arXiv:alg-geom/9306004v1 4 Jun 1993

Ļ٠

 $\vdash$ 

# Very ample linear systems on abelian varieties preliminary version

O. Debarre, K. Hulek, J. Spandaw

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	Linear systems on abelian varieties	3
3	Degeneration of abelian varieties	7
	3.1 Abelian varieties	7
	3.2 Toroidal embedding	9
	3.3 Mumford's construction	10
	3.4 Description of the degenerate abelian varieties	15
	3.5 The $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ -polarized case. $\ldots$	17
4	Degeneration of the polarization	18
<b>5</b>	Very ampleness in the case $d > 2^g$	<b>21</b>

## 1 Introduction

Let M be an ample line bundle on a abelian variety X. (Throughout this paper, we shall work over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence by abelian variety

<sup>\*</sup>The first author is partially supported by N.S.F. grant DMS 92-03919 and the European Science Project "Geometry of Algebraic Varieties", contract no. SCI-0398-C (A). The second and third author are supported by the DFG project "Schwerpunktprogramm komplexe Mannigfaltigkeiten".

we always mean complex abelian variety.) It is known that  $M^n$  is very ample for  $n \ge 3$  (Lefschetz theorem) and that  $M^2$  is very ample if and only if |M|has no fixed divisor (Ohbuchi theorem). Very little is known for line bundles on X which are not non-trivial powers of ample line bundles.

In this article we consider exclusively the case of line bundles L of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ , which are exactly the pullbacks of principal polarizations by cyclic isogenies of degree d.

When X is an abelian surface, such an L is base-point-free if and only if  $d \ge 3$  and (X, L) is not a product [2, lemma 10.1.2]; it is very ample if and only if  $d \ge 5$  and X contains no elliptic curve E such that  $L.E \le 2$  [2, corollary 10.4.2].

In higher dimensions, we show that for (X, L) generic of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ and dimension g, the linear system |L| is base-point-free if and only if  $d \ge g+1$ (see proposition 2). Moreover, the morphism  $\phi_L : X \to \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  that it defines is birational onto its image if and only if  $d \ge g+2$  (see proposition 6).

These results are part of a general conjectural picture: for d > g, the morphism  $\phi_L$  should be an embedding outside of a set of dimension 2g+1-d. In particular, L should be very ample if and only if  $d \ge 2g+2$ . (For g > 2, Barth and Van de Ven have shown that L cannot be very ample for  $d \le 2g+1$  [1, 16].)

We show that for (X, L) generic of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$  and dimension g, the line bundle L is very ample for  $d > 2^g$ , by checking it on a rank-(g - 1)degeneration (see corollary 25). The same result was proved in [5] by a different method for g = 3 and  $d \ge 13$ . For g = 3, this leaves only the case d = 8 open (the polarization is never very ample in that case for the degenerations we consider).

As shown by Kollár in [9], our result in dimension 3 implies the following version of a conjecture of Griffiths and Harris: for d odd,  $d \ge 9$ , the degree of any curve on a very general hypersurface of degree 6d in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  is divisible by d.

This paper was completed during a visit of the first author at the University of Hannover. The authors are grateful to the DFG for financial support which made this visit possible.

### 2 Linear systems on abelian varieties

In this section we focus on the behaviour of morphisms  $\phi_L : A \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(A, L)^*)$ , where A is a generic abelian variety and L an ample line bundle on A, such that  $h^0(A, L) = d$  or, equivalently,  $L^g = g!d$ .

**Theorem 1** Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g and let  $\phi : A \to \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  be a finite morphism. Then

(i) the ramification locus of  $\phi$  has dimension at least 2g - d

(ii) if F is a closed subset of A such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to A - F is an embedding, then dim $(F) \ge 2g + 1 - d$ , except if (g, d) = (1, 3) or (2, 5).

*Proof*: The ramification of  $\phi$  is the locus where  $d\phi : TA \to \phi^* T\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  has rank less than g. Since  $\phi$  is finite,  $\phi^* T\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  is ample, hence so is  $\phi^* T\mathbb{P}^{d-1} \otimes T^*A$ . By [7, theorem 1.1], this locus is non-empty if  $g \ge d - 1 - (g - 1) = d - g$ and has dimension at least g - (d - g). This proves (i).

To prove (ii), we follow ideas of Van de Ven ([16]). Assume that  $\dim(F) \leq 2g - d$ . The intersection of  $\phi(A)$  with s = 2g - d + 1 generic hyperplanes is a smooth irreducible (g - s)-dimensional scheme S contained in  $\phi(A - F)$ . Note that S sits in  $\mathbb{P}^{2(g-s)}$ , so that we can do a Chern class computation as in [16].

Set  $l = c_1(\mathcal{O}_S(1))$ . Then  $c(TS) = (1+l)^{-s}$  and the exact sequence

$$0 \to TS \to T\mathbb{P}^{2(g-s)}|_S \to N \to 0$$

gives  $c(N) = (1+l)^d$ . It follows ([16, prop. 3]) that  $(\deg S)^2 = c_{g-s}(N) = \binom{d}{g-s}l^{g-s}$ . Since  $l^{g-s} = \deg S = \deg A$ , we finally get  $\deg A = \binom{d}{g+1}$ . Since the degree of any ample line bundle on an abelian variety of dimension g is divisible by g!, the conclusion is that  $\binom{d}{g+1}$  is divisible by g!. We may assume that  $g < d \leq 2g + 1$ . We will let the reader check that this can only happen for g = 1 or 2. (For the case d = 2g + 1, see [16].) Cases where d = g + 1 are trivially excluded and so is (g, d) = (2, 4).

This finishes the proof of (ii).

One might expect that there is equality in (i) and (ii) for a generic abelian variety, but the answer probably depends on the *type* of the polarization  $\phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ . From now on, we will restrict ourselves to polarizations of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ . We shall need the following facts.

