

**ON THE HILBERT FUNCTION OF FAT POINTS
ON A RATIONAL NORMAL CUBIC***

M.V.Catalisano , A.Gimigliano

Abstract: In this paper we find an algorithm which computes the Hilbert function of schemes Z of "fat points" in \mathbb{P}^3 whose support lies on a rational normal cubic curve C . The algorithm shows that the maximality of the Hilbert function in degree t is related to the existence of fixed curves (either C itself or one of its secant lines) for the linear system of surfaces of degree t containing Z .

Introduction

The aim of this paper is to consider linear systems J_t defined by particular schemes of fat points, where with "fat points" we mean 0-dimensional schemes defined by homogeneous ideals of type

$$(*) \quad J = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} J_t = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s}$$

where each \mathfrak{p}_i is the homogeneous ideal in $\mathbf{R} = k[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ of a point $P_i \in \mathbb{P}^r = \mathbb{P}_k^r$ (k being an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0), and the m_i 's are non negative integers. We will denote a scheme of fat points by $Z = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$.

In [3], a bound for the regularity of the linear systems of type J_t is given when the points $P_i \in \mathbb{P}^r$ are in (linear) generic position (i.e. no three on a line, no four on a plane, etc.). It turns out that the "worst" case for J_t is when the points P_i lie on a rational normal curve (see also [7]).

This leads to the following conjecture:

* This work has been supported by MURST funds and by the CNR group "Rami analitici e sistemi lineari".

Conjecture: Let $J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ be an ideal of fat points in \mathbb{P}^r (i.e. J is as in (*)), and let $H(\mathbf{R}/J, t)$ denote the Hilbert function of \mathbf{R}/J . Then, if the points P_i are in linear generic position, $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $H(\mathbf{R}/J, t) \geq H(\mathbf{R}/I, t)$, where I is an ideal of type (*), with the same multiplicities m_i as J and whose support is given by points on a rational normal curve $C_r \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$. Moreover the value $H(\mathbf{R}/I, t)$ does not depend on the choice of the s points on C_r .

In this paper we analyze the case $r = 3$, and we show (via Theorem 2.2) that there is an algorithm which computes $H(\mathbf{R}/I, t)$ for ideals I as above (i.e. for fat points on a cubic curve C). The algorithm will only depend on the data s, m_1, \dots, m_s , thus showing that the Hilbert function does not depend on the position of the (distinct) points on the curve.

It will also turn out that $H(\mathbf{R}/I, t)$ has its maximal value (i.e. the fat points impose independent conditions to surfaces of degree t), if and only if for every P_i with $m_i > 0$ the linear system $(I : \mathfrak{p}_i)_t$ has neither C nor any line $P_i P_j$ as fixed locus (Corollary 2.3).

The paper is divided as follows: the first section is devoted to studying the following question: which numerical ("Bezout-like") conditions imply that a multiple of a curve (a line $P_i P_j$ or the curve C in our case) is a fixed locus for the linear system I_t ? In this section we also consider whether the numerical conditions that we find are necessary and we compute the Hilbert function of all the multiples of C , i.e. of the ideals I_C^n .

In §2 we state the main result and describe it, while §3 is dedicated to several lemmata which will be used in §4 to prove Theorem 2.2.

We would like to thank C.H.Walter for some useful talks we had during the Workshop organized by the "Europroj" group on points (Nice '93), when the work on this paper started.

1. A "Bezout-like" condition for multiples of C and of lines.

From now on we assume that I is an ideal of type (*) in $k[x_0, \dots, x_3]$, i.e. that the points P_i are in \mathbb{P}^3 . Let L be the line $P_i P_j$, and let n, t be natural numbers; Proposition 1.1 will give a Bezout-type condition that forces the elements of the linear system I_t to contain the line L with multiplicity at least n (it is actually just Bezout for $n = 1$).

Assuming further that the P_i 's lie on a rational normal curve C , Proposition 1.3 gives an analogous condition that forces the elements of I_t to contain the curve C with multiplicity at least n .

Let $(x)^+ = \max\{x, 0\}$. We have

Proposition 1.1: Let $I = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s}$ be an ideal of type (*), and L be the line $P_i P_j$. If I_L is the ideal of L , and $n \leq (m_i + m_j - t)^+$, then $I_t \subseteq (I_L^n)_t$.

Proof: The statement is obvious for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, so let $n > 1$. We may assume $P_i = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1), P_j = (0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$, hence $I_L = (x_0, x_1)$. Let $f \in I_t$: by Bezout's theorem applied to the intersection of $\{f = 0\}$ with the plane $\{x_1 - ax_0 = 0\}$, $a \in k$, it is easy to prove that $f(x_0, ax_0, x_2, x_3) \in (x_0)^n, \forall a \in k$. Hence $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in (x_0, x_1)^n$.

□

Definition 1.2: Let I be as above, and $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will say that I_t satisfies property $\mathcal{P}(n)$ if and only if $\forall l, 1 \leq l \leq n: 3t + 5(1 - l) < \sum_{i=1}^s (m_i - l + 1)^+$.

Proposition 1.3: Let $I = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s}$ be an ideal of type (*) such that the P_i 's are distinct points on a rational cubic curve C and let $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that I_t satisfies property $\mathcal{P}(n)$. Then, if I_C is the ideal of C , we have that $I_t \subseteq (I_C^n)_t$.

Proof: Note that $I_C^n = I_C^{(n)}$, i.e. I_C^n is saturated and represents the n^{th} infinitesimal neighborhood of C . In fact, by [5], Cor. 2.2, we have:

Corollary (Robbiano): Let I be the ideal associated to a complete intersection of codimension ≤ 2 inside the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ in \mathbb{P}^{2r-1} .

Then I^n is primary for every n .

Since the ideal of a rational normal cubic $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ can be obtained by intersecting the ideal of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ which is given by the maximal minors of a matrix of type $\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_5 & x_4 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$, with the hyperplanes $\{x_1 = x_5\}, \{x_2 = x_4\}$, we get what we want (see also [A-S-V], 6.9). □

Let X be the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along C . Then we have $\text{Pic}X \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$, and we can choose as generators the exceptional divisor E and the divisor H , corresponding to the strict transform of a generic plane of \mathbb{P}^3 .

Let S be a surface in the linear system I_t and let $S' \subset X$ be its strict transform. Then Proposition 1.3 is equivalent to:

Proposition 1.4: Let I, n, t be as in Proposition 1.3. Then $S' \in |tH - nE|$.

It is well known that $E \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_C)$ (e.g. see [4]), hence, since $\mathcal{N}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(5)$, we have that

$$E \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_C) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(5)) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C)$$

is isomorphic to a quadric surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$.

