

Finite Temperature Quark Matter and Supernova Explosion

Alessandro Drago and Ubaldo Tambini

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Ferrara, and INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, Via Paradiso 12, Ferrara, Italy 44100

(April 23, 2021)

We study the equation of state of quark matter at finite temperature, using a confinement model in which chiral symmetry remains broken in the deconfined phase. Implications for type II supernova explosion and for the structure and evolution of the proto-neutron star are discussed.

PACS numbers: 26.50.+x, 24.85.+p, 12.39.-x, 26.60.+c

The Equation of State (EOS) of matter at high density and temperature is a very interesting but controversial topic. Among the possible applications of such an EOS are the structure of neutron stars (very high density and low temperature), supernova explosions (high density and moderate temperature) and relativistic heavy ions collisions (high temperature and not very high density).

It is possible, at least in principle, to obtain information about the behavior of matter under extreme conditions through lattice QCD calculations. Recently [1] it was shown that the deconfinement transition seen at zero baryon density becomes a smooth crossover at very small density and that at low enough temperature chiral symmetry remains broken at all densities. Although these results need to be confirmed by other calculations, it is anyway interesting to explore a model where these features are implemented. In particular, if a constituent quark model is used, the deconfinement transition need not to be as discontinuous as in MIT-like models, where the transition to quark matter implies also chiral symmetry restoration.

In this letter we compute a finite temperature EOS based on the transition to quark matter, and study in particular its connection to the problem of supernova explosion. The most recent calculations show that using traditional EOS a successful explosion is not achieved *via* the prompt shock mechanism and late neutrino transport still have to be more exhaustively investigated [2]. The prompt explosion mechanism needs a very soft EOS, what seems apparently incompatible with the observed masses of neutron stars. The last conclusion is based essentially on the results coming from the phenomenological BCK EOS [3,4]. This difficulty can be circumvented. In order to obtain a successful explosion one needs a softening of the EOS at densities slightly larger than the nuclear matter saturation density ρ_0 [5]. On the other hand, the maximum mass of a neutron star depends on the very large density behavior of the EOS and requires a not too soft EOS in that density region.

The idea to correlate the softening of the EOS to the

presence of a phase transition [6] and in particular to the formation of quark matter [7] is rather old. This possibility, in connection with the problem of supernova explosion, has been considered recently by Gentile *et al.* [8] in a phenomenological way, without any attempt to relate the parameters governing the transition to other quark model calculations. Moreover the dependence of the EOS on the temperature and on the electron fraction was not investigated. Since during the first seconds of its life a proto-neutron star deleptonizes, it is particularly important to study how the transition to quark matter is affected by the electron fraction.

We present here a calculation of the transition to quark matter at finite temperature. In order to satisfy Gibbs conditions when more than one charge is conserved, one has to use the technique developed by Glendenning [9]. Until now, the only calculation incorporating this technique at finite temperature was the study of the liquid-gas phase transition in nuclear matter made by Müller and Serot [10].

We will use the Color Dielectric Model (CDM) [11–13] to describe quark matter. The CDM is a confinement model which has been used with success to study properties of single nucleons, such as structure functions [14] and form factors [15], or to investigate zero temperature quark matter [16] and the structure of neutron stars [17].

An important feature of the CDM is that effective quark masses are of the order of 100 MeV even at very large densities, hence chiral symmetry is broken and the Goldstone bosons are relevant degrees of freedom. This is to be contrasted with models like the MIT bag, where quarks have masses of a few MeV.

The Lagrangian of the model reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\vec{\pi})^2 - U(\sigma, \vec{\pi}) \\ & + \sum_{f=u,d} \frac{g_f}{f_\pi\chi} \bar{\psi}_f (\sigma + i\gamma_5\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\pi}) \psi_f + \frac{g_s}{\chi} \bar{\psi}_s\psi_s \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\chi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^2\chi^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $U(\sigma, \vec{\pi})$ is the “mexican-hat” potential, as in Ref. [15]. The Lagrangian \mathcal{L} describes a system of interacting u , d and s quarks, pions, sigmas and a scalar-isoscalar chiral singlet field χ . The scalar field χ characterizing the CDM is related to the fluctuation of the gluon condensate around its vacuum expectation value [11]. In the model this fluctuation is rather small indicating a smooth transition between the exterior and the interior of the nucleon and allowing a soft deconfinement.

