How long does it take for heat to flow through the sun?

G. Fiorentini^{§,†} and B. Ricci[†]

 \S Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Ferrara, via Paradiso 12, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy † Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Ferrara, via Paradiso 12, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy (February 1997)

This question, which often comes in when discussing solar neutrinos, is not clearly analysed in any textbook, to our knowledge. In this note we give a simple estimate of the flow time, also reminding its (ir)relevance to the solar neutrino problem, see [\[1\]](#page-2-0).

Most of the time is spent to flow from the energy production region, say $R \le R_e = 0.2 R_{\odot}$, to the bottom of the convective envelope, located at $R_b = 0.7 R_{\odot}$, as radiative transfer is much less efficient than the convective one.

As a simplification, we assume that in the radiative region each photon makes a random walk with mean free path $\lambda = 1/\kappa \rho$, where $\rho(R)$ and $\kappa(R)$ are the solar density and opacity calculated according to the standard solar model, see *e.g.* [\[2](#page-2-0)]. The radial dependence of λ has to be taken into account, since λ increases by a factor twenty when moving from R_e to R_b , see Fig. [1](#page-3-0).

After a time $\Delta t = \lambda/c$, the position **R** of the photon is changed to:

$$
\mathbf{R}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{R}(t) + \lambda(R)\mathbf{n} \quad , \tag{1}
$$

where **is a unit random vector. By squaring both sides of Eq.** (1) **and differentiating with** respect to time one has:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}R^2 = c\lambda(R) + 2c\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad . \tag{2}
$$

The last term vanishes when averaging over the photons isotropic ensemble:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\langle R^2 \rangle = c \langle \lambda(R) \rangle \tag{3}
$$

By the additional approximation $\langle \lambda(R) \rangle = \lambda (\langle R^2 \rangle^{1/2})$, Eq. (3) can be immediately integrated:

$$
t(R_1, R_2) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{2x dx}{\lambda(x)} .
$$
 (4)

From Fig. [1](#page-3-0) one sees that most of the time is spent in the inner regions, where opacity is higher. From the same figure one derives $t(R_e, R_b) = 4 \cdot 10^4$ yr.

As well known, for a constant mean free path λ_0 one has $t(R_e, R_b) = (R_b^2)$ $\frac{2}{b} - R_e^2$ $\binom{2}{e}/(c\lambda_0).$ By taking $\lambda_0 = 50 \,\mu$ m, as in the solar center, one would overestimate the flow time by an order of magnitude. On the other hand, for a typically quoted mean free path $\lambda_0 \simeq 1$ cm, the time is underestimated by an order of magnitude. All this shows the relevance of the radial dependence of λ .

The deficit of solar neutrinos might be related to a hypothetical solar instability, such that the present nuclear energy production rate ϵ_{\odot} is smaller than the observed luminosity L_{\odot} . One is tempted to identify $t(R_e, R_b)$ as the time scale over which the difference between ϵ_{\odot} and L_{\odot} is undetectable. Actually the pertinent time scale is a much longer one.

After the fire is switched off, the cooling time of a pot is not determined by the phonon propagation time, but by its thermal capacity. Much in the same way, if nuclear reactions were switched off the sun would keep its present luminosity as long as it can be substained by the thermal and gravitational energy U_{\odot} stored in it, i.e. for a time $t_{HK} = U_{\odot}/L_{\odot} \simeq 3 \cdot 10^7$ yr, as predicted by Helmholtz and Kelvin long ago, see also[[1\]](#page-2-0).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. N. Bahcall, Neutrino Astrophysics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1989.
- [2] F. Ciacio, S. Degl'Innocenti and B. Ricci, Astr. Astroph. (1997) in press.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The mean free path λ (dashed line, right scale) and the diffusion time T (full line, left scale) for photons produced at the solar center to reach the distance R, *i.e.* $T(R)=t(0,R)$.

 λ [mm]