

Magnetohydrodynamics in Superconducting-Superfluid Neutron Stars

Gregory Mendell

Institute for Fundamental Theory, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, U.S.A.

Email: mendell@phys.ufl.edu

ABSTRACT

MHD equations are presented for the mixture of superfluid neutrons, superconducting protons, and normal electrons believed to exist in the outer cores of neutron stars. The dissipative effects of electron viscosity and mutual friction due to electron-vortex scattering are also included. It is shown that Alfvén waves are replaced by cyclotron-vortex waves that have not been previously derived from MHD theory. The cyclotron-vortex waves are analogous to Alfvén waves with the tension due to the magnetic energy density replaced by the vortex energy density. The equations are then put into a simplified form useful for studying the effect of the interior magnetic field on the dynamics. Of particular interest is the crust-core coupling time which can be inferred from pulsar glitch observations. The hypothesis that cyclotron-vortex waves play a significant role in the core spin-up during a glitch is used to place limits on the interior magnetic field. The results are compared with those of other studies.

Key words: dense matter – hydrodynamics – MHD – stars: neutron – pulsars: general.

1 INTRODUCTION

Below a superfluid transition temperature of approximately 10^9 K the outer core of a neutron star is predicted to exist as an exotic plasma of mostly superfluid neutrons, with a small fraction of type II superconducting protons, normal electrons, and even fewer muons (Baym, Pethick & Pines 1969; Baym, Bethe & Pethick 1971; Baym & Pethick 1975, 1979; Epstein 1988). The mass density of this region ranges from 2.8×10^{14} g cm⁻³ to a few times this value, and the protons and electrons make up only a few percent of the total particle density. Rotation causes the superfluid neutrons to form an array of quantized vortices and magnetic fields cause the superconducting protons to form an array of quantized flux tubes (referred to generically as vortices from here on). Pulsar observations show that neutron stars can rotate at least 642 times per second and typically have surface magnetic fields of about 10^{12} G (Lyne 1995; Nice 1995). Weaker fields in the range 10^8 - 10^{10} G have also been observed in neutron stars, mostly in binary systems. The evolution of the surface field and its link to the interior field can provide clues to the physics of the neutron-star core (Bhattachary 1995). Other clues come from thermal-evolution studies (Tsuruta 1995) and the observation of rapid spin-ups, or glitches, of several pulsars (Alpar & Pines 1985; Sauls 1989; Alpar 1995; Chau 1995; Link & Epstein 1996.)

In the past it has been suggested that magnetic fields are responsible for the core spin-up during a pulsar glitch and that glitches may excite Alfvén waves in this region (Baym, Pethick, Pines & Ruderman 1969; Van Horn 1980 and references therein). More recently, a constraint on the

crust-core coupling time for the Vela pulsar has been used to set lower limits on the magnitude of the interior magnetic field (Abney, Epstein & Olinto 1996). These lower limits were derived using the Alfvén wave velocity, though a ‘modified’ Alfvén wave velocity was used in the case of a superconducting-superfluid interior.

The first goal of this paper is to develop the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) theory of the superconducting-superfluid outer-core of a neutron star, and to study the characteristic waves that occur in this exotic plasma. Hydrodynamic equations for this region have been developed by Mendell & Lindblom (1991), Mendell (1991a,b), and Lindblom & Mendell (1994). Here the MHD equations given in Mendell (1991a) are developed with a focus on the effects of the proton vortices. It is argued that the energy density due to individual proton vortices dominates the vortex effects, and that the interactions between vortices, including pinning between the neutron and proton vortices, can be ignored. After the MHD equations have been presented it is shown that Alfvén waves do not occur in the plasma considered here, but are replaced by cyclotron-vortex waves that have not been previously derived from hydrodynamic theory. The cyclotron-vortex waves found here are shown to be equivalent to the ‘modified’ Alfvén waves used in Abney et al. (1996).

The second goal of this paper is to put the equations into a simplified form useful for studying the effects of the interior magnetic field on the dynamics. The main simplification is to drop all but the largest of the force terms due to the magnetic flux and the vortices. The resulting equations are the superconducting-superfluid generalization of the MHD

equations that Easson & Pethick (1979) gave for the case of an ordinary p-e plasma. One advantage of the simplified equations is that the dissipative effects of electron viscosity and mutual friction due to electron-vortex scattering are easily studied following the methods given in Mendell (1991b). The scattering of electrons off the neutron vortices usually dominates the dissipative effects, though electron viscosity can be important in some situations. Another advantage of the simplified equations is that they should be particularly convenient for studying the effects of the magnetic field and cyclotron-vortex waves on the core spin-up during a pulsar glitch.

The final goal of this paper then is to investigate the hypothesis that cyclotron-vortex waves play a significant role in the crust-core coupling time, which can be inferred from pulsar glitch observations. Simple estimates are used to place several limits on the interior magnetic field, and these are compared with the results of other studies.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the relevant properties of superconductivity and superfluidity are reviewed and the MHD equations for the outer-core region are presented. The characteristic frequencies associated with these equations are also reviewed. In Section 3 it is shown that Alfvén waves do not exist and the dispersion relation for transverse axial modes in a constant magnetic field is found. The frequency of these modes depends on the cyclotron frequency and Kelvin vortex oscillation frequency, and so is called the cyclotron-vortex frequency. In Section 4 the effects of rotation are included and it is shown that the usual inertial mode exists, along with an inertial mode modified by Fermi liquid ‘drag’ effects, and a mode dependent on the cyclotron-vortex frequency. In Section 5 the equations are simplified, and dissipative effects are explored. In Section 6 the spin-up of the core during a pulsar glitch is discussed. In Section 7 the results are briefly summarized and suggestions for further work are discussed.

2 VORTICES AND THE MHD EQUATIONS

An ordinary plasma is a mixture of oppositely charged fluids that is locally charge neutral when in equilibrium. High frequency plasma waves result when the system is perturbed away from charge neutrality. But low frequency waves are also possible and these waves can be studied by taking the MHD limit. This limit is in force when the following restrictions apply: 1) the perturbations away from equilibrium preserve charge neutrality and, 2) the phase velocities are small compared to the speed of light. Alfvén waves are the characteristic MHD waves that travel along magnetic field lines in an ordinary plasma. (For more on the MHD limit see Boyd & Sanderson 1969; Jackson 1975; Easson & Pethick 1979; Freidberg 1982.)

Now consider the plasma in the outer-core region of a rotating and magnetized neutron star consisting of superconducting protons and normal electrons, immersed in a background of superfluid neutrons. A major difference between this plasma and an ordinary one is that the neutrons and protons are expected to form arrays of quantized vortices (see especially Baym, Pethick & Pines 1969 concerning the proton vortices). Since the effects of the proton vortices play a dominate role in the results to be discussed here, it will be useful to review their properties before proceeding

to the MHD equations. A more complete review is given in Appendix A of Mendell (1991a).

In all the equations that follow the subscripts n , p , and e refer to the neutrons, protons, and electrons respectively. For each species, ρ is the mass density, \mathbf{v} is the velocity field, m is the mass, and e , when not a subscript, is the absolute value of the charge of the electron. Standard symbols are used for the fundamental constants \hbar , c , k_B , and G , though G is also used as an abbreviation for Gauss, and Gaussian units are used throughout.

As in a laboratory type II superconductor (e.g., see Tilley & Tilley 1986), each proton vortex will carry one quantum of flux

$$\Phi_o = (hc/2e) = 2.1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ G} \cdot \text{cm}^2, \quad (1)$$

and each vortex has a core region of normal protons with a radius equal to the coherence length, given by

$$\xi = \frac{4\hbar v_{Fp}}{7\pi k_B T_{cp}} \approx 4.9 \times 10^{-12} \left(\frac{m_p}{m_p^*} \right) \rho_{p13}^{1/3} T_{cp9}^{-1} \text{ cm}, \quad (2)$$

where v_{Fp} is the Fermi velocity, ρ_{p13} is the mass density of the protons divided by $10^{13} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$, and T_{cp9} is superfluid transition temperature divided by 10^9 K . Outside the vortex core the proton velocity field and magnetic induction fall off exponentially with a decay length-scale known as the London depth, given by

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{m_p^* c^2}{4\pi e^2 \rho_{pp}}} \approx 9.3 \times 10^{-12} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{pp}} \right)^{1/2} \rho_{p13}^{-1/2} \text{ cm}. \quad (3)$$

The assumption of type II superconductivity is valid when $\sqrt{2}\Lambda > \xi$. In these equations m_p^* is the effective mass of the protons, while ρ_{pp} is a component of the mass density matrix [see the discussion around eqs. (11) and (12)]. The energy per unit length of a proton vortex, ε_p , is then specified by the kinetic energy of the protons plus the energy of the magnetic induction, i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_p = \int \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{pp} v_p^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi} B^2 \right) da, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{v}_p is the velocity field of the protons, \mathbf{B} is the magnetic induction of the vortex, and the integral is understood to extend over the plane perpendicular to the axis of the vortex. As is shown in standard texts on superconductivity (and Mendell 1991a) ε_p can be approximated as,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_p &\approx \frac{\Phi_o^2}{16\pi^2 \Lambda^2} \ln(\Lambda/\xi) \\ &\approx 2.1 \times 10^6 \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \right) (1 + \Delta) \rho_{p13} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\Delta = 1.6 \ln \left[\left(\frac{m_p^*}{m_p} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{pp}} \right)^{1/2} T_{cp9} \rho_{p13}^{-5/6} \right]. \quad (6)$$

This approximation is valid as long as $\Lambda > \xi$ which implies $\Delta > -1$; it is assumed here that Δ is small or at most of order unity.

