constraints on the possible type of neutralino particles.

Calculations of the gamma radiation produced by NeS and comparison of theoretical results with observational data is the goal of the present paper. In section 2 the structure of small-scale noncompact objects (NeS) is considered. In section 3 the flux of gamma radiation produced by NeS is discussed. Diffusive Galactic and extragalactic gamma fluxes are calculated. Discrete gamma sources are discussed as well. In section 4 predictions of the theory are compared with observations. The results of observations of diffusive fluxes and recently discovered new discrete gamma sources are shown to be in a reasonable agreement with the theory. It allows us to propose special experiments, using measurements of gamma radiation from discrete sources which could result in discovery of neutralino stars and may indicate the type of CDM particle.

## 2 Structure of small scale dark matter objects

Gamma emission depends essentially on the distribution of neutralino density  $n_x(r)$  in NeS. The last one is determined by nonlinear process of Jeans gravitational collapse in nondissipative cold dark matter. This process was the subject of multiple studies [9]. The dynamical solution, using analytical approach [10], showed, that the growth of Jeans instability at large scales results in the creation of a stationary, spherically symmetrical objects. For these objects the theory established a fundamental scaling law for the density distribution [11]:

$$\rho \propto r^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \approx 1.8 \quad (1)$$

This law fits well the galaxies rotational curves [12]. Basing on the same dynamical solution (1) a well-known scaling law was obtained for the distance dependence of correlation functions for galaxies and other large scale objects [13].

Scaling law (1) is the result of two main factors: long-time linear growth of initial density fluctuations and fast nonlinear gravitational collapse of nondissipative dark matter. The initial density fluctuations for a large scale structures are always small  $\delta_i = \delta\rho/\rho \ll 1$  and because of this the unstable mode is growing up for the long enough time, before it reaches the nonlinear stage. The stable modes during the same time are effectively damping and because of this up to the moment, when nonlinear process begins they remain very small. But during nonlinear collapse stage one of the dumping modes begin to grow and this growing goes very fast, especially in the vicinity of the centrum ( $r \rightarrow 0$ ) of the density distribution (1) [10]. Under the influence of this mode the singular law (1) cuts off on the scales:

$$r_c \approx \delta_i^3 R_x \quad (2)$$

where  $R_x$  is the characteristic size of the object. As the initial value  $\delta_i$  for the large scale structures is always small enough  $\delta_i \leq 10^{-3}$ , the scaling law (1) can be fulfilled up to very small values  $r_c \leq 10^{-9} R_x$ . In reality, the distribution (1) changes much earlier, being affected by the dissipative barionic matter.

For the small scale structures situation is essentially different. First the noncompact objects of the type observed by microlensing  $M_x \sim (0.01 - 1)M_\odot$ ,  $R_x \sim 10^{14} - 10^{15}\text{cm}$  could develop only if the initial density fluctuations are not small ( $\delta_i \sim 0.3 - 0.5$ ) [4,5,14]. From (2) it follows that the nonbarionic core radius  $r_c$  in this case is:

$$r_c \sim 0.1R_x. \quad (3)$$

Second, the considered objects are generated as a result of a long developed hierarchical structure [5,6]. The specific feature of this structure is that the most part of smaller scales objects, trapped in the larger one, disappear due to the tidal interactions [14]. This process lead to effective homogenization of density distribution inside the core  $r \leq r_c$  (3). The third factor is the effect of barionic body on neutralino distribution in its surrounding. Taking these factors into account, one can approximately present the density distribution for a small scale objects in a form:

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \rho_0 & 0 < r < r_c \\ \rho_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{-\alpha} & r_c < r < R_x \\ 0 & r > R_x \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

We neglect here the changes of the density in the vicinity of barionic body, as it significantly affects only a small part ( $\leq 10^{-6}$ ) of neutralino.

Density  $\rho_0$  is connected with the full mass of the object  $M_x$  by the relation:

$$M_x \approx \frac{4\pi}{3-\alpha} \rho_0 R_x^3 \left[ \left(\frac{r_c}{R_x}\right)^\alpha - \frac{\alpha}{3} \left(\frac{r_c}{R_x}\right)^3 \right] \quad (5)$$

From (5) it follows, that

$$\rho_0 \approx \frac{3-\alpha}{4\pi} M_x R_x^{\alpha-3} r_c^\alpha \quad (6)$$

and approximate density distribution is

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{3-\alpha}{4\pi} \frac{M_x}{R_x^3} \left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{-\alpha} & r_c < r < R_x \\ 0 & r > R_x, \quad r < r_c \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

This model will be used below in the calculations of gamma radiation.