Let L be a line bundle of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$  on an abelian variety A. We define the groups  $\mathcal{G}(L)$  and K(L) as in [2, chapter 6]. Then, K(L) is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^2$ , and there is a central extension:

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathcal{G}(L) \xrightarrow{\pi} K(L) \to 0.$$

The group  $\mathcal{G}(L)$  operates on  $H^0(A, L)$  [11, p. 295]. If  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}(L)$  of order d, it generates a maximal level subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(L)$  (in the sense of [11, p. 291], hence there exists a non-zero section s of L, unique up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar, such that  $\tilde{\epsilon} \cdot s = s$  [11, prop. 3]. In particular, for  $0 \leq \lambda < d$ , there exists a non-zero section  $s_{\lambda}$  such that  $\tilde{\epsilon} \cdot s_{\lambda} = e^{2i\pi\lambda/d}s_{\lambda}$ . Given A, L and  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ , the ordered set  $\{s_0, \ldots, s_{d-1}\}$  is well-defined up to multiplication of its elements by non-zero scalars; it is a basis for  $H^0(A, L)$ , which we will call a *canonical* basis.

**Proposition 2** Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension g and type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ . Then |L| is base-point-free if and only if d > g.

*Proof*: If  $d \leq g$ , then d elements in |L| always intersect, since L is ample.

Now let d > g. It is enough to exhibit one example. The construction is the same as in [5]. Let  $E_1, \ldots, E_g$  be elliptic curves, let  $\epsilon_j$  be a point of order d on  $E_j$ , for  $j = 1, \ldots, g$  and let  $\pi : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_g \to A$  be the quotient by the subgroup generated by  $\epsilon_j - \epsilon_k$ , for  $1 \le j < k \le g$ .

Let  $0_j$  be the origin of  $E_j$ , let  $L_j = \mathcal{O}_{E_j}(d0_j)$  and let M be the polarization  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^g \operatorname{pr}_j^* L_j$  on  $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_g$ . Pick a lift  $\tilde{\epsilon}_j$  of  $\epsilon_j$  of order d in  $\mathcal{G}(L_j)$ . Then the  $\tilde{\epsilon}_j \tilde{\epsilon}_1^{-1}$ , for  $1 < j \leq g$ , generate a level subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$  hence, by [11, prop. 1], there exists a polarization L on A of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$  such that  $\pi^*L = M$ . Moreover, if  $\{s_{j,\lambda}\}_{0 \leq \lambda \leq d-1}$  is a canonical basis for  $(E_j, L_j, \tilde{\epsilon}_j)$ , then  $\{s_{1,\lambda}s_{2,\lambda}\cdots s_{g,\lambda}\}_{0\leq \lambda\leq d-1}$  is a basis for  $\pi^*H^0(A, L)$ ....

If all these sections vanish at some point  $(e_1, \ldots, e_g)$  and d > g, then at least two different  $s_{j\alpha}$ ,  $s_{j\beta}$  must vanish at  $e_j$  for some j. But this cannot happen since  $\operatorname{div}(s_{j\beta})$  and  $\operatorname{div}(s_{j\alpha})$  are distinct and are both translates of the divisor  $\sum_{l=1}^{d} (l\epsilon_j)$ . Hence L is base-point-free.

**Remarks 3** (i) A similar argument shows that for  $0 < d \le g$ , the generic base locus has dimension exactly g - d.

(ii) By an argument similar to the one used in [12], the following can be shown. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a moduli space of polarized abelian varieties of dimension g and degree g + 1. Then the locus of polarized abelian varieties for which the corresponding linear system has a base point is either  $\mathcal{A}$  or a divisor. The proposition implies that it is a divisor when the type is  $(1, \ldots, 1, g + 1)$ . It may happen that this locus be everything (e.g. for type (1, 2, 2) (cf. also [13])).

In view of theorem 1, it is tempting to make the following

**Conjecture 4** Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension g > 2 and type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$  with d > g and let  $\phi : A \to \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  be the morphism associated with |L| (cf. proposition 2). Then the ramification of  $\phi$  has dimension 2g-d and there exists a closed subset F of A of dimension 2g+1-d such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to A - F is an embedding.

It is of course understood that a set of negative dimension is empty. In particular, the conjecture implies that for  $d \ge g+2$ , the morphism  $\phi$  should be birational onto its image. This is proven below (proposition 6). For  $d \ge 2g+2$ , the line bundle L should be very ample. In §5, we will prove that this is the case for  $d > 2^g$  (see theorem 24).

The following proposition shows that, to prove the conjecture for given d and g, it is enough to exhibity *one* polarized abelian variety, or a suitable degeneration, for which it holds.

**Proposition 5** Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ W & \underbrace{\qquad} & W, \end{array}$$

where X, Y, W are analytic (resp. algebraic) varieties and f, g and h are proper. Let p be a point in W, let  $X_p$  be the fibre of f over p and assume that there is a closed (resp. Zariski closed) subset  $F_p$  of  $X_p$  such that the restriction of f to  $X_p - F_p$  is unramified (an embedding). Then, for all points w in an open (resp. Zariski open) neighbourhood of p in W, there exists a closed (resp. Zariski closed) subset  $F_w$  of  $X_w$  with dim $(F_w) \leq \dim(F_p)$  such that the restriction of f to  $X_w - F_w$  is unramified (an embedding). *Proof*: Let G be the support of  $\Omega_{X/Y}$ . Since  $\Omega_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_p} \cong \Omega_{X_p/Y_p}$  and  $f|_{X_p-F_p}$  is unramified,  $G \cap X_p$  is contained in  $F_p$ . By semicontinuity of the dimension of the fibres of a morphism [6, prop. 3.4, p. 134], this proves the first part of the proposition, since f is unramified outside of G.

