

The shortest-period M-dwarf eclipsing system BW3 V38

CARLA MACERONI¹

Electronic-mail: *maceroni@coma.mporzio.astro.it*

Rome Observatory, via dell' Osservatorio 2, I-00040 Monteporzio C., Italy

and

SLAVEK M. RUCINSKI²

Electronic-mail: *rucinski@astro.utoronto.ca*

81 Longbow Square, Scarborough, Ontario M1W 2W6, Canada

September 4, 2018

ABSTRACT

The photometric data for a short-period (0.1984 day) eclipsing binary V38 discovered by the OGLE micro-lensing team in Baade's Window field BW3 have been analyzed. The de-reddened color $(V - I_C)_0 = 2.3$ and the light-curve synthesis solution of the I -filter light curve suggest a pair of strongly-distorted M-dwarfs, with parameters between those of YY Gem and CM Dra, revolving on a tightest known orbit among binaries consisting of Main Sequence stars. The primary, more massive and hotter, component maybe filling its Roche lobe. The very small amount of angular momentum in the orbital motion makes the system particularly important for studies of angular momentum loss at the faint end of the Main Sequence. Spectroscopic observations of the orbital radial velocity variations as well as of activity indicators are urgently needed for a better understanding of the angular-momentum and internal-structure evolutionary state of the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

The authors of this paper share a strong conviction that light-curve solutions of individual eclipsing binary stars should belong to the past, with the exception of extraordinarily important systems. We feel that the variable star V38 in the third (BW3) field of the OGLE micro-lensing project is such a system. With the orbital period of only 0.1984 day, it is the shortest-period known binary consisting of Main Sequence stars.

¹Affiliated with the Institut d'astrophysique de Paris – CNRS, France

²Affiliated with the Department of Astronomy, University of Toronto and Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University

BW3 V38, from now on called #3.038 ($\alpha_{2000} = 18^h04^m44^s.19$, $\delta_{2000} = -30^\circ09'05''.1$, $P = 0.19839$ day, $I_{max} = 15.83$, $(V - I_C)_{max} = 2.45$, $\Delta I = 0.78$), appears in the second instalment of the OGLE Catalog of periodically variable stars (Udalski et al. 1995) among contact binaries of the W UMa-type (EW). However, it did not pass the Fourier filter and was not included in the impersonally-selected sample of contact binaries in the discussion of all contact systems in Baade’s Window (the R-sample) by Rucinski (1997 = R97). But this rejection was a marginal one because the Fourier filter had been constructed for the most common G-type systems observed in the V -band, whereas in this case, it was applied to a M-type system observed in the I -band. It can be argued that light curves of contact binary systems are primarily dependent on the strongly perturbed geometry of the stars, and weakly dependent on the atmospheric properties (Rucinski 1993), but it is possible that application of the Fourier filter was carried too far for the case of #3.038. A full light-curve solution seemed to be in order.

The importance of #3.038 stems from its very short period, but also, if it is a contact system, from its very late spectral type. Short-period, late-type systems are common among contact binaries, but the period distribution of these systems shows a sharp cutoff, which is well defined by the system CC Comae, with the orbital period is 0.221 day and the intrinsic color $V - I_C = 1.39$ (Bradstreet 1985). In the volume-limited sample to 3 kpc formed from the OGLE sample (R97), contact binaries appear with high apparent frequency only within intervals of orbital periods and intrinsic colors $0.25 < P < 0.5$ day and $0.4 < V - I < 1.2$. This color range translates into the range of spectral types of about F2 to K5. It is an interesting puzzle of the stellar structure theory why the short period cutoff is so well defined and sharp, and why there are no contact binaries consisting of late-K and M dwarfs. Some arguments have been presented in favor of the stellar structures converging to the fully-convective state (Rucinski 1992), but – quite possibly – the reasons for non-existence of very low-mass systems are different and more complex. The discovery of #3.038 possibly confronts us with a contact system beyond the current period cutoff. The spectral type of #3.038 must be much later than that of CC Com, as the observed colors of the two systems are $V - I_C = 2.45$ and 1.39, respectively. A simple estimate of the reddening based on the maps of the reddening of Stanek (1996) (see Sec. 2.1) suggests $E_{V-I} = 0.18$. Thus, #3.038 is much cooler than CC Comae and consists of M-type dwarfs.