### 3 Gamma radiation by neutralino stars

#### Extragalactic diffuse gamma radiation.

Two main sources determine the diffuse gamma ray flux  $I_\gamma$ . First is an extragalactic radiation, which comes in integrated form from all other galaxies of the Universe  $I_{1\gamma}$ . The second one is the gamma flux generated in our Galaxy  $I_{2\gamma}$ :

$$I_\gamma = I_{1\gamma} + I_{2\gamma}. \quad (8)$$

We will determine below both these sources supposing that  $\gamma$ -rays are generated by NeS.

Extragalactic radiation is determined directly by the full energy losses in the Universe due to annihilation of neutralino in NeS:

$$I_{1\gamma} = \frac{c}{4\pi\sqrt{3}} t_0 \dot{\epsilon}_h \alpha_\gamma \quad (cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}) \quad (9)$$

Here  $I_{1\gamma}$  is a number of  $\gamma$ -photons per 1  $cm^2$  per second, per steradian,  $c/\sqrt{3}$ - angle averaged velocity of photons,  $\dot{\epsilon}_h$  - average energy losses per second in 1  $cm^3$  released in annihilation,  $\alpha_\gamma$  - transformation coefficient of dissipated energy into the number of gamma quantum,  $t_0$  is the lifetime of the Universe up to redshift  $z \approx 1$ .

Energy losses

$$\dot{\epsilon}_h = c^2 \rho_c \Omega f \tau^{-1} \quad (10)$$

Here  $\rho_c$  is the critical density of the Universe determined by the Hubble constant

$$\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} \quad (11)$$

$\Omega$  is the dynamic mass parameter,  $f$  - the parameter characterizing the fraction of dark matter in the Universe trapped in NeS,  $\tau$  is the lifetime of neutralino in NeS:

$$\tau = \frac{N_x}{|\dot{N}_x|} \quad (12)$$

Here  $N_x$  - full number of neutralino in NeS

$$N_x = \frac{M_x}{m_x}$$

$M_x$  is mass of NeS,  $m_x$  - mass of neutralino and  $\dot{N}_x$  - the neutralino annihilation rate:

$$\dot{N}_x = -4\pi \langle \sigma v \rangle \int n_x(r)^2 r^2 dr \quad (13)$$

Here  $n_x(r)$  is the number density of neutralino in NeS (7)

$$n_x(r) = \rho/m_x \quad (14)$$

and  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  is averaged multiplication of annihilation crosssection  $\sigma$  and particle velocity  $v$ . From (13),(14),(7) it follows that

$$|\dot{N}_x| = \frac{(3-\alpha)^2}{4\pi(2\alpha-3)} \left(\frac{M_x}{m_x}\right)^2 \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{R_x^3} \left[ \left(\frac{R_x}{r_c}\right)^{2\alpha-3} - 1 \right], \quad (15)$$

and

$$\tau = \frac{4\pi(2\alpha-3)}{(3-\alpha)^2} \frac{m_x}{M_x} \frac{R_x^3}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \left[ \left(\frac{R_x}{r_c}\right)^{2\alpha-3} - 1 \right]^{-1} \quad (16)$$

So, the flux of extragalactic gamma radiation  $I_{1\gamma}$  is:

$$I_{1\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{32\pi^2} \frac{\alpha_\gamma f \Omega c^3 H^2 t_0}{G\tau} \quad (17)$$

**Galactic diffuse gamma radiation.**

Gamma radiation generated by NeS in our Galaxy depend on direction:

$$I_{2\gamma}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \dot{N}_\gamma \int_0^{R_h} n(\mathbf{r}) dr \quad (18)$$

where  $\dot{N}_\gamma$  is the number of gamma photons produced per 1 sec. in one NeS and  $n(\mathbf{r})$  – the number density of NeS in our Galaxy. According to [10]

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3 - \alpha}{4\pi} \frac{N_s}{R_h^3} \times \left( \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s|}{R_h} \right)^{-\alpha} \quad \alpha \approx 1.8 \quad (19)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_s$  is the coordinate of the Sun,  $N_s$  is the full number of NeS,

$$N_s = f_h \frac{M_{dh}}{M_x} \quad (20)$$

and  $M_{dh}$  is a full mass of dark matter in the halo,  $R_h$  – the size of halo,  $f_h$  - parameter characterizing the fraction of the halo dark matter trapped in NeS.