Assume now that  $f|_{X_p-F_p}$  is an embedding. Let Z be the union of the components of  $X \times_Y X$  other than the diagonal  $\Delta_X$ , whose image in W contains p. Then, by definition of  $\Omega_{X/Y}$ , the set  $Z \cap \Delta_X$  is contained in  $G \times G$ ; moreover, since  $f|_{X_p-F_p}$  is injective,  $Z_p - \Delta_{X_p}$  is contained in  $F'_p \times F'_p$ , where  $F'_p = f^{-1}(f(F_p))$ . Therefore,  $Z_p$  is contained in  $F'_p \times F'_p$ . Since  $F'_p - F_p$  embeds in  $f(F_p)$ , one has  $\dim(F'_p) = \dim(F_p)$ . This finishes the proof: one can take for  $F_w$  the first projection of  $Z_w$  in  $X_w$ .

**Proposition 6** Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension g and type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$  with  $d \ge g+2$ . Then the morphism  $\phi : A \to \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  associated with |L| is birational onto its image.

*Proof*: Let  $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$  be the moduli space of abelian varieties A of dimension g, with a polarization L of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$  and a point  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  of order d in  $\mathcal{G}(L)$ . Let  $P = (A, L, \tilde{\epsilon})$  be a point in  $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$ , and let  $\{s_0, \ldots, s_{d-1}\}$  of be a corresponding canonical basis for  $H^0(A, L)$ .

We first claim that for P in a dense open set U of  $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$ , no g+1 distinct sections in the canonical basis have a common zero. Since  $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$  is irreducible [2, chapter 8, §3], it is enough to find one point P for which this property holds. This follows directly from the proof of proposition 1: keeping the same notation, the point  $\tilde{\epsilon}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L_1)$  corresponds to a point  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L)$  of order d[11, prop. 2], and the basis for  $H^0(A, L)$  given in the proof is canonical for  $(A, L, \tilde{\epsilon})$ ; no g + 1 elements of this basis vanish simultaneously.

Now let  $(A, L, \tilde{\epsilon})$  be an element of U with A simple (i.e. such that A contains no non-trivial abelian subvarieties). The choice of a canonical basis defines a morphism

$$\phi: A \to \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$$

Assume that  $\phi$  is not birational over its image. Then there exists a component D of  $A \times_{\mathbb{P}^{d-1}} A$ , distinct from the diagonal, such that the first projection  $D \to A$  is surjective. In particular, dim $(D) \ge g$ . Let m be the morphism

$$m: D \to A$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto x - y.$$

Let a be a generic point in m(D), let F be an irreducible component of  $m^{-1}(a)$  and let  $G = \operatorname{pr}_2(F)$ . Then

$$F = \{ (x + a, x); \, x \in G \}$$

This implies that  $\phi(x) = \phi(x+a)$  for all  $x \in G$ , hence  $L|_G \cong \tau_{-a}^* L|_G$ . (For any  $x \in A, \tau_x : A \to A$  denotes translation over x.) Therefore, a lies in the kernel of

$$A \to \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)$$
$$x \mapsto (\tau_{x}^{*}L \otimes L^{-1})|_{\Gamma}$$

Since A is simple, it follows that either a is torsion, in which case m is constant with image a, or else  $m^{-1}(a)$  is finite, in which case m is surjective. In the first case, all elements of |L| are invariant by translation by a, which implies a = 0 and contradicts our choice of D. In the second case, there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $\phi(x) = \phi(x + \epsilon)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the image of  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  in K(L). Since

$$\phi(x+\epsilon) = (s_0(x), \omega s_1(x), \dots, \omega^{d-1} s_{d-1}(x)),$$

where  $\omega = e^{2i\pi/d}$ , it follows that all of the *d* sections in the canonical basis but one vanish at *x*. When  $d \ge g + 2$ , this contradicts the fact that  $P \in U$ . It follows that  $\phi$  is birational onto its image.

### **3** Degeneration of abelian varieties

#### 3.1 Abelian varieties

We consider the Siegel space of degree g, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}_g = \{ \tau \in M(g \times g, \mathbb{C}); \, \tau = {}^t\!\tau, \operatorname{Im} \tau > 0 \}.$$

Fix an integer  $d \ge 1$ . Then every point

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{11} & \dots & \tau_{1g} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tau_{g1} & \dots & \tau_{gg} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_g$$

defines a  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ -polarized abelian variety, namely

$$A_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}^g / L_{\tau},$$

where  $L_{\tau}$  is the lattice spanned by the rows of the period matrix

$$\Omega_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, d)$$

We are interested in certain degenerations of these abelian varieties, namely those which arise if  $\tau_{11}, \ldots, \tau_{g-1,g-1}$  go to  $i\infty$ . We shall first treat the principally polarized case, i.e. d = 1. The general case can then be derived easily from this.

We will employ the following notation

$$\mathbf{e}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^*$$
$$z \mapsto e^{2\pi i z}.$$

Let

$$t_{ij} = \mathbf{e}(\tau_{ij}).$$

Let  $z_1, \ldots, z_g$  be the standard coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^g$  and let

$$w_i = \mathbf{e}(z_i).$$

The abelian variety  $A_{\tau}$  can be written as a quotient

$$A_{\tau} = \mathbb{Z}^g \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^g,$$

where  $l = (l_1, \ldots, l_g) \in \mathbb{Z}^g$  operates on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$  by

$$l(w_1, \dots, w_g) = (w'_1, \dots, w'_g)$$
$$w'_i = \prod_{j=1}^g t^{l_j}_{ij} w_i.$$

We are interested in what happens as  $t_{11}, \ldots, t_{g-1,g-1}$  go to zero.

