

# A nonextensive entropy approach to solar wind intermittency

M. P. Leubner<sup>1</sup> and Z. Vörös

*Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, A-8042 Graz, Austria*

manfred.leubner@oeaw.ac.at, zoltan.voeroes@oeaw.ac.at

## ABSTRACT

The probability distributions (PDFs) of the differences of any physical variable in the intermittent, turbulent interplanetary medium are scale dependent. Strong non-Gaussianity of solar wind fluctuations applies for short time-lag spacecraft observations, corresponding to small-scale spatial separations, whereas for large scales the differences turn into a Gaussian normal distribution. These characteristics were hitherto described in the context of the log-normal, the Castaing distribution or the shell model. On the other hand, a possible explanation for nonlocality in turbulence is offered within the context of nonextensive entropy generalization by a recently introduced bi-kappa distribution, generating through a convolution of a negative-kappa core and positive-kappa halo pronounced non-Gaussian structures. The PDFs of solar wind scalar field differences are computed from WIND and ACE data for different time lags and compared with the characteristics of the theoretical bi-kappa functional, well representing the overall scale dependence of the spatial solar wind intermittency. The observed PDF characteristics for increased spatial scales are manifest in the theoretical distribution functional by enhancing the only tuning parameter  $\kappa$ , measuring the degree of nonextensivity where the large-scale Gaussian is approached for  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ . The nonextensive approach assures for experimental studies of solar wind intermittency independence from influence of a priori model assumptions. It is argued that the intermittency of the turbulent fluctuations should be related physically to the nonextensive character of the interplanetary medium counting for nonlocal interactions via the entropy generalization.

*Subject headings:* interplanetary medium—plasmas

---

<sup>1</sup>also Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

## 1. Introduction

Spatial intermittency in fully developed turbulence is an established feature of astrophysical plasma fluctuations and is in particular manifest in the interplanetary medium by in situ space probe observations. Hence, the analysis of probability distribution functions (PDFs) or the computation of higher order moments are of considerable interest to study multi-scale statistical properties of the solar wind plasma and interplanetary magnetic field fluctuations. Classical statistical theory provides the phase space distribution from ideal MHD invariants, introduced by Matthaeus & Goldstein (1982) to characterize spectral properties of incompressible solar wind fluctuations. The existence of multifractal structures in the fluctuations of the magnetic field strength and the plasma parameters as temperature, density and velocity of the interplanetary magnetofluid was confirmed (Burlaga 1991, 1992), followed by analyses of non-Gaussian PDFs, related intermittency and the fractal scaling of the solar wind MHD fluctuations by Marsch & Tu (1994, 1997). The fractal/multifractal nature of certain solar wind and magnetospheric key parameters, however, remains to be a subject of recent investigations (Hnat et al. 2003). Interplanetary observations were used to establish the differences between fluid and MHD turbulence (Carbone, Veltri & Bruno 1995) and the Castaing distribution (Castaing, Gagne & Hopfinger 1990; Castaing & Dubrulle 1995; Castaing 1996) was widely considered in favor of models of the non-Gaussian cascade character of the intermittency in turbulent flows (Consolini & De Michelis 1998; Guowei et al. 1998; Sorriso-Valvo, Carbone & Veltri 1999; Schmitt & Marsan 2001). In addition to other solar wind parameters as velocity and density the non-Gaussian and intermittent properties of the interplanetary magnetic field fluctuations have shown to represent a new set of intermittency parameters controlling the energy input rate into the magnetosphere (Vörös et al. 2002a; Vörös & Jankovičová 2002b). Multi-scale intermittency and anisotropy effects appear to be decisive factors in near-Earth magnetotail dynamics as well (Vörös 2003, 2004). In the solar wind, based on WIND, ACE and Voyager observations Burlaga et al. (2002); Burlaga (2002) (and references therein) provide a comprehensive study of multiscale statistical properties of speed fluctuations at 1AU and 60 AU, respectively, verifying that the PDFs at small scales have a shape that is characteristic for intermittent turbulence with relatively large skewness and kurtosis and approach a Gaussian for large scales (see also Sorriso-Valvo, Carbone & Veltri (1999)).

On observational grounds the evolution of energy spectra clearly demonstrates the occurrence of nonlinear interactions in the solar wind fluctuations. These interactions include turbulent cascades (Tu & Marsch 1995) as well as nonlocal interactions between separated scales (Chang 1999).

The classical Boltzmann-Gibbs extensive thermo-statistics constitutes a powerful tool

when microscopic interactions and memory are short ranged and the environment is a Euclidean space-time, a continuous and differentiable manifold. However, in the present situation we are dealing with astrophysical systems, generally subject to spatial or temporal nonlocal interactions evolving in a non-euclidean, e.g. multi-fractal space-time that makes their behavior nonextensive. A generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon entropy for a statistical equilibrium was introduced by Tsallis (1988), preserving the usual properties of positivity, equiprobability and irreversibility, but suitably extends the standard extensivity or additivity to nonextensivity. With regard to plasma astrophysics we emphasize that the pseudo-additive entropy concept generates power-law distributions where a parameter  $q$  characterizes the fraction of the non-thermal particle components. On the other hand, space physicists recognized four decades ago from in situ satellite observations frequently the existence of high energy tail velocity space distributions of electrons and ions in space plasmas. The family of  $\kappa$ -distributions, a power law in particle speed, was introduced on phenomenological grounds to model these suprathermal structures, where  $\kappa$  assumes only positive values. In continuation, significant progress was provided by Treumann (1999a,b) who developed a kinetic theory demonstrating that power-law velocity space distributions are a particular thermodynamic equilibrium state. Relating the parameters  $q$  and  $\kappa$  by an elementary transformation Leubner (2002) provided the missing link between nonextensive distributions and  $\kappa$ -functions favored in space plasma physics, leading to the required theoretical justification for the use of  $\kappa$ -distributions from fundamental physics. Since the parameter  $\kappa$ , a measure of the degree of nonextensivity of the system, is not restricted to positive values in the nonextensive context, the commonly observed core-halo twin character of the interplanetary electron and ion velocity space distributions was verified theoretically upon generalization to a bi-kappa distribution, subject to a less pronounced core along with extended tails as compared to a Maxwellian (Leubner 2004a,b).

