

Pion production In The Inner Disk Around Cygnus X-1

Cesar Meirelles Filho

*Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e de Ciências Atmosféricas
Universidade de São Paulo
R. do Matão, 1226, 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brasil*

cmeirelf@astro.iag.usp.br

Celso L. Lima, Hideaki Miyake, and Varese Timoteo

*Instituto de Física
Universidade de São Paulo
CP 66318, 05315-970 São Paulo, SP, Brasil*

ABSTRACT

Neutron production via ${}^4\text{He}$ breakup and $p(p, n\pi^+)p$ is considered in the innermost region of an accretion disk surrounding a Kerr Black Hole. These reactions occur in a plasma in Wien equilibrium, where (radiatively produced) pair production equals annihilation. Cooling of the disk is assumed to be due to unsaturated inverse Comptonization of external soft photons and to the energy needed to ignite both nuclear reactions. Assuming matter composition of 90% Hydrogen and 10% He, it is shown that, close to the border of this region, neutron production is essentially from ${}^4\text{He}$ breakup. Close to the horizon, the contribution from $p(p, n\pi^+)p$ to the neutron production is comparable to that from the breakup. It is shown that the viscosity generated by the collisions of the accreting matter with the neutrons, may drive stationary accretion, for accretion rates below a critical value. In this case, solution to the disk equations is double-valued and for both solutions protons outnumber the pairs. It is claimed that these solutions may mimic the states of high and low luminosity observed in Cygnus X-1 and related sources. This would be explained either by the coupling of thermal instability to the peculiar behavior of the viscosity parameter α with the ion temperature that may intermittently switch accretion off or by the impossibility of a perfect tuning for both thermal and pair equilibrium in the disk, a fact that forces the system to undergo a kind of limit cycle behavior around the upper solution.

Subject headings: accretion disks-nuclear reactions-radiative transfer-pair production

1. Introduction

Accretion disks are presently thought as the scenario for hydrodynamical flows in close binary systems, galactic nuclei and quasars. Despite this fast growing recognition, models which allow for some particle processes, taking into account the detailed nature of the flow, as well as realistic processes that may act as the source for the required viscosity, are still lacking. Concerning particles processes, most of the theoretical work on the field has focused on the production of positron-electron pairs. Steady state scenarios, under the assumption of production-annihilation equilibrium, have been first tackled by Bisnovatyi-Kogan et al. (1971), who found that, if particle-particle dominates pair creation, equilibrium is only possible for lepton temperature below $20mc^2$, Pozdnyakov et al. (1977), Stoeger (1977), and by Liang (1979), who considered pair production dominated by gamma-gamma interactions, in a plasma under Wien equilibrium, finding multivalued solutions to the disk structure. These results, however, are applicable only to a small region of parameter space or to a subset of important reactions. More general studies have been subsequently carried out by many authors (Lightman 1982; Svensson 1982, 1984; Zdziarski 1984; Takahara and Kusunose 1985; Lightman and Zdziarski 1987; White and Lightman 1989), which contributed to a better understanding of radiative processes in very hot astrophysical plasmas, opening the way to the understanding of the topology of the disk equations solution, which at fixed r in the $\dot{M}\Sigma$ plane forms an S-shape kink corresponding to its multiple valued nature (Kusunose 1990; Liang 1991; Björnsson and Svensson 1991; Björnsson and Svensson 1992; Minishige 1993; Kusunose and Minishige 1992, 1995, 1996). This multivalueness consists of three branches, two of them being hot (one pair dominated and the other pair deficient) and the third being cool and pair deficient. The hot pair dominated branch is very promising to explain some especial features in some black hole candidates, like the MeV bump of Cygnus X-1, the radio plasmoid bipolar outflow of the jet sources, and the alleged annihilation line features (Ling et al. 1987; Liang 1998; Mirabel et al. 1992; Mirabel and Rodriguez 1994; Hjellming and Rupen 1995; Paul et al. 1991). It may happen that, under the conditions prevailing in the innermost parts of some systems, the time needed for the matter to cross these regions is comparable to, or even less than, the time the electrons need to extract energy from the protons, through collisional energy exchange. These regions will grow in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the disk, becoming geometrically thick (swelling of the disk may also occur for systems with Eddington or super Eddington luminosities (Abramowicz et al. 1980; Paczynski and Abramowicz 1982), not considered in this paper). From angular momentum transport point of view, collective processes are efficiently operative to generate viscosity (due to the protons), but with a deficient cooling (due to the electrons). This drives protons and electrons out of thermal equilibrium, the ion temperature being much greater and close to the virial one. For a disk around a maximally (synchronously) rotating Kerr

black hole the inner radius of the disk may reach the horizon, with the ion temperature approaching the mass of the proton. A two temperature geometrically thicker and optically thin disk model was first developed by Shapiro et al. (1976) to explain the hard X-ray from Cygnus X-1. These authors, however, have not considered radial advection of energy and entropy which, in that situation, are very important and the local approximation breaks down. Advection of energy in optically thin disks was first considered by (Ichimaru 1977), in the context of the bimodal behavior of the X-ray spectra from Cygnus X-1, (Liang and Thompson 1980) who considered advection in an optically thin, two-temperature disk, and by (White and Lightman 1990) who studied advection of energy and pairs in optically thin disks. (Paczynski and Bisnovaty-Kogan 1981; Muchotrzeb and Paczynski 1982; Abramowicz et al. 1988; Honma et al. 1991; Wallinder 1991; Chen and Taam 1993), have unraveled the basic physics of advection in accretion flows with their studies on the properties and structure of optically thick disks. However, only recently, advective cooling in optically thin accretion flows has been recognized thanks to a systematic work by Narayan and Yi (1994, 1995), Narayan et al. (1995a,b), Abramowicz et al. (1995), Narayan et al. (1995a,b), Narayan et al. (1996), Lasota et al. (1996a,b), and Chen et al. (1995). Neglecting pairs, these authors have studied the topology of the solution in the $\dot{M}\Sigma$ plane, showing the existence of a maximum accretion rate, above which no steady state solution is allowed, and that, below it, there are two optically thin solutions, namely the radiative cooling dominated and the advective cooling dominated states. A general description of flows in accretion disks, taking advection into account and neglecting pairs, was given by Chen et al. (1995). They have shown that, at a given radius, there exist exactly four physically distinct types of accretion disks. Two of these correspond to values of α , the viscosity parameter, smaller than a critical value, and the other two, to values of α greater than this critical value. Inclusion of pairs have been considered by Björnsson et al. (1996), Kusunose and Minishige (1996), Esin et al. (1996, 1997), who have shown that pairs do not modify the topology of the solution, besides being negligible for $\alpha < 1$. It should be remarked that, though the advective model constitutes an improvement as far as the standard Shakura and Sunyaev disk model is considered, till the moment, no global solution exists for the disk (Björnsson et al. 1996). At this point, we must realize the importance of the viscosity parameter and, yet, we don't know the physical process that may be the source for such a viscosity. Since the seminal paper by Shakura and Sunyaev (1973), a lot of mechanisms have been proposed to account for viscosity: shear turbulence generated by the Keplerian rotation of the disk (Shakura and Sunyaev 1973; Zel'dovich 1981; Dubrulle 1990; Zahn 1991), turbulence driven by convection (Lin and Papaloizou 1980; Tayler 1980; Bisnovaty-Kogan and Blinnikov 1977; Shakura et al. 1977; Ryu and Goodman 1992; Cabot and Pollack 1992; Stone and Balbus 1996; Meirelles 1991a, 1993, 1997), tangled magnetic fields sheared by differential rotation (Lynden-Bell 1969; Shakura and Sunyaev 1973; Eardley and Lightman 1975; Ichimaru 1977;


