

Constraining Galactic $p\gamma$ Interactions with Cosmic Ray Electron and Positron Spectra

Nayantara Gupta¹, Bing Zhang²

Department of Physics, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

Abstract

High energy protons produced by various sources of cosmic rays, *e.g.* supernova remnants, pulsar wind nebulae, active galactic nuclei and gamma-ray bursts participate in $p\gamma$ and pp interactions. Although pp interactions may be the dominant mechanism in our Galaxy, it is unclear how important $p\gamma$ process is. We show that the upper bound on the fraction of protons participating in $p\gamma$ interactions inside all Galactic astrophysical sources of cosmic rays is 10%.

PACS numbers: 98.70.Rz, 98.70.Sa

1 Introduction:

High energy protons are expected to be emitted by various astrophysical objects *e.g.* supernova remnants (SNRs), pulsar wind nebulae (PWN), microquasars, magnetars, active galactic nuclei (AGN) and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). The relativistic protons interact with other protons and photons. High energy neutrinos and photons are subsequently produced in these interactions. Protons and other charged particles are deflected by Galactic and extra-galactic magnetic field before they arrive us. It is possible to trace the sources of cosmic rays by detecting neutral particles *e.g.* neutrinos, photons from them. High energy neutrinos cannot be produced inside astrophysical sources of cosmic rays if they contain only leptons. Hence, detection of high energy neutrinos from a source would also be a signature of the existence of baryons inside that source. A large number of neutrino telescopes are under operation/construction to explore the neutrino universe in the near future. Innovative detection methods have been employed in building these neutrino detectors [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. AMANDA, the high energy muon and neutrino detector in operation near the south pole has put limits on the neutrino fluxes from various point sources [9, 1] in the northern hemisphere. The current limit on the diffuse all flavor neutrino flux is $3.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{GeVcm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}$ in the energy range of 16TeV to 2PeV [10]. This limit is from the data taken by AMANDA-II between 2000 to 2003. In near future a more conservative limit of $4.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{GeVcm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}$ or a real detection of the neutrino background is expected from IceCube experiment after three years of operation [11].

Hadrons and leptons are expected to be shock accelerated inside astrophysical objects by the Fermi mechanism to very high energies. Charged and neutral pions can be produced in proton photon, proton proton or nucleon nucleon interactions, and the charged pions subsequently decay to produce neutrinos. Neutrino production interactions are $p\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ n \rightarrow e^+ \nu_\mu \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu n$ and $pp \rightarrow \pi^\pm \rightarrow e^\pm \nu_\mu \nu_e (\bar{\nu}_e) \bar{\nu}_\mu$. We assume pn interactions are similar to pp interactions. The neutral pions decay to γ -rays. It is difficult to know what fractions of all

