HST OBSERVATIONS OF BLUE STRAGGLER STARS IN THE CORE OF THE GLOBULAR CLUSTER M3

F.R. Ferraro 1, B. Paltrinieri 1, F. Fusi Pecci $^{1,2},$ C. Cacciari $^{1,3},$ B. Dorman $^{4,5},$ R.T. Rood 5, R. Buonanno 6, C.E. Corsi⁶, D. Burgarella⁷, M. Laget⁷

¹ Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, Via Zamboni 33, I-40126 Bologna, ITALY

2 Stazione Astronomica di Cagliari, 09012 Capoterra, ITALY

3 Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, USA

⁴ Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA Greenbelt, USA

⁵ University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

⁶ Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Roma, ITALY

⁷ Laboratoire d'Astronomie Spatiale, CNRS Marseille, FRANC E

Abstract. The core of the Galactic Globular Cluster M3 (NGC 5272) has been observed with the WFPC2 through the filters $F255W$, $F336W$, $F555W$, and $F814W$. Using these observations along with a thorough reanalysis of earlier catalogs, we have produced a catalog of blue straggler stars (BSS) spanning the cluster. Earlier studies and the fainter part of our sample suffer severe selection biases. Our analysis is based on a more reliable bright global sample of 122 BSS. We confirm earlier suggestions that the radial BSS distribution in M3 is bimodal. It is strongly peaked in the center, has a clear dip 100–200′′ from the center, and rises again at larger radii. The observed distribution agrees with the dynamical model of Sigurdsson et al. (1994) which takes into account both star collisions and merging of primordial binaries for the origin of BSS. The observed luminosity functions of BSS in the inner and outer parts of the cluster are different. Interpreting these using the models of Bailyn & Pinsonneault (1995), we suggest that the BSS in the inner cluster are formed by stellar collisions and those in the outer cluster from merging primordial binaries.

Key words: Clusters: globular - Stars: Population II - Stars: Blue Stragglers

1. Introduction

With the advent of high-resolution, highly accurate imaging facilities, there has been a growing interest in the systematic search and study of Blue Straggler Stars (BSS) in Galactic Globular Clusters (GGCs). BSS were first detected in the GGC M3 by Sandage (1953) and by 1995 there were more than 700 BSS candidates identified in about 35 GGCs (see the review of Bailyn 1995 and the catalogs of Ferraro et al. 1993, 1995, Sarajedini 1993). The BSS sample is increasing rapidly as more GGC cores are adequately surveyed with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) .

Even with the dramatic increase in the known BSS population, the most interesting cluster population of BSS remains that in M3. This is the only bright cluster where the radial distribution of BSS has been studied extensively. In particular, Ferraro et al. (1993, hereafter F93) found a bimodal radial distribution of the BSS candidates. This could arise if there were different BSS formation mechanisms at work in regions of different stellar density. For example, the external areas would contain mostly BSS that were formed in primordial binary mergers, whereas the core would contain mostly BSS formed via collisions (see Bailyn & Pinsonneault 1995, hereafter BP95, and Bailyn 1995, for a general discussion and references). Alternatively, one could imagine that, though formed via the same mechanism, the BSS have been subject to radial mass segregation or suffered different disruption/survival histories.

This situation is apparently unique to M3; no other cluster has been found to show this bimodal distribution of BSS. In addition M3 serves as an archetype for several astrophysical problems—e.g., its "horizontal" horizontal branch gave the name to the sequence. It has been well studied both photometrically and spectroscopically. Because of these factors we have selected M3 as a primary target for a long term project investigating the global populations of globular clusters, in spite of the fact that it is not the nearest cluster in the sky.

Send offprint requests to: F.R. Ferraro, e-mail ferraro@astbo3.bo.astro.it

During the last decade we have secured in M3 one of the widest and most complete samples of stars ever observed in a globular cluster using different techniques (photographic plates: Buonanno et al. 1986, 1994 [PH94], and CCD-arrays: Ferraro et al. 1997 [CCD97]), covering most of the cluster area (from 20" up to 7' from the cluster center). To achieve the ultimate in sample size and completeness and to check for radial variations in the cluster population, we obtained high-resolution HST observations during Cycle 4 (GO 5496 P.I. F. Fusi Pecci) aimed at completing the survey in the inner regions. The project as a whole aimed at testing the accuracy and validity of the assumptions and physical inputs that are the foundation of the current stellar evolution theoretical models.

This paper is the first of a series reporting on the results obtained from the global Colour-Magnitude Diagrams (CMDs) and Luminosity Functions (LFs). Here we present results on BSS candidates in the cluster core and discuss the distribution of BSS across the cluster.

2. Observations, data reduction

A more detailed descriptions of observations and reductions will be given elsewhere (Fusi Pecci et al. 1997). We outline here the important points.

The HST frames were obtained on 1995, April 25 (GO 5496) with the WFPC2 coupled with the filters F255W (m_{255}) , F336W (U), F555W (V), and F814W (I). The PC was roughly centered on the cluster center, while the WF cameras partially overlapped outer regions previously observed from the ground (see Figure 1). While complete reductions have already been carried out for most available frames, here we make use only of the F255W, F336W and F555W observations for all four chips (PC, WF2, WF3, WF4) and F814W data for the PC. The list of the frames and the exposure times per frame are reported in Table 1.

Each WFPC2 frame was processed through the standard HST-WFPC2 pipeline for bias subtraction, dark correction, and flat-fielding. Then, all the long exposure images were registered using MIDAS, obtaining a median frame where the cosmic rays have been removed. We used the median frame in order to search for all the individual star components present in each field following the standard procedure implemented in ROMAFOT (Buonanno et al. 1993), a package specifically developed for high precision photometry in crowded fields. The PSF fitting photometry was performed on each individual frame separately, and an averaged instrumental magnitude was computed for each star. The instrumental magnitudes (m_{instr}) were finally transformed to the Johnson system (for V, I, U , respectively) using formula 8 and Table 7 from Holtzmann et al. (1995), and in the STMAG system using Table 9 for the F255W filter.

Figure 2 shows the CMD $(m_{255}, m_{255} - U)$ for more than 18,000 stars measured in the observed area.

Table 1. List of the used frames and exposure times per frame.

Filter	No. Expos.	$Exp-Time (sec)$	Date
F255W F336W F336W F555W F555W F814W F814W	4 4 2 4 2 4 2	300 800 70 100 3 140 3	April 25,1995 April 25,1995 April 25,1995 April 25,1995 April 25,1995 April 25,1995 April 25,1995

3. The BSS sample from our HST observations

As already shown in other UV studies of GGCs (Dorman, Rood, O'Connell 1995 and references therein), the main characteristic of the UV CMD is that at these wavelengths the cluster light is dominated by hot stars, specifically the blue HB stars. The BSS are the next hottest subpopulation in the cluster, and are thus easily distinguished from the cooler stars of the turnoff and SGB. The location and morphology of the main branches are very different from the optical CMD. The RGB is very faint, and the choice of $(m_{255}, m_{255} - U)$ makes the HB appear diagonal. As can be seen in Figure 2, there is a well-defined, narrow branch extending upward to the left from the giant branch [note however that the choice of the colour frame makes the HB diagonal: the HB is different again in the $(U,m_{255}-U)$ plane, almost horizontal. This branch corresponds to a large part of the HB, excluding the hottest section, which bends downward in m_{255} because of the increasing bolometric correction. The overall morphology of the CMD will be discussed in detail in a future paper (Fusi Pecci et al. 1997), where we will present also a comparison with theoretical models. Here, we only discuss the BSS.

Defining a sample of candidate BSS via photometric techniques is somewhat arbitrary, mostly because of the difficult separation between the faint BSS population and the "normal" MS stars at the TO. We anticipate that, coupled with incompleteness, this problem is one of the main reasons why samples obtained by different observers match so poorly.

To minimize this problem, we have selected the BSS candidates in the UV CMD $(m_{255}, m_{255}-U)$. As can easily be seen in Figure 2, in this plane the BSS sequence is quite distinct, spanning ~ 3 mag in m_{255} . To illustrate our selection criteria better, Figure 3 shows a zoomed CMD of the BSS region where the selected candidates are indicated. To reduce the impact of the selection bias on the comparison with previous searches and on the following discussion, we have divided the total BSS sample into two sub-samples:

- 1. bright BSS: with $m_{255} < 19.0$
- 2. *faint* BSS: with $19.0 < m_{255} < 19.4$

Since no gap is evident between the BSS sequence and the "normal" stars located in the TO region, we set the limiting magnitude to be $m_{255} = 19.4 \approx 5\sigma$ brighter than the magnitude of the MSTO). The separation between the bright and the faint samples has been taken to be $m_{255} = 19.0$ in order to be consistent with the limiting magnitude adopted for the two corresponding samples in F93 (see below).