#### 3.2 Toroidal embedding

Recall that  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  operates on  $\mathcal{H}_g$  by

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : \tau \mapsto (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}.$$

(Here A, B, C and D are  $(g \times g)$ -matrices.) The quotient  $\operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{H}_g$  is the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g. The symplectic group  $\operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  contains the lattice subgroup

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & N \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}; N \in \operatorname{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \right\},\$$

which acts on  $\mathcal{H}_g$  by  $\tau \mapsto \tau + N$ . We consider the partial quotient

$$\mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{H}_g\subset \operatorname{Sym}(g,\mathbb{Z})\setminus\operatorname{Sym}(g,\mathbb{C}).$$

Using the coordinates  $t_{ij}$ , one can make the identification

$$\operatorname{Sym}(g,\mathbb{Z})\setminus\operatorname{Sym}(g,\mathbb{C})\cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$$

We use the standard coordinates  $T_{ij}$  on  $\text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$  and consider the embedding

$$\phi_0 : (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)} \to \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$$

given by

$$T_{ij} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^{g} t_{ki} & \text{if } i = j \\ t_{ij}^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(1)

The image of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$  under  $\phi_0$  is the standard torus  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$ and the inverse of  $\phi_0$  is given by

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^{g} T_{ki} & \text{if } i = j \\ T_{ij}^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The reason why we are interested in the map  $\phi_0$  is that it is closely related to the so-called principal cone (see [14, p. 93]), which plays an essential role in the reduction theory of quadratic forms. The embedding  $\phi_0$  is also a central building block for toroidal compactifications of  $\mathcal{A}_g$ . In fact we have **Lemma 7** The embedding given by  $\phi_0$  is the toroidal embedding corresponding to the principal cone.

*Proof*: Let  $n_{ij} \in \text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z})$  be the matrix defined by

$$(n_{ij})_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{i, j\} = \{k, l\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{n_{ij}\}_{1 \le i \le j \le g}$  is a basis of  $\text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ . We call it the standard basis. We define another basis  $\{n'_{ij}\}_{1 \le i \le j \le g}$  by

$$n_{ij}' = n_{ii} + n_{jj} - n_{ij}$$

This basis defines the principal cone. In the notation of [15, p. 5], we have

$$T_{ij} = \mathbf{e}(m'_{ij})$$
 and  $t_{ij} = \mathbf{e}(m_{ij})$ ,

where  $\{m_{ij}\}$  is the dual of the standard basis and  $\{m'_{ij}\}$  is the dual of the basis which defines the principal cone. The relation between the two bases for  $\text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z})$  gives a relation between the two dual bases and this yields the required relation between  $T_{ij}$  and  $t_{ij}$ .

#### 3.3 Mumford's construction

Let

$$X_0 = \phi_0(\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{H}_q) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$$

Recall from section 3.1 that  $A_{\tau}$  is the quotient of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$  by the rank-g lattice generated by

$$r_{i} = (t_{1i}, \dots, t_{gi})$$
  
=  $(T_{1i}^{-1}, \dots, \prod_{k=1}^{g} T_{ki}, \dots, T_{gi}^{-1})$   $(i = 1, \dots, g).$  (3)

This shows that there is a family of abelian varieties  $\mathcal{A}_0 \to X_0$  such that for each point  $[\tau] \in X_0$  the fibre  $\mathcal{A}_{[\tau]}$  is isomorphic to  $A_{\tau}$ . We now want to add "boundary points", i.e. we consider the set X, which is defined to be the interior of the closure of  $X_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$ . Mumford's construction enables us to extend the family  $\mathcal{A}_0 \to X_0$  to a family  $\mathcal{A} \to X$  by adding degenerate abelian varieties over the boundary points.

For this purpose we consider

$$A = \mathbb{C}[T_{ij}], \quad I = (T_{ij}) \subset A$$

Let K be the quotient field of A and consider the torus

$$\tilde{G} = \operatorname{Spec} A[w_1, \dots, w_g, w_1^{-1}, \dots, w_g^{-1}] = (\mathbb{C}^*)^g \times \operatorname{Spec} A.$$

By  $\tilde{G}(K)$  we denote the *K*-valued points of  $\tilde{G}$ . The character group  $\mathbb{X} = \text{Hom}((\mathbb{C}^*)^g, \mathbb{C}^*)$  is spanned by  $w_1, \ldots, w_g$ . Finally, we consider the lattice  $\mathbb{Y} \subset \tilde{G}(K)$  which is spanned by the  $r_1, \ldots, r_g$  from (3). Following the terminology of [10], we shall call  $\mathbb{Y}$  the period lattice.

Let

$$\Phi: \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{X}$$
$$r_i \mapsto w_i.$$

**Lemma 8** The homomorphism  $\Phi$  is a polarization in the sense of Mumford.

*Proof*: Let  $y = \sum y_i r_i$  and  $z = \sum z_i r_i \in \mathbb{Y}$ . Then the character  $X^{\Phi(y)} = \prod w_i^{y_i}$  given by  $\Phi(y)$  satisfies

$$X^{\Phi(y)}(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} \left( \left(\prod_{j \neq i} T_{ij}^{-z_j}\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{g} T_{ki}\right)^{z_i} \right)^{y_i} \\ = \prod_{i=1}^{g} T_{ii}^{y_i z_i} \cdot \prod_{i < j} T_{ij}^{(y_i - y_j)(z_i - z_j)}.$$

Hence  $X^{\Phi(y)}(z) = X^{\Phi(z)}(y)$  and  $X^{\Phi(y)}(y) \in I$  unless y = 0. It follows that  $\Phi$  is a polarization in the sense of Mumford.

**Remark 9** In fact  $\Phi : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{X}$  is an isomorphism, hence it is a principal polarization in the sense of [3, chapter II].

Before we can explain Mumford's construction, we still have to choose a star  $\Sigma \subset X$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^g$ , we say that  $\alpha \geq \beta$  if  $\alpha_i \geq \beta_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, g$ . If furthermore  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then we say that  $\alpha > \beta$ . Now set

$$\Sigma' = \{ \alpha \in \{0, \pm 1\}^g; \, \alpha \ge 0 \text{ or } \alpha \le 0 \}.$$

We identify this set with

$$\Sigma = \{ X^{\alpha} = \prod w_i^{\alpha_i}; \, \alpha \in \Sigma' \} \subset \mathbb{X}.$$

This is a star in the sense of [10].

For technical reasons we note

**Lemma 10** For all  $y \in \mathbb{Y}$  and for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , we have  $X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha}(y) \in A$ .