¹Email: nayan@physics.unlv.edu

²Email: bzhang@physics.unlv.edu

protons in our Galaxy participate in photo-pion ($p\gamma$) production and pp interactions. The positrons lose energy during their propagation unlike neutrinos. The propagation of electrons and positrons in our Galaxy has been studied earlier [12]. It has been suggested [13] that the detection of Glashow resonance [14] $\bar{\nu}_e$ events at 6.3PeV by IceCube detector may offer us an opportunity to know whether pp interactions are the dominant mechanisms of ultrahigh energy neutrino production in the universe. The ratios of $\bar{\nu}_e$ to muon flavor neutrinos ($\bar{\nu}_e : (\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu)$) at the Glashow resonance energy (6.3 PeV) would be different for neutrinos produced in $p\gamma$ and pp interactions, and by measuring these ratios it would also be possible to estimate neutrino mixing angles at ultrahigh energies [15]. However, effects like energy loss by muons is expected to reduce [16] and the decay of μ^- and μ^+ produced in annihilation of secondary photons is expected to enhance the flux of $\bar{\nu}_e$ [17]. These effects make the discrimination between $p\gamma$ and pp sources of neutrinos, by detecting Glashow resonance events, very difficult. Exploring other possibilities to reveal the underlying mechanisms of neutrino production in astrophysical sources is still of great interest. In our Galaxy the major sources of cosmic rays are SNRs and PWN. High energy gamma rays have been detected from many SNRs and PWN [18] although the leading mechanism (hadronic or leptonic) of γ ray production in these sources is not yet known. They are also expected to be sources of high energy neutrinos [19]. Protons and other heavy nuclei are accelerated in diffusive shocks. They interact with matter and radiation, lose energies and some of them escape from the sources. pp and $p\gamma$ interactions are the underlying mechanisms of neutrino production in these sources as discussed earlier. The gamma ray flux detected by CANGAROO [20] from SN 1006 has been fitted with the theoretical model of π^0 decay [21]. The flux produced in inverse Compton scattering of electrons by low energy photons is much lower than that produced in π^0 decay. Recently, the evidence of hadronic origin of gamma rays from Vela X [22] and PSR B1259-63 [23] has been reported in two other papers. If a gamma ray energy flux of 2×10^{-13} erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ is detected from SN 1987A in the energy range of 0.1 to 10TeV then it would imply that these gamma rays are of hadronic origin produced in π^0 decay [24]. The neutrino fluxes expected from various PWN were calculated [25] considering detailed modeling of the sources and found to be detectable in some cases by large scale neutrino telescopes of km 2 area. High energy neutrino emission from $p\gamma$ process inside the magnetospheres of isolated neutron stars have been discussed for magnetars [26] and for young pulsars [27]. In this paper the subject of our discussion is whether it is possible to constrain the parameter x , which denotes the fraction of the total number of Galactic protons participating in $p\gamma$ interactions.

2 Diffuse electron and positron spectra:

Since high energy electrons and positrons lose energies faster than other charged particles, by various energy loss mechanisms *e.g.* bremsstrahlung, synchrotron emission and inverse Compton scattering, their observed fluxes are most likely of Galactic origin. Above 1 GeV the dominant energy loss processes are inverse Compton and synchrotron. It has been discussed in [28] that approximately 10% of electrons and all positrons are secondary particles produced in nuclear interactions. There are some discrepancies in the measured data on electrons and positrons. Above several GeV, the large spread in the data can not be explained as an effect

of solar modulation. Systematic errors in the measurements might be responsible for the disagreement in the data taken by different experimental groups. Müller [29] and Du Vernois et al. [30] suggested rescaling of the upper set of data points to match the electron flux at 20 GeV obtained with the best fit of the lower set of data points that include the recent results of the AMS experiment [31]. After rescaling, all the data points in the energy range of 3 GeV to 2 TeV can be fitted with a single power law with the spectral index 3.44 ± 0.03 [32]. With a similar procedure of renormalization of the data from MASS 89 [33] and MASS 91 [34] experiments, the positron data points can be fitted with a single power law with the spectral index 3.43 ± 0.05 above 0.7 GeV. The single power law fitted spectra are shown in Fig.4. and Fig.5. of [32], and we have used their results in the present paper.

3 $p\gamma$, pp interactions and e^\pm fluxes:

Cosmic rays with energy up to 10^{18} eV are most likely of Galactic origin. Protons can be accelerated to very high energies inside the Galactic cosmic ray sources, *e.g.* SNRs, PWN, and microquasars. One interesting feature is that interactions of shock accelerated protons with photons ($p\gamma \rightarrow e^+\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_e n$) leading to the production of neutrinos generate high energy positrons, but not electrons. Most other processes, on the other hand, produce equal number of electrons and positrons through pairs. These processes include $p\gamma \rightarrow pe^+e^-$, $pp \rightarrow e^\pm\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Such an asymmetry makes it possible to estimate the contribution of $p\gamma$ process through evaluating the measured e^+ and e^- fluxes.

