Cumulant Correlators from the APM

István Szapudi¹ and Alexander S. Szalay²

¹NASA/Fermilab Astrophysics Center, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510-0500

² Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218

Abstract

This work presents a set of new statistics, the cumulant correlators (CC), aimed at high precision analysis of the galaxy distribution. They form a symmetric matrix, Q_{NM} , related to moment correlators the same way as cumulants are related to the moments of the distribution. They encode more information than the usual cumulants, S_N 's, and their extraction from data is similar to the calculation of the two-point correlation function. Perturbation theory (PT), its generalization, the extended perturbation theory (EPT), and the hierarchical assumption (HA) have simple predictions for these statistics. As an example, the factorial moment correlators measured by Szapudi, Dalton, Efstathiou & Szalay (1996, hereafter [SDES](#page-8-0)) in the APM catalog are reanalyzed using this technique. While the previous analysis assumed hierarchical structure constants, this method can directly investigate the validity of HA, along with PT, and EPT. The results in agreement with previous findings indicate that, at the small scales used for this analysis, the APM data supports HA. When all non-linear corrections are taken into account it is a good approximation at the 20 percent level. It appears that PT, and a natural generalization of EPT for CC does not provide such a good fit for the APM at small scales. Once the validity the HA is approximately established, CCs can separate the amplitudes of different tree-types in the hierarchy up to fifth order. As an example, the weights for the fourth order tree topologies are calculated including all non-linear corrections.

keywords large scale structure of the universe – galaxies: statistics – methods: data analysis – methods: statistical

1. Introduction

Direct determination of higher order correlation functions [\(Fry & Peebles 1978](#page-7-0), [Peebles 1980,](#page-8-0) and references therein) is burdened with the combinatorial explosion of terms, which severely complicates their measurement and interpretation. Thus in the recent years indirect methods became increasingly popular for high precision measurements of higher order correlations. The simplest of these methods consists of calculating the (factorial) moments of the distribution of counts in cells, and from that, the cumulants, S_N 's, of the underlying distribution (see e.g. [Peebles 1980,](#page-8-0) Gaztañaga 1992, [Bouchet](#page-7-0) *et al.* 1993, Gaztañaga 1994, [Colombi](#page-7-0) *et al.* 1995, [Szapudi,](#page-8-0) [Meiksin, & Nichol 1996\)](#page-8-0). For a point process, these quantities measure the amplitude of the N-point correlation function averaged in a particular window. The advantages of this technique lie in its simplicity, and its direct relation to the predictions of PT [\(Peebles 1980, Juszkiewicz,](#page-8-0) [Bouchet, & Colombi 1993,](#page-8-0) [Bernardeau 1992, Bernardeau 1994,](#page-7-0) EPT [\(Colombi](#page-7-0) et al. 1996) and the HA([Peebles 1980\)](#page-8-0). Since the averaging causes a significant loss of information, alternative methods based on moment correlators use a pair of cells (Szapudi, Szalay & Boschán 1992, Meiksin, [Szapudi, & Szalay 1992](#page-8-0), [SDES\)](#page-8-0). In the past such methods were used mainly to estimate the average amplitude of the different N-point correlation functions in the HA, the Q_N 's, motivated by the theory of the BBKGY equations in the strong clustering regime. This work presents an alternative analysis of the factorial moment correlators which is free of assumptions, except for the widely accepted infinitesimal Poisson model to relate the continuum limit quantities to the measured discrete process. Instead of fitting for the Q_N , a matrix Q_{NM} is defined: the CCs. Both HA and PT have specific predictions for these possibly scale dependent quantities. After elaborating these predictions, the method is illustrated by reanalyzing the factorial moment correlators obtained from the APM catalog by [SDES.](#page-8-0) Once the HA is established, CCs contain enough information to separate the weights of different tree topologies up to fifth order. The next section outlines the basic theory, section §3 presents the predictions of PT, EPT, and HA. The measurements of the 4th order coefficients of the hierarchy from the APM catalog are described in section §4.

