

Application of a MHD hybrid solar wind model with latitudinal dependences to ULYSSES data at minimum

A. Aibéo^{1,2}, J.J.G. Lima^{1,3} and C. Sauty⁴

¹ Centro de Astrofísica da Universidade do Porto, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal

² Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica e Gestão industrial da Escola Superior de Tecnologia de Viseu, Campus Politécnico de Viseu, 4105 Viseu, Portugal

³ Departamento de Matemática Aplicada da Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 657, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

⁴ Observatoire de Paris, L.U.Th., 92190 Meudon, France

Received ***** **, 2006; accepted *** **, 2006

ABSTRACT

Aims. In a previous work, ULYSSES data was analyzed to build a complete axisymmetric MHD solution for the solar wind at minimum including rotation and the initial flaring of the solar wind in the low corona. This model has some problems in reproducing the values of magnetic field at 1 AU despite the correct values of the velocity. Here, we intend to extend the previous analysis to another type of solutions and to improve our modelling of the wind from the solar surface to 1 AU.

Methods. We compare the previous results to those obtained with a fully helicoidal model and construct a hybrid model combining both previous solutions, keeping the flexibility of the parent models in the appropriate domain. From the solar surface to the Alfvén point, a three component solution for velocity and magnetic field is used, reproducing the complex wind geometry and the well-known flaring of the field lines observed in coronal holes. From the Alfvén radius to 1 AU and further, the hybrid model keeps the latitudinal dependences as flexible as possible, in order to deal with the sharp variations near the equator and we use the helicoidal solution, turning the poloidal streamlines into radial ones.

Results. Despite the absence of the initial flaring, the helicoidal model and the first hybrid solution suffer from the same low values of the magnetic field at 1 AU. However, by adjusting the parameters with a second hybrid solution, we are able to reproduce both the velocity and magnetic profiles observed by ULYSSES and a reasonable description of the low corona, provided that a certain amount of energy deposit exists along the flow.

Conclusions. The present paper shows that analytical axisymmetric solutions can be constructed to reproduce the solar structure and dynamics from 1 solar radius up to 1 AU.

Key words. MHD - solar wind - sun - plasmas

1. Introduction

Since Parker's model (1958), many studies have been presented to explain and predict the features and properties of the solar wind, mainly following two different, yet complementary, approaches, kinetic and fluid approximations.

These techniques are able to reproduce certain aspects of the observed solar wind but both show some limitations, mainly due to the complexity of the several acceleration mechanisms, the uncertainties concerning the origin of the fast solar wind, the associated problem of coronal heating, etc. Different models have been presented improving results of the acceleration. Two fluid models and more recently three-fluid models, (e.g. Ofman, 2000;

Zouganelis et al., 2004) have been constructed to explore the kinetic aspects of the wind acceleration by supra-thermal electrons in the collisionless region far from the Sun. All models still have difficulties avoiding very high temperature for the electrons. Other sources of heating such as turbulence (Landi & Pantellini, 2003) or Alfvén waves (Usmanov & Goldstein, 2003; Grappin et al., 2002) may also explain the acceleration by lowering the effective polytropic index of the flow. This point is not yet resolved and we shall not address this question here. Instead we will invoke the need for turbulence or Alfvén wave damping in our solutions.

Another approach consists of constructing MHD solutions to analyze the 3D structure of the wind, almost independently of the heating source. Various models have been constructed, either 2-D ones able to de-

scribe the low corona of the sun up to 10 solar radii (e.g. Pneuman & Kopp, 1971; Steinolfson *et al.*, 1982; Cuperman *et al.*, 1990 and references therein), the slow solar wind inside the brightness boundary in coronal streamers, (e.g. Nerney & Suess, 2005 and references therein), 2-D ones for larger distances and models for all range of distances. Those models were proposed because the flaring of the streamlines in polytropic winds favors the acceleration. Recent observations by Wang & Sheeley (2003) showed, however, that this may not be the case for the real solar wind. This favors a description of the 3D structure of the solar wind using self-similar MHD analytical solutions for non polytropic winds (Tsinganos & Sauty, 1992; Lima *et al.*, 2001). In the first of these two models it has been shown that the flaring of the lines may instead limit the acceleration of the wind.

An increasing amount of observational data is now available. ULYSSES measured for the first time the magnetic field, the dynamics and the temperature of the wind around 1 AU out of the ecliptic plane (McComas *et al.*, 2000). Data from ACE (Stone *et al.*, 1998), WIND (Acuna *et al.*, 1995), SOHO UVCS (e.g. Woo & Habbal, 2005), LASCO (e.g. Lewis & Simnett, 2002) and SOHO CDS (Gallagher *et al.*, 1999) are providing new insights into the origin of the solar wind within coronal holes. Doppler Scintillation measurements (Woo & Gazis, 1994) also brought new constraints to solar wind modelling.

Semi-empirical models that use data to set boundary conditions for a numerical approach to the problem have also been proposed (e.g. Steinolfson *et al.* (1982); Sittler & Guhathakurta (1999); Groth *et al.* (1999)). Nevertheless some doubts on the boundaries of some simulations are still present (Vlahakis *et al.*, 2000). More recently, some new developments have suggested that numerical simulations can benefit greatly from an analytical treatment (e.g. Keppens & Goedbloed, 2000; Usmanov & Goldstein, 2003; Hayashi, 2005). Numerical simulations are still quite time-consuming although this is rapidly improving. However, there are other limitations such as maintaining divergence-free magnetic fields, limiting the effects of numerical magnetic diffusivity or solving the 3D structure of the wind including rotation even at large distances. Note that the main problem with present simulations is the existence of a numerical magnetic diffusivity (e.g. Grappin *et al.*, 2002). This is why we propose to construct semi-analytical models which are less sophisticated than numerical simulations but simpler to handle and more versatile. They also provide a complementary approach.

In the present work, that follows closely the work of Sauty *et al.* (2005 hereafter SLIT05) we focus on the dynamics of the protons in the solar wind. We apply known MHD analytical models to ULYSSES data at solar minimum and test their advantages and limitations. We will generate an exact wind solution based on the model of Lima *et al.* (2001 hereafter LPT01) and on the data fit made in SLIT05. In SLIT05 two models (LPT01 and Sauty *et al.* 1999 hereafter STT99) were used to fit the

data. The final solution was based on solving the differential equations of the latter of these two models. Finding a solution that complies with the constraints given by the data fit and the ones from its topological features is not easy.

Regarding the limitations of LPT01 wind solution (purely radial, yet very adaptable to the latitudinal dependences) and the ones of the similar study presented in SLIT05 (see also STT99; Sauty *et al.*, 2002, hereafter STT02 and Sauty *et al.*, 2004, hereafter STT04), we take into account the advantages of both models by creating hybrid solutions. These use the 2.5D features of the STT04 model to describe the solar wind dynamics from the solar surface towards the Alfvén sphere. From the Alfvén point towards 1 AU and beyond, these hybrid solutions will use the advantages of the LPT01 model in fitting steep variations of velocity, density and magnetic field with latitude and expressing the radial behaviour of the solar wind in this region. However, we still solve the complete set of MHD equations in the radial domain and not simply the Bernoulli equation along the streamlines. Thus, the solution remains consistent everywhere. We discuss the properties of the solutions thus obtained and physical grounds for their limitations.

We maintain the criteria used to find a good solution from the ULYSSES data fit and the measured values of the physical quantities at 1 AU. It will be shown later that, for some sets of parameters, both the LPT01 model and the first hybrid model show the same problems mentioned in SLIT05, namely in reproducing the values of the magnetic field at 1 AU from ULYSSES. These will be solved by a judicious choice of parameters in the second hybrid model that generates a solution consistent with ULYSSES data.

2. Self-similar MHD outflow models from central rotating objects

The following two axisymmetric wind models are obtained by self-consistently solving the full system of ideal MHD equations. In the present work we use spherical coordinates $[r, \theta, \phi]$. All quantities have been normalized to their values at the Alfvén radius along the polar axis, similarly to SLIT05. They will be identified by the subscript *, *i.e.* V_* , ρ_* and B_* for velocity, density and magnetic fields at the Alfvén polar point, respectively. All equations will be presented in a normalized form where the distance to the solar surface is related to the real distance by $R \equiv r/r_*$.

2.1. Model A with flaring streamlines

In model A all three components of the velocity and magnetic fields are accounted for (STT99, STT02, STT04). Nevertheless, an expansion up to first order in latitude of the forces is performed by using harmonics with polar values as references. Such a procedure makes the whole system analytical tractable and also describes the helio-latitudinal variations of the wind quantities. The fields

describing the outflow dynamics are

$$V_r(R, \theta) = V_* \frac{fM^2}{R^2} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \delta f \sin^2 \theta}} \quad (1)$$

$$V_\theta(R, \theta) = -V_* \frac{M^2}{2R} \frac{df}{dR} \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \delta f \sin^2 \theta}} \quad (2)$$

$$V_\phi(R, \theta) = \lambda V_* \left(\frac{1 - fM^2/R^2}{1 - M^2} \right) \frac{R \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \delta f \sin^2 \theta}} \quad (3)$$

$$B_r(R, \theta) = B_* \frac{f}{R^2} \cos \theta \quad (4)$$

$$B_\theta(R, \theta) = -B_* \frac{1}{2R} \frac{df}{dR} \sin \theta \quad (5)$$

$$B_\phi(R, \theta) = \lambda B_* \left(\frac{1 - f/R^2}{1 - M^2} \right) R \sin \theta \quad (6)$$

$$\rho(R, \theta) = \frac{\rho_*}{M^2} (1 + \delta f \sin^2 \theta) \quad (7)$$

$$P(R, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \rho_* V_*^2 (\Pi (1 + \kappa f \sin^2 \theta) + C), \quad (8)$$

where V_r , V_θ , V_ϕ are the three components of the velocity field, B_r , B_θ , B_ϕ , the three components of the magnetic field, ρ , the density, P , the pressure and C is a constant. There are three functions of R , namely M , f and Π .