Even if #3.038 is not a contact system, it is extremely interesting object as a very close binary consisting of M-type dwarfs. Most stars in the Galaxy are M-type dwarfs, yet – because of their faintness – we know very little about them. In fact, the low end of the Main Sequence is calibrated by only two eclipsing systems, YY Gem and CM Dra. Because of large numbers of the M-type dwarfs, small systematic errors made in analyses of these two stars may have profound effects in our understanding of even so remotely related subjects as dynamics of the Galaxy or the critical density of the Universe. In addition, analysis of old, late-type dwarfs can give us such basic data as the primordial helium and metal abundances (Paczynski & Sienkiewicz 1984; Chabrier & Baraffe 1995; Metcalfe et al. 1996). We should note that CM Dra is a Population II object while YY Gem belongs to a sextuple α Gemini (Castor) system which must be relatively young

(age about 500 Myr) as it contains an unevolved A1V star. Thus, we urgently need more systems to clearly understand effects of age and metallicity in the lower Main Sequence.

The strong distortion of components is directly visible in the light curve (Figure 1) of #3.038. With continuing angular-momentum loss, which must operate in such a late-type system, the binary is apparently on its way to become a contact system. The major question is: Why we do not see such systems in large numbers? Is the angular momentum loss so severe that contact systems very quickly merge and form single stars? Or, at the other extreme, is the loss so feeble that most among close M-dwarf pairs did not lose enough angular momentum in the lifetime of the Galaxy to form contact systems? If the latter is the case, is #3.038 one of the oldest among such close M-type binaries?

This paper is meant as a first, exploratory attempt to extract as much information from the extant data as possible. We hope to stimulate interest in this important system; in particular we hope that the much needed spectroscopic observations will be obtained soon.

2. THE LIGHT CURVE SOLUTION

2.1. Assumptions

While attempting a more detailed study of #3.038, one is confronted with the limited extent of the available data. The OGLE data published in the catalogs and available over the *ftp* service consist of the light curve in the *I*-band, the maximum-light $V - I_C$ color and the orbital period. The light curve (Figure 1) is rather noisy, with a standard error at maxima of $\simeq 0^m.02$, but with deep eclipses ($\Delta I = 0.78$) suggesting a high value of the inclination. An analysis of the light curve is still sensible, but one has to be careful about the strategy to apply in the solution and about the estimation of uncertainties of the derived parameters. With any standard light-curve synthesis method, such as the Wilson and Devinney (1971 = WD) program (we used its updated 1992 version), the main and the most obvious concern would be the choice and the number of free parameters. We address this matter below, but first we look into the available information about components of #3.038.

The observed, possibly strongly-reddened $V - I_C$ color is the only information about the spectral type of the components. To derive the unreddened $V - I_C$ color and the approximate absolute magnitude of the system, we used a simple iterative procedure which followed the one presented in R97. The R97 computations were based on Stanek (1996) reddening maps of Baade’s window and on the calibration period-color-magnitude established for W UMa binaries. We do not know whether #3.038 is actually a contact system. Therefore, we used the same iterative approach as in R97, but replaced the W UMa calibration with the Main Sequence $M_V = M_V(V - I_C)$ relation of Reid and Majewski (1993), shifted upward by 0.75 mag for a binary system of identical components. The final values are: $(V - I_C)_0 = 2.3 \pm 0.1$, assuming errors of 0.03 and 0.5 in $V - I_C$

and M_I , respectively. The predicted systemic absolute magnitude is $M_I \simeq 7.5$ and the distance is $d = 400 \pm 85$ pc. The relatively red intrinsic color corresponds to a M3 spectral type, so that a reasonable value of primary effective temperature is $T_1 = 3500$ K (Bessell 1979 , 1990).