The shock accelerated electron and proton spectra inside the sources could be approximated as power laws in energy with similar spectral index, *i.e.* $S_{e^-}(E_e) = A_e E_e^{-\alpha}$ and $S_p(E_p) = A_p E_p^{-\alpha}$. The possible values of α can be calculated by numerical simulations [35]. Electrons and positrons lose energy faster than protons inside the sources. We have discussed in section 5 of this paper how the internal magnetic field and size of a source determine the escape probability of electrons and positrons from that source. We denote the electron and positron spectra injected into the Galaxy as $Q_{e^-}(E_e)$ and $Q_{e^+}(E_e)$ respectively. During propagation the cosmic ray protons and nucleons would mainly interact with Galactic matter and produce secondary electrons and positrons, since the Galactic diffuse gamma-ray background is not high enough for significant positron production by the propagating cosmic rays through $p\gamma$ interactions at the Δ resonance. The solution of the transport equation for the propagation of e^\pm in the Galaxy is $N(E_e) \approx Q(E_e)V_{source}\tau(E_e)/V_{occ}(E_e)$, where $Q(E_e)$ is the source flux, V_{source} is the volume of the sources, $V_{occ}(E_e)$ is the volume in the Galaxy occupied by electrons or positrons of energy E_e , and $\tau(E_e)$ is the lifetime of the particle with energy E_e [28]. We assume that out of the total number of protons inside all Galactic astrophysical objects, a fraction x participates in $p\gamma$ interactions to produce neutrinos, another fraction y participates in pp interactions and the rest (z) escapes without any interactions or produce e^\pm pairs in $p\gamma$ interactions, hence, $x + y + z = 1$. The injection source fluxes of electrons and positrons can be expressed as

$$Q_{e^-}(E_e) = P_{e^-}(E_e) + F(E_e) , \quad (1)$$

$$Q_{e^+}(E_e) = x f \phi_{p\gamma \rightarrow \nu + e^+}(E_e) + F(E_e) . \quad (2)$$

Here $F(E_e)$ denotes the secondary electron or positron flux injected into the Galaxy from all the interactions in which electron and positron pairs are produced, including inside the astrophysical sources and also during the propagation of the protons and nucleons in the Galaxy. Rotation-powered pulsars inject electron-positron pairs from the magnetosphere through magnetic one-photon ($\gamma B \rightarrow e^+e^-$) pair production processes [36, 37, 38]. WIMP annihilations also generate electron-positron pairs [39]. All these processes are included in our $F(E_e)$ terms. We assume that astrophysical sources contain protons and electrons, but positrons are produced only in interactions. Thus we denote $P_{e^-}(E_e)$ as the primary diffuse electron flux directly injected from all the Galactic astrophysical objects (not generated through interactions). In eqn(2), $\phi_{p\gamma \rightarrow \nu+e^+}(E_e)$ is the positron flux produced in pion interactions normalized to one pion per nucleon ($p\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+n \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\nu_e\bar{\nu}_\mu n$) inside all astrophysical sources, assuming that all protons participate in $p\gamma$ interactions to produce neutrinos. The positrons produced in this way lose energies by bremsstrahlung, synchrotron and inverse Compton mechanisms before their escape from the sources. The emitted fluxes are related to the fluxes produced inside the sources by a factor $f \leq 1$. This factor accounts for the escaping probability and energy losses of electrons and positrons. The factor x denotes the fraction of protons which participate in $p\gamma$ interactions to produce neutrinos. If $x = 0$ there is no neutrino production through $p\gamma$ interactions inside the sources, and $x = 1$ if all the neutrinos are produced in $p\gamma$ interactions. One can then relate the observed and source fluxes of electrons and positrons through the following ratios,

$$\frac{N_{e^-}(E_e) - N_{e^+}(E_e)}{N_{e^-}(E_e)} = \frac{Q_{e^-}(E_e) - Q_{e^+}(E_e)}{Q_{e^-}(E_e)} = \frac{P_{e^-}(E_e) - xf\phi_{p\gamma \rightarrow \nu+e^+}(E_e)}{P_{e^-}(E_e) + F(E_e)} \quad (3)$$