The coupling constants are given by $g_{u,d} = g(f_\pi \pm \xi_3)$ and $g_s = g(2f_K - f_\pi)$, where $f_\pi = 93$ MeV and $f_K = 113$ MeV are the pion and the kaon decay constants and, respectively, and $\xi_3 = f_{K^\pm} - f_{K^0} = -0.75$ MeV. These coupling constants depend on a single parameter g .

Confinement is obtained *via* the effective quark masses $m_{u,d} = g_{u,d}\bar{\sigma}/(\bar{\chi}f_\pi)$ and $m_s = g_s/\bar{\chi}$, which diverge outside the nucleon. Indeed, the classical fields $\bar{\chi}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ are solutions of the Euler–Lagrange equations and $\bar{\chi}$ goes asymptotically to zero at large distances.

In the following we will use for the model parameters the values:

$$g = 0.023 \text{ GeV}, \quad \mathcal{M} = 1.7 \text{ GeV}, \quad (2)$$

giving a nucleon isoscalar radius of 0.80 fm (exp.val.=0.79 fm) and an average delta–nucleon mass of 1.129 GeV (exp.val.=1.085 GeV). A similar set of parameters has been used to compute structure functions [14] and form factors [15] and to study neutron stars [17].

We describe the hadronic phase with a relativistic field theoretic model of the Walecka type [18], including protons and neutrons only. The parameters used to define the Lagrangian of the hadronic part are the ones labeled HS81 in the work by Knorren *et al.* [19].

The transition to quark matter has been studied using the technique developed by Glendenning [9], since in the transition two quantities are conserved, the baryon (B) and the electric (C) charge :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_B &= (1 - \chi)\rho_B^h + \chi\rho_B^q \\ \rho_C &= (1 - \chi)\rho_C^h + \chi\rho_C^q + \rho_e + \rho_\mu = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here χ is the fraction of matter in the quark phase. The superscripts h and q label the density in the hadronic and in the quark phase, respectively. The electron (ρ_e) and the muon (ρ_μ) charge densities contribute to make the total electric charge equal to zero. Due to the presence of strange quarks electron and muon densities are suppressed.

Since matter has to be in chemical equilibrium under β -decay and deconfinement, the following equations have to be satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n - \mu_p &= \mu_e - \mu_{\nu_e}, & \mu_n - \mu_p &= \mu_\mu - \mu_{\nu_\mu} \\ 2\mu_d + \mu_u &= \mu_n, & \mu_u - \mu_d &= \mu_p - \mu_n \\ \mu_s &= \mu_d, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

together with the usual condition for mechanical equilibrium, *i.e.* the equality of the pressure in the two phases:

$$P^h = P^q. \quad (5)$$

Other conditions depend on the specific problem under discussion. For instance, in order to study the structure of the star after deleptonization, one assumes that neutrinos can escape freely, so their chemical potential is set to zero in previous equations. This assumption is incorrect

in the first seconds of the life of the proto-neutron star. Due to neutrino opacity lepton numbers are conserved, neutrino chemical potentials are different from zero and the EOS is computed for fixed values of the lepton fractions:

$$Y_{l_e} = (\rho_e + \rho_{\nu_e})/\rho_B, \quad Y_{l_\mu} = (\rho_\mu + \rho_{\nu_\mu})/\rho_B. \quad (6)$$

Since before the collapse $Y_{l_\mu} = 0$, this quantity has been kept fixed and the EOS has been computed for various values of Y_{l_e} .

In the computation of the EOS all previous equations have been solved together with the mean-field equations of the Walecka model and of the CDM. Finite temperature has been taken into account using the standard technique developed *e.g.* in Ref. [20].




FIG. 1. Boundaries separating hadronic matter, mixed phase and quark matter in the density-temperature plane. The labels indicate various values of Y_{l_e} , s is for symmetric matter.

We come now to the results. In Fig.1 we present the boundaries separating hadronic matter from mixed phase and the latter from pure quark matter. The labels correspond to various values of Y_{l_e} . Symmetric nuclear matter is also presented. The transition region depends on the electron fraction Y_{l_e} . In symmetric matter at low temperatures the mixed phase forms at $\rho = 0.23 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, therefore no quark matter is present in heavy nuclei. Decreasing the value of Y_{l_e} the phase transition starts at lower densities. At any value of Y_{l_e} the mixed phase extends on a rather limited range of densities and even at zero temperature pure quark matter phase is reached at densities slightly larger than $2\rho_0$. At higher temperatures the transition starts at lower densities. We have explored only the region of moderate temperatures, the relevant one for supernova explosion. In order to study higher temperatures it would have been essential to take into account quantum corrections beyond mean field approximation.