In a typical neutron star the spacing between vortices is much larger than the London depth but still much smaller than the radius of a neutron star. These statements apply to the neutron vortices as well as the proton vortices. Assuming

a triangular array in equilibrium (as is observed in terrestrial superfluids) the intervortex spacings between neutron and proton vortices, d_n and d_p , are given respectively by

$$d_n = \left(\frac{h}{2\sqrt{3}m_n\Omega} \right)^{1/2} \approx 3.4 \times 10^{-3} \Omega_2^{-1/2} \text{ cm}, \quad (7)$$

$$d_p = \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{3}m_p\Omega_C} \right)^{1/2} \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-10} B_{o12}^{-1/2} \text{ cm}, \quad (8)$$

where Ω_2 is the rotational angular velocity divided by 10^2 s^{-1} , B_{o12} is the average equilibrium magnetic induction divided by 10^{12} G , and Ω_C is the cyclotron frequency of the protons.

To investigate the hydrodynamic behavior of the fluids on length-scales much larger than the intervortex spacing it is therefore appropriate to perform a smooth averaging over the vortices, as discussed e.g., by Baym & Chandler (1983) (for a review see Sonin 1987). Following these references the number of neutron and proton vortices per unit area, n_{vn} and n_{vp} , are related to the smooth-averaged vorticities and magnetic induction by the following form of the London equations:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_n = \frac{h}{2m_n} n_{vn} \boldsymbol{\nu}_n, \quad (9)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_p + a_p \mathbf{B} = \frac{h}{2m_p} n_{vp} \boldsymbol{\nu}_p. \quad (10)$$

In these equations $a_p = e/m_p c$, and the unit vectors $\boldsymbol{\nu}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}_p$ point in the smooth-averaged direction of the vortices. In equation (10) \mathbf{B} is the smooth-averaged magnetic induction. The smooth-averaged vorticities in these equations are given by $\boldsymbol{\omega}_n = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_p = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_p$, where \mathbf{v}_n and \mathbf{v}_p are the smooth-averaged superfluid velocities. The London equations then can be taken as the definition of these velocities. Given these definitions it must be noted that due to Fermi liquid ‘drag’ effects the mass currents of the neutrons and protons depend on the superfluid velocities of both species via the mass density matrix ρ_{nn} , $\rho_{np} = \rho_{pn}$, and ρ_{pp} , as discussed by Andreev & Bashkin (1976) and Alpar, Langer & Sauls (1984). The recent calculation of Borumand, Joynt & Kluźniak (1996) shows that

$$\rho_{np} = \rho_{pn} = \rho_p \left[1 - \frac{m_p}{m_p^*} (1 + F_1^{pp}/3) \right], \quad (11)$$

$$\rho_{nn} = \rho_n - \rho_{np}, \quad \rho_{pp} = \rho_p - \rho_{pn}, \quad (12)$$

where m_p^* and F_1^{pp} are the effective mass of the proton and a generalized version of the Landau parameter respectively. These factors are defined in Fermi liquid theory in terms of quasiparticle interactions that must be determined either from nuclear matter theory or by observation. Most of the previous studies of superfluidity in neutron stars have ignored the factor F_1^{pp} . However, because of the uncertainties in m_p^* and F_1^{pp} , all studies agree that for the neutron star outer core $\rho_{np} \approx -\rho_p$, $\rho_{nn} \approx \rho_n$, and $\rho_{pp} \approx 2\rho_p$. The main results given in this paper do not depend on the occurrence of the ‘drag’ effect, though components of the mass density matrix will appear in many of the equations. (The exception being that the ‘drag’ effect greatly increasing the scattering of electrons off the neutron vortices.)

Throughout the rest of this paper all quantities will be understood to represent smooth averages over length scales large compared to the inter-vortex spacing but small compared to the length scales of physical interest (e.g., the stellar radius). In the smooth-averaged theory the effects of the underlying vortices manifest themselves as vortex forces acting on the smooth-averaged flow of the superfluids. Bekarevich & Khalatnikov (1961) show a form for these forces can be found by allowing the energy density of the fluid to depend on the vortex densities. Mendell & Lindblom (1991) extended their method to mixtures of charged superfluids including the electromagnetic coupling. Following these references, first the vector fields $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ are defined so that the energy density due to the vortices, U_V , has a differential

$$dU_V = \frac{h}{2m} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot d n_v \boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (13)$$

It can then be shown that a vortex force per unit mass given by

$$\mathbf{f}_v = \frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \frac{h}{2m} n_v \boldsymbol{\nu} \quad (14)$$

acts on the smooth-averaged flow of the superfluid.

It should be noted that the Bekarevich & Khalatnikov (1961) model ignores interactions between the vortices. Since the magnetic induction and circulation of a proton vortex falls off exponentially, ignoring the interactions between the proton vortices should be an acceptable approximation as long as the separation between these vortices is much larger than the London depth i.e., $d_p \gg \Lambda$. For simplicity interactions between neutron vortices will also be ignored here as including them would not significantly effect the results of this paper.* Finally, interactions between proton and neutron vortices, which can lead to pinning (or interpinning) of these vortices, must be considered. First note that Alpar, et al. (1984) have shown that the ‘drag’ effect causes the neutron vortices to carry a magnetic flux as well as the proton vortices. The largest contribution to the pinning force is then due to the magnetic interaction of the vortices, giving a pinning energy per site of (e.g., see Mendell 1991a; Jones 1991; Chau, Cheng & Ding 1992)

$$\epsilon_{\text{pin}} \approx \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_{pp}} \right) \left(\frac{2\Phi_o^2 \cos\theta_{np}}{\pi \Lambda \sin\theta_{np}} \right) \approx 10^{-4} \text{ ergs}, \quad (15)$$

where θ_{np} is the angle between the vortices. For $d_n > d_p$ the number of pinning sites per unit volume is roughly

$$N_{\text{pin}} \approx \frac{1}{d_n^2 d_p} \approx \frac{n_{vn}}{d_p}. \quad (16)$$

The magnitude of the pinning force can be compared with the smaller of the vortex forces (that of the neutron vortices) by considering the ratio of the energy density associated with each force, i.e.,

$$\frac{f_{\text{pin}}}{f_{vn}} \approx \frac{\epsilon_{\text{pin}} N_{\text{pin}}}{\epsilon_n n_{vn}} \approx 10^{-4} B_{o12}^{1/2}, \quad (17)$$

* Interactions between the neutron vortices can give rise to low-frequency oscillations of the vortex array known as Tkachenko waves (Tkachenko 1966; Ruderman 1970; Fetter & Stauffer 1970; Sonin 1987).

where $\varepsilon_n \approx 10^9 \text{ erg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ has been used (see Mendell 1991a). Thus pinning forces between the vortices will be ignored in this paper.

Given the caveats of the last paragraph, expressions for λ_n and λ_p are now found by constructing a model of the energy density. The magnetic energy density is complicated by the fact that part of the magnetic induction is confined to the vortices, and also by the ‘drag’ effect which causes the neutron vortices to carry a magnetic flux, as noted above. Following Alpar et al. (1984) the magnetic induction due to the vortices is

$$\mathbf{B}_{\text{vortex}} = \Phi_o n_{vp} \boldsymbol{\nu}_p + \left(\frac{m_p}{m_n} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_{pp}} \right) \Phi_o n_{vn} \boldsymbol{\nu}_n. \quad (18)$$

Note that the first and second terms on the right side of this equation correspond to the magnetic induction due to the proton and neutron vortices, \mathbf{B}_{vp} and \mathbf{B}_{vn} , respectively.