Extensive tables of limb darkening coefficients for R, I, J, K bands have been recently published by Claret et al. (1995). We used the (linear) limb darkening coefficient, $x = 0.56$, corresponding to the primary effective temperature and $\log g$ between 4 and 5. The gravity darkening and reflection coefficient were fixed at the standard values for convective envelopes ($g = 0.32$, $A = 0.5$; Lucy 1967, Rucinski 1969). The low effective temperature of primary does not allow the use of the model-atmosphere option in the WD code which is limited to the temperature range 4,000 – 25,000 K (we note that this option in the 1992 version of the code uses the obsolete model atmospheres from 1969). All computations were therefore performed in the black body approximation. For a one band-pass light-curve in I , this is definitely not a critical assumption.

The maxima of the light curve show slightly different heights. The difference is about $\delta I = 0.02$ which can be modeled by a dark spot on the larger star. In order not to introduce too many free parameters into the geometric-elements solution, the spot parameters were determined by trial an error *before* starting the differential correction procedure. Since the spot characteristics are very weakly constrained by light curve solutions without spectroscopic information (Maceroni and Van’t Veer 1993), we assumed the spot to be located on the equator of the primary component. The other fixed parameters were: longitude and angular radius of the spot 290 and 11 degrees, respectively, and the temperature factor $T_{\text{spot}}/T_{\text{star}} = 0.8$. The advantage of having a spot is in preventing occurrence of oscillations in the iterative procedure between solutions which fit one maximum at a time.

2.2. Results of the solution

The input model for the light curve solution consisted of a system of two identical stars with the characteristics described in the previous section and exactly filling the inner critical Roche surfaces. From this point, the surface potentials were left the freedom to vary in an independent way, adopting the WD “mode 2” of operation, which is normal for detached systems. We used seven adjustable parameters: the orbital inclination i (in degrees), the mass ratio of the system q (expressed as the mass of the eclipsing component to the eclipsed one in the deeper minimum), the two surface equipotentials Ω_1 and Ω_2 (defined as in the WD code), the secondary effective temperature T_2 , the primary component luminosity L_1 in the spectral band used and the phase shift of the primary eclipse $\Delta\phi$ (expressed in units of the orbital period). Their subdivision in two subsets (Wilson and Biermann 1976) helped to control the strong inter-dependencies present among some of the parameters during the iteration process. The procedure quickly converged to the solution presented in Table 1. This table contains the main solution as well as the results of an “bootstrap re-sampling” experiment described below. The geometry of the final model is presented in Figure 2. The two components are very close to, but still inside, their respective Roche critical

surfaces. However, for the more massive and hotter component, the results are consistent at the one-sigma level, with the star actually filling its critical inner potential, popularly known as the “Roche lobe”.

2.3. Uncertainties of parameters

It is well known in the community of users of the Wilson-Devinney program that this fine code provides excellent light curves, but that the estimates of errors of the adjustable parameters are unrealistically small. The reason is partly the strong correlation between the relatively many parameters, partly the non-normal distribution of measurement errors. The WD code provides the “probable” errors, ϵ_i , which are derived by the differential correction routine and are related to the standard errors of the linearized least-squares algorithm through $\epsilon_i = 0.6745\sigma_i$. The errors σ_i can be used as a measure of the uncertainties only for normal distributions of the errors.