2.2. Model B with helicoidal/radial streamlines

Model B assumes a simpler geometry with radial stream and field lines in the poloidal plane (*i.e.* zero θ components of the velocity and magnetic fields). It is more versatile at reproducing steep latitudinal variations (LPT01). In this case, the fields describing the outflow dynamics are

$$V_r(R, \theta) = V_* \frac{M^2}{R^2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \mu \sin^{2\epsilon} \theta}{1 + \delta \sin^{2\epsilon} \theta}} \quad (9)$$

$$V_\phi(R, \theta) = \lambda V_* \left(\frac{1 - M^2/R^2}{1 - M^2} \right) \frac{R \sin^\epsilon \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \delta \sin^{2\epsilon} \theta}} \quad (10)$$

$$B_r(R, \theta) = \frac{B_*}{R^2} \sqrt{1 + \mu \sin^{2\epsilon} \theta} \quad (11)$$

$$B_\phi(R, \theta) = \lambda B_* \left(\frac{1 - 1/R^2}{1 - M^2} \right) R \sin^\epsilon \theta \quad (12)$$

$$\rho(R, \theta) = \frac{\rho_*}{M^2} (1 + \delta \sin^{2\epsilon} \theta) \quad (13)$$

$$P(R, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \rho_* V_*^2 (\Pi_0 + \Pi_1 \sin^{2\epsilon} \theta), \quad (14)$$

where the same notation is used and Π_0 and Π_1 are functions of R .

2.3. Geometry of the solutions

The relevant wind type solutions cross various critical points related to the non-linearity of the system of equations and its mixed elliptic/hyperbolic nature (see for instance Tsinganos *et al.*, 1996; STT04). Each model is described by three functions of R , $M(R)$, $\Pi(R)$ and $f(R)$ for model A, $M(R)$, $\Pi_0(R)$ and $\Pi_1(R)$ for model B. The function $f(R)$ characterizes the geometry of the fieldlines and

Table 1. Parameters obtained by data fitting at 1 AU along the polar axis using the ULYSSES hourly average data, except for $B_{T,1AU}$ which is calculated along the equatorial plane.

Parameters	Model B
κf_{1AU}	0.35
δf_{1AU}	1.95
f_{1AU}	1.00
ϵ	5.64
μ	-0.18
V_{1AU} (km/s)	775
n_{1AU} (cm ⁻³)	2.48
B_{1AU} (μ G)	30.4
$B_{T,1AU}$ (μ G)	29.5

expresses the expansion factor. For a fully radial poloidal fieldline (*i.e.* an helicoidal pattern of the lines in 3D) we have $f = 1$ which is the case of model B. The function $M(R)$ describes the poloidal Alfvén Mach number which is unity at the Alfvén radius. At this point the kinetic energy overtakes the magnetic one. A limitation of both models comes from their self-similar nature. Thus the Alfvén Mach number is independent of latitude and therefore the Alfvén iso-surfaces are spherical. The functions Π , Π_0 and Π_1 are determined by numerical and analytical techniques that are explained in STT02 and LPT01.

3. A complete solution with helicoidal/radial streamlines

In SLIT05 we fitted ULYSSES data using the latitude dependence of models A and B. We have shown that both models yield similar parameters. The system of ODEs was integrated exclusively using model A. Conversely, in this section we use the ODEs of model B to derive a full solution and compare the results with the ones from SLIT05. The model flexibility provides a better fit of the latitudinal functions, which may be crucial in dealing with the poloidal data at 1 AU. Yet, this solution cannot reproduce the flaring of the streamlines as they remain radial in the poloidal plane.

3.1. Method for a solution

The free parameters of the model (ϵ , δ and μ), the polar values of the number density, radial velocity and magnetic field at 1 AU, n_{1AU} , V_{1AU} and B_{1AU} , respectively, and the equatorial toroidal magnetic field at that same distance, $B_{T,1AU}$, have already been constrained by the ULYSSES data fitting procedures used in SLIT05. The end results

are summarized in Table 1. From these constrained parameters the latitude functions are well defined. The radial dependences of the physical quantities are determined by integrating the ODEs of the model. From the knowledge of the values at 1 AU of the polar velocity, $V_{1\text{AU}}$, density, $\rho_{1\text{AU}}$, and magnetic field, $B_{1\text{AU}}$, it is possible to infer the value of the Alfvén number at that same distance,

$$M_{1\text{AU}}^2 = \frac{4\pi\rho_{1\text{AU}}V_{1\text{AU}}^2}{B_{1\text{AU}}^2}. \quad (15)$$

Simultaneously using Eq. (13) we obtain another important reference value,

$$\rho_* = \frac{4\pi\rho_{1\text{AU}}^2V_{1\text{AU}}^2}{B_{1\text{AU}}^2}. \quad (16)$$

In the original paper (LPT01) the relations that rule the model are normalized to the solar surface. The critical solution for the solar wind is calculated based on three simple criteria. The first one is $V_r(r/r_\odot = 1)/V_\odot = 1$ and the other two are the continuity in the acceleration at the Alfvén singularity and fast magnetosonic separatrix. In the present work we keep the two last criteria but Eqs. (9) to (14) are normalized to the Alfvén radius as in SLIT05. Thus, regarding the first criterion, the definition of the solar surface, $r_\odot/r_* \equiv R_\odot$, poses a problem. In order to calculate it we used the value at which the radial velocity goes to zero or reaches its minimum value. As we expect the radial velocity to have a very steep variation at those distances, the corresponding error at the evaluation of the solar surface will be very small. The final solution should also reproduce the measured values obtained by ULYSSES at 1 AU (mainly radial velocity, radial and toroidal magnetic field and density). The total acceleration between the Alfvén radius and 1 AU can be parameterized by,

$$\eta = \frac{V_{1\text{AU}}}{V_*}. \quad (17)$$

Guessing this parameter, we obtain an initial value of V_* and $B_* = \sqrt{4\pi\rho_*}V_*$. From Eq. (11) it is possible to determine the value of 1 AU in Alfvén radius units,

$$R_{1\text{AU}} = \frac{1\text{AU}}{r_*(\text{AU})} = \frac{215r_\odot}{r_*(r_\odot)} = \sqrt{\frac{B_*}{B_{1\text{AU}}}}. \quad (18)$$

The last equation gives the location of the solar surface since $R_{1\text{AU}} = r_{1\text{AU}}/r_* = 215R_\odot$. Already having the anisotropy parameters, ϵ , δ and μ , we still need λ and ν . Combining Eqs. (11) and (12), assuming that we are at large distances ($R_{1\text{AU}} \gg 1$) and since the lines are radial, which is very reasonable at 1 AU, we get, from Eq. (12) applied at 1 AU, on the equatorial plane,

$$\lambda \simeq \frac{B_{T,1\text{AU}}}{B_*} \frac{M_{1\text{AU}}^2}{R_{1\text{AU}}}. \quad (19)$$

By definition, we also have

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r_*V_*^2}}. \quad (20)$$

Table 2. Input and output data for the critical wind solution calculated using model B, *hybrid 1* and *hybrid 2*.

		<i>input param.</i>	<i>model B</i>	<i>hybrid 1</i>	<i>hybrid 2</i>
		δ	1.95	2.90	0.49
		ϵ	5.64	5.64	5.64
		μ	-0.682	-0.406	-0.029
		κ	-	0.20	0.0123
<hr/>					
At	$\eta = V_{1\text{AU}}/V_*$	2.15	2.80	1.90	
1 AU	λ	0.1662	0.2468	0.1383	
	ν	1.462	0.8872	0.3767	
	$V_{1\text{AU}}$ (km/s)	775	775	775	
	$n_{1\text{AU}}$ (cm^{-3})	2.48	2.48	2.48	
	$B_{1\text{AU}}$ (μG)	2.432	9.81	30.4	
	$B_{T,1\text{AU}}$ (μG)	29.5	29.5	29.5	
<hr/>					
		<i>output param.</i>			
<hr/>					
At	$\eta = V_{1\text{AU}}/V_*$	2.151	2.193	1.908	
1 AU	$R_{1\text{AU}}$	156.9	137.0	13.37	
	$V_{1\text{AU}}$ (km/s)	775.4	607.6	779.4	
	$n_{1\text{AU}}$ (cm^{-3})	2.413	0.207	2.414	
	$B_{1\text{AU}}$ (μG)	2.369	0.641	29.8	
	$B_{T,1\text{AU}}$ (μG)	29.45	9.64	29.1	
	$T_{1\text{AU}}$ (10^5 K)	3.037	4.246	41.88	
<hr/>					
At the	V_* (km/s)	360.5	277.1	360.5	
Alfvén	n_* (10^3 cm^{-3})	131.3	8.095	0.8423	
radius	B_* ($10^4 \mu\text{G}$)	5.989	1.143	0.5435	
	B_{T*} ($10^3 \mu\text{G}$)	9.953	1.360	0.278	
	T_* (10^6 K)	9.892	3.902	3.597	
<hr/>					




Fig. 1. Poloidal fieldlines and density contours of the solution of Table 2 for model B. Distances are given in solar radii. The solid circle line indicates the Alfvén singularity and the fast magnetosonic separatrix which are almost coincident.




Fig. 2. Panel (a) polar radial velocity as a function of distance. Panel (b) a zoom view of the singularities: the Alfvén and the fast critical points, represented by * and × respectively.

Our procedure for finding a critical solution, using model B, that fulfills all criteria is thus:

- By fitting ULYSSES data at 1 AU obtain the anisotropy parameters, ϵ , δ and μ , and the values $B_{1\text{AU}}$, $B_{T,1\text{AU}}$, $\rho_{1\text{AU}}$ and $V_{1\text{AU}}$;
- calculate R_{\odot} , λ and ν with $f_{1\text{AU}} = 1$ and using Eqs. (18) to (20);
- with an initial guess of η , determine the values of V_* and B_* from Eqs. (15) and (16);
- at this stage it is possible to build a critical solution using the criteria of acceleration continuity at the critical points;
- this solution will give new values for the solar surface radius and for the different physical quantities at 1 AU;
- iterate until the computed values of the solar surface radius and velocity at 1 AU are close to the fitted ones.

Two convergence criteria are inherent to this procedure, the distance of the Alfvén surface above the Sun (or, equivalently, the value of the magnetic field strength at 1 AU – see Eq. 18) and the velocity at 1 AU. Satisfying all the criteria only by changing η is not possible. Therefore, this can only be achieved by changing, in addition, at least one of the parameters, thus releasing one of the constraints. Considering that there are five constraints given from the data fit, exploring all the parameter space is a formidable task. The set of parameters concerning the best possible solution is presented in Table 2. This will be discussed in the following section.

3.2. Results

As can be seen in Table 2 we have constructed a solution where the convergence criteria are quite well fulfilled with input/output ratios very close to unity for all physical quantities. In Fig. 1 we show the fieldlines and the density contours in the poloidal plane. In Fig. 2, where the verti-

cal dashed line represents the Alfvén radius, the acceleration at the critical points is clearly continuous. Note the presence of two different critical points, very close to one another in Fig. 2 (b). However, searching for a converged solution led us to this single set of parameters by using a value of the radial magnetic field, $B_{1\text{AU}}$, of the order of one tenth of the value measured by ULYSSES. A similar discrepancy was also found in SLIT05 using the STT99 model instead of LPT01 model. Moreover, the parameter that evaluates the anisotropy of the radial magnetic field, μ , has also suffered a shift in its value (compare Table 1 to Table 2). As mentioned above we have tried to change the other input parameters and calculate their influence on the solution. The best option was to change those two parameters. Although these trials have been nearly exhaustive, degenerated sets of the input parameters for the same critical solution may be possible. In Fig. 3 we show the temperature profiles at various latitudes. The higher effective temperature along the polar axis corresponds to the fast solar wind. At lower latitudes the lower temperature is related to a mixing between the fast and slow wind, which also corresponds to the lower velocities seen in Fig. 2 (a). The temperature distribution is similar to the one presented in SLIT05 although its maximum value is slightly better, around 10×10^6 .

