

Lack of Evolution in the Iron Abundance in Clusters of Galaxies and Implications for the Global Star Formation Rate at High Redshift

R. F. Mushotzky and M. Loewenstein¹

Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics, NASA/GSFC, Code 662, Greenbelt, MD 20771

ABSTRACT

We present the first large sample of accurate iron abundances and temperatures for clusters at redshifts > 0.14 . We find that the Fe abundance shows little or no evolution out to $z \sim 0.3$. This and the early formation epoch of elliptical galaxies in clusters indicate that most of the enrichment of the intracluster medium occurred at $z > 1$. If clusters represent fair samples of the universe, then global metal production is 2-5 times greater than is inferred from recent studies of galaxies at low and high redshifts.

Subject headings: galaxies: abundances, galaxies: formation, intergalactic medium

1. Introduction

The hot intergalactic medium in low redshift clusters of galaxies has been enriched in iron to $\sim 1/3$ solar (Edge & Stewart 1991, Yamashita 1992) by processes that, if understood, are a powerful diagnostic of galaxy formation. Since the mass in the x-ray emitting gas exceeds that in the stars in galaxies by factors of 2-10 (assuming $H_o = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ as we do throughout this paper; we also assume $\Omega = 1$), the IGM is the main reservoir of metals in clusters. Moreover, the strong correlation of iron mass with optical light (Arnaud et al. 1992) implies a coupling of the constraints on the evolution of metals from the x-ray data on high redshift clusters and the optical data on the evolution of elliptical galaxies. If, as many theorists believe, clusters are fair samples of the universe, as well as the only systems likely to have retained all the metals produced by stars, then studies of the evolution of the IGM metallicity strongly constrain the star formation history in the universe.

There were few measurements of Fe in clusters at $z > 0.1$ before the launch of the *ASCA* satellite (Tanaka et al. 1994). Neither early results from *ASCA* (Mushotzky 1996, Tsuru et al. 1996), nor subsequent detailed individual measurements (Bautz et al. 1994, Donahue 1996, Matsuura et al. 1996), showed evolution in the Fe abundance out to $z \sim 0.3$. Here we report on a large sample of high signal-to-noise *ASCA* spectra of clusters at $z > 0.14$, and find no evidence for evolution of the cluster metal abundance. Since recent optical data reveals only passive evolution

¹Also with the University of Maryland Department of Astronomy

in cluster ellipticals out to $z \sim 0.4$, most of the cluster metals were produced at $z > 1$. We thus infer much higher star formation rates in the $z > 1$ universe than derived from optical/UV surveys.

2. Observations

We extracted and analyzed ~ 40 spectra of clusters at $z > 0.14$ from data in the *ASCA* public archives before 12/96. We concentrate on the 21 high signal-to-noise observations with 90% confidence error in Fe abundance < 0.12 – a moderately large sample upon which simple and unambiguous statistical tests can be made. At $kT \sim 6$ keV, the product of the flux, f_x , and exposure time must exceed $\sim 1.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ to attain this accuracy. For a typical *ASCA* exposure time of 40 ks (net exposures for two clusters in our sample, MS1358 and AC118, were ~ 70 ks), a minimum flux $f_{min} = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-12}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ is thus required. Before the release of the *ROSAT* all-sky survey, such objects were rare: e.g., in the IPC database only 19 clusters have $z > 0.14$ and $f_x > f_{min}$. Cross-correlation of the *RASS* and Abell catalogs yields 50 clusters with $f_x > 1.4f_{min}$ at $z > 0.14$ (Ebeling et al. 1996), but further cross-correlation with the *ASCA* observation log identifies only 19 clusters with $f_x > f_{min}$. Thus we include most of the high signal-to-noise, high- z clusters. (The flux from one cluster that apparently met our criterion, Abell 1774, turned out to be dominated by an AGN.) This subsample (Table 1) spans the bolometric x-ray luminosity (about twice the 2-10 keV luminosity) range from $10^{45.1}$ to $10^{46.3}$ erg s $^{-1}$, overlapping the low- z sample measured by previous satellites.

