

CMB POWER SPECTRUM ESTIMATION

L. Knox, J. R. Bond

*Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, 60 St. George St., Toronto, ON
M5S 3H8, CANADA*

A. H. Jaffe

*Center for Particle Astrophysics, 301 LeConte Hall, University of California,
Berkeley, CA, 94720*

We explore power spectrum estimation in the context of a Gaussian approximation to the likelihood function. Using the Saskatoon data, we estimate the power averaged through a set of ten filters designed to make the errors on the power estimates uncorrelated. We also present an improvement to using the window function, W_l , for calculating bandpower estimates.

Estimates of parameters, θ_p , from data will in general have correlated errors, ϵ_p ; $C_{pp'}^P \equiv \langle \epsilon_p \epsilon_{p'} \rangle$ is not necessarily diagonal. A Taylor expansion of the log of the likelihood function, $\ln \mathcal{L}$, about the values of the parameter that maximize it, θ_p^* , identifies C^P with the inverse of the second derivative of $\ln \mathcal{L}$. The expectation value of this second derivative is an important quantity known as the curvature matrix or Fisher matrix, F :

$$F_{pp'} \equiv -\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{L}(\theta_p)}{\partial \theta_p \partial \theta_{p'}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[(C_T + C_n)^{-1} \frac{\partial C_T}{\partial \theta_p} (C_T + C_n)^{-1} \frac{\partial C_T}{\partial \theta_{p'}} \right] \quad (1)$$


where C_T and C_n are the theory and noise covariance matrices, $\langle \Delta \Delta^T \rangle = C_T + C_n$, where Δ is the data (notation is more thoroughly explained in ¹). Since $\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{L}(\theta_p)}{\partial \theta_p \partial \theta_{p'}}$ is approximately equal to its expectation value, the parameter covariance matrix, C^P , is approximately the inverse of the Fisher matrix.

Knowing C^P is useful for two different purposes. Firstly, it is necessary if power spectrum estimation is to be used as a means of “radical data compression”. We have tried this with the Saskatoon data² and the parametrization $\mathcal{C}_l = q_B \mathcal{C}_{l,B}^{\text{cdm}}$, where $\mathcal{C}_l \equiv l(l+1)C_l/(2\pi)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{l,B}^{\text{cdm}}$ refers to standard, untilted cdm normalized to $\sigma_8 = 1$ and restricted to ℓ within band B . Having estimated q_B for ten contiguous evenly spaced bands from $\ell = 19$ to $\ell = 499$ we can approximate the likelihood function of θ , where θ is some other parametrization of the spectrum:

$$-2 \ln \mathcal{L}(\theta) \simeq \chi^2(\theta) = (q_A(\theta) - q_A) F_{AB} (q_B(\theta) - q_B) \quad (2)$$

where $q_B(\theta) = \langle C_l(\theta) \rangle_B / \langle C_l^{\text{cdm}} \rangle_B$ and the brackets mean a logarithmic average across band B . See¹ for how well this Gaussian approximation works when complicated slightly by a marginalization over calibration uncertainty.

The second use of C^P (or equivalently the Fisher matrix) is for the visual presentation of the power spectrum. We can plot linear combinations of power averaged through a set of filters where the filters are designed to produce uncorrelated estimates. One particularly useful set of filters comes from Cholesky decomposition³, which is simply LU decomposition for a symmetric matrix; find L such that $F = LL^T$. Notice now that L^{-1} does diagonalize F since $L^{-1}FL^{-1T}$ is equal to the identity matrix. See L in the left panel of the figure. The transformation affects the parameters by taking \mathbf{q} to $\mathbf{Q} = L^T\mathbf{q}$. To convert the estimate of each Q_β into a bandpower estimate in band β , $\langle C_l \rangle_\beta$ (plotted in the right panel of the figure), divide it by the sum over the filter function, $f_B^\beta = L_{B\beta} / \langle C_l^{\text{cdm}} \rangle_B$. To find the bandpower prediction of another theory, $\langle C_l^t \rangle_\beta$, average it over the filter function: $\langle C_l^t \rangle_\beta = \sum_B f_B^\beta \langle C_l^t \rangle_B / \sum_B f_B^\beta$. Note that f_B^β is playing the role of W_l/l in the usual bandpower procedure⁴. One can use $F^{1/2}$ instead of L which has been done with the COBE data⁵.



