

A Self-Similar Solution for the Propagation of a Relativistic Shock in an Exponential Atmosphere

Rosalba Perna^{1,2} and Mario Vietri³

ABSTRACT

We derive a fully relativistic, self-similar solution to describe the propagation of a shock along an exponentially decreasing atmosphere, in the limit of very large Lorentz factor. We solve the problem in planar symmetry and compute the acceleration of the shock in terms of the density gradient crossed during its evolution. We apply our solution to the acceleration of shocks within the atmosphere of a HyperNova, and show that velocities consistent with the requirements of GRB models can be achieved with exponential atmospheres spanning a wide density range.

Subject headings: shock waves – stars: atmospheres – gamma rays: bursts

1. Introduction

In a SuperNova (SN) explosion, the shock wave must ultimately emerge from the body of the star, and begin to propagate down the exponential density gradient of the stellar atmosphere. In Newtonian fluid dynamics, the propagation of such a shock is described by a self-similar solution (Raizer 1964; Grover and Hardy 1966; Hayes 1968). This self-similar solution is unavoidable (Raizer 1964). In fact, it turns out that, as the shock accelerates, a sonic point is formed, separating matter located immediately behind the shock from the flow's initial conditions; this prevents pressure waves (*i.e.*, causal information) to reach post-shock material from the area where the flow's initial conditions are set. Thus all flows will converge to the same solution, irrespective of their initial conditions. The lack of dependence upon initial conditions severely constrains the ensuing flow, by restricting the number of parameters upon which the shock evolution may depend; in fact, this constraint is so strong that only a single (self-similar) solution exists.

In the Newtonian solution, it is found that the shock velocity increases exponentially, in such a way that the shock reaches spatial infinity in a finite time. This is clearly impossible when

¹Harvard Junior Fellow, Harvard Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA, 02138

²Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via dell'Osservatorio, 2, I-00040, Monte Porzio Catone, Roma, Italy

³Università di Roma 3, Via della Vasca Navale 84, 00147 Roma, Italy

account is taken of Special Relativity, and therefore the Newtonian solution must break down at high shock velocities, as expected. The question of precisely how the shock evolves in relativistic conditions has not been investigated so far.

This problem has acquired a new urgency within the HyperNova model (Paczyński 1998; MacFadyen & Woosley 1999) for Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs). In fact, Meszaros and Rees (2001) have shown that, despite the large assumed energy release of the central engine, the outwardly moving shock in the large star hypothesized to give rise to the GRB can only reach a Lorentz factor of $\Gamma_i \approx 10$ at the end of the H-envelope, and they had to invoke (without explicit computations) shock acceleration down the star exponential atmosphere to reach the required Lorentz factors of $\Gamma_f \approx 100 - 300$. The existence of a self-similar temporal structure in GRBs is also suggested by an analysis of their power spectra (Beloborodov, Stern, & Svensson 2000; see also Sivron 1998).

It is the purpose of this paper to derive a fully (Special) relativistic self-similar solution for the problem of shock propagation in an exponential atmosphere (Section 2), and to discuss its use in the HyperNova model for GRBs (Section 3).

2. Relativistic self-similar flow

We shall assume that a cold ($T = 0$) material is stratified with density distribution $\rho = \rho_o \exp(-kx)$; the shock is supposed to move toward $x = +\infty$, thus with positive velocity $v > 0$. The symmetry is assumed to be planar, since both the length scale of stellar atmospheres and the total extension of the atmosphere are much smaller than the stellar radius. In the Newtonian analog, the shock speed is set by a purely dimensional argument (e.g. Chevalier 1990): $V = \alpha/kt$, with α an adimensional constant to be determined. In the special relativistic problem, dimensional arguments alone fail because the presence of the light speed c allows the construction of a new adimensional quantity (kct), thusly spoiling the above argument. We can however recover from this impasse by appealing to both dimensional and covariance arguments. The time-like part of the shock four-speed U_μ is of course determined by the identity $U_\mu U^\mu = -1$, while the space-like part must be built in covariant fashion from the available quantities. Defining a four vector $k_\mu = (0, k, 0, 0)$, we can then write for the spatial part of U

