

# On discrete Zariski-dense subgroups of algebraic groups

by

Jörg Winkelmann

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a local field, i.e. a field equipped with an absolute value inducing a non-discrete locally compact topology. (It is well-known that any local field  $k$  is isomorphic to one of the following:  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , a finite extension of a field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers or  $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field.) Then every  $k$ -variety admits two topologies: the Zariski-topology and a Hausdorff topology induced by the absolute value on  $k$ . We are now interested in subgroups of  $k$ -groups for which these two topologies are as different as possible, i.e. groups which are discrete in the Hausdorff topology, but dense in the Zariski-topology.

A main motivation for our investigation was the following. For complex linear-algebraic groups a discrete cocompact subgroup is necessarily Zariski-dense. There are known obstructions to the existence of discrete cocompact subgroups, namely only unimodular groups may admit discrete cocompact subgroups. Thus one may ask whether these obstructions actually prohibit only discrete cocompact subgroups or prohibit *all* Zariski-dense discrete subgroups. It turns out that there are many groups (in particular all non-solvable complex parabolic groups) which do admit discrete Zariski-dense subgroups although they do not admit any discrete cocompact subgroup. On the other hand there do exist linear-algebraic groups without any discrete Zariski-dense subgroup at all. The goal of this article is to give criteria as precise as possible for the existence and non-existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups.

For non-solvable groups the main result is:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected non-solvable  $k$ -group, defined over a local field  $k$ . Let  $R$  denote the radical. For  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G/R(k)$  is non-compact in the Hausdorff topology.
- (ii)  $G(k)/R(k)$  is non-compact in the Hausdorff topology.
- (iii)  $G/R$  is  $k$ -isotropic, i.e. contains a positive-dimensional  $k$ -split torus.
- (iv)  $G(k)$  is not amenable.
- (v) There exists a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup in  $G(k)$ .

For  $k = \mathbb{C}$  this boils down to the following

**Theorem 1’.** *Let  $G$  be a non-solvable connected complex linear-algebraic group. Then  $G$  admits a subgroup  $\Gamma$  which is discrete in the Hausdorff topology and dense in the Zariski-topology.*

Since parabolic complex groups never contain lattices (they are never unimodular), this implies that there exist complex groups which admit discrete Zariski-dense subgroups although they do not admit lattices.

For  $\text{char}(k) > 0$  we have partial results, in particular the implications  $(v) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (ii)$  of Theorem 1 still hold.

Concerning solvable groups we obtain quite different results for the various local fields. In the complex case, i.e.  $k = \mathbb{C}$  we have a number of partial results.

**Theorem 2.**

*Reductive groups. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive solvable group, i.e.  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ . Then for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$  there exists a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  in  $G$  with  $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^k, +)$ . (This is well-known).*

*Unipotent groups. A unipotent complex group  $G$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup if and only if  $G$  admits a real-algebraic subgroup  $G_0$  which may be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and is not contained in any proper complex subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Borel groups. A Borel group in a simple complex group never admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup. In contrast, Borel groups in  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  contain Zariski-dense discrete subgroups.*

*Metabelian groups.*

- (i) *Assume that  $G = (\mathbb{C}^*) \ltimes_{\rho} (\mathbb{C}^k, +)$ . Then unimodularity (i.e.  $\rho(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset SL_k(\mathbb{C})$ ) is a necessary but in general not sufficient condition for the existence of a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.*
- (ii) *A Borel group  $B$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  is an example for a semidirect product  $B \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \ltimes (\mathbb{C}^2, +)$  which is not unimodular but nevertheless admits a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup.*
- (iii) *(Otte-Potters): Let  $T$  be a maximal torus in  $S = SL_k(\mathbb{C})$  and  $G = T \ltimes_{\rho} (\mathbb{C}^k, +)$  with  $\rho$  given by the usual  $S$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^k$ . Then  $G$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete cocompact subgroup.*

For  $k = \mathbb{R}$  we obtain the following

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a connected solvable  $\mathbb{R}$ -group. Then the following conditions are necessary, but in general not sufficient for the existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups in  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .*

- (i) *The commutator group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  may be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*
- (ii) *The group is unimodular, i.e.  $\text{Ad}(G) \subset SL(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

If  $G$  is unipotent, then condition (i) is sufficient for the existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups (Condition (ii) is automatically fulfilled, if  $G$  is nilpotent).

Finally, for non-archimedean fields in characteristic zero we are able to provide a complete description.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected solvable  $k$ -group,  $k$  a non-archimedean local field with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Let  $U$  denote the unipotent radical and  $T$  a maximal  $k$ -split torus. Then there exists a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup of  $G(k)$  if and only if the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

- (i)  $G$  is commutative.
- (ii)  $\dim T \geq \max\{1, \dim U\}$ .

We basically use the notation of [1] and [6]. In particular a  $k$ -group  $G$  is a linear-algebraic group defined over a field  $k$  and  $G(k)$  denotes the group of  $k$ -rational points. For a local field  $k$ ,  $G(k)$  carries an induced Hausdorff topology. Topological terms refer to this Hausdorff topology. Topological notions concerning the Zariski topology are preceded by the prefix "Zariski-". For  $k = \mathbb{C}$  the notions *connected* and *Zariski-connected* coincide.

## 2. ARITHMETIC GROUPS

Let  $k$  be a local field and  $G$  a simple group defined over  $k$ . Then there exists an *arithmetic* subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G(k)$ , which is a lattice (i.e. discrete with finite covolume) by the Borel-Harish-Chandra-Behr-Harder reduction theorem. Due to the Borel-Wang Density theorem  $\Gamma$  is Zariski-dense unless  $G$  is  $k$ -anisotropic. (For a local field  $k$  a reductive group  $G$  is  $k$ -anisotropic if and only if  $G(k)$  is compact in the Hausdorff topology [6,2.3.6. p.54]). Together this yields the following

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected semisimple  $k$ -group without  $k$ -anisotropic factors,  $k$  a local field. Then  $G(k)$  contains a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup which furthermore is a lattice.*

In particular every connected complex semisimple group and every connected real semisimple group without compact factor admit a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup.