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the canonical projection; then one of the two rulings of E is given by the lines $L_P = \pi^{-1}(P)$, $P \in C$, and the other is given by the zero-loci C' of sections of \mathcal{N}_C ($\pi(C') = C$).

Let us use the notation (a, b) for the divisor class of $aL_P + bC'$; then we have that $H \cdot E$, as divisor on E , is $(E \cdot H)|_E = (3, 0)$.

Of course $H \cdot H = H^2$ is the strict transform of a generic line of \mathbb{P}^3 (not touching C), so $H^2 \cdot E = 0$.

In order to determine the E^2 , consider $E^2 \cdot H$. Since $E^2 = E|_E$, we have $E^2 \cdot H = E \cdot (E \cdot H) = (E \cdot H)|_E \cdot E^2$. Let $E^2 = (a, b)$. Since we have also $E^2 \cdot H = E|_H \cdot E|_H$, and $H \cong \{ \text{the blow-up of } \mathbb{P}^2 \text{ at three points} \}$, where $E|_H$ is the exceptional divisor of such a blow up, we get that $E^2 \cdot H = (E|_H \cdot E|_H) = -3$. Hence $(H \cdot E)|_E \cdot E^2 = (3, 0) \cdot (a, b) = 3b$, which implies $b = -1$.

In order to determine a , consider instead: $E^3 = E^2|_E = E|_E \cdot E|_E = -2a$ (since $E|_E = (a, -1)$ and $(a, -1) \cdot (a, -1) = -2a$).

On the other hand, $(3H - E)^3 = 27H^3 - 27H^2 \cdot E + 9H \cdot E^2 - E^3$, and so, since $H^2 \cdot E = 0$, $H^3 = 1$ and $H \cdot E^2 = -3$, we have $(3H - E)^3 = -E^3$.

It is not hard to compute $(3H - E)^3$: this is the number of intersections of the strict transforms S'_1, S'_2, S'_3 of three generic cubic surfaces S_1, S_2, S_3 containing C in \mathbb{P}^3 .

Consider $S'_1 \in |3H - E|$. The cubic surface S_1 in \mathbb{P}^3 is isomorphic to the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in six generic points P_1, \dots, P_6 , so $\text{Pic}S_1 \cong \mathbf{Z}^7$, and we can write $(t; m_1, \dots, m_6)$ for the divisor class in S_1 of the strict transform of a curve of degree t in \mathbb{P}^2 which has multiplicity m_i in P_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$; e.g. we have that a plane section of S_1 is in $(3; 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.

With this notation, we can assume $C \in (1; 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, and we have $S_2 \cdot S_1 \in (9, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)$ on S_1 , with $S_2 \cdot S_1 = C \cup C'$ and $C' \in (8; 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)$ (C' is a sextic curve of genus 3). So the number we want is $S_3 \cdot C' - C \cdot C' = 18 - 8 = 10$.

Hence $E^3 = -10$ and $a = 5$.

Now we want to prove Proposition 1.4. Let $Z = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ be the scheme defined by I (hence all $P_i \in C$), and $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a surface of degree t which contains Z . Note that for $n = 1$ the statement is trivial by Bezout Theorem.

Let us work by induction on n , the case $n = 1$ being done. Suppose the proposition is true for $n - 1 > 0$, and let us check that it is true for n .

Since $3t + 5(1 - l) < \sum_i^s (m_i - l + 1)^+$ for $1 \leq l \leq n - 1$, then, by induction, any surface of degree t containing Z is such that its strict transform is in $|tH - (n - 1)E|$.

So, let S' be the strict transform of S in X ; then $S' \in |tH - (n-1)E|$. Consider (on E)

$$S' \cdot E = (tH - (n-1)E) \cdot E = (3t, 0) - (n-1)(5, -1) = (3t - 5(n-1), (n-1)).$$

Let $L_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i)$; then $\pi^{-1}S = S' + (n-1)E$ has to contain the divisors $m_i L_i \in (m_i, 0)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ of E , hence S' has to contain $(m_i - n + 1)^+ L_i$, i.e. at least a divisor $(\sum_i^s (m_i - n + 1)^+, 0)$ on E .

So, if $3t - 5(n-1) < \sum_i^s (m_i - n + 1)^+$, E has to be a fixed component in $tH - (n-1)E$, i.e. $S' \in |tH - nE|$. \square

It can be of some interest to give an actual computation of $\dim(I_C^n)_t$:

Proposition 1.5: *Let $I_C \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_3]$ be the ideal of a rational normal curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$.*

Then we have:

$\dim(I_C^n)_t = 0$, for $t \leq 2n - 1$, and

$\dim(I_C^n)_t = \binom{t+3}{3} - \binom{n+1}{2}(3t+6) + 5(1 + \dots + n^2)$, for $t \geq 2n - 1$; moreover in this case, if \mathcal{I}_C is the ideal sheaf associated to I_C , we have : $H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^n(t)) = 0$.

Proof: The case $t \leq 2n - 1$ is obvious since I_C^n is generated in degree $2n$, so consider $t \geq 2n$.

Let $q = 0$ be the equation of a smooth quadric Q containing C ; then multiplication by q defines an injection $0 \rightarrow I_C^{n-1} \rightarrow I_C^n$, from which, sheafifying, we get:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C^{n-1}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q(-nC) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since C is of type $(2, 1)$ as a divisor on Q , twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(t)$ we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C^{n-1}(t-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C^n(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q(t-2n, t-n) \rightarrow 0$$

which, passing to cohomology, yields:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^{n-1}(t-2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^n(t)) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(t-2n, t-n)) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^{n-1}(t-2)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^n(t)) \rightarrow H^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(t-2n, t-n)) \end{aligned}$$

where $H^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(t-2n, t-n)) = 0$ since $t \geq 2n$.

Now we work by induction on n . For $n = 1$, it is well known that

$$\dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^n(t)) = \binom{t+3}{3} - (3t+1) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C(t)) = 0.$$

So, suppose $n \geq 2$. Since $t-2 \geq 2(n-1)$, we have $H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^{n-1}(t-2)) = 0$ by induction hypothesis, hence $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^n(t)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_C^{n-1}(t-2)) + H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(t-2n, t-n)) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \binom{t+1}{3} - \binom{n}{2}(3(t-2) + 6) + 5(1 + \dots + (n-1)^2) + (t-n+1)(t-2n+1) = \\
&= \binom{t+3}{3} - \binom{n+1}{2}(3t+6) + 5(1 + \dots + n^2). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude this section with the following result, which gives, in case $n = 1$, a sort of inverse with respect to Proposition 1.3.