In addition to the magnetic induction of the vortices a non-quantized magnetic field known as the London field, \mathbf{B}_L , also exists whenever the vorticities of the fluids are nonzero (London 1960). Using equation (18), the London equations, (9) and (10), and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{vortex}} + \mathbf{B}_L$, gives

$$\mathbf{B}_L = -\frac{m_p c}{e} \boldsymbol{\omega}_p - \frac{m_p c}{e} \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_{pp}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_n. \quad (19)$$

Ignoring interactions between the vortices, the vortex energy density is just the vortex energy per unit length times the vortex density. The energy density of the vortices and electro-magnetic field then becomes

$$U_V + U_{EM} = \varepsilon_p n_{vp} + \varepsilon_n n_{vn} + \frac{1}{8\pi} [|\mathbf{B}_{\text{vortex}} + \mathbf{B}_L|^2 - B_{vp}^2 - B_{vn}^2 + D^2]. \quad (20)$$

Note that the magnetic energy density of each vortex species is subtracted off since it has already been accounted for in the energy per unit length of the vortices [see eq. (4)]. It has also been assumed that the displacement field \mathbf{D} equals the electric field \mathbf{E} . Noting that electromagnetic energy density has the standard differential (e.g., see Jackson 1975)

$$dU_{EM} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{D} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{B} \quad (21)$$

and using equations (13), (18)–(21), and the London equations, it can be shown that $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{D}$, and

$$\lambda_n = \frac{2m_n}{h} \varepsilon_n \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_n}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}_n|} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{m_p c}{e} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_{pp}} \right)^2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_n, \quad (22)$$

$$\lambda_p = \frac{2m_p}{h} \varepsilon_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_p + a_p \mathbf{B}}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}_p + a_p \mathbf{B}|} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{m_p c}{e} \right)^2 (\boldsymbol{\omega}_p + a_p \mathbf{B}). \quad (23)$$

Similar results for λ_n and λ_p are given in Mendell (1991a).[†]

The main purpose of this section is to present the equations for the neutron-star outer core given in Mendell (1991a) in the MHD limit, including the effects of the magnetic field and the vortices (which are ignored in the final set

[†] That paper includes the weak dependance of ε_n on d_n (which partly measures the interaction between these vortices) and subtracts B_{vortex}^2 (which includes an interaction energy between the vortices) rather than just $B_{vp}^2 + B_{vn}^2$ as in equation (20). Since interactions between vortices are ignored in this paper, these differences are insignificant.

of equations in that paper). Here the very small fraction of muons are ignored. Since the system is very degenerate the entropy density of the electrons and any excitations of the neutrons and protons are also ignored, while the largest of the dissipative terms found in Mendell (1991b) are included. The equations are for small perturbations away from a stationary and axisymmetric equilibrium. (This allows weak interactions to be ignored.) The perturbed quantities are prefixed with a δ , and equilibrium quantities are given a subscript o . The equilibrium velocity \mathbf{v}_o (vorticity $\boldsymbol{\omega}_o$) is assumed to be that of uniform rotation with constant angular velocity while the equilibrium magnetic induction is taken to be an arbitrary stationary vector field \mathbf{B}_o .

The MHD equations then are as follows: the conservation laws for the neutron and proton mass densities ρ_n and ρ_p [note the appearance of the mass density matrix, given in eqs. (11) and (12)], given by

$$\partial_t \delta \rho_n + \mathbf{v}_o \cdot \nabla \delta \rho_n + \nabla \cdot (\rho_{nn} \delta \mathbf{v}_n + \rho_{np} \delta \mathbf{v}_p) = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\partial_t \delta \rho_p + \mathbf{v}_o \cdot \nabla \delta \rho_p + \nabla \cdot (\rho_{np} \delta \mathbf{v}_n + \rho_{pp} \delta \mathbf{v}_p) = 0, \quad (25)$$

Maxwell’s equations for the electric field \mathbf{E} , magnetic induction \mathbf{B} , charge density σ , and current density \mathbf{J} , given by

$$\delta \sigma = \frac{e \rho_p}{m_p} - \frac{e \rho_e}{m_e} = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\nabla \times \delta \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \delta \mathbf{B}, \quad (29)$$

$$\nabla \times \delta \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \delta \mathbf{J}, \quad (30)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\delta \mathbf{v}_e}{c} \times \mathbf{B}_o - \frac{\mathbf{v}_o}{c} \times \delta \mathbf{B} - \frac{m_p}{e} \left(\frac{\rho_n}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})} + \delta \mathbf{F}_{p(\text{mf})} \right), \quad (31)$$

Newton’s 2nd law for the neutron superfluid velocity, \mathbf{v}_n , and the average velocity of the charged fluids, \mathbf{u} , given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \delta \mathbf{v}_n &= -\mathbf{v}_o \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{v}_n - \delta \mathbf{v}_n \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_o - \nabla \delta \mu_n \\ &+ \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_n} (\delta \mathbf{v}_p - \delta \mathbf{v}_n) \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_o + \delta \left[\frac{1}{\rho_n} (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\lambda}_n) \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_n \right] \\ &- \nabla \delta \varphi + \delta \mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\partial_t \delta \mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{v}_o \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} - \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_o - \frac{\rho_p}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \nabla \delta \mu_p - \frac{\rho_e}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \nabla \delta \mu_e \quad (33a)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}_o \right) + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\tilde{\rho}_p} (\delta \mathbf{v}_n - \delta \mathbf{v}_p) \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_o \quad (33b)$$

$$+ \frac{\rho_p}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \delta \left[\frac{1}{\rho_p} (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\lambda}_p) \times (\boldsymbol{\omega}_p + a_p \mathbf{B}) \right] \quad (33c)$$

$$- \nabla \delta \varphi - \frac{\rho_n}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \delta \mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}, \quad (33d)$$

and Newton’s law of gravitation for the gravitational potential φ , given by

$$\nabla^2 \delta \varphi = 4\pi G \delta \rho. \quad (34)$$

Consider equations (26) and (27). These equations follow from the MHD assumption that charge neutrality is preserved, and equation (26) also relates ρ_e to ρ_p . Equations (28) and (29) are just the usual Maxwell's equations, followed by equation (30) which is Ampere's law ignoring the displacement current term. This is justified for waves with frequency ω and wave vector k when $(\omega/c k)^2 \ll 1$, and this corresponds to the second part of the MHD limit. Next, equation (31) follows from considering the dynamics of the current density and is valid for frequencies small compared to the plasma frequency and in the limit of large conductivity. Note that this equation implies that the Lorentz force on the electrons is vanishingly small in the MHD limit. Also in this equation, $\mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{p(\text{mf})}$ are the mutual friction forces due to electron scattering off the neutron and proton vortices respectively. These terms are small, though they are the largest of the dissipative corrections to this equation. Dissipative effects are discussed in Section 5.

Now consider equations (32) and (33). In these equations the μ 's are the chemical potentials defined in equation (33) in Mendell (1991a). Equation (32) is just the usual Landau equation for a superfluid modified by the 'drag' effect and the vortex force of the neutron vortices [see eqs. (9) and (14)]. Equation (33) is for the average velocity of the charged fluid defined by

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv \frac{\rho_p}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \mathbf{v}_p + \frac{\rho_e}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \mathbf{v}_e, \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_p = \rho_p + \rho_e$, and it is the standard MHD equation for this quantity modified by the 'drag effect' and the vortex force of the proton vortices [see eqs. (10) and (14)]. Note that in equation (33) terms proportional to the equilibrium charge density, which is exceedingly small and in fact zero in non-rotating neutron stars, have been ignored [see eqs. (39) and (40) in Mendell 1991a]. The viscous stress tensor, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, and the mutual friction forces that appear in these equations are considered further in Section 5.

One great simplification of the MHD limit is that the vector fields \mathbf{J} , \mathbf{E} , \mathbf{v}_p , and \mathbf{v}_e , are easily expressed in terms of \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{u} , and \mathbf{v}_n . Equations (30) and (31) already specify \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{E} and it can be shown that the velocities of the electrons and protons are given by

$$\delta \mathbf{v}_e = \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{u} + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{v}_n - \frac{m_p}{e \rho_p} \delta \mathbf{J}, \quad (36)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{v}_p = \delta \mathbf{u} - \frac{\rho_e}{\rho_p} \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{v}_n + \frac{m_p \rho_e}{e \rho_p^2} \delta \mathbf{J}, \quad (37)$$

ignoring factors of ρ_e/ρ_p in these equations only for the moment.

To finish this section it will be useful to review several of the characteristic frequencies associated with charged particles and electromagnetic fields in terms of the angular frequency ω , the wavevector $k = (2\pi/\lambda)$, and the wavelength λ associated with plane wave solutions.