There are several intriguing objects which are clearly located outside the bulk of the population of the main branches and far in colour from the BSS sequence as well. As can be seen from Figure 2, we have not included most of these stars in our sample. They are sometimes called yellow stragglers (McClure et al. 1985) as they are intermediate between the blue stragglers and the red subgiants. One should note that they could, and in our view probably do, "contain" a BSS candidate as one component of an optical blend with a subgiant (see Ferraro et al. 1992a,b). Even though we are using high resolution HST frames some optical blends are still expected because of the high degree of crowding in the very inner regions of M3.

Some similar optical blends are present in almost all CMDs which have been used in the search for BSS candidates, and they have been usually discarded. Therefore, it is conceivable that several BSS are still "hidden" in these optical binaries. Of course, one cannot even exclude that they might be evolved BSS (see Ferraro et al 1992a,b for a discussion), but at this stage we adopted the usual definition of BSS and have excluded them from our reported sample.

With the above criteria and caveats, the total number of BSS in our HST field is 171. Of these, 72 belong to the bright sample and 99 to the faint. The two subsamples are plotted with different symbols in Figure 3 (big dots: bright; big asterisks: faint, respectively). Tables 2 & 3 list the BSS candidates: the first column is our identification number, then in columns 2, 3, 4, 5 we report the V, I, U, m_{255} magnitudes, respectively, and in column 6, 7, 8 the coordinates (X, Y) and the distance (r) from the adopted cluster center in arcsec, respectively¹.

The coordinate system adopted here is the same as used in our previous papers on M3 (F93,PH94,CCD97). A further revision of the whole problem, and a discussion on the choice of an absolute reference system is postponed to a forthcoming paper currently in preparation (Laget et al. 1997).

4. Recent searches for BSS candidates in M3

Since a revision and discussion of available groundbased surveys was presented in our previous papers (F93, CCD97), we focus here just on the latest surveys with the goal of achieving a revised global sample of BSS in this cluster.

4.1. Ferraro et al. 1993 - (F93)

Based on independent CCD observations at the CFHT and collection and revision of previous data, F93 presented an extensive study of the BSS in M3.

In that study 70 new BSS candidates were identified at $r < 200''$. Coupled with the 76 candidates known from the previous photographic samples (Sandage 1953, Sandage and Katem 1982, Ables et al. 1982, PH94) this yielded the most populous data-set of known BSS in a single GGC. On the basis of this catalog we studied the global BSS radial distribution setting two preliminary constraints:

- 1. In order to have an homogeneous sample we did not consider candidates in the central region at $r < 20''$ since the sample in that region is likely to be very incomplete in any ground-based photometry, due the high crowding conditions. Moreover, because of inhomogeneity of the surveys, we also excluded the 14 BSS candidates identified at very large distances $(r > 360'')$ (Sandage 1953, Ables et al. 1982, see F93 Table 1). Thus, the radial extent of the adopted sample was limited to the range $20'' < r < 360''$.
- 2. Since the photographic survey was complete to $B =$ 18.6, we also limited the analysis only to the bright BSS sample $(B < 18.6)$. This choice is surely quite restrictive. On the other hand, as already stressed, the selection of the faint BSS is difficult and quite subjective and may thus introduce significant bias in the analysis.

The main result presented by F93 is reported in their Figure 9: the relative frequency of the BSS in M3 displays a sharp bimodal radial distribution, with a distinct dip in the region with $100'' < r < 200''$. However, it was evident that the BSS distribution in the inner regions required much better observations and F93 noted the desirability of additional independent observations.

4.2. Bolte et al. 1993 - (BHS)

Almost simultaneously with F93, Bolte et al. (1993, hereafter BHS) presented the results of a BSS search in M3 carried out using the high-resolution CCD camera at the same telescope (CFHT), but in slightly better seeing conditions. The area covered by BHS is shown in Figure 1 (∼ 2.2 ′×2.2 ′), and overlaps both the previous studies and also our present HST survey.

In this very central region, BHS reported the identification of 46 BSS, and defined a *specific frequency* $F_{\rm BSS}$ as

¹ Complete tables 2.3.4 are avaliable on electronic form at the Center de Donnèes de Strasbourg (CDS) and can be obtained by anonymous ftp copy.

the ratio of the number of BSS with respect to those of HB and RGB stars (with $V < V_{\rm HB} + 2$):

$$
F_{\rm BSS} = \frac{N_{\rm BSS}}{N(V < V_{\rm HB} + 2)}
$$

In particular, for the central regions of M3 they obtained:

$$
F_{\rm BSS}^{\rm in} = \frac{46}{1382} = 0.033 \pm 0.005
$$

Using the data presented by Paez et al. (1990) on a small external area located at ∼ 375′′ from the cluster center $(r \sim 15r_c,$ where r_c is the core radius, i.e., outside the region considered in F93), they obtained:

$$
F_{\rm BSS}^{\rm out} = \frac{9}{94} = 0.09 \pm 0.03
$$

and concluded that the specific frequency of BSS within $5r_c$ of the center of M3 is a factor \sim 2.8 smaller than that seen in the outer regions. If confirmed, this result would be quite surprising and interesting as none of the GGCs observed so far has shown a larger frequency of BSS in the outer regions than in the inner ones.

As noted in F93, this result is perhaps somewhat overinterpreted as there was the obvious possibility that their sample could be substantially incomplete in the innermost region of the cluster $(r < r_c)$. In this respect, F93 found 14 BSS with $r < 25''$, a number comparable in size to the 19 found by BHS over the same radial interval (see their table 1). However, there were only a few coincidences between the two samples.

CCD97 have further discussed the problem and carried out a detailed comparison between the F93 and BHS samples for $r > 20''$, excluding the innermost region since it required a much better spatial resolution. It has been clear that a reliable revised sample could only be achieved after the analysis of HST data.

4.3. Guhathakurta et al. 1994 - GYBS

The first direct confirmation of the large incompleteness of the BHS data came from the first HST observations (with WFPC1) of the central regions of M3, before the refurbishment mission. Guhathakurta et al. (1994, hereafter GYBS) presented V ($F555W$) and I ($F814W$) photometry of a $\sim 65'' \times 65''$ region centered $\sim 20''$ E of the commonly adopted cluster center.

They also retrieved U ($F336W$) images from HST WF/PC Instrument Definition Team archive which had a small region ($\sim 25'' \times 25''$) in common with their V, I observations (see their Figure 2). Since the $(V, U-I)$ plane is more efficient in searching for BSS candidates than the traditional $(V, V - I)$ CMD, they restricted their search to only this small overlapping region (namely $r < 20''$). The approximate area of this search is plotted in our Figure 1 together with those of the other surveys. In this area GYBS found 28 BSS candidates.

To better understand the difficulties undermining the detection of BSS in cluster cores, it is quite interesting to note that only half of the 28 GYBS candidates fall in the general BSS area in the BHS CMD, and only 30% actually fall inside the BHS adopted BSS-box (see Figure 10 in GYBS). Moreover, 10 out of 28 GYBS BSS were completely missing in the BHS survey.

As noted by GYBS (see their Figure 9 and 10), from the comparison above, the degree of completeness achieved by BHS in that very central area was less than 25% in the range $V = 17.5{\text -}18.5$. GYBS also computed the specific frequency of BSS in the central region, yielding:

$$
FBSS(r < 20") = 28/297 = 0.094 \pm 0.019
$$

which is \sim 3 times the value found by BHS, and quite comparable to the BSS frequency they estimated in the outskirts of the cluster.

4.4. Burgarella et al. 1995 - (BPQ)

Burgarella et al. (1995, hereafter BPQ), presented UV (F220W, F346W) high resolution HST/FOC—pre-COSTAR—observations of the core of M3 secured before the repair mission. In the small FOC/96 field of view ($\sim 11'' \times 11''$), they identified 12 BSS and computed the specific frequency which turned out to be:

$$
F_{BSS}(r < 5") = 12/42 = 0.29 \pm 0.09
$$

This figure is three times the value found by GYBS and \sim 10 times that found by BHS. It was thus clear that increasing the spatial resolution of the available observations increases (greatly) the total number of detected BSS candidates.