Proposition 1.6: *Let I be as in Proposition 1.3, and let $I_t \neq \{0\}$. Then $I_t \subseteq (I_C)_t$ if and only if $3t < \sum_i^s m_i$.*

Proof: By proposition 1.3, it remains only to prove that $\{0\} \neq I_t \subseteq (I_C)_t$ implies $3t < \sum_{i=1}^s m_i$. This follows from the fact that the inequality $3t \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i$ allows to find a surface in I_t made of planes (each of them passing at most through three of the P_i 's), hence not contained in $(I_C)_t$. \square

Remark 1.7: Notice that it is not possible, instead, to do the same with Proposition 1.1, in fact the condition $t \geq m_i + m_j$ does not guarantee that the line $P_i P_j$ is not fixed for I_t .

For instance, let $Z = (P_1, \dots, P_7; 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)$ with P_1, \dots, P_7 on C ; we have that the only surface of degree 4 containing Z is given by the union of the two quadric cones with vertices in P_1, P_2 which contain C .

Hence the lines $P_1 P_7$ and $P_2 P_7$ are fixed for I_4 , even if $4 = t = m_1 + m_7 = m_2 + m_7$.

On the other hand, the two numerical conditions ($t \geq m_i + m_j$ and $t \geq \sum_i^s m_i$) together are equivalent to the two geometric conditions (see Corollary 2.3).

2. The algorithm to compute the Hilbert function of fat points on a cubic curve.

Let I, J be, respectively, the homogeneous ideals of the schemes $Z, W \in \mathbb{P}^3$ of fat points

$$Z = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, \dots, m_s), \quad W = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}, m_s + 1)$$

where, from now on, P_1, \dots, P_s are on rational normal cubic C , and

$$m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_s \geq 0.$$

We want to give a method that can compute $\dim J_t$ (for every $t \geq 0$) from the data: t, m_1, \dots, m_s and $\dim I_t$. The result will not depend on the position of the points on C .

This will answer to our question, since one will be able to compute $\dim J_t$ working by recursion on s and m_s . The algorithm will be given for $s \geq 2$, since the case $s = 1$ is quite

trivial. In fact for $s = 1$ we have $Z = (P, m)$, $W = (P, m + 1)$, and so we get that $t \geq m$ implies:

$$\dim(I/J)_t = \dim I_t - \dim J_t = \binom{m+3}{3} - \binom{m+2}{3} = \binom{m+2}{2};$$

while when $t < m$, trivially $\dim(I/J)_t = 0$.

Hence, in the sequel, we will always suppose $s \geq 2$.

We will determine $\dim(I/J)_t$ via a scheme of fat points $N \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Definition 2.1. Let $Z = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ be a scheme of fat points in \mathbb{P}^3 with support on a rational normal curve C and let I be the corresponding homogeneous ideal. We say that $N = (Q, Q_1, \dots, Q_{s-1}; n, n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}) \subseteq \Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ is the t -projection of Z from P_s , if the points Q_1, \dots, Q_{s-1} are the projection from P_s of P_1, \dots, P_{s-1} on a plane Π not containing P_s , while Q is the projection of P_s itself along the tangent line to C at P_s and the numbers n, n_1, \dots, n_{s-1} are defined as follows:

$$n_i = (m_i + m_s - t)^+, \quad n = \min\{m_s + 1, \sup\{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathcal{P}(\nu) \text{ holds for } I_t\}\}.$$

We can always suppose $P_s = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$, and Π to be $\{x_3 = 0\}$.

Of course the points Q, Q_1, \dots, Q_{s-1} lie on the conic Γ which is the projection of C from P_s , so the Hilbert function of N is known (see [2]).

Our result is:

Theorem 2.2: Let I, J be respectively the homogeneous ideals of the schemes of fat points

$$Z = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, \dots, m_s), \quad W = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}, m_s + 1)$$

where the P_i 's are distinct points of \mathbb{P}^3 lying on a rational normal cubic C , and $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_s \geq 0$, $s \geq 2$. We have, for every $t \geq 0$, that $\dim(I/J)_t$ equals the dimension, in degree m_s , of the ideal $I_N \subseteq k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ of the t -projection N of Z from P_s , i.e. :

$$\dim(I/J)_t = \dim(I_N)_{m_s}.$$

Note that $L_i = \{\text{line } P_i P_s\}$ and C are fixed multiple curves for the surfaces in the linear system I_t with multiplicities at least n_i, n , respectively (see Proposition 1.1 and 1.3). The theorem shows their role in determining the Hilbert Function of W ; in particular, when $n = n_i = 0$, i.e. when $N = \emptyset$, the difference between $\dim I_t$ and $\dim J_t$ is $\binom{m_s+2}{2} =$

$\binom{m_s+3}{3} - \binom{m_s+2}{3}$, i.e. it is what it "should be", in the sense that passing to multiplicity $m_s + 1$ on P_s imposes exactly $\binom{m_s+2}{2}$ new independent conditions to surfaces of degree t .

Thus, we have:

Corollary 2.3: *For any ideal I as in Theorem 2.2, if $m_s > 0$, then the following are equivalent:*

- i) I_t is regular, (i.e. the fat points impose independent conditions to surfaces of degree t);*
- ii) neither C nor, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, any of the lines $P_i P_j$, $j \neq i$, is a fixed locus for $(I : \mathfrak{p}_i)_t = (\mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i-1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s})_t$;*
- iii) $3t \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - 1$ and $t \geq m_1 + m_2 - 1$.*

Proof: By Bezout's Theorem, *ii)* implies *iii)*. Let us show now that *iii)* implies the regularity of I_t . Consider that one can get I_t starting from $(\mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1})_t$, which is regular, and "adding the multiplicities on the P_i 's one at a time", i.e. considering the ideals associated to the schemes

$$(P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1, 2, \dots, 0)$$

and so on. At any step we have that the t -projection of such schemes from the "last" point is empty, so Theorem 2.2 tells us that adding one to the multiplicity of the last point imposes independent conditions, and the system remains regular. (See also [3]).

In order to prove that *i)* implies *ii)*, suppose that either C or a line $P_i P_j$ are fixed components for $(I : \mathfrak{p}_i)_t$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then we will show that $\dim((I : \mathfrak{p}_i)/I)_t < e = \binom{m_i+2}{2}$, hence that I_t cannot be regular.