Two frequencies well known in plasma physics are the plasma frequency, ω_P , and the cyclotron frequency, Ω_C . For motion of the protons these frequencies are given by

$$\omega_P = \left(\frac{4\pi e^2 \rho_p}{m_p^2} \right)^{1/2} \approx 3.2 \times 10^{21} \rho_{p13}^{1/2} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (38)$$

$$\Omega_C = \frac{e B_o}{m_p c} \approx 9.6 \times 10^{15} B_{o12} \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

A characteristic frequency associated with charge currents in metals and superconductors is the helicon frequency, ω_h , given by (see de Gennes & Matricon 1964; Abrikosov, Kemoklidze & Khalatnikov 1965)

$$\omega_h = \Omega_C \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega_p^2} \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-17} \rho_{p13}^{-1} \lambda_6^{-2} B_{o12} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (40)$$

where λ_6 is λ divided by 10^6 cm.

Of main interest in this paper are the Alfvén waves found in the MHD limit of ordinary plasma physics. For an ordinary proton-electron plasma these waves travel along magnetic field lines with a frequency given by

$$\omega_{Ap} = \sqrt{\Omega_C \omega_h} = \sqrt{\frac{B_o^2/4\pi}{\rho_p}} k = \frac{B_o}{\sqrt{4\pi \rho_p}} k. \quad (41)$$

These waves can be compared with those of a vibrating string with the tension of the field lines provided by magnetic energy density ($\sim B_o^2/4\pi$) and the inertia provided by the mass that moves with the lines (ρ_p). In the case of an ordinary-fluid neutron star the neutrons and protons would act as a single fluid and the density ρ_p would be replaced with the total mass density ρ , giving

$$\omega_A = \frac{B_o}{\sqrt{4\pi \rho}} k \approx 0.056 \rho_{15}^{-1/2} \lambda_6^{-1} B_{o12} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (42)$$

where ρ_{15} is the density divided by $10^{15} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$. It will be shown in the next section that Alfvén waves do not occur in the exotic superfluid plasma considered here.

There are also several characteristic frequencies associated with oscillations of the underlying vortices. (See footnote *; Krusius, et al. 1993; and Sonin 1987 for studies and reviews of vortex oscillations in superfluids; see Friedel, de Gennes & Matricon 1963; Tsui, et al. 1994; Kopnin, et al. 1995; Blatter & Ivlev 1995; and Sonin 1996a,b for studies of vortex oscillations in superconductors.) The frequency of circularly polarized vortex oscillations which travel parallel to the vortices, known as Kelvin waves, are given by

$$\omega_{Vn} = \frac{2m_n}{h} \frac{\varepsilon_n k^2}{\rho_n} \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-14} \varepsilon_{n9} \rho_{n15}^{-1} \lambda_6^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (43)$$

$$\omega_{Vp} = \frac{2m_p}{h} \frac{\varepsilon_p k^2}{\rho_p} \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-15} \varepsilon_{p6} \rho_{p13}^{-1} \lambda_6^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (44)$$

for the neutron and proton vortices, respectively. In these equations ρ_{n15} is ρ_n divided by $10^{15} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$, and ε_{n9} and ε_{p6} are ε_n and ε_p divided by $10^9 \text{ erg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ and $10^6 \text{ erg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ respectively. These vortex frequencies can be shown to arise from the first terms in equations (22) and (23) for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_p$.

3 ALFVÉN AND CYCLOTRON-VORTEX WAVES

In this section it is shown that Alfvén waves, which occur in ordinary plasmas, do not occur in the exotic plasma considered in this paper. Instead a new frequency is shown to exist that depends on the cyclotron and vortex frequencies of the fluid. Thus it is referred to as the cyclotron-vortex frequency. However these waves are analogous to Alfvén waves with the tension due to the magnetic energy density replaced by the vortex energy density. A straightforward derivation of this

frequency is given below.

To begin with, consider uniform neutron-star matter. For simplicity assume that the matter is not rotating and that there is a uniform equilibrium magnetic induction which defines the z -axis, i.e., take $\Omega = 0$ and $\mathbf{B} = B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. Next, look for plane wave solutions with the wave vector parallel to the z -axis, i.e., let $\mathbf{k} = k \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. The space-time dependence of all the perturbed quantities can then be taken to be completely specified by $\exp(ikz - i\omega t)$. Making these substitutions the equations in Section 2 become purely algebraic. The equations also decouple into components that are parallel and transverse to the z -axis. Ignoring dissipative effects, which will be considered in Section 5, the resulting equations for the transverse components are

$$ik(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \delta \mathbf{E}) = \frac{i\omega}{c} \delta \mathbf{B}, \quad (45)$$

$$ik(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \delta \mathbf{B}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \delta \mathbf{J}, \quad (46)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{E} = -\left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{v}_e}{c} \times B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right), \quad (47)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{v}_n = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$-i\omega \delta \mathbf{u} = \frac{ik}{\tilde{\rho}_p} [(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_p) \times a_p B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}] + \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} \times B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right). \quad (49)$$

A few steps will now show that Alfvén waves do not exist in the solution to these equations. Using equation (46) it is easy to show that

$$\delta \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{ikc} (\delta \mathbf{J} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}). \quad (50)$$

Then consider the vortex force acting on $\delta \mathbf{u}$. Equation (23) implies that $\delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_p$ is given by

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_p = \left[\frac{2m_p}{h} \frac{\varepsilon_p}{\Omega_C} - \frac{m_p^2 c^2}{4\pi e^2} \right] [ik(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \delta \mathbf{v}_p) + a_p \delta \mathbf{B}]. \quad (51)$$

Using equation (50) the vortex force in equation (49) can be simplified to become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ik}{\tilde{\rho}_p} [(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_p) \times a_p B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}] &= \frac{\rho_p}{\tilde{\rho}_p} (\omega_{VP} - \omega_h) (\delta \mathbf{v}_p \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \frac{\omega_{VP}}{\Omega_C} \frac{\omega_p^2}{c^2 k^2} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} \times B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_p} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} \times B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Note that the last term on the right side of equation (52), which arises from the interaction between the vortex and London fields, will cancel the last term in equation (49) [same as the first term in eq. (33b)]. Since this is the term that usually gives rise to Alfvén waves these waves do not occur in the superconducting plasma considered here. It also should be clear that this result does not depend on the specifics of this plasma but should be true for any plasma with one component superconducting and in the vortex state. Furthermore this result applies not just to axial modes. In general the last term in equation (51) will give a force equal to $(-1/4\pi \tilde{\rho}_p) (\nabla \times \delta \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}_o$ which will give the same cancellation.

From here on terms smaller by a factor ρ_e/ρ_p will be dropped in all equations. Equation (36) already uses this ap-

proximation, and substituting this into equation (47) gives

$$\delta \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{u}}{c} \times B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right) + \frac{m_p}{e \rho_p} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} \times B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right), \quad (53)$$

while equations (45) and (46) give

$$\delta \mathbf{E} = \frac{4\pi i \omega}{c^2 k^2} \delta \mathbf{J}. \quad (54)$$

From these one can show

$$\rho_{pp} (\delta \mathbf{u} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{c^2 k^2} \frac{i\omega}{\Omega_C^2} \frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} B_o + \frac{1}{\Omega_C} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} \times B_o \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right). \quad (55)$$

Substituting equations (37), (52), and (55) into equation (49) and simplifying results in the following eigenvalue equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\omega^2 - \omega_h (\omega_{VP} - \omega_h) - \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \Omega_C \omega_{VP} \right] (\delta \mathbf{J} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \\ + i\omega (\omega_{VP} - 2\omega_h) \delta \mathbf{J} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Solving equation (56), the eigenvalues are given by

$$\omega^2 - \omega_h (\omega_{VP} - \omega_h) - \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \Omega_C \omega_{VP} = \pm \omega (\omega_{VP} - 2\omega_h), \quad (57)$$

and the components of the eigenvectors are related by $\delta J_x = \mp i \delta J_y$. The two sign choices in equation (57) give eigenfrequencies

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \Omega_C \omega_{VP} - \frac{\omega_{VP}}{2} + \omega_h} \\ \pm \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \Omega_C \omega_{VP} + \frac{\omega_{VP}}{2} - \omega_h} \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

where terms smaller than those kept by at least a factor of ω_{VP}/Ω_C have been dropped. As far as this author knows the first term in equation (58) has not been derived previously from MHD theory. Since it depends on the cyclotron frequency Ω_C and the Kelvin vortex oscillation frequency ω_{VP} it is natural to call it the cyclotron-vortex frequency, ω_{CV} . In a neutron star it will have a magnitude given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{CV} &= \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \Omega_C \omega_{VP}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\rho_p}\right) \left(\frac{B_o}{\Phi_o}\right)} k \\ &\approx 6.4 \lambda_6^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p}\right) \sqrt{(1 + \Delta) B_{o12}} \text{ s}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Note that ω_{CV} is quite different from the Alfvén frequency since it is nearly independent of the mass density (except through Δ) and depends on $\sqrt{B_o}$. However, consider the definition of the critical field $H_{c1} = (\Phi_o/4\pi\Lambda^2) \ln(\Lambda/\xi)$, above which the vortex state is favored in a type II superconductor (and approximately the field within the London depth of one proton vortex). Noting that $\varepsilon_p = \Phi_o H_{c1}/4\pi$ and $n_{VP} = B_o/\Phi_o$ then

$$\omega_{CV} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_p n_{VP}}{\rho_p}} k = \sqrt{\frac{H_{c1} B_o/4\pi}{\rho_p}} k. \quad (60)$$

It can be seen then that ω_{CV} is analogous to the Alfvén frequency with the tension due to the magnetic energy density replaced by the vortex energy density $\varepsilon_p n_{VP}$. This equals $H_{c1} B_o/4\pi$ (roughly the magnetic energy density of the vortex array). Easson & Pethick (1977) found this same factor

in their study of the stress tensor for a type II superconductor, though they did not consider its implication on the dynamics.