One has to note that only 5 out of the 12 BSS candidates found by BPQ were also identified as BSS by GYBS, confirming yet again the difficulties in establishing homogeneous the selection criteria. In particular, most of the BPQ BSS candidates are actually close to the TO region (see Figure 3 in BPQ).

5. The BSS specific frequency in the inner regions $(r < 20'')$ and comparisons with results from previous surveys

Before computing our independent determination of the BSS specific frequency and carrying out any comparison with the available data-sets, it is important to stress an additional factor which has to be always kept in mind in the analysis and discussion.

5.1. A basic preliminary caveat

¿From the brief review in Sect. 4 it is evident that, besides the obvious observational problems, the definition of a reliable and complete sample of BSS candidates in a GGC is undermined by the intrinsic uncertainties in the definition of what a blue straggler actually is.

Drawing a BSS "box" is highly arbitrary. This problem is clearly evident from the inspection of Figure 9 and 10 in GYBS, and Figure 19a,b in CCD97. In particular, the most critical assumptions concern the separation of the BSS from the "normal" TO and subgiant stars. Furthermore, the BSS selection is strongly dependent on the bands employed in the CMD. In this respect, there is no doubt that long colour baselines (GYBS) and UV filters (BPQ) are preferable.

For this reason we will use our new HST sample to compute the BSS specific frequency in the very central regions. Then, using what we have learned, we will construct a revised global catalog of BSS candidates in M3 over the complete radial range (see Sect. 6).

5.2. Bolte et al. 1993

As described in the previous section, BHS identified 46 BSS candidates in the very central area. The region covered by our HST central field F1 (see Figure 1) overlaps only 75% of the total central zone surveyed by BHS, who have kindly made available to us their data in machinereadable form.

In the region in common, the number of objects listed by BHS is $N_{\text{BSS}}^{\text{BHS}} = 40$ (6 of their candidates located outside our field), while we have $N_{\text{BSS}}^{bright} = 67$ (and only 4 bright BSS candidates are outside the BHS field). Only 30 of all these stars are considered to be BSS in both samples. There are 4 more BHS candidates included in our faint sample, and 6 of the BHS BSS have been found to be RGB or SGB stars in our photometry.

In summary, our BSS central population is ∼ 1.8 larger than the corresponding one detected by BHS. If we considered as "truly reliable" BSS only the candidates in common between the two searches, the ratio would rise up to 2.2. From this we estimate that the degree of completeness of BHS down to $m_{255} = 19$ is 45-50%.

Turning to the specific frequency of BSS stars as defined by BHS, on our whole HST -F1 we have the following results:

 $F_{BSS} = 72/1140 = 0.06 \pm 0.01$

while in the region in common with BHS we obtain

$$
F_{\rm BSS} = 67/1099 = 0.06 \pm 0.01
$$

This value is almost twice the value obtained by BHS. The difference arises from two (partially compensating) effects. The first, leading to increase F_{BSS} , is the larger number of BSS we detected; the second, leading to decrease F_{BSS} , comes from the higher completeness achieved in the detection of the "normal" reference stars.

Most of the high resolution studies in M3 consider the specific frequency within the innermost region with $r <$ 20′′, where we get:

$$
F_{\rm BSS}(r<20'')=32/290=0.11\pm0.02
$$

And from BHS sample, we find:

$$
F_{\rm BSS}^{\rm BHS}(r<20'')=21/315=0.07\pm0.02
$$

a figure which is surprisingly twice the value they reported on the whole area. Note that using their sample and their assumptions on the cluster center (485, 689 in their coordinate system), we found 20 BSS with $r < 20''$ and 24 BSS with $r < 25'' (= 1r_c)$, which is quite different from that listed in their Table 1.

This evidence indicates that in the BHS sample F_{BSS} is much higher in the very innermost region than averaged over the whole sampled area. In particular, we found $F_{\rm BSS}^{\rm BHS}(r > 20'') = 26/1071 = 0.024 \pm 0.005$, which is a factor of 3 smaller than that obtained in the inner region.

We can thus conclude that, contrary to their own conclusions, the BHS data already showed a high BSS specific frequency in the very inner regions, even though their achieved completeness was still quite low.

5.3. Guhathakurta et al. 1994

The ratio obtained in the innermost region of M3 (r < 20′′) from our HST sample is compatible with the one found by GYBS. The number of BSS detected in the common area is almost equal at similar magnitude levels (28 by GYBS, 32 in our bright sample and 44 in the faint one). However, only 24 of these candidates are in common between the two independent selections (19 in the bright sample and 5 in the *faint* one, respectively).

5.4. Burgarella et al. 1995

In the small region covered by their FOC/96 observations, BPQ detected 12 BSS. In the same zone, we identified 17 candidates (8 in the bright sample and 9 in the faint one, respectively) but only 8 (4 in the bright sample and 4 in the faint, respectively) are in common. We also measured many more "normal" stars so that, though increasing the number of BSS, we actually get a lower $F_{\rm BSS}$ than found by BPQ (if only bright BSS are counted):

$$
F_{\rm BSS} = 8/52 = 0.15 \pm 0.08
$$

which is still much higher than that obtained by averaging over the total central area. The BPQ selection of BSS candidates has been performed using an UV CMD down to m_{220} < 19.0 which is almost coincident with the magnitude $(m_{255} < 19.0)$ we adopted to separate the *bright* and *faint* samples. Despite this the final lists are significantly different. This is partially due to the differences in the response of the used cameras (FOC/96 + $F220W$

and WFPC2 + $F255W$, but it certainly confirms the importance of the photometry and the adopted selection criteria, even starting from quite comparable observational set-ups.

In fact, if we consider our global sample in the region covered by their field we would get:

$$
F_{\rm BSS} = 17/52 = 0.33 \pm 0.08
$$

which is fully consistent with the value (0.29) they obtained.

As first noted by BPQ, these values indicate that the BSS specific frequency significantly increases toward the center of M3. Still the small numbers of stars and the residual incompleteness (for both BSS and reference stars) yield an uncertainty large enough to make these values compatible with the F_{BSS} obtained in the whole region with $r < 20''$.

6. The adopted global BSS sample in M3

¿From the discussion above it is clear that the possible biases affecting any sample of BSS candidates make it quite difficult to produce a reliable global catalog of the BSS spanning the complete radial range from the very center out to the far outskirts of M3.

We have therefore decided to collect all the candidates proposed so far by any search and find all the coincidences among the various lists. At this point we adopt general criteria to select a fiducial list of BSS candidates. It is not trivial to establish criteria which would give safe BSS identifications.

One cannot simply select those stars labeled as BSS in at least two independent surveys, because the different surveys have different resolution and sample different regions of the cluster with different crowding conditions. UV high resolution HST data in the central regions yield much more efficient and reliable detections.

In order to make appropriate choices taking into account the above caveats, we adopted different selection criteria in three different zones:

- 1. The inner region $(r < 20'')$, with 4 different surveys (three from HST , namely, GYBS, BPQ and this study, and one from the ground, BHS). In this region we have considered as reliable BSS candidates only the objects with at least 2 (out of 4 possible) independent identifications.
- 2. An intermediate region, where HST observations (from this survey) and two ground-based surveys (BHS and F93) are available. In this zone we exclude from the reliable BSS sample any candidate from ground-based observations which is not confirmed by the HST independent search.
- 3. An additional (quite small) region, with 2 ground based surveys (BHS and F93), but with no HST observations for verification. The spatial resolution of these

studies is sufficiently high, considering the much lower degree of crowding at these radial distances from the center, so we accepted all the BSS candidates identified in this region by both surveys.

Table 4 reports the total list of the 263 selected BSS candidates, referred to the same coordinate system (see PH94) and roughly to the same photometric system for the V band, eventually applying the magnitude shift (discussed in CCD97) to GYBS and BHS original magnitudes and colours.