If I_t were regular, let $P_i = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$; then there would exist $F_1, \dots, F_e \in (I : \mathfrak{p}_i)_t$ such that, locally, they generate $(x, y, z)^{m_i}$ modulo I_t , hence they are of type (in affine coordinates):

$$F_1 = \tilde{F}_1 + x^{m_i}; \quad F_2 = \tilde{F}_2 + x^{m_i-1}y; \quad \dots; \quad F_e = \tilde{F}_e + z^{m_i}$$

where the \tilde{F}_i have degree $\geq m_i + 1$. Now, if $C = \{x = t, y = t^2, z = t^3\}$ is fixed for $(I : \mathfrak{p}_i)_t$, the above equations should become identities in t , but this is clearly impossible for the first one, since $x^{m_i} = t^{m_i}$ while \tilde{F}_1 has degree $\geq m_i + 1$ in t .

If the fixed component is a line $P_i P_j$, we work in the same way, e.g. assuming that the line is given by $\{x = t, y = 0, z = 0\}$.

□

3. Preliminary Lemmata.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 will be given showing first that $\dim(I/J)_t \leq \dim(I_N)_{m_s}$ (Lemma 3.1). Then we will consider several particular cases, with which we will deal with lemmata 3.2 to 3.5. This will leave us only with cases in Remark 3.6.

Lemmata 3.7 to 3.10 describe geometric properties of the cases listed by the Remark, and they will be used in the next section for the proof of the theorem.

From now on, we will always suppose that I, J, I_N are as in Theorem 2.2 and $s \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.1: *For every $t \geq 0$, we have $\dim(I/J)_t \leq \dim(I_N)_{m_s}$.*

Proof: Let $P_s = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$. If $F = F_{m_s}(x_0, x_1, x_2)x_3^{t-m_s} + F_{m_s+1}(x_0, x_1, x_2)x_3^{t-m_s-1} + \dots + F_t(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ is a form of I_t , then it is easy to prove that F_{m_s} , i.e. a polynomial defining the tangent cone to $\{F = 0\}$ in P_s , is a form of $(I_N)_{m_s}$. Since the application $(I/J)_t \rightarrow (I_N)_{m_s}$ that maps the class of F to F_{m_s} is injective, we have the conclusion. \square

Lemma 3.2: *If $n = m_s + 1$ or $n_1 \geq m_s + 1$, then $\dim(I/J)_t = \dim(I_N)_{m_s} = 0$.*

Proof: Trivially $\dim(I_N)_{m_s} = 0$, so, by Lemma 3.1, we are done. \square

Lemma 3.3: *If $n = 0$ and $n_1 = 0$, then $\dim(I/J)_t = \dim(I_N)_{m_s} = \binom{m_s+2}{2}$*

Proof: If $m_{s-1} \geq m_s + 1$, the result follows from [3], Proposition 5. For $m_{s-1} = m_s$ it is easy to extend the above proposition to our case. \square

Lemma 3.4: *Let $n = 0$, $n_1 > 0$ and one of the following cases occurs:*

- a) $s = 2$, and $n_1 \leq m_2$.
- b) $s = 3$ or 4 , and $n_1 + n_2 \leq m_s$.

Then $\dim(I/J)_t = \dim(I_N)_{m_s}$.

Proof: Note that in both cases $\dim(I_N)_{m_s}$ is known since $(I_N)_{m_s}$ is regular (e.g. see [2]).

In case a) suppose $P_1 = [0 : 0 : 1 : 1]$ and $P_2 = [0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$, so $I_t \subseteq (x, y)^{n_1}$.

We have to show that $\dim(I/J)_t \geq \dim(I_N)_{m_s}$, since we have seen (Lemma 3.1) that the opposite inclusion always holds.

Let us consider the monomials (in affine coordinates):

$$x^{m_2}, x^{m_2-1}y, x^{m_2-2}y^2, \dots, y^{m_2};$$

$$zx^{m_2-1}, zx^{m_2-2}y, \dots, zy^{m_2-1};$$

...

$$z^{m_2-n_1}x^{n_1}, z^{m_2-n_1}x^{n_1-1}y, \dots, z^{m_2-n_1}xy^{n_1-1}, z^{m_2-n_1}y^{n_1}.$$

All these monomials have multiplicity m_2 at P_2 , and at least n_1 at P_1 . Since $t - m_2 = m_1 - n_1$, multiplying the above monomials by $(z - 1)^{t - m_2}$ we get linearly independent polynomials of degree t with multiplicity at least m_1 at P_1 and exactly m_2 at P_2 (where they have independent initial forms), hence:

$$\dim(I/J)_t \geq (m_2 + 1) + m_2 + (m_2 - 1) + \dots + (n_1 + 1) = \binom{m_2 + 2}{2} - \binom{n_1 + 1}{2} = \dim(I_N)_{m_2}.$$

So we are done in case *a*).

In case *b*), for $s = 3$, let $P_1 = [0 : 0 : 1 : 1]$, $P_2 = [1 : 0 : 0 : 1]$ and $P_3 = [0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$.

If $n_2 = 0$, we consider the same monomial as above, but with m_3 instead of m_2 ; multiplying them by $(x - z - 1)^{t - m_3}$ we get $\dim(I/J)_t = \dim(I_N)_{m_s}$, as we did above.

If $n_2 > 0$, we consider instead the monomials:

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{m_3 - n_2} y^{n_2}, \dots, xy^{m_3 - 1}, y^{m_3}; \\ & zx^{m_3 - n_2} y^{n_2 - 1}, \dots, zy^{m_3 - 1}; \\ & \dots \\ & z^{n_2} x^{m_3 - n_2} y^0, \dots, z^{n_2} y^{m_3 - n_2}; \\ & z^{n_2 + 1} x^{m_3 - n_2 - 1}, \dots, z^{n_2 + 1} y^{m_3 - n_2 - 1}; \\ & \dots \\ & z^{m_3 - n_1} x^{n_1}, \dots, z^{m_3 - n_1} y^{n_1}. \end{aligned}$$

With the same kind of reasoning as before, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(I/J)_t & \geq (m_3 - n_2 + 1)n_2 + \frac{(m_3 - n_2 + 1 + n_1 + 1)(m_3 - n_2 - n_1 + 1)}{2} = \\ & = \binom{m_3 + 2}{2} - \binom{n_2 + 1}{2} - \binom{n_1 + 1}{2} = \dim(I_N)_{m_3}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $s = 4$ is completely analogous by taking $P_1 = [0 : 0 : 1 : 1]$, $P_2 = [1 : 0 : 0 : 1]$, $P_3 = [0 : 1 : 0 : 1]$ and $P_4 = [0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$, so we leave it to the reader.

□

Lemma 3.5: *Let $n \leq 1$, $n_1 \leq 1$, $s \geq 3$, $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_s = 1$, then $\dim(I/J)_t = \dim(I_N)_{m_s}$.*

Proof: If $3t < s$, we have $n = 1$ and $\mathcal{P}(2)$ does not hold for I_t . It follows that $3t - 5 \geq 0$, so $t \geq 2$, $s \geq 7$, $N = (Q; 1)$. Hence $\dim I_t = 3$, $\dim J_t = 1$, $\dim(I_N)_1 = 2$ and we are done.