*Proof*: The calculations in the proof of lemma 8 show that

$$X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha_i}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} T_{ii}^{y_i(y_i+\alpha_i)} \cdot \prod_{i < j} T_{ij}^{(y_i-y_j)(y_i-y_j+\alpha_i-\alpha_j)}$$

The claim now follows since  $z(z + \beta) \ge 0$  if  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  and  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ .

We are now ready to explain Mumford's construction. As in [10, 3] we consider the graded ring

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ K[\dots, X^{\alpha}, \dots]_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Y}} / (X^{\alpha+\beta} - X^{\alpha}X^{\beta}, X^{0} - 1) \} \theta^{k},$$

where  $\theta$  is an indeterminate of degree 1 and all other elements have degree 0. Let  $R_{\Phi,\Sigma}$  be the subring of  $\mathcal{R}$  given by

$$R_{\Phi,\Sigma} = A[\dots, X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha}(y)X^{2\Phi(y)+\alpha}, \dots]_{\alpha\in\Sigma, y\in\mathbb{Y}}$$

By lemma 10

$$R_{\Phi,\Sigma} \subset A[\ldots, X^{\alpha}\theta, \ldots]_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Y}}.$$

Let

 $\tilde{P} = \operatorname{Proj} R_{\Phi,\Sigma}.$ 

This is a scheme over Spec A. The group  $\mathbb{Y}$  acts on  $\tilde{P}$  and the desired extension  $\mathcal{A} \to X$  of  $\mathcal{A}_0 \to X_0$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Y} \setminus (\tilde{P}|_X).$$

The scheme  $\tilde{P}$  is covered by the affine open sets

$$U_{\alpha,y} = \operatorname{Spec} R_{\alpha,y},$$

where

$$R_{\alpha,y} = A[\dots, \frac{X^{\Phi(z)+\beta}(z)}{X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha}(y)} X^{2\Phi(z-y)+\beta-\alpha}, \dots]_{\beta \in \Sigma, z \in \mathbb{Y}},$$

as  $\alpha$  runs through  $\Sigma$  and y runs through  $\mathbb{Y}$ . The action of  $y \in \mathbb{Y}$  on  $\tilde{P}$  identifies  $U_{\alpha,0}$  with  $U_{\alpha,y}$ , so it suffices to calculate

$$U_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha,0} = \operatorname{Spec} R_{\alpha,0}$$
$$R_{\alpha} = R_{\alpha,0} = A[\dots, M_{\beta,z}, \dots]_{\beta \in \Sigma, z \in \mathbb{Y}},$$

where

$$M_{\beta,z} = X^{\Phi(z)+\beta}(z) X^{2\Phi(z)+\beta-\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^{g} T_{ii}^{z_i(z_i+\beta_i)} \cdot \prod_{i< j} T_{ij}^{(z_i-z_j)(z_i-z_j+\beta_i-\beta_j)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{g} w_i^{2z_i+\beta_i-\alpha_i}.$$

We are not interested in *all* degenerations of abelian varieties arising from this construction, but only in those which correspond to  $\tau_{11}, \ldots, \tau_{g-1,g-1} \rightarrow i\infty$ . Hence we can fix the entries  $\tau_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$  in the matrix  $\tau$ . This corresponds to fixing the coordinates  $T_{ij} = t_{ij}^{-1}$  for  $i \neq j$  and hence defines an affine subspace

$$L = L(\tau_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}.$$

We can use  $T_{11}, \ldots, T_{gg}$  as coordinates on L. For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the notation

$$\tau_i = \tau_{ii}$$
  
 $T_i = T_{ii}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, g,$ 

and consider the ring

 $A' = \mathbb{C}[T_1, \ldots, T_g].$ 

By abuse of notation we shall denote the restriction of  $\tilde{P}$  (resp.  $U_{\alpha}$ ) to L also by  $\tilde{P}$  (resp.  $U_{\alpha}$ ). Then

$$U_{\alpha} = \operatorname{Spec} R_{\alpha}$$

where now

$$R_{\alpha} = A'[\ldots, M_{\beta,z}, \ldots]_{\beta \in \Sigma, z \in \mathbb{Y}},$$

and

$$M_{\beta,z} = \prod_{i=1}^{g} T_{i}^{z_{i}(z_{i}+\beta_{i})} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{g} w_{i}^{2z_{i}+\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}}.$$

**Proposition 11** For  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , we have  $R_{\alpha} = A'[X_1, \ldots, X_g, Y_1, \ldots, Y_g]$ , where

$$X_i = \begin{cases} T_i^{\alpha_i} w_i & \text{if } \alpha \ge 0\\ w_i & \text{if } \alpha \le 0 \end{cases} \qquad Y_i = \begin{cases} w_i^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha \ge 0\\ T_i^{-\alpha_i} w_i^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha \le 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof*: This follows easily from the observation that  $z(z+\beta) - (2z+\beta) \ge -1$  if  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

#### **Corollary 12** The scheme $\tilde{P}$ is smooth.

*Proof*: It is enough to show that all  $U_{\alpha}$  are smooth. If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $U_{\alpha} = \mathbb{C}^{g} \times (\mathbb{C}^{*})^{g}$ . Now let  $\alpha \neq 0$ . We treat the case  $\alpha = (1, \ldots, 1)$ , the other cases being similar. Then

$$U_{(1,\ldots,1)} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[T_1,\ldots,T_g,Z_1,\ldots,Z_{2g}]/(Z_iZ_{i+g}-T_i)).$$

Projecting onto  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[T_1, \ldots, T_g, Z_1, \ldots, Z_{2g}]$  shows that  $U_{(1, \ldots, 1)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2g}$ .

Now we consider the set

$$V = X \cap L.$$

One easily checks that V contains the lines where all  $T_i$  but one are zero. Let  $\tilde{P}_V$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{P}$  to V.

**Proposition 13** (i) The group of periods  $\mathbb{Y}$  acts freely and properly discontinuously on  $\tilde{P}_V$ .

(ii) The quotient  $\mathcal{A}_V = \mathbb{Y} \setminus \tilde{P}_V$  is smooth. Moreover, the family  $\mathcal{A}_V \to V$  is flat. It extends the family  $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$ . In particular, the general fibre is a smooth abelian g-fold.