4 INCLUDING ROTATION

To see how the modes in the rotating case are affected by the cyclotron-vortex frequency, the solutions of the last section are extended here to the case of uniform rotation. Let the rotation axis define the z -axis of the system. To find plane wave solutions first transform to coordinates which corotate with the fluid, given by

$$x' = x \cos(\Omega t) + y \sin(\Omega t), \quad (61)$$

$$y' = -x \sin(\Omega t) + y \cos(\Omega t), \quad (62)$$

$$z' = z, \quad (63)$$

$$t' = t. \quad (64)$$

The spatial derivative operator ∇ is covariant under this transformation, whereas the convective derivative of any fluid velocity \mathbf{V} transforms as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{v}_o \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_o = \partial_{t'} \mathbf{V}' - 2\Omega(\mathbf{V}' \times \hat{z}). \quad (65)$$

If we also define new electric and magnetic fields

$$\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_o}{c} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (66)$$

$$\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B}, \quad (67)$$

and ignore corrections of order $(v_o/c)(\omega/ck) \ll 1$ then Maxwell's equations remain covariant under these transformations.

In accordance with the last section we consider axial modes with spacetime dependence $\exp(ikz' - i\omega t')$ and for simplicity assume the equilibrium magnetic field is aligned with the rotation axis. After making the above transformations and dropping all primes one finds that Maxwell's equations remain identical to those in the last section, and that the equations for the fluid velocities become

$$-\frac{i\omega}{2\Omega} \delta \mathbf{v}_n - (\delta \mathbf{v}_n \times \hat{z}) = \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_n} (\delta \mathbf{v}_p - \delta \mathbf{n}_n) \times \hat{z} + \frac{ik}{\rho_n} \delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_n, \quad (68)$$

$$-\frac{i\omega}{2\Omega} \delta \mathbf{u} - (\delta \mathbf{u} \times \hat{z}) = \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} (\delta \mathbf{v}_n - \delta \mathbf{v}_p) \times \hat{z} + \frac{(2\Omega + \Omega_C) ik}{2\Omega \rho_p} \delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_p + \frac{1}{2\Omega \rho_p} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{J}}{c} \times B_o \hat{z} \right), \quad (69)$$

where

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_n = \left[\frac{2m_n \varepsilon_n}{2\Omega h} - \left(\frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \right)^2 \frac{m_p^2 c^2}{4\pi e^2} \right] (ik \hat{z} \times \delta \mathbf{v}_n), \quad (70)$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_p = \left[\frac{2m_p \varepsilon_p}{h(2\Omega + \Omega_C)} - \frac{m_p^2 c^2}{4\pi e^2} \right] [ik(\hat{z} \times \delta \mathbf{v}_p) + a_p \delta \mathbf{B}]. \quad (71)$$

The goal will be to express everything in terms of $\delta \mathbf{v}_n$ and $\delta \mathbf{u}$. Using equations (31) and (54) one can show

$$\delta \mathbf{v}_e = \left(\frac{m_p}{e \rho_p} \right) \left(\frac{i\omega}{\omega_h} \right) (\delta \mathbf{J} \times \hat{z}). \quad (72)$$

Substituting into equation (36) gives

$$\delta \mathbf{J} + \frac{i\omega}{\omega_h} (\delta \mathbf{J} \times \hat{z}) = \frac{e \rho_p}{m_p} \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{u} + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{v}_n \right). \quad (73)$$

For $\omega \gg \omega_h$ the following approximations can be made:

$$\delta \mathbf{J} \times \hat{z} \approx \frac{\omega_h}{i\omega} \frac{e \rho_p}{m_p} \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{u} + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{v}_n \right), \quad (74)$$

and from equations (37) and (50)

$$\delta \mathbf{v}_p \approx \delta \mathbf{u} - \frac{\rho_e \rho_{np}}{\rho_p^2} \delta \mathbf{v}_n, \quad (75)$$

$$a_p \delta \mathbf{B} \approx - \left(\frac{\Omega_C k}{\omega} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{u} + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \delta \mathbf{v}_n \right). \quad (76)$$

Substituting these into equations (68) - (71) and simplifying gives

$$i\omega \delta \mathbf{v}_n + 2\Omega \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_n} (\delta \mathbf{u} \times \hat{z}) + 2\Omega \left(\frac{\rho_{nn}}{\rho_n} + \frac{\omega_{Vn}}{2\Omega} - \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega_p^2} \frac{\rho_p \rho_{np}^2}{\rho_n \rho_{pp}^2} \right) (\delta \mathbf{v}_n \times \hat{z}) = 0, \quad (77)$$

$$2\Omega \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} (\delta \mathbf{v}_n \times \hat{z}) + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \left(\frac{2i\Omega \omega_h}{\omega} - \frac{i\Omega_C \omega_{Vp}}{\omega} \right) \delta \mathbf{v}_n + 2\Omega \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} + \frac{\omega_{Vp}}{2\Omega} - \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega_p^2} - \frac{\omega_h}{2\Omega} \right) (\delta \mathbf{u} \times \hat{z}) + \left(i\omega - \frac{i\Omega_C \omega_{Vp}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} + \frac{2i\Omega \omega_h}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \right) \delta \mathbf{u} = 0. \quad (78)$$

To solve these equations one can invert equation (77) to solve for $\delta \mathbf{u}$ and then substitute into equation (78) to get a simple eigenvalue equation for $\delta \mathbf{v}_n$. For $\omega, \Omega \gg \omega_h, \omega_{Vp}, \omega_{Vn}$, and $c^2 k^2 \ll \omega_p^2$ the resulting dispersion relationship reduces down to

$$\omega^3 \pm 2\Omega \left(\frac{\rho_{nn}}{\rho_n} + \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \right) \omega^2 + \left(4\Omega^2 \frac{\varrho^2}{\rho_n \rho_p} - \Omega_C \omega_{Vp} \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \right) \omega \mp 2\Omega \Omega_C \omega_{Vp} \frac{\varrho^2}{\rho_n \rho_p} = 0. \quad (79)$$

where $\varrho^2 = \rho_{nn} \rho_{pp} - \rho_{np}^2$. The eigenvectors are related by $\delta \mathbf{u}_x = \pm i \delta \mathbf{u}_y$ and

$$\delta \mathbf{u} = \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_{np}} \left(\pm \frac{\omega}{2\Omega} - \frac{\rho_{nn}}{\rho_n} \right) \delta \mathbf{v}_n. \quad (80)$$

In the limit $B_o = 0$ equation (79) can be solved exactly to give

$$\omega = \pm \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2\Omega \\ 2\Omega \frac{\varrho^2}{\rho_n \rho_p} \end{array} \right. \quad (81)$$

where the upper branch is usually called the inertial mode and the lower branch is a new mode that appears due to the 'drag' effect. Keeping $B_o \neq 0$ an approximate solution to equation (79) can be found by noting that $\rho_p \ll \rho_n, \rho_{nn} \approx \rho_n$, and $\varrho^2 \approx \rho_n \rho_{pp}$. The solution then is

$$\omega = \pm \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2\Omega \\ \Omega \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \Omega_C \omega_{Vp} + \Omega^2 \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \right)^2} \end{array} \right. \quad (82)$$

Note that the cyclotron-vortex frequency will dominate the effects of rotation only when

$$B_o \geq \left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \right) \left(\frac{m_p c}{e} \right) \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{\omega_{vp}} \right) \approx \frac{2.4 \times 10^{14}}{1 + \Delta} \Omega_2^2 \lambda_6^2 \text{ G.} \quad (83)$$

Thus this frequency will dominate the effects of rotation only in slowly rotating neutron stars with strong interior magnetic fields. In the more usual case we can expand the lower branch in equation (82) in powers of $\omega_{CV}/2\Omega$. Keeping only the lowest order terms, the result is

$$\omega = \pm \begin{cases} 2\Omega \\ 2\Omega \frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \\ \frac{\omega_{CV}^2}{2\Omega} \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{pp}} \end{cases} \quad (84)$$

The top and middle branch are as in equation (81), while the bottom branch is a new low frequency mode that depends on ω_{CV} . Finally, recall that the frequencies in these equations are for the rotating frame; one must add $\mp\Omega$ to get the frequencies in the inertial frame.