We have also calculated the effective polytropic index of this solution. After the temperature peak, it is almost constant, between 1.1 and 1.3 (see Fig. 4). This value is quite close to the value inferred by Kopp and Holzer (1976) in their early polytropic model. Despite the difference between model A and B in their geometry, neither can reproduce from the observations the high value of the magnetic field inferred at 1 AU. The calculations were made such that we keep the temperature as low as possible and a reasonable value of the magnetic dipolar field at the base of the corona. We reproduce successfully the temperature and the velocity profile at 1 AU. It seems that the geom-




Fig. 3. Effective temperature as a function of distance for different values of latitude for the model B solution. The vertical dashed line represents the Alfvén radius.




Fig. 4. Polar polytropic index as a function of distance for the model B solution. The vertical dashed line represents the Alfvén radius.

etry does not control the decrease of the magnetic field but rather the temperature profile. It is even more surprising that in this LPT01 solution the density at 1 AU remains at a reasonable level, thus both the velocity and the mass flux at 1 AU correspond to the observed values. It is thus more consistent to build an hybrid solution combining both models A and B.

4. Hybrid solutions

4.1. Method for a solution

For the construction of the hybrid model we consider two different domains, one from the solar surface to the

Alfvén radius, and the other from the Alfvén radius towards infinity. In the first domain we use model A, since the 3D structure is more able to describe the wind structure near the solar surface. Although the latitudinal functions of model A are not very versatile it does provide a full 3D description of the flaring. In the domain further out, where the fieldlines are almost radial in the poloidal plane, we can use model B and take advantage of its flexibility in fitting very steep variations of the physical quantities with latitude. The border between these two different domains was arbitrarily set at the Alfvén surface.

The major drawback of this construction is that we cannot guarantee continuity of all physical quantities everywhere except along the polar axis. Generating the critical solution with model A means that it must cross both slow magnetosonic separatrix critical point and Alfvénic singular point. In addition, the critical solution with model B has to cross the Alfvén point and a fast separatrix critical point. Thus, a new feature of this hybrid model compared to our previous solutions (SLIT05 and Sect. 3) is the crossing of the three usual MHD critical points. Such a situation was present only in the over-pressured solutions presented in STT04. Since for the solar wind the fast point is close to the Alfvén one, this was one more argument to construct the hybrid solution starting precisely at this Alfvénic transition. Moreover, in order to match the two solutions we must search for continuity of the physical quantities at the boundary as much as possible. This means that at the Alfvén surface we ask for continuity of the density, pressure, velocity and magnetic field plus continuity of the acceleration and fieldline geometry. Strictly speaking, this can only be done along the polar axis because the latitudinal dependences of the physical quantities are not identical in both models. Mathematically, we have

$$\rho_*^{modelA} = \rho_*^{modelB} \quad (21)$$

$$\Pi_*^{modelA} + C = \Pi_{0,*}^{modelB} \quad (22)$$

$$V_*^{modelA} = V_*^{modelB} \quad (23)$$

$$B_*^{modelA} = B_*^{modelB} \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{dY^{modelA}}{dR}|_{r_*} = \frac{dY^{modelB}}{dR}|_{r_*} \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{df^{modelA}}{dR}|_{r_*} = \frac{df^{modelB}}{dR}|_{r_*} = 0 \quad (26)$$

The technique used to obtain a full hybrid solution is as follows. First, the radial velocity at the Alfvén point, V_* , is determined by the same procedure as in the previous section. Then, the model A critical solution has to cross the slow separatrix critical point and the poloidal fieldlines have to be radial at the Alfvén point, Eq. (26). This yields the value of the velocity slope (i.e. the acceleration) at that transition point. Similarly, the value of the acceleration at the Alfvén point is determined by crossing




Fig. 5. Plot of the fieldlines concerning the hybrid solution and density contours presented in Table 2, column 3, (*hybrid 1*). Distances are given in solar radii. The black circles corresponding to the slow magnetosonic critical separatrix, the Alfvén singularity and the fast magnetosonic critical separatrix, which almost coincide with each other.

the fast separatrix critical point for the critical solution of model B. In order for this slope of the velocity to be equal on both sides of the transition point, Eq. (25), the value of μ had to be changed from the one derived from ULYSSES data. However this parameter is not very well determined and affects only model B. In model A its value is fixed to -1 by construction and cannot be fitted. For model A, knowing the slope of the velocity, Eq. (25), and the geometry, Eq. (26), at the Alfvén point, the value of Π_* is fixed by crossing the Alfvén point. Simultaneously, the value of Π_0 is fixed by the condition that the pressure is zero at infinity. This determines the constant C by Eq. (22). Finally, the Alfvén distance is fixed by the magnetic field strength at 1 AU for both models, Eq. (18). Thus, the value of κ is determined such that the solution of model A matches the solar surface, R_\odot .

4.2. Results for a hybrid solution - hybrid 1

Table 2 shows the input and output values for the most important physical quantities regarding the first hybrid solution obtained using the technique presented in the previous section. In this case convergence between the input and output parameters is less satisfying than in Sect. 3. This solution is hereafter referred to solution *hybrid 1*. Figure 5 shows the geometry of the fieldlines for this solution. It clearly shows that beyond the Alfvén point the fieldlines become radial in the poloidal plane and that the flaring zone near the base of the wind is well defined. Thus conversely to SLIT05 where the dead zone was too extended, we have a more realistic geometry. Figure 6 shows the polar radial velocity where the vertical dashed line represents the Alfvén radius, which corresponds to the border between application of models A and B.

The presence of three critical points characterizes a different topology for this kind of wind solution (similar cases were already discussed in STT04). Figure 7 shows the profile of the temperature for this solution. It is in

reasonably good agreement with observations, namely at 1 AU. The polytropic index is shown in Fig. 8. It shows, as expected, that a value around 1.2 is very well adapted to the solar wind, except in the low corona. However, as we shall discuss in next section, this first hybrid solution suffers from the same drawbacks as the previous one, despite its more sophisticated structure. In addition, the density is too low by one order of magnitude. Thus, although the temperature profile is low enough to be in agreement with observations, the mass flux at 1 AU remains too low. The low effective temperature does not prevent us from obtaining large velocities but rather from obtaining large magnetic field at large distances. Thus we reconsidered the values of some of the parameters, in particular the value of the latitudinal dependence of the pressure which is not very well constrained from the observations, to construct another hybrid solution more fitted to the observed magnetic field.

Another way of analyzing the drawback of this solution is to examine the convergence of the value r_\odot/r_* . It represents by itself the convergence of the radial magnetic field intensity at 1 AU, Eq. (18). The convergence of the values of the Alfvén Mach number and density at this point, Eqs. (15) and (16), follows as a consequence. ULYSSES data at 1 AU lead to a very high value of $R_\odot = r_\odot/r_*$ which, in turn, means that the acceleration of the wind up to the Alfvén speed should take place on a larger scale than the model predicts. Thus, a more satisfying solution should display a lower total acceleration from the surface up to the Alfvén point. The fully radial model used (see Sect. 3) is not able to produce that kind of behaviour. Some degree of flaring is needed in order to slow down its acceleration. Hence, the only way to deal with the problem is to decrease the acceleration zone by decreasing the value of B_r at 1 AU, from Eq. (18). On the other hand, in model *hybrid 1*, the high density gradient near the equator (a consequence of the high values of ϵ and δ) provides a poloidal pressure towards the pole, improving the polar collimation and subsequent acceleration of the wind. Therefore, the equatorial gradient of the radial magnetic field must increase ($|\mu|$ must increase), generating a higher magnetic pressure towards the equator which counterbalances the previous effect.

This wind solution needs a value for the magnetic field anisotropy parameter, μ , very different from the one expected. It cannot easily describe the latitudinal profiles of the physical quantities from Ulysses data at 1 AU. Its main limitation (besides the temperature, to which we will refer later) is its discrepancy on the value of radial magnetic field. Both radial and hybrid solutions fail completely in reproducing the observed values of the the magnetic field but the hybrid solution also has a problem in reproducing the density at 1 AU. This lead us to construct the hybrid solution in a slightly different way.




Fig. 6. Panel (a) the polar radial velocity as a function of distance for solution hybrid 1. The vertical dashed line is at the transition point (Alfvén point). Panel (b), a zoom view of the three critical points is displayed. The slow magnetosonic, the Alfvén and the fast magnetosonic critical points are labelled with +, * and ×, respectively.




Fig. 7. Effective temperature as a function of distance for the polar axis, for solution *hybrid 1*. The vertical dashed line represents the transition point between the use of model A and B.




Fig. 8. Radial profile of the polytropic index for solution *hybrid 1*. The vertical dashed line represents the transition point between the use of model A and B.

4.3. Results for a fully converged hybrid solution - hybrid 2

In Table 2 we show the input and output values of the most important physical quantities, for a second hybrid solution - hereafter referred to solution *hybrid 2*. Although we had to release some of the initial values of the parameters as deduced from SLIT05, this new solution shows a much better agreement between the initial guesses and the computed values.

For this solution, we had to change the value of κ and of δ , although in a less dramatic way. Changing the value of κ in the sub-Alfvénic regime is not a serious problem.