## 3. PREPARATIONS

We need the following theorem of Tits on the existence of free subgroups in linear groups.

**Theorem B (Tits).** *Let  $G$  be a semisimple linear-algebraic group defined over a local field  $k$ ,  $\Lambda$  a Zariski-dense subgroup of  $G(k)$ . Then  $\Lambda$  contains a free subgroup  $H$  with infinitely*

many generators  $f_i$ , such that any two of these  $f_i$  generate a Zariski-dense subgroup of  $G$ .

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a linear-algebraic group defined over a local field  $k$ ,  $\Lambda$  a subgroup. Then either  $\Lambda$  contains a non-commutative free subgroup or it contains a solvable subgroup of finite index.*

For  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  the theorem is Th.3 in [11]. For  $\text{char}(k) > 0$  it follows from Th. 4 in [11], because in a local field of positive characteristic only finitely many elements are algebraic over the prime field. (This follows from the result that such a field is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$  for some finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .)

Note that such a statement does not hold for arbitrary (i.e. not local) fields. For instance, let  $k$  be an algebraic closure of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then  $G = SL_2(k)$  is a group which contains neither a solvable subgroup of finite index nor a free subgroup. In fact any finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  is finite. See [11] for details. We will need a result in order to control the image of  $k$ -rational points under a  $k$ -morphism.

**Theorem C (Borel-Serre [2,p.153]).** *Let  $k$  be a local field of characteristic zero,  $G, H$   $k$ -groups,  $\rho : G \rightarrow H$  a surjective  $k$ -group homomorphism. Then  $\rho(G(k))$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $H(k)$ .*

Unfortunately such a statement does not hold in positive characteristic.

**Example 1.** Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$ ,  $G_m$  the multiplicative group of the field (i.e.  $G_m(k) = (k^*, \cdot)$ ) and  $\rho : G_m \rightarrow G_m$  the group morphism given by  $\rho(x) = x^p$ . Then  $\rho(G_m(k)) = \{\sum_k a_k t^{kp}\}$  and the natural group homomorphism  $\pi : G_m(k) \rightarrow G_m(k)/\rho(G_m(k)) = Q$  maps  $S = \{1 + t + \sum_{k>0} a_k t^{kp}\}$  injectively into  $Q$ , hence  $\rho(G_m(k))$  has infinite index in  $\rho(G_m(k))$ .

#### 4. NON-AMENABLE GROUPS

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $k$  be a local field of characteristic zero,  $G$  be a Zariski-connected  $k$ -group. Assume that  $G/R(k)$  is not compact, i.e. not  $k$ -anisotropic.*

*Then  $G(k)$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.*

*Proof.* By assumption there exists a surjective  $k$ -group morphism  $\rho$  from  $G$  to a simple  $k$ -isotropic group  $S$ .  $S(k)$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup  $\Lambda$ .  $I = \rho(G(k))$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $S(k)$ . Thus  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda \cap I$  is of finite index in  $\Lambda$ , hence Zariski-dense and discrete in  $S(k)$ . Now  $\Lambda_1$  contains a countable infinite subset  $F = \{a_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  such that the elements of  $F$  are free generators of a discrete subgroup  $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda_1$  and any two elements of  $F$  generate a Zariski-dense subgroup of  $S$ . Choose  $b_0, b_1 \in G(k)$  such

that  $\rho(b_i) = a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and let  $H$  denote the Zariski-closure of the subgroup generated by  $b_0$  and  $b_1$ . Now  $\rho$  maps  $H$  surjectively on  $S$ , since  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  generate a Zariski-dense subgroup of  $S$ . Hence  $\rho(H(k))$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $S(k)$ . Choose  $c_i \in H(k)$ ,  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $i \geq 2$ ), such that  $\rho(c_i) = a_i^{n_i}$ . Let  $\Sigma = \{s_i : i \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq 2\}$  be a countable Zariski-dense subset of  $A(k)$  where  $A$  denotes the kernel of  $\rho : G \rightarrow S$ . Finally choose  $b_i \in G(k)$  for  $i \geq 2$  such that  $b_i = c_i \cdot s_i$  and let  $\Gamma$  denote the subgroup of  $G(k)$  generated by the elements  $b_i$  ( $i \geq 0$ ). The map  $\rho$  maps  $\Gamma$  injectively into  $\Lambda$ , hence  $\Gamma$  is discrete. Furthermore the construction implies that  $\Gamma$  is Zariski-dense in  $G$ .  $\square$

In the above proof we used the assumption  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  at two points: First we used that for a perfect field  $k$  the radical of a  $k$ -group is defined over  $k$ . (A local field  $k$  is perfect if and only if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ .) Second we used that for a surjective  $k$ -group homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow H$  the image of the  $k$ -rational points  $\rho(G(k))$  has finite index in  $H(k)$ . By strengthening the assumptions one may circumvent these problems and obtain the following result.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $k$  be a local field of arbitrary characteristic,  $S$  a  $k$ -isotropic simple  $k$ -group,  $H$  a  $k$ -group and  $G$  a  $k$ -group which is  $k$ -isomorphic to a semi-direct product  $S \ltimes H$ .*

*Then  $G(k)$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.*

*Proof.* The assumptions imply the existence of a  $k$ -group homomorphism  $\rho$  from  $G$  to  $S$  which maps  $G(k)$  surjectively on  $S(k)$ . Thus the proof for  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  can be carried over with the following modification. Using the semi-direct product structure we may choose  $b_0, b_1$  inside the factor isomorphic to  $S$ . Then  $H$  contains the factor isomorphic to  $S$  and consequently  $H(k)$  maps surjectively on  $S(k)$  by  $\rho$ .  $\square$

## 5. AMENABLE GROUPS

**Definition.** A locally compact topological group is called *amenable* if for every continuous action on a compact metrizable topological space there exists an invariant probability measure.