Coroniti 1981), angular momentum transport by waves (Papaloizou and Pringle 1984a,b; Vishniac and Diamond 1989; Vishniac et al. 1990), Velikov-Chandrasekhar magnetic instability (Balbus and Hawley 1991, 1992; Balbus et al. 1996; Vishniac and Diamond 1992), ion viscosity and neutron viscosity (Guessoum and Kazanas 1990; Meirelles 1993), radiative viscosity (Loeb and Laor 1991). None of these processes, however, is immune to criticism: concerning shear turbulence, disks satisfy Rayleigh’s criterion for stability; convection may transport angular momentum inwards rather than outwards; magnetic field may be removed by magnetic buoyance and ohmic dissipation; concerning wave turbulence, it seems that the most stable mode have low wavenumbers, giving rise to structures of the order of the size of the system, being very sensitive to the Coriolis force which hinders the appearance of smaller structures; the Velikov-Chandrasekhar instability is highly dependent on the radial structure of the azimuthal component of the magnetic field, and can only occur if the Alfvén velocity is of the order of the Keplerian one, or if the typical scale of the magnetic field is smaller than the Keplerian one; as far as ion viscosity is concerned, besides needing high temperatures (the system needs another process to achieve these high temperatures), any small magnetic field present in the flow will decrease the strength of the viscosity by orders of magnitude; neutron viscosity needs high temperature to ignite nuclear reactions capable to produce neutrons, needing, therefore, some other process to heat up particles till these high temperatures; Keplerian thin disks cannot be supported by radiative viscosity, because the required energy density of the photon gas should be one or two orders of magnitude larger.

In view of all these uncertainties concerning the hydrodynamics of the flow, as well as the angular momentum transport in accretion disks, we would like to consider neutron viscosity, assuming neutron production through Helium break up and through pion production due to proton-proton collisions. The threshold for Helium breakup is about 20 MeV and about 290 MeV for the production of pions (and neutrons) in the proton-proton collision. Close to the horizon, the energy in the rest frame of two colliding protons is about 2 GeV, which means that, at least theoretically, these reactions are energetically viable. The real difficulty is to find conditions in parameter space, if they exist, such that the plasma is no longer ruled by electromagnetic interactions alone and nuclear interactions start playing a role. Effectiveness of strong interactions depends not only on the value of ion temperature itself but also on the electron temperature. This is so because electromagnetic interactions are restricted to the Debye sphere and the number of electrons within it decreases with electron temperature. High electron temperature will favor, not only the production of electron-positron pairs, but also the possibility of nuclear reactions. One has to realize we are here dealing with a very intricate situation highly dependent on the viscosity. It is well known, that for a given density, electron and proton temperatures, drift time decreases with increasing viscosity parameter

while t_{ep} , the electron-proton collision time, has inverse behavior. However, physical variables in the disk are very sensitive functions of the viscosity parameter and we have to make a self-consistent calculation to find a region in parameter space where the nuclear reactions effects are maximized.

Account for nuclear reactions in the accretion disks may have interesting observational consequences such as the lines spectral features of Hydrogen-Helium plasmas at high temperatures and γ -ray lines production. From the theoretical point of view, Helium breakup and pion production reactions produce neutrons whose collisions with the accreting matter may be a source for the viscosity in the disk, which, in turn, will depend upon electron temperature, proton temperature and accretion rate. This may have interesting consequences as far as the topology of the solution is concerned. This is a problem we want to tackle in a future work. However, in the present paper, it should be stressed, our main concern is to find out if neutron production through proton-proton collisions is viable in the innermost region in accretion disks, and if its collisions with the accreting matter can drive accretion on. We will, therefore, be interested only in order of magnitude estimates. Keeping this on mind, we will make some reasonable approximations, mainly on the radiative transport, pair production and on the hydrodynamics, which, at the right moment, will be justified.

A potentially promising application of the afore mentioned reactions is the modelling of some X-ray systems, like Cygnus X-1, that exhibit multimodal behavior. In a previous paper (Meirelles 1993), it was shown that although these reactions, in steady state accretion, can not supply the disk with enough neutrons to make their collisions with the accreting matter the main source of viscosity, they may have strong implications as far as the temporal behavior of the disks is concerned. We have considered, however, only the production of neutrons through the ${}^4\text{He}$ breakup reaction



for ion temperatures greater than 3 MeV. Assuming that a steady accretion can be achieved with the drift time equal to the nuclear reaction time, we were able to show the existence of a critical accretion rate, below which there is no steady state accretion onto the hole. Above the critical accretion rate it is possible, under special circumstances, for the disk to choose between the two states of steady accretion.

This kind of procedure has to be criticized on the grounds that equality between drift and nuclear reaction times is a very stringent constraint and, at the temperature range considered, electron-positron pair production should be taken into account. Besides, as we approach the hole, ion temperature can be much greater and even exceed the threshold

temperature for the reaction

$$p + p + 290\text{MeV} \rightarrow p + n + \pi . \quad (2)$$

We claim that accounting of both reactions in the inner parts of an accretion disk together with allowance for thermal instability may explain the transitions observed in Cygnus X-1, between the states of high and low luminosity.