2. Theory

Following [SDES](#page-8-0) we define the factorial moment correlators for a pair of cells separated by a distance r_{12} as

$$
w_{kl}(r_{12}) = \frac{\langle (N_1)_k (N_2)_l \rangle - \langle (N)_k \rangle \langle (N)_l \rangle}{\langle N \rangle^{k+l}}, \quad k \neq 0, l \neq 0,
$$
 (1)

and the normalized factorial moments for a single cell

$$
w_{k0} = \frac{\langle (N)_k \rangle}{\langle N \rangle^k}.
$$
 (2)

The notation $(N)_k = N(N-1)...(N-1+k)$ is introduced for the factorial moments of the counts in cells, $\langle \rangle$ denotes averaging over all cell positions in the survey. The connection with the fluctuations

of the underlying field, δ , can be obtained by formally substituting $(N)_k / \langle N \rangle^k \to (1 + \delta)^k$. The generating function for the factorial moments in terms of the cumulants Q_N is

$$
W(x) = \exp\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_N x^N Q_N,
$$
\n(3)

with

$$
\Gamma_N = \frac{N^{N-2}\xi_s^{N-1}}{N!},\tag{4}
$$

where $\xi_s = \sigma$, the variance in a cell. The generating function can be written in the above form for any distribution that has cumulants. Generally, the Q_N 's can have a scale dependence, while for the HA $Q_N = \text{const}$ is expected. Note the connection with the popular alternative notation, $S_N = Q_N N^{N-2}$ exactly. Similarly, the generating function of the factorial moment correlators can be written as

$$
W(x, y) = W(x)W(y) (\exp Q(x, y) - 1),
$$
\n(5)

with

$$
Q(x,y) = \xi_l \sum_{M=1,N=1}^{\infty} x^M y^N Q_{NM} \Gamma_M \Gamma_N NM. \tag{6}
$$

This latter equation defines the CCs, Q_{NM} , with $\xi_l = w_{11}$, the two-point correlation function between the cells. Typically in the APM survey, $\xi_l \ll \xi_s (= \sigma) < 1$ Note that the linear dependence is factored out, however, Q_{NM} is not necessarily a constant.

Cumulants and CCs are related to the continuum limit connected moments because of the continuum properties of the factorial moments

$$
\frac{\left\langle \delta_1^N \right\rangle_c}{N!} = Q_N \Gamma_N \tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{\left\langle \delta_1^N \delta_2^M \right\rangle_c}{N!M!} = Q_{NM} \Gamma_M \Gamma_N N M \xi_l.
$$
\n(8)

Although the above equations are formally identical to [SDES,](#page-8-0) there are two subtle differences: there is no reference to the hierarchical assumption, therefore Q_{NM} becomes a matrix, and it is understood as an *exact* equation, i.e. the non-linearities are included. It is convenient to define CCs linear in ξ_l , denoted by \tilde{Q}_{NM} , which are obtained from the generating function with the approximation of $\exp Q(x, y) - 1 \simeq Q(x, y) + \mathcal{O}(\xi_l^2)$. The \tilde{Q}_{NM} 's coincide up to normalization with the C_{NM} 's calculated from PT by [Bernardeau 1995](#page-7-0) (see next section). Note that in the following linear and non-linear always refers to powers of ξ_l .

The CCs can be calculated for any well behaved point process by expanding $W(x, y)/[W(x)W(y)]$ according to equation 5. For instance the third and fourth order moments are

$$
Q_{12}\Gamma_1\Gamma_22\xi_l = w_{12}/2 - \xi_l
$$
\n(9)

$$
Q_{13}\Gamma_1\Gamma_33\xi_l = w_{13}/6 - w_{12}/2 - w_{20}/2 + \xi_l
$$
\n(10)

$$
Q_{22}\Gamma_2^2 4\xi_l = w_{22}/4 - w_{12} + \xi_l - \xi_l^2/2, \tag{11}
$$

and follows that $Q_{22} = \tilde{Q}_{22} - \xi_l/2\xi_s^2$.