For any  $k$ -group defined over a local field  $k$  the group  $G(k)$  of  $k$ -rational points is a locally compact topological group in the Hausdorff topology. Thus we may apply the theory of amenable groups. We summarize some important properties of amenable groups. (see [4] [12] for proofs and details).

- i) Compact groups are amenable,
- ii) Solvable groups are amenable,
- iii) Free discrete groups (with more than one generator) are not amenable,

- iv) If there is an exact sequence of topological groups  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , then  $B$  is amenable iff  $A$  and  $C$  are amenable.
- v) Closed subgroups of amenable groups are amenable.

Using these facts we can determine completely (for  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ ) when  $G(k)$  is amenable.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $k$  be a local field,  $G$  a  $k$ -group,  $R$  the radical of  $G$ . For  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  the following properties are equivalent:*

- 1)  $G/R$  is  $k$ -anisotropic, i.e.  $G/R$  does not contain any positive-dimensional  $k$ -split torus;
- 2)  $G/R(k)$  is compact;
- 3)  $G(k)/R(k)$  is compact;
- 4)  $G(k)$  is amenable.

*Proof.* For 1)  $\iff$  2) see [6]. The equivalence 2)  $\iff$  3) follows from Theorem C, because  $G(k)/R(k)$  is closed in  $G/R(k)$  ([3,3.18.]). The implication 3)  $\Rightarrow$  4) is a direct consequence of the above listed properties on amenable groups (i), ii) & iv)). Finally, if  $G/R(k)$  is not compact, then there exists a discrete subgroup of  $G(k)$  containing a free subgroup (Th. A & B). But an amenable group cannot contain a closed discrete non-commutative free subgroup. Hence 4) implies 2).  $\square$

There is a similar result for arbitrary connected real Lie groups, see [12, 4.1.9 on p.62].

In positive characteristic the radical  $R$  is not necessarily defined over  $k$ , hence 1) and 2) do not make sense. Even if  $R$  is defined over  $k$ , it is not clear whether  $G(k)/R(k)$  has finite index in  $G/R(k)$ . Therefore it is not clear whether 2) and 3) are equivalent. But at least the implication 3)  $\Rightarrow$  4) is true in any characteristic.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected  $k$ -group defined over a local field  $k$ . Assume that  $G(k)$  is amenable and that there exists a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G(k)$ .*

*Then  $G$  is solvable.*

*Proof.* Amenability of  $G$  implies amenability of  $\Gamma$ . By the result of Tits either  $\Gamma$  contains a free subgroup or a solvable subgroup of finite index. Since the former is ruled out by amenability of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  contains a solvable subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of finite index which is still Zariski-dense in  $G$ . Hence  $G$  is solvable.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected  $k$ -group defined over a local field  $k$ ,  $R$  its radical. Assume that  $G/R(k)$  is compact, but  $G \neq R$ .*

*Then  $G(k)$  does not contain any Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.*

## 6. AN OBSTRUCTION FOR SOLVABLE GROUPS

We will now derive an obstruction to the existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups in solvable groups.

For  $A, B \subset G$  let  $[A, B]$  denote the subgroup generated by the commutators  $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A, B$  algebraic subgroups in  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $A \cap \Gamma$  and  $B \cap \Gamma$  are Zariski-dense in  $A$  resp.  $B$ .*

*Then  $[A, B] \cap \Gamma$  is Zariski-dense in  $[A, B]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  denote the set of commutators  $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  and  $C^n = C \cdot \dots \cdot C$ . For  $n$  sufficiently large the natural morphism  $(A \times B)^n \rightarrow C^n \rightarrow [A, B]$  is dominant and therefore maps the Zariski-dense subset  $((A \cap \Gamma) \times (B \cap \Gamma))^n$  onto a Zariski-dense subset  $\Lambda$  in  $[A, B]$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** For a group  $G$  let  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  denote the smallest collection of subgroups of  $G$  such that  $G \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  and  $[A, B] \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ .

The collected  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  contains in particular all subgroups of the derived and the central series. Every  $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  is a normal subgroup and for a  $k$ -group  $G$  every  $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  is defined over  $k$  ([1,p.58]). For a Zariski-connected group  $G$  every  $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  is again Zariski-connected.

We will use this notation to deduce an obstruction to the existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $H$  be a Zariski-connected one-dimensional  $k$ -group,  $k$  a local field,  $\Gamma \subset H(k)$  an infinite discrete subgroup. Let  $A$  denote the group of all  $k$ -group automorphisms of  $H$  stabilizing  $\Gamma$ .*

*Then  $A$  is finite.*

*Proof.* If  $H$  is  $\bar{k}$ -isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $G_m$ , then the group of all automorphisms of  $H$  is finite (because  $z \rightarrow z^{-1}$  is the only non-trivial automorphism of  $G_m$ ). Hence we may assume that  $H \simeq G_a$ . This isomorphism is given over some finite extension field  $k'$  of  $k$ . ([1,Th.10.9 & Remark]). We may replace  $k$  by  $k'$ , i.e. we may assume that  $H \simeq G_a$  as  $k$ -groups. Now any  $k$ -group automorphism of  $H$  is given by  $\mu_\lambda : z \mapsto \lambda z$  for some  $\lambda \in k^*$ . Since  $k$  is locally compact and  $\Gamma$  discrete, there exists an element  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $|\gamma_0| \leq |g|$  for all  $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ . This easily implies that  $|\lambda| = 1$  for all  $\mu_\lambda \in A$ . Now the quotient map from  $A$  to the  $A$ -orbit  $A(\gamma_0)$  is injective and  $A(\gamma_0) \subset \{x : |x| = |\gamma_0|\}$ . Since  $\{x : |x| = c\}$  is compact for all  $c$ , it follows that  $A$  is finite.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $k$  be a local field,  $G$  a Zariski-connected  $k$ -group,  $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ . Assume that  $H$  is one-dimensional and not central. Then  $G$  does not admit any discrete Zariski-dense subgroup.*

**Remark.** (1) Though not stated explicitly, the assumptions of this proposition imply that  $G$  is solvable. To see this, note that for each  $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  there exists a subgroup  $I$  in the derived series of  $G$  such that  $I \subset H$ . It follows that  $G$  is solvable as soon as there exists a solvable subgroup  $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ .

(2) As we will see below, solvable non-commutative  $p$ -adic groups never admit Zariski-dense discrete subgroups. Hence this proposition is interesting only for  $k = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\text{char}(k) > 0$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.* Assume that there exists a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G(k)$ . Thanks to Lemma 2 it is clear that  $A \cap \Gamma$  must be Zariski-dense in  $A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ . Hence  $H \cap \Gamma$  is infinite. Now  $\Gamma$  acts by conjugation on  $H$ , stabilizing  $H \cap \Gamma$ . With the help of the preceding lemma this implies that  $\Gamma$  contains a subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of finite index such that  $\Gamma_0$  centralizes  $H$ . Since  $H$  is not central in  $G$ , this contradicts the assumption that  $\Gamma$  is Zariski-dense in  $G$ .  $\square$

We will now apply this to Borel groups.

**Corollary.** *Let  $S$  be a Zariski-connected simple  $k$ -group and assume that there exists a Borel subgroup  $G$  defined over  $k$ . Then  $G(k)$  does not admit any discrete Zariski-dense subgroup.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the one-dimensional unipotent subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to the maximal root. It is easy to check that  $H$  is not central and  $H \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

As we will see below, simplicity is an essential condition for this result. We will demonstrate that a Borel group  $B$  of the semisimple complex linear-algebraic group  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  does admit discrete Zariski-dense subgroups.



## 7. UNIPOTENT GROUPS IN CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $U$  be a unipotent  $k$ -group,  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Then every (non-trivial) element of  $U$  is of infinite order and  $U(k)$  contains a Zariski-dense subgroup generated by  $r = \dim(U)$  elements.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $U$  is  $k$ -split, i.e. admits a sequence of  $k$ -subgroups  $G = G_0 \supset \dots \supset G_s = \{e\}$  with  $\dim G_i/G_{i+1} = 1$ . [1, Cor. 15.5 (ii) on p.205]. Furthermore for  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  every one-dimensional unipotent  $k$ -group is  $k$ -isomorphic to  $G_a$  ([1, Th.10.9 & Remark below]). Using these facts the assertions of the lemma follows easily by induction on  $\dim(U)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $U$  be a commutative unipotent  $k$ -group,  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ ,  $g \in U \setminus \{e\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}(g) \subset U$  the subgroup generated by  $g$ . Then the Zariski-closure of  $\mathbb{Z}(g)$  is one-dimensional.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the fact that  $U$  is  $\bar{k}$ -isomorphic to a vector group  $G_a^n$  ([10, p.171]).  $\square$

By induction one obtains the following consequence.

**Corollary.** *Let  $U$  be a commutative unipotent  $k$ -group,  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ ,  $\Gamma$  a Zariski-dense subgroup. Then  $\Gamma$  can not be generated by less than  $\dim(U)$  elements.*

Combining these two lemmata we obtain

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $U$  be a commutative unipotent  $k$ -group,  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Then  $U$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to the vector group  $(G_a)^r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r = \dim(U)$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in U(k)$  generators of a Zariski-dense subgroup. Let  $\mathbb{Z}(g_i)$  denote the subgroups generated by each  $g_i$  and  $H_i$  the Zariski-closure of the  $\mathbb{Z}(g_i)$ . Since  $g_i \in U(k)$ , the  $H_i$  are defined over  $k$  ([1, AG 14.4]). Furthermore the  $H_i$  are one-dimensional and  $k$ -isomorphic to  $G_a$ . Since  $U$  is commutative, the  $k$ -subgroups  $H_i$  induce a  $k$ -group homomorphism  $\rho$  from  $H = \prod H_i \simeq (G_a)^r$  to  $U$ . Now  $\rho$  maps a Zariski-dense subgroup of  $H(k)$  onto the Zariski-dense subgroup  $\Gamma \subset U(k)$  generated by the  $g_i$  and is therefore dominant and defined over  $k$  ([3, 1.4.]). A dominant  $k$ -group morphism between  $k$ -groups of the same dimension is surjective with finite kernel. But  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  implies that  $(G_a)^r$  has no non-trivial finite subgroup. Thus  $\rho$  is bijective. Again using  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  it follows that  $\rho$  is an isomorphism. Finally, the inverse  $\rho^{-1}$  maps the Zariski-dense set  $\Gamma \subset U(k)$  into  $H(k)$  and is therefore likewise defined over  $k$ .  $\square$

Such a statement does not hold in positive characteristic, consider e.g. Witt groups [10,VII] or Examples 3 and 4 in Section 9.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $U$  be a commutative unipotent  $k$ -group,  $k$  an archimedean local field (i.e.  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Then  $U$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $U$  be a commutative unipotent  $k$ -group,  $k$  a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero,  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated subgroup of  $U(k)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is relatively compact in  $U(k)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the ultrametric condition via  $U(k) \simeq G_a(k)^r$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $G$  be a commutative unipotent  $k$ -group,  $k$  non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero.*