2. Observational aspects: a brief review

There is a widely accepted suspicion that the unseen compact object in the binary system Cygnus X-1 is a black hole (Liang 1998). Support for this suspicion is found on detailed analyses carried on radial velocity measurements as well as on recent analyses based on spectrum synthesis (Herrero et al. 1995) both of which give a mass of about $10 M_{\odot}$, comfortably larger than the $3 M_{\odot}$ upper limit of a neutron star mass. The luminosity is sub-Eddington, but greater than $0.01 L_{Edd}$ (Liang and Nolan 1984). Cygnus X-1, being one of the most studied sources in the sky, especially in the hard X-rays, some of its properties (characteristic hard X-ray spectrum, episodic emergence of an ultra soft component, anticorrelated soft and hard X-ray transitions, chaotic variability down to milliseconds, persistent gamma-ray tail above an MeV, episodic gamma-ray bumps at a few hundred keV-MeV, persistent radio emission and radio flaring correlated with X-ray transitions and Low-Frequency Quasi-Periodic Oscillations (QPO)) have been accepted as evidence for black hole candidacy (Liang 1998). Observations usually find the system in one of the two states: the hard state and the soft state (Oda et al. 1974; Liang and Nolan 1984; Tanaka and Lewin 1995). Most of the time, Cygnus X-1 is found in the hard state, where its soft X-ray (2-10 KeV) is relatively low and the hard X-ray spectrum is hard. After being in this state for a few years, the system undergoes a transition to the soft state, increasing its soft X-ray flux by a factor of about 10, softening its X-ray spectrum. It remains in the soft state from weeks to months before returning to the hard state. The transition between the two states lasts from less than a day to more than a week (Wen et al. 2001). In the hard state, Cygnus X-1 has a power law spectrum characterized by a photon index ≈ 1.4 . During the hard state the soft X-ray spectrum below 10 KeV is often a simple continuation of the hard X-ray power law, with increasing flattening below 3 KeV. In that case, the entire X-ray continuum is likely produced by a single hot component. However, during some episodes of Cygnus X-1 hard state, the best fit model still requires a small black-body component of temperature a few tenths of KeV on the top of the power law, presuming the existence of another region of lesser temperature (Liang 1998). This is highly suggestive of a soft photon source, as expected in the inverse

Compton model. In addition there is often evidence of the FeK-edge absorption at ≈ 7 KeV (Liang 1998; Esin et al. 1998). When Cygnus X-1 is in the soft state, its spectrum switches to one that is dominated by an ultrasoft component, with a temperature $\approx 0.3 - 0.4$ KeV. The spectrum above 10 KeV becomes much softer than in the hard state, with a variable photon index $\approx 1.9 - 2.5$ (Gierlinski et al. 1997; Cui et al. 1997; Dotani et al. 1997). It exhibits, also, pair annihilation features in the region $500 < E < 1000$ KeV (Liang 1993a).

3. The model

The region we shall be concerned extends away from R_i , inner radius of the disk, to approximately 10 to 15 R_i , where $R_i \approx .5 R_S$, R_S being the gravitational radius.

We shall assume conditions such that the ion temperature, T_i , is much greater than T_e , the electron temperature, with pressure given by the ions. In the outermost parts of the disk, matter composition is Hydrogen (nine tenth) and Helium (one tenth). In its way down to the hole, He depletion starts and the neutrons produced gradually contributes to the viscosity. As the incoming matter comes closer to the hole, charge exchange reactions start to contribute to the neutron production. Concomitant to these reactions, electron-positron pairs are radioactively produced. We shall assume production-annihilation equilibrium. We shall also assume an external source of soft photons that are continuously impinging upon the disk. Part of these soft photons succeed being upscattered in energy, reaching the Wien peak where they interact and produce pairs. The remaining are upscattered, but leave the disk before reaching the Wien peak. Both nuclear reactions we are considering are endothermic, so they lower the plasma temperature by taking the energy they need to ignite. For the Helium breakup, the number of the resulting particles is greater than the number of the interacting particles. These particles have to be thermalized and so act as an additional source of cooling. The pion produced by the charge exchange reaction rapidly decay, producing a positron that has to be thermalized and a neutrino that leaves the system, cooling the disk even more. Our model has axial symmetry, the azimuthal velocity being keplerian, and hydrostatic equilibrium holds in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the disk, with the pressure given by the nucleons. The disk is heated by viscous processes and locally cooled by radiation and by nuclear reactions. This innermost region is surrounded by a region in which matter is completely depleted of He, due to breakup. Out of this neighboring region, we assume the existence of some unknown process that heats the gas and makes He breakup viable. Since the nucleon temperature should be close to the virial one for charge exchange reactions to occur, the disk will be geometrically thick, and advection should be important. However, the more important advection is, the less important is the radiative cooling, and

the greater the nucleon temperature will be, a fact that favors the onset of nuclear reactions. Contradictory as it may seem, we don't know by sure the effect on the nuclear reaction rate by the neglecting of advection. Besides this, Esin et al. (1997) have studied the behavior of advection as a function of the accretion rate and of the viscosity parameter, concluding for its importance of for small values of \dot{m} (in Eddington units). As \dot{m} increases, from 0.01 to a critical value that depends on the viscosity parameter, the advection zone becomes very luminous. The advection zone shrinks in size with further increase of the accretion rate, and for sufficiently large values it disappears, with the thin disk extending down to the marginally stable orbit. In this paper we are interested in order of magnitude estimates, and accounting of advection would make our calculations very complex. Meirelles (1991a) has taken conductive transport into account in the inner geometrically thick region of a two temperature soft Comptonized accretion disk in Cygnus X-1, showing that this process is much more important than radiative cooling. For a matter of consistency, were advection included, we should also have included conduction, which would render the problem much more complicated.

4. Disk Equations

Hydrostatic equilibrium in the vertical direction reads

$$P = \frac{\rho}{3} \Omega^2 H^2 , \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the density, Ω is the Keplerian angular velocity and H is the semi-scale height of the disk. P , the total pressure, is mainly given by the pressure due to the protons, i.e.,

$$P = N 10^{11} k T_i , \quad (4)$$

N being the proton number density, k the Boltzmann constant. Ion temperature is in units of 10^{11} and electron temperature in units of 10^9 . Unless otherwise stated, physical variables are expressed in the cgs system of units. Combining eq. (3) and (4) we obtain

$$H = 4.93 \times 10^9 \Omega^{-1} T_i^{0.5} . \quad (5)$$

Mass conservation, together with conservation of angular momentum and the definition of the viscosity parameter yield

$$\frac{\dot{M} S}{4 \pi} \Omega = \alpha P H , \quad (6)$$

which implies for the column density

$$\Sigma = 1.96 \times 10^{-20} \alpha^{-1} T_i^{-1} \Omega \dot{M} S , \quad (7)$$