We applied standard processing to the data (e.g., Mushotzky et al. 1996); and, for both the SIS and GIS, used a 6' extraction radius, corresponding to 3 Mpc at $z \sim 0.3$ – sufficiently extended to include the total flux. At $z \sim 0.15$, 10-30% of the flux may fall outside the extraction radius. We used all-sky backgrounds accumulated during the *ASCA* deep survey pointings for clusters with $z < 0.2$, and background from the same field for the higher z systems. Spectra were fit to an isothermal, collisional equilibrium plasma model at the optical redshift of the source. The redshifts obtained from *ASCA* data are within 0.013 of the optical values (e.g., for the relatively faint MS1358 the fitted redshift is $z_{fit} = 0.323(+0.011,-0.022)$ compared to $z_{opt} = 0.327$, while for the brighter ZW3146 $z_{fit} = 0.292 (+0.004,-0.0061)$ and $z_{opt} = 0.291$).

Cooling-flow-plus-isothermal-plasma models were used in cases (ZW3146, A2390) where a cooling flow is clearly present in the *ROSAT* image (cf. Allen et al. 1996). As found by Allen et al. 1996, the extra component has little effect on the derived abundances of the isothermal plasma. Our fits are in excellent agreement with results for those clusters in Table 1 that were previously analyzed: RXJ 1347 (Schindler et al. 1996), ZW3146, A1835 and E1455 (Allen et al. 1996), A1204 (Matsuura et al. 1996), and MS0451 (Donahue 1996). (The results are new for all others.) The parameters derived from *ASCA* spectra (Figure 1) are robust for these high flux objects.

Table 1. High Signal-to-Noise Clusters

Cluster	$\log L_x^a$	$\log kT$ (keV)	Z_{Fe}^b	δZ_{Fe}^c	z^d
A1204	45.08	0.587	0.350	0.07	0.170
A1722	45.23	0.768	0.250	0.11	0.270
A1246	45.18	0.798	0.223	0.08	0.187
MS2137-24	45.25	0.643	0.410	0.12	0.313
A963	45.30	0.829	0.291	0.08	0.206
MS1358+62	45.30	0.813	0.272	0.10	0.327
A1413	45.36	0.797	0.289	0.05	0.143
A1763	45.38	0.953	0.263	0.09	0.187
A2218	45.41	0.857	0.180	0.065	0.171
A773	45.45	0.952	0.240	0.08	0.197
MS1455	45.47	0.660	0.330	0.08	0.258
A520	45.48	0.934	0.250	0.20	0.201
MS0451-03	45.60	1.01	0.157	0.12	0.539
AC118	45.65	0.970	0.228	0.09	0.308
A2390	45.73	0.949	0.220	0.06	0.230
ZW3146	45.74	0.802	0.240	0.05	0.290
A1689	45.77	0.947	0.260	0.06	0.180
A2204	45.78	0.903	0.450	0.05	0.153
A2219	45.92	1.07	0.250	0.07	0.228
A1835	45.92	0.910	0.320	0.05	0.252
RXJ1347-11	46.28	0.968	0.330	0.10	0.451

^aLog bolometric x-ray luminosity in erg s^{-1} assuming $q_o = 0$ and $H_o = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

^bFe abundance with respect to the Anders & Grevesse 1989 photospheric abundances.

^cThe error in the Fe abundance – 90% confidence for one parameter ($\Delta\chi^2 = 2.7$).

^dOptically determined redshift.

3. Cluster Metallicity as a Function of Redshift

Our sample of 21 clusters has nearly identical Fe abundance properties to the low- z *Ginga* sample (Yamashita 1992, Butcher 1995), *i.e.* a mean abundance $\langle \text{Fe} \rangle = 0.27 \pm 0.013$ and variance 0.076 (Figure 2) compared to $\langle \text{Fe} \rangle = 0.29 \pm 0.014$ and variance 0.062 (all errors are 90% confidence for one parameter of interest). A K-S test shows no significant difference between the samples. We find no evidence of systematic abundance variations with luminosity. The weak abundance-temperature correlation (Figure 3) is not significant at 90% confidence. We conclude that this sample, with $\langle z \rangle = 0.26$, shows no statistical differences in Fe abundance from a similar sample of low- z objects. Results for the systems with larger abundance errors agree with the above conclusions.