Left panel: Cholesky decomposition of the Fisher matrix. For each value of β the values of $L_{B\beta}$ at the ℓ value corresponding to the center of band B , are connected by straight lines. Right panel: Estimates of the power spectrum from the SK data set as given by the observing team (pentagons), and the quadratic estimator (triangles). The quadratic estimates are uncorrelated because they are estimates of power averaged over the filters f_B^β . The power estimates in the highest three bands have been averaged together.

We estimated \mathbf{q} with a quadratic estimator, which can be derived from a Gaussian approximation to the likelihood function, which the central limit theorem tells us is good in the limit of large data sets. The Gaussian approxi-

mation is equivalent to truncating the Taylor series expansion of $\ln \mathcal{L}(\theta + \delta\theta)$ after the 2nd order term. Doing so allows us to solve for $\delta\theta$ that maximizes the likelihood:

$$\delta\theta_p = \frac{1}{2}(F^{-1})_{pp'} \text{Tr} \left[\Delta\Delta^T (C_T + C_n)^{-1} \frac{\partial C_T}{\partial \theta_{p'}} (C_T + C_n)^{-1} - (C_T + C_n)^{-1} \frac{\partial C_T}{\partial \theta_{p'}} \right]$$

Note that due to the matrix inversions this is an order of n^3 operation, where n is the number of pixelized data points. Approximations to the weights $(C_T + C_n)^{-1}$ are necessary to make this estimator practical for very large data sets.

If we restrict ourselves to map-making experiments with no constraint removals, and make the parameters the C_i 's then this reduces to the quadratic estimator independently advocated by M. Tegmark⁶.

The dependence of the right hand side on θ_p suggests an iterative approach. The estimation of σ_8 for standard cdm took only three iterations starting from $\sigma_8 = 1$ and converging on $\sigma_8 = 1.41 \pm 0.08$ (c.f. 1.43 ± 0.08 via the full likelihood analysis). Therefore, for the power estimates shown in the figure, we used the quadratic estimator with C_T for $\sigma_8 = 1.41$ standard cdm.

The bandpower expected from a given theory, \mathcal{C}_l , for a given experiment with window function W_l is usually calculated by $(\sum_l \mathcal{C}_l W_l / l) / \sum_l W_l / l^4$. The optimal (minimum variance) filter, however, is not W_l / l but instead $\sum_{l'} F_{ll'}$ where the parameters of this Fisher matrix are \mathcal{C}_l and it is evaluated with values of \mathcal{C}_l consistent with the data and/or prior information. Heuristically, this is an inverse variance weighting. We recommend that observers reporting single bandpowers also report these filters which will improve the bandpower method as a means of ‘‘radical compression’’. In the limit that C_T is proportional to the identity matrix the two filters are equivalent: $\sum_{l'} F_{ll'} \propto W_l / l$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Andrew Hamilton for a useful conversation.

References

1. A. H. Jaffe, L. Knox and J. R. Bond, these proceedings.
2. B. Netterfield, M. J. Devlin, N. Jarosik, L. Page, & E. J. Wollack, *Ap. J.* **474**, 47 (1997).
3. A. J. S. Hamilton, astro-ph/9701008; astro-ph/9701009.
4. J. R. Bond, *Astrophys. Lett. and Comm.* **32**, 63 (1995).
5. M. Tegmark and A. J. S. Hamilton, these proceedings
6. M. Tegmark, astro-ph/9611174