Choosing the axis of coordinate system along the direction of vector  $\mathbf{r}_s$  one can see from (18),(19), that the flux  $I_{2\gamma}$  does not depend on angle  $\phi$ . The dependence on angle  $\theta = \arccos(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}_s/r r_s)$  is described by the integral

$$J = \int_0^{R_h} R_h^\alpha (r^2 + r_s^2 - 2rr_s \cos\theta)^{-\alpha} dr \approx \frac{R_h^\alpha}{r_s^{\alpha-1}} F(\theta) \quad (21)$$

$$F(\theta) = \int_0^\infty (x^2 - 2x \cos\theta + 1)^{-\alpha} dx \quad (22)$$

In the last integral we took into account, that  $R_h/r_s \gg 1$ . A simple approximate expression for integral (22) could be obtained if we take  $\alpha = 2$

$$F(\theta) = \frac{\pi - \theta}{\sin\theta} \quad (23)$$

One can show that approximation (23) coincide with (22) within a few percent accuracy.

Taking into account that

$$\dot{N}_\gamma = \alpha_\gamma m_x c^2 |\dot{N}_x| \quad (24)$$

we obtain from (13)-(22):

$$I_{2\gamma} = I_{2\gamma}^0 F(\theta) \quad (25)$$

where  $I_{2\gamma}^0$  is the flux  $I_{2\gamma}$  in anticenter direction  $\theta = \pi$

$$I_{2\gamma}^0 = \frac{3 - \alpha}{16\pi^2} \alpha_\gamma f_h \frac{M_{dh} c^2}{R_h^2 \tau} \left( \frac{R_h}{r_s} \right)^{\alpha-1}. \quad (26)$$

It is of considerable interest the relationship  $p_\gamma$  between Galactic  $I_{2\gamma}$  and extragalactic  $I_{1\gamma}$  diffusion fluxes. From (17),(26) it follows:

$$p_\gamma = \frac{I_{2\gamma}^0}{I_{1\gamma}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}(3-\alpha)}{4\pi} \left(\frac{f_h}{f}\right) \frac{M_{dh}}{\Omega\rho_c R_h^2 c t_0} \left(\frac{R_h}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \quad (27)$$

### Discrete sources of gamma radiation.

NeS could be considered as discrete source of gamma radiation also. Let us determine the intensity of these sources. Formulae (24) gives the full number of gamma photons produced per 1 sec. in one NeS. It follows from (24) that the intensity of gamma radiation from one NeS at the distance  $r_x$  from the source is

$$I_\gamma = \frac{1}{4\pi} \alpha_\gamma m_x c^2 |\dot{N}_x| r_x^{-2} \quad (28)$$

As the NeS density in the vicinity of the Sun according to (4) is

$$n_s = \frac{3-\alpha}{4\pi} \frac{N_s}{R_h^3} \left(\frac{R_h}{r_s}\right)^\alpha \quad (29)$$

it follows that with the probability of the order of unity one can see several NeS as a source of high energy gamma quants with intensities

$$I_{\gamma c} = \frac{(1-\alpha/3)^{2/3}}{4\pi} \alpha_\gamma f_h^{2/3} c^2 \frac{M_{dh}^{2/3} M_x^{1/3}}{\tau R_h^2} \left(\frac{R_h}{r_s}\right)^{2\alpha/3} \quad (30)$$

So  $I_{\gamma c}$  gives the characteristic minimal sensitivities for the devices capable to observe discrete NeS by its gamma radiation.

### Galaxies as a spread sources of gamma radiation.

The intensity of gamma radiation generated by NeS in the galaxy M31 (Andromedae) should be described by equations (18)-(19). The only difference that  $r_s$  should be changed for  $r_{sa}$ - the coordinate of Sun in the reference system connected with the centrum of Andromedae. In integration  $dr$  it is necessary to bear in mind that the density of NeS in Andromedae is:

$$n_a(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3-\alpha}{4\pi} \frac{N_s}{R_{ha}^3} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{sa}|}{R_{ha}}\right)^{-\alpha} \Theta\left(1 - \frac{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{sa}|}{R_{ha}}\right) \quad (31)$$

where  $\Theta$  is a theta function which changes the limits in the integral (18). Taking into account that dimension of Andromedae halo  $R_{ha}$  is less than  $r_{sa}$  we obtain from (18),(31):

$$I_\gamma^A = \frac{2(3-\alpha)^3}{(4\pi)^3(2\alpha-3)} \frac{\alpha_\gamma f c^2 M_{dh}^A M_x r_{sa}^{1-\alpha}}{m_x R_{ha}^{3-\alpha}} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{R_x^3} \left[ \left(\frac{R_x}{r_c}\right)^{2\alpha-3} - 1 \right] K(\theta) \quad (32)$$

$$K(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{|\sin \theta|^{\alpha-1}} \text{arctg} \sqrt{\frac{R_{ha}^2}{r_{sa}^2 \sin^2 \theta} - 1}$$