Although our sample is not flux- or redshift-limited, it has no obvious selection biases and should be representative of $z \sim 0.25$ clusters. Its mean luminosity, $\langle \log L_{bol} \rangle = 45.4$, and mean temperature, $\langle kT \rangle = 7.5$ keV, are slightly higher than in the low- z sample drawn from a flux limited survey ($\langle \log L_{bol} \rangle = 45.16$, $\langle kT \rangle = 5.96$ keV). The temperature-luminosity relationships of the $z \sim 0.25$ and low- z samples show no significant differences (Mushotzky & Scharf 1997).

4. Discussion

In high- Ω hierarchical clustering scenarios (Kauffmann 1995), the mass of rich clusters grows by ~ 2 from $z \sim 0.2$ to the present. Moreover, systems at $z \sim 0.4$ evolve differently from a cluster of the same richness observed today, with high- z clusters assembled over a shorter time interval and undergoing more merging in the few Gyr prior to the epoch when they are observed. Thus the present and low- z samples should differ if the accreted material has distinct properties, and the surprising constancy of both the mean and variance of the Fe distribution over this redshift range (corresponding to a lookback time of $\sim 1/4$ - $1/3$ the age of the universe) argues for a very homogeneous accretion process or a low- Ω universe where cluster growth is essentially completed at $z \sim \Omega^{-1}$ (White 1996). The substantial spread in cluster metallicity at $z = 0$ and $z = 0.25$ is unexpected within purely gravity-driven hierarchical clustering scenarios. Many of these clusters include an excess of blue galaxies compared to low- z systems (the “Butcher-Oemler effect”). None of these have anomalous metallicity: whatever processes converted high- z blue spiral galaxies into low- z spheroidal systems had no major impact on the IGM.

The absence of evolution over the past ~ 5 Gyr implies early enrichment of the IGM. Thus, ram pressure stripping of infalling galaxies at low- z cannot dominate the enrichment, but much of the cluster Fe could be produced by SNIa (Renzini et al. 1993): reconciling large Fe-mass-to-light ratios with modest present-day SNIa rates requires the rate to have a short rise time followed by steep negative evolution such that 90% of the Fe is produced at $z > 0.5$. Most of the cluster metals, perhaps including most of the Fe, were produced at high z by SNII (Loewenstein & Mushotzky 1996).

The stars in elliptical galaxies that we see today come from the same population that produced the metals in the IGM (e.g., Elbaz et al. 1995); moreover, the elliptical galaxies in several rich $z \sim 0.3$ - 0.4 clusters of galaxies show only passive evolution (e.g., Bender et al. 1996). Therefore, the star formation in elliptical galaxies that produced the cluster metals must have stopped > 2 Gyr before $z \sim 0.4$, placing the period of cluster metal formation at $z > 1$ – in excellent agreement with the lack of evolution inferred from *ASCA* data. This is in contrast to the history of metal production by disk galaxies, where star formation peaked at $1 < z < 2$ and continues through the present epoch (Pei & Fall 1995, Madau et al. 1996).

The containment by the deep cluster potential of the metals synthesized and ejected by supernovae during the epoch when the stars that make up present-day elliptical galaxies formed, enables us to calculate the $z > 1$ metal production by (proto-)ellipticals and estimate their contribution to the total metals produced at $z > 1$ and over the Hubble time. Assuming that relative abundances in clusters are constant, the mass in metals produced per unit (present-day) blue-band elliptical galaxy luminosity is ~ 0.3 in solar units. If the star formation (though not necessarily the *dynamical* evolution) in elliptical galaxy precursors proceeded in a similar way in the field and in clusters, then the product of the metal mass-to-light ratio and the early-type galaxy luminosity density (Loveday et al. 1992) yields the total mass density of metals from early-type galaxies,

$$\rho_z = 1.4 \cdot 10^7 M_\odot \text{Mpc}^{-3}. \quad (1)$$

This is consistent with the value, derived by Zepf & Silk 1996, required to account for the increase in stellar mass-to-light ratio with luminosity as due to stellar remnants from primordial star formation with an IMF biased towards high mass stars.