Recently, the PDF of the Tsallis ensemble was linked to the analysis of fully developed turbulence providing a relation between nonextensivity and intermittency, which manifests the multifractality in the distribution of eddies (Arimitsu & Arimitsu 2000a, 2001). It was shown that the value of the index  $q$  of nonextensive statistics is related to the extremes of the multifractal spectrum (Lyra & Tsallis 1998) and that the multifractal spectrum corresponding to the Tsallis statistics is determined self-consistently (Arimitsu & Arimitsu 2000b). Moreover, the context of generalized thermo-statistics provides analytical formulas for PDFs of distance dependent velocity differences, implying the cascade like structure of the turbulent dynamics and an interpretation of the parameter  $\kappa = 1/(q - 1)$  (Beck 2000). Links between the nonextensive parameter  $q$  and the corresponding parameters of the log-normal, multifractal and random- $\beta$  models were derived (Shivamoggi & Beck 2003).

We relate, in the following, nonlocality in turbulent flows to nonextensive systems and

demonstrate in the context of entropy generalization the consistency of the theoretical bi-kappa distribution (Leubner 2004a) with observed, scale dependent PDFs of characteristic key variables in the intermittent, turbulent interplanetary medium, where  $\kappa$  appears as the only tuning parameter between the scales.

## 2. Theory

The nonextensive entropy generalization for the classical thermo-statistics proposed by Tsallis (1988) takes the form

$$S_q = k_B \frac{1 - \sum p_i^q}{q - 1} \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i$  is the probability of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  microstate,  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant and  $q$  is a parameter quantifying the degree of nonextensivity of the system, commonly referred to as the entropic index. A crucial property of this entropy is the pseudo-additivity such that

$$S_q(A + B) = S_q(A) + S_q(B) + (1 - q)S_q(A)S_q(B) \quad (2)$$

for given subsystems  $A$  and  $B$  in the sense of factorizability of the microstate probabilities. Hence, nonlocality or long-range interactions are introduced by the multiplicative term accounting for correlations between the subsystems. In order to link the Tsallis  $q$ -statistics to the family of  $\kappa$ -distributions applied in space and astrophysical plasma modeling we perform the transformation

$$\frac{1}{1 - q} = \kappa \quad (3)$$

to Eq. (1) yielding the generalized entropy of the form (Leubner 2002)

$$S_\kappa = \kappa k_B (\sum p_i^{1-1/\kappa} - 1) \quad (4)$$

where  $\kappa = \infty$  corresponds to  $q = 1$  and represents the extensive limit of statistical independency. Consequently the interaction term in Eq. (2) cancels and the classical Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon entropy is recovered as

$$S_q = -k_B \sum p_i \ln p_i \quad (5)$$

Eq. (4) applies to systems subject to nonlocal interactions and systems evolving in a non-Euclidean and multifractal space-time. A further generalization of Eq. (2) for complex systems composed of an arbitrary number of mutually correlated systems is provided by Milovanov & Zelenyi (2000) where appropriate higher order terms appear in Eq. (2). Once the entropy is known the corresponding probability distributions are available.

In Maxwell's derivation the velocity components of the distribution  $f(\mathbf{v})$  are uncorrelated where  $\ln f$  can be expressed as a sum of the logarithms of the one dimensional distribution functions. In nonextensive systems one needs to introduce correlations between the components, which is conveniently done by replacing the logarithm functions by a power law. Upon transforming the "q-exp" and "q-log" functions introduced in nonextensive statistics (Silva, Plastino, & Lima 1998) into the  $\kappa$ -equivalents  $e_\kappa(f) = [1 + f/\kappa]^\kappa$  and  $\ln_\kappa f = \kappa[f^{1/\kappa} - 1]$  the generalized nonextensive solution for the one dimensional distribution in  $\kappa$  notation reads

$$f_h(v) = A_h \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{v^2}{v_t^2} \right]^{-\kappa} \quad (6)$$

Hence, the exponential probability function of the Maxwellian gas of an uncorrelated ensemble of particles is replaced by the characteristics of a power law. Note also that the distribution (6) can be derived entirely using general methods of statistical mechanics (Milovanov & Zelenyi 2000). Performing the normalization to the particle density  $N$  yields

$$A_h = \frac{N}{\sqrt{\pi} v_t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\Gamma[\kappa]}{\Gamma[\kappa - 1/2]} \quad (7)$$

and we assign to  $f_h$  the notation 'halo' distribution.  $v_t = \sqrt{2k_B T/m}$  is the thermal speed of a Maxwellian, where  $T$  and  $m$  are the temperature and mass, respectively, of the species considered. The case  $\kappa = \infty$  recovers the Maxwellian equilibrium distribution, see Fig. 1 and the discussion below.