3. Predictions

In the highly nonlinear regime, the HA (e.g., [Peebles 1980](#page-8-0); BS) states that the N -point correlation functions can be written as a sum of products of $N-1$ two-point correlation functions. Each product corresponds to a tree spanning the N-points, and there is a summation over all possible trees. The different tree topologies, labeled with k, are weighted with a constant Q_{Nk} . Our notation in detail can be found in [Bosch´an, Szapudi, & Szalay 1994,](#page-7-0) [Szapudi & Colombi 1996.](#page-8-0) One of the goals of this paper is validate the HA to an unprecedented accuracy.

Comparing Equation [6](#page-2-0) with [SDES,](#page-8-0) and [Szapudi & Szalay 1993,](#page-8-0) yields a linear order prediction for the HA

$$
Q_{N+M} \simeq \tilde{Q}_{NM} \simeq const.
$$
\n(12)

For instance the 4th order cumulant Q_4 is approximately equal to the linear CCs \tilde{Q}_{13} , \tilde{Q}_{22} , and constant, etc. While form factors from the smoothing were shown to be negligible by Boschan, [Szapudi, & Szalay 1994,](#page-7-0) different tree topologies and non-linear corrections will be taken into account next for a more accurate prediction.

The only 3rd order CC is Q_{12} . Tree graphs spanning three points have only one possible topology (its weight denoted by Q_3 with form factors neglected), giving altogether three possible graphs.

$$
\left\langle \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \right\rangle = 2Q_{12} \xi_s \xi_l = Q_3 (2\xi_l \xi_s + \xi_l^2), \tag{13}
$$

reproducing $\tilde{Q}_{12} = Q_3$ at linear order.

At fourth order there are two CCs Q_{13} , and Q_{22} . The sixteen possible trees spanning four points come in two distinct topologies: four "snake" graphs and twelve "star" graphs. Their respective amplitudes are denoted with R_a and R_b in the HA. Summing all possible graphs with the appropriate statistical weights gives

$$
\left\langle \delta_1^3 \delta_2 \right\rangle_c = 9Q_{13}\xi_l \xi_s^2 = 6\xi_l \xi_s^2 R_a + 3\xi_l \xi_s^2 R_b + 6\xi_l^2 \xi_s R_a + \xi_l^3 R_b, \tag{14}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \right\rangle_c = 4Q_{22}\xi_l \xi_s^2 = 4\xi_l \xi_s^2 R_a + 4\xi_l^2 \xi_s R_a + 4\xi_l^2 \xi_s R_b + 4\xi_l^3 R_a. \tag{15}
$$

These two equations are linear in R_a and R_b , therefore they can be solved yielding equations (with non-linear coefficients in terms of ξ) in terms of Q_{13} and Q_{22} . The linear solution is $R_a = \tilde{Q}_{22}$ and $R_b = 3\tilde{Q}_{13} - 2\tilde{Q}_{22}.$

Direct comparison of Equation [6](#page-2-0) with the coefficients C_{NM} in [Bernardeau 1995](#page-7-0) reveals that they are identical to the linear order CCs up to normalization

$$
C_{NM} = \tilde{Q}_{NM} N^{N-1} M^{M-1} + \mathcal{O}(\xi_l^2).
$$
 (16)

Perturbation theory predicts that the coefficients factorize such that

$$
C_{NM} = C_{N1} C_{M1},\tag{17}
$$

and the series C_{N1} was calculated up to first non-trivial order. The interested reader is referred to [Bernardeau 1995](#page-7-0) for detailed predictions in the weakly non-linear regime, for the present work only Equation [17](#page-3-0) is needed.