The metals ejected by proto-ellipticals, as calculated above, exceed what is estimated to be locked inside the stars in normal massive galaxies today by 2-5 (Madau et al. 1996). Since the latter is consistent with the star formation rate derived from galactic UV light, star formation in proto-ellipticals must have proceeded in an obscuring environment or in sub-luminous fragments (Loewenstein & Mushotzky 1996). Since half the star formation in disks has occurred since $z = 1$ but, star formation in ellipticals was completed by $z = 1$ (cf. Cowie et al. 1996), proto-spheroids increasingly dominate star formation at early epochs. If elliptical galaxy star formation predominantly occurred at $1 < z < 6$, the average metal production rate was

$$\frac{d\rho_z}{dt} = 3.4 \cdot 10^{-3} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}, \quad (2)$$

compared to averages from high- z , UV surveys of galaxies of 0.89 , 0.46 , and $0.17 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ for $z > 1$, $z > 2$, and $z > 3$, respectively.

Thus, global star formation rates derived from UV surveys are severe underestimates. At

$z > 0.3$, where $H\alpha$ redshifts out of the ground-based optical band, [OII]3727 or the UV continuum are used as star formation indicators. Recent ASTRO-2 UIT and HUT observations (Fanelli et al. 1996) show that there is often a shift of a factor 2 in specific star formation rates using the UV continuum instead of $H\alpha$; and furthermore, comparison of IRAS 60μ luminosities with $H\alpha$ values indicates another factor of 2 offset (see also, Buat 1992, Deharveng et al. 1994). Since even small amounts of reddening are sufficient to remove objects from the high- z galaxy samples and to change their inferred star formation rates, we believe that the cluster data are much more robust indicators of the true metal formation history of the universe.

5. Conclusions

The Fe abundance of the intergalactic medium in rich clusters of galaxies undergoes little if any evolution from $z \sim 0.3$ to $z \sim 0$. This strongly constrains high- Ω hierarchical theories in which clusters rapidly grow during this period. A real and constant spread in the metallicity at all observed redshifts implies stochasticity, not only in the construction of these massive systems, but also in the efficiency and/or timing of star formation within their constituent galaxies.

A self-consistent history of star formation in disk galaxies has emerged from a synthesis of observations of damped $L\alpha$ systems, deep ground based and *Hubble Space Telescope* surveys of star forming galaxies, and spectral synthesis and chemical evolution modeling. However, this census of star formation in the universe is incomplete, since most of the metals produced at high z were expelled from galaxies. A complementary picture of star formation in proto-spheroids is emerging, driven by the lack of evolution in IGM metallicity reported here and optical evidence that together indicate that cluster metals originate from the precursors of elliptical galaxies at $z > 1$. The L -dependence of M/L (Zepf & Silk 1996) and gross and relative IGM elemental abundances (Loewenstein & Mushotzky 1996) are explained if this star formation produces an IMF skewed toward high masses, precipitating a massive outflow of metal-enriched gas. This star formation must occur in sub-luminous fragments or be dust-enriched to avoid detection by UV surveys, but the IR and/or UV background produced is within reach of near-future measurements (Zepf & Silk 1996). The IGM of rich clusters are reservoirs for primordial metal-rich galactic outflows driven by this star formation, and their investigation with *ASCA* has enabled us to discover that ~ 4 times more metals were created at $z > 1$ than are inferred from high redshift galaxy data.

We thank Prof. Y. Tanaka for early encouragement of this work, and acknowledge the efforts of the entire *ASCA* team.