We note that contrary to Eq. (6), derived from the nonextensive entropy generalization Eq. (1), the functional form of the  $\kappa$ -distributions conventionally used in plasma physics is powered by  $-(\kappa + 1)$  instead of  $-\kappa$  (Leubner 2002, 2004a). Consequently, the nonextensive distribution (6) provides more pronounced tails as compared to the conventional  $\kappa$ -distribution for the same values of  $\kappa$ . Furthermore, in all space plasma applications the spectral index  $\kappa$  was hitherto assumed to be restricted to positive values, requiring in the derived one-dimensional nonextensive representation  $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa \leq \infty$  equivalent to  $-1 < q \leq 1$  (for  $q < -1$  corresponding to  $\kappa < 1/2$  the distribution (6) cannot be normalized).

Since the nonextensive formalism is not restricted to  $-1 < q \leq 1$  we generalize to values  $q > 1$  providing the full range  $-\infty \leq \kappa \leq \infty$  for the spectral index  $\kappa$ . Hence, upon incorporating the sign into the equation the one dimensional distribution for negative definite  $\kappa$  can be written in the form

$$f_c(v) = A_c \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{v^2}{v_t^2} \right]^\kappa \quad (8)$$

where the normalization constant reads

$$A_c = \frac{N}{\sqrt{\pi} v_t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\Gamma[\kappa + 3/2]}{\Gamma[\kappa + 1]} \quad (9)$$

We assign the notation 'core' distribution to  $f_c$ , a function subject to a thermal cutoff at the maximum allowed velocity  $v_{max} = \sqrt{\kappa} v_t$ , which limits also any required integrations. Since the sign is implemented into Eq. (8),  $\kappa$  has to be understood as a positive quantity wherever it appears.

The nonextensive distribution-functionals (6) and (8), along with the proper normalization from the entropy generalization in Eq. (1), provide access to nonlocal effects, where  $\kappa$  measures the degree of nonextensivity within the system. Fig. 1 demonstrates schematically the non-thermal behavior of the suprathermal halo component  $f_h$  and the reduced core distribution  $f_c$ , subject to finite support in velocity space and exhibiting a thermal cutoff at  $v = v_t \sqrt{\kappa}$  where both functions approach the same Maxwellian as  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ .

With regard to a physical elucidation we refer to recent developments indicating that the parameter  $\kappa$  (or equivalently  $q$  via the transformation  $1/(1 - q) = \kappa$ , as outlined above), as degree of nonextensivity in the Tsallis context, finds at least three more interpretations. In particular, Chavanis (2003) illuminates the Tsallis  $q$ -entropy approach in view of incomplete mixing in complex systems, clarifying that it is related to a particular Boltzmann H-function, where the parameter  $q$  measures the degree of mixing. Furthermore, the PDFs of the Tsallis ensemble are linked to the analysis of fully developed turbulence (Arimitsu & Arimitsu 2000a, 2001), where the nonextensive parameter  $q$  is in particular related to nonlocality in the system and measures the scale dependence of the PDFs of the dynamical physical variables considered. Finally, the parameter  $q$  may find also a possible alternative physical interpretation in terms of the heat capacity of a medium. A system with  $\kappa < 0$  was suggested to represent a heat bath with finite positive heat capacity and vice versa, for  $\kappa > 0$  the heat bath has negative finite heat capacity. For a discussion of this property with regard to entropy generalization see Almeida (2001). This outline indicates that the conceptual background for the description of nonextensive systems requires the development of unifying concepts.

It should be emphasized that, besides a brief comment (Leubner 2002), the extension to negative  $\kappa$ -values as formulated in Eq. (8) was just recently recognized as being physically relevant (Leubner 2004a,b) since research focused hitherto on the suprathermal particle populations, in particular, well represented by a halo distribution. Let us point out that the thermal cutoff of the functional Eq. (8) provides apparently a clear definition of a core population, whereas a 'core' of the function  $f_h$  is not definable and just in some arbitrary way related to the central part of a Maxwellian. Besides a clearly defined core-halo transition any unique and physically relevant nonextensive PDF must be subject to three further conditions. We require that (a) the distribution approaches one and the same Maxwellian as  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ , (b) a unique, global distribution must be definable by one single density and temperature and (c) upon variation of the coupling parameter  $\kappa$  particle conservation and adiabatic evolution should be considered, such that a redistribution in a box (a source free environment) can be performed. Subject to these constraints the appropriate mathematical functional, representing observed core-halo structures in nonextensive astrophysical environments, is available from the elementary combination  $F_{ch} = B_{ch}(f_h + f_c)$ . In this context the full velocity space distribution compatible with nonextensive entropy generalization and obeying the above constraints reads

$$F_{ch}(v; \kappa) = \frac{N}{\pi^{1/2}v_t} G(\kappa) \left\{ \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{v^2}{v_t^2} \right]^{-\kappa} + \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{v^2}{v_t^2} \right]^{\kappa} \right\} \quad (10)$$

to which we assign the notation 'bi-kappa distribution' and where  $B_{ch} = N/(\pi^{1/2}v_t)G(\kappa)$  and the function  $G(\kappa)$  is defined by

$$G(\kappa) = \left[ \frac{\kappa^{1/2}\Gamma(\kappa - 1/2)}{\Gamma(\kappa)} + \frac{\kappa^{1/2}\Gamma(\kappa + 1)}{\Gamma(\kappa + 3/2)} \right]^{-1} \quad (11)$$

from the normalization. The function  $G(\kappa)$  is subject to a particular weak  $\kappa$ -dependence where  $G(\kappa) \simeq 1/2$ , see Leubner (2004a) for a graphical illustration and discussion. Hence, the resulting factor 1/2 in the normalization constant  $B_{ch} = N/(2\pi^{1/2}v_t)$  reflects consistently the superposition of the two counter-organizing contributions in Eq. (10). Upon re-distribution of particles as a consequence of nonlocal interactions, where  $\kappa$  act as measure of nonlocality in the system, a fraction of core-particles is removed and available to generate a pronounced non-Maxwellian tail population counting for zero net particle budget. Hence, the specific nonextensive velocity space distribution (10) provides a unique mathematical core-halo representation subject to the non-trivial property of particle conservation in the sense that the normalization is independent of the parameter  $\kappa$  (Leubner 2004a,b). This specific feature allows also to transform the one-dimensional bi-kappa velocity distribution