Here  $\theta$  is the angle between any given direction and direction from the Sun to the centrum of M31. We see that the gamma radiation from M31 is spread over the range of the angles  $\theta \approx R_{ha}/r_{sa} \sim 20^\circ$ . To obtain the full intensity of gamma radiation of Andromedae  $I^A$  we have to integrate over  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  angles. Taking into account that  $(R_h/r_{sa})^2 \sim 0.3 < 1$ , we obtain

$$I^A \approx \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \alpha_\gamma f \frac{M_{dh}^A M_x c^2}{m_x r_{sa}^2} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{R_x^3} \left[ \left( \frac{R_x}{r_c} \right)^{2\alpha-3} - 1 \right] \quad (33)$$

In the case of LMC it is naturally to suppose that LMC has lost most part of its dark matter halo due to the interaction with our Galaxy. Because of this reason the full mass of dark matter in LMC could be of the same order or even less than the barionic mass, so

$$M_d^{LMC} \leq M_b^{LMC}$$

In such conditions distribution (19),(31) does not relates to the dark matter of LMC. So the LMC should be seen as a spread source of gamma radiation with the full intensity (33), only  $r_{sa}$ ,  $M_{dh}^A$ ,  $R_{ha}$  should be changed to the analogous characteristics of the LMC.

Gamma radiation from other nearest galaxies is described by the same equations as for Andromedae (32),(33). The distant galaxies should be seen as point-like and their radiation is given by formulae (33).

## 4 Comparison with observations.

### Diffuse gamma radiation.

To compare with observational data one has to determine the main parameters. We will take  $H \approx 70 km/s Mpc$  and for NeS we will use:

$$M_x = 0.5 M_\odot, \quad R_x \approx 4 \times 10^{14} cm \quad m_x = 10 Gev \quad (34)$$

Neutralino annihilation process could be described by simple expression [4]:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = \langle \sigma v \rangle_0 \times \frac{r_g}{R_x} \times \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right)^2 \quad \langle \sigma v \rangle_0 \approx (10^{-26} - 10^{-27}) cm^3 s^{-1} \quad (35)$$

where  $r_g = 2GM_x c^{-2}$  is the gravitational radius of NeS. Then the neutralino lifetime  $\tau$  (16) is:

$$\tau = 5.4 \times 10^{24} \left( \frac{10 Gev}{m_x} \right) \left( \frac{R_x}{4 \times 10^{14} cm} \right)^4 \left( \frac{10^{33} g}{M_x} \right)^2 \left( \frac{10^{-27} cm^3 s^{-1}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle_0} \right) s \quad (36)$$

The total number of photons generated by NeS (24) is

$$\dot{N}_\gamma = 1.03 \times 10^{32} \alpha_\gamma \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^3 \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right) \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{14} cm}{R_x} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_0}{10^{-27}} \right) \frac{photon}{s} \quad (37)$$

Here  $\alpha_\gamma$  describes the number of photons with energy  $E_\gamma > 100 Mev$  on 1 Gev of energy dissipated in neutralino annihilation. The diffuse extragalactic gamma radiation is:

$$I_{1\gamma} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \alpha_\gamma \Omega \left( \frac{f}{0.5} \right) \left( \frac{t_0}{2 \times 10^{17} s} \right) \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right) \quad (38)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{\rho_c}{10^{-29} g cm^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{14} cm}{R_x} \right)^4 \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_0}{10^{-27}} \right) \frac{photon}{cm^2 s str}$$

Diffuse Galactic gamma radiation depends on the full mass of the dark matter of galaxy  $M_{dh}$  and the size of the halo  $R_h$  (26). We will take as usual [10]:

$$M_{dh} = 2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot, \quad R_h = 200 kpc, \quad r_s = 8.5 kpc \quad (39)$$

Then the relation of components (27) is

$$p_\gamma = \frac{I_{2\gamma}^0}{I_{1\gamma}} = \frac{0.36}{\Omega} \left( \frac{f_h}{f} \right) \left( \frac{M_{dh}}{2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{200 kpc}{R_h} \right)^{2-\alpha} \left( \frac{10^{-29}}{\rho_c} \right) \left( \frac{2 \times 10^{17} s}{t_0} \right) \quad (40)$$

The minimal Galactic diffusive flux is

$$I_{2\gamma}^0 = 6.1 \times 10^{-5} \alpha_\gamma \left( \frac{f_h}{0.5} \right) \left( \frac{M_{dh}}{2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{200 kpc}{R_h} \right)^{2-\alpha} \quad (41)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right) \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{14} cm}{R_x} \right)^4 \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_0}{10^{-27}} \right) \frac{photon}{cm^2 s str}$$

It is easy to see that gamma background  $I_{b\gamma}$  – the minimal flux, which could be observed near the Sun is

$$I_{b\gamma} = I_{1\gamma} + I_{2\gamma}^0 = I_{1\gamma}(1 + p_\gamma) \quad (42)$$

and the full diffuse flux of gamma radiation:

$$I_\gamma = I_{1\gamma} + I_{2\gamma}^0 F_\theta = I_{1\gamma} (1 + p_\gamma F(\theta)) \quad (43)$$

where function  $F(\theta)$  is given by equations (22),(23).