The various columns are: (1) the new identification number (in order of increasing distance from the cluster center); (2) the identification numbers (when available) in: this paper (HST, Table 2, 3), BPQ, GYBS, BHS, F93, Paez et al. (1990), Ables et al. (1982), Sandage and Katem (1982), and Sandage (1953), respectively; (3) the (apparent) V magnitude adopted to study the BSS luminosity function (note that original magnitudes and colours can be found in each quoted study); (4)-(5) the X , Y coordinates in arcsec; (6) the distance $(r, \text{ in arcsec})$ from the adopted cluster center; $(7)-(10)$ the identification labels: numbers indicates the identification numbers in each study in which the BSS has been detected; the label "no" means the BSS candidate is located in the field of view of that survey but it was not identified as a BSS; "out" indicates the BSS is located outside the field of view.

Since there is a large difference in the quality of the sample with decreasing the BSS luminosities, and since we eventually aim at studying the radial distribution of the bright BSS (to avoid strong MS contamination and poor photometry) as we did in our previous study (F93), we have divided also the global sample into two sub-groups, according to the BSS luminosity. In most of the following discussion we will concentrate on the global bright sample (whose 122 members are flagged in Table 4).

The HST observations of the core of M3 have allowed us to complete the BSS survey over the entire cluster. Since the field covered by the PC is almost exactly the same as that of the data set presented by F93 and CCD97, the main problem we have here is to match the various photometric systems so that the luminosity cuts in the original sub-samples are equivalent. This procedure may have a quite significant impact on the discussion of the radial distribution since, usually, different detectors and photometric systems have been used in different cluster zones.

Using the BSS having all the necessary colours, we have estimated that the limiting magnitude used in F93 $(B = 18.6)$ to separate the bright and faint BSS samples corresponds to the magnitude $V \sim 18.3$, and to $m_{255} = 19.0$ used in Sect. 3 to separate the two corresponding HST samples. To do this we traced a mean ridge line for the BSS locus in the various CMDs and read the luminosity correspondence at fixed colour. Since the BSS in clusters typically display a wide spread in colour the use of the ridge line would be not strictly justified. However, we believe that adopting the limits $m_{255} = 19.0$, $B = 18.6$, and $V \sim 18.3$ provides a homogeneous cut with a precision of ± 0.10 mag or better.

6.1. The adopted global bright BSS sample

The 122 BSS brighter than the above magnitude limits (included in Table 4) over the total radial range form our global bright BSS sample. In addition, based on the criteria adopted in F93, we have selected samples of reference "normal" stars spanning a similar magnitude interval. These are essentially subgiant branch stars, whose samples should be as complete as the BSS population detected in the same areas, with the same search techniques.

Since the positions of the RGB reference stars in the external region $(r > 360'')$ are not available from Sandage (1953), we limited the following analysis to the area within $0 - 360''$.

Over this area we have measured V magnitudes (though in different photometric systems from groundbased or HST observations) and one or more colours $(m_{255}, B \text{ and/or } I)$ for almost all these stars. In Figure 4 we plot CMDs in the appropriate colours in different radial regions for both the BSS (filled triangles) and reference stars (dots). Panel (a) shows the $(V, m_{255}-V)$ CMD for the region covered by HST – the two lines indicate the limiting magnitudes for the RGB $(B < 18.6)$ and the BSS $(m_{255} < 19.0)$ samples. Panel (b) shows the $(V, V - I)$ CMD for the region with $r < 210''$ and outside the HST field. Panel (c) shows the $(V, B - V)$ CMD for the outer regions (out to $r < 360''$). In panel (c), 7 bright BSS from Sandage (1953) lying at $r > 360''$ have been plotted as open squares, for completeness. Their original colours have been shifted ($\Delta V = 0.077$ and $\Delta (B - V) = 0.15$) to fit to the BSS sequence.

In Figure 4(a), two bright BSS candidates (namely No. 6430 and 35060) have $m_{255} - V > 1.5$; these stars have been found to be strongly contaminated in the V band by nearby $($0.2''$) red bright stars.$

6.2. The adopted global faint BSS sample

As already stressed, the reliability of the *global faint* sample is low due to the strong selection bias. One should use it with particular care. The present sample gives 141 faint BSS candidates. Their radial distributions in the four annuli considered to describe the global bright sample would be 43, 59, 34, 5 at $r < 20''$, $20'' < r < 210''$, $210'' < r < 360''$ and $r > 360''$, respectively. However, since the quality of BSS searching, photometry, and selection is highly variable with distance from the cluster center, we preferred to make no further use of this sample.

7. The BSS radial distribution

As pointed out by many authors and recently summarized by Bailyn (1995), most BSS in GGCs are found to be centrally concentrated with respect to "normal" stars. Since the central relaxation time in these systems is much smaller than the cluster age, this result is generally ascribed to dynamical mass segregation and interpreted as an evidence that BSS are more massive than the comparison stars. At variance with this simple scenario, in F93 we found that the radial distribution of BSS in M3 is clearly bimodal (see F93, Figure 9).

In this section we discuss first the radial distribution of BSS in the inner regions observed with HST , and then extend the analysis to the global bright sample spanning the whole radial range. To this aim we have applied the procedure already discussed in our previous papers (see F93, CCD97).

7.1. The BSS radial distribution: the HST central sample

The radial distribution of the 72 bright BSS candidates (with m_{255} < 19.0) listed in Table 2 has been compared to that of a sample of RGB stars assumed as "reference" population. The BSS have been selected using the m_{255} magnitudes in the UV-CMD, and the RGB stars are much fainter in this band. To compensate for this we checked the reference sample in the $(V, V - I)$ CMD, eventually choosing RGB stars brighter than $V \sim 17$. This procedure reduces the (small) fluctuations introduced by the poorer photometry for the very red stars in the UV bands.

The cumulative radial distributions for the 72 bright BSS and the 567 RGB stars are plotted in Figure 5 as a function of their projected distance from the cluster center. It is evident from the plot that the BSS (solid line) are more centrally concentrated than RGB stars (dotted line). In fact, $\sim 50\%$ of the *bright* BSS are inside $r < 24''$ $(\sim 1r_c)$, while only $\sim 33\%$ RGB stars are located within this distance.

A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test has been applied to the two distributions to check the statistical significance of the detected difference. The test yields a probability of ∼ 99.5% (∼ 3σ level of confidence) that the bright BSS population in the central region of M3 has a different radial distribution than the selected RGB stars. The level of confidence grows to $\sim 3.5\sigma$ if one considers the whole sample of 171 (bright+faint) BSS listed in Tables 2 and 3.

In conclusion, there is a significant evidence that the bright BSS candidates are more centrally concentrated than the RGB stars spanning the same magnitude interval.

7.2. The BSS radial distribution: the global sample

To study the radial distribution of the global bright sample, we have compared its cumulative radial distribution with that of the reference stars. The two groups of stars consist of 114 BSS (out of 122) with $r < 360''$ and 1581

RGB stars, and their cumulative radial distributions are reported in Figure 6. As it is evident from the plot and already shown in our previous study (F93, Figure 7), there is a clearly bimodal trend, with the BSS (solid line) more centrally concentrated than RGB stars (dotted line) in the central regions (out to $r < 100''$), while the opposite occurs in the outer regions. Figure 7 reports the cumulative distributions for the data broken into two subsets, separated at $r = 150''$.

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests applied to RGB and BSS distributions yield the following results for the significance of the difference:

- 1. global sample $(0'' < r < 360'')$: ∼ 99.96% (∼ 3.5σ)
- 2. inner sample $(0'' < r < 100'')$: ∼ 99.96% (∼ 3.5σ)
- 3. outer sample $(100'' < r < 360'')$: ∼ 85.5% (∼ 1.5σ)

These results confirm the existence of a significant dip in the radial distribution worthy of further discussion.

To see this effect in a different way, we have computed the doubly normalized ratios for the BSS and the RGB stars, following the definitions made by F93:

$$
R_{\rm BSS} = \frac{(N_{\rm BSS}/N_{\rm BSS}^{\rm tot})}{(L^{sample}/L_{tot}^{sample})}
$$

and

$$
R_{\rm RGB} = \frac{(N_{\rm RGB}/N_{\rm RGB}^{\rm tot})}{(L^{\rm sample}/L^{\rm sample}_{\rm tot})}
$$

respectively.

The numbers of BSS and RGB in each annulus, the sampled luminosity, and the resulting ratios with the associated errors are reported in Table 5. The *relative fre*quency of BSS so obtained is then plotted as a function of the distance from the cluster center in Figure 8 and compared with the corresponding one for the RGB "reference" stars. Note that an additional annulus with $360'' < r < 600''$ has been added using the candidates by Sandage (1953) to compute R_{BSS} . This annulus gives at least an indication of the BSS population in the outermost parts of the cluster, even though we have no data to compute the corresponding R_{RGB} value.