of Maxwell’s particle context into the mathematical form of a Gaussian of constant variance, a PDF applicable to any characteristic physical variable suitable to analyze statistical properties of astrophysical systems, see below. Contrary to the conventional  $\kappa$ –distribution models constraint by a positive  $\kappa$ –value, the functional form (10) permits in a closed system a re-distribution of energy from a Maxwellian into highly non-thermal core-halo structures where no support from the environment is required. This particular physical feature is only available if  $\kappa$  assumes the same value for both, core and halo fraction and is manifest simultaneously in a core-width reduction and distinct tail formation as  $\kappa$  decreases. For  $\kappa = \infty$  the function  $G(\kappa) = 1/2$  and the power laws in the brackets of the right hand side of Eq. (10) turn each into the same Maxwellian exponential.

Finally, besides particle conservation also adiabatic behavior is known as typical characteristic from a variety of astrophysical plasmas studies (Baumjohann & Paschmann 1989; Totten, Freemann, & Arya 1995). The effect of long-range interactions on the entropy dependence  $S(\kappa)$  in a system obeying a bi-kappa PDF (10) can be studied after a decomposition into the core and halo fractional entropy contributions (Leubner 2004a). Nonlocality in the system generates, as consequence of the pronounced tails, an entropy increase with regard to the halo PDF  $f_h$  that is accompanied by a counteracting partner, the core entropy contribution originating from the cutoff PDF  $f_c$ , a higher organized state of reduced entropy as compared to the Maxwellian equilibrium entropy. In particular, the entropy of the full bi-kappa distribution Eq. (10) follows the Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon extensive behavior down to  $\kappa \sim 10$  turning after a transition into the nonextensive profile of  $f_h$  alone. Since  $dS \geq 0$  as  $\kappa$  decreases from  $\kappa = \infty$  to low values any generation of highly non-Maxwellian PDF structures due to nonlocality in multifractal systems obeys consistently the second law.

Intermittency in astrophysical plasma turbulence can be analyzed by comparing observed PDFs of fluctuations of the velocity field magnitude in the solar wind with the global theoretical core-halo representation (10), accounting for nonlocality through entropy generalization. On the other hand, on small scales also the PDFs of interplanetary density and magnetic field fluctuations are observed to obey the same leptokurtic core non-Gaussianity along with pronounced tails or halos, requiring a generalization of Eq. (10).

We introduce a unique, global PDF provided in the context of nonextensive thermostatics for any physical variable, where nonlocality is associated to pseudo-additive entropy generalization. Upon normalizing the one-dimensional bi-kappa particle distribution (10) to unity and assigning a unique distribution variance  $\sigma$  to the thermal spread  $v_t$ , the ‘Maxwellian form’ of the bi-kappa distribution (10) transforms with  $G(\kappa) = 1/2$  to a ‘Gaussian form’ of a global bi-kappa PDF as



$$P_{ch}(\delta X; \kappa) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/2}\sigma} \left\{ \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\delta X^2}{\sigma^2} \right]^{-\kappa} + \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\delta X^2}{\sigma^2} \right]^{\kappa} \right\} \quad (12)$$

Subject to a single constant variance  $\sigma$  this one-parameter PDF is applicable to the differences of the fluctuations  $\delta X(\tau) = X(t + \tau) - X(t)$  of any physical variable  $X$  in the astrophysical system considered, where  $X(t)$  denotes any characteristic solar wind variable at time  $t$  and  $\tau$  is the time lag. Here  $\kappa$  assumes a clear physical interpretation defining the degree of nonextensivity or nonlocality in the system, thus being a measure of long-range interactions. As  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$  the bi-kappa distribution  $P_{ch}(\delta X; \kappa)$  approaches a single Gaussian. In the following we demonstrate the relevance of the nonextensive context by analyzing the observed scale dependence of the PDFs of the differences of velocity, density and magnetic field variables in the intermittent, turbulent interplanetary medium based on the theoretical global bi-kappa PDF (12).

### 3. Data Evaluation

For a proper estimation of empirical PDFs of interplanetary plasma and magnetic field data long sets are needed. Due to non-stationarity in driving dissipation conditions, however, the data sets have to be chosen carefully. For the present approach solar wind velocity data are analyzed with a time resolution of 92 s and the interplanetary magnetic field strength with a time resolution of 60 s, both available from the WIND spacecraft. WIND has a complicated trajectory that also crosses the magnetosphere from time to time. Our analysis is based on the entire year 1997 data set when WIND was in the GSE positions: 150-230  $R_E$ . This period is compared with a shorter time period from November 18 to December 10, 1998 when magnetic field measurements with time resolution of 16 s were available from the ACE spacecraft. The ACE satellite is continuously monitoring the solar wind at the L1 point. Data gaps were interpolated in all cases and the resulting PDFs are fitted by the theoretical nonextensive distributions. Moreover, we provide a comparison of the WIND magnetic field analysis with the corresponding PDFs obtained from ACE magnetic field measurements. In particular, from the 1997 WIND velocity and magnetic field data 5 day periods of low speed subintervals were selected such that during each subinterval the bulk velocity was less than 450 km/s.