As explained in the book “Numerical Recipes”, Sec.15.6 (Press et al. 1992), the technique of bootstrap re-sampling is probably the most useful for estimation of confidence levels for complex least-squares solutions. It uses input data re-sampled with repetitions, establishing confidence levels from the spread in resulting parameters. However, its application was not possible with the current WD code, which – by intent – was designed on the principle of “interactive branching” during the differential correction procedure (Wilson 1985). Combining the interactive branching with the bootstrap re-sampling would mean performing thousands of separate solutions “by hand”. Instead, we used a simplified bootstrap approach in which 10,000 least-squares solutions were made within the minimum already established by a single, iterated solution. Thus, only one set of light-curve deviations and parameter derivatives were used. This, by necessity, would give us under-estimates of the real errors. However, as we have found through application of this approach, these estimates are larger than the formal mean standard errors and do show strong inter-parametric correlations. We should remember that inter-parametric correlations are not always a detrimental circumstance, as some derived parameters (such as radii) may show smaller uncertainties than for the case of simple quadrature addition of uncorrelated errors. The bootstrap-estimated errors tend to be also somewhat pessimistic as some re-samplings give light curves so clumpy and unevenly covered that nobody would ever attempt solving them. Since we see potential for under- and over-estimation of the errors, we give in Table 1 both sets of error estimates, the mean standard errors for the iterated solution and the one-sigma ranges around the median values for the bootstrap experiment.

A selection of contour plots giving two-dimension representations of the one-sigma uncertainty levels is shown in Figures 3 and 4. They have been obtained by finding contours enclosing 68.3% of the bootstrap solutions around their respective median values. They are frequently elliptical (indicating inter-parametric correlations), but symmetric around the medians of the respective seven primary parameters. The symmetry is due to use of one set of derivative within the minimum of the summed squared deviations. It should be noted that the bootstrap solutions

tend to cluster slightly away from the best, iterated solution. This is caused by the fact that the bootstrap solutions are always based on light curves with poorer coverage than the full solution. This biases the distribution of the mass-ratio q , as this essential parameter is determined solely from the distortion of the light curve between minima. If this part of the light curve is poorly covered, or if observations have large errors, then the mass-ratio would tend to take smaller values. The only proper resolution of this difficulty would be to obtain spectroscopic radial-velocity data which would stabilize the solution.

2.4. Relation to the Roche geometry

The Figure 4 contains uncertainty plots for the relative radii of the components. Here we used the “side” radii in the orbital plane which – as can be shown – are practically equal to the volume radii. In addition to the mean radii from the iterated solution, we also show the median values. Note that they are not exactly in the centers of the one-sigma contours, as for the other, primary elements. This is due to the inter-parametric correlations, since the radii are given by expressions of the type $r_i = r_i(\Omega_i, q)$.

As we can see in Figure 4, the results for the radii are not well constrained. However, a plot in the same figure of the uncertainty contours for the surface potential of the larger component, Ω_1 , indicates that the primary almost fills its Roche lobe, as the potential is slightly larger than the critical one. The one-sigma contour follows the critical potential curve for the relatively large range of mass-ratios permitted by the current solution. If the primary actually fills its lobe, any possible mass-transfer between components would be undetectable in the current, single-epoch, photometric data.

3. DISCUSSION OF #3.038 PROPERTIES

3.1. Comparison to YY Gem and CM Dra

With the photometric solution of the light curve given in Table 1 we still have rather modest information about the system. Four parameters result from the solution (i , q and Ω_1 , Ω_2 or r_1 , r_2), two describe the relative luminosity characteristics of the components (T_2 and L_1) and two more come from the original observations ($V - I_C$, P). On the basis of these, we can attempt to place #3.038 relative to other eclipsing M-dwarfs on the Main Sequence. There are only two such systems: YY Gem (Castor C), analyzed by Bopp (1974) and by Leung & Schneider (1978) and CM Dra analyzed by Lacy (1977) and by Metcalfe et al.(1996). Both are well detached systems with orbital periods 0.81 day and 1.27 day, and both show only moderate proximity effects, in that no mutual illumination of the components is visible and the stars are practically undistorted by tidal forces. In this respect, #3.038 is very different as this is the first known M-type binary

showing strong distortion of components, directly linked to the very short orbital period of 0.198 day. The ages of the reference stars are very different: YY Gem belongs to the Castor (α Gem) system whose age must be young as it contains hot, unevolved stars³, whereas CM Dra is a Population II object. Nothing is known at this moment about population characteristics of #3.038.