The *scenario* depicted in Fig.1 is rather different from the one described by the MIT model, where the transition, at least in symmetric nuclear matter, starts at very

large densities and the mixed phase extends on a broad density range. Using the MIT model, which assumes the interior of the nucleon to be in a perturbative regime, it is almost unavoidable to have a first order transition. In the CDM it is conceivable, at least in principle, to obtain a smoother transition by taking into account quark correlations beyond mean field approximation.

TABLE I. Total binding energy, gravitational and internal energies in Walecka model and in CDM (see text). All energies are in foe (10^{51} erg).




FIG. 2. Pressure (upper box) and adiabatic index (lower box) as function of the density in CDM (solid) and in BCK (dotted). The pressure in Walecka model is also shown (dashed).

To investigate our EOS in connection with the problem of supernova explosion, we compare with BCK EOS [3] (parameters as in model 38). The latter is a totally phenomenological EOS which is soft enough to allow for supernova explosion, but gives a maximum mass smaller than the mass of PSR 1913+16 ($1.44 M_{\odot}$) [4]. In our model the maximum value of the gravitational mass for a non-rotating cold star is $1.59 M_{\odot}$ [17].

In Fig.2 we present results for $Y_{l_e} = 0.4$ and entropy per baryon number $S/R = 1$. Due to the presence of strange quarks, the electron fraction Y_e is rather small in the quark phase, $Y_e \sim 0.3$, and the muon fraction Y_{μ} is always very small. In the upper box we compare the pressure in the Walecka model, in our model and in BCK EOS. Due to the phase transition, our EOS is rather soft from $\rho = 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ to $\rho = 0.34 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. On the other hand, after $\rho = 0.34 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ it is considerably stiffer than BCK, allowing higher masses for the proto-neutron star. These conclusions are strengthened by the computation of the adiabatic index, shown in the lower box of Fig.2. Clearly in the mixed phase matter offers little resistance to collapse, but when pure quark matter phase is reached the collapse is halted. In the mixed phase region our adiabatic index is even smaller than in BCK.

Another way of investigating the possibility of a prompt explosion using our EOS is to estimate the

	BE	V_g	E_i
Walecka	24	-73	97

extra energy at disposal for the shock wave in our model respect to pure Walecka model. To this purpose we follow the analysis of Gentile *et al.* [8]. Their way of estimating the extra energy is the following: they compute the binding energy of the central part of the proto-neutron star, immediately before bounce, integrating on a mass of $0.5M_{\odot}$. The binding energy is the sum of gravitational and internal potential energy: $BE = V_g + E_i = \text{gravitational mass} - \text{baryonic mass}$. Integrating only on the inner region, a positive value for the BE is obtained, indicating that this region tries to expand, pushing the exterior envelope. The larger the (anti-)binding energy, the stronger the push. We can therefore compare these numbers in Walecka and in our model, using the EOS with $S/R = 1$ and $Y_{l_e} = 0.4$. The result is shown in the Table. An extra energy of about 8 foe is at disposal for the explosion.




FIG. 3. Composition of a star having $S/R = 1$ and $Y_{l_e} = 0.4$. A different length's scale has been used where the mixed phase is formed.




FIG. 4. Same as Fig.3, for a star having $S = 0$ and β -stable.

We come now to the second problem we like to study, namely the structure and evolution of the proto-neutron star. In Fig.3 we show the composition of a star with

$Y_{l_e} = 0.4$ ($Y_e \sim 0.3$) and entropy *per* particle $S/R = 1$. The central temperature is of the order of 10 MeV. These conditions should be realized at the bounce. Immediately after the bounce the entropy increases. After a time of the order of 10 seconds the proto-neutron star cools down and deleptonizes. After that the composition of the star does not change any more. We show this later stage in Fig.4, where we assume entropy $S = 0$ and β -stability. In both figures the baryonic mass is the same, $1.54M_{\odot}$, which corresponds to a gravitational mass of $1.4M_{\odot}$ for the final star of Fig.4.




FIG. 5. Mass fractions in hadronic, mixed and quark phase (upper box) and binding energy (lower box) as function of Y_{l_e} for entropy $S/R = 1$ (solid) and $S/R = 3$ (dashed), respectively.