As can be seen, the BSS specific frequency reaches its maximum at the center of the cluster, showing no evidence of a BSS depletion in the core of M3, contrary to the claim of BHS. We stress that this conclusion has been obtained under the most conservative assumption that only the 32 bright BSS detected on the basis of the UV CMD (in the innermost bin) are real.

7.3. Dynamical simulations: comparison with the models

The peculiar radial distribution of BSS in M3 has been the object of a detailed study by Sigurdsson et al. (1994). They presented the results of a simulation of the dynamical evolution of a BSS population in a cluster with structural parameters similar to M3. Sigurdsson et al. assumed that stellar collisions during interaction in the core of the cluster between (primordial) binary and single stars are the dominant mechanism for the M3 BSS formation. The normalized radial distribution for a sample of ∼ 300 BSS obtained from this simulation is overplotted to the observed radial distribution in Figure 9. As noted by Sigurdsson et al. the overall morphology of the simulated distribution is qualitatively identical to the observed one, being able to reproduce:

- 1. the zone of avoidance at $\sim 5r_c$
- 2. the rising BSS density for $r > 8r_c$.

This situation arises as follows: the outer BSS have been formed in the core and then ejected into the outer regions by the recoil from the interactions. Those binaries which get kicked out to $r < 7r_c$ rapidly drift back to the center of the cluster due to mass segregation, leading to a concentration of BSS near the center and a paucity of BSS in the outer parts of this region. More energetic kicks will take the BSS to larger distances; these stars require much more time to drift back toward the core and may account for the overabundance of BSS at large distance.

It is quite interesting to note that the simulated BSS density in the innermost radial bin is a sensitive function of the ratio of the BSS lifetime to the halfmass relaxation time which, as noted by Sigurdsson et al. 1994, are highly uncertain. In particular, they set this parameter to a very low value since BHS observations at that time indicated a low BSS density in the core. The new HST observations (GYBS, BPQ, and this paper) show a clear overdensity of BSS in the core.

The observed bimodal distribution can provide interesting constraints. Sigurdsson et al. (1994) suggested that it could arise either because the dynamical friction timescale is short compared to BSS lifetime or because the BSS do not receive significant kicks on formation.

8. BSS Luminosity function

Several authors (Bailyn 1992,1995, Aurière et al. 1990, Fusi Pecci et al. 1992,1993, Sarajedini 1993) have suggested that BSS with different origin could have different photometric and spectroscopic characteristics. A potentially fruitful way to check these possibilities is to study in detail the BSS LFs and, in particular, its radial behavior in a cluster like M3 where a bimodal radial distribution has been found.

In particular, we can carry out a *direct* test of the validity of the scenario presented by Bailyn and Pinsonneault (1995–BP95). They computed evolutionary tracks of BSS generated by mergers of primordial binaries and stellar collisions, and presented theoretical LFs for both scenarios, suggesting that BSS made from collisions should be systematically brighter than those made from mergers of primordial binaries.

Even in our first updated BSS catalog (Fusi Pecci et al. 1993), we found quite convincing evidence that the BSS

Annulus	$N_{\rm BSS}$	$N_{\rm RGB}$		$L^{\rm sampled}/10^4 L_{\odot}$ $L^{\rm sampled}/L_{tot}^{\rm sampled}$	$R_{\rm BSS}$	$\epsilon_{\rm BSS}$	$R_{\rm RGB}$	$\epsilon_{\rm RGB}$
$0'' - 20''$	32	193	4.0	0.10	2.76	0.27	1.20	0.12
$20'' - 50''$	36	391	8.9	0.23	1.40	0.26	1.09	0.08
$50'' - 100''$	22	376	9.4	0.24	0.81	0.31	1.00	0.08
$100'' - 150''$	3	220	5.7	0.14	0.18	0.67	0.96	0.09
$150'' - 210''$	3	162	4.6	0.12	0.23	0.67	0.88	0.09
$210'' - 290''$	10	128	4.2	0.11	0.82	0.41	0.76	0.09
$290'' - 360''$	8	111	2.6	0.07	1.06	0.45	1.06	0.13

Table 2. Numbers and relative frequencies for BSS and RGB stars

LFs for clusters with $\log \rho_o < 3$ and $\log \rho_o > 3$, respectively, seem to be different (at more than 3σ). This earlier result supported the hypothesis that the BSS formation mechanism varies with varying overall cluster structural properties. It is thus quite easy to compare the available BSS data with the LFs predicted by BP95.

Since the theoretical LFs computed by BP95 are given in bolometric luminosity, a correction must be first applied to the data before comparing them with their models. Assuming for the absolute magnitude of the TO level $M_V = 4.0$ and a differential Bolometric Correction equal to 0.1 mag between the BSS and the TO stars, following BP95, we computed for each star the quantity:

 $Log(L/L_{\text{TO}}) = 0.4(3.9 - M_V)$

In Figure 10 we compare the BSS LFs for high density clusters (*panel a*) and low density clusters (*panel b*)), respectively, with the corresponding theoretical LFs. The BSS LF for low-density clusters appears to be consistent with that predicted from the merging of primordial binaries, while the BSS LF for high-density clusters fits better to the claim that they were mostly formed by collisions. Though not conclusive—both the available data and the models need to be improved—this comparison adds some observational support to the claim that BSS formation mechanisms are affected by environmental conditions.

We can now test further this idea and the model proposed by BP95 by using the available BSS catalog in M3 to compare the BSS LFs obtained from different radial areas. In fact, as pointed out first in our previous paper (F93), the bimodal BSS radial distribution in M3 naturally leads one to imagine that the BSS located in the inner regions of the cluster could have a different origin with respect to those populating the outer zones.

Following this suggestion and using the BSS sample we published in F93, BP95 found convincing evidence that the BSS in the outer regions of M3 were probably formed from mergers of primordial binaries. They were however

unable to reach firm conclusions concerning the innermost regions $(r < 20'')$, where the sample was very small and covered a restricted luminosity range (in order to avoid the contaminations from supra-SGB stars). The HST data give us the opportunity to further investigate this aspect.

Therefore, we have obtained the LFs for the BSS (a) located within the region $0'' < r < 100''$ from the cluster center (see Figure 8) and with $B < 18.6$, and (b) located within the annulus with $210'' < r < 360''$. Following BP95, we have adopted:

$$
Log(L/L_{TO}) = 0.4(19.1 - V)
$$

and computed the LFs over luminosity bins of 0.1 (= 0.25) mag).

In Figure 11 the observed BSS LFs in the two regions are compared to the theoretical ones obtained from the BP95 models. The observed LF in the inner region is clearly compatible with that predicted within the collisional framework, while that obtained in the outer annulus is consistent with the prediction of primordial binaries merging.

As noted above, for the comparisons of the BSS LFs of different clusters, these indications are still too crude to allow us to draw any firm conclusion based on a complete statistical analysis. However, in our view this evidence confirms the possibility that two different populations of BSS can actually be present in M3.

8.1. Special warning

Concerning the possible interpretation of the global BSS properties (i.e. radial distribution and LF), we have to note that the comparison with the (still uncertain) theoretical models would yield a possible contradicting scenario. In fact:

– (a) the radial distribution (see section 7.3) fits properly to the Sigurdsson et al. (1994) model, which suggests that all BSS are formed via collisions in the central area and only a fraction of them are kicked out at large distance;

– (b) the LFs seem to be in agreement with the BP95 models which would lead to interpret the whole BSS population as formed by two main mechanisms: collisions (in the inner regions) and merges of primordial binaries (in the outer regions).

We cannot solve the *dilemma* on the basis of the available data. Spectroscopic information could perhaps add useful hints on the issue. In particular, data on the detailed chemical abundances (especially, helium) and on rotational velocities could set important constraints on modeling the BSS formation and evolution.

9. Evolved BSS on the HB?

Renzini and Fusi Pecci (1988) first suggested that some stars located at the red extreme of the HB could be evolved BSS, experiencing the core helium burning phase. Then, assuming a binary origin for the BSS (which, in turn, leads them to have high masses) and using the models computed by Seidl et al. (1987), Fusi Pecci et al. (1992) identified 47 red HB objects as possible post-BSS candidates in a sample of 10 GGCs. In particular, Renzini and Fusi Pecci (1988) identified 7 stars in M3 slightly brighter and redder than normal HB stars which could represent the evolved-BSS population.