No velocity observations were available from ACE during the interval of January 10-29, 1998. However, at this time WIND was upstream, close to the ACE position. WIND measurements provide clear evidence that between November 18 and December 10, 1998, the bulk speed was less than 450 km/s in about 92 % of this period. This interval was treated in

one block as a whole since also the magnetic field intermittency was low, where any increase of the latter can be associated with high speed streams and increased geomagnetic response (Vörös et al. 2002a).

For each data set the plasma and magnetic field increments were calculated at a given time lag  $\tau$ , equivalent to a particular spatial scale by  $\delta X(\tau) = X(t + \tau) - X(t)$  where each variable within each subinterval is normalized to the standard deviation. For each 5 day long realization of the low speed flow the empirical probability distribution function (histogram) was then computed.  $\delta X(\tau)$  is binned into  $N$  equal spaced boxes and the number of elements in each box was computed where the robustness of the histograms against  $N$  is tested.  $\delta X(\tau)$  represents characteristic fluctuations at the time scale  $\tau$  or equivalently across eddies of size  $l \sim V * \tau$ . In the case of single spacecraft measurements a similarity in statistical properties of temporal or spatial fluctuations is supposed. This is true in particular for the solar wind (Marsch & Tu 1997) where the spatial fluctuations on a scale  $l$  pass over the spacecraft faster than they typically fluctuate in time. Hence, by changing  $\tau$  it is possible to analyze the statistical features of fluctuations in different time scales, which roughly correspond to those statistical characteristics across turbulent eddies of size  $l \sim V * \tau$ .

At large scales, e.g.  $\tau = 24$  hrs. and  $l \sim 5000R_E$  (supposing  $V = 350$  km/s) the PDF's are close to the Gaussian distribution, because the correlation between the separated points vanishes, whereas at small scales, e.g.  $\tau = 0.2$  hrs. and  $l \sim 40R_E$ , the PDF's clearly have non-Gaussian shapes, see section 4. These values were also estimated by Sorriso-Valvo, Carbone & Veltri (1999).

#### 4. Results and Discussion

Core-halo structures are a persistent feature of a variety of different astrophysical systems. In particular, a manifestation of those formations is found in the thermo-statistical properties of interplanetary plasmas (e.g. Marsch et al. (1982); Pierrard, Maksimovic, & Lemaire (1999); Maksimovic, Gary, & Skoug (2000)), in gravitational equilibrium states of stellar system (Chavanis & Sommeria 1998) or in the distribution of dark matter in galaxies and beyond, see e.g. Burkert (1995); Salucci & Burkert (2000). We focus in this study on astrophysical plasma turbulence, specifically on PDFs of fluctuations in the intermittent solar wind turbulence, safely available from in situ satellite observations.

The Wind and ACE solar wind data analysis unambiguously manifests that the PDFs of large scale velocity, density and magnetic field fluctuation differences are well represented by a Gaussian, turning simultaneously into leptokurtic peaked distributions of strong non-

Gaussianity in the center along with a pronounced tail structure on small scales. In particular, the PDFs of large-scale magnetic field fluctuations, not related to the increment field are known to be subject to relatively small deviations from the Gaussian statistics and are well fitted by the Castaing distribution, a convolution of Gaussians with variances distributed according to a log-normal distribution (Castaing, Gagne & Hopfinger 1990; Padhye et al. 2001). Independent of the physical situation considered, the Castaing distribution provides a multi-parameter description of observed PDFs, plausible in this case, since the large scale fluctuations of the interplanetary magnetic field are generated by a variety of discrete coronal sources. If individual coronal sources evoke Gaussian distributed magnetic fields, the net magnetic fluctuations can be modeled by their superposition with a spread of the corresponding variances. Here the Castaing model is not related to any energy cascading processes.

Contrary, small-scale fluctuations of the increment field are associated with local intermittent flows where fluctuations are concentrated in limited space volumes. Consequently, the PDFs are scale dependent and intermittency generates long-tailed distributions. It is customary to use  $n$ -th order absolute powers of the plasma variables and magnetic field increments ( $n$ -th order structure functions, Pagel & Balogh (2001); Marsch & Tu (1997)) allowing to investigate the multi-scale scaling features of fluctuations subject to long-tailed PDFs. Direct studies of observed PDFs of the increment fields  $\delta X(\tau) = X(t + \tau) - X(t)$  for any characteristic solar wind variable at time  $t$  and time lag  $\tau$  revealed departures from a Gaussian distribution over multiple scales (Sorriso-Valvo, Carbone & Veltri 1999) and an increase of intermittency towards small scales (Marsch & Tu 1994). The PDFs are also found to be leptokurtic which indicates the turbulent character of the underlying fluctuations. Sorriso-Valvo, Carbone & Veltri (1999) have shown that the non-Gaussian behavior of small-scale velocity and magnetic field fluctuations in the solar wind can also be described well by a Castaing distribution, where the individual sources of Gaussian fluctuations appear as a fragmentation process through scales in turbulent cascades.

A more general fragmentation process based on the extreme deviation theory was proposed by Frisch & Sornette (1997). In this model the increment fields are due to the product of fragments derived from structures at larger scales and the PDF is a stretched exponential function  $P_{se}(\delta X) \sim \exp[-\beta|\delta X|^\mu]$ , where  $\beta$  and  $\mu$  are the tunable parameters, governing the fragmentation process. Sorriso-Valvo et al. (2001) have shown that both, the Castaing and the stretched exponential model can describe intermittency in the solar wind equally well. Fig. 2 provides a comparison of the stretched exponential model, the widely used log-normal model (Frisch 1996)  $P_{ln}(\delta X) \sim 1/(\sigma\delta X)\exp[-\ln(\delta X)^2/\sigma^2]$ , where  $\sigma$  is the variance of the distribution and  $m$  is the scale parameter, both compared to a bi-kappa fit to ACE magnetic field amplitude data between November 18 and December 10, 1998. The dimensionless

$\tau = 14$  is multiplied by the time resolution of 16 sec. to generate an effective time-lag and the log-normal model restricts to positive values of the differences. All three models provide excellent fits to the data indicated by the error bars for large differences, whereas the stretched exponential model slightly deviates from experimental curve at small  $\delta B$ .