Let us compare now the theory with the observational data. We will consider fluxes of gamma photons with energies  $E > 100 Mev$ . Gamma background for these energies is [15,16,23]:

$$I_{b\gamma} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \frac{photon}{cm^2 s str} \quad (44)$$

This value could be obtained from (38),(42) if parameter

$$q = \alpha_\gamma \left( \frac{f}{0.5} \right) \Omega \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right) \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{14} cm}{R_x} \right)^4 \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_0}{10^{-27}} \right) \left( \frac{t_0}{2 \times 10^{17} s} \right) \approx 0.1 \quad (45)$$

For the same parameter  $q$  and  $p = 0.25$ . the longitudinal dependence of the full flux  $I_\gamma(\theta)$  (43), is compared at Fig.1 with the observational data. An agreement between the theory and observations is seen.

It should be emphasized, that formulae (35) for  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  follows from the supposition, that the dominant annihilation process of neutralino proceed as p-wave [4]. Some authors (see [8]) considered for neutralino particles s-wave annihilation also. The existence of s-wave lead in our case to annihilation crosssection  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  much higher – up to 5 orders of magnitude.



We see, that such particles give too strong gamma flux and so they could not be considered as the dark matter particles for NeS. This conclusion agrees with the recent statement [17]<sup>2</sup>. It was also clearly formulated previously in [4] from the analysis of NeS lifetime.

So we see, that the comparison of the theory with the observations of diffuse gamma radiation gives significant constrains on the type of neutralino particles in NeS: it should be only particles with strongly dominating  $p$ -wave annihilation process. For example neutralino particles of such type – light photino, were recently considered in [18],[19]. If dark matter consist of this type particles, the existence of NeS is in agreement with observations of diffuse flux. In the following section we will give other impressive consequences of this statement.

### Discrete sources of gamma radiation.

Discrete sources of high energy gamma radiation  $E \geq 100 \text{ Mev}$  are partially identified with active galactic nucleus, pulsars and other active objects in the Galaxy. Nonidentified sources were discovered by COS B [20], mostly effectively they are studied by EGRET installation at COMPTON observatory.

The recently published second EGRET catalog [21] has 71 sources nonidentified with known objects. They are classified according to the peculiarities of observational morphology into three groups:  $em$ – possibly extended or multiple sources,  $C$ – source confusion that may affect flux, significance, position,etc. and definite point- like sources in the EGRET observational  $1^\circ$  diagram ( $P$ ).

As the sources of gamma radiation by NeS we can consider only the last group. This group of 32 sources is listed in the Table 1. Averaged flux of photons  $S$  and its dispersion  $\sigma$  in units  $10^{-8} \text{ photon/cm}^2 \text{ s}$  are obtained from EGRET catalog by direct averaging of presented data. The position of  $P$  sources in the sky in galactic coordinates  $l$  and  $b$  is shown at the Fig.2. Note that we do not show at the figure five last  $P$  sources from the Table since their average intensity  $S \geq 80$  seems to be too high for NeS (see below). As is seen from the figure the distribution of the  $P$  sources in the sky looks quite isotropic. The small anisotropy (for example small excess of the sources in the anticenter region  $l \sim 180^\circ$ ) could be connected with excess of the EGRET exposure time (see Fig.1 in [21]). In the same time some deficit of the  $P$  sources in the central region which is seen at the figure could be the result of a strong growth of the diffuse flux in this region (see Fig.1). Because of this mainly intensive point-like sources could be seen in this direction. And really, among the five omitted strongest sources two belongs to the central region and two – to the Galaxy plane. Note, that in principal among NeS could exist quite strong sources as they could be close enough to the observer. But in our case their definite concentration to the central region and Galaxy plane make them to be suspicious as a NeS sources.

So we see that the distribution of nonidentified  $P$ -sources at the sky is fairly well isotropic.  $\log N - \log S$  diagram for this sources is shown at the Fig.3. It confirms well enough not only isotropy, but a homogeneous distribution of the sources in the sky around the observer also. All the deviations from  $-3/2$  law are well inside the statistical errors. Only first points could be considered as suspicious (they are signed by  $\odot$  at the Fig.2.).