$$U^a = \frac{dX^a}{ds} = \frac{\alpha k^a}{k_\mu k^\mu s} \quad (1)$$

where $k_\mu k^\mu = k^2 > 0$. This is the only sensible solution to our dimensional/covariant problem. We see from this that, as long as we use proper time, the structure of the problem is identical to that of the Newtonian analog. In particular, the shock reaches spatial infinity within a finite proper time. We may choose $s = 0$ for the moment when this occurs, so that the flow is restricted to $s < 0$. Incidentally, note that this implies $\alpha < 0$. Physically, this makes perfect sense: as the shock accelerates, its proper time is contracted by its Lorentz factor (Γ) with respect to the fluid time. The shock speed in terms of the fluid time can be obtained by remembering that $dX/ds = v\Gamma$, and

substituting in the above equation one finds ($c = 1$ from now on)

$$s = \frac{\alpha}{kv\Gamma} . \quad (2)$$

Taking the time derivative of both sides we obtain

$$\frac{k\dot{t}}{\alpha} = \Gamma \left(\frac{d}{dv} \frac{1}{v\Gamma} \right) dv \quad (3)$$

which can be immediately integrated to yield

$$\frac{kt}{-\alpha} = \log \left(\frac{1+v}{1-v} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{v} + \text{constant} . \quad (4)$$

The low speed limit $v \ll 1$ is $kt/\alpha \approx 1/v$, in agreement with the Newtonian solution. The hyperrelativistic limit ($v \rightarrow 1$) is

$$\frac{k(t-t_i)}{-\alpha} \approx \log \Gamma/\Gamma_i \quad (5)$$

where we have introduced an initial shock Lorentz factor Γ_i at time t_i , for ease of use in the future. Since the shock moves essentially at speed 1, we can rewrite the lhs of the above equation as $\log(\rho/\rho_i)^{1/\alpha}$, from which we see that the initial and the final (i.e., when the shock leaves the exponential atmosphere) shock Lorentz factors are related by

$$\frac{\Gamma_f}{\Gamma_i} = \left(\frac{\rho_f}{\rho_i} \right)^{1/\alpha} . \quad (6)$$

The above clearly shows that all we have left to do is to determine the value of the parameter α . To this purpose, we must consider the fluid equations for the post-shock material, which we have found it convenient to take in the form given by Blandford and McKee (1976, their Eqs. 14-15)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e\gamma^4) = \gamma^2 \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log(e^3 \gamma^4) = -4 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(vn) = 0 \quad (9)$$

where $d/dt = \partial/\partial t + v\partial/\partial x$ is the convective derivative, and we have dropped curvature terms (which are contained in the Blandford and McKee equations), to keep with our planar symmetry approach. Here e is the local energy density, γ is the local fluid Lorentz factor, as seen from the reference frame of the unshocked material (to be distinguished from Γ , the shock Lorentz factor in the same reference frame), and n is the baryon number density always in the reference frame of the unshocked fluid. The above equations assume an hyperrelativistic equation of state for the post-shock material of the form $p = e/3$, which is correct in the limit $\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, for the large shock Lorentz factors appropriate to this problem ($\Gamma \gtrsim 10$) Heavens & Drury (1988; see

also Iwamoto 1989) showed that the adiabatic index of the flow is within 1% of its asymptotic relativistic value of 4/3, irrespective of the upstream temperature and plasma composition (see especially their Fig.2, and Eqs. 25-26). It should be remarked here that Eq. (6) remains valid whatever we assume for the equation of state, but the precise value of α depends instead on the particular choice of the equation of state.