To pursue this idea in our subsequent studies of M3, we (PH94, CCD97) defined a photometric box suitable to identify these post-BSS candidates, labeled as ER (Extreme Red)-HB stars. Now, based on the whole PHOTO+CCD+HST sample which we have secured, we estimate the total ER-HB population to be 19 stars. For clarity, we have plotted a zoomed CMD of the HB in Figure 12, and the box delimiting the ER stars in HB has been shown.

Having defined a set of "plausible" post-BSS candidates, one can then check whether these stars have retained memory of the unusual radial distribution of their progenitors.

Unfortunately, the ER sample is *intrinsically* quite poor, so we have defined only 4 radial bins instead of 7, and have computed the double-normalized frequency (R_{ER}) as defined for the BSS:

$$
R_{\rm ER} = \frac{(N_{\rm ERanul}/N_{\rm ERtot})}{(L_{\rm anul}/L_{\rm tot})}
$$

Where N_{ERanul} , L_{anul} , N_{ERtot} , and L_{tot} are the numbers of detected ER-HB stars and the sampled luminosity in each annulus, and the total sample respectively.

In Figure 13 panel a, the relative frequency of ER-HB stars in M3 is plotted as a function of the radial distance from the cluster center, while panel b shows the radial behavior of the BSS. As can be seen the overall trend is qualitatively the same, and the region with $100'' < r < 200''$

characterized by a depletion of BSS shows a clear deficit of ER-HB stars too. The statistical significance of this depletion is admittedly poor since only 3 stars have been detected compared to the 10 expected in the region of the dip. The observed number is only a $\sim 2\sigma$ fluctuation from the expected value. Clearly it is too speculative to conclude that we have shown the existence of a true connection between the ER-HB stars and the BSS. On the other hand, it may be useful for future analyses to keep track of such a clear qualitative agreement between the two radial distributions.

If one assumes that the connection between the ER-HB stars and the BSS is real, one can relate the population ratios and the lifetimes of these evolutionary stages. The ratio between the number of BSS and ER-HB stars using our sample in M3 ($r < 360''$) is

$$
\frac{N_{\rm BSS}}{N_{\rm ER-HB}} = \frac{122}{19} = 6.4
$$

which is in good agreement with a mean value of 6.6 over a sample of 10 GGCs found by Fusi Pecci et al. (1992).

For comparison, Greggio & Renzini (1990) give a relation for the expected number of ER-HB as a function of the sampled luminosity on the basis of the so-called fuel consumption theorem (Renzini and Buzzoni 1986) yielding:

$N_{\text{ER-HB}} = 6 \times 10^{-13} L_T t_j$

Assuming $t_{\text{HB}} \sim 10^8 \text{yr}$ from Seidl et al. (1987) and considering that with the complete PHOTO+CCD+HST survey we have sampled $\sim 4 \times 10^5 L_{\odot}$ (i.e. ~ 77% of the whole cluster luminosity), we have that the predicted number of ER-HB stars would be $N_{\text{ER}-\text{HB}} \sim 24$, which is in excellent agreement with our observed sample (19 stars). However, first, one has to note that the above relation for the lifetime has actually been calibrated using the population of BSS and ER-HB in the outer regions of M3 (Buonanno et al. 1986), so this result cannot really be considered to be an independent confirmation of the connection between the two stellar populations. Second, the fuel consumption theorem may well not apply to BSS straighforwardly, since they probably start out with considerable fuel already burned in their cores.

Despite the care we have taken, the connection of ER-HB stars with the post-BSS is "suggestive" rather than proven. We think it is worthwhile to pursue the detailed search and study of the post-BSS since they must be detectable somewhere in the CMD of any GGC containing BSS (Renzini and Fusi Pecci 1988, Fusi Pecci et al. 1992). The HB is still the most promising location where they could be identified as (if truly more massive) they could somehow differ from "normal" cluster stars. On the other hand, if correct, our previous estimate indicates that to detect 1 post-BSS during the core helium burning phase (ER-HB), one must sample $\sim 2 \times 10^4 L_{\odot}$. This implies that in order to obtain a statistically significant sample of ER-HB stars one should sample large fractions ($> 50\%$) of the brightest GGCs.

Given the difficulty of observing samples adequately large to connect the ER-HB and post-BSS via population studies, it might be appropriate to consider alternate techniques. In either the merged binary or collision mechanisms one might expect a substantial amount of CNO processed material to end up in the surface layers of the stars. This material could show the same kind of abundance anomalies found by Kraft et al. (1996 and references therein) in highly mixed red giants, i.e., extreme oxygen depletion coupled with sodium and aluminum enhancements. A differential study comparing ER-HB/post-BSS candidates to normal RHB stars could be quite revealing.

10. Variable BSS in M3

Mateo et al. (1996 and references therein) have shown the existence of variable BSS and classified them as SX Phoenicis, eclipsing binaries or contact binaries W UMa.

Our observations do not have a time coverage suitable to look for variability. It is thus difficult to select BSS variable candidates only on the basis of our photometry. Since the U exposures cover \sim 25 minutes in time duration, possible BSS candidates can be perhaps found by looking for particularly large values of σ_U , the rms frame-to-frame scatter.

The mean σ_U in the range of magnitude 17.5<U<18.5 for the RGB stars and for BSS is $\sigma_U^{RGB}=0.02$. It is quite interesting to note that BSS/5866 shows a $\sigma_U \sim 0.16$ which is $\sim 8\sigma$ greater than the average value; this BSS has not been catalogued as possible variable by GYBS, who, on the other hand, found only one variable BSS in their sample: BSS/576 (in their catalog). For this star they published also a possible light curve (see figure 16 in GYBS), however in our photometry this star –BSS/1498– has $\sigma_U = 0.033$. It is thus hard to say any additional comment on variability.

11. Three very bright BSS in M3

Figure 14 shows the CMD in the ultraviolet plane with the total sample of BSS identified using different symbols $(big \text{ dots} = \text{bright BSS}, \text{and \text{asterisks}} = \text{faint BSS}).$ Overplotted on the data are two isochrones (2 and 14 Gyr) computed by Dorman (1995, unpublished). These respectively have a TO-mass of ~ 0.8 and $\sim 1.5 M_{\odot}$. Most of the BSS candidates are consistent with a mass $< 2M_{\rm TO}^{\rm M3}$, and are thus clearly compatible with the hypothesis that they are the result of advanced stages of evolution of binary systems.

However, it is also quite interesting to note that there are three objects which are ∼ 0.5 mag brighter than the predicted TO of the $1.5M_\odot$ track. None of these (namely

No. 24768, 50012 and 6674) were present in the selection by BHS and GYBS. Two (No. 24768 and 6674) are are actually brighter (0.3 mag) than the BSS box defined by BHS, and are located slightly fainter than the blue region of the HB. No. 6674 is located within the field covered by GYBS, but it was not considered to be a BSS candidate. The third object (No. 50012) is an HB star in BHS and F93 while it is outside the field covered by GYBS. Since this object is positioned in our frames very close to the WF2 edge, we cannot exclude the existence of a sizable error in our photometry, and will thus exclude it from the following analysis.

The positions of these stars in the UV-CMD suggest that they could be bright BSS rather than "peculiarly faint" HB stars, since the HB is quite well defined and these stars are located ~ 0.5 mag below the lower envelope of the HB (the plausible Zero Age HB). Since they do not show any variability, they cannot be identified as candidate RRLyrae variables observed out-of-phase in the two colours. If they are "true" BSS, these objects could have originated from multiple systems $(3 \text{ or more objects}),$ and would demonstrate that such complex interactions can take place in the core of M3.

The possible formation of multiple systems has been proposed by Leonard (1993) from the interaction between two binary systems. Their existence could, for instance, explain the BSS F81 in M67, which shows a mass greater than twice the TO mass (Leonard and Linnel 1992). The predicted percentage of the "stable" multiple systems from collision of binary systems is $\sim 2\n-4\%$ (Leonard 1996), fully compatible with our observed percentage $(3/171 \sim 2\%)$.

Alternatively, the very high luminosity reached by the brightest BSS could be ascribed to the effects of helium mixing in the envelope during the collision. In particular, Sandquist et al. (1997) describe the evolution of massive objects obtained from hydrodynamical simulations of direct collisions of single and binary stars. They suggested that the luminosities of the brightest BSS (much brighter than expected for a $2 M_{\rm TO}$ star) can still be explained by the merger of two $1 M_{\text{TO}}$ stars. The resulting object has overall helium enrichment because the collision mixes the helium produced during the prior evolution.