In Fig. 3 we focus on the bi-kappa model showing for the same date set that the scale dependence of the PDF in the solar wind can be represented accurately via the only tuning parameter  $\kappa$ , which measures the degree of nonextensivity or coupling within the astrophysical plasma environment. The nonextensive context, generates a precise representation for the observed PDFs characterizing the intermittency of the small scale fluctuations. For comparison also a Gaussian and the conventional  $\kappa$ -function (Leubner 2002), subject to the same  $\kappa$ -value and not able to reproduce the structure of the PDF of small scale fluctuations, are provided. Here and in the following figures the dotted lines indicate the standard deviation.

Based on WIND velocity field magnitude data Fig. 4 presents an analysis of the scale dependence of interplanetary PDFs of the velocity field magnitude indicating in three plots from left to right the decreasing intermittency with increasing spatial separation scale or time-lags, where now the observational uncertainty is indicated by the standard deviation (dotted lines). Constraint by a time resolution of 92 sec. the two point time separation is  $\tau \times 92sec$  where  $\tau$  assumes the values 10, 70 and 900 from left to right. The solid lines represent best fits to the observed PDFs with nonextensive distributions where the corresponding  $\kappa$  is determined as  $\kappa = 2, 3.5$  and  $\infty$ . The strong non-Gaussian character of the leptokurtic PDFs (left panel) exhibiting pronounced tails associated with solar wind turbulence and intermittency in small scale fluctuations finds again an accurate analytical fit and hence a physical background in the nonextensive representation, accounting for nonlocality in turbulence. The non-Gaussian structure is somewhat softened for enhanced  $\tau = 70$  (central panel) but again precisely modeled within the pseudo-additive entropy context turning into the Gaussian shape of large scale fluctuations, independent of the increment field.

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 provide the corresponding nonextensive analysis of the scale dependence of the density and magnetic field fluctuations obtained from WIND data. Evidently, the scale dependent characteristics of the observed PDFs of the increment fields  $\delta X(\tau) = X(t + \tau) - X(t)$  for all solar wind variables evolve simultaneously on small scales approaching independency of the increment field in the large scale Gaussian. With separation scales of  $\tau = 10, 70, 900$  the corresponding evolution of the PDFs of observed density and magnetic field fluctuations are best represented by the same values of  $\kappa = 2, 3.5, \infty$  counting for nonlocal interactions in the nonextensive theoretical approach as for the velocity field magnitude.

Finally, Fig. 7 demonstrates from ACE magnetic field observations that the results of the

structure analysis regarding the scale dependence of the increment field PDFs of physical variables in the interplanetary medium performed by WIND data is not restricted to the observations of this particular spacecraft. Highly accurately, the overall scale dependence appears as a general characteristic of quiet astrophysical plasma environments. In particular, the scale dependent PDF evolution of magnetic field fluctuations is subject to a two point separation scale of  $\tau = 100, 2000, 10000$  with a time resolution of 16 sec. Best fits of the bi-kappa distribution are obtained for  $\kappa = 1.8, 3, \infty$ , measuring the degree of nonextensivity, or coupling through nonlocal interactions, respectively.

Figs. 4, 5 and 6 demonstrate clearly via the PDFs of the density and the velocity and magnetic field magnitudes that the scale dependence of the fluctuations of different characteristic interplanetary variables exhibits the same behavior or is at least similar. The analysis is based on slow speed solar wind PDFs indicating a universal scaling dependency between  $N, v$  and  $B$  intermittency within the experimental uncertainties. This strong correlation implies that the scale dependencies of all physical variables are coupled where the solar wind Alfvénic fluctuations provide a physical basis of the velocity and magnetic field correlations. Furthermore, the proportionality between density fluctuations and the magnetic field and velocity fluctuations is already maintained in the solar wind by the presence of weak spatial gradients (Spangler 2003).

$\kappa$ -distributions reproduce the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution for  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ , a situation identifying  $\kappa$  as an ordering parameter that accounts for correlations within the system. Quasistationary, highly correlated turbulent conditions characterized by kappa distributions represent stationary states far from equilibrium where a generalization of the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy, as measure of the level of organization or intermittency, applies (Goldstein & Lebowitz 2004; Treumann 2004). Physically this can be understood considering a system at a certain nonlinear stage where turbulence may reach a state of high energy level that is balanced by turbulent dissipation. In this environment equilibrium statistics can be extended to dissipative systems, approaching a stationary state beyond thermal equilibrium (Gotoh & Kraichnan 2002). However, no final theory exists for the problem of nonequilibrium statistics. Since turbulence is driven in the solar wind by velocity shears we choose for the data analysis intervals of low speed solar wind with limits in velocity space, where the driving and dissipation conditions do not change significantly, maintaining therefore the dynamical equilibrium condition.