Although biasing is not investigated in this paper, it is worth to note that it can significantly change the higher order correlations. In the weakly non-linear regime the results of [Fry &](#page-7-0) Gaztañaga 1993 should be generalized for CCs. Such a calculation, which is left for subsequent research, will resolve the remaining ambiguities in the interpretation of CCs.

4. Measurements from the APM Catalog

For an initial assessment, the linear CCs were first calculated from the factorial moment correlators measured in the APM survey [\(Maddox et al. 1990a,](#page-8-0) [Maddox et al. 1990a](#page-8-0), [Maddox](#page-8-0) [et al. 1990c](#page-8-0)) by [SDES.](#page-8-0) In what follows, a density map of cell size 0.23◦ and magnitude cut of $b_J = 17 - 20$ was used (see [SDES](#page-8-0) for the detailed properties of the density maps). The bottom panel of the Figure shows the measured \tilde{q}_{NM} 's (the linear projected CCs; lower case symbols refer to projected quantities) up to fifth order. To interpret the figures note that the CCs are characterized by two relevant scales: the angular separation, and the smoothing scale, or cell size. On the figures, only the separation is shown in degrees, $(1° \simeq 7h^{-1}Mpc$ for this magnitude cut), while the smoothing length (always 0.23°) remains implicit. The degeneracy and the approximate parallel nature of the curves immediately suggest that the HA is a reasonable approximation. At larger scales the CCs appear to roll off, while the prediction stays flat, and the degeneracy of the curves is slightly broken. This is mainly due to fact that linear CCs were used, and cumulants are not exactly constant at all scales as shown by Gaztañaga 1994, [Szapudi, Meiksin, & Nichol 1996](#page-8-0) (i.e. HA is slightly broken).

The middle panel of the Figure. illustrates equation [17](#page-3-0) predicted by leading order PT. The solid lines are the CCs \tilde{q}_{NM} , $N, M > 1$, while the dotted lines show the corresponding $\tilde{q}_{1N}\tilde{q}_{1M}$. Only the fourth and fifth order are shown. The degree of validity of PT can be judged from how well the dotted and solid lines match. Since the dotted lines appear to be consistently smaller than the solid ones this model provides a less accurate description of the data than HA. Possibly, higher than leading order PT could improve the representation of the data; it is left for future work.

It can be argued, that PT for the CCs is valid when both relevant scales are in the weakly non-linear regime. While PT matches the higher order correlations in the APM for larger scales $(Gaztañaga \& Frieman 1994)$, for the small cell size used in this work non-linearities can be important for the present measurement (Baugh $\&$ Gaztañaga 1994). However, it was found in N-body simulations [\(Colombi](#page-7-0) et al. 1996), and galaxy data([Szapudi, Meiksin, & Nichol 1996](#page-8-0)), that the higher order correlation amplitudes, Q_N , measured from counts in cells are similar to the one prescribed by PT, but with a steeper power spectrum. This phenomenological extension of PT is the essence of EPT. The previous exercise taken at face value would suggest that EPT

cannot be generalized for moment correlators. A rough estimate of the errors based on Equation [12](#page-3-0) with scaling the variance from Gaztañaga 1994, and Gaztañaga 1996 (private communication) yields 5%, 7%, and 7% for the third, fourth, and fifth order respectively. These error-bars, which are not necessary conservative, could only marginally exclude the natural extension of EPT at small scales. Further measurements in N-body simulations, and high quality data are needed to show, whether the EPT paradigm can be applied to CCs.

The HA can be examined with further scrutiny by relaxing the previous assumptions on linearity and uniform weighting of topologies. The form factors resulting from the pair of cells are expected to be smaller than the measurement errors and will be still neglected. Counting the number of degrees of freedom reveals that from the cumulants and CCs it is possible to separate the different tree topologies up to fifth order. A calculation for the third and fourth order is presented here. The fifth order calculation is analogous, although somewhat tedious. At higher than fifth order additional information is needed to separate the different graph types.