α being the viscosity parameter, \dot{M} is the accretion and S is a function that depends on the boundary condition imposed on the torque at the inner radius. For Q^+ , the heat flux, viscously generated, going into the disk at a distance R from the central object,

$$Q^+ = 3/8 \pi \dot{M} \Omega^2. \quad (8)$$

In the two-temperature regime, energy is collisionally transferred from protons to electrons and pairs at a rate, corrected for the inclusion of neutrons, given by (Guilbert and Stepney 1985; Spitzer 1962)

$$Q_p^- = 1.72 \times 10^{28} \rho^2 (T_i - T_e) (1 + 0.41 T_e^{0.5}) (1 + 2z + y_+) (1 + y_n)^{-2} T_e^{-3/2} H, \quad (9)$$

where y_n is the total neutron abundance, y_+ is the abundance due to pion production and z is the positron density to proton density ratio. The electrons and pairs, in turn, lose energy through unsaturated inverse Comptonization of externally supplied soft photons. Like Shapiro et al. (1976), we shall assume these photons are copiously supplied and that the Kompaneetz y parameter is constant and equal to 1 (Rybicki and Lightman 1979), i.e.,

$$y = (0.674 T_e)^i \tau_{es}^j = 1, \quad (10)$$

where $i = 1$ for $T_e \leq 5.9$ and 2 otherwise, $j = 1$ for $\tau_{es} \leq 1$ and 2 otherwise. We shall assume that the intensity of the soft photons coming from the external source overwhelms the intensity due to photons internally produced (bremsstrahlung). With the inclusion of neutrons, the electron scattering depth changes to

$$\tau_{es} = \frac{\sigma_{Th}}{2 m_p} \Sigma (1 + 2z + y_+) (1 + y_n)^{-1}, \quad (11)$$

σ_{Th} being the Thomson cross section for electron scattering and m_p the proton mass. Clearly, this way of treating the radiative problem in the disk relies heavily on the existence of an external soft photon source, which we assume, from the very beginning, as granted. We should emphasize, since our main concern is not the radiative problem, we adopt this procedure for practical reasons: the spectrum of these soft photons, after being upscattered in energy, through unsaturated inverse Comptonization in the inner region, reproduces fairly well the observed spectrum of Cygnus X-1, in the 8-500 KeV region (Shapiro et al. 1976).

For the moment we postpone the discussion about the cooling of the disk due to breakup and pion production. We next discuss pair production in the disk.

5. Pair Production

As we have emphasized before, our main concern in this paper is find out the possibility of occurrence of nuclear reactions in the inner region of the disk. We, therefore, construct a

reasonable and realistic environment of photons and pairs, in which the nuclear reactions take place. As we have done in the case of radiative transport, we shall make some simplifications when treating the pairs. We assume pairs are created mainly by Wien photons interacting with Wien photons. However, instead of writing down the formal pair equilibrium equation, we shall adopt a procedure similar to Liang (1979) paper. This essentially consists in relating the photon chemical potential to the soft photon flux. This kind of procedure is valid as long as the plasma is in Wien equilibrium (Svensson 1984), which implies $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} > 1$. Below, we briefly review this procedure.

For $kT_e < m_e c^2$, f_ν , the photon occupation number, obeys the Kompaneetz equation. In that limit

$$f_\nu = 24 e^{\mu_\nu} x^{-s} (1 + x + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + x^4/4!) , \quad (12)$$

where μ_ν is the photon chemical potential and $x = h\nu / kT_e$. The occupation number $f_{+,-}$ for positrons and electrons may be written, respectively,

$$f_+ = e^{\mu_+} e^{-E_+} , \quad (13a)$$

$$f_- = e^{\mu_-} e^{-E_-} . \quad (13b)$$

Since the number of particles is conserved in the reaction

$$\gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^- , \quad (14)$$

the chemical potential should satisfy

$$2\mu_\gamma = \mu_+ + \mu_- . \quad (15)$$

For Cygnus X-1 and related sources $n \approx 1$, which implies $s = 4$. The radiative cooling will be

$$F_r = \pi \int_{\nu_0}^{3kT_e} \frac{2\nu^3 f_\nu}{c^2} d\nu . \quad (16)$$

Now, using eqs. (12), (13), (14), (15) and (16) we obtain

$$N^2 z (1 + z) = \left(\frac{J c^2 F_r}{(kT_e)^4 276 \pi} \right)^2 , \quad (17)$$

where J is given by

$$J = 4\pi c m_e^2 kT_e K_2 \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{kT_e} \right) , \quad (18)$$

K_2 being the modified Bessel function of 2nd kind and we have substituted in the slowly varying function $\ln(kT_e/h\nu_0)$ for canonical values appropriate for Cygnus X-1.


It is worth mentioning that the radiative cooling now will be given by

$$F_r = Q^+ - Q_n , \quad (19)$$

where Q_n is the nuclear cooling. Adopting the procedure as we did to treat radiative cooling and pair production we have overestimated both processes. Since the energy production is constant, this implies in underestimation of the nuclear reaction rate.

6. ^4He , pion and neutron production

In the chain of reactions leading to the ^4He breakup



the one that takes longer to occur is the first one. Once this reaction has ignited, the other follow very rapidly. So, we assume the breakup dominated by this reaction (Guessoum and Dermer 1988) and use a prescription (Gould 1982, 1986; Guessoum and Gould 1989; Guessoum and Kazanas 1990) to write the neutron reaction rate for this reaction,

$$R_b = 5.67 \times 10^{-16} T_i^{-0.5} e^{-2.56/T_i} N^2 . \quad (20)$$

This implies a neutron abundance, y_b , due to this reaction, given by,

$$y_b = 1.05 \times 10^9 T_i^{-0.5} e^{-2.56/T_i} \Sigma^2 R^2 H^{-1} (1 + y_n)^{-1} . \quad (21)$$


The total neutron abundance y_n will be given by

$$y_n = y_+ + y_b . \quad (22)$$

Using eq. (20) we can express the nuclear cooling due to this reaction as

$$Q_{ei} = 2.84 \times 10^{20} (1 + 1.37 T_i) T_i^{-1} \Sigma^2 (1 + y_n)^{-2} . \quad (23)$$