The boundary conditions for this problem are provided by Taub's jump conditions (Taub 1948), which again we take in the hyperrelativistic limit given by Blandford and McKee (1976):

$$e_2 = 2\Gamma^2 w_1, \quad \gamma_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^2, \quad n_2 = 2\Gamma^2 n_1. \quad (10)$$

Here the subscripts 1, 2 refer to pre- and post- shock quantities, respectively; n and γ are always defined in the unshocked fluid frame, and e in the comoving frame. The enthalpy of the unshocked material, w_1 , equals e_1 since this material is assumed to be cold. In our problem, e_1 is not a constant, because the atmosphere is stratified. We have

$$w_1 = e_1 = \rho_1 = \rho_o \exp(-kX) = \rho_o (\Gamma/\Gamma_i)^\alpha \quad (11)$$

so that, ultimately,

$$e_2 = 2 \left(\frac{\rho_o}{\Gamma_i^\alpha} \right) \Gamma^{2+\alpha} \equiv 2q_o \Gamma^{2+\alpha} \quad (12)$$

which is the form we shall use in the following. An identical argument shows that

$$n_2 = 2 \frac{n_o}{\Gamma_i^\alpha} \Gamma^{2+\alpha} \equiv 2z_o \Gamma^{2+\alpha}, \quad (13)$$

where $n_o \equiv \rho_o/m$.

In order to search for a self-similar solution, we need to assume a form for the similarity variable. In the Newtonian analog, this is clearly $\xi = k(x - X)$, where X is the instantaneous shock location. In this problem, we take

$$\xi \equiv k(x - X)\Gamma^2. \quad (14)$$

The rationale for this is that, since post-shock material has a Lorentz factor which is $\sqrt{2}$ times smaller than the shock's, the post-shock material falls behind the shock by an amount $\propto 1/\Gamma^2$ as the shock covers an exponential length. Please notice that, with our notation, $\xi < 0$ for the shocked material. The post-shock quantities γ^2 , e and n can then be taken of the form

$$\gamma^2 = g(\xi)\Gamma^2, \quad e = q_o R(\xi)\Gamma^{2+\alpha}, \quad n = z_o \Gamma^{2+\alpha} N(\xi) \quad (15)$$

with boundary conditions given by Eqs. (10) (the second one), (12), (13) as

$$g(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad R(0) = 2, \quad N(0) = 2. \quad (16)$$

Substitution of Eqs. (14), (15) into Eqs. (7), (8) and (9) yields, after some algebra (the dot indicates derivation with respect to ξ)

$$\frac{\dot{R}}{R} = \frac{-g(2/\alpha + 1)(1 - 4\xi/\alpha + 1/g) + (10/\alpha + 3)}{3/2 - 3/2g - 6\xi/\alpha + (1 - g/2 + 2\xi g/\alpha)(1 - 4\xi/\alpha + 1/g)} \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\dot{g}}{g^2} = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}(1/g - 1/2 + 2\xi/\alpha) + (2/\alpha + 1) \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\dot{N}}{N} = \frac{2g\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha} - \frac{\dot{g}}{g}}{g(1 - 4\xi/\alpha) - 1}. \quad (19)$$

From these, we see what fixes α : the denominator of the rhs of Eq. (17) goes to zero at a critical point ξ_c and, unless the numerator simultaneously does the same (which will only occur for a special value of α), a non-integrable singularity will ensue. The same phenomenon occurs in the Newtonian analog, where it has been shown that this critical point is a sonic point. It is exactly because there is a sonic point that a self-similar solution can develop: in fact, material between the shock and the sonic point is not in causal contact with post-sonic point material, and thus its properties cannot be determined by the problem's initial conditions.

To keep the problem non-singular, we impose that the numerator and denominator of Eq. (17) vanish simultaneously, obtaining

$$g(\xi_c)(1 - 4\xi_c/\alpha) = \frac{8 + 2\alpha}{2 + \alpha} \quad (20)$$

from the numerator, and

$$g(\xi_c)(1 - 4\xi_c/\alpha) = \frac{4 \pm \sqrt{12}}{2} \quad (21)$$

for the denominator. The conditions above lead to two solutions for α , one positive and another negative. The positive solution must be discarded because otherwise the shock would not reach spatial infinity for $s \rightarrow 0$ (Eq. 1). We are therefore left with only one sensible solution,