REFERENCES

- Anders, E., & Grevesse, N. 1989, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 53, 197
- Allen, S. W., Fabian, A. C., Edge, A. C., Bautz, M. W., Furuzawa, A., & Tawara, Y. 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 263
- Arnaud, M., Rothenflug, R., Boulade, O., Vigroux, L., & Vangioni-Flam, E. 1992, *A&A*, 254, 49
- Bautz, M. W., Mushotzky, R., Fabian, A. C., Yamashita, K., Gendreau, K. C., Arnaud, K. A., Crew, G. B., & Tawara, Y. 1994, *PASJ*, 46, L131
- Bender, R., Ziegler, B., & Bruzual, G. 1996, *ApJ*, 463, L51
- Buat, V. 1992, *A&A*, 264, 444
- Butcher, J. 1995, Ph.D. Thesis, Leicester University
- Cowie, L., Songaila, A., Hu, E. M., & Cohen, J. G. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 839
- Deharveng, J.-M., Sasseen, T. P., Buat, V., Bowyer, S., Lampton, M., & Wu, X. 1994, *A&A*, 289, 715
- Donahue, M. 1996, *ApJ*, 468, 79
- Ebeling, H., Voges, W., Bohringer, H., Edge, A. C., Huchra, J. P., Briel, U. G. 1996 *MNRAS*, 281, 799
- Edge, A., & Stewart, G. 1991, *MNRAS*, 252, 428
- Elbaz, D., Arnaud, M., & Vangioni-Flam, E. 1995, *A&A*, 303, 345
- Fanelli, M. et al. 1996, preprint (astro-ph/9612086)
- Kauffmann, G. 1995, *MNRAS*, 274, 153
- Loewenstein, M., & Mushotzky, R. F. 1996, *ApJ*, 466, 695
- Loveday, J., Peterson, B. A., Efstathiou, G., & Maddox, S. J. 1992, *ApJ*, 390, 338
- Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M., Giavalisco, M., Steidel, C. C., & Fruchter, A. 1996, preprint (astro-ph/9607172)
- Matsuura, M., Miyoshi, S. J., Yamashita, K., Tawara, Y., Furuzawa, A., Lasenby, A. N., Sanders, R., Jones, M., & Hatsukade, I. 1996, *ApJ*, 466, L75
- Mushotzky, R. F. 1996, in *Roentgenstrahlung from the Universe*, ed. H. U. Zimmerman, J. Trumper, and H. Yorke (MPE Report 263), 545

- Mushotzky, R. F., Loewenstein, M., Arnaud, K. A., Tamura, T., Fukazawa, Y., Matsushita, K., & Kikuchi, K. 1996, *ApJ*, 466, 686
- Mushotzky, R. F., & Scharf, C. A. 1997, *ApJ*, submitted
- Pei, Y. C., & Fall, S. M. 1995, *ApJ*, 454, 69
- Renzini, A., Ciotti, L., D’Ercole, & Pelligrini, S. 1993, *ApJ*, 419, 52
- Schindler, S., Hattori, M., Neumann, D. M., Bohringer, H. 1996, *A&A*, submitted
- Tanaka, Y., Inoue, H., & Holt, S. S. 1994, *PASJ*, 46, L37
- Tsuru, T., Koyama, K., Hughes, J. P., Arimoto, N., Kii, T., & Hattori, M. 1996, in *UV and X-ray Spectroscopy of Astrophysical and Laboratory Plasmas*, ed. K. Yamashita and T. Watanabe (Tokyo: Universal Academy Press), 375
- White, S. D. 1996, preprint (astro-ph/9608044)
- Yamashita, K. 1992, in *Frontiers of X-ray Astronomy*, ed. Y. Tanaka and K. Koyama (Tokyo: Universal Academy Press), 475
- Zepf, S. E., & Silk, J. 1996, *ApJ*. 466, 114

Fig. 1.— 68, 90 and 99% error contours for the temperature and Fe abundance in three rich clusters, as indicated, illustrating clusters with small, typical, and large abundance uncertainties.

Fig. 2.— Fe abundance *vs* redshift. The error bars show 90% confidence uncertainty limits.

Fig. 3.— Fe abundance *vs* gas temperature