For the reaction



we shall use the results by Engel et al. (1996), who have carried out a very detailed study of the $p(p, n\pi^+)p$ and $p(p, p\pi^0)p$ reactions using a fully relativistic Feynman diagram technique. Their calculations have been carried out under the prescription of the one boson exchange (OBE) model, with allowance for the inclusion of both nucleon and delta isobar excitations in the intermediate states, as well as for the exchange of π , σ , ρ , and ω mesons. Accounting for the exchange of σ and ω makes their results very reliable close to the threshold, where these contributions presumedly dominate. Most of the parameters of the OBE model are determined by fitting to the N-N scattering data over the energy range of 300 MeV to 2 GeV. A fitting to their data for the total cross section leads to

$$\sigma_{pp} = 10^{f(E)} . \quad (24)$$

where

$$f(E) = \begin{cases} 0.0371785E - 14.781764 & , \quad 290.0 \leq E \leq 378.8 \text{ MeV} \\ -4.96247 + 0.0148283E - 0.00000839163E^2 & , \quad 378.8 \leq E \leq 1060 \text{ MeV} \\ -0.000064893617E + 1.430787 & , \quad 1060 \leq E \leq 2000 \text{ MeV}, \end{cases}$$

where E is expressed in MeV and the cross section in mb. The reaction rate for this reaction will be written as


$$R_+ = 0.5 N^2 \left(\frac{k T_i}{m_p} \right)^{0.5} \int_{w_s}^{\infty} \sigma_{pp} e^{-w} w dw , \quad (25)$$

w is the energy in units of $k T_i$ and w_s is the threshold energy for this reaction. Then, y_+ , the contribution of this reaction to the neutron abundance, follows, straightforwardly,


$$y_+ = 9.24 \times 10^{-4} R^2 \Sigma^2 \left(\frac{k T_i}{m_p} \right)^{0.5} t(w) \dot{M}^{-1} H^{-1} (1 + y_n)^{-1} , \quad (26)$$

where $t(w)$ is the integral defined in eq. (25), now in units of 10^{-27} mb. Figure 1 shows $t(w)$ as a function of T_i .

To write down the cooling of the disk due to this reaction, we remind ourselves that the pion has a mean life of about 2.6×10^{-8} s, decaying through



The μ^+ , in turn, has a mean life of about 2.2×10^{-6} s, decaying through



which allows us to write for the cooling

$$Q_{pp} = 1.23 \times 10^{29} (2.40 \times 10^{-2} + 2.07 \times 10^{-7} T_e) \Sigma^2 T_i^{0.5} t(w) H^{-1} (1 + y_n)^{-2} . \quad (27)$$

Finally, we can verify if neutrons can respond for the viscosity in the disk. In order to do so, we write for the neutron viscosity (Weaver 1976)

$$\eta = 1/3 \rho_n v_n \lambda_n , \quad (28)$$

where ρ_n , v_n , and λ_n are respectively neutron density, neutron velocity and neutron mean free path. Taking the average for a Maxwellian distribution, we obtain (Bond et al. 1965)

$$v_n = 1.08 y_n \left(\frac{k T_i}{m n} \right)^{.5} \ell_n , \quad (29)$$

ℓ_n being the effective mean free path given by

$$\ell_n = \frac{\lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_n^2} , \quad (30)$$

with λ_n given by

$$\lambda_n = \frac{m_p}{\sigma_n \rho (1 + y_n)} . \quad (31)$$

The cross section for neutron scattering, averaged over a Maxwellian distribution, is (Gammel 1963)

$$\sigma_n = 5.47 T_i^{-0.85} b . \quad (32)$$

Finally, using the definition of the viscosity parameter α together with eq. (29) we arrive at

$$\alpha^2 = 3.65 \times 10^{-2} \dot{M}_{17} y_n \Omega T_i^{-1.85} (1 + y_n)^{-1} - 10^{-5} \dot{M}_{17}^2 \Omega^2 T_i^{-3.7} , \quad (33)$$

the accretion rate expressed in units of 10^{17} g s^{-1} .

We are now in a position to ask ourselves if neutrons collisions with the accreting matter can indeed act as a source for the viscosity in the innermost regions of an accretion disk. We shall see that previous results (Meirelles 1993) relied heavily on the constraint imposed assuming equality between nuclear reaction time and dynamical time. Dropping this assumption leaves practically no restriction as far as the accretion rate is concerned. To not be burdened by additional complexities let us unravel things a little bit by neglecting, for the moment, the contribution to the neutrons due to pion production. Under this procedure, combination of eqs. (5), (7), (21) and (33) yields

$$y_n^2 \left(3.65 \times 10^{-2} \dot{M}_{17} \Omega T_i^{1.15} - 10^{-5} \dot{M}_{17}^2 \Omega^2 T_i^{-0.7} \right)$$

$$-y_n 10^{-5} \dot{M}_{17}^2 \Omega^2 T_i^{-0.7} - 0.458 e^{-2.56/T_i} = 0 . \quad (34)$$

Now specializing for $r = 10$, we obtain

$$\dot{M}_{17} \leq 5.77 T_i^{1.85} . \quad (35)$$

This, however, is not the final result since we have to investigate the effects of cooling and pair production. Figure 2 shows the neutron abundance y_n as a function of T_i for $r = 10$ and $\dot{M}_{17} = 1.0$.

In Fig. 3 we present the viscosity parameter α as a function of T_i in the same conditions of Fig. 2. We see that the viscosity parameter may reach very high values. The maximum α occurs for $T_i \approx 3.0$.

We finally reduce our system of equations for the disk to only two equations involving T_e and T_i . The first of them is the thermal equilibrium equation and reads

$$(1 + 1.37 T_i) e^{-2.56/T_i} \alpha^{-2} T_i^{-3} (1 + y_n)^{-2} + 12.14 (1 + 0.41 T_e^{0.5}) \alpha^{-1} T_i^{0.5} T_e^{2.5} (1 + y_n)^{-1} - 10.92 = 0 , \quad (36)$$

and the second is the pair equilibrium equation,

$$\Delta^2 - 1 - 252.5 T_i^2 (1 + 0.41 T_e^{0.5})^2 e^{-11.87/T_e} T_e^{-10} = 0 , \quad (37a)$$

where Δ is given by

$$\Delta = 6.26 \alpha T_i T_e^{-1} (1 + y_n)^1 - y_n , \quad (37b).$$

α and y_n are given respectively by eqs. (33) and (34).

A glance at Fig. 4 reveals the existence of a solution for the two-temperature soft photon Comptonized accretion disk with pairs and viscosity generated by neutron collisions with the accreting matter, neutrons supplied by ${}^4\text{He}$ breakup.

To check for the consistency of our solution we also plot $(1 + 2z)$ in Fig. 5, both in the thermal equilibrium and pair equilibrium situations.

7. Highlighting pion production

We have seen in the previous section, neglecting contribution from pion production to the neutrons in the disk, the existence of a solution to the disk equations at $r = 10$, $T_i \approx 2.5$. Were pion production included, the results would not change so much, since at this temperature the contribution would be negligible. However, at that temperature, the

depletion of ${}^4\text{He}$ is complete. Therefore, for $r < 10$, breakup no longer contributes to the cooling of the disk, its contribution being restricted to the viscosity through the neutrons already produced.