First because, in the super-Alfvénic region where the value of κ is determined for the ULYSSES data, we use model B in which we have no control over the latitudinal dependence of the pressure. Second, fitting the value of κ in this domain is almost irrelevant as we do not really control the kinetic temperature (and pressure) which is the real temperature measured by the spacecraft. This discrepancy in the parameter can easily be evaluated by comparing input and output values of the same flow quantities. Figs. 9 and 10 show the geometry of the fieldlines and how it changes from model A to model B. A new feature of this solution can be seen in Fig. 11 (a) - a zone where the radial velocity attains a local minimum, close to the Alfvén point. Figure 12 displays the effective temperature profile along

the polar axis. This plot clearly shows that the kinetic pressure alone cannot account for the effective temperature. Figure 13 shows the corresponding polytropic index. The excess between this temperature and the observed one can be accounted for, as in SLIT05, assuming extra pressure from Alfvén waves or turbulent or ram pressure (Fig. 14). We have calculated the amplitude of the ram velocity/magnetic field fluctuations, if the effective temperature we have calculated is assumed to be the result of turbulence/Alfvén waves. For ram pressure we assume:

$$P_{\text{ram}} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\delta V^2, \quad (27)$$

and for the Alfvén pressure we take

$$P_{\text{Alfven}} = \frac{\delta B^2}{8\pi}, \quad (28)$$

These calculations are arbitrary and a mixing of various processes is probably the source of the extra pressure that accelerate the fast wind. However it gives an order of magnitude of the fluctuations needed. Comparing the various plots a), b), c) and d) in Fig. 14, we conclude that Alfvén waves are more appropriate to explain the acceleration in the sub-Alfvénic part where the magnetic field is dominant. Conversely, turbulence and fluctuations of the velocity may account for the acceleration in the super-Alfvénic region. We arrive at this conclusion only because the calculated fluctuations of the magnetic field in the sub-Alfvénic region are smaller than the calculated turbulent velocity field and the reverse holds in the super-Alfvénic part. This is the best way to minimize the amplitude of the fluctuations in both regions. A mixture of the two components is probably more realistic but this needs a more detailed model to interpret the role of turbulence in heating the flow.

This new hybrid solution generates a field geometry that is continuous at the transition point (it is still not differentiable and kinks in the field are unavoidable) and shows features expected for the solar wind (Figs. 5 and 9). It is also capable of reproducing almost all ULYSSES data at 1 AU. Despite the slight difference between the values of the anisotropy parameters when calculated by fitting the data (Table 1) and the one from the critical solution itself (Table 2), most of the limitations of the previous solutions have been solved. Such a discrepancy should not be very important since the new values can easily be fitted (with some degree of accordance) to the observed data (see for instance the fit of the density in Fig. 15 and of the radial velocity in Fig. 16). The ratio between the input and the output values for the most important physical quantities is very close to unity and all the continuity criteria are satisfied. Nevertheless, κ , δ and μ have values departing from the expected ones. The value of μ is the result of the transition conditions stated in Eqs. (21) to (26) and has been calculated accordingly.

The values of the magnetic field intensity at 1 AU constrain the value of R_{\odot} , and therefore the length of the wind acceleration zone (or the dead zone). Consequently, the




Fig. 9. Fieldlines and density contours concerning the hybrid solution presented in Table 2 (*hybrid 2*). Distances are given in solar radii. The three black circles represent the three surfaces: the slow magnetosonic separatrix and Alfvén singularity, which are almost coincident, and a fast magnetosonic separatrix.




Fig. 10. Fieldlines and density contours for solution *hybrid 2*, close to the solar surface. Distances are given in solar radii.

physics controlling the hybrid model forced us to adapt it in order to obtain the required feature. Reminding that κ and δ characterize the anisotropy of the pressure and density, decreasing both parameters will lead to a decrease of the pressure gradient towards the pole, which enables the wind to accelerate more slowly (from the solar surface to the Alfvén point). As a consequence of acceleration continuity at the transition point, $|\mu|$ also diminishes which means that the magnetic pressure gradient towards the equator, outside the Alfvén sphere (in the fully radial zone of the model), also decreases. For the dynamics of the radial part of the hybrid model, the wind velocity is expected to be higher in order to satisfy the values at 1 AU and so it needs to accelerate the wind. This leads to a decrease in the magnetic pressure towards the equator and thus a decrease of μ .

Of course, there is a price to pay to fit all data at 1 AU. Thus, this hybrid model has two major drawbacks. The physical quantities are discontinuous at the transition radius except for the polar ones. This is a natural consequence of the analytical expressions that describe the flow, Eqs. (1) to (14) and the temperature behaviour. The first one is solved only for values of $\epsilon = 1$. The second and more serious drawback is the very high effective tempera-




Fig. 11. Panel (a) the polar radial velocity as a function of distance for solution *hybrid 2*. The vertical dashed line is at the transition point (Alfvén point). Panel (b), a zoom view of the three critical points: the slow magnetosonic, the Alfvén and the fast magnetosonic critical points, labelled with +, * and ×, respectively.




Fig. 12. Effective temperature as a function of distance for the polar axis, for solution *hybrid 2*. The vertical dashed line represents the transition point between the use of model A and B.

ture. This can be explained only if we calculate the heat flux using a reasonable kinetic theory (Zouganelis et al., 2005) together with solving a full energy equation. This amounts to invoking a non thermal heating term, a difficult task that we postpone for future work. In Fig. 12, we see how the energy equation can be essential. The absence of the an abrupt increase of the temperature very close to the surface in other models, such as the one presented in SLIT05 and the one presented in Sect. 3 of the present work might be explained by solar surface being much closer to the Alfvén radius and therefore the problems had not emerged yet. Nevertheless, high temperatures are reached (for an overall behaviour of the wind solution) as a consequence of high values of the magnetic field at 1 AU and not necessarily high values of velocity as one may expect intuitively.




Fig. 13. Radial profile of the polytropic index for solution *hybrid 2*. The vertical dashed line represents the transition point between the use of model A and B.

5. Conclusions

From the constrained parameters obtained after fitting ULYSSES data (SLIT05) we were able to build different critical solutions for the solar wind. The first was obtained using a purely radial field (model B). The remaining two solutions were constructed as hybrid ones incorporating an inner region where model A (with flaring streamlines) was used and an outer one with model B. Thus, we combine the advantages of model B of reproducing highly adaptable functions of latitude and the advantages of model A of ensuring adequate flaring of the fieldlines to get a more realistic geometry of the overall solar wind. These two distinct models were coupled using well defined domains for each one and a suitable transition zone, the Alfvén radius. Both model B (used by itself) and the hybrid model (A and B coupled) were used to generate a




Fig. 15. Scaled proton density with latitude. The points represent hourly averaged data from the ULYSSES Swoops Ions experiment. The solid curve corresponds to the fit using model B and the bold solid curve to the solution generated by solution *hybrid 2*.




Fig. 16. Radial velocity with distance to the Sun. The points represent hourly averaged data from the ULYSSES Swoops Ions experiment. The curves correspond to the radial velocity at different latitudes of the solution generated by solution *hybrid 2*.

critical wind solution that could replicate the measured values of some important physical quantities, such as the radial velocity, the radial and toroidal magnetic fields and the density, at 1 AU measured by ULYSSES at solar minimum.

In the hybrid model, we could not avoid some discontinuity out of the polar axis. This may be solved in the future by using the present solutions to start more realistic MHD simulations. For the first model with helicoidal

streamlines, the computed velocity and density values at 1 AU are in good agreement with ULYSSES data. However, we were clearly not able to reproduce the values of the radial magnetic field. This is also true for the first hybrid model we presented (*hybrid 1*), which in addition failed to reproduce the density at 1 AU by one order of magnitude. This can be understood because we tried to minimize the temperature along the flow. The second hybrid model is able to reproduce the values of all physical quantities at 1 AU except for the temperature. This is too high even though we can invoke non thermal processes to explain the excess of effective pressure. It also provided a solution with a smooth geometry where the fieldlines became purely radial after the Alfvén radius. Some concessions were made in the values of the parameters that rule the dynamics of both models. They are slightly different from the ones calculated in the method presented in SLIT05. Such differences can be explained by the physics that describes the dynamics of the flow. Even though the high values of the temperature at 1 AU can be explained (SLIT05), its behaviour close to the solar surface suggests the implementation of an energy equation. Since this is a formidable task, we postpone it for future work. However, we face the same difficulties as any MHD simulation. The main advantage of the present solutions is its simplicity. Moreover, they are exact solutions of the ideal MHD equations.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the French and Portuguese Foreign Offices for their support through the bilateral PESSOA programme and the SWOOPS instrument team. The present work was supported in part by the European Communitys Marie Curie Actions - Human Resource and Mobility within the JETSET (Jet Simulations, Experiments and Theory) network under contract MRTN-CT-2004 005592. A.A. and J.L. also acknowledge support from grant POCI/CTE-AST/55691/2004 approved by FCT and POCI, with funds from the European Community programme FEDER.

References

- Acuna M.H., Ogilvie K.W., Baker D.N., et al.: 1995, *Space Science Reviews* 71, 5
- Cuperman S., Ofman L., Dryer M.: 1990, *ApJ* 350, 846
- Gallagher P.T., Mathioudakis M., Keenan F.P., Phillips K.J.H., Tsinganos K.: 1999, *ApJ* 524, L133
- Grappin R., L orot J., Habbal S.R.: 2002, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)* 107, 16
- Groth C.P.T., de Zeeuw D.L., Gombosi T.I., Powell K.G.: 1999, *Space Science Reviews* 87, 193
- Hayashi K.: 2005, *ApJS* 161, 480
- Keppens R., Goedbloed J.P.: 2000, *ApJ* 530, 1036
- Kopp R.A., Holzer T.E.: 1976, *Sol. Phys.* 49, 43
- Landi S., Pantellini F.: 2003, *A&A* 400, 769
- Lewis D.J., Simnett G.M.: 2002, *MNRAS* 333, 969




Fig. 14. Assuming that turbulence and/or Alfvén waves can account for the effective temperature of the solutions, the corresponding bulk radial velocity plus and minus the velocity fluctuations. (a) near the solar surface and (b) close to 1 A.U. The magnetic field fluctuations, assuming Alfvén waves, in (c) near the solar surface and (d) close to 1 A.U.

Lima J.J.G., Priest E.R., Tsinganos K.: 2001, *A&A* 371, 240
 McComas D.J., Gosling J.T., Skoug R.M.: 2000, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27, 2437
 Nerney S., Suess S.T.: 2005, *ApJ* 624, 378
 Ofman L.: 2000, In: *AIP Conf. Proc. 537: Waves in Dusty, Solar, and Space Plasmas*, p. 119
 Parker E.N.: 1958, *ApJ* 128, 664
 Pneuman G.W., Kopp R.A.: 1971, *Sol. Phys.* 18, 258
 Sauty C., Lima J.J.G., Iro N., Tsinganos K.: 2005, *A&A* 432, 687
 Sauty C., Trussoni E., Tsinganos K.: 2002, *A&A* 389, 1068
 Sauty C., Trussoni E., Tsinganos K.: 2004, *A&A* 421, 797
 Sauty C., Tsinganos K., Trussoni E.: 1999, *A&A* 348, 327
 Sittler E.C., Guhathakurta M.: 1999, *ApJ* 523, 812
 Steinolfson R.S., Wu S.T., Suess S.T.: 1982, *ApJ* 255, 730
 Stone E.C., Cohen C.M.S., Cook W.R., et al.: 1998, *Space Science Reviews* 86, 357
 Tsinganos K., Sauty C.: 1992, *A&A* 255, 405
 Tsinganos K., Sauty C., Surlantzis G., Trussoni E., Contopoulos J.: 1996, *MNRAS* 283, 811
 Usmanov A.V., Goldstein M.L.: 2003, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)* 108, 1
 Vlahakis N., Tsinganos K., Sauty C., Trussoni E.: 2000, *MNRAS* 318, 417

Wang Y.M., Sheeley N.R.: 2003, *ApJ* 599, 1404
 Woo R., Gazis P.R.: 1994, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 21, 1101
 Woo R., Habbal S.R.: 2005, *ApJ* 629, L129
 Zouganelis I., Maksimovic M., Meyer-Vernet N., Lamy H., Issautier K.: 2004, *ApJ* 606, 542
 Zouganelis I., Meyer-Vernet N., Landi S., Maksimovic M., Pantellini F.: 2005, *ApJ* 626, L117

Natural Sciences Citations and References

(Author–Year and Numerical Schemes)

Patrick W. Daly

This paper describes package `natbib`
version 7.1 from 2003/06/06.