#### *Proof*:

(i) This can be done as in [3, theorem 3.14 (i)].

(ii) Smoothness follows from (i) and corollary 12. The family is flat since  $\mathcal{A}_V$  is smooth of dimension 2g and every fibre has dimension g. It extends  $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$  by construction.

#### **3.4** Description of the degenerate abelian varieties

We now want to describe the fibre of  $\mathcal{A}_V$  over a point  $p = (0, \ldots, 0, T_g)$  with  $T_g \neq 0$ . We shall denote this fibre by  $A_p$  and we shall denote the fibres of  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $U_{\alpha}$  and  $U_{\alpha,y}$  over this point by  $\tilde{P}_p$ ,  $(U_{\alpha})_p$  and  $(U_{\alpha,y})_p$  respectively. Recall that

$$(U_{\alpha})_p = \operatorname{Spec}(R_{\alpha})_p,$$

where

$$(R_{\alpha})_p = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_g, Y_1, \dots, Y_g] = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_{g-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{g-1}, w_g, w_g^{-1}]$$

with  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  as in proposition 11. We first consider  $(U_{\alpha})_p$ . Clearly  $(U_0)_p = (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$ . In general,  $(U_{\alpha})_p$  consists of  $2^h$  irreducible components, where

$$h = \#\{i; 1 \le i \le g - 1, \alpha_i \ne 0\}.$$

It is singular for  $h \ge 1$ . Its regular part is the disjoint union of  $2^h$  tori. These tori can be described as follows. Consider

$$w_i = T_i^{-\beta_i}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, g,$$

where  $\beta_i \in \{0, -\alpha_i\}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, g - 1$ . Outside the hyperplanes  $\{T_i = 0\}$ , this defines a section of the torus bundle  $U_0$ . Note that  $U_0 = U_\alpha$  on  $L - \bigcup_i \{T_i = 0\}$ . This section can be extended to a section of  $U_\alpha$  over p,

where it meets exactly one of the  $2^h$  tori whose union is the smooth part of  $(U_{\alpha})_p$ . This shows also that  $(U_{\alpha})_p \subset (U_{\beta})_p$  if and only if  $\beta \geq \alpha \geq 0$  or  $\beta \leq \alpha \leq 0$ .

The subgroup  $\langle r_g \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{Y}$  generated by  $r_g$  acts on  $U_0$  and hence also on  $(U_p)_0$ . It also acts on  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w_g, w_g^{-1}] = \mathbb{C}^*$  by

$$r_g(w_g) = \mathbf{e}(\tau_g)w_g.$$

The inclusion of rings

$$\mathbb{C}[w_g, w_g^{-1}] \subset (R_\alpha)_p$$

defines a map

$$(U_0)_p \to \mathbb{C}^*,$$

which is equivariant with respect to the action of  $\langle r_g \rangle$ . In this way we get a semi-abelian variety of rank g - 1, i.e. an extension

$$0 \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g-1} \to \mathbb{Z} \setminus (U_0)_p \to E_{\tau_g,1} \to 0,$$

where  $E_{\tau_{q},1}$  is the elliptic curve

$$E_{\tau_g,1} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau_g).$$

The closure of  $(U_0)_p$  in  $\tilde{P}_p$  has the structure of a  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Taking the quotient by  $\langle r_g \rangle$  gives rise to a  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ -bundle over the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau_q,1}$ .

We now return our attention to  $\tilde{P}_p$ . Recall that

$$\tilde{P}_p = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma, y \in \mathbb{Y}} (U_{\alpha, y})_p.$$

It follows from our observations above that the regular part of  $\tilde{P}_p$  is the union of countably many tori. These tori can be labelled in a natural way by elements  $(l_1, \ldots, l_{g-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{g-1}$ : the section given outside the union of the hyperplanes  $\{T_i = 0\}$  by

$$w_i = T_i^{-l_i}$$

can be extended over the point p where it meets exactly one of the tori contained in  $\tilde{P}_p$ . We shall label this torus by  $(l_1, \ldots, l_{g-1})$ . The element  $r_i$ of  $\mathbb{Y}$   $(i = 1, \ldots, g-1)$  then maps the torus  $(l_1, \ldots, l_i, \ldots, l_{g-1})$  to the torus  $(l_1, \ldots, l_i - 1, \ldots, l_{g-1})$ , whereas  $r_g$  maps each of these tori to itself.

We can now summarize our discussion in

**Proposition 14** Let  $A_p = \mathbb{Y} \setminus \tilde{P}_p$  be the fibre of  $\mathcal{A}_V$  over the point  $(0, \ldots, 0, T_g)$  of V with  $T_g \neq 0$ . Then the following holds

(i) The regular part  $A_p^{reg}$  of  $A_p$  is a semi-abelian variety of rank g-1. More precisely, there exists an extension

$$0 \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g-1} \to A_p^{reg} \to E_{\tau_g,1} \to 0.$$

(ii) The normalization of  $A_p$  is a  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ -bundle over the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau_{g,1}}$ . The identifications given by the normalization map are induced by the following identifications on  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ 

$$r_i : (w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, \infty, w_i, \dots, w_g) \mapsto (t_{i1}w_1, \dots, t_{i,i-1}w_{i-1}, 0, t_{i,i+1}w_{i+1}, \dots, t_{ig}w_g),$$

where i runs through  $\{1, \ldots, g-1\}$ .

**Remarks 15** (i) Let

$$a_i = [\tau_{ig}] \in E_{\tau_g,1}$$
  $i = 1, \dots, g-1.$ 

Then the action of  $r_i$  lies over the translation  $x \mapsto x + a_i$  on the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau_a,1}$ .