To underline the pion contribution relative to the neutron production let us calculate it at the point where the ion temperature is largest, i.e., $r = 1$. Calculating physical variables at this point and inserting in eq. (26) yields

$$y_+^2 + 20/19 y_+ - 0.364 \alpha^{-2} T_i^{-2} t(w) , \quad (38)$$

and α is now (eq. (33))

$$\alpha^2 = 730 \left(\frac{1 + 19 y_+}{20 + 19 y_+} \right) T_i^{-1.85} - 4 \times 10^3 T_i^{-3.7} . \quad (39)$$

To see how α and y_+ behave in that region, we plot them in Figs. 6 and 7.

In Fig. 6 we see that production of neutrons due to $p(p\pi^+n)p$ may be comparable to the contribution due to the breakup.

Looking at eq. (35) we realize that the constraint on the accretion rate now reads

$$\dot{M}_{17} \leq 0.183 T_i^{1.85} . \quad (40)$$

As we have done previously, we now investigate the effects of cooling and pair production and, for that, we reduce our system of equations to only two equations involving T_e and T_i . The thermal equilibrium and pair equilibrium equations turn to, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} & (20/19 + y_+)^2 - 3.86 \alpha^{-2} T_i^{-2} t(w) - \\ & 1.1 \alpha^{-1} T_i^{-0.5} T_e^{-2.5} (1 + 0.41 T_e^{0.5}) (20/19 + y_+) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta^2 - 1 - 204 T_i^2 (1 + 0.41 T_e^{0.5})^2 e^{-11.87/T_e} T_e^{-10} = 0 , \quad (41)$$

where Δ is now given by

$$\Delta = 0.1975 \alpha T_i T_e^{-1} (20/19 + y_+) - y_+ , \quad (42)$$

and y_+ and α are given respectively by eqs. (38) and (39).

In Fig. 8 we plot the solutions to the thermal and pair equilibrium equations.

To see how the solutions of these equations behave as a function of the accretion rate, we have plotted them for $\dot{M}_{17} = 0.9$ in Fig. 9. There it can be seen the emergence of two solutions instead of only one as in the $\dot{M}_{17} = 1.0$ case.

In Fig. 10 we plot $(1 + 2z)$ as a function of T_i . In contrast to Fig. 5, we have now two solutions, both with $z < 1.0$.

Finally, to see the importance of nuclear cooling due to π^+ production, we plot $q = Q_{pp}/Q_{ei}$ in Fig. 11.

8. Analysis and conclusions

We don't know by sure what physical processes may be operating in the outermost parts of the disk generating viscosity and switching accretion on. Putting this flaw aside, we realize that under certain conditions protons and electrons are out of thermal equilibrium, the ion temperature being much greater and close to the virial one and, for a matter of self-consistency, we are compelled to consider some nuclear reactions, since we have available energy up to twice the proton rest mass. However, besides energy considerations, we had a very strong motivation to consider ${}^4\text{He}$ breakup and $p(p n \pi^+)p$. This is related to the viscosity problem itself: these reactions produce neutrons whose collisions with the accreting matter may be a source of the required viscosity to drive accretion. We have considered these reactions in an environment of protons, electrons, neutrons, photons and pairs. Concerning radiative cooling and pair production, we have made some simplifications that overestimated these processes and, as a consequence, underestimated the nuclear reaction rate because the total energy available is constant. The treatment we have adopted to calculate the nuclear reaction rate consists of an improvement over a previous one (Meirelles 1993) due to the abandon of the assumption of equality between nuclear reaction and dynamical times, as well as for the inclusion of both pion and pair production. To emphasize the role of the nuclear reactions, as far as the requirement of huge ion temperatures needed to ignite pion production, we have assumed a maximally synchronously rotating Kerr black hole, the inner radius of the disk equal to its horizon, R_h . The region of the disk we were concerned is the one extending from the horizon to about $20 R_h$. In the outer parts of the inner region, the contribution of the reaction $p(p, n\pi^+)p$ to the production of neutrons is negligible, this being dominated by ${}^4\text{He}$ breakup, which we use as a kind of boundary condition. Neutrons produced by this reaction contribute to the viscosity and the plasma heats up as we approach the hole, making possible the production of pions which, in turn, increase the viscosity by concomitant production of neutrons.

The radiative cooling of the disk was assumed to be due to unsaturated inverse Comptonization of soft external photons impinging upon the disk. Since we have not considered the reaction $p(p, p\pi^0)p$, we have not taken into account the radiative cooling due to photons coming from the π^0 decay. Part of those soft photons are upscattered in energy, reaching

the Wien peak where they interact with themselves producing pairs. Radiative cooling and pair production decrease the electron temperature, an effect that hinders the efficiency of nuclear reactions, even if the ion temperature is great enough for them to occur. When T_e decreases, the number of interacting electrons with one proton increases sharply, due to less shielding. Nuclear forces only act at short distances and the high ion temperature needed to overcome the Coulomb barrier also hinders the occurrence of nuclear events due to very short time in the nuclear range. As a matter of fact, reaction rate due to electromagnetic interactions prevails over the rate due to nuclear interactions if T_e satisfies

$$T_e < 28.56 T_i^{1/3} . \quad (43)$$

However, the energy transferred in the (electromagnetic) scattering will be in the KeV range, while for the nuclear reaction it will be in the MeV range. Therefore, the previous inequality changes roughly to

$$T_e < 0.2856 T_i^{1/3} , \quad (44)$$

when one also considers the energy transferred in the event.

Aiming at the application to Cygnus X-1 and related sources, we have set $\dot{M}_{17} = 1$ and $\dot{M}_{17} = 0.9$ which are much less than the critical value for \dot{M}_{17} calculated close to the horizon, i.e.,

$$\dot{M}_{17}^c = 0.183 T_i^{1.85} .$$

For both values of the accretion rate T_e is larger than the value given by the ineq. (43). As a result, for every solution we have found, $z < 1$. This is surprising, since it is known that, in the absence of these reactions, one of the solutions for the two-temperature disk has $z > 1$. Another surprising result we have found concerns the sensitivity of the number of solutions to the value of the accretion rate. Calculating at $r = 1$ and $r = 10$, for $\dot{M}_{17} = 1$ we have found the solution is unique. Decreasing to $\dot{M}_{17} = 0.9$ there are two solutions. For these values of the accretion rate the neutron abundance in the inner region is fairly high, going from $y_n = 1/19$ in the outer border, with total ${}^4\text{He}$ depletion, to about twice that value close to the horizon. This implies α quite large, i.e., large viscosity. This large viscosity, nevertheless, comes along with a high nuclear cooling, being comparable to the radiative cooling.