Besides $p\gamma$ interactions, all other interactions discussed earlier e.g. pp , $\gamma\gamma$, γB , WIMP annihilations, generate equal fluxes of electrons and positrons. The observed positron flux is about 10% of the observed electron flux and they are expected to be produced in various interactions (pp , $p\gamma$ etc.) as discussed earlier. Hence, it is reasonable to assume that the flux of secondary electrons produced in interactions inside astrophysical sources and inside the Galaxy during the propagation of cosmic rays is much less compared to their original flux which is not produced in any interaction [28]. From this argument it follows that $F(E_e) \ll P_{e^-}(E_e)$. In $p\gamma$ interactions charged and neutral pions are produced with almost equal probabilities. Unlike positrons, the nucleons produced in $p\gamma$ interaction escape from the sources before losing their energies significantly. The charged pion carries about 20% of the initial proton's energy and the final state leptons share the energy of π^+ equally. The energy E_p of the proton and the energy E_e of the positron produced in one $p\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+n \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\nu_e\bar{\nu}_\mu n$ interaction are related as $E_e = \frac{1}{5} \times \frac{1}{4}E_p$. The total energies carried by all the positrons of energy E_e produced in $p\gamma$ interactions and all the protons of energy E_p are related as [40],

$$E_e^2\phi_{p\gamma \rightarrow \nu+e^+}(E_e) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{5} \times \frac{1}{4}E_p^2A_pE_p^{-\alpha} \quad (4)$$

where $A_pE_p^{-\alpha}$ is the shock accelerated proton number flux per unit energy (at dE_p) inside the sources of cosmic rays, and the factor 1/2 takes into account the contribution of protons that produce π^0 (and hence, not contributing to e^+ production). The positron flux produced

in $p\gamma$ interactions can be then expressed as

$$\phi_{p\gamma \rightarrow \nu + e^+}(E_e) = \frac{A_p E_p^{2-\alpha}}{40 E_e^2} \quad (5)$$

Eqn(3) can then be expressed in terms of spectral index α , lepton energy E_e ,

$$\frac{N_{e^-}(E_e) - N_{e^+}(E_e)}{N_{e^-}(E_e)} = \frac{P_{e^-}(E_e) - x f \phi_{p\gamma \rightarrow \nu + e^+}(E_e)}{P_{e^-}(E_e) + F(E_e)} \approx \frac{P_{e^-}(E_e)}{P_{e^-}(E_e) + F(E_e)} - \frac{x f \phi_{p\gamma \rightarrow \nu + e^+}(E_e)}{[1 + r(E_e)] f A_e E_e^{-\alpha}} \quad (6)$$

where we have used $P_{e^-}(E_e) + F(E_e) = Q_{e^-}(E_e)$ from Eqn.(1) and the ratio of observed positron and electron fluxes [32, 41] is $r(E_e) = N_{e^+}(E_e)/N_{e^-}(E_e)$. $Q_{e^-}(E_e)$ can be greater than $f S_{e^-}(E_e)$ (the diffuses electron flux emitted from all Galactic sources) at the most by a factor of $[1+r(E_e)]$. We have replaced $Q_{e^-}(E_e)$ with $[1+r(E_e)]f S_{e^-}(E_e)$ in the denominator of the second term on the right hand side of Eqn.(6). The positron's energy E_e and the proton's energy E_p are related as $E_e = E_p/20$. Assuming that the shock accelerated electrons of mass m_e and protons of mass m_p have the same values of spectral indices the ratio of their shock accelerated fluxes at relativistic energies ($E > m_p c^2$) is $\frac{A_e}{A_p} = \zeta \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^{(\alpha-1)/2}$ [28], where ζ is the ratio of the non relativistic electron and proton fluxes at any energy $E_k < m_e c^2$ (for non relativistic particles it is the kinetic energy). The numbers of non relativistic primary electrons and protons inside the sources are expected to be equal hence, $\zeta \sim 1$. We can then constrain x using the following expression,

$$x \approx 40 [1 + r(E_e)] \times \left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \left[r(E_e) - \frac{F(E_e)}{P_{e^-}(E_e) + F(E_e)} \right]. \quad (7)$$

The ratio of observed positron and electron fluxes $r(E_e)$ is available from observed data. However, we do not know how much is the ratio $F(E_e)/[P_{e^-}(E_e)+F(E_e)]$. Assuming $F(E_e) = 0$, one can put an upper bound on x .

$$x \leq 40 [1 + r(E_e)] \times \left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} r(E_e). \quad (8)$$

Thus it is possible to constrain x using the observed data on positron and electron fluxes.