Abstract

The `natbib` package is a reimplementation of the \LaTeX `\cite` command, to work with both author–year and numerical citations. It is compatible with the standard bibliographic style files, such as `plain.bst`, as well as with those for `harvard`, `apalike`, `chicago`, `astron`, `authordate`, and of course `natbib`.

In contrast to the packages listed above, the `natbib` package supports not only the various author–year bibliography styles, but also those for standard numerical citations. In fact, it can also produce numerical citations even with an author–year bibliographic style, something that permits easy switching between the two citation modes. To this end, replacements for the standard \LaTeX `.bst` files are also provided.

It is possible to define the citation *style* (type of brackets and punctuation between citations) and even to associate it with the name of the bibliographic style so that it is automatically activated. Citation styles can be defined for local `.bst` files by means of a configuration file `natbib.cfg`.

It is compatible with the packages: `babel`, `index`, `showkeys`, `chapterbib`, `hyperref`, `koma` and with the classes `amsbook` and `amsart`. It can also emulate the sorting and compressing functions of the `cite` package (with which it is otherwise incompatible).

The `natbib` package therefore acts as a single, flexible interface for most of the available bibliographic styles.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Previous Solutions	3
2.1	The <code>natsci.bst</code> Style	3
2.2	The <code>apalike.bst</code> Style	3
2.3	The <code>newapa</code> Style	4
2.4	The Harvard Family	4
2.5	The Astronomy Style	5
2.6	The <code>authordate</code> Style	5
3	The <code>natbib</code> System	6
4	Using this Package	6
4.1	New Bibliography Styles	6
4.2	Basic Citation Commands	7
4.3	Extended Citation Commands	8
4.4	Forcing Upper Cased Name	9
4.5	Citation Aliasing	9
4.6	Authorless and Yearless References	10
4.7	Extra Features in the <code>plainnat</code> Family	10
4.8	Selecting Citation Punctuation	11
4.9	Priority of Style Commands	13
4.10	Other Formatting Options	13
4.11	Automatic Indexing of Citations	14
4.12	HyperTeX Compatibility	14
4.13	Multiple Bibliographies in One Document	14
4.13.1	Special Considerations for <code>natbib</code> and <code>chapterbib</code>	15
4.14	Sorting and Compressing Numerical Citations	16
4.15	Long Author List on First Citation	16
5	Numerical Citations with Author–Year Styles	16
5.1	Selecting Numerical Mode	16
6	Local Configuration	17
7	Options with L^AT_EX 2_ε	17
8	As Module to Journal-Specific Styles	18
9	Reference Sheet	19
10	Options with <code>docstrip</code>	19

1 Introduction

The first problem of using author–year literature citations with standard L^AT_EX is that the two forms of citations are not supported. These are:

textual: ... as shown by Jones et al. (1990) ...
 parenthetical: It has been shown (Jones et al., 1990) that ...

There is only one `\cite` command to do both jobs.

A second problem is that the `thebibliography` environment for listing the references insists on including the *labels* in the list. These labels are normally the numbers, needed for referencing. In the author–year system, they are superfluous and should be left off. Thus, if one were to make up a bibliography with the author–year as label, as

```
\begin{thebibliography}{...}
\bibitem[Jones et al., 1990]{jon90}
Jones, P. K., . . .
\end{thebibliography}
```

then `\cite{jon90}` produces the parenthetical citation [Jones et al., 1990], but there is no way to get the textual citation. Furthermore, the citation text will also be included in the list of references.

The final problem is to find a BIB_TE_X bibliography style that will be suitable.

2 Previous Solutions

This section may not be of interest to all users. To find out how to use `natbib` without reading about the historical background, go to Section 4.

Although the author–year citation mode is not supported by *standard* L^AT_EX, there are a number of contributed packages that try to solve this problem. The various bibliographic styles (`.bst` files) that exist are usually tailored to be used with a particular L^AT_EX package.

I have found a large number of `.bst` files on file servers that may act as indicators of the various systems available.

2.1 The `natsci.bst` Style

What gave me my first inspiration was Stephen Gildea’s `natsci.bst` for use with his `agujgr.sty` file. This showed me that the problem was solvable. However, Gildea’s style formats `\bibitem` just as I illustrated above: with an optional label consisting of abbreviated authors and year. Thus only parenthetical citations can be accommodated. The list of references, however, is fixed up in his style files.

2.2 The `apalike.bst` Style

Oren Patashnik, the originator of BIB_TE_X and the standard `.bst` files, has also worked on an author–year style, called `apalike.bst` with a corresponding `apalike.sty` to support it. Again, only the parenthetical citation is provided.

Except for the fact that his style works with version 0.99 of $\text{BIB}\text{T}\text{E}\text{X}$, its functionality is identical to that of the `natsci` files.

Patashnik does not like author–year citations. He makes this very clear in his $\text{BIB}\text{T}\text{E}\text{X}$ manuals and in the header to `apalike.bst`. Nevertheless, one should respect his work in this area, simply because he should be the best expert on matters of $\text{BIB}\text{T}\text{E}\text{X}$. Thus `apalike.bst` could be the basis for other styles.

The form of the `thebibliography` entries in this system is

```
\bibitem{Jones et al., 1990}{jon90}...
```

the same as I illustrated earlier. This is the most minimal form that can be given. I name it the `apalike` variant, after Patashnik’s `apalike.bst` and `apalike.sty`. However, there could be many independent `.bst` files that follow this line.

The bibliography style files belonging to this group include:

```
apalike, apalike2, cea, cell, jmb, phapalik, phppcf, phrmp
```

2.3 The newapa Style

A major improvement has been achieved with `newapa.bst` and the accompanying `newapa.sty` files by Stephen N. Spencer and Young U. Ryu. Under their system, three separate items of information are included in the `\bibitem` label, to be used as required. These are: the full author list, the abbreviated list, and the year. This is accomplished by means of a `\citeauthoryear` command included in the label, as

```
\bibitem[\protect\citeauthoryear{Jones, Barker,  
and Williams}{Jones et al.}{1990}]{jon90}...
```

Actually, this only illustrates the basic structure of `\citeauthoryear`; the `newapa` files go even further to replace some words and punctuation with commands. For example, the word ‘and’ above is really `\betweenauthors`, something that must be defined in the `.sty` file. Of course, `\citeauthoryear` is also defined in that file. A number of different `\cite` commands are available to print out the citation with complete author list, with the short list, with or without the date, the textual or parenthetical form.

Thus the `\citeauthoryear` entry in `\bibitem` is very flexible, permitting the style file to generate every citation form that one might want. It is used by a number of other styles, with corresponding `.sty` files. They all appear to have been inspired by `newapa.bst`, although they lack the extra punctuation commands.

Bibliographic style files belonging to the `newapa` group include

```
newapa, chicago, chicagoa, jas99, named
```

Note: the last of these, `named.bst`, uses `\citeauthoryear` in a slightly different manner, with only two arguments: the short list and year.

2.4 The Harvard Family

The same effect is achieved by a different approach in the Harvard family of bibliographic styles. Here a substitute for `\bibitem` is used, as

```
\harvarditem{Jones et al.}{Jones, Baker, and  
Williams}{1990}{jon90}...
```

The accompanying interface package file is called `harvard.sty` and is written by Peter Williams and Thorsten Schnier. It defines `\harvarditem` as well as the citation commands `\cite`, for parenthetical, and `\citeasnoun`, for textual citations. The first citation uses the long author list, following ones the shorter list, if it has been given in the optional argument to `\harvarditem`.

Bibliography styles belonging to the Harvard family are

```
agsm, dcu, kluwer
```

This package has been updated for L^AT_EX 2_ε, with many additions to add flexibility. The result is a powerful interface that should meet most citation needs. (It does not suppress repeated authors, though, as `natbib` does.)

2.5 The Astronomy Style

Apparently realizing the limitations of his `apalike` system, Oren Patashnik went on to develop a ‘true’ `apa` bibliographic style, making use of the method already employed by an astronomy journal. This is actually very similar to the `newapa` label but with only the short list of authors:

```
\bibitem[\protect\astroncite{Jones et al.}{1990}]{jon90}
...
```

It requires the package file `astron.sty` or any other style that defines `\astroncite` appropriately.

Bibliographic styles belonging to the astronomy group are

```
apa, astron, bbs, cbe, humanbio, humannat, jtb
```

This is as good as the `\citeauthoryear` command, although not as flexible since the full list of authors is missing.

2.6 The authordate Style

Finally, I have also found some packages making use of a label command called `\citename` in the form

```
\bibitem[\protect\citename{Jones et al., }1990]{jon90}
...
```

This is not a good system since the author list and date are not cleanly separated as individual arguments, and since the punctuation is included in the label text. It is better to keep the punctuation fully removed, as part of the definitions in the `.sty` file, for complete flexibility.

Bibliographic styles belonging to this group are

```
authordate1, authordate2, authordate3, authordate4, aaai-named
```

with accompanying style file `authordate1-4.sty`.

3 The natbib System

The form of the `\bibitem` entry that I have used for all my bibliographic styles is only slightly more complicated than the minimal one, but allows a clean separation between authors and date:

```
\bibitem[Jones et al.(1990)]{jon90}...
```

or alternatively

```
\bibitem[Jones et al.(1990)Jones, Baker,
and Williams]{jon90}...
```

(One weakness of the `natbib` format is that it fails if the author list itself contains parentheses! This may be fixed up if the author list is grouped in curly braces.)

I wanted to name the system something like ‘natural sciences bibliography’, intending it to be a variant of `natsci.sty`. Since that name was already taken, I resorted to the rather cryptic, and definitely ugly, `natbib`.