(ii) The singularities of  $A_p$  can be read off from proposition 11, but can also be understood in terms of the identifications described in proposition 14 (ii). For every  $h \in \{0, \ldots, g-1\}$ , there is a locally closed (g-h)-dimensional subset of  $A_p$ , where  $2^h$  smooth branches meet and where the Zariski tangent space has dimension g + h. The "worst" singularities of  $A_p$  occur along an elliptic curve isomorphic to  $E_{\tau_g,1}$ , where  $2^{g-1}$  smooth branches meet.

## **3.5** The $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ -polarized case.

We now turn to the case of general  $d \ge 1$ , i.e. we consider the period matrix

$$\Omega_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, d).$$

Dividing out by the last g rows of this period matrix gives a torus  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$  with coordinates

$$w_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}(z_i) & i = 1, \dots, g-1 \\ \mathbf{e}(z_g/d) & i = g. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}(\tau_{ij}) & \text{if } i, j = 1, \dots, g-1 \\ \mathbf{e}(\tau_{ig}/d) & \text{if } i = g \text{ or } j = g. \end{cases}$$

Then the first g rows of  $\Omega_{\tau}$  act on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$  by multiplication by

$$\begin{cases} (t_{i1}, \dots, t_{ig}) & \text{for the } i\text{-th row, where } i = 1, \dots, g-1 \\ (t_{1g}^d, \dots, t_{gg}^d) & \text{for the } g\text{-th row.} \end{cases}$$

Changing the polarization from a principal one to a polarization of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$  corresponds to changing the group of periods  $\mathbb{Y}$  to the subgroup

$$\mathbb{Y}' = \langle r_1, \ldots, r_{g-1}, r_q^d \rangle.$$

We shall, therefore, consider the family

$$\mathcal{A}_V = \mathbb{Y}' \backslash \tilde{P}_V.$$

Now the general element is a smooth abelian variety with a polarization of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ . Proposition 14 remains unchanged with the one exception that the base curve  $E_{\tau_{q},1}$  has to be replaced with the elliptic curve

$$E_{\tau_g,d} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}d + \mathbb{Z}\tau_g).$$

### 4 Degeneration of the polarization

Here we shall always consider the case of polarizations of type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ . What we have done in the previous section was to extend the family  $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$ to a family  $\mathcal{A}_V$  over V. We would now like to construct a relative polarization on  $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$  which extends to the family  $\mathcal{A}_V$ . Although this can be done, we shall actually do slightly less: since we are only interested in degenerations belonging to points  $(0, \ldots, 0, T_g)$  with  $T_g \neq 0$ , we shall restrict ourselves to small neighbourhoods of such points.

For each  $m \in \mathbb{R}^{g}$ , consider the theta-function

$$\theta_{m,0}: \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}$$
$$(\tau, z) \mapsto \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{2}(q+m)\tau^t(q+m) + (q+m)^t z),$$

Let

$$s(\tau) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\tau_1, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}\tau_{g-1}, 0\right)$$

and

$$r = (0, \ldots, 0, \frac{1}{d}).$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can then consider the functions

$$\theta_k : \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}$$
$$(\tau, z) \mapsto \theta_{kr,0}(\tau, z + s(\tau)).$$

Note that this depends only on the class of k in  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ . These functions all have the same automorphy factor and hence are sections of a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau}$ on  $A_{\tau}$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau}$  represents the  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ -polarization on  $A_{\tau}$  and the  $\theta_k$ define a basis of the space of sections of this line bundle [8, p. 75]. Let

$$\tau' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau_{12} & \dots & \tau_{1,g-1} \\ \tau_{21} & 0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & 0 & \tau_{g-2,g-1} \\ \tau_{g-1,1} & \dots & \dots & \tau_{g-1,g-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and set

$$\tau'' = {}^t\!(\tau_{1g},\ldots,\tau_{g-1,g}).$$

Finally we consider the analogue of the functions  $\theta_k$  in one variable, i.e. the functions

$$\vartheta_k : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$$
$$(\tau, z) \mapsto \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{2}(q + k/d)^2 \tau + (q + k/d)z),$$

which also depend only on  $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 16** With the notation of §3, the functions  $\theta_k$  can be written in the form

$$\theta_k(\tau, z) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{g-1}} c_q(\tau') \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'') \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} t_i^{\frac{1}{2}q_i(q_i-1)} w_i^{q_i},$$

with

$$c_q(\tau') = \prod_{0 < i < j < g} t_{ij}^{q_i q_j}.$$

*Proof*: This follows from a straightforward computation.

**Remark 17** The shift  $z \mapsto z+s(\tau)$  was introduced in order to obtain integer exponents of the variables  $t_i$  in the above description.

From now on, we fix  $\tau'$  and  $\tau''$ . Let  $p = (0, \ldots, 0, T_g)$  be an element of V with  $T_g \neq 0$ . For small neighbourhoods W of p in V, it follows from proposition 16 that we may consider the  $\theta_k$  as holomorphic functions on  $W \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g-1} \times \mathbb{C}$  (with coordinates  $(T_1, \ldots, T_q; w_1, \ldots, w_{q-1}, z_q)$ ).

Let  $W_0 = W \cap X_0$  and let  $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{A}_W$  be the restriction of the family  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $W_0$ , resp. W. Since the automorphy factors of the functions  $\theta_k$  do not depend on k, there exists a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$  such that the functions  $\theta_k$  are sections of this line bundle. The line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_0$  defines a relative polarization on  $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$ . Our aim is to extend the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_0$  and its sections  $\theta_k$  to  $\mathcal{A}_W$ .