The color of #3.038, $(V - I_C)_0 = 2.3 \pm 0.1$, gives us information about its effective temperature relative to YY Gem and CM Dra. Both reference systems were discussed by Monet et al. (1992) in relation to other intrinsically faint stars. In particular, their Kron–Cousins colors were plotted in Figure 11 of Monet et al. which relates the colors to the effective temperature. These unpublished data had come from observations at the U.S. Naval Observatory⁴: YY Gem, $V - I_C = 1.92$; CM Dra, $V - I_C = 2.94$. Bessell (1990) previously measured CM Dra in the same system and obtained $V - I_C = 2.92$. Thus, judging by the colors, #3.038 is placed about half way in the effective temperature between YY Gem and CM Dra.

An independent evaluation of the relation of #3.038 to YY Gem and CM Dra can be obtained from mean densities of the stars. The published masses and radii for YY Gem and CM Dra permit direct determination of the component densities in both systems. They are: 3.0 ± 0.4 and 4.1 ± 0.6 g/cm³ for YY Gem and 21 ± 3 and 22 ± 3 g/cm³ for CM Dra. For #3.038 we can use the Kepler’s law, as re-written by Mochnacki (1981): $\rho_1 = 0.079/(V_1(1+q)P^2)$ and $\rho_2 = 0.079q/(V_2(1+q)P^2)$ g/cm³, with the period P in days. This form emphasizes the orbital period which is known practically with no error. The relative volumes of components, V_1 and V_2 (in orbital units), are obviously poorly known as they contain uncertainties in radii in third power. Inserting our results for #3.038, we obtain $\rho_1 = 4.8 \pm 0.4$ and $\rho_2 = 6.0 \pm 3.4$ g/cm³. These are evaluations based on the assumption that the errors in q and R_i are uncorrelated. The bootstrap sampling estimates which explicitly take into account the correlations are less pessimistic: $\rho_1 = 5.1^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$ and $\rho_2 = 5.9^{+1.6}_{-1.5}$ g/cm³.

Instead of using the derived geometric parameters, we can note that the components of #3.038 are apparently just inside their critical Roche lobes. Therefore, relatively firm *lower limits* on the densities can be estimated by using the volumes of these lobes, $V_1(q)$ and $V_2(q)$. The only source of uncertainty in these estimates is then in the mass-ratio q . We used the formulae of Eggeleton (1983) to determine the Roche-lobe volumes, $V_i(q)$. Using the iterated solution, we obtained $\rho_1 \geq 4.17 \pm 0.07$ and $\rho_2 \geq 4.60 \pm 0.05$ g/cm³, whereas the bootstrap sampling experiment gave: $\rho_1 \geq 4.09^{+0.15}_{-0.17}$ and $\rho_2 \geq 4.66^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$ g/cm³.

The results on the density clearly show that the densities of the components of #3.038 are

³According to probably the best extant spectral classification of Garrison & Beattie (1997), the hot components of the systems have spectral types A1m, A2Va, A2m, A5V:. A simple comparison with the Pleiades (where the earliest spectral types are B6IV and B8V) and Hyades (where the earliest spectral types are A2m and A5) with the respective ages of about 80 and 600 Myr, indicates that the age of sextuple system of Castor must be roughly 500 Myr.

⁴We are indebted to Dr. Conard Dahn for sending us the data.

larger than those of the YY Gem and that the primary component in the system may have relatively lower density than its companion, which which would be consistent with it being slightly more evolved of the two. However, it is doubtful if the densities of the stars in #3.038 are as high as those in CM Dra because the firm lower limits are probably very close to the actual values. Thus, we have another indication that in terms of the location on the Main Sequence, the components of #3.038 are in between those for YY Gem and CM Dra.