The `natbib.sty` package¹ supports not only my own `\bibitem` format, but also all the others described here, plus numerical citation modes. The additional questions of citation style (type of brackets, commas or semi-colons between citations) can be defined once and for all for each `.bst` file and need never be specified explicitly in the source text. The `\cite` commands and syntax are always those of `natbib`, even when used with a `.bst` file such as `chicago.bst` that would normally have a different set of commands (defined in `chicago.sty`). The result is a single \LaTeX package to handle *all* the bibliographic styles in a uniform manner.

All the author–year bibliographic style files can also be used for *numerical* citations, by simply selecting the mode in one of the ways described in Sections 4.8 and 7. It is not possible to employ author–year citations with pure numerical `.bst` files, and never will be. See Section 5 for more information.

4 Using this Package

In this paper, I distinguish between the citation *mode* (author–year or numerical) and citation *style* (the type of punctuation used for citations). The citation style is something that is independent of the bibliography style and is not programmed in the `.bst` files.

4.1 New Bibliography Styles

I provide three new `.bst` files to replace the standard \LaTeX numerical ones:

```
plainnat.bst    abbrvnat.bst    unsrtnat.bst
```

These produce reference lists in the same style as the corresponding standard `.bst` file, but work with `natbib`. The advantage is that they can be used in both numerical and author–year mode.

These `.bst` files are not meant to be exhaustive by any means. Other style files conforming to the `natbib` format exist, or may be generated with my `custom-bib` (also known as `makebst`) program.

¹Formerly called a *style file* in the older \LaTeX 2.09 terminology.

4.2 Basic Citation Commands

`\citet` The `natbib` package has two basic citation commands, `\citet` and `\citep` for *textual* and *parenthetical* citations, respectively. There also exist the starred versions `\citet*` and `\citep*` that print the full author list, and not just the abbreviated one. All of these may take one or two optional arguments to add some text before and after the citation.

<code>\citet{jon90}</code>	⇒	Jones et al. (1990)
<code>\citet[chap.~2]{jon90}</code>	⇒	Jones et al. (1990, chap. 2)
<code>\citep{jon90}</code>	⇒	(Jones et al., 1990)
<code>\citep[chap.~2]{jon90}</code>	⇒	(Jones et al., 1990, chap. 2)
<code>\citep[see] []{jon90}</code>	⇒	(see Jones et al., 1990)
<code>\citep[see] [chap.~2]{jon90}</code>	⇒	(see Jones et al., 1990, chap. 2)
<code>\citet*{jon90}</code>	⇒	Jones, Baker, and Williams (1990)
<code>\citep*{jon90}</code>	⇒	(Jones, Baker, and Williams, 1990)

The starred versions can only list the full authors if the `.bst` file supports this feature; otherwise, the abbreviated list is printed.

In standard \LaTeX , the `\cite` command can only take a single optional text for a note after the citation; here, a single optional text is a post-note, while two are the pre- and post-notes. To have only a pre-note, it is necessary to provide an empty post-note text, as shown above.

More complex mixtures of text and citations can be generated with the all-purpose `\citetext` command in Section 4.3.

Multiple citations may be made by including more than one citation key in the `\cite` command argument. *If adjacent citations have the same author designation but different years, then the author names are not reprinted.*

<code>\citet{jon90,jam91}</code>	⇒	Jones et al. (1990); James et al. (1991)
<code>\citep{jon90,jam91}</code>	⇒	(Jones et al., 1990; James et al. 1991)
<code>\citep{jon90,jon91}</code>	⇒	(Jones et al., 1990, 1991)
<code>\citep{jon90a,jon90b}</code>	⇒	(Jones et al., 1990a,b)

These examples are for author–year citation mode. In numerical mode, the results are different.

<code>\citet{jon90}</code>	⇒	Jones et al. [21]
<code>\citet[chap.~2]{jon90}</code>	⇒	Jones et al. [21, chap. 2]
<code>\citep{jon90}</code>	⇒	[21]
<code>\citep[chap.~2]{jon90}</code>	⇒	[21, chap. 2]
<code>\citep[see] []{jon90}</code>	⇒	[see 21]
<code>\citep[see] [chap.~2]{jon90}</code>	⇒	[see 21, chap. 2]
<code>\citep{jon90a,jon90b}</code>	⇒	[21, 32]

The authors can only be listed if the `.bst` file supports author–year citations. The standard `.bst` files, such as `plain.bst` are numerical only and transfer no author–year information to \LaTeX . In this case, `\citet` prints “(author?) [21].”

`\cite` In the original versions of `natbib`, the traditional `\cite` command was used for both textual and parenthetical citations. The presence of an empty optional text in square brackets signalled parenthetical. This syntax has been retained for compatibility, but is no longer encouraged.

This means that `\cite` (without notes) is the same as `\citet` in author–year mode, whereas in numerical mode, it is the same as `\citep`. The starred version, as well as the one or two optional notes, may also be used.

It is possible to have multiple citations sorted into the same sequence as they appear in the list of references, regardless of their order as arguments to the `\cite` commands. The option `sort` is required for this feature. See Section 4.14.

Some publishers require that the first citation of any given reference be given with the full author list, but that all subsequent ones with the abbreviated list. Include the option `longnamesfirst` to enable this for `natbib`. See Section 4.15.

4.3 Extended Citation Commands

`\citealt` As an alternative form of citation, `\citealt` is the same as `\citet` but *without parentheses*. Similarly, `\citealp` is `\citep` without parentheses. Multiple references, notes, and the starred variants also exist.

```

\citealt{jon90}           ⇒ Jones et al. 1990
\citealt*{jon90}        ⇒ Jones, Baker, and Williams 1990
\citealp{jon90}         ⇒ Jones et al., 1990
\citealp*{jon90}        ⇒ Jones, Baker, and Williams, 1990
\citealp{jon90,jam91}   ⇒ Jones et al., 1990; James et al., 1991
\citealp[pg.~32]{jon90} ⇒ Jones et al., 1990, pg. 32
\citetext{priv.\ comm.} ⇒ (priv. comm.)

```

The `\citetext` command allows arbitrary text to be placed in the current citation parentheses. This may be used in combination with `\citealp`. For example,

```

\citetext{see \citealp{jon90},
or even better \citealp{jam91}}

```

to produce (see Jones et al., 1990, or even better James et al., 1991).

`\citeauthor` In author–year schemes, it is sometimes desirable to be able to refer to the authors without the year, or vice versa. This is provided with the extra commands

```

\citeyear
\citeyearpar
\citefullauthor
\citeauthor{jon90} ⇒ Jones et al.
\citeauthor*{jon90} ⇒ Jones, Baker, and Williams
\citeyear{jon90} ⇒ 1990
\citeyearpar{jon90} ⇒ (1990)

```

There also exists a command `\citefullauthor` which is equivalent to `\citeauthor*`.

If the full author information is missing, then `\citeauthor*` is the same as `\citeauthor`, printing only the abbreviated list. This also applies to the starred versions of `\citet` and `\citep`.

If the author or year information is missing (as is the case with the standard L^AT_EX `.bst` files), these commands issue a warning.

Note: these commands may also be used with numerical citations, provided an author–year `.bst` file is being employed.

Note: all `\cite..` commands have the same syntax, allowing multiple citations and up to two notes (there is, however, no starred `\citeyear` variant). It does not really make much sense to add notes to `\citeyear` and `\citeauthor`, especially with multiple citations; however, this can be done, there will be no error message,

but the results are sometimes strange. For example, in numerical mode, the notes are fully ignored, while in author–year mode, only the post-note is accepted. Multiple citations in `\citet` are also not recommended (nor are they in my opinion meaningful), but if they are used with notes, the pre-note will appear before each year, and the post-note only after the last year. These are admittedly bugs, but the effort to remove them is not justified by the questionable usefulness of these features.

In summary, notes are only intended for `\citep` but they may also be used with `\citet` in author–year mode, with single citations. In any other situation, the results are unpredictable.

`\Citet`
`\Citep`
`\Citealt`
`\Citealp`
`\Citeauthor`

4.4 Forcing Upper Cased Name

If the first author’s name contains a *von* part, such as “della Robbia”, then `\citet{dRob98}` produces “della Robbia (1998)”, even at the beginning of a sentence. One can force the first letter to be in upper case with the command `\Citet` instead. Other upper case commands also exist.

when	<code>\citet{dRob98}</code>	⇒	della Robbia (1998)
then	<code>\Citet{dRob98}</code>	⇒	Della Robbia (1998)
	<code>\Citep{dRob98}</code>	⇒	(Della Robbia, 1998)
	<code>\Citealt{dRob98}</code>	⇒	Della Robbia 1998
	<code>\Citealp{dRob98}</code>	⇒	Della Robbia, 1998
	<code>\Citeauthor{dRob98}</code>	⇒	Della Robbia

These commands also exist in starred versions for full author names.

Note: the coding for the upper casing commands is tricky and likely buggy. It operates on the names that are stored in the `\bibitem` entry, and works even if old style font commands are used; however, NFSS commands will cause it to crash.

Thus `\bibitem[{\it della Robbia}(1998)]{dRob98}` is okay, but I hope
`\bibitem[\textit{della Robbia}(1998)]{dRob98}` crashes.

to improve this situation in future.

4.5 Citation Aliasing

`\defcitealias` Sometimes one wants to refer to a reference with a special designation, rather than
`\citetalias` by the authors, i.e. as Paper I, Paper II. Such aliases can be defined and used,
`\citepalias` textual and/or parenthetical with:

<code>\defcitealias{jon90}{Paper~I}</code>	
<code>\citetalias{jon90}</code>	⇒ Paper I
<code>\citepalias{jon90}</code>	⇒ (Paper I)

These citation commands function much like `\citet` and `\citep`: they may take multiple keys in the argument, may contain notes, and are marked as hyperlinks.

A warning is issued if the alias is used before it is defined, or if an alias is redefined for a given citation. No warning is issued if an alias is defined for a citation key that does not exist; the warning comes when it is used!

See Section 4.6 for an alternative means of citing with a code name.

4.6 Authorless and Yearless References

What does one do about references that do not have authors? This has long bothered me but I do have a suggestion. Standard `BIBTEX` styles make use of a `KEY` field in the entries to be used for alphabetizing when the authors or editors are missing. The author–year styles go even further and insert the `KEY` field in place of the authors. One can imagine giving a code designation for the work at this point. For example,

```
@MANUAL{handbk98,
  title = {Assembling Computers},
  year = 1998,
  organization = {MacroHard Inc.},
  key = "MH-MAN"
}
```

With `plain`, the key text `MH-MAN` is used only to order the reference, but with `plainnat` and other author–year styles, it is used in place of the authors. One can then refer to it as `\citeauthor{handbk98}` to get `MH-MAN` or as `\citetext{\citeauthor{handbk98}}` for `(MH-MAN)`, a parenthetical citation.