---

<sup>2</sup>Note that in [17] was considered a very specific model of NeS. The authors neglected the existence of barionic core and supposed the density of neutralino in active region to be extremely high:  $n_x \approx 5 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  more than 20 orders of magnitude higher than follows from (3),(7). It leads to the neutralino lifetime  $\tau_x \approx 10^{-4} \text{ s}$  and naturally results in nonrealistic level of the flux of gamma radiation

The isotropy and  $\log N - \log S$  curve is in a full agreement with the supposition that a part of nonidentified P-sources of gamma radiation consist of NeS.  $\log N - \log S$  curve determine the characteristic intensity of the source at the distance

$$r_0 = \left( \frac{3}{4\pi n_s} \right)^{1/3}$$

where  $n_s$  is the density of NeS in the vicinity of the Sun. In our case this intensity according to Table 1 and Fig.2 is

$$I_0 \approx 5 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\text{photon}}{\text{cm}^2 \text{s}} \quad (46)$$

Let us emphasize now that according to (30),(37) the same intensity is given by formulae (30):

$$I_0 = \frac{\dot{N}_\gamma}{4\pi r_0^2} = 10^{-7} \alpha_\gamma \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^{7/3} \left( \frac{m_x}{10 \text{ Gev}} \right) \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}}{R_x} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_0}{10^{-27}} \right) \quad (47)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{M_{dh}}{2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot} \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{200 \text{ kpc}}{R_h} \right)^{0.8} \left( \frac{f}{0.5} \right)^{2/3} \frac{\text{photon}}{\text{sm}^2 \text{s}}$$

Taking the value for  $\alpha_\gamma m_x \langle \sigma v \rangle_0$  from (45) we determine the average source flux value  $I_0$

$$I_0 = 10^{-8} \frac{1}{\Omega} \left( \frac{f}{0.5} \right)^{-1/3} \left( \frac{2 \times 10^{17} \text{ s}}{t_0} \right) \quad (48)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{M_{dh}}{2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot} \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{200 \text{ kpc}}{R_h} \right)^{0.8} \frac{\text{photon}}{\text{sm}^2 \text{s}}$$

Note, that this value is in an agreement with the diffuse flux (42), (43), if we consider this flux gathered from discrete sources. On the other hand one can see a discrepancy ( $\sim 50$ ) comparing (48) with the intensity of directly observed P-sources (46). Several factors could be pointed out which explain or diminish this discrepancy. First, not all P-sources are supposed to be NeS and so it is natural to expect, that the strongest part of the sources (in Fig.3) is not NeS. Second, we did not take into account the existing dispersion of NeS on masses  $M_x$  and dimensions  $R_x$ . Because of this it could be, that a part of the observed sources belongs not to the main bulk but to the mostly intensive fluctuations (what means those, having the highest  $M_x$  and the lowest  $R_x$ ). Third, a small change of parameters: diminishing of  $\Omega$ ,  $R_h$ ,  $t_z$  or growth of  $M_{dh}$ ,  $R_x$  and  $M_x$  can diminish the discrepancy also. So, being significant the discrepancy between (46) and (48) does not seem as a decisive one and we can speak about relative agreement between diffuse and discrete approaches in the description of gamma radiation produced by neutralino annihilation in NeS.

### **Galaxy M31 as a spread source of gamma radiation.**

To determine the intensity of Andromedae gamma radiation we will use the following values of main parameters

$$M_{dh}^A = 3 \times 10^{12} M_\odot, \quad R_{ha} = 250 \text{ kpc} \quad r_{sa} = 600 \text{ kpc}$$

Then as follows from (32) the flux from Andromedae is:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\gamma^A &\approx 1.1 \times 10^{-5} K(\theta) \alpha_\gamma \left( \frac{f}{0.5} \right) \left( \frac{M_{dh}^A}{3 \times 10^{12} M_\odot} \right) \\
&\times \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^2 \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{14} cm}{R_x} \right)^4 \left( \frac{250 kpc}{R_{ha}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right) \left( \frac{< \sigma v >_0}{10^{-27}} \right) \frac{photon}{cm^2 s str} \quad (49) \\
K(\theta) &= \frac{1}{|\sin \theta|^{\alpha-1}} \arctg \sqrt{\left( \frac{0.416}{\sin \theta} \right)^2 - 1}
\end{aligned}$$

It means, that for the EGRET receiving system having the width  $1^\circ$  the flux  $I_\gamma$  from the centrum of Andromedae would be

$$I_\gamma^A = 1.3 \times 10^{-7} \alpha_\gamma < \sigma v >_0 \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right) \frac{photon}{cm^2 s}$$