3.2. Angular momentum of #3.038

The short period of #3.038 brings up the question of the angular momentum (AM) of the system, H . Disregarding the spin angular momenta which contribute about 10% to the total AM, the formula for the orbital angular momentum is $H = 1.2 \times 10^{52} q / (1 + q)^2 (P/1 \text{ d})^{1/3} (M_{tot}/M_{\odot})^{5/3}$ in cgs units. For the current period of 0.2 day, and assuming $M_{tot} = 1 M_{\odot}$, the systems contains now about $H \simeq 1.8 \times 10^{51}$ cgs. This a very small amount, obviously due to the small masses and the short period. The question is: How much AM has been lost by the system in its evolution? The answer depends on when, at which orbital period, the components became inter-locked in the spin-orbit synchronism. If we assume that this happened at about $P \simeq 2$ days, which seems a plausible number, i.e. when $H \simeq 3.9 \times 10^{51}$ cgs, and simply subtract the two values, then the answer is that #3.038 has lost as much AM in evolving from 2 days to 0.2 day as it contains now. In order to evaluate the AM loss rate, we should know how long has this process has taken. For this we have no answer as we have no idea about the age and evolutionary state of the system. However, since the AM loss must have been appreciable, studies specifically addressing the questions of age and evolution will definitely shed light on the totally unexplored matter of the AM loss for rapidly-rotating M-dwarfs.

The angular momentum loss (AML) is normally thought to be related to the overall level of magnetic activity of stars, but the relation is still poorly known. It appears that both, the activity and the AML tend to be “saturated” at high rotation rates (Vilhu 1987). Stępień (1995) presented a formula for the AML in solar-type stars which explicitly relates it to the X-ray activity.

Results of further studies of #3.038 may have important ramifications ranging from the AM evolution soon after reaching the Main Sequence (Hartmann & Noyes 1987, Collier Cameron & Jianke 1994, Stauffer 1994, Soderblom 1996) to the famous period-gap in cataclysmic variable stars (Rappaport et al. 1983, Spruit & Ritter 1983, King 1994). In the case of earlier, solar type, components the secular evolution of the orbital period, as produced by AML and AM transfer by spin-orbit synchronization has been studied by several authors (Vilhu 1982, Maceroni and Van’t Veer 1991, Maceroni 1992).

At present, we have no measurements of activity of #3.038. A deep, pointed observation with

the ROSAT satellite (Guinan, private communication⁵), shows no X-ray emission at the place of the star with the upper limit of about 0.003 counts/sec, which translates into the upper limit to the X-ray flux: $f_X < 1.8 \times 10^{-13}$ erg/cm²/s. This upper limit is unfortunately about ten times higher than the expected level of X-ray emission from #3.038 assuming the 0.1% bolometric-to-X-rays conversion efficiency which maybe typical for most active late-type dwarfs (Fig. 17 in Stauffer et al. 1994): For $I_{max} = 15.83$, assuming the bolometric correction $BC_I \simeq 0.5$ for $V - I \simeq 2.3$ (Bessel 1991), we obtain $m_{bol} \simeq 15.3$ and the bolometric observed flux $f_{bol} \simeq 1.9 \times 10^{-11}$ erg/cm²/s. Therefore, the expected X-ray flux, using the Stauffer et al. scaling, would be about 1.9×10^{-14} erg/cm²/s. Additionally, this estimate does not include the neutral-hydrogen absorption which maybe large in this direction, at the distance of about 400 pc. It is known (Pye et al. 1994), however, that binary systems – even wide ones – can have considerably elevated X-ray activity, so that the matter is definitely not closed.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented analysis of the *I*-band light curve and of the photometric colors for the closest known pair of M-type dwarfs orbiting each other with the period of less than 0.2 day. The components of #3.038 appear to have properties intermediate between those of YY Gem and CM Dra; what sets them apart from these well-studied stars, is their strong distortion. The photometric solution indicates that the more massive component is very close to or at it critical Roche lobe. The present solution is however of moderate quality as the crucial parameter of the mass-ratio is poorly constrained by the photometric data. A spectroscopic radial-velocity study of this important system is very much needed.