The long dashed line on the top panel of the Figure shows the non-linear measurement of q_3 as calculated from q_{21} of the APM according to Eq. [13](#page-3-0). The dotted lines show the linear solution r_a , and r_b as computed from \tilde{q}_{22} , and \tilde{q}_{31} . The hierarchy predicts two horizontal lines, with the constraint that $16q_3 = 12r_a + 4r_b$. The linear approximations on the other hand show a strong scale dependence, increasing and even crossing over at the smallest scales: a possible sign of non-linear effects. The full non-linear equations([14](#page-3-0), [15](#page-3-0)) yield the result plotted with solid lines: the non-linear corrections remove most of the scale dependence, as expected if HA is satisfied. The residuals are probably due to the neglected form factors, measurement errors. On the left side of the panel several amplitudes are plotted for comparison; for these points the angular scale is irrelevant. The three sided symbols refer to third order quantities, the four sided to fourth order. The filled triangles and squares shows the value of $q_3 = 1.15$ and $q_4 = 2.2$ calculated from the averaged value of $q_{21} = 1.15$, and $r_a = 1.15$, and $r_b = 5.3$, respectively. The open symbols correspond to the values of $q_3 = 1.7$, and $q_4 = 4.17$ measured from the factorial moments alone, w_{k0} , at the scale of the cells. For a comparison, the two stars show the respective measurements of [SDES](#page-8-0) $q_3 = 1.16$, and $q_4 = 1.96$. The reason that [SDES](#page-8-0) measured a somewhat lower q_4 is that they used linear approximations (dotted lines) only. The measurements of $q_3 = 1.7$ by Gaztañaga 1994 in the APM and $q_3 = 1.6$ [Szapudi, Meiksin, & Nichol 1996](#page-8-0) at the same cell size, are in excellent agreement with the results from w_{k0} . The values for the fourth order in the same sources, $q_4 = 3.7$ and $q_4 = 3.2$, are slightly lower than above, but the agreement is still within 20 – 30 percent.

The above numbers suggest that, while the different measurements using the same method are consistent with each other even in different catalogs, there is some disagreement between the results based on moment correlators and moments. The error distribution studied by [Szapudi &](#page-8-0) [Colombi 1996](#page-8-0) provides useful clues to resolve this apparent discrepancy. Since the distribution of errors is positively skewed and increasingly so for higher order moments, an upward fluctuation is more likely than a downward. This effect is increasing with the order of the moments measured. In the method proposed by this work q_3 is estimated from the value of q_{21} . The behavior of the errors is similar to the multiple of a second and first order quantity, thus the variance is reduced.

Note that this is possible, only after the hierarchy is established, i.e. a prior information is used to reduce the scatter from cosmic errors. An accurate error estimation in this case would involve a tedious calculation, a non-trivial generalization of [Szapudi & Colombi 1996](#page-8-0).

The de-projection using the coefficients in [SDES](#page-8-0) yields $Q_3 = 1$, $R_a = 0.8$, and $R_b = 3.7$, giving $Q_4 = 1.5$. This is to be compared with with [Fry & Peebles 1978](#page-7-0), where the direct determination of the four-point correlation function from the Lick catalog yielded $R_a = 2.5 \pm 0.6$ and $R_b = 4.3 \pm 1.2$. These results could give a clue for solving the BBKGY equations in the highly non-linear regime. The assumption of [Hamilton 1988,](#page-8-0) that only the snake graphs have a contribution, appears to be close to our results: although both graph types have a contribution, the average is closer to the snake coefficient. The ansatz of [Bernardeau & Schaeffer 1992](#page-7-0), $\sqrt{R_a} \simeq Q_3$, is not a particularly good approximation. In conclusion the statistics of the CCs is in excellent agreement with HA. The method outlined here in conjunction with future data and N-body simulations will be able to pin down the amplitudes of the higher order correlations with unprecedented accuracy.