*Then  $G(k)$  contains no discrete subgroups except  $\{e\}$ .*

*Proof.* Using induction on  $\dim(G)$  it is easy to prove that for  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  a unipotent  $k$ -group cannot contain a non-trivial finite subgroup. Therefore the preceding corollary implies that  $\{e\}$  is the only finitely generated discrete subgroup of  $G(k)$ . Finally note that any discrete group must contain a finitely generated subgroup.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $G$  be a unipotent  $k$ -group,  $k$  a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero.*

*Then  $G(k)$  contains no discrete subgroups except  $\{e\}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Since every element in  $G(k)$  is of infinite order, such a discrete subgroup would contain a subgroup  $\Gamma$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But then the Zariski-closure of  $\Gamma$  would be a commutative unipotent  $k$ -group, thus contradicting the preceding corollary.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected solvable  $k$ -group for a non-archimedean local field  $k$  with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Then any discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G(k)$  is commutative. In particular  $G(k)$  cannot admit any discrete Zariski-dense subgroup unless  $G$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* By standard results on solvable groups the commutator group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  of  $G$  is unipotent. Thus for any discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G(k)$  we have  $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{D}(G)(k) = \{e\}$ , hence  $\Gamma$  is commutative. This implies that the Zariski-closure of  $\Gamma$  is commutative, too.  $\square$

Now we turn to unipotent groups defined over archimedean fields. Here the question of the existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups has been settled by the following result of Malcev.

**Theorem D (Malcev, [5], see also [8]).** *Let  $G$  be a unipotent  $k$ -group,  $k = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $G(k)$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup if and only if  $G$  may be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Each such discrete Zariski-dense subgroup is cocompact.*

In Malcev's article the condition that  $G$  may be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is replaced by the property that the Lie algebra may be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . However, for unipotent groups in characteristic zero the exponential map gives an isomorphism (as  $k$ -varieties) of the group and its Lie algebra. Using the Campbell-Hausdorff formula it follows that  $G$  may be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if the Lie algebra can be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Malcev's result immediately implies the following criterion for complex unipotent groups.

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a unipotent  $k$ -group,  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . By "restriction of scalars"  $G(\mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic (as topological group) to  $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R})$  for a unipotent group  $\tilde{G}$  defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Fix a continuous group isomorphism  $\phi : \tilde{G}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*$G(\mathbb{C})$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup if and only if  $\tilde{G}$  admits a unipotent subgroup  $H$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\phi(H(\mathbb{R}))$  is Zariski-dense in  $G$ .*

For the convenience of the reader we reformulate this in the language of Lie groups.

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a unipotent complex Lie group. Then  $G$  admits a discrete subgroup which is dense in the algebraic Zariski-topology if and only if  $G$  contains a real Lie subgroup  $H$  such that*

- 1) *The structure constants for  $\mathcal{L}\text{ie}(H)$  are rational numbers for a suitable base,*
- 2)  *$\mathcal{L}\text{ie}(G)$  is the smallest complex vectorsubspace of  $\mathcal{L}\text{ie}(G)$  containing  $\mathcal{L}\text{ie}(H)$ .*

## 8. REAL SOLVABLE GROUPS

We will now use our results on real unipotent groups in order to deduce a statement about arbitrary real solvable groups.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected solvable  $k$ -group,  $k = \mathbb{R}$ . The following conditions are necessary for the existence of a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.*

- (i) *The commutator group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*
- (ii)  *$G$  is unimodular.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup in  $G(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the commutator group  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ . Hence property (i) is necessary. Moreover this implies that  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$  is cocompact in  $\mathcal{D}(G)(\mathbb{R})$ . This ensures unimodularity of  $G$ .  $\square$

These conditions are not sufficient. For instance, let

$$G(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-4} & w & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \lambda^2 & x & z \\ & & & \lambda & y \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*; x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$G$  fulfills all the conditions of the theorem, but likewise fulfills the obstruction criterion deduced in Proposition 3:  $G''$  is one-dimensional, but not central.

## 9. UNIPOTENT GROUPS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTICS

For local fields in positive characteristics we have only fragmentary results. A local field in positive characteristics is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$  for some  $q = p^n$ . Such a field contains infinite discrete subrings, e.g. the ring generated by the elements  $t^k$  for  $k \leq 0$ . Hence it is easy to give examples of unipotent  $k$ -groups which do admit discrete Zariski-dense subgroups. However these discrete Zariski-dense subgroups are never finitely generated:

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $k$  be a field of positive characteristic,  $U$  a Zariski-connected unipotent  $k$ -group and  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated subgroup of  $U(k)$ .*

*Then  $\Gamma$  is finite.*

*Proof.* There is no loss in generality, if we assume  $k$  to be algebraically closed. Then the lemma follows easily by induction, since any one-dimensional unipotent  $k$ -group is isomorphic to  $G_a$ . (In addition, one has to use the fact that for a finitely generated nilpotent group every subgroup is again finitely generated [8,Th.2.7.]).  $\square$

This is in strong contrast to the situation in characteristic zero. For a local field  $k$  with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , a discrete subgroup of a solvable  $k$ -group is always finitely generated (This follows from [8, Prop.3.8]).

There also exist unipotent commutative  $k$ -groups in positive characteristic which do not contain any Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.

**Example 3.** Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$  and  $G$  the one-dimensional unipotent  $k$ -group defined by  $G = \{(x, y) \in G_a \times G_a : x^p - x = ty^p\}$ .<sup>\*</sup> Then  $G(k)$  is compact and therefore does not admit any infinite discrete subgroup. To check compactness, let  $x = \sum_i a_i t^i$ ,  $y = \sum_i b_i t^i$ . An explicit calculation shows that  $(x, y) \in G$  if and only if  $a_k^p = a_{kp}$  and  $-a_{kp+1} = b_k^p$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_k = 0$  for all  $k$  with  $k \bmod p \notin \{0, 1\}$ . This implies  $a_k = 0 = b_k$  for all  $k < 0$ . Hence  $G(k)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O} = \{x : |x| \leq 1\}$  denotes the (compact) additive group of local integers. Thus  $G(k)$  is compact.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This group has been studied by M. Rosenlicht [9,p.46] for a different purpose.