Tightness of the orbit and the very late spectral types of the components invoke a series of questions related to the angular momentum loss in very close M-type dwarfs and to non-existence of contact binaries with spectral types later than middle-K. Why we do not see more systems like #3.038? Is the angular momentum loss too strong or too weak to produce observable quantities of such very close systems? What is the fate of the system with the continuing angular momentum loss? Answers to these questions require further observational data for this interesting system.

We would like to thank Drs. Conard Dahn, Ed Guinan, Kazik Stepień and Brian Beatty for useful comments, suggestions and information. The OGLE team is thanked for the open access to their database.

The research grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada to SR is acknowledged with gratitude.

⁵We are indebted to Dr. Ed Guinan for analysis of the Rosat data.

REFERENCES

- Bessell, M. S. 1979, *PASP*, 91, 589
- Bessell, M. S. 1990, *A&A*, 83, 357
- Bessell, M. S. 1990, *AJ*, 101, 662
- Bopp, B. W. 1974, *ApJ*, 193, 389
- Bradstreet, D. 1985, *ApJS*, 58, 413
- Collier Cameron, A & Jianke, L. 1994, *MNRAS*, 269, 1099
- Claret, A., Díaz-Cordovés, J., Giménez, A. 1995, *A&A*, 114, 247
- Chabrier, G. & Baraffe, I. 1995, *ApJ*, 451, L29
- Eggleton, P. P. 1983, *ApJ*, 268, 368
- Garrison, R. F. & Beattie, B. 1997, *Observers Handbook 1997*, The Royal Astr. Soc. of Canada (Univ. of Toronto Press), p. 199
- Hartmann, L. W. & Noyes, R. W. 1987, *ARA&A*, 25, 271
- King, A.R. 1994 in *Interacting Binary Stars*, ed. A. W. Shafter, *ASP Conf.*, 56, 189
- Lacy, C. H. 1977, *ApJ*, 218, 444
- Leung, K.-C. & Schneider, D. P. 1978, *AJ*, 83, 618
- Lucy, L. B. 1967, *ZfAp*, 65, 89
- Maceroni C. 1992 in *Inside the Stars*, I.A.U Coll. 137, eds W. W. Weiss and A. Baglin, *ASP Conf.*, 40, 374
- Maceroni, C., Van 't Veer, F. 1991, *A&A*, 246, 91
- Maceroni, C., Van 't Veer, F. 1993, *A&A*, 277, 515
- Metcalf, T., Mathieu, R. D., Latham, D. W. & Torres, G. 1996, *ApJ*, 456, 356
- Mochnecki, S. W. 1981, *ApJ*, 245, 650
- Monet, D. G., Dahn, C. C., Vrba, F. J., Harris, H. C., Pier, J. R., Luginbuhl, C. B. & Ables, H. D. 1992, *AJ*, 103, 638
- Paczyński, B. & Sienkiewicz, R. 1984, *ApJ*, 286, 332
- Pye, J. P., Hodgkin, S. T., Stern, R. A. & Stauffer, J. R. 1994, *MNRAS*, 266, 798
- Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., 1992, *Numerical Recipes in Fortran*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge and New York).
- Rappaport S., Verbunt F., Joss, P.C. 1983, *ApJ*, 275, 713
- Rucinski, S. M. 1969, *AcA*, 19, 245
- Rucinski, S. M. 1992, *AJ*, 103, 960