There have been many speculations about the possibility of a late neutrino emission, based on the SN1987 data [21]. In particular the idea of a late transition to strange matter with a new emission of energetic neutrinos has been invoked. We investigate this possibility in Fig.5, where we show the composition of the star and its binding energy as a function of the lepton fraction Y_{l_e} and for two values of the entropy *per* particle. To study this problem one should really solve the dynamics' equations giving lepton fraction and entropy as a function of time. What can be learnt from Fig.5 is the absence of a sudden jump in the composition of the star and in its binding energy as a function of Y_{l_e} . The conclusion we can draw is that an emission of energetic neutrinos during the first seconds is indeed possible, since the binding energy increases steadily as Y_{l_e} is diminishing. Anyway a peak in the neutrino luminosity can be due only to the dynamics of the explosion, not to a discontinuity in the EOS of the proto-neutron star.

Recently there has been a speculation about the possibility of having a proto-neutron star collapsing later to a black hole, due to the softening of the EOS after deleptonization [22]. In our calculation we do not have this effect, because the maximum baryonic mass for the proto-neutron star is essentially independent of the value of Y_e and S and remains always near $1.82M_{\odot}$.

In this letter we have shown the possibility to have a phase transition at densities slightly larger than ρ_0 in pre-supernova matter. This transition softens the EOS which in this range of densities is comparable with the phenomenological BCK EOS, but gives acceptable values for the maximum gravitational mass of the final neutron star. Our EOS indicates also the possibility of a energetic neutrino emission in the first seconds of the life of the proto-neutron star. All these conclusions will soon be tested in a dynamical simulation of the explosion.

It is a pleasure to thank V.Barone, Z.Berezhiani, L.Caneschi, H.-T.Janka and W.Keil for many stimulating discussions.

-
- [1] T. Blum, J.E. Hetrick and D. Toussaint, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1019 (1996).
 - [2] D.Arnett, *Supernovae and Nucleosynthesis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1996.
 - [3] E.A.Baron, J.Cooperstein and S.Kahana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 126 (1985).
 - [4] G.E. Brown, Phys. Rep. **163**, 167 (1988).
 - [5] F.D.Swesty, J.M.Lattimer and E.S.Myra, Astrophys. J. **425**, 195 (1994).
 - [6] A.B.Migdal, A.I.Cherenoutsan and I.N.Mishustin, Phys. Lett. **B83**, 158 (1979).
 - [7] M.Takahara and K.Sato, Phys. Lett. **B156**, 17 (1985).
 - [8] N.A. Gentile, M.B. Aufderheide, G.J. Mathews, F.D. Swesty and G.M.Fuller, Astrophys. J. **414**, 701 (1993).
 - [9] N.K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 1274 (1992).
 - [10] H. Müller and B.D. Serot, Phys. Rev. **C52**, 2072 (1995).
 - [11] H.J. Pirner, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **29**, 33, (1992).
 - [12] M.C. Birse, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **25**, 1 (1990).
 - [13] J.A. McGovern, Nucl. Phys. **A533**, 553 (1991).
 - [14] V. Barone and A. Drago, Nucl. Phys. **A552**, 479 (1993); **A560**, 1076 (1993); V. Barone, A. Drago and M. Fiolhais, Phys. Lett. **B338**, 433 (1994).
 - [15] A. Drago, M. Fiolhais, U. Tambini, Nucl. Phys. **A609**, 488 (1996).
 - [16] W. Broniowski, M. Čibej, M. Kutschera and M. Rosina, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990) 285; A. Drago, A. Fiolhais and U. Tambini, Nucl. Phys. **A588**, 801 (1995).
 - [17] A. Drago, U. Tambini, M.Hjorth-Jensen, Phys. Lett. **B380**, 13 (1996).
 - [18] B.D.Serot and J.D.Walecka, Adv.Nucl.Phys.**16**(1986)1.
 - [19] R. Knorren, M. Prakash and P.J. Ellis, Phys. Rev. **C52**, 3470 (1995).
 - [20] J.I. Kapusta, *Finite-temperature field theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1989.
 - [21] S.H. Kahana, Ann. Rev. Nuc. Part. Sci. **39**, 231 (1989).
 - [22] M. Prakash, I. Bombaci, M. Prakash, P.J. Ellis, J.M. Lattimer and R. Knorren, nucl-th/9603042, to appear in Phys.Rep.