12. Conclusions

Using new HST observations and a thorough revision of all previous surveys of blue straggler stars in M3, we carried out a complete re-analysis of BSS properties and, in particular, of their radial distribution. The results are:

– From the careful revision of all the 263 BSS candidates proposed at least by one of the various surveys carried out so far, we have adopted an updated catalog of the BSS candidates in M3 which includes 122 bright (with $B < 18.6, V < 18.3, m_{255} < 19.0$) and 141 faint objects. The *bright global* sample within the radial region at $0'' < r < 360''$ includes 114 objects. This sample, hopefully complete over the whole region, has been used for the subsequent analysis. All the candidate objects are listed along with comments in Table 4. The faint sample is surely incomplete and it may be strongly biased by the selection criteria which are hard to define at the separation between the BSS region and the MS stars.

- The BSS radial distribution is clearly peaked at the cluster center. BSS candidates in the inner regions (see section 7.1) are more concentrated than "reference" normal SGB and RGB stars. In particular, star counts yield a rather high specific frequency for the BSS in the cluster core, contrary to previous claims which were affected by incomplete samples.
- The global radial distribution of the BSS in M3 is bimodal, with a clear-cut dip in the region at $100'' <$ $r < 200''$ and a rising trend in the outer region (out to $r \sim 360''$, as first noted by F93. This evidence adds support to the idea that different mechanisms of BSS formation and survival could be at work also within the same cluster, or that special segregation effects take place during the dynamical cluster evolution. There is an interesting *qualitative* agreement between the observed radial distribution of the bright BSS and the predictions of the dynamical models computed by Sigurdsson et al. (1994) which take into account both star collisions and merging of primordial binaries.
- The total Luminosity Function is consistent with that obtained for all the known BSS candidates (about 700 in ∼ 35 GGCs, see Fusi Pecci et al. 1992, Sarajedini 1993, Bailyn 1995). Due to quoted selection biases, the faint end of the LF is still highly uncertain. In addition, as already found in other clusters (see references above), there are a few BSS candidates which seem too bright to possibly have originated from binary systems.
- The LFs of the inner $(r < 100'')$ and outer $(r > 210'')$ regions are different. The brightest BSS are slightly more frequent in the central zones. In particular, comparing with the available models (BP95), one finds that the BSS LF in the outer regions $(r > 210'')$ is consistent with the scenario based on merging of primordial binaries as basic formation mechanism. In the central region, the BSS LF is compatible with the collisional origin, though the significance of the difference is small.

From the above considerations, the BSS content in M3 can be schematically described as follows:

- 1. A large fraction of the BSS populate the very central cluster regions. The LF suggests that most of them were probably generated by stellar collisions (possibly) involving primordial binaries, which are actually disrupted in the core (BP95).
- 2. The dip detected in the radial distribution is probably due to mass segregation. In particular, according to Sigurdsson et al. (1994), primordial binaries lying less

than $7r_c$ from the cluster center are attracted toward the center and then disrupted, and BSS produced by collisions in the core and kicked out at $r < 7$ " drift back to the core in a short time-scale.

3. On the other hand, most of the BSS in the outer regions are originated from the merging of primordial binaries, though a few of them could also be originated by collisions in the core and pushed out at large distances because of very energetic dynamical kicks (Sigurdsson et al. 1994).

A deeper discussion of the BSS properties in this and in other GGCs will be surely possible as soon as new data will become available from the HST frames currently taken or reduced for the central regions of many Galactic globular clusters.

Acknowledgements. We gratefully thank the referee, Charles Bailyn, for helpful comments and suggestions to improve the presentation, and G. Iannicola and I. Ferraro whose expertise on ROMAFOT was invaluable for the completion of this work. This research was supported by the Ministero dell' Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST), the Agenzia Spaziale Italiana (ASI), and the Gruppo Nazionale di Astronomia del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (GNA-CNR). R.T.Rood & B.Dorman are supported in part by NASA Long Term Space Astrophysics Grant NAGW-2596.

References

- Ables, H.D., Dahn, C.C., & Hewitt, A.V. 1982, PASP, 94,748
- Aurière, M., Ortolani, S., Lauzeral, C., 1990, Nature, 344, 638
- Bailyn, C.D., 1992, ApJ, 392, 519
- Bailyn, C.D., 1995, ARA&A, 33, 133
- Bailyn, C.D., Pinsonneault, M.H., 1995, ApJ, 439, 705 (BP95)
- Bolte, M., Hesser, J.E., Stetson, P.B. 1993, ApJL, 408, L89 (BHS)
- Buonanno, R., Corsi, C.E., Buzzoni, A., Cacciari, C., Ferraro, F.R., Fusi Pecci, F. 1994, A&A, 290, 69 (PH94)
- Buonanno, R., Buscema, G., Corsi, C.E., Ferraro, I., Iannicola, G. 1983, A&A, 126, 278
- Buonanno, R., Buzzoni, A., Corsi, C.E., Fusi Pecci, F. Sandage, A.R. 1986, Mem.SAIt, 57, 391
- Buonanno, R., Corsi, C.E., De Biase, G.A., Ferraro, I. 1979, in Image Processing in Astronomy, eds. G. Sedmak, M. Capaccioli & R.J. Allen, Trieste, Italy, p.354
- Buonanno, R. & Iannicola, G. 1988, PASP, 101, 294
- Burgarella, D., Paresce, F., Quilichini, V. 1995, A&A, 301, 675 (BPQ)
- Dorman, B., Rood, R.T., O'Connell, R. 1995, ApJ, 442, 105.
- Ferraro, F.R., Fusi Pecci, F., Buonanno, R., 1992a, MNRAS, 256, 376
- Ferraro, F.R., Clementini, G., Fusi Pecci, F., Sortino, R., Buonanno, R., 1992b, MNRAS, 256, 391
- Ferraro, F.R., Bellazzini, M., Fusi Pecci, F., 1995, A&A, 294, 80
- Ferraro, F.R., Fusi Pecci, F., Cacciari, C., Corsi, C., Buonanno, R., Fahlman, G.G., Richer, H.B. 1993, AJ, 106, 2324 (F93)
- Ferraro, F.R., Carretta, E., Corsi, C., Fusi Pecci, F., Cacciari, C., Buonanno, R., Paltrinieri, B., Hamilton, D., 1997, A&A, in press (CCD97)
- Fusi Pecci, F., et al. 1997, in preparation
- Fusi Pecci, F., Ferraro, F.R. , Cacciari, C., 1993, in Blue Stragglers, ed. R.A. Saffer, ASP Conf. Series, 53, 97
- Fusi Pecci, F., Ferraro, F.R. , Corsi, C.E., Cacciari, C., Buonanno, R. 1992, AJ, 104, 1831
- Greggio, L., Renzini, A. 1990, ApJ, 364, 35
- Guhathakurta, P., Yanny, B., Bahcall, J.N., Schneider, D.P. 1994, AJ, 108, 1786 (GYBS)
- Holtzmann, J.A., Burrows, C.J., Casertano, S., Hester, J.J., Trauger, J.T., Watson, A.M., Worthey, G., 1995, PASP, 107, 1065
- Kraft R.P. et al. 1996 , AJ, 109, 2586
- Laget et al, 1997, in preparation
- Leonard, P.J.T., Linnel, A.P., 1992, AJ, 103, 1928
- Leonard, P.J.T., 1993, in Blue Stragglers, ed. R.A. Saffer, ASP Conf. Series, 53, 189
- Leonard, P.J.T., 1996, in The origins, evolution and destinies of binary stars in clusters, eds. E.F. Milone and J.C. Mermilliod, ASP Conf. Series, 90, 337
- Mateo, M. 1993, in Blue Stragglers, ed. R.A. Saffer, ASP Conf. Series, 53, 74
- McClure, R.D., Hesser, J.E., Stetson, P.B., Stryker, L.L., 1985, PASP, 97, 665
- Paez, E., Straniero, O., Martinez Roger, C. 1990, A&AS, 84, 481
- Renzini, A., Buzzoni, A. 1986, in Spectral Evolution of Galaxies, C. Chiosi and A. Renzini eds., (Reidel, Dordrecht), 135
- Renzini, A., Fusi Pecci, F. 1988, A.R.A.&A., 26, 199
- Sandage, A.R. 1953, A.J., 58, 61
- Sandage, A.R., Katem, B. 1982, A.J., 87, 537
- Sandquist, E.L., Bolte, M., Hernquist, L., 1997, ApJ, March issue.
- Sarajedini, A. 1993, in Blue Stragglers, ed. R.A. Saffer, ASP Conf. Series, 53, 14
- Seidl, E., Demarque, P., Weinberg, D., 1987, ApJS, 63, 917
- Sigurdsson, S., Davies, M.B., Bolte, M., 1994, ApJ, 431, L115

Fig. 1. The areas surveyed in various BSS studies of M3. The global (PC+WF2+WF3+WF4) field of view of the HST field is shown by the solid line. The dotted squares represent the boundaries of previous surveys in the center of M3: Bolte et al. (1993, labeled BHS), Guathakurta et al. (1995, label GYBS), Burgarella et al. (1995, labeled BPQ), respectively. The circle at $r = 20''$ is the inner limit of our previous ground-based surveys (F93, CCD97).