- Rucinski, S. M. 1993, *PASP*, 105, 1433
- Rucinski, S. M. 1997, *AJ*, 113, 407 (R97)
- Soderblom, D.R. 1996, in *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems, and the Sun*, eds. R. Pallavicini & A.K. Dupree, *ASP Conf.*, 109, 315
- Spruit, H.C. & Ritter, H. 1983, *A&A*, 124, 267
- Stanek, K. Z. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, L37
- Stauffer, J.R 1996, in *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems, and the Sun*, eds. R. Pallavicini & A. K. Dupree, *ASP Conf.*, 109, 305
- Stauffer, J. R., Caillault, J.-P., Gagné, M, Prosser, C. F. & Hartmann, L. W. 1994, *ApJS*, 91, 625
- Stępień, K. 1995, *MNRAS*, 274, 1019
- Vilhu, O. 1987 in *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems, and the Sun*, eds. J. L. Linsky & R. E. Stencel, Springer Verlag, p. 110
- Vilhu, O. 1982, *A&A*109, 17
- Udalski, A., Szymański, M., Kałużny, J., Kubiak, M., Mateo, M. & Krzeminski, W. 1995, *AcA*, 45, 1
- Wilson R.E. 1988, in *Critical Observations versus Physical Models for Close Binary Systems*, ed. K. C. Leung, Gordon and Breach (New York), p. 193
- Wilson, R. E., Devinney, E. J. 1971, *ApJ*, 166, 615 (WD)
- Wilson, R.E., Biermann, P. 1976, *A&A*, 48, 349




Fig. 1.— Light curve of #3.038 in the I -band from the OGLE database. The continuous line gives the best fit. The deviations are shown in the panel above the light curve.




Fig. 2.— The three-dimensional picture of #3.038 at the orbital phase 0.25.




Fig. 3.— Results of 10,000 “bootstrap re-sampling” solutions, as described in the text. The contours define the one-sigma uncertainty levels by enclosing 68.3% of all cases. The poor definition of some of the contours is entirely due to the contouring routine. The large crosses give the results of the mean iterated solution, with lengths of arms equal to the standard errors of parameters. The small slanted crosses give the median values for individual parameters. Refer to the text for the reasons why the mean and median values do not coincide. Note that the temperature of the secondary component was obtained by assumption of the fixed temperature of the primary, $T_1 = 3500$ K.




Fig. 4.— Additional combinations of parameters in the “bootstrap re-sampling” solutions. The broken lines refer to critical (Roche) surface values. Since the radii are the derived parameters, their median values are sometimes shifted from the centers of the one-sigma contours.

Table 1. Light curve solution of BW3_V38

Parameter	Solution mean & std err	Bootstrap median & 1-sigma
i (degr)	85.7 ± 1.2	85.8 ± 2.2
q	0.77 ± 0.09	0.70 ± 0.18
Ω_1	3.48 ± 0.13	3.40 ± 0.32
Ω_2	3.55 ± 0.25	3.36 ± 0.48
T_2 ($T_1 = 3500$)	3459 ± 15	3456 ± 28
$L_1/(L_1 + L_2)$	0.597 ± 0.036	0.597 ± 0.065
$\Delta\phi$ (phase units)	$+0.0020 \pm 0.0006$	$+0.0018 \pm 0.0012$
r_1 (side)	0.380 ± 0.011	$0.382^{+0.026}_{-0.037}$
r_2 (side)	0.322 ± 0.050	$0.323^{+0.029}_{-0.039}$
ρ_1 (g/cm ³)	4.8 ± 0.4	$5.1^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$
ρ_2 (g/cm ³)	6.1 ± 3.4	$5.9^{+1.6}_{-1.5}$
ρ_1 (g/cm ³) lim.	$> 4.17 \pm 0.07$	$> 4.09^{+0.15}_{-0.17}$
ρ_2 (g/cm ³) lim.	$> 4.60 \pm 0.05$	$> 4.66^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$

Note. — r_1, r_2, ρ_1, ρ_2 have been derived from the solution of the first 7 parameters. See the text for explanations of the lower limits to ρ_1 and ρ_2 .