It is known that the innermost region of the accretion disk is secular and thermally unstable (Shakura and Sunyaev 1976) in the absence of nuclear reactions. Taking into account these nuclear reactions, the disk behaves in the same manner at the very onset of these instabilities. However, it is worthwhile observing the behavior of the viscosity parameter with T_i , because two scenarios may emerge as far as the time evolution of the inner region is concerned. α starts growing, reaches a maximum somewhere between $T_i = 15$ and $T_i = 20$,

then decreases and may become very small, even null, with increasing T_i . At that moment, accretion starts switching off and the cooling, that goes with α^{-2} , is practically instantaneous. Outside this region, however, some other mechanism for viscosity generation is still operating and accretion, there, keeps going on. As the matter reaches the border of the inner region it gets piled up there. As this piling up goes on, this region will be subject to several instabilities. Once one of these instabilities starts to grow it triggers accretion in the inner region. As the accretion proceeds, the accretion rate decreases till it reaches a value for which there are two steady states for the disk. Which one will the disk choose? At that moment, do both thermal and pair equilibrium hold in that region? A less drastic scenario is the one somehow similar to that proposed by Kusunose and Minishige (1991). Physical processes in the disk are characterized by time scales, the one chosen by the system being the least scale. As we have seen, thermal and pair equilibrium only hold under special circumstances. It may happen that due to the nuclear reactions and instabilities in the disk, the system may undergo a kind of limit cycle behavior around the upper solution.

However, to have a better understanding of the time evolution of these systems we should make better treatment of both radiative cooling and pair production and a more detailed stability analysis of the problem as well. That is what we intend to do next, in a future contribution, taking also into account the reaction $p(p p \pi^0) p$.

C.L. Lima acknowledges support from FAPESP (project nr. 1998/065902)

REFERENCES

- Abramowicz, M.A., Calvani, M., and Nobili, L. 1980, ApJ, 242, 772
- Abramowicz, M.A., Czerny, B., Lasota, J.P., and Szuszkiewicz, E. 1988, ApJ, 332, 646
- Abramowicz, M.A., Chen, X., Kato, S., Lasota, J.P., and Regev, O. 1995, ApJ, 438, L37
- Balbus, S.A., and Hawley, J.F. 1991, ApJ, 376, 214
- Balbus, S.A., and Hawley, J.F. 1992, ApJ, 392, 662
- Balbus, S.A., Hawley, J.F., and Stone, J.M. 1996, ApJ, 467, 76
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G.S., Zel'dovich, Ya.B., e Sunyaev, R.A., 1971, Soviet Astr.-AJ, 15, 17
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G.S., and Blinnikov, S.I. 1977, A&A, 59, 111
- Björnsson, G., and Svensson, R. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 177

- Björnsson, G., and Svensson, R. 1992, MNRAS, 394, 500
- Björnsson, G., Abramowicz, M., Chen, X., and Lasota, J.P. 1996, ApJ, 467, 99
- Bond, J.W., Watson, K.M., and Welch, J.A. 1965, Atomic Theory of Gas Dynamics, Reading, M.A., Addison-Wesley
- Cabot, W., and Pollack, J.B. 1992, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluids, 64, 97
- Chen, X., and Taam, R.E. 1993, ApJ, 412, 254
- Chen, X., Abramowicz, M., Lasota, J.P., Narayan, R., and Yi, I. 1995, ApJ, 443, L61
- Coroniti, F.V. 1981, ApJ, 244, 587
- Cui, W., Heindl, W.A., Rothschild, R.E., Zhang, S.N., Jahoda, K., and Focke, W. 1997, ApJ, 474, L57
- Dotani, T. et al. 1997, ApJ, 485, L87
- Dubrulle, B. 1990, Ph.D. Thesis, Toulouse University
- Eardley, D.M., and Lightman, A.P. 1975, ApJ, 200, 187
- Engel, A., Dutt-Mazumder, A.K., Shyam, R., Mosel, U., 1996, Nucl. Phys. A, 603, 387
- Esin, A.A., Narayan, R., Ostriker, E., and Yi, I. 1996, ApJ, 465, 312
- Esin, A.A. et al 1997, ApJ, 489, 865
- Esin, A.A., Narayan, R., Cui, W., Grove, J.E., and Zhang, S.N. 1998, ApJ, 505, 854
- Gammel, J., 1963, Fast Neutron Physics Part II, ed. J.B. Marion and J.L. Fowler, New York: Interscience, 2209
- Gierlinski, M., Zdziarski, A.A., Done, C., Johnson, W., Ebisawa, K., Ueda, Y., Haardt, F., and Philips, B.F. 1997, MNRAS, 288, 958
- Gould, R.J. 1982, ApJ, 263, 879
- Gould, R.J. 1986, Nucl. Phys., B266, 737
- Guessoum, N. and Dermer, C. 1988, in Nuclear Spectroscopy of Astrophysical Sources, ed. N. Gehrels and G.M. Share, 332
- Guessoum, N. and Gould, R.J. 1989, ApJ, 345, 356

- Guessoum, N., and Kazanas, D . 1990, ApJ, 358, 525
- Guilbert,P.W., and Stepney, S., 1985, MNRAS, 212, 523
- Herrero, A., Kudritzki, R.P., Gabler, R., Vilchez, J.M., and Gabler, A. 1995, A&A, 297, 556
- Hjellming, R., and Rupen, M. 1995, Nature, 375, 464
- Honna, F. et al. 1991, PASJ, 43, 157
- Ichimaru, S. 1977, ApJ, 214, 840
- Kusunose, M. 1990, Publ. Astr. Soc. Japan, 42, 347
- Kusunose,M., and Mineshige, S. 1991, ApJ, 381, 490
- Kusunose,M., and Minishige, S. 1992, ApJ, 392, 653
- Kusunose, M., and Minishige, S. 1995, ApJ, 440, 100
- Kusunose, M., and Minishige, S. 1996, ApJ, 468, 330
- Kusunose, M. 1996, ApJ, 457, 813
- Lasota, J.P., Narayan, R., and Yi, I. 1996, A&A, 314, 813
- Lasota, J.P., Abramowicz, M., Chen, X., Krolik, J., Narayan, R., and Yi, I. 1996, ApJ, 462, L142
- Liang, E.P.T. 1979, ApJ, 234, 1105
- Liang, E.P., and Thompson, K.A. 1980, ApJ, 240, 271
- Liang, E.P.T. and Nolan, P.L. 1984, Ap&SS, 38, 353
- Liang, E.P. 1991, ApJ, 367, 470
- Liang, E.P.T. 1993, Second Compton Symposium, Evolution of X-Ray Binaries Conference and Huntsville Gamma Ray Burst Workshop
- Liang, E.P. 1993, in Friedlander, M. et al., editors, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 280, AIP, New York, page 396
- Liang, E.P. 1998, Phys. Rep., 302, 67
- Lightman, A.P. 1982, ApJ, 253, 842