Let $3t \geq s$, so $n = 0$. For $t = 1$ we have $s = 3$, $N = (Q_1, Q_2; 1, 1)$ so $\dim I_1 = 1$, $\dim J_1 = 0$, and $\dim(I_N)_1 = 1$. For $t > 1$, we have $n_1 = 0$, and the conclusion follows by Lemma 3.3.

□

In the following remark we list the cases not covered by the previous lemmata (notice that for $2 \leq s \leq 5$ we only have $n = 0$ or $n = m_s + 1$):

Remark 3.6: *In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2.2 the following cases remain to be considered, where we always have $s \geq 3$, $m_1 \geq 2$, $n \leq m_s$, $n_1 \leq m_s$, $n + n_1 > 0$ (hence $t \geq m_1$ and $m_s > 0$):*

- 1) $n_1 + n_2 \geq m_s + 1$;
- 2) $s \geq 6$, $n + n_1 \geq m_s + 1$, $m_1 > m_s$;
- 3) $s \geq 6$, $n + n_1 \geq m_s + 1$, $m_1 = m_s > 1$;
- 4) $s \geq 5$, $m_s \geq n + n_1$, $m_s \geq n_1 + n_2$.

Lemma 3.7: *In case 1) we have:*

- a) *The line Q_1Q_2 is a fixed component for $(I_N)_{m_s}$;*
- b) *The plane $P_1P_2P_s$ is a fixed component for I_t, J_t .*

Proof: Point a) is obvious. For b), since $n_2 \geq 1$, notice that the surfaces of I_t contain the line P_1P_2 with multiplicity $m_1 + m_2 - t$, the line P_1P_s with multiplicity $m_1 + m_s - t = n_1$ and the line P_2P_s with multiplicity $m_2 + m_s - t = n_2$, hence the degree of intersection of the plane $P_1P_2P_s$ with those surfaces is:

$$m_1 + m_2 - t + n_1 + n_2 = n_1 + n_2 - 2m_s + 2t - t + n_1 + n_2 = 2(n_1 + n_2 - m_s) + t \geq t + 2$$

so, by Bezout, the plane has to be a fixed component. □

Lemma 3.8: *In cases 2) and 3) we have:*

- a) *The line Q_1Q is a fixed component for $(I_N)_{m_s}$;*
- b) *The quadric cone on Γ with vertex in P_1 is a fixed component for I_t, J_t .*

Proof: As before, a) is trivial, while b) follows by Bezout, considering the fact that the surfaces in I_t contain the curve C with multiplicity at least n and the lines P_1P_i , $i = 2, \dots, s$, with multiplicity at least $m_1 + m_i - t$. Since $n_1 \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{P}(n)$ holds for I_t , one gets that their multiplicity of intersection with the cone is $\geq 3n + (s - 2)m_1 + \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - (s - 1)t > 3n + (s - 2)m_1 + (s - 5)(n - 1) + 3t - (s - 1)t = (s - 2)(n + m_1 - t - 1) + 2t + 3 = (s - 2)(n + n_1 - m_s - 1) + 2t + 3 \geq 2t + 3$. □

Lemma 3.9: *In case 3) we have:*

- a) *The conic Γ is a fixed component for $(I_N)_{m_s}$;*

b) The quadric cone on Γ with vertex in P_s is a fixed component for I_t, J_t .

Proof: Since $m_1 = m_s$, all the cones on Γ with vertex in a P_i are fixed for I_t , by the previous Lemma, so b) is done.

To show a) we will check, if $m_1 = \dots = m_s = m$, that

$$(1) \quad (s-1)n_1 + n - 1 - 2m \geq 0.$$

Since $n_1 \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{P}(n)$ holds for I_t , we have:

$$(s-5)n < sm - 3t + s - 5 = sm - 3(2m - n_1) + s - 5 \leq (s-5)(n + n_1 - 1) + 3n_1 + s - 5 - m = (s-5)n + (s-2)n_1 - m \text{ thus,}$$

$$(2) \quad m < (s-2)n_1.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{P}(n+1)$ doesn't hold for I_t , we have:

$$(3) \quad (s-5)n \geq sm - 3t = sm - 3(2m - n_1).$$

To prove (1), let us multiply it by $(s-5)$. We get:

$$(s-5)((s-1)n_1 + n - 1 - 2m) \stackrel{\text{(by(3))}}{\geq} sm - 3(2m - n_1) + (s^2 - 6s + 5)n_1 - s + 5 - 2m(s-5) = (s-4)((s-2)n_1 - m - 1) + 1 \stackrel{\text{(by(2))}}{\geq} (s-4)(m+1 - m - 1) + 1 = 1. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.10: In case 4) we have $2m_s \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n$.

Proof: For $s = 5$, we have $n = 0$ and $2m_s \geq 2(n_1 + n_2) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i$.

Let $s \geq 6$. If $n_4 = 0$ the result is obvious since $2m_s \geq n + n_1 + n_1 + n_2 \geq n + n_1 + n_2 + n_3$.

Now let $n_4 > 0$. The case $n = 0$ is not possible; in fact since $t = m_1 + m_s - n_1 = m_2 + m_s - n_2 = m_3 + m_s - n_3$ and $s \geq 6$, we get $3t = 3m_s + m_1 + m_2 + m_3 - n_1 - n_2 - n_3 < \sum_{i=1}^s m_i$.

So, $n_4 > 0, n > 0$. Let $r = \max\{i | n_i > 0\}$, $4 \leq r \leq s-1$; then (since $t = m_1 + m_s - n_1 = \dots = m_r + m_s - n_r$), we have :

$$(4) \quad rt = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i + rm_s - \sum_{i=1}^r n_i.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}(n+1)$ doesn't hold for I_t , we know that $3t - \sum_{i=1}^s m_i + (s-5)n \geq 0$, so:

$$(s-5)n \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - 3t \geq \sum_{i=1}^r m_i + (s-r)m_s - 3t \stackrel{\text{by(4)}}{=} rt - rm_s + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i + (s-r)m_s - 3t = (r-3)(m_1 + m_s - n_1) + (s-2r)m_s + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \geq (r-3)2m_s - (r-3)n_1 + (s-2r)m_s + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i = (s-6)m_s - (r-3)n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \geq (s-6)m_s - (s-4)n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \geq (s-4)(n + n_1) - (s-4)n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i - 2m_s.$$

From this we have: $-n \geq \sum_{i=1}^r n_i - 2m_s$, hence the conclusion. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2.