4 Results:

The current data on cosmic ray positron flux is up to about 60 GeV. In [41] the data are given upto 30 GeV. Below 10 GeV solar modulation effect becomes important hence we have considered the energy range above this energy. As the ratio of the measured fluxes of positrons and electrons is small the errors involved in current measurements of this ratio affect the result. In future with more precise measurements the flux ratio could be determined more accurately. The average value of the observed flux ratios is 0.1. We calculate the upper bound on x for an energy range of 10GeV to 60GeV using the power law fit to the compilation of data from [32]. Our result is $x \leq 0.1$ for $\alpha = 2.1 - 2.4$. The upper limit on x slightly

decreases with increasing α . In general the factor x depends on energy, but in the 10-60 GeV range it is insensitive to the energy if we use the power law fit to the compiled data from [32]. In future it would be possible to constrain x at higher energies when the positron fluxes at higher energies are available.

5 Discussions and Conclusion:

In order to contribute to the observed positron flux at earth, positrons must not cool before escaping the source. This requires that the cooling time scale t_{cool} is longer than the escaping time scale t_{esc} . For standard synchrotron cooling, the cooling time scale can be estimated as

$$t_{cool} = \frac{m_e \gamma_e c^2}{\frac{4}{3} \sigma_T c \gamma_e^2 \frac{B^2}{8\pi}}, \quad (9)$$

where m_e is mass of a positron, c is the speed of light, γ_e is Lorentz factor of the positron, B is the internal magnetic field of the source, and σ_T is Thomson cross section. To estimate the escaping time scale, we adopt the random walk approximation. In a random magnetic field, the mean free path for each scattering may be estimated as the Larmor radius R_L . The number of scattering of a positron before escaping the source is $\sim (\frac{R}{R_L})^2$, where R is the radius of the particle accelerating region inside a source. We therefore have

$$t_{esc} = \left(\frac{R}{R_L}\right)^2 \frac{R_L}{c}. \quad (10)$$

Since $R_L = \frac{m_e \gamma_e c^2}{eB}$, it is interesting to observe that t_{cool} and t_{esc} have the same γ_e dependence. This suggests that for a particular source, either all positrons escape the source ($t_{cool} > t_{esc}$) or all positrons cool before escaping ($t_{cool} < t_{esc}$). With eqn.(10) and eqn.(9), the positron-escaping condition is

$$B_{-3} \leq 3.4 R_{15}^{-2/3} \quad (11)$$

where the convention $Q_i = Q/10^i$ is adopted in cgs units. The typical values of B required to explain the observed radio and x-ray data from SN 1006 and SN 1987A are about $150\mu\text{G}$ [21] and 10mG [24], respectively. The size of the positron emission region may vary from sources to sources. We can see that cosmic sources parameters are marginal to satisfy the constraint. Generally we expect some sources can satisfy eqn. (11) and contribute to the observed diffuse positron spectra. The factor f therefore denotes the fraction of all the $p\gamma$ positron generation sources that satisfy eqn.(11). The upper bound on the fraction of Galactic protons participating in $p\gamma$ interactions in our Galaxy is found to be 10%. Our current result is relevant to the positron energy range between 10 GeV to 60 GeV. In future it would be possible to extend our results with more observational data on positron fluxes at higher energies.

6 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by NASA under grants NNG05GB67G and NNG06GH62G.