MHD turbulence in the solar wind is generally in a state in which propagating waves and non-propagating fluctuations coexist (Shebalin 1983). Moreover, typical processes include fluctuation induced multiscale interactions of coherent structures for which a local interaction in Fourier space is not required and interactions of opposite traveling waves are followed by

energy cascades in Fourier space. Physically, at least a part of the nonlocal forces and turbulent intermittency results from a source exhibiting nonlocal interactions in the Fourier space. We proposed a model for describing those nonlocal interactions on the basis of entropy generalization. However, alternative models accounting for nonlocality, responsible for intermittency in general, are available e.g. (Tsinober 1990; Chang 1999; Laval & Dubrulle 2001; Falkovich et al. 2001) demonstrating that the origin of intermittency due to nonlocal interactions, in particular in astrophysical plasmas as the solar wind, remains open.

Summarizing, a bi-kappa distribution family turns out theoretically as consequence of the entropy generalization in nonextensive thermo-statistics and was successfully applied in velocity space to interplanetary core-halo electron distributions as well as double humped proton structures. Upon transforming to the mathematical 'Gaussian form' the particular global, on-parameter bi-kappa PDF of constant variance provides also access to the pronounced core-halo distributions observed in astrophysical plasma turbulence. A redistribution of a Gaussian on large scales can be performed physically in a closed environment turning into highly non-Gaussian leptokurtic and long-tailed structures. Pseudo-additive entropy generalization provides the required physical interpretation of the only tuning parameter  $\kappa$  in terms of the degree of nonextensivity of the system as a measure of nonlocality or coupling. The scale dependence of the PDFs of the differences of characteristic physical variables in the intermittent turbulent interplanetary medium as density, velocity and magnetic field magnitude is accurately represented by the nonextensive bi-kappa functional. It is argued that the leptokurtic, long-tailed non-Gaussian core-halo PDFs characterizing the intermittency of the turbulent fluctuations can be related to the nonextensive character of the interplanetary medium via the entropy generalization.

Acknowledgments: M. Leubner acknowledges the hospitality of the Austrian Academy of Sciences at the Space Research Institute in Graz. The authors are grateful to N. Ness (Bartol Res. Inst.) for providing ACE data and to R. Lepping and K.W. Ogilvie (NASA-GSFC) for providing WIND data and M. Volwerk for reading the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Almeida, M. P. 2001, *Physica A* 300, 424.
- Arimitsu, T., & Arimitsu, N. 2000a, *Phys. Rev. E* 61, 3237.
- Arimitsu, T., & Arimitsu, N. 2000b, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 33, L235.
- Arimitsu, T., & Arimitsu, N. 2001, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 105, 355.

- Baumjohann, W., & Paschmann, G. 1989, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 16, 295.
- Beck, C. 2000, *Physica A* 277, 115.
- Burkert, A. 1995, *ApJ*, 447, L25.
- Burlaga, L. F. 1991, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 18, 1651.
- Burlaga, L. F. 1992, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 4281.
- Burlaga, L. F., Richardson, J. D., & Wang, C. 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, A10, SSH20.
- Burlaga, L. F. 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, A11, SSH18.
- Carbone, V., Veltri, P., & Bruno, R. 1995, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75, 3110.
- Castaing, B. Y., Gagne, Y., & Hopfinger, E. J. 1990, *Physica D.*, 46, 177.
- Castaing, B. Y., & Dubrulle, B. 1995, *J. Phys. II France* 5, 895.
- Castaing, B. Y. 1996, *J. Phys. II France* 6, 105.
- Chang, T. 1999, *Phys. Plasmas* 6, 4137.
- Chavanis, P.-H., & Sommeria, J. 1998, *MNRAS* 296, 569.
- Chavanis, P.-H. 2003a, *A&A* 401, 15.
- Consolini, G., De Michelis, P. 1998, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 4087.
- Consolini, G., & Chang, T. S. 2001, *Space Sci. Rev.* 95, 309.
- Falkovich, G., Gawedzki, K., & Vergassola, M. 2001, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 73, 913.
- Frisch, U. 1996, in *Turbulence*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Frisch, U., & Sornette, D. 1997, *J. Phys. I France* 7, 1155.
- Gagne, Y., Marchand, M., & Castaing, B. 1994, *J. Phys. II France*, 4, 1.
- Goldstein, S., & Lebowitz, J. L. 2004, *Physica D* 193, 53.
- Gotoh, T. & Kraichnan, R. H. 2002, *Physica D* 231, 244.
- Guowei, He, Dubrulle, B., & Graner, F. 1998, *Phys. Lett. A* 245, 419.
- Hamity, V. H., & Barraco, D. E. 1996, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 76, 4664.

- Hnat, B., Chapman, S. C., Rowlands G., Watkins, N. W., & Freeman, M. P. 2003, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 2078, 10.1029/2002GL016054.
- Laval, J-P., & Dubrulle, B. 2001, *Phys. Fluids* 13, 1995.
- Leubner, M.P. 2002, *Ap&SS* 282, 573.
- Leubner, M.P. 2003, *Space Sci. Rev.* 107, 369.
- Leubner, M.P. 2004a, *ApJ* 604, 469.
- Leubner, M.P. 2004b, *Phys. Plasmas* 11, 1308.
- Lyra, M. L., & Tsallis, C. 1998, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 53.
- Maksimovic, M., Gary, S. P., & Skoug, R. M. 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.* 105, 18337.
- Marsch, E., Mhlhuser, K.-H., Schwenn, R., Rosenbauer, H., Pillip, W., & Neubauer, F. M. 1982, *J. Geophys. Res.* 87, 52.
- Marsch, E., & Tu, C.-Y. 1994, *Ann. Geophys.* 12, 1127.
- Marsch, E., & Tu, C.-Y. 1997, *Nonlin. Proc. Geophys.* 4, 101.
- Matthaeus, W. H., & Goldstein, M. L., 1982, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 6011.
- Milovanov, A. V., & Zelenyi, L. M. 2000, *Nonlin. Proc. Geophys.* 7, 211.
- N.S. Padhye, N. S., Smith, C. W., & Mathaeus, W. H. 2001, *J. Geophys. Res.* A106, 18635.
- Pagel, C., & Balogh, A. 2001, *Nonlin. Proc. Geophys.* 8, 313.
- Pierrard, V., Maksimovic, M., & Lemaire, J. 1999, *J. Geophys. Res.* 104, 17021.
- Plastino, A. R., & Plastino, A. 1993, *Phys. Lett. A* 174, 384.
- Salucci, P., & Burkert, A. 2000, *ApJ* 537, L9.
- Schmitt, F., & Marsan, D. 2001, *Eur. Phys. J. B* 20, 3.
- Shebalin, J.V., Matthaeus, W. H., & Montgomery, D.C. 1983, *J. Plasma Phys.* 29, 525.
- Shivamoggi, B. K., & Beck C. 2001, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 34, 4003.
- Shivamoggi, B. K. 2003, *Physica A* 318, 358.
- Silva, R., Plastino, A. R., & Lima, J. A. S. 1998, *Phys. Lett. A* 249, 401.