The proof of the theorem works by induction on $\sum_{i=1}^s m_i$. The first steps of the induction are covered by the lemmata 3.2 to 3.5 in §3; notice that also the trivial case $m_1 = 0$, i.e. Z empty, is covered (by Lemma 3.3).

Now let us consider the cases left open in Remark 3.6.

Case 1) . Since $n_2 \geq 1$, we may consider the following subscheme of N :

$$N' = (Q, Q_1, \dots, Q_{s-1}; n, n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1, n_3, \dots, n_{s-1}).$$

By Lemma 3.7, $(I_N)_t$ has the line Q_1Q_2 as a fixed component, hence we have that

$$\dim(I_N)_{m_s} = \dim(I_{N'})_{m_s-1}.$$

For a similar reason (the plane $P_1P_2P_s$ is a fixed component), we have also:

$$\dim\left(\frac{I}{J}\right)_t = \dim\left(\frac{I'}{J'}\right)_{t-1}$$

where I', J' are the ideals associated respectively to the schemes

$$Z' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, m_3, \dots, m_{s-1}, m_s - 1),$$

$$W' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, m_3, \dots, m_{s-1}, m_s).$$

Thus we are done, since it is quite easy to check that N' is the $(t-1)$ -projection from P_s of the scheme associated to I' and so, by induction hypothesis, we have:

$$\dim\left(\frac{I'}{J'}\right)_{t-1} = \dim(I_{N'})_{m_s-1}.$$

□

Case 2). Note that $n_1 > 0$, $n > 0$. In this case we proceed as above, but the fixed components we "take away" are the quadric cone Λ on Γ with vertex in P_1 and the line Q_1Q which are fixed for I_t, J_t and $(I_N)_{m_s}$ respectively (by Lemma 3.8).

So, let I', J' be the ideal associated to the schemes

$$Z' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 2, m_2 - 1, \dots, m_{s-1} - 1, m_s - 1) = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1', \dots, m_s'),$$

$$W' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 2, m_2 - 1, \dots, m_{s-1} - 1, m_s)$$

respectively, and let

$$N' = (Q, Q_1, \dots, Q_{s-1}; n - 1, n_1 - 1, n_2, \dots, n_{s-1}).$$

Then we have:

$$\dim \left(\frac{I}{J} \right)_t = \dim \left(\frac{I'}{J'} \right)_{t-2} \quad ; \quad \dim(I_N)_{m_s} = \dim(I_{N'})_{m_s-1}.$$

In order to conclude, by induction hypothesis, we have to show that N' is the $(t-2)$ -projection of Z' from P_s , i.e. that the coefficients n, n_1 are the right ones.

In fact, $(m_1 - 2) + (m_s - 1) - (t - 2) = n_1 - 1$; so, if we let n' be the coefficient for Q in the $(t-2)$ -projection of Z' , it only remains to check that $n - 1 = n'$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^s m'_i = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - s - 1$, then for $1 \leq l \leq m_s - 1$, we have $3t + 5(1 - l) - \sum_{i=1}^s (m_i - l + 1)^+ = 3(t - 2) + 5(1 - (l - 1)) - \sum_{i=1}^s (m'_i - (l - 1) + 1)^+$. It follows that $n' = n - 1$. \square

Case 3). Here $m_1 = \dots = m_s \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.9, the conic Γ is a fixed component for $(I_N)_{m_s}$, and the quadric cone on Γ with vertex in P_s is fixed for I_t, J_t ; so, let I', J' be the ideal associated to the schemes

$$Z' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, \dots, m_{s-1} - 1, m_s - 2),$$

$$W' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, \dots, m_{s-1} - 1, m_s - 1)$$

respectively, and let

$$N' = (Q, Q_1, \dots, Q_{s-1}; n - 1, n_1 - 1, \dots, n_{s-1} - 1).$$

We have $\dim(I/J)_t = \dim(I'/J')_{t-2}$, and $\dim(I_N)_{m_s} = \dim(I_{N'})_{m_s-2}$, so if we show that N' is the $(t-2)$ -projection of Z' we are done (by induction).

The kind of computations that are required are similar to the ones shown in the previous cases, so we left them to the reader. \square

Note that in order to apply the inductive hypothesis to W' and Z' we had to use Lemma 3.9, and not Lemma 3.8 (which also applies to this case).

In order to deal with the remaining case, we will show the following lemmata:

Lemma 4.1: *Let $\{h = 0\}$ be the plane $P_1P_2P_s$ and let I', J' be the homogeneous ideals associated respectively to the schemes:*

$$Z' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, m_3, \dots, m_{s-1}, m_s - 1),$$

$$W' = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, m_3, \dots, m_{s-1}, m_s).$$

Then the following sequence (defined via the multiplication by h) is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{I'}{J'} \right)_{t-1} \rightarrow \left(\frac{I}{J} \right)_t \rightarrow \left(\frac{I+(h)}{J+(h)} \right)_t \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof: Consider the exact sequence (defined by multiplication by h):

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{I'}{J'}(-1) \rightarrow \frac{I}{J} \rightarrow \frac{\frac{I}{J}}{\text{Im} \frac{I'}{J'}}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im} \frac{I'}{J'} &= \frac{hI'}{hJ'} = \frac{(h) \cap I}{(h) \cap J} = \frac{(h) \cap I}{(h) \cap J \cap I} = \\ &= \frac{((h) \cap I) + J}{J} = \frac{((h) + J) \cap (J + I)}{J} = \frac{(((h) + J) \cap I)}{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\frac{\frac{I}{J}}{\text{Im} \frac{I'}{J'}} = \frac{\frac{I}{J}}{\frac{((h)+J) \cap I}{J}} = \frac{I}{((h) + J) \cap I} = \frac{I + ((h) + J)}{(h) + J} = \frac{(h) + I}{(h) + J}.$$

□

Lemma 4.2: *In case 4) of Remark 3.6, let*

$$N' = (Q, Q_1, \dots, Q_{s-1}; n, (n_1 - 1)^+, (n_2 - 1)^+, n_3, \dots, n_{s-1}).$$

Then $(I_N)_{m_s}$ and $(I_{N'})_{m_{s-1}}$ are regular.