5 SIMPLIFIED EQUATIONS AND DISSIPATIVE EFFECTS

It has been shown in the last two sections that of the vortex and magnetic forces the dominant term gives rise to cyclotron-vortex waves that replace Alfvén waves. The next largest terms (e.g., consider ω_{vp}/ω_{CV}) are roughly 15 orders of magnitude smaller for typical neutron star numbers. This suggests dropping the vortex force of the neutrons and approximating $\delta\lambda_p$ as

$$\delta\lambda_p \approx \frac{2m_p}{h} \varepsilon_p \frac{\delta\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}_o|}. \quad (85)$$

It also will be convenient to assume that terms proportional to $\nabla(\varepsilon_p/|\mathbf{B}_o|)$ are small and can be dropped. Terms smaller by a factor of ρ_e/ρ_p than those kept will also be ignored. Furthermore, equations (29) and (31) can be combined to eliminate $\delta\mathbf{E}$ from the equations, and equations (36) and (37) can be used to eliminate $\delta\mathbf{v}_e$ and $\delta\mathbf{v}_p$. Finally, the small corrections to the velocity fields proportional to $\delta\mathbf{J}$ will also be dropped. With these approximations, equations (29) – (33) can be replaced by the following greatly simplified set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \delta\mathbf{v}_n = & -\mathbf{v}_o \cdot \nabla \delta\mathbf{v}_n - \delta\mathbf{v}_n \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_o - \nabla(\delta\mu_n + \delta\varphi) \\ & + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_n} (\delta\mathbf{u} - \delta\mathbf{v}_n) \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_o + \delta\mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})}, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \delta\mathbf{u} = & -\mathbf{v}_o \cdot \nabla \delta\mathbf{u} - \delta\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_o - \nabla(\delta\mu_p + \frac{m_e}{m_p} \delta\mu_e) \\ & - \nabla \delta\varphi + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} (\delta\mathbf{v}_n - \delta\mathbf{u}) \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_o \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon_p}{\rho_p \Phi_o |\mathbf{B}_o|} (\nabla \times \delta\mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}_o \\ & - \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_p} \delta\mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})} - \frac{1}{\rho_p} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \delta\mathbf{B} = & \nabla \times \left[\left(\frac{\rho_{pp}}{\rho_p} \delta\mathbf{u} + \frac{\rho_{np}}{\rho_p} \delta\mathbf{v}_n \right) \times \mathbf{B}_o + \mathbf{v}_o \times \delta\mathbf{B} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{a_p} \left(\frac{\rho_n}{\rho_p} \delta\mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})} + \delta\mathbf{F}_{p(\text{mf})} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

These equations are in a useful form for studying the effects of the interior magnetic field on the core spin-up during a pulsar glitch. More will be said about this in Section 6.

The remainder of this section will discuss the dissipative terms in these simplified equations. As discussed in Mendell (1991b) mutual friction due to electron-vortex scattering and the electron shear viscosity are the dominant dissipative effects (see also Cutler & Lindblom 1987; Cutler, Lindblom & Splinter 1990; Ipser & Lindblom 1991; Lindblom & Mendell 1995). The viscous shear tensor is given by $\delta\boldsymbol{\tau} = -\eta_e \delta\boldsymbol{\Theta}$, where η_e is the electron shear viscosity approximately given by

$$\eta_e = 6.0 \times 10^{22} \rho_{15}^2 T_{e7}^{-2} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (89)$$

where T_{e7} is the temperature of the electrons divided by 10^7 K and $\delta\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ is the shear tensor, given by

$$\delta\boldsymbol{\Theta}^a_b = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^a \delta v_{eb} + \nabla_b \delta v_e^a - \frac{2}{3} \delta_b^a \nabla_c \delta v_e^c), \quad (90)$$

where a, b, c are space indices. The mutual friction forces are given in equations (14), (15), and (19) in Mendell (1991b). Keeping only the largest terms in those equations, and making the same approximations as discussed at the beginning of this section, one can show that

$$\delta\mathbf{F}_{n(\text{mf})} = -B_n \frac{\rho^2}{\rho_n \rho_p} |\boldsymbol{\omega}_o| \left\{ \delta\mathbf{V} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_o (\boldsymbol{\omega}_o \cdot \delta\mathbf{V})}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}_o|^2} \right\}, \quad (91)$$

$$\delta\mathbf{F}_{p(\text{mf})} = -B_p \left(\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\rho_p \Phi_o} \right) (\nabla \times \delta\mathbf{B}), \quad (92)$$

where $\delta\mathbf{V} = \delta\mathbf{v}_n - \delta\mathbf{u}$. A term proportional to $\mathbf{B}_o \cdot (\nabla \times \delta\mathbf{B})$ has been dropped in equation (92) in keeping with the approximate form for $\delta\lambda_p$ given in equation (85). Simple estimates for the mutual friction coefficients based on the formulas given in Mendell (1991b) are

$$B_n \approx .01 (\rho_{np}/\rho_{pp})^2 (\rho_{pp}/\rho_p)^{1/2} (\rho_p/\rho_n) \approx 10^{-4}, \quad (93)$$

$$B_p \approx .01 (\rho_e/\rho_p) \approx 10^{-5}. \quad (94)$$

It will now be possible to find an energy functional from which the damping time τ of modes with time dependence given by $\exp(i\omega t - t/\tau)$ can more easily be found. Generalizing equation (32) given in Mendell (1991b) an appropriate real energy functional is

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ \rho_{nn} \delta\mathbf{v}_n \cdot \delta\mathbf{v}_n^* + \rho_{np} \delta\mathbf{v}_n \cdot \delta\mathbf{u}^* \right. \\ & + \rho_{np} \delta\mathbf{u} \cdot \delta\mathbf{v}_n^* + \rho_{pp} \delta\mathbf{u} \cdot \delta\mathbf{u}^* + \sum_{AB} \frac{\partial^2 U_I}{\partial \rho_A \partial \rho_B} \delta\rho_A \delta\rho_B^* \\ & \left. - \frac{\nabla \delta\varphi \cdot \nabla \delta\varphi^*}{4\pi G} + \frac{\varepsilon_p \delta\mathbf{B} \cdot \delta\mathbf{B}^*}{\Phi_o |\mathbf{B}_o|} \right\} d^3x. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

In this equation A and B are indices which take on the values (n, p, e) and U_I is the internal energy of the fluid

($\partial U_I / \partial \rho_A = \mu_A$). The damping time τ of a mode can then be calculated using

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = -\frac{1}{2E} \frac{dE}{dt}. \quad (96)$$

Using the equations in Section 2 and the approximations of this section to compute dE/dt , and writing the result in the form $1/\tau = 1/\tau_\eta + 1/\tau_{\text{mf}}$, the shear damping time and the mutual friction damping time can be shown to be

$$\frac{1}{\tau_\eta} = \frac{1}{2E} \int 2\eta_e \delta\Theta^{ab} \delta\Theta_{ab}^* d^3x, \quad (97)$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{mf}}} = \frac{1}{2E} \int \left\{ B_n \rho_n \frac{|\omega_o| \varrho^4}{\rho_n^2 \rho_p^2} \left[|\delta\mathbf{V}|^2 - \frac{|\omega_o \cdot \delta\mathbf{V}|^2}{|\omega_o|^2} \right] + B_p \frac{\varepsilon_p^2}{\rho_p \Phi_o^2 \alpha_p |\mathbf{B}_o|} |\nabla \times \delta\mathbf{B}|^2 \right\} d^3x. \quad (98)$$

The damping times of the modes found in Section 3 and Section 4 can now be estimated. First, equations (80), (36), and (76) are used to relate $\delta\mathbf{v}_n$, $\delta\mathbf{v}_e$, and $\delta\mathbf{B}$ to $\delta\mathbf{u}$, and the results then are substituted into equations (97) and (98). It will be convenient to express the damping times in terms of the quality of the mode, $Q = \omega\tau$. For the cyclotron-vortex frequency, given in equation (59), the viscous damping time dominates, and $Q_{\text{CV}} = \omega_{\text{CV}}(\rho_p/\rho_{pp})[\rho_p/(\eta_e k^2)]$, or

$$Q_{\text{CV}} \approx 2.7 \times 10^3 \lambda_6 T_{e7}^2 \rho_{15}^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho} \right) \sqrt{(1+\Delta) B_{o12}}. \quad (99)$$