Using now the relation (45) we obtain, finally the flux in EGRET from the centrum:

$$I_{0\gamma}^A \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-8} \frac{photon}{cm^2 s} \quad (50)$$

That is the flux from the galaxy Andromedae itself (it has approximately  $1^\circ$  dimension). This flux is slightly lower than the usual EGRET sensitivity. Moving out from the galaxy centrum to the halo ( $\theta > 1^\circ$ ) the flux from Andromedae halo, as follows from (32) is diminishing

$$I_\gamma^A(\theta) = I_{0\gamma}^A \times \left( \frac{1^\circ}{\theta^\circ} \right)^{0.8} \quad (51)$$

and goes to zero at  $\theta \approx 20^\circ$ . The integral flux from Andromedae halo is (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\gamma &\approx 2.0 \times 10^{-6} \alpha_\gamma \left( \frac{f}{0.5} \right) \left( \frac{M_{dh}^A}{3 \times 10^{12} M_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{M_x}{10^{33} g} \right)^2 \\
&\times \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{14} cm}{R_x} \right)^4 \left( \frac{m_x}{10 Gev} \right) \left( \frac{< \sigma v >_0}{10^{-27}} \right) \frac{photon}{cm^2 s} \quad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

Using again relation (45) we find the total flux from Andromedae halo

$$I_\gamma^A \approx 2 \times 10^{-7} \frac{photon}{cm^2 s}$$

what means, that in principle it could be observed by EGRET.

## 5 Conclusion

Let us summarize the main results of the paper.

1. A new mechanism for generation of gamma radiation (GR) connected with neutralino annihilation in noncompact dark matter objects – Neutralino Stars (NeS) is proposed.

2. On the basis of microlensing data and the theory of NeS the diffuse flux both of extragalactic and Galactic origin is calculated. The theory is shown to be in a reasonable agreement with observations. We especially note that this statement does not mean, that other sources of gamma radiation (for example cosmic rays) are not essential: first of all, NeS do not affect nonspherically symmetrical component of Galactic GR [22] and second, it is quite possible to make small changes in the parameters, which will give a free space for conventional mechanisms of gamma production.

3. From the EGRET catalog of nonidentified sources the point-like sources of gamma radiation (P) are separated. They are proved to be distributed isotropically and homogeneously in space (-3/2 law for  $\log N - \log S$  curve).

We suppose that a part of P- sources observed recently by EGRET is the gamma radiation of individual NeS. So, the new interpretation of nonidentified gamma sources is proposed. An agreement between the theory and observations is demonstrated.

It is shown that diffuse gamma radiation being considered as partially produced by NeS is in a relative agreement with EGRET observations of P- sources.

4. Of course, more detailed studies of gamma radiation and comparison with the predictions of the theory are needed to prove that NeS are really observed and that NeS really affect significant features of the existing gamma radiation. Let us mention some of them:

a) The theory predicts that new discovered nonidentified point-like P- sources especially in the low intensity range should be isotropically distributed and should obey -3/2 law for  $\log N - \log S$  curve.

b) The theory predicts the existence of a spread gamma radiation from Andromedae with quite definite features. The discovery and detailed study of this source would mean the direct observation of giant dark matter halo of the galaxy and would establish that this halo consist of NeS.

c) The studies of gamma spectrum of the P-sources is of a great interest. The theory predicts that this spectrum should be the same for all sources and that it is fully determined by the process of neutralino annihilation, which lead mostly to the  $\pi^0$  decay gamma spectrum.

d) Since some of observed P-sources should be on the distances of the order  $10^{18}$ cm from the Sun, it may be possible to observe in gamma rays their regular motion, which should be of the order  $3'$  per year and one year oscillations with amplitude  $\sim 3''$ .

e) According to the theory P- sources and MACHO objects are the same. It has a special interest to combine gamma and microlensing observations of the same objects. Microlensing is not usual one: as the distances to the object is only (1-10)pc, the Einstein radius is of the order  $(1 - 3)10^{12}$ cm and characteristic timescale of microlensing event is (0.3-1)day.

5. If the hypothesis that NeS are an effective sources of GR would be confirmed, then the presented comparison of the theory with observations gives fundamental information about CDM particles:

- a) Particles should annihilate like Majorana particles.
- b) A dominant annihilation process is going in  $p$ -wave channel.  $s$ -wave channel is practically completely suppressed.
- c) A special relation (45) characterizing parameters of the particles is established. It connects the mass of the particle ( $m_x$ ), annihilation crosssection ( $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ ) and coefficient of gamma quants production due to annihilation ( $\alpha_\gamma$ ).

The further theoretical and experimental studies of these problems are of fundamental interest.