- Sorriso-Valvo, L., Carbone, V., & Veltri, P. 1999, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 1801.
- Sorriso-Valvo, L., Carbone, V., Giuliani, P., Veltri, P., Bruno, R., Antoni, V., & Martines, E. 2001, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 49, 1193.
- Spangler, S. R. 2003, *Nonlin. Proc. Geophys.*, 21, 113.
- Totten, T. L., Freemann, J. W., & Arya, S. 1995, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 13.
- Treumann, R. A. 1999, *Physica Scripta* 59, 19.
- Treumann, R. A., 1999, *Physica Scripta* 59, 204.
- Treumann, R. A. 2004, *Phys. Plasmas* 11, 1317.
- Tsallis, C. 1988, *J. Stat. Phys.* 52, 479.
- Tsinober, A. 1990, *Phys. Fluids A2*, 484.
- Tu, C.-Y., & Marsch, E. 1995, *Space Sci. Rev.* 73, 1.
- Vörös, Z., Jankovičová, D., & Kovács, P. 2002, *Nonl. Proc. Geophys.* 9, 149.
- Vörös, Z., & Jankovičová, D. 2002, *Nonl. Proc. Geophys.* 9, 425.
- Vörös, Z., et al. 2003, *Ann. Geophys.*, 21, 1955.
- Vörös, Z., et al. 2004, *Phys. Plasmas*, 11, 1333.




Fig. 1.— A schematic decomposition of the characteristics of the nonextensive bi-kappa distribution family Eq. (10): with  $\kappa = 3$  the outermost curve (left panel) and innermost curve (right panel) correspond to the halo  $f_h$  and core  $f_c$  distribution fraction. For increasing  $\kappa$ -values both sets of curves merge at the same Maxwellian limit indicated as bold line,  $f_h$  from outside and  $f_c$  from inside.




Fig. 2.— A comparison of a stretched exponential (circles,  $\beta = 1.95, \mu = 0.71$ ) and a log-normal (triangles,  $\sigma = 0.9, m = 0.75$ ) distribution with the nonextensive bi-kappa function (solid line,  $\kappa = 1.8$ ) and ACE magnetic field fluctuation differences (error bars).




Fig. 3.— A nonextensive bi-kappa fit (solid line) with  $\kappa = 1.8$  of an observed PDF (dashed line) obtained from ACE magnetic field amplitude data. A Gaussian (thick dashed-dotted line) and a conventional  $\kappa$ -distribution (thin dashed-dotted line) are provided for comparison. The dotted lines indicate the standard deviation.




Fig. 4.— Left panel: The PDF of the increments of observed WIND velocity field magnitude fluctuations for  $\tau = 10$  and a resolution of 92 sec. as compared to the bi-kappa function with  $\kappa = 2$ . Based on the same data the central panel provides the characteristics for increase  $\tau = 70$  where  $\kappa$  assumes a value of 3.5 for the best representation. The PDF of large scale velocity fluctuations are well modeled by a Gaussian with  $\kappa = \infty$ , right panel.




Fig. 5.— Left panel: The PDF of the increments of observed WIND density fluctuations for  $\tau = 10$  and a resolution of 92 sec. as compared to the bi-kappa function with  $\kappa = 2$ . Based on the same data the central panel provides the characteristics for increase  $\tau = 70$  where  $\kappa$  assumes a value of 3.5 for the best representation. The PDF of large scale density fluctuations with  $\tau = 900$  are well modeled by a Gaussian with  $\kappa = \infty$ , right panel.




Fig. 6.— Left panel: The PDF of the increments of observed WIND magnetic field fluctuations for  $\tau = 10$  and a resolution of 92 sec. as compared to the bi-kappa function with  $\kappa = 2$ . Based on the same data the central panel provides the characteristics for increase  $\tau = 70$  where  $\kappa$  assumes a value of 3.5 for the best representation. The PDF of large scale magnetic field fluctuations with  $\tau = 900$  are well modeled by a Gaussian with  $\kappa = \infty$ , right panel.




Fig. 7.— Left Panel: the PDF of the increments of observed ACE magnetic field fluctuations for  $\tau = 100$  and a resolution of 16 sec. as compared to the bi-kappa function with  $\kappa = 1.8$ . Based on the same data the central panel provides the characteristics for increased  $\tau = 2000$  where  $\kappa$  assumes a value of 3.0 for the best representation. The PDF of large scale magnetic field fluctuations,  $\tau = 10000$ , are well modeled by a Gaussian with  $\kappa = \infty$ , right panel.