- Lightman, A.P., and Zdziarski, A.A. 1987, *ApJ*, 319, 643
- Lin, D.N.C., and Papaloizou, J. 1980, *MNRAS*, 191, 37
- Ling, J.C, Mahoney, W.A., Wheaton, W.A., and Jacobson, A.S. 1987, *ApJ*, 321, L117
- Loeb, A., and Laor, A. 1991, *ApJ*, 384, 115
- Lynden-Bell, D. 1969, *Nature*, 233, 690
- Meirelles, C. 1991, *A&A*, 245, 683
- Meirelles, C. 1991, *ApJ*, 378, 266
- Meirelles, C. 1993, *ApJ*, 412, 288
- Meirelles, C. 1995, *A&A*, 294, 295
- Meirelles, C. 1997, *A&A*, 317, 290
- Minishige, S. 1993, *Ap&SS*, 210, 83
- Mirabel, I.F., Rodriguez, L.F., Cordier, B., Paul, J., and Lebrun, F. 1992, *Nature*, 358, 215
- Mirabel, F., and Rodriguez, L.F. 1994, *Nature*, 371, 46
- Muchotrzeb, B., and Paczynski, B. 1982, *Acta Astron.*, 32, 1
- Narayan, R., and Yi, I. 1994, *ApJ*, 428, L13
- Narayan, R., and Yi, I. 1995, *ApJ*, 457, 821
- Narayan, R., Yi, I., and Mahadavan, R. 1995, *Nature*, 452, 710
- Narayan, R., Yi, I., and Mahadavan, R. 1995, *Nature*, 374, 623
- Narayan, R., Yi, I., and Mc Clintock, J. E. 1996, *ApJ*, 457, 821
- Oda, M., Takagishi, K., Matsuoka, M., Miyamoto, S., and Ogawara, Y. 1974, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jap.*, 26, 303
- Paczynski, B., 1982, *Acta Astron.*, 28, 253
- Paczynski, B., and Abramowicz, M. 1982, *A&A*, 253, 897
- Paczynski, B., and Bisnovaty-Kogan, G. 1981, *Acta Astron.*, 31, 283

- Papaloizou, J.C.B., and Pringle, J.E. 1984, MNRAS, 208, 721
- Papaloizou, J.C.B., and Pringle, J.E. 1984, MNRAS, 213, 799
- Paul, J. et al. 1991, Durouchoux, P., and Pranzos, N. Editors, AIP Conference Proc. 232, AIP, N.Y.
- Pozdnyakov, L.A., Sobol', I.M., and Sunyaev, R.A. 1977, Soviet Astr.-AJ, 21, 708
- Rybicki, G.B. and Lightman, A.P. 1979, Radiative Processes in Astrophysics, John Wiley & Sons, New York
- Ryu, D., and Goodman, J. 1992, ApJ, 388, 438
- Shakura, N.I., and Sunyaev, R.A. 1973, A&A, 27, 337
- Shakura, N.I., and Sunyaev, R.A. 1976, MNRAS, 175, 613
- Shakura, N.I., Sunyaev, R.A., and Zilitinkevich, S.S. 1978, A&A, 62, 169
- Shapiro, S., Lightman, A.P., and Eardley, D.M. 1976, ApJ, 204, 187
- Spitzer, L. Jr. 1962, Physics of Fully Ionized Gases, New York, Wiley & Sons
- Stoeger, W.R., 1977, ApJ, 61, 659
- Stone, J.M., and Balbus, S.A. 1996, ApJ, 464, 364
- Svensson, R. 1982, ApJ, 258, 335
- Svensson, R. 1984, MNRAS, 209, 175
- Takahara, F., and Kusunose, M. 1985, Progr. Theor. Phys., 73, 1390
- Tanaka, Y., and Lewin, W.H.G. 1995, in Lewin, W.H.G. et al. (Eds.), X-Ray Binaries, Cambridge, UK
- Tayler, R.J. 1980, MNRAS, 191, 135
- Vishniac, E., and Diamond, P. 1989, ApJ, 347, 435
- Vishniac, E.T., and Diamond, P. 1992, ApJ, 398, 561
- Vishniac, E.T., Jin, L., and Diamond, P. 1990, ApJ, 365, 648

- Zahn, J.P. 1991, in Structure and Emission Properties of Accretion Disks, Éditions Frontières, 87
- Zdziarski, A.A. 1984, ApJ, 283, 842
- Zdziarski, A.A. 1985, ApJ, 289, 514
- Zel'dovich, Ya.B. 1981, Proc. Royal Soc. London, A374, 299
- Wallinder, F.H. 1991, A&A, 249, 107
- Weaver, T.A., 1976, ApJS, 32, 233
- Wen, L., Cui, W., and Bradt, H.V. 2001, ApJ, 546, L105
- White, T., and Lightman, A.P. 1989, ApJ, 340, 1024
- White, T., and Lightman, A.P. 1990, ApJ, 352, 495




Fig. 1.— $t(w)$, the reaction rate divided by the thermal speed of the neutrons in units of mb




Fig. 2.— The neutron abundance y_n , as a function of the ion temperature, calculated at $r = 10$ for $\dot{M}_{17} = 1.0$. For $T_i = 4.16$ there is total ${}^4\text{He}$ depletion.




Fig. 3.— The viscosity parameter α , as a function of the temperature, calculated at $r = 10$ for $\dot{M}_{17} = 1.0$.




Fig. 4.— Relation $T_e - T_i$ obtained through thermal equilibrium (lower curve) and through pair equilibrium (upper curve).




Fig. 5.— Relation between $(1 + 2z)$ and T_i , for $\dot{M}_{17} = 1.0$. The upper curve is obtained using $T_i - T_e$ data from the solution of the thermal equilibrium equation; the lower, using data from the pair equilibrium equation.




Fig. 6.— y_+ , the $p(p\pi^+n)p$ contribution to the neutron abundance.




Fig. 7.— The viscosity parameter α taking pion production into account at $r = 1$.




Fig. 8.— The solution to the thermal equation (upper curve) and the pair equilibrium equation (lower curve). The curves are tangent at $T_i \approx 21$.




Fig. 9.— The solutions to the thermal and pair equilibrium equations for $M_{17} = 0.9$. Contrary to the previous figure, there are two solutions.




Fig. 10.— $(1 + 2z)$ for $\dot{M}_{17} = 0.9$. The curve with larger values at the right is obtained using the data from the pair equation, the other using data from the thermal equation.




Fig. 11.— $q = Q_{pp}/Q_{ei}$ for $\dot{M}_{17} = 0.9$. The upper curve on the right is built with data from thermal equation, the other with data from the pair equation. For $T_i < 12$ the curve coming from the thermal data grows steeply (not shown here).