This can be greatly simplified if the bibliography style leaves the date blank in the `\bibitem` entry, as

```
\bibitem[MH-MAN()]{handbk98}
```

for then `natbib` suppresses the date, preceding punctuation, and the braces for `\citet`. This means that `\citet` and `\citep` behave automatically like the two examples above. The date still may appear in the text of the reference.

The `natbib` bibliography styles have been modified accordingly to omit the date from the `\bibitem` entry when missing authors and/or editors are replaced by key text.

Similarly, if the year is missing, it will be left blank in the `\bibitem` entry; thus citing such a work will only produce the authors' names.

Note: there are many other possibilities with this feature. One can even produce citations like those of the `alpha` bibliography style, by placing the citation code in place of the authors in the `\bibitem` entry and leaving the year blank. A second code (or maybe even the authors themselves) could be placed where the full author list normally appears, to be printed with the starred version of the `\cite` commands. For example,

```
\bibitem[MH-MAN()MacroHard Inc.]{handbk98}
```

4.7 Extra Features in the plainnat Family

The special `.bst` files for `natbib` mentioned in Section 4.1 have a number of extra fields compared to the original files:

`ISBN` for the ISBN number in books,
`ISSN` for the ISSN number in periodicals, The URL address is set
`URL` for the Internet address of on-line documents.

in a typewriter font and often leads to line-breaking problems. It is advisable to load the `url` package of Donald Arseneau, which allows typewriter text to be broken at punctuation marks. The URL addresses are set with the `\url` command

in this package, but if it is not loaded, then `\url` is defined to be `\texttt`, with no line breaks.

As pointed out in Section 4.6, the `KEY` field is treated differently by `plainnat` than in `plain`. Whereas the latter uses this field only to alphabetize entries without authors, `plainnat` actually inserts it in place of the author, both in the reference text and in the citation label (`\bibitem` entries). Furthermore, the year is left empty in `\bibitem` so that `\citep` prints only the “author” text, which is now the `KEY`. This should be some code designation for the work.

4.8 Selecting Citation Punctuation

`\bibpunct` The above examples have been printed with the default citation style. It is possible to change this, as well as to select numerical or author–year mode, by means of the `\bibpunct` command, which takes one optional and 6 mandatory arguments. The mandatory ones are:

1. the opening bracket symbol, default = (
2. the closing bracket symbol, default =)
3. the punctuation between multiple citations, default = ;
4. the letter ‘n’ for numerical style, or ‘s’ for numerical superscript style, any other letter for author–year, default = author–year; note, it is not necessary to specify which author–year interface is being used, for all will be recognized;
5. the punctuation that comes between the author names and the year (parenthetical case only), default = ,
6. the punctuation that comes between years or numbers when common author lists are suppressed (default = ,); if both authors and years are common, the citation is printed as ‘1994a,b’, but if a space is wanted between the extra letters, then include the space in the argument, as {,~}.

For numerical mode, `\citet{jon90,jon91}` produces ‘Jones et al. [21, 22]’ with this punctuation between the numbers. A space is automatically included for numbers, but not for superscripts.

The optional argument is the character preceding a post-note, default is a comma plus space. In redefining this character, one must include a space if one is wanted.

The `\bibpunct` command must be issued in the preamble, that is, before `\begin{document}`.

Example 1, `\bibpunct{[]}{,}{a}{,};` changes the output of

```
\citep{jon90,jon91,jam92}
```

into [Jones et al. 1990; 1991, James et al. 1992].

Example 2, `\bibpunct[;]{()}{,}{a}{,};` changes the output of

```
\citep[and references therein]{jon90}
```

into (Jones et al. 1990; and references therein).

`\bibstyle@xxx` Usually the citation style is determined by the journal for which one is writing, and is as much a part of the bibliography style as everything else. The `natbib` package allows punctuation definitions to be directly coupled to the `\bibliographystyle` command that must always be present when `BIBTEX` is used. It is this command that selects the `.bst` file; by adding such a coupling to `natbib` for every `.bst` file that one might want to use, it is not necessary to add `\bibpunct` explicitly in the document itself, unless of course one wishes to override the preset values.

Such a coupling is achieved by defining a command `\bibstyle@bst`, where `bst` stands for the name of the `.bst` file. For example, the American Geophysical Union (AGU) demands in its publications that citations be made with square brackets and separated by semi-colons. I have an `agu.bst` file to accomplish most of the formatting, but such punctuations are not included in it. Instead, `natbib` has the definition

```
\newcommand{\bibstyle@agu}{\bibpunct{[}{]}{;}{a}{,}{,}{~}}
```

These style defining commands may contain more than just `\bibpunct`. Some numerical citation scheme require even more changes. For example, the journal *Nature* not only uses superscripted numbers for citations, it also prints the numbers in the list of references without the normal square brackets. To accommodate this, `natbib` contains the style definition

```
\newcommand{\bibstyle@nature}%
{\bibpunct{}{}{,}{s}{}{\textsuperscript{,}}}%
\gdef\NAT@biblabelnum##1{##1.}}
```

The redefined `\NAT@biblabelnum` command specifies how the reference numbers are to be formatted in the list of references itself. The redefinition must be made with `\gdef`, not `\def` or `\renewcommand`.

The selected punctuation style and other redefinitions will not be in effect on the first `LATEX` run, for they are stored to the auxiliary file for the subsequent run.

The user may add more such definitions of his own, to accommodate those journals and `.bst` files that he has. He may either add them to his local copy of `natbib.sty`, or better put them into a file named `natbib.cfg`. This file will be read in if it exists, adding any local configurations. Thus such configurations can survive future updates of the package. (This is for `LATEX 2ε` only.)

Note: any explicit call to `\bibpunct` has priority over the predefined citation styles.

`\citestyle` A preprogrammed citation style is normally invoked by the command `\bibliographystyle`, as described above. However, it may be that one wants to apply a certain citation style to another bibliography style. This may be done with `\citestyle`, given *before* `\begin{document}`. For example, to use the `plainnat` bibliography style (for the list of references) with the *Nature* style of citations (superscripts),

```
\documentclass{article}
\usepackage{natbib}
. . . . .
\citestyle{nature}
\begin{document}
```

```
\bibliographystyle{plainnat}
```

```
. . . . .
```

Note: all changes to the citation style, including punctuation, must be made before `\begin{document}`, which freezes the citation style.

4.9 Priority of Style Commands

The citation style (punctuation and mode) can be selected by means of the `\bibpunct`, `\citestyle`, and predefined `\bibstyle@bst` commands. They can also be selected by L^AT_EX 2_ε options (Section 7). What happens if there are several conflicting selections?

The lowest priority is assigned to the predefined `\bibstyle@bst` commands, since they are implicit and not obvious to the user. The L^AT_EX 2_ε options have the next priority. Finally, any selection by `\bibpunct` and/or `\citestyle` overrides those of the other methods.

4.10 Other Formatting Options

`\bibsection` The list of references normally appears as a `\section*` or `\chapter*`, depending on the main class. If one wants to redesign one's own heading, say as a numbered section with `\section`, then `\bibsection` may be redefined by the user accordingly.

`\bibpreamble` A preamble appearing after the `\bibsection` heading may be inserted before the actual list of references by defining `\bibpreamble`. This will appear in the normal text font unless it contains font declarations. The `\bibfont` applies to the list of references, not to this preamble.

`\bibfont` The list of references is normally printed in the same font size and style as the main body. However, it is possible to define `\bibfont` to be font commands that are in effect within the `thebibliography` environment after any preamble. For example,

```
\newcommand{\bibfont}{\small}
```

`\citenumfont` Numerical citations may be printed in a different font. Define `\citenumfont` to be a font declaration like `\itshape` or even a command taking arguments like `\textit`.

```
\newcommand{\citenumfont}[1]{\textit{#1}}
```

The above is better than `\itshape` since it automatically adds italic correction.

`\bibnumfmt` The format of the numerical listing in the reference list may also be changed from the default [32] by redefining `\bibnumfmt`, for example

```
\renewcommand{\bibnumfmt}[1]{\textbf{#1}:}
```

to achieve **32**: instead.

`\bibhang` The list of references for author–year styles uses a hanging indentation format: the first line of each reference is flush left, the following lines are set with an indentation from the left margin. This indentation is 1 em by default but may be changed by redefining (with `\setlength`) the length parameter `\bibhang`.

`\bibsep` The vertical spacing between references in the list, whether author–year or numerical, is controlled by the length `\bibsep`. If this is set to 0 pt, there is no

extra line spacing between references. The default spacing depends on the font size selected in `\documentclass`, and is almost a full blank line. Change this by redefining `\bibsep` with `\setlength` command.

4.11 Automatic Indexing of Citations

`\citeindextrue` If one wishes to have the citations entered in the `.idx` indexing file, it is only necessary to issue `\citeindextrue` at any point in the document. All following `\cite` commands, of all variations, then insert the corresponding entry to that file. With `\citeindexfalse`, these entries will no longer be made.

The `\bibitem` commands in the `thebibliography` environment will also make index entries. If this is not desired, then issue `\citeindexfalse` before `\bibliography` or `\begin{thebibliography}`.

Of course, `\makeindex` must also be issued in the preamble to activate indexing, as usual. Otherwise, no indexing is done at all.

Make sure that the document has been processed at least twice after the last `LATEX` run before running the `makeindex` program.

`\NAT@idxtxt` The form of the index entries is set by the internal `\NAT@idxtxt`, which can be redefined by hackers if wanted (in the `natbib.cfg` file please). By default, it prints the short author list plus date in the current parenthesis style.

The `natbib` package can also be used with the `index` package of David M. Jones. The order in which the packages are loaded is not important.

`\citeindextype` In that package, multiple index lists may be made by means of a `\newindex` command. For example, it may be desirable to put all the citation indexing into a separate list. First that list must be initiated with, e.g.,

```
\newindex{cite}{ctx}{cnd}{List of Citations}
```

and then the automatic citation indexing associated with this list with the `natbib` command

```
\renewcommand{\citeindextype}{cite}
```

See the documentation for `index.sty` for details.

4.12 Hyper $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ Compatibility

The `natbib` package is compatible with the `hyperref` package of Sebastian Rahtz, for use with $\text{L}^{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X} \rightarrow \text{HTML}$ conversions, `pdf $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$` , `pdfmark`. The compatibility is of a mutual nature: both packages contain coding that interact with that of the other.

There is a special option `nonamebreak` that can be used with the `hyperref` package; it keeps all the author names in a citation on one line, something that avoids certain problems with `pdf $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$` . This is otherwise not recommended, since many overfull lines result.