Proof: The conclusion follows by Lemma 3.10 applying a result by B. Segre (see [6], [2]) which says that the linear system of curves of degree d in \mathbb{P}^2 , with multiplicities $\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_s$ at points P_1, \dots, P_s lying on a non singular conic is regular if and only if

$$d \geq \max\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1, \left\lceil \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i}{2} \right\rceil\}.$$

□

Now let J', I', h be as in Lemma 4.1, and N' as in the Lemma 4.2. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \left(\frac{I'}{J'} \right)_{t-1} & \rightarrow & \left(\frac{I}{J} \right)_t & \rightarrow & \left(\frac{I+(h)}{J+(h)} \right)_t \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \psi \\ 0 & \rightarrow & (I_{N'})_{m_{s-1}} & \rightarrow & (I_N)_{m_s} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{K} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & \text{coker} \phi & & \text{coker} \psi \end{array}$$

The first exact sequence comes from Lemma 4.1 and the second is defined via multiplication by a linear form defining the line Q_1Q_2 (\mathbf{K} being its cokernel). The first vertical sequence is exact by induction hypothesis, the map ϕ (if we take P_s to be the origin) comes from the map which associates to each $F \in I_t$ the tangent cone to $\{F = 0\}$ (whose equation lies in $(I_N)_{m_s}$). We know, by Lemma 3.1, that ϕ is injective, while the map ψ is injective by the Snake's Lemma.

From Lemma 4.2 it follows (notations as above):

Corollary 4.3: *In case 4) of Remark 3.6 we have $\dim \mathbf{K} = m_s + 1 - n_1 - n_2$.*

Proof: Since $(I_N)_{m_s}$ and $(I_{N'})_{m_s-1}$ are regular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathbf{K} &= \dim(I_N)_{m_s} - \dim(I_{N'})_{m_s-1} = \\ &= \binom{m_s+2}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \binom{n_i+1}{2} - \binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{m_s+1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \binom{n_i+1}{2} - n_1 - n_2 + \binom{n+1}{2} = \\ & \quad m_s + 1 - n_1 - n_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus if we prove that, in case 4), $\dim \left(\frac{I+(h)}{J+(h)} \right)_t \geq m_s + 1 - n_1 - n_2$, then the map ψ will be surjective, and we will be done (ϕ will be surjective too, hence an isomorphism).

First we deal with a particular case of 4), namely $n_1 = 0$. We will prove

Lemma 4.4: *Let $s \geq 5$, $n \leq m_s$, $m_s > 0$ and $n_1 = 0$ (i.e. $t \leq m_1 + m_s$). Then*

$$\dim \left(\frac{I+(h)}{J+(h)} \right)_t \geq m_s + 1.$$

Proof: We have to find $m_s + 1$ linearly independent forms in I such that their classes remain independent modulo $(J+(h)) \cap I$ (see proof of Lemma 4.1).

Let G_1, G_2 be the quadric forms defining the cones on Γ with vertices in P_1, P_2 respectively.

Let $m_1 = \dots = m_s$. Then it is easy to check that the forms $G_1^x G_2^y H^{t-2m_s}$ give what we want, when H is a plane not containing any of the P_i 's and $x + y = m_s$.

If $m_s = 1$, $m_1 > m_s$, we consider a form $S \in (\mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1-2} \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^{m_2-1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s-1}^{m_{s-1}-1})_{t-2}$ which is not zero at P_s . In order to prove that such S exists, the following Lemma (from [3], Lemma 4) will be useful:

Lemma 4.5: *Let $P_1, \dots, P_\lambda, P \in \mathbb{P}^r$ be distinct points in general (linear) position and let $Y = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_\lambda^{m_\lambda}$, with $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_\lambda \geq 0$. If $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $rt \geq \sum_{i=1}^\lambda m_i$ and $t \geq m_1$, then we can find a form $F \in Y_t$ avoiding P .*

So, by this lemma, to check that S exists, it suffices to show that

$$3(t-2) \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - (s+1), \quad t-2 \geq m_1 - 2, \quad \text{and} \quad t-2 \geq m_2 - 1.$$

The first inequality is verified, since $n \leq m_s = 1$ and $s \geq 5$ imply that $\mathcal{P}(2)$ does not hold for I_t , i.e. $3t-5 \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - s$. The other two because $n_1 = 0$, hence $t \geq m_1 + m_s = m_1 + 1$.

In the same way one can find a form $S' \in (\mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1-1} \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^{(m_2-2)^+} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s-1}^{m_{s-1}-1})_{t-2}$ which is not zero at P_s . We only notice that for $m_2 = 1$, we have to check that $3(t-2) \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - s = m_1 - 1$, and this follows from $t \geq m_1 + 1$.

Then the forms $G_1 S$, and $G_2 S'$ will give what we want.

Now let $m_s > 1$ and $m_1 > m_s$. We can work by induction on $\sum_{i=1}^s m_i$, using the previous cases as initial steps).

If $m_1 > m_2$, consider the homogeneous ideals I^*, J^* associated to the schemes

$$Z^* = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 2, m_2 - 1, m_3 - 1, \dots, m_s - 1) = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1^*, m_2^*, \dots, m_s^*),$$

$$W^* = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 2, m_2 - 1, \dots, m_{s-1} - 1, m_s) = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1^*, m_2^*, \dots, m_s^* + 1).$$

By induction we get that there exist forms $F_1, \dots, F_{m_s} \in I^* + (h)$ such that their classes in $\frac{I^* + (h)}{J^* + (h)}$ are linearly independent. Let us check that $t-2, I^*, J^*$ verify the hypotheses of the Lemma; since $\mathcal{P}(m_s + 1)$ doesn't hold for I_t , then

$$3t - 5m_s \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - sm_s.$$

It follows $3(t-2) + 5(1-m_s) \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - sm_s - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i^* + s - sm_s$, so (with obvious notation) $n^* \leq m_s - 1 = m_s^*$. Moreover $n_1^* = 0$, in fact $t-2 \geq m_1 + m_s - 2 \geq m_1^* + m_s^*$, and $m_1^* \geq m_2^* \geq \dots \geq m_s^* > 0$, since $m_1 > m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_s > 1$.

Hence we can consider the forms $G_1 F_1, \dots, G_1 F_{m_s}$ which are independent modulo $(J + (h)) \cap I$, as required.

We have to find another one, so consider the number: $A = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - m_1 - (s-1)m_s$. When $A \geq m_s$, consider the quadric forms G_2, \dots, G_{s-1} defining the cones on Γ with vertices in P_2, \dots, P_{s-1} respectively, and let $F = G_2^{m_2 - m_s} G_3^{m_3 - m_s} \dots$, where we go on with the products until we get m_s factors (i.e. $\deg F = 2m_s$). Let $F \in \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_s} \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^{m'_2} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s-1}^{m'_{s-1}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s}$, then we can choose another form $S \in (\mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1 - m_s} \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^{m_2 - m'_2} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s-1}^{m_{s-1} - m'_{s-1}})_{t-2m_s}$, not passing through P_s (this is possible by Lemma 4.5 since $t-2m_s \geq m_1 - m_s$, and $3(t-2m_s) \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - sm_s - m_s$).