We would like to acknowledge discussions with F. Bernardeau, S. Colombi, and J. Frieman, and suggested improvements by the referee, E. Gaztañaga. The original measurement of the factorial moment correlators was carried out in collaboration with G. Dalton, and G. Efstathiou. I.S. was supported by DOE and NASA through grant NAG-5-2788 at Fermilab. A.S.S. was supported by a NASA LTSA grant.

5. Figure Caption

Lower Panel. The linear CCs, \tilde{q}_{nm} , the main raw results of the paper are displayed up to fifth order as a function of the angular separation of cells in degrees. The parallel degenerate lines suggest the HA.

Middle Panel. The linear CCs are shown on a linear scale (solid lines) together with the prediction from PT (dotted line). The agreement is improving towards the higher scales.

Upper Panel. The hierarchical amplitudes as calculated from the fully non-linear CCs are displayed. The long dashed line corresponds to the estimator of q_3 , the solid lines to the estimator of r_a , and r_b , the amplitudes of the fourth order snake, and star graphs, respectively. The dotted lines show the linear approximation, which breaks down at smaller scales at this level of precision. The filled symbols mark q_3 (triangle), and q_4 (square) as calculated from the moment correlators. The open symbols are the same as measured from the moments of counts in cells only. Finally, the crosses show the measurements of q_3 (triangular), and q_4 (square) by [SDES](#page-8-0) for comparison.

REFERENCES

- Bernardeau, F. 1992, ApJ, 292, 1
- Bernardeau, F. 1994, ApJ, 433, 1
- Bernardeau, F. 1995, A&A, 301, 309
- Bernardeau, F. 1995, & Schaeffer, R. 1992, A&A, 255, 1
- Bosch´an, P., Szapudi, I., & Szalay, A. 1994, ApJS, 93, 65
- Baugh, C.M., & Gaztañaga, E. 1996, MNRAS, 280, L37
- Bouchet, F.R., Strauss, M.A., Davis, M., Fisher, K.B., Yahil, A., & Huchra, J.P. 1993, ApJ, 417, 36
- Colombi, S., Bouchet, F.R., & Hernquist, L. 1995, A&A, 281, 301
- Colombi, S., Bernardeau, F., Bouchet, F.R., & Hernquist, L. 1996,([astro-ph 9610253\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9610253)
- Fry, J.N., & Gazta˜naga, E. 1993, ApJ, 413, 447
- Fry, J.N., & Peebles, P.J.E. 1978, ApJ, 221, 19
- Gazta˜naga, E. 1992, ApJ, 319, L17
- Gazta˜naga, E. 1994, MNRAS, 268, 913
- Gazta˜naga, E., & Frieman, J.A. 1994, ApJ, 437, L13
- Hamilton, A.J.S. 1988, ApJ, 332,67
- Juszkiewicz, R., Bouchet, F. R., & Colombi, S. 1993, ApJ, 412, L9
- Maddox, S. J., Efstathiou, G., Sutherland, W. J., & Loveday, L. 1990a, MNRAS, 242, 43P
- Maddox, S. J., Sutherland, W. J., Efstathiou, G., & Loveday, L. 1990b, MNRAS, 243, 692
- Maddox, S. J., Sutherland, W. J., Efstathiou, G., & Loveday, L. 1990b, MNRAS, 246, 433
- Meiksin, A., Szapudi, I., & Szalay, A., 1992, ApJ, 394, 87
- Peebles, P.J.E. 1980, The Large Scale Structure of the Universe (Princeton: Princeton University Press)
- Szapudi, I., & Colombi, S. 1996, ApJ, 470, 131
- Szapudi, I., Dalton, G., Efstathiou, G.P., & Szalay, A. 1995, ApJ, 444, 520
- Szapudi, I., Meiksin, A., & Nichol, R.C. 1996, ApJ, 473, 15
- Szapudi, I. & Szalay, A. 1993, ApJ, 408, 43
- Szapudi, I., Szalay, A., & Boschán, P. 1992, ApJ, 390, 350

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IATEX macros v4.0.