For the rotating case, the frequency splits into the three branches given in equation (84), and viscosity dominates the top branch, while mutual friction due to electron scattering off the neutron vortices dominates the damping of the middle and bottom branches. The resulting Q for each of these modes is

$$Q = \begin{cases} \frac{\Omega\rho}{\eta_e k^2} \approx 10^4 \Omega_2 \rho_{15}^{-1} \lambda_6^2 T_{e7}^2 \\ [B_n(\rho_n/\rho_p)]^{-1} \approx 100(\rho_{pp}/\rho_{np})^2 (\rho_p/\rho_{pp})^{1/2} \\ [B_n(\rho_n/\rho_p)]^{-1} \approx 100(\rho_{pp}/\rho_{np})^2 (\rho_p/\rho_{pp})^{1/2} \end{cases} \quad (100)$$

6 MAGNETIC SPIN-UP OF THE CORE

It has been suggested that magnetic fields are responsible for the spin-up of the neutron star core during a pulsar glitch. Detailed studies of the spin-up process are given in Easson (1979), Chao, Cheng & Ding (1992), Sedrakian, et al. (1995) and Abney & Epstein (1996). It also has been suggested that Alfvén waves might be excited during the glitch (cf., Baym et al. 1969; Van Horn 1980 and references therein.) The goal of this section is to study the influence of cyclotron-vortex waves on the crust-core coupling time, and to place limits on the interior magnetic field. First the simple method of Abney et al. (1996) is used, followed by estimates based on the method of Easson (1979). The results are compared with the other studies mentioned above.

Recently Abney et al. (1996) have shown that the rapid spin-up time for the 1988 ‘Christmas’ glitch in the Vela pulsar implies a crust-core coupling time of less than 10 seconds. They also argue, following Abney & Epstein (1996), that Ekman pumping and viscosity cannot be responsible for

this short timescale. Following their ‘back of the envelope’ derivation, any wave with phase velocity $v = \omega/k$ associated with the forces that spin up the core must obey the approximate constraint

$$\frac{R}{v} = \frac{Rk}{\omega} \leq t_{\text{CI}}, \quad (101)$$

where t_{CI} is the crust-interior coupling time and R the radius of the core. This equation can be used to derive a lower limit for the interior magnetic field.

For an ordinary-fluid neutron star, substituting the Alfvén wave frequency given in equation (42) into equation (101) and solving for B_o gives a lower bound on the interior magnetic field of

$$B_o \geq 10^{13} \rho_{15}^{1/2} R_6 t_{\text{CI10}}^{-1} \text{ G}, \quad (102)$$

where R_6 is R divided by 10^6 cm and t_{CI10} is t_{CI} divided by 10 s. This is exactly the same lower bound that Abney et al. (1996) give for an ordinary-fluid neutron star.

However it has been shown here that Alfvén waves do not exist in superconducting-superfluid neutron stars, but are instead replaced by cyclotron-vortex waves. Substituting equation (59) into equation (101) and solving for B_o gives

$$B_o \geq \frac{10^{10}}{1+\Delta} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{pp}} \right)^2 R_6^2 t_{\text{CI10}}^{-2} \text{ G}. \quad (103)$$

To compare with Abney et al. (1996), in this case they assume that confining the flux to the proton vortices increases the Alfvén phase velocity by a factor of $\sqrt{H_{c1}/B_o}$ [equals the square root of the vortex energy density over the magnetic energy density, see eq. (60) and Easson & Pethick 1977] and use $H_{c1} \approx 10^{15}$ G. This gives roughly the cyclotron-vortex wave velocity. Thus their result is fundamentally the same as that given here, though they have ignored the mass density dependence of H_{c1} and do not find the factor $(\rho_p/\rho_{pp})^2$.

To improve on the simple method of Abney et al. (1996) one should account for the effects of rotation, compressibility, stratification, dissipation, gravity, and geometry on the spin-up. Previous studies have shown that the spin-up of a rotating fluid proceeds by boundary-layer formation, followed by suction into the boundary layer (e.g., Ekman pumping, as mentioned above), and finally damping of any residual oscillations (Easson 1979; Abney & Epstein 1996; and references therein). Easson (1979) considered the spin-up of a constant-density rotating-slab model using MHD equations for an ordinary p-e plasma, and concluded that suction into a boundary layer was the most important process, even in the case of magnetic spin-up. The result given in that paper for the magnetic spin-up time of the core depends on both the angular velocity and the Alfvén frequency, and is given by $(\Omega k R / \omega_A)^{2/3} (2/\Omega)$. However, Abney & Epstein (1996) have shown that stratification (and compressibility) in a neutron star can limit the spin-up during the suction phase to only a very small region near the crust-core boundary, greatly increasing the spin-up time of the rest of the interior fluid. That paper only considered the effects of viscosity on the spin-up, but its general conclusions should apply in the magnetic case as well. Easson’s magnetic spin-up time then would only apply to the crust-core boundary-layer, which he found has an approximate thickness of $\omega_A / (\Omega k)$ (the distance an Alfvén wave penetrates the

core during one rotation). For the entire core to spin-up, one can then imagine a succession of boundary layers forming from the crust down to the centre of the star. Each layer would spin up on a time scale approximately equal to Easson’s magnetic spin-up time and the number of such layers would be $\Omega kR/\omega_A$. This gives a total magnetic spin-up time of $(\Omega kR/\omega_A)^{5/3}(2/\Omega)$. Obviously this represents an upper bound on the spin-up time, and Easson’s result represents a lower bound. To summarize, if magnetic fields are responsible for the core spin-up, one can tentatively predict that for an ordinary-fluid neutron star the crust-core coupling time will be constrained by,

$$\left(\frac{\Omega kR}{\omega_A}\right)^{2/3} \frac{2}{\Omega} \leq t_{\text{CI}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega kR}{\omega_A}\right)^{5/3} \frac{2}{\Omega}. \quad (104)$$

Ignoring dissipative effects and the dynamics of the neutrons, it can be shown that the simplified MHD equations given at the beginning of Section 5 are identical to those in Easson (1979) but with the magnetic force in that paper increased by $(\omega_{\text{CV}}/\omega_A)^2$ and the velocity field in that paper replaced with $(\rho_{\text{pp}}/\rho_p)\mathbf{u}$. Thus the corresponding limits on t_{CI} in the superconducting-superfluid case are identical to those in equation (104), but with the Alfvén frequency replaced with the cyclotron-vortex frequency, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{\Omega kR}{\omega_{\text{CV}}}\right)^{2/3} \frac{2}{\Omega} \leq t_{\text{CI}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega kR}{\omega_{\text{CV}}}\right)^{5/3} \frac{2}{\Omega}. \quad (105)$$

Easson correctly predicts the same lower limit given here (up to factors of ρ_{pp}/ρ_p) by assuming that the Alfvén phase velocity is increased by a factor of $\sqrt{H_{\text{c1}}/B_o}$ (the same factor used by Abney et al. 1996 as described above).

Using equation (42) for the Alfvén frequency and equation (59) for the cyclotron-vortex frequency in equations (104) and (105) gives the following limits on the interior magnetic field:

$$10^{12} \frac{\rho_{15}^{1/2} R_6}{\Omega_2^{1/2} t_{\text{CI}10}^{3/2}} \text{ G} \leq B_o \leq 10^{14} \frac{\rho_{15}^{1/2} R_6 \Omega_2^{2/5}}{t_{\text{CI}10}^{3/5}} \text{ G}, \quad (106)$$

in the ordinary fluid case, and

$$\frac{10^8}{1 + \Delta} \frac{\rho_p^2}{\rho_{\text{pp}}^2} \frac{R_6^2}{\Omega_2 t_{\text{CI}10}^3} \text{ G} \leq B_o \leq \frac{10^{13}}{1 + \Delta} \frac{\rho_p^2}{\rho_{\text{pp}}^2} \frac{R_6^2 \Omega_2^{4/5}}{t_{\text{CI}10}^{6/5}} \text{ G}, \quad (107)$$

in the superconducting-superfluid case. Note that the lower bounds in these equations are much less stringent than those given by Abney et al. (1996), and also in equations (102) and (103) (e.g., consider the Vela pulsar with $\Omega = 70.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and t_{CI} close to 10 s). But if stratification is as important in the case of magnetic spin-up as the study of Abney & Epstein (1996) implies, then the lower bounds of Abney et al. are likely to be correct. A more rigorous study of the spin-up process is needed to confirm the upper bounds given above, and may in fact show that the lower bounds should actually be very close to these upper bounds.