Fig. 2 Converted to .jpg file

Fig. 2. UV-CMD in the plane $(m_{255}, m_{255} - U)$ for more than 18,000 stars identified in the HST field. The variable stars are not shown.

Fig.3 converted to .jpg file

Fig. 3. Blue stragglers in M3. The solid line at $m_{255} = 19.4$ is the assumed limiting magnitude (which is $\sim 5\sigma$ above the TO level). The dashed line at $m_{255} = 19.0$ (which corresponds to $B \sim 18.6$ in PH94) divides the total sample in two sub-samples: the Bright sample (Bright-BSS)solid circles and the the Faint sample (Faint-BSS)asterisks

Fig. 4 converted to .jpg file

Fig. 4. The BSS candidates (filled triangles) in different parts of the cluster, and the RGB (dots) population for comparison. Panel (a): the $(V, m_{255} - V)$ CMD for the region covered by HST – the two lines indicate the limiting magnitudes for the RGB stars $(B < 18.6)$ and the BSS $(m_{255} < 19.0)$; panel (b): the $(V, V - I)$ CMD for the region with $r < 210''$ outside the HST field; and panel (c): the $(V, B - V)$ CMD for the outer regions (to $r < 360''$). In panel (c) the empty squares are 7 bright BSS from Sandage (1953) with $r > 360''$: their original colours have been shifted ($\Delta V = 0.077$ and $\Delta (B - V) = 0.15$) in order to fit to the BSS sequence.

Fig. 7. Same as Figure 5 for the sub-sample of stars at (a) $r < 2.5'$ and (b) $2.5' < r < 6'$.

 0.1 0.4 $\frac{1.6}{(\text{arc}}$ 0.4 0.8 2.4 2.8 1.2

 $100\,$ $\mathbf 0$ 200 300 400 500 $r(arcsec)$

Fig. 8. The relative frequency of BSS in M3 is plotted as a function of the radial distance from the cluster center. The horizontal lines show the relative frequency of the RGB stars used as a comparison population. Note that for $r > 6'$ only the relative frequency of BSS has been computed using the Sandage (1953) candidates.

Fig. 9. The relative frequency of BSS in M3 is plotted as function of the radial distance from the cluster center in units of core radii. The frequency expected from the simulation by Sigurdsson et al. (1994) has been overplotted as empty triangles.

Fig. 11. The BSS luminosity functions for the inner regions $(r < 100''$ – panel (a)) and outer regions $(r > 210''$ – panel (b)) are compared to the theoretical predictions: line symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 9.

 $r<150$ 14. $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ ЕF 15.2 15.6 0.4 0.8 1.2 $V-I$ $r > 150$ " v **ER** $15.$ 15.1 0.4 0.8 $B-V$

Fig. 10. The BSS luminosity functions (solid lines) for clusters having $log(\rho_o)$ greater and smaller than 3 (panels (a) and (b), respectively) are compared to the theoretical LFs for collisional BSS (dashed line) and primordial binary merger BSS (dotted line). The data are from Fusi Pecci et al. (1993), the theoretical LFs are from BP95.

Fig. 12. Zoomed CMD of the Horizontal branch region. The box defines the area in which the BSS progeny are expected (see PH94, CCD97). Panel (a): $(V, V - I)$ CMD for stars at $r < 150''$; panel (b): (V, $B - V$) CMD for stars at $150'' < r < 360''$ and outside the HST field.

Fig. 13. Panel (a) The relative frequency of ER-HB stars in M3 is plotted as function of the radial distance from the cluster center. Panel (b) The relative frequency of the BSS is plotted for comparison. As can be seen the overall trend for the two types of star is qualitatively the same.

Fig. 14. Zoomed CMD of the BSS region: the symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 3. Two isochrones have been plotted: (i) 14 Gyr (dashed line) with a TO mass of $\sim 0.8 M_\odot$ which nicely fits to the TO region and the RGB; and $\left(ii\right) 2$ Gyr (solid line) which corresponds to a TO mass of $\sim 1.5 M_\odot \sim 2 \times M_{TO}$ (Dorman 1995, unpublished).

Tab.2 HST BSS : bright sample

Tab.3 (continued)

N	V	T	U	m_{255}	X	Y	r
33861	18.184	.	18.423	19.288	-2.260	36.600	36.670
45828	18.311	.	18.543	19.376	28.260	24.840	37.625
45007	18.447	.	18.652	19.187	23.660	29.860	38.097
46434	18.695	.	18.841	19.279	39.330	-0.050	39.330
21809	18.064	17.596	18.250	19.156	-40.500	-8.010	41.285
23822	17.897	17.195	18.271	19.368	-28.660	29.830	41.367
22805	18.356		18.436	19.260	-43.880	-2.030	43.927
46857	17.838	17.295	18.095	19.100	42.060	13.900	44.297
45472	17.711	17.046	18.051	19.268	44.490	-4.020	44.671
23181	17.917	17.471	18.193	19.031	-45.260	0.750	45.266
35241	18.212	17.743	18.411	19.222	9.500	45.370	46.354
31833	18.447	18.098	18.616	19.366	5.110	48.290	48.560
32122	18.426	.	18.606	19.275	17.710	45.610	48.928
42948	18.494	.	18.570	19.394	45.670	-22.980	51.126
43517	18.220	17.881	18.415	19.366	25.920	46.460	53.201
34564	17.980	17.360	18.295	19.180	-25.850	47.500	54.078
25134	18.219	17.576	18.418	19.261	-50.680	23.010	55.659
44881	18.179	17.750	18.305	19.301	56.040	-28.440	62.844
44584	18.257		18.499	19.284	65.090	13.220	66.419
31898	18.183	17.650	18.409	19.325	-13.450	65.380	66.749
32977	18.315	.	18.540	19.272	27.790	62.190	68.117
41199	18.400	.	18.587	19.111	58.860	47.010	75.329
31316	18.476	.	18.723	19.398	-33.530	67.550	75.414
23960	18.565	18.200	18.757	19.209	-73.000	-22.760	76.466
44882	18.006	.	18.278	19.397	92.550	13.970	93.598

Tab.4 (continued)

Tab.4 (continued)

$\mathbf N$	id		Х		r	GYBS	BHS	BPQ	F93
254	$F93-126$ [†]	17.791	122.000	351.000	371.598	out	out	out	out
255	$F93-127$ [†]	17.788	-285.000	-240.000	372.592	out	out	out	out
256	$F93-128$ [†]	17.380	156.000	350.000	383.192	out	out	out	out
257	S53-129	18.707		\cdots	410.000	out	out	out	out
258	S ₅₃ -130	18.717	\cdots		410.000	out	out	out	out
259	$F93-131$ ^T	17.506	408.000	-52.000	411.300	out	out	out	out
260	S53-132	18.417	\cdots	\cdots	420.000	out	out	out	out
261	$S53-133^{\dagger}$	18.057	\cdots		450.000	out	out	out	out
262	$S53 - 134^{\dagger}$	18.117	\cdots		460.000	out	out	out	out
263	$S53-135$ [†]	17.507			550.000	out	out	out	out

This article was processed by the author using Springer-Verlag
IAT_EX A&A style file *L-AA* version 3.

This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9703026v1>

This figure "fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9703026v1>

This figure "fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9703026v1>