References

- [1] AMANDA Coll., M. Ackermann et al., Phys.Rev. D **71**, 077102 (2005).
- [2] Baikal Coll., R. Wischnewski et al., Int.J.Mod.Phys. A **20**, 6932(2005).
- [3] ANTARES Coll., J. A. Aguilar, et al., astro-ph/0606229.
- [4] KM3NeT Coll., U. F. Katz, astro-ph/0606068.
- [5] <http://icecube.wisc.edu/>.
- [6] RICE Coll., I. Kravchenko et al., Phys. Rev. D **73**, 082002 (2006).
- [7] ANITA Coll., S. W. Barwick et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. **96**, 171101(2006).
- [8] H. J. A. Röttgering, New Astron.Rev. **47**, 405 (2003).
- [9] AMANDA Coll., J. Ahrens et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. **92**, 071102 (2004).
- [10] AMANDA Coll., A. Achterberg et al., Proc. of 29th International Cosmic Ray Conference, Aug (2005), Pune, India, astro-ph/0509330.
- [11] IceCube Coll., Mathieu Ribordy, et al., Invited talk in 5th International Conference on Non-accelerator New Physics (NANP05), Jun. (2005) Dubna, Russia, astro-ph/0509322.
- [12] I. V. Moskalenko, A. W. Strong, ApJ **493**, 694 (1998).
- [13] L. A. Anchordoqui, H. Goldberg, F. Halzen, T. J. Weiler, Phys. Lett. B **621**, 18 (2005).
- [14] S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. **118**, 316 (1960).
- [15] P. Bhattacharjee, N. Gupta, hep-ph/0501191.
- [16] T. Kashti, E. Waxman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 181101 (2005).
- [17] S. Razzaque, P. Mészáros, E. Waxman, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 103005 (2006).
- [18] The Hess Collab., F. Aharonian et al., ApJ **636**, 777(2006); A&A **449**, 223 (2006).
- [19] M. L. Costantini, F. Vissani, Astropart. Phys. **23**, 447 (2005); M. D. Kistler, J. F. Beacom, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 063007 (2006); F. Vissani, Astropart. Phys. **26**, 310 (2006); A. Kappes, J. Hinton, C. Stegmann, F. Aharonian, astro-ph/0607286.
- [20] <http://icrhp9.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/>.
- [21] L.T.Ksenofontov, E.G.Berezhko, H.J.Völk, A&A, **443**, 973 (2005).
- [22] D. Horns, F. Aharonian, A. Santangelo, A.I.D. Hoffmann, C. Masterson, A & A, **451L**, 51H (2006).

- [23] A. Neronov, M. Chernyakova, Proc. of The Multi Messenger Approach to High-Energy Gamma-Ray Sources, Barcelona, June 2006, astro-ph/0610139.
- [24] E.G. Berezhko, L.T. Ksenofontov, ApJ Lett. in press (2006), astro-ph/0608586.
- [25] W. Bednarek, R. J. Protheroe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2616 (1997); W. Bednarek, A&A **407**, 1 (2006).
- [26] B. Zhang, Z. G. Dai, P. Meszaros, E. Waxman, A. K. Harding, ApJ **595**, 346 (2003).
- [27] B. Link, F. Burgio, MNRAS **371**, 375 (2006).
- [28] V. S. Berezhinsky et al., *Astrophysics of Cosmic Rays, published by North-Holland (1990)*.
- [29] D. Müller, Adv. Space Res. **27**, 659 (2001).
- [30] M. A. Du Vernois et al., ApJ **559**, 296 (2001).
- [31] J. Alcaraz, B. Alpat, G. Ambrosi, Phys. Lett. B **484**, 10 (2000).
- [32] D. Casadei, V. Bindi, ApJ **612**, 262 (2004).
- [33] R. L. Golden et al., ApJ **436**, 769 (1994).
- [34] C. Grimani et al., A& A **392**, 287 (2002).
- [35] M. Lemoine, G. Pelletier, ApJ 589, L73 (2003).
- [36] M. A. Ruderman, P. G. Sutherland, ApJ **196**, 51 (1975).
- [37] J. K. Daugherty, A. K. Harding, ApJ **252**, 337 (1982).
- [38] B. Zhang, A. K. Harding, ApJ **532**, 1150 (2000).
- [39] A. J. Tylka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 840 (1989).
- [40] E. Waxman, J. N. Bahcall, Phys.Rev. D **59**, 023002 (1999).
- [41] M. Aguilar et al., Phys. Lett. B **646**, 145 (2007).