Even for non-compact unipotent groups it is possible that there exist no discrete Zariski-dense subgroup.

**Example 4.** Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$ . Let  $U = G_a \times W_2$  where  $W_2$  is the two-dimensional Witt group, i.e.  $W_2$  is  $\mathbb{A}^2$  as variety with the group multiplication given by  $(x, y) \cdot (z, w) = (x + z, y + w + F(x, z))$  for  $F(x, z) = \frac{1}{p}(x^p + y^p - (x + y)^p)$ . (See [10, VII.2] for more about Witt groups). As a variety  $U = \mathbb{A}^3$ . Now let  $H = \{(x, y, z) \in U : x^p - x = ty\}$ . As a  $k$ -group  $H$  is an extension of  $G_a$  by the group  $G$  studied in the previous example. Now  $H(k)$  is non-compact, because it contains  $G_a(k)$ . Nevertheless there are no discrete Zariski-dense subgroups in  $H(k)$ . To see this, consider the group morphism  $\phi : x \mapsto x^p$ , where  $x^p$  denotes the  $p$ -th power with respect to group multiplication in  $H$ . By standard results on Witt groups  $\phi$  is a dominant morphism from  $H$  to  $A = \{(0, 0, z)\} \subset U$  with  $A \subset \ker \phi$ . Now  $H(k)/A(k)$  is compact, hence  $\phi(H(k))$  is compact. Since  $\phi(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$ , it follows that  $\phi(\Gamma)$  is finite for every discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset H(k)$ .

But  $\phi(\Gamma)$  must be Zariski-dense in  $\phi(H) = A$  for every Zariski-dense subgroup  $\Gamma \subset H$ .

## 10. GENERAL COMMUTATIVE GROUPS

We will now deal with commutative groups which are not necessarily unipotent. The following decomposition theorem is a centerpiece for this investigation.

**Theorem D.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected commutative  $k$ -group,  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Then  $G$  admits a decomposition  $G = G_u \times G_c \cdot G_i$  with  $G_u$  unipotent,  $G_c$   $k$ -anisotropic torus and  $G_i$  a  $k$ -split torus (i.e.  $G_i$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to  $G_m(k)^r$ ).  $G_c \cap G_i$  is finite and  $G_u \cap (G_c \cdot G_i) = \{e\}$ . All the groups  $G_u$ ,  $G_c$  and  $G_i$  are defined over  $k$ . Moreover this decomposition is functorial, i.e. any group morphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow H$  between commutative  $k$ -groups will map  $G_u$ ,  $G_i$  and  $G_c$  into  $H_u$ ,  $H_i$  resp.  $H_c$ .*

The same statement holds for positive characteristic except that  $G_u$  is only  $k$ -closed and not necessarily defined over  $k$ .

*Proof.* See [1, p.121ff and p.137ff].  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected commutative  $k$ -group, where  $k$  is a non-archimedean local field with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ .*

*Then  $G(k)$  admits a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup if and only if*

- (1)  $G \neq G_c$  and
- (2)  $\dim(G_i) \geq \dim(G_u)$ .

*Proof.*  $G_c(k)$  is the maximal Zariski-connected compact subgroup of  $G(k)$ , hence condition (i) is clearly necessary. Now let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated discrete subgroup of  $G(k)$ . Let  $a_i$  denote the generators and  $\pi_u : G \rightarrow G_u$  the natural projection. By Cor.2 to Prop. 4 the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $G_u$  under the natural projection is relatively-compact. Thus the projection of  $\Gamma$  to  $G_c \times G_u$  has a relatively compact image. It follows that the natural projection  $\pi_i : G \rightarrow G_i$  has finite kernel if restricted to  $\Gamma$ . Now there is a proper continuous group homomorphism  $G_i(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$  with  $r = \dim(G_i)$  induced by the logarithm of the absolute value on the local field  $k$ . Hence there is a group homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$  with finite kernel. It follows that any discrete subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $G(k)$  is finitely generated with  $\text{rank}(\Lambda) \leq \dim(G_i)$ . Due to the corollary to Lemma 5 a finitely generated Zariski-dense subgroup  $\Lambda_u$  of  $G_u$  must fulfill  $\text{rank}(\Lambda_u) \geq \dim(G_u) = n$ . Therefore condition (ii) is necessary for the existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups. On the other hand by the functoriality of the decomposition a subgroup  $\Gamma$  is Zariski-dense in  $G$  if and only if all the projections to  $G_c$ ,  $G_i$  and  $G_u$  are Zariski-dense. Now  $G_c(k)$  admits an element generating a Zariski-dense subgroup ([1, Prop.8.8 & Remark below]) and  $G_u(k)$  admits a Zariski-dense subgroup generated by  $\dim(G_u) = n$  elements (Lemma 4). For any  $x \in k^*$  with  $|x| \neq 1$  we obtain a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G_i \simeq (k^*, \cdot)^m$  by  $\Gamma = \{(x^{n_1}, \dots, x^{n_m}) : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Thus  $\dim(G_i) \geq \max(\dim(G_i), 1)$  is sufficient for the existence of discrete Zariski-dense subgroups in  $G(k)$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** For local fields of positive characteristic the "if"-part of the proposition still holds. However, the "only if"-part breaks down, e.g.  $G_a(k)$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup.