4.13 Multiple Bibliographies in One Document

The `natbib` package is compatible with the `chapterbib` package of Donald Arseneau and Niel Kempson,² which makes it possible to have several bibliographies

²I have used version 1.5 from 1995/10/09; cannot guarantee earlier versions.

in one document. The usual application is to have bibliographies in each chapter of a book, especially if they have been written by different authors.

The `chapterbib` package works in a very natural way for the author; only the editor who puts all the chapters together into one book has to do some extra work.

The package makes use of the `\include` command, and each `\included` file has its own bibliography. For large books, it makes very good sense to take advantage of this feature in any case.

To review the use of `\include`, recall that the main file

```
\documentclass{...}
\includeonly{ch2}
\begin{document}
  \include{ch1}
  \include{ch2}
  \include{ch3}
\end{document}
```

will process only the file `ch2.tex` as though the files `ch1.tex` and `ch3.tex` were also present. That is, all counters, especially the page and section numbers, as well as cross-referencing definitions, will function as if the whole document were processed. The trick is that each `\included` file has its own `.aux` file containing these definitions, and they are all read in every time, even if the corresponding `.tex` file is not. The `.aux` files also contain the citation information for `BIBTEX`, something that the `chapterbib` package exploits.

If `\usepackage{chapterbib}` has been given, the keys in each `\cite` and `\bibitem` command are associated with the current `\included` file and are distinguished from the identical key in a different file. Each of these files must contain its own `\bibliography` and `\bibliographystyle` commands. One processes `BIBTEX` on each file separately before processing it under `LATEX` (at least twice).

4.13.1 Special Considerations for `natbib` and `chapterbib`

The order in which the `chapterbib` and `natbib` packages are loaded is unimportant.

The `chapterbib` package provides an option `sectionbib` that puts the bibliography in a `\section*` instead of `\chapter*`, something that makes sense if there is a bibliography in each chapter. This option will not work when `natbib` is also loaded; instead, add the option to `natbib`. (The `sectionbib` option can always be given, but it only has meaning for the `book` and `report` classes, or for classes derived from them.)

Every `\included` file must contain its own `\bibliography` command where the bibliography is to appear. The database files listed as arguments to this command can be different in each file, of course. However, what is not so obvious, is that each file must also contain a `\bibliographystyle` command, *preferably with the same style argument*. If different bibliography styles are specified for different files, then the preprogrammed citation style (punctuation and citation mode) will be that of the first bibliography style given. The preprogrammed citation styles can only be changed in the preamble (see Section 4.9), something that guarantees a uniform style for the entire document.³

³It would be relatively easy to allow changes in style anywhere in the document, but this strikes me as bad policy. However, it is provided for with the `docstrip` option `noproonly`.

4.14 Sorting and Compressing Numerical Citations

Another package by Donald Arseneau, `cite.sty`, reimplements the entire (numerical) citation system such that one can control the punctuation and citation format, all of which is done by `natbib` as well. However, it also can sort and compress numerical citations, something that is required by some journals.

What this means is that when multiple citations are given with a single `\cite` command, the normal order of the numbers is in the sequence given. This is usually a wild list of numbers, such as [4,2,8,3]. With the `cite` package, this list becomes [2–4,8].

It is impossible to make the `cite` and `natbib` packages compatible, since both reimplement `\cite` from scratch. Instead, I have taken over some of the coding from `cite.sty`, modifying it for `natbib`. This coding is activated by including one of the options `sort` or `sort&compress` in the `\usepackage` command.

For author–year citations, the option `sort` orders the citations in a single `\citep` or `\citet` command into the sequence in which they appear in the list of references. This is normally alphabetical first, year second. This should avoid citations of the type: “James et al. (1994b,a)”. For author–year mode, the `sort&compress` option is identical to `sort`.

4.15 Long Author List on First Citation

A feature that has often been requested by otherwise happy users of `natbib` is one that is found in the `harvard` package as standard: with the first citation of any reference, the full author list is printed, and afterwards only the abbreviated list. One can control this with `\citet*` for the first citation, and `\citet` or `\citep` thereafter. However, the automatic feature is very desired.

This can be activated with the option `longnamesfirst`.

`\shortcites` Some references have so many authors that you want to suppress the automatic long list only for them. In this case, issue

```
\shortcites{<key-list>}
```

before the first citations, and those included in *key-list* will have a short list on their first citation.

Full author lists can still be forced at any time with the starred variants.

5 Numerical Citations with Author–Year Styles

It is possible to produce numerical citations with any author–year `.bst` file, with minimal change to the text. The commands `\citet` and `\citep` will produce sensible results in both modes, without any special editing. Obviously, the opposite is not possible; a `.bst` file intended for numerical citation can never produce author–year citations, simply because the information is not transferred to the auxiliary file.

5.1 Selecting Numerical Mode

By default, `natbib` is in author–year mode. This can be changed by

1. selecting a numerical bibliography style with predefined citation style, defined either in the package or in the local configuration file;
2. giving options `numbers` or `super` to the `\usepackage` command;
3. issuing `\bibpunct` with the 4th mandatory argument set to `n` or `s`;
4. issuing `\citestyle` with the name of a predefined numerical bibliography style.

The methods are listed in order of increasing priority.

The `natbib` package will automatically switch to numerical mode if any one of the `\bibitem` entries fails to conform to the possible author–year formats. There is no way to override this, since such an entry would cause trouble in the author–year mode.

There are certain special ‘numerical’ styles, like that of the standard `alpha.bst`, which include a non-numerical label in place of the number, in the form

```
\bibitem[ABC95]{able95}
```

As far as `natbib` is concerned, this label does not conform to the author–year possibilities and is therefore considered to be numerical. The citation mode switches to numerical, and `\cite{able95}` prints [ABC95].

See however, the end of Section 4.6 for another possibility. The above result can be achieved with

```
\bibitem[ABC95()]{able95}
```

6 Local Configuration

For $\text{\LaTeX} 2_{\epsilon}$, it is possible to add a local configuration file `natbib.cfg`, which is read in, if it exists, at the end of the package. It may thus contain coding to supcede that in the package, although its main purpose is to allow the user to add his own `\bibstyle@bst` definitions to couple citation punctuation with local bibliography styles.

7 Options with $\text{\LaTeX} 2_{\epsilon}$

One of the new features of $\text{\LaTeX} 2_{\epsilon}$ is *options* for the packages, in the same way as main styles (now called *classes*) can take options. This package is now installed with

```
\documentclass[...]{...}
\usepackage[options]{natbib}
```

The options available provide another means of specifying the punctuation for citations:

`round` (default) for round parentheses;

`square` for square brackets;

`curly` for curly braces;

`angle` for angle brackets;

`colon` (default) to separate multiple citations with colons;

`comma` to use commas as separators;

`authoryear` (default) for author–year citations;

`numbers` for numerical citations;

`super` for superscripted numerical citations, as in *Nature*;

`sort` orders multiple citations into the sequence in which they appear in the list of references;

`sort&compress` as `sort` but in addition multiple numerical citations are compressed if possible (as 3–6, 15);

`longnamesfirst` makes the first citation of any reference the equivalent of the starred variant (full author list) and subsequent citations normal (abbreviated list);

`sectionbib` redefines `\thebibliography` to issue `\section*` instead of `\chapter*`; valid only for classes with a `\chapter` command; to be used with the `chapterbib` package;

`nonamebreak` keeps all the authors’ names in a citation on one line; causes overfull hboxes but helps with some `hyperref` problems.

If any of the formatting options are selected, the predefined citation styles in the commands `\bibstyle@bst` will be no longer be effective. If either `\bibpunct` or `\citestyle` is given in the preamble, the above punctuation options will no longer hold.

8 As Module to Journal-Specific Styles

Although `natbib` is meant to be an all-purpose bibliographic style *package*, it may also be incorporated as a module to other packages for specific journals. In this case, many of the general features may be left off. This is allowed for with `docstrip` options that not only leave off certain codelines, but also include extra ones. So far, options exist for

`subpack` produces a basic version with author–year only, fixed citation punctuation, no `\bibpunct` nor `\citestyle` nor predefined styles;

`subpack,egs` for journals of the *European Geophysical Society*, in particular *Non-linear Processes in Geophysics*;

`subpack,agu` for *American Geophysical Union* journals.

The `subpack` option must always be used with `package`.

Previous options `jgr` and `gr1` have become obsolete due to revisions in these journals; they have been replaced by the more general `agu` option.

9 Reference Sheet

A summarization of the main points on using `natbib` can be obtained by \LaTeX ing the file `natnotes.tex`, which is extracted from the main source file `natbib.dtx` with the `docstrip` option `notes`. This is intended to act as a handy reference sheet.

This file should be extracted automatically by the supplied installation file, `natbib.ins`.

10 Options with docstrip

The source `.dtx` file is meant to be processed with `docstrip`, for which a number of options are available:

`all` includes all of the other interfaces;

`apalike` allows interpretation of minimal `apalike` form of `\bibitem`;

`newapa` allows `\citeauthoryear` to be in the optional argument of `\bibitem` along with the punctuation commands of `newapa.sty`;

`chicago` is the same as `newapa`;

`harvard` includes interpretation of `\harvarditem`;

`astron` allows `\astroncite` to appear in the optional argument of `\bibitem`;

`authordate` adds the syntax of the `\citename` command.

This package file is intended to act as a module for other class files written for specific journals, in which case the flexible `\bibstyle@bst` commands are not wanted. Punctuation and other style features are to be rigidly fixed. These journal options are

`agu` for journals of the *American Geophysical Union*;

`egs` for journals of the *European Geophysical Society*, in particular *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*.

The remaining options are:

`package` to produce a `.sty` package file with most comments removed;

209 (together with `package`) for a style option file that will run under the older \LaTeX 2.09;

`subpack` (together with `package`) for coding that is to be included inside a larger package; even more comments are removed, as well as \LaTeX 2 ϵ option handling and identification; produces a basic `natbib` package for author–year only, fixed citation style (punctuation);

`notes` extracts a summary of usage to be used as a reference sheet; the resulting file is to be \LaTeX ed;

`nopreonly` allows `\citestyle` and `\bibpunct` to be called anywhere in the text; this is considered possibly useful with the `chapterbib` package where different chapters might have different bibliography and citation styles; is only provided in case I change my mind about this feature, but for now I refuse to implement it;

`driver` to produce a driver `.drv` file that will print out the documentation under $\text{\LaTeX} 2_{\epsilon}$. The documentation cannot be printed under $\text{\LaTeX} 2.09$.

The source file `natbib.dtx` is itself a driver file and can be processed directly by $\text{\LaTeX} 2_{\epsilon}$.