The forms $FS, G_1 F_1, \dots, G_1 F_{m_s}$ are what we want.

When $A < m_s$ we consider $F = G_2^{m_2 - A} G_3^{m_3 - m_s} \dots G_{s-1}^{m_{s-1} - m_s}$ and $S \in \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1 - m_s}$ not passing through P_s (again, it exists since $t-2m_s \geq m_1 - m_s$ and $3(t-2m_s) \geq m_1 - m_s$).

$FS, G_1F_1, \dots, G_1F_{m_s}$ are the forms that we want.

Finally, when $m_s > 1$, $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_r > m_{r+1}$, $r < s$, we proceed as before, but starting with ideals I^*, J^* associated to the schemes:

$$Z^* = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, \dots, m_{r-1} - 1, m_r - 2, m_{r+1} - 1, \dots, m_s - 1) = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1^*, \dots, m_s^*),$$

$$W^* = (P_1, \dots, P_s; m_1 - 1, \dots, m_{r-1} - 1, m_r - 2, m_{r+1} - 1, \dots, m_{s-1} - 1, m_s),$$

so that $m_1^* \geq m_2^* \geq \dots \geq m_s^* > 0$, $\{h^* = 0\}$ is the plane $P_1P_2P_s$ again, and we use G_r instead of G_1 .

□

With the following proposition the proof of Theorem 2.2 will be complete (by Corollary 4.3).

Proposition 4.6: *In case 4) of Remark 3.6 we have:*

$$\dim \left(\frac{I + (h)}{J + (h)} \right)_t \geq m_s + 1 - n_1 - n_2.$$

Hence the map ψ is surjective.

Proof: If $n_1 = 0$ the conclusion follows by Lemma 4.4.

Assume $n_1 > 0$. Let us consider the form $S = G_1^{n_1 - n_2} \cdot G_s^{n_2}$, where, as usual, G_i defines the cone on Γ with vertex in P_i .

The form S has degree $2n_1$, multiplicity $2n_1 - n_2$ at P_1 , n_1 at P_2, \dots, P_{s-1} and $n_1 + n_2$ at P_s .

Now let

$$I^* = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1 - 2n_1 + n_2} \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^{m_2 - n_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s-1}^{m_{s-1} - n_1} \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s - n_1 - n_2} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1^*} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s^*}$$

and

$$J^* = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1 - 2n_1 + n_2} \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^{m_2 - n_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s-1}^{m_{s-1} - n_1} \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s - n_1 - n_2 + 1}.$$

It is easy to check that $m_1^* \geq m_2^* \geq \dots \geq m_s^* \geq 0$.

Since $t \geq 2n_1$ and any base of $\left(\frac{I^* + (h)}{J^* + (h)} \right)_{t-2n_1}$, when multiplied by S , gives independent elements in $\left(\frac{I + (h)}{J + (h)} \right)_{t-2n_1}$, we have

$$\dim \left(\frac{I + (h)}{J + (h)} \right)_t \geq \dim \left(\frac{I^* + (h)}{J^* + (h)} \right)_{t-2n_1}.$$

Hence we will be finished if we show that

$$(5) \quad \dim \left(\frac{I^* + (h)}{J^* + (h)} \right)_{t-2n_1} \geq m_s - n_1 - n_2 + 1.$$

Let us consider first the case when $m_s = n_1 + n_2$. In this situation we just have to find one form F in $I_{t-2n_1}^*$ which is not zero at P_s .

By Lemma 4.5 it suffices to check that :

- a) $3(t - 2n_1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - (s + 1)n_1$;
- b) $t - 2n_1 \geq m_1 - 2n_1 + n_2$.

Since $n \leq m_s - n_1 = n_2 \leq n_1$, then $\mathcal{P}(n_1 + 1)$ does not hold for I_t , i.e. $3t - 5n_1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - sn_1$, hence a) holds.

From $m_s = n_1 + n_2$ we get $t = m_1 + m_s - n_1 = m_1 + n_2$, which is b).

Now let $m_s > n_1 + n_2$. If we can apply Lemma 4.4 to $I^*, J^*, h, t - 2n_1$, we get exactly the inequality (5) and we will be done. Thus let us check that the hypotheses of Lemma 4.4 are satisfied.

We have $m^* = m_s - n_1 - n_2 > 0$, so the number of non-zero exponents in I^* is exactly $s \geq 5$.

We also have $t - 2n_1 = (m_1 + m_s - n_1) - 2n_1 = m_1^* + m_s^*$.

Finally since $n \leq m_s$, we have that $\mathcal{P}(n + 1)$ does not hold for I_t , i.e. $3t - 5n \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i - sn$. This implies that $3(t - 2n_1) - 5(n - n_1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^s m_i^* - s(n - n_1)$. Hence, for $n \geq n_1$, we get (with obvious notation) $n^* \leq n - n_1 \leq m_s - n_1 - n_1 \leq m_s - n_1 - n_2 = m_s^*$. For $n < n_1$, since $s \geq 5$, we have $n^* = 0 \leq m_s^*$.

Thus in both cases we get $n^* \leq m_s^*$, so all the hypotheses of Lemma 4.4 are satisfied, and the proof is complete.

□

REFERENCES

- [1]: R.Achilles, P.Schenzel, W.Vogel: *Bemerkungen uber Normale Flachheit und Normale Torsionfreiheit und Anwendungen*. Per. Mat. Hung. **12**, (1981), 49-75.
- [2]: M.V.Catalisano: "Fat" points on a conic. Comm. Algebra **19**(8), (1991), 2513-2168.
- [3]: M.V.Catalisano, Trung, G.Valla: *A sharp bound for the regularity index of fat points in general position*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 717-724.
- [4]: J.Harris: *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, Grad. Texts in Math. **133** (1992), Berlin, New York.

[5]: L.Robbiano: *An algebraic property of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^N$* . Comm.in Alg. **7**, (1979), 641-655.

[6] : B.Segre: *Alcune questioni su insiemi finiti di punti in Geometria Algebrica*. Atti Convegno Internazionale di Geometria Algebrica. Torino (1961), 15-33.

[7] : N.V.Trung, G.Valla: *Upper bounds for the regularity index of fat points with uniform position property*. J. Algebra, to appear.

Address of the authors: M.V.Catalisano: Dip. di Matematica, Univ. di Genova, Via L.B.Alberti 4, Genova, Italy. *e-mail*: catalisa@dima.unige.it

A.Gimigliano: Dip. di Matematica, Univ. di Bologna, P.zza di Porta S.Donato 5, Bologna, Italy. *e-mail*: gimiglia@dm.unibo.it