The following is well-known and easy to prove.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G$  be a Zariski-connected commutative  $k$ -group for  $k = \mathbb{R}$  or  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . For  $k = \mathbb{R}$  the group  $G(k)$  admits a discrete cocompact Zariski-dense subgroup if and only if  $G(k)$  is non-compact. For  $k = \mathbb{C}$  the group  $G(k)$  is never compact and always admits a discrete Zariski-dense discrete cocompact subgroup.*

## 11. METABELIAN GROUPS

We start with an auxiliary remark.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\rho : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a rational representation on a complex vector space  $V$ . Assume that all the weights are non-zero and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then there exists a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that either all or none of the eigenvalues of  $\rho(\lambda)$  are real.*

*Proof.* The eigenvalues of  $\rho(\lambda)$  are  $\lambda^{k_1}, \dots, \lambda^{k_n}$  for some  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $\lambda^{k_i} \in \mathbb{R}$  for an  $i$ , then  $\rho(\lambda^{k_i})$  and  $\rho(\lambda^{-k_i})$  have only real eigenvalues.  $\square$

We use this to derive a necessary condition for the existence of Zariski-dense discrete subgroups in certain metabelian groups.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\rho : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a rational representation for which all the weights are distinct and non-zero. Let  $G = \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes_{\rho} V$  be the induced semidirect product. Assume that  $G$  admits a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup  $\Gamma$ .*

*Then  $G$  is unimodular, i.e.  $\rho(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset SL(V)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the projection  $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \simeq G/G'$ . Now  $\tau(\Gamma)$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . We claim that  $\tau(\Gamma)$  is not relatively compact in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Assume the contrary. Then  $S = \overline{\tau(\Gamma)}$  is compact. Thus the action of  $\Gamma$  by conjugation on  $V$  factors through a compact group action on  $V$ . Hence the orbits of  $\Gamma$  acting by conjugation on  $\Gamma \cap V$  are relatively compact (and discrete), hence finite. Since  $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap V$  is a finitely generated group, it follows that  $\Gamma$  contains a subgroup of finite index which centralizes  $\Lambda$ . This is impossible, because  $\Lambda$  is Zariski-dense in  $V = [G, G']$  and  $V$  is not central in  $G$ .

Thus  $\tau(\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  is not relatively compact and in particular contains an element which is not of finite order, i.e. not a root of unity. Let  $\lambda$  be such an element. By the preceding lemma we may assume either all or none of the eigenvalues of  $\rho(\lambda)$  are real. Now let  $V = \bigoplus_{\omega} V_{\omega}$  be a decomposition of  $V$  into eigenspaces of  $\rho(\lambda)$ . By assumption they are one-dimensional. Let  $\pi_{\omega} : V \rightarrow V_{\omega}$  denote the respective projections. Since  $\Gamma \cap V$  is Zariski-dense in  $V$ , it contains an element  $v$  such that  $\pi_{\omega}(v) \neq 0$  for all  $\omega$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the smallest  $\rho(\lambda)$ -invariant subgroup of  $V$  containing  $v$ . Then  $W = \Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  is a real subvectorspace of  $V$ , which is again invariant. It follows that  $W = \bigoplus_{\omega} (W \cap V_{\omega})$ . If the eigenvalues are complex, then  $W = V$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is a lattice in  $W$ , in this case  $\Sigma$  is a lattice in  $V$ . If all eigenvalues are real, then  $W$  is a totally real subvectorspace with  $V = W \oplus iW$ . Thus in this case  $\Sigma \oplus i\Sigma$  is a lattice in  $V$ . In any case  $V$  admits a lattice, which is stable under  $\rho(\lambda)$ . Therefore  $\rho(\lambda) \in SL(V)$ . Since the group generated by  $\lambda$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , it follows that  $\rho(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset SL(V)$ . Thus  $G$  is unimodular.  $\square$

However, even in this special case unimodularity is not sufficient for the existence of a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup.

**Example 5.** Let  $G = \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes_{\rho} \mathbb{C}^3$  with the weights of  $\rho$  given by  $(2, -1, -1)$ , i.e.  $\rho(\lambda)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\lambda^2 x_1, \lambda^{-1} x_2, \lambda^{-1} x_3)$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^3 = V_2 \oplus V_{-1}$  where  $V_{\alpha}$  denotes the weight space for  $\alpha$ . Assume that  $G$  admits a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma'$  is Zariski-dense in  $G' = V$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  contains an element  $\gamma$  which is contained in  $V$  but neither in  $V_2$  nor in  $V_{-1}$ . Then  $\gamma = \gamma_2 + \gamma_{-1}$  with  $0 \neq \gamma_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . The elements  $\gamma_{\alpha}$  span a two-dimensional subvectorspace  $W$  of  $V$ . Consider the natural projection  $\tau : G \rightarrow G/G' \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ . By the considerations in the proof of the above proposition there exists an element  $\delta \in \Gamma$  such that  $|\tau(\delta)| > 1$  and  $\tau(\delta) \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $(\tau\delta)^2 \notin \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  denote the

subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ . Then by the same reasoning as in the above proof  $\Lambda'$  or  $\Lambda' + i\Lambda'$  must be lattice in  $W$  which is stable under  $\rho(\delta)$ . But this is impossible, because  $|\det(\rho(\delta)|_W)| > 1$ .