The authors are grateful to V.L.Ginzburg for permanent interest and fruitful discussion, and to I.Axford, V.A.Dogiel, A.Lykyanov and V.Sirota for valuable remarks.

This research was supported by the Russian Fund of Fundamental Research (Grant 96-02-18217).

## References

1. C.Alcock et all Nature 365 (1993) 621.
2. M.R.Pratt et al (The MACHO collaboration) preprint astro-ph/9606134.
3. A.V.Gurevich and K.P.Zybin, Phys.Lett.A 208 (1995) 267.
4. A.V.Gurevich,K.P.Zybin,V.A.Sirota, Phys.Lett.A 214 (1996) 232
5. A.V.Gurevich,K.P.Zybin,V.A.Sirota, II Int.Sakharov Conference, Moscow (1996)
6. A.V.Gurevich,K.P.Zybin,V.A.Sirota, VIII Conference on neutrino, dark matter and Universe Blois, (1996)
7. L.Roskowski, Phys.Lett.B 278 (1992) 147.
8. A.Botino et al Astroparticle Phys. 2 (1994) 77
9. J.P.Ostraiker Ann.Rev.of Astron.Astroph. (1992) 689.
10. A.V.Gurevich and K.P.Zybin Physics Uspekhi 38 (1995) 687
11. A.V.Gurevich and K.P.Zybin Sov.Phys.JETP 67 (1988) 1957
12. A.V.Gurevich,K.P.Zybin,V.A.Sirota, Phys.Lett.A 207 (1995) 333.
13. A.V.Gurevich, M.I.Zelnikov and K.P.Zybin Sov.Phys.JETP 107 (1995) 321.
14. A.V.Gurevich,K.P.Zybin,V.A.Sirota, Physics Uspekhi (in press).
15. Astrophysics of Cosmic Rays (ed. V.L.Ginzburg) Elsevier Science Publisher B.V.,1990.
16. C.E.Fichtel,G.A.Simpson and D.J.Thompson Astrophys.J. 222 (1978) 833.
17. V.Berezinsky, A.Botino, G.Mignola preprint CERN-TH/96-283 October 1996.
18. G.R.Farrar and E.W.Kolb Phys.Rev.D 53 (1996) 2990
19. E.W.Kolb and A.Riotto, astro-ph/9601096
20. G.F.Bignami and W.Hermesen, Ann.Rev.Astron.Astrophys. 21 (1983) 67.
21. D.J. Thompson et al Astrophys.J.Suppl. 101 (1995) 259.
22. S.D.Hunter et al Astron. and Astrophys. (accepted for publication).
23. D.A.Kniffen et al Astron. and Astrophys. (accepted for publication).

**Figure caption.**

Fig.1. Intensity distribution of gamma radiation in the direction of galactic centre as a function of galactic latitude. The crosses and hystogram denote the observed values [15], curve – the theory (43).

Fig.2. Sky distribution of P-sources.

Fig.3.  $\log N - \log S$  distribution of P-sources compared with  $-3/2$  law.

Table.1. Point-like (P) sources. Averaged intensity  $S$  and dispersion  $\sigma$  in units  $10^{-8} \frac{\text{photon}}{\text{cm}^2 \text{ s}}$

Table 1:

Name	$S$	$\sigma$
2EG J1054+5736	7.6	1.2
2EG J0403+3357	12.1	0.1
2EG J1233-1407	13.6	3.2
2EG J0159-3557	13.7	1.3
2EG J1239+0441	13.8	1.5
2EG J0119+0312	15.0	2.0
2EG J0216+1107	15.2	0.6
2EG J1136-0414	15.8	—
2EG J1346+2942	16.9	2.3
2EG J1731+6007	17.6	4.1
2EG J1332+8821	18.0	4.5
2EG J1457-1916	21.8	5.6
2EG J0323+5126	23.6	0.5
2EG J0545+3943	24.6	3.4
2EG J1248-8308	24.8	3.5
2EG J0744+5438	26.2	5.3
2EG J1430+5356	26.3	—
2EG J0852-1237	26.9	8.2
2EG J1950-3503	29.8	11.6
2EG J2006-2253	30.6	6.2
2EG J2354+3811	36.4	0.6
2EG J1821-7915	36.7	4.8
2EG J2227+6122	50.4	2.4
2EG J0008+7307	56.0	8.0
2EG J0618+2234	61.0	5.5
2EG J1835+5919	66.0	5.8
2EG J1049-5847	69.0	7.2
2EG J1443-6040	78.0	4.5
2EG J1528-2352	92.0	—
2EG J1021-5835	98.0	7.6
2EG J0241+6119	114.0	3.8
2EG J1746-2852	138.0	12.0