Without direct observation it is hard to say much with certainty about the interior magnetic field of a neutron star. An early estimate predicted it would persist for the lifetime of the universe (Baym, Pethick & Pines 1969), but other studies suggest that it might decay in less than 10^7 yrs (Jones 1987; Haensel, Urpin & Yakovlev 1990) or that pinning between neutron and proton vortices causes a portion of the interior flux to be expelled during spin down (Srinivasan et al. 1990; Jones 1991; Ding, Cheng & Chau 1993).

These latter models of flux expulsion give results that are consistent with the lower bound given in equation (107), but are harder to reconcile with the lower bounds given in the other equations.

In contrast to the lower bounds given here, Chao, Cheng & Ding (1992) actually find an upper bound on the interior magnetic field of approximately $B_o \leq 10^{8-9} \text{ G}$ using vortex creep theory to study the core spin-up. They assume that the neutron vortices are pinned by their magnetic interaction with the proton vortices, but as they point out [and see eq. (17)] other forces dominate the pinning forces during the initial spin-up. It is possible that pinning and vortex creep could be important during the transition from spin-up to post-glitch relaxation back to equilibrium. In even further contrast to the results given here, Sedrakian et al. (1995) use a vortex cluster model which predicts that proton vortices cluster around the magnetized neutron vortices. This produces an interior magnetic field that is tied to the star’s rotation rate and in their model the spin-up is independent of any relic field present at the star’s birth.

It is hoped future observations of pulsar glitches that time-resolve the spin-up will help distinguish between the various models mention here.

7 DISCUSSION

The MHD equations for the outer-core of a superconducting-superfluid neutron star have been presented in equations (24)–(34), while a greatly simplified form of the equations for the vector fields is given in equations (86)–(88). It has been shown that Alfvén waves are replaced by cyclotron-vortex waves in this region, and it has been shown how these waves and the ‘drag’ effect modify the inertial mode when rotation is included [see eqs. (59) and (84)]. The dissipative time scales due to electron viscosity and mutual friction can be computed using equations (95)–(98), and the damping times of the modes discussed in this paper can be found in equations (99)–(100). The magnetic spin-up of the core during a pulsar glitch is discussed in Section 6, and various limits on the interior magnetic field are found and compared with other results.

It is intriguing to think that glitch observations can constrain the physics of the neutron-star core. The limits given in Section 6 should be improved upon by solving the MHD equations given in this paper for the core spin-up, either by using the analytic methods of Easson (1979) or Abney & Epstein (1996), or numerically. The effects of the magnetic field, rotation, compressibility, stratification, dissipation, gravity, and geometry on the spin-up could then be studied. Other areas that require further investigation are the crust-core boundary conditions (including surface pinning effects), the effects of having an inner core, and the relativistic corrections to the equations. The results of future studies could then be compared with the competing models mentioned in Section 6, and ideally it will also be possible to make a comparison with future observations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Steve Detweiler and Jim Ipser for reading a rough draft of this manuscript, Richard Epstein and Angela Olinto for discussing Alfvén waves in neutron stars, and

Richard Epstein for discussing the core spin-up process. This research has been supported by the Institute for Fundamental Theory at the University of Florida.

REFERENCES

- Abney M., Epstein R. I., 1996, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 312, 327
- Abney M., Epstein R. I., Olinto A. V., 1996, *ApJ*, 466, L91
- Abrikosov A. A., Kemoklidze M. P., Khalatnikov, I. M. 1965, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 21, 506
- Alpar M. A. 1995, in Alpar M. A., Kiziloglu Ü., van Paradijs J., eds, *The Lives of Neutron Stars*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 185
- Alpar M. A., Langer S. A., Sauls, J. A., 1984, *ApJ*, 282, 533
- Andreev A. F., Bashkin E. P., 1976, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 42, 164
- Baym G., Bethe H. A., Pethick C. J., 1971, *Nucl. Phys. A*, 175, 225
- Baym G., Chandler E., 1983, *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 50, 57
- Baym G., Pethick C. J., 1975, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci.*, 25, 27
- , 1979, *ARA&A*, 17, 415
- Baym G., Pethick C. J., Pines D., 1969, *Nat*, 224, 673
- Baym G., Pethick C. J., Pines D., Ruderman M., 1969, *Nat*, 224, 872
- Bekarevich I. L., Khalatnikov I. M., 1961, *Sov. Phys.*, 13, 643
- Bhattacharya D., 1995, in Alpar M. A., Kiziloglu Ü., van Paradijs J., eds, *The Lives of Neutron Stars*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 153
- Blatter G., Ivlev B., 1995, *Phys. Rev. B*, 52, 4588
- Borumand M., Joynt R., Kluźniak W., 1996, *Phys. Rev. C*, 54, 2745
- Boyd T. J. M., Sanderson J. J., 1969, *Plasma Dynamics*. Barnes & Noble, New York
- Chau H. F., 1995, in Alpar M. A., Kiziloglu Ü., van Paradijs J., eds, *The Lives of Neutron Stars*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 197
- Chau H. F., Cheng K. S., Ding K. Y., 1992, *ApJ*, 399, 213
- Cutler C., Lindblom L., 1987, *ApJ*, 314, 234
- Cutler C., Lindblom L., Splinter R. J., 1990, *ApJ*, 363, 603
- de Gennes P. G., Matricon J., 1964, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 36, 45
- Ding K. Y., Cheng K. S., Chau H. F., 1993, *ApJ*, 408, 167
- Easson I., 1979, *ApJ*, 228, 257
- Easson I., Pethick C. J., 1977, *Phys. Rev. D*, 16, 275
- Easson I., Pethick C. J., 1979, *ApJ*, 227, 995
- Epstein R. I., 1988, *ApJ*, 333, 880
- Fetter A. L., Stauffer D., 1970, *Nat*, 227, 584
- Freidberg J. P., 1982, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 54, 801
- Friedel J., de Gennes P. G., Matricon J., 1963, *App. Phys. Lett.*, 2, 119
- Haensel P., Urpin V. A., Yakovlev D. G., 1990, *A&A*, 229, 113
- Ipser J. R., Lindblom L., 1991, *ApJ*, 373, 213
- Jackson J. D., 1975 *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, New York
- Jones P. B., 1987, *MNRAS*, 228, 513
- Jones P. B., 1991, *MNRAS*, 253, 279
- Kopnin N. B., Lopatin A. V., Sonin E. B., Traiton K. B., 1995, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74, 4527
- Krusius M., Kondo Y., Korhonen J.S., Sonin E. B., 1993, *Phys. Rev. B*, 47, 15113
- Lindblom L., Mendell G., 1994, *ApJ*, 421, 689
- Lindblom L., Mendell G., 1995, *ApJ*, 444, 804
- Link B., Epstein R. I., 1996 *ApJ*, 457, 844
- London F., 1960, *Superfluids*, Vol I. Dover, New York
- Lyne A. G., 1995, in Alpar M. A., Kiziloglu Ü., van Paradijs J., eds, *The Lives of Neutron Stars*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 213
- Mendell G., 1991a, *ApJ*, 380, 515
- Mendell G., 1991b, *ApJ*, 380, 530
- Mendell G., Lindblom L., 1991, *Ann. Phys.*, 205, 110
- Nice D. J., 1995, in Alpar M. A., Kiziloglu Ü., van Paradijs J., eds, *The Lives of Neutron Stars*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 225
- Pines D., Alpar M. A., 1985, *Nat*, 316, 27
- Ruderman M., 1970, *Nature*, 225, 619
- Sauls J. A., 1989, in Ögelman H., van den Heuvel E. P. J., eds, *Timing Neutron Stars*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 457
- Sedrakian A. D., Sedrakian D. M., Cordes J. M., Terzian Y., 1995 *ApJ*, 447, 324
- Sonin E. B., 1987, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 59, 87
- , 1996a, *Condensed Matter Preprint 9602040*
- , 1996b, *Condensed Matter Preprint 9606099*
- Srinivasan G., Bhattacharya D., Muslimov A., Tsygan A., 1990, *Curr. Sci.*, 59, 31
- Tilley D. R., Tilley J., 1986, *Superfluidity and Superconductivity*. Adam Hilger, New York
- Tkachenko V. K., 1966, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 23, 1049
- Tsui O. K. C., Ong N. P., Matsuda Y., Yan Y. F., Peterson J. B., 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 73, 724
- Tsuruta S., 1995, in Alpar M. A., Kiziloglu Ü., van Paradijs J., eds, *The Lives of Neutron Stars*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 133
- Van Horn H. M., 1980, *ApJ*, 236, 899

This paper has been produced using the Royal Astronomical Society/Blackwell Science \TeX macros.