## 12. A NUMBER-THEORETICAL CONSTRUCTION

A series of metabelian linear-algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{C}$  with discrete cocompact subgroups may be constructed by the following number-theoretic approach which generalizes a construction of Otte and Potters [7]. \*

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $\mathcal{O}$  the ring of algebraic integers,  $\mathcal{O}^*$  the multiplicative group of units,  $r_1$  the number of real imbeddings,  $r_2$  the number of pairs of conjugate complex embeddings and  $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$ .*

*Then there is a semidirect product  $G = T \ltimes_{\rho} V$  of a torus  $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$  with and a vector group  $V = \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$  with  $m \in \{r, r+1\}$  and  $\{e\} = \ker \rho : T \rightarrow GL(V)$ , such that  $G$  contains a Zariski-dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}^* \ltimes \mathcal{O}$ .*

*For  $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ , we obtain  $m = 2$  and  $G$  is isomorphic to a Borel group in  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*For totally real  $K$  there exists a discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}^* \ltimes (\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O})$ . Furthermore for totally real  $K$ ,  $\rho(T)$  is a maximal torus in  $SL(V)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{r_1}$  and  $\xi_1, \bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \xi_{r_2}, \bar{\xi}_{r_2}$  denote the real resp. pairs of conjugate complex imbeddings of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\phi = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{r_1}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r_2})$  embed  $K$  into the complex vector space  $V = \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$  such that  $W = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is embedded into  $V$  as a real subvectorspace with  $\phi(W) + i\phi(W) = V$ . Furthermore  $\phi(\mathcal{O})$  is a cocompact lattice in  $\phi(W)$  and therefore a discrete Zariski-dense subgroup of  $V$ . The action of  $K^*$  on  $K$  by multiplication induces an action on  $V$ . For each  $x \in K^*$  this action is a diagonalizable endomorphism of  $V$  with eigen-values  $\zeta_1(x), \dots, \zeta_{r_1}(x), \xi_1(x), \dots, \xi_{r_2}(x)$ . It follows that  $K^*$  acts on  $V$  as a subgroup of a maximal torus  $T$  of  $GL_r(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus we obtain an injective group homomorphism  $\tau : K^* \rightarrow T$ . Now  $\tau(\mathcal{O}^*)$  stabilizes the discrete Zariski-dense subgroup  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $V$ . It is easy to see that this implies that  $\tau(\mathcal{O}^*)$  is discrete in  $T$ . Hence we obtain a discrete group  $\Gamma = \tau(\mathcal{O}^*) \ltimes \phi(\mathcal{O})$  which is Zariski-dense in its Zariski-closure  $G = \bar{\Gamma}$ . Clearly  $V \subset G \subset T \ltimes V$  and  $G' = [G, G] = V$ . By the theorem of Dirichlet  $\mathcal{O}^*$  is isomorphic to a direct product of a finite abelian group  $A$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ . Hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G/V) \geq r$ . On the other hand  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G/V) \leq r+1$ , since  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = r+1$ .

Now let us consider the special case  $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ . Then for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^*$  we obtain  $|\det(\tau(\alpha))| = |\zeta_1(\alpha)| |\xi_1(\alpha)|$ . Now  $|N_{K, \mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| = 1$  implies that either  $G$  is not unimodular

---

\* Otte and Potters studied the special case where  $K$  is a totally real numberfield. For this case our theorem is equivalent to [7, 3.2].



or  $|\xi_1(\alpha)| = 1 = |\zeta_1(\alpha)|$ . However, the second alternative would imply that  $\tau(\mathcal{O}^*)$  is relatively compact in  $GL(V)$ , which is impossible (see the proof of Prop. 9). Hence  $G$  is not unimodular. By Prop. 9 it follows that  $\tau(G) \subset GL(V)$  can not be one-dimensional. Hence  $\tau(G)$  must be a maximal torus in  $GL(V) = GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , which implies that  $G$  is a Borel group in  $S = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Finally let us consider the special where  $K$  is totally real, i.e.  $r_2 = 0$  (This is the case studied in [7]). Then  $\phi(W)$  is totally real in  $V$  and we obtain a cocompact lattice in  $V$  by  $\Lambda = \phi(\mathcal{O}) + i\phi(\mathcal{O})$ . Furthermore in this case  $\det(\tau(x)) = N_{K,\mathbb{Q}}(x)$  for all  $x \in K^*$ . Since the norm  $N_{K,\mathbb{Q}}(x)$  equals 1 or  $-1$  for all units  $x \in \mathcal{O}^*$ , it follows that  $\tau(\mathcal{O}^*)$  admits a subgroup  $\Delta$  of index 2 or 1 which is contained in  $SL(V)$ . Now  $\Delta \ltimes \Lambda$  is a discrete cocompact subgroup in  $T_0 \ltimes V$  where  $T_0 = T \cap SL(V)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. BOREL, A.: Linear algebraic groups. Second enlarged edition. Springer 1991.
2. BOREL, A.; SERRE, J.P.: Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **39**, 111–164 (1964)
3. BOREL, A.; TITS, J.: Homomorphismes "abstraites" de groupes algébriques simples. *Ann. Math.* **97**, 499–571 (1973)
4. GREENLEAF, F.: Invariant means on topological groups. Van Nostrand, New York 1969
5. MALCEV, A.: On a class of homogeneous spaces. *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* **13** (1949)/ *AMS Transl. no.* **39** (1951)
6. MARGULIS, G.A.: Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups. Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York 1989
7. OTTE, M.; POTTERS, J.: Beispiele homogener Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Manu. math.* **10**, 117–127 (1973)
8. RAGHUNATHAN, M.S.: Discrete subgroups of Lie groups. *Erg. Math. Grenzgeb.* **68**, Springer (1972)
9. ROSENBLIHT, M.: Some rationality questions on algebraic groups. *Annali di Math.* **43**, 25–50 (1957)
10. SERRE, J.P.: Algebraic Groups and Class Fields. Springer 1988.
11. TITS, J.: Free subgroups in linear groups. *J. Algebra* **20**, 250–270 (1972)
12. ZIMMER, R.J.: Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups. Birkhäuser 1984

Bochum, November 15, 1993

*Jörg Winkelmann*

Jörg Winkelmann  
Mathematisches Institut  
NA 4/69  
Ruhr-Universität Bochum  
D-44780 Bochum  
Germany  
e-mail (BITNET):  
WINKELMANN  
@RUBA.RZ.RUHR-UNI-BOCHUM.DE