$$\alpha = \frac{-12 - \sqrt{192}}{6} \approx -4.309401. \quad (22)$$

The location of the sonic point, ξ_c , where both the numerator and the denominator vanish, is determined through numerical integration of Eqs. (17), (18) and (19). This yields $\xi_c \approx -0.46$. Also note that $g(\xi)(1 - 4\xi/\alpha) \leq g(0) = 0.5$, and therefore the denominator of Eq. (19) is always well-behaved. The full numerical solution for $g(\xi)$, $R(\xi)$ and $N(\xi)$ is illustrated in Fig. 1.

3. Application to GRBs

It can be seen from Eq. (6) that the necessary asymptotic Lorentz factor required for a proper modelling of the properties of GRBs, i.e. $\Gamma_f \gtrsim 150$ (remember that the Lorentz factor of the

matter is only $1/\sqrt{2}$ that of the shock) can be reached by crossing a modest factor of 10^5 density decrease in the exponential atmosphere (assuming $\Gamma_i \sim 10$). Yet, the total energy of material moving at these large Lorentz factors is only modest. In fact, let us compute the distribution of kinetic energy with baryon number. Consider a cylindrical fluid element with surface area A (with the normal along the direction of fluid motion x), and length dx along the direction x . This element will have a bulk kinetic energy of $dE = Amc^2 n(x)\gamma(x)dx = A\rho_0 c^2 \Gamma^{1+\alpha} \Gamma_i^{-\alpha} N(\xi) g^{1/2}(\xi) k^{-1} d\xi$. What we want to know is the energy distribution at the moment in which the shock gets out of the exponential atmosphere. Let us indicate with Γ_f the shock Lorentz factor at that moment. Then

$$\gamma \frac{dE}{d\gamma} = 2A\rho_i c^2 k^{-1} \Gamma_i^{-\alpha} \Gamma_f^{1+\alpha} \frac{N(\xi) g^{3/2}(\xi)}{\dot{g}} \quad (23)$$

which can be coupled to the solution $g = g(\xi)$ to yield a parametric representation of $\gamma dE/d\gamma$, the distribution of kinetic energy with respect to the final Lorentz factor. The adimensional part of the function in the previous equation is plotted in Fig. 2. The numerical factor can be estimated using Eq. (6):

$$\gamma \frac{dE}{d\gamma} = 10^{48} \text{ erg} \left(\frac{r_H}{10^{13} \text{ cm}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k^{-1}}{10^{12} \text{ cm}} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_i}{10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) \left(\frac{10}{\Gamma_i} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\Gamma_f}{150} \right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{2N(\xi) g^{3/2}(\xi)}{\dot{g}}. \quad (24)$$

In this expression, the values for r_H (the edge of the H-shell), ρ_i (the matter density at the end of the H-shell, and thus presumably at the beginning of the exponential atmosphere), and Γ_i (the shock Lorentz factor at the end of the H-shell = beginning of the exponential atmosphere) are taken from Meszaros and Rees (2001). Taking the adimensional factor from Fig.2, we see that the kinetic energy falls short of the average isotropic burst energy (Schmidt 1999) by about three orders of magnitude (note that here what we are computing is the isotropic energy).

Before showing how to come out of this impasse, we need to consider which part of Fig. 2 can actually be obtained in a realistic model. The reason for this limitation comes from the fact that the idealized model presented here has been propagating down an exponential atmosphere forever, while in a realistic model only a finite amount of matter can have converged onto this self-similar solution, given the finite dimension of the star. For this reason, we have plotted in Fig. 2 three ticks, which correspond to the present position of matter which was located, before being reached by the shock, at 5, 10, 15 exponential scale-lengths from the shock's present location. If we think that the initial atmosphere extends for 5, 10, 15 exponential scale-lengths, then we can believe the part of Fig. 2 located to the right of their respective tickmarks. Leftward of them, the true, physical solution will depart from the one shown here, and the total kinetic energy at the corresponding values of Lorentz factor will be much smaller than the values plotted (obviously, since the physical solution has finite mass and energy, which is not true for the idealized one).

Let us now suppose that the putative burst progenitor possesses a wide exponential atmosphere, spanning some $\gtrsim 9$ orders of magnitude in density. Then, for an initial Lorentz factor $\Gamma_i \approx 10$, the shock Lorentz factor at the end of the atmosphere is ≈ 1000 (Eq. 6); once again, the overall energy of matter moving at this speed would be modest ($\approx 10^{46}$ erg), but Fig. 2 shows that

most of the energy (before the cutoff implied by the finite extent of the atmosphere) will come out at a Lorentz factor ≈ 0.2 of the shock’s factor, *i.e.* at $\Gamma_{matter} \approx 200$. With the values above, the total energy then amounts to $\approx 6 \times 10^{52}$ erg, in reasonable agreement with Schmidt’s (1999) isotropic estimates⁴. It should be noticed that inspection of the numerical solution for large values of the distance parameter ξ shows the material to be cold ($e \propto n$), so that there will be negligible further acceleration of these slower shells by $p dV$ work, and $\Gamma_{matter} \approx 200$ remains a good estimate of the coasting Lorentz factor.

To summarize, the application of our solution to shock acceleration in the atmosphere of a massive star has shown that, in atmospheres with small density range, the amount of energy carried by material accelerated to the typical GRB Lorentz factors falls short of the GRB required energetics. However, for stars with a wide density range in their atmospheres ($\gtrsim 10^9$ orders of magnitude), a sufficient quantity of energy is carried by later shells of material moving at the typical GRB Lorentz factors. In this model, the early emission would be dominated by an ultra-hard component, due to the very large- Γ shells slowing down in the ISM. This is at least qualitatively consistent with virtually all bursts studied in some detail by BeppoSAX (Frontera *et al.*, 2000, see especially their Fig. 2), which exhibit, in their first few seconds only, spectra peaking beyond the instrument’s (GRBM) observing limit of 700 keV.

RP thanks the Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma for its kind hospitality during the time that this work was carried out.

REFERENCES

- Beloborodov, A. M., Stern, B. E., & Svensson 2000, ApJ, 535, 58
 Blandford, R.D., McKee, C.F., 1976, Phys. of Fluids, 19, 1130
 Chevalier, R.A., 1990, ApJ, 359, 463
 Frontera, F., et al., 2000, ApJS, 127, 59
 Grover, R., Hardy, J.W., 1966, ApJ, 143, 48
 Hayes, W.D., 1968, J. Fluid. Mech., 32, 305
 Heavens, A. F. & Drury, L. O’C. 1988, MNRAS, 235, 997
 Iwamoto, N. 1989, Phys. Rev. A, 39, 4076
 Kallaman, T. R., Meszaros, P., & Rees, M. J. 2001, astro-ph/0110654
 MacFadyen, A., & Woosley, S. E. 1999, ApJ, 524, 262

⁴ Spectral properties (*i.e.* line production) would be similar to those predicted by Kallaman, Meszaros & Rees (2001).

Meszaros, P., Rees, M.J., 2001, ApJL, 556, 37

Paczyński, B. 1998, ApJ, 494, L45

Raizer, Yu.P., 1964, Zh.Prikl.Mat.Tekh.Fiz.,4,49.

Sivron, R. 1998, ApJ, 503, L57

Schmidt, M., 1999, ApJL, 523, L117

Taub, H. 1948, Phys. Rev., 74, 328




Fig. 1.— Numerical solution of Eq. (17), (18), and (19) with α given by Eq. (22).




Fig. 2.— The quantity $2N(\xi)g(\xi)^{3/2}/\dot{g}$ (the adimensional part of $\gamma dE/d\gamma$, Eq. 23), plotted as a function of $g(\xi)^{1/2}$. The ticks in boldface indicate the present position of matter which, before being shocked, was located at 5, 10 and 15 exponential scale lengths k^{-1} .