**Proposition 18** The line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$  can be extended to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{A}_W$ . Moreover, the sections of  $\mathcal{L}_0$  defined by the functions  $\theta_k$  can be extended to sections of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Proof: Using proposition 16, this can be done in the same way as in [3, prop. II.5.13] or as in [4, prop. 4.1.3]. Therefore, we shall not give all technical details, but only an outline of the proof. We first consider the open part  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\tilde{P}_W$  given by the union of the open sets  $(U_{0,y})_W$ , where  $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ . The codimension of the complement of  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\tilde{P}$  is 2. Each open set  $(U_{0,y})_W$  is a trivial torus of rank g over W. For y = 0, we can use coordinates  $(T_1, \ldots, T_g; w_1, \ldots, w_{g-1}, w_g)$  to identify  $(U_0)_W$  with  $W \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$ . Since the function  $\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'')$  can be expressed in terms of the coordinate  $w_g = \mathbf{e}(z_g)$ , we can view the functions  $\theta_k$  as functions on  $(U_0)_W$ . Similarly, using the action of  $\mathbb{Y}$ , we can choose coordinates for  $(U_{0,y})_W$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ , such that this open set is also identified with  $W \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$ . In this way we can consider the  $\theta_k$  also as functions on  $(U_{0,y})_W$ . For every

 $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ , we consider the  $\theta_k$  as sections of the trivial line bundle on  $(U_{0,y})_W$ . Using the automorphy factors of the functions  $\theta_k$ , we can glue these trivial line bundles and obtain a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ . This can be done in such a way that the action of  $\mathbb{Y}$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  lifts to an action of  $\mathbb{Y}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Hence this line bundle descends to a line bundle on  $\mathbb{Y} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ . By construction, the functions  $\theta_k$ define sections of this line bundle. We have now extended the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_0$ to an open set of  $\mathcal{A}_W$ , whose complement has codimension 2. To extend the bundle to the whole of  $\mathcal{A}_W$ , one can either use the Remmert-Stein extension theorem (cf. [3, 4]) or one can perform a similar construction using all sets  $(U_{\alpha,y})_W$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma, y \in \mathbb{Y}$ ).

For future reference we also note

**Proposition 19** Let  $S = \{0, 1\}^{g-1}$ . Then

$$\lim_{t_1,\dots,t_{g-1}\to 0} \theta_k(\tau,z) = \sum_{q\in S} c_q(\tau')\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'')w^q,$$

where

$$w^q = \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} w_i^{q_i}$$

*Proof*: This follows from proposition 16.

**Remark 20** We denote the restriction of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $A_p$  by  $\mathcal{L}_p$ . The functions from proposition 19 give d sections of  $\mathcal{L}_p$ .

## 5 Very ampleness in the case $d > 2^g$

Let  $d \ge 1$ . Given a symmetric matrix

an element  ${}^{t}\!\tau'' = (\tau_{1g}, \ldots, \tau_{g-1,g})$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{g-1}$  and an element  $\tau_{g}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ , we have constructed in §3 a degenerate abelian variety  $A_{p}$  of dimension g, whose normalization is a  $(\mathbb{P}^{1})^{g-1}$ -bundle over the elliptic curve  $E = E_{\tau_{g},d} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}d + \mathbb{Z}\tau_{g})$ . Moreover, there is a commutative diagram

where the map  $\Phi$  is defined (with the notation of §4) by

$$\Phi = (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{d-1})$$
  
$$\phi_k(z_g; w_1, \dots, w_{g-1}) = \sum_{q \in S} c_q(\tau') \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'') w^q$$

(cf. proposition 19). We want to study the rational map  $\phi$ . Recall that when  $\phi$  is a morphism,  $\phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-1}}(1)$  is the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_p$  on  $A_p$  defined in remark 20 and note that  $\phi(E)$  is a normal elliptic curve of degree d in  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ .

For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we let [z] be the image of z in E and we set  $a_i = [\tau_{ig}]$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, g - 1$ , and  $a = {}^t(a_1, \ldots, a_{g-1})$ . Recall that  $S = \{0, 1\}^{g-1}$ .

From now on, we assume that  $\tau''$  is generic. More precisely, it suffices that

the points 
$$a_1, \ldots, a_{q-1}$$
 of  $E$  are independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . (4)

Then, for any  $x \in E$ , the subset

$$I(x) = \{x + qa; q \in S\}$$

of E has  $2^{g-1}$  elements.

The following lemma is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem.

**Lemma 21** Any set of at most d-1 points on  $\phi(E)$  is linearly independent.

**Proposition 22** Let  $\tau''$  be generic. If  $d > 2^{g-1}$ , then  $\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_{d-1}$  have no common zeroes. In particular,  $\phi$  is a morphism and  $\mathcal{L}_p$  is base-point-free.

*Proof*: For any  $Z = (z_g; w_1, \ldots, w_{g-1})$  in  $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ , the vector  $\Phi(Z)$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $(\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau''))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$ , whose coefficients are not all zero. The proposition then follows from lemma 21.

From now on, we assume that  $d > 2^{g-1}$ . Let  $z_g \in \mathbb{C}$ ; the morphism  $\rho$  induces an isomorphism between  $\{z_g\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$  and a closed subscheme of  $A_p$ , which depends only on  $x = [z_g]$ . We will denote it by  $F_x$ . Note that  $I(x) \subset F_x$ .

Since  $d > 2^{g-1}$ , the points of  $\phi(I(x))$  are linearly independent. It follows from proposition 19, that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $F_x$  is a Segre embedding.

**Proposition 23** Let  $\tau''$  be generic. If  $d > 2^g$ , then  $\phi$  is injective.

*Proof*: From proposition 14, we see that the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})^{g-1}$  induces a bijection

$$B_p \to A_p,$$

where  $B_p$  is an open subset of the normalization of  $A_p$ , fibred over E with fibres isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})^{g-1}$ . For  $x \in E$ , we let  $F_x^0$  be the image in  $A_p$  of the fibre of x. It is a subset of  $F_x$ .

Let x, y be two points of E. Since  $d > 2^g$ , the points of  $\phi(I(x) \cup I(y))$  are linearly independent. It follows that if  $\phi(F_x^0)$  and  $\phi(F_y^0)$  meet, then  $x \in I(y)$ and  $y \in I(x)$ . Condition (4) then implies x = y. Hence, for  $x \neq y$ , the sets  $\phi(F_x^0)$  and  $\phi(F_y^0)$  do not meet. Since  $\phi|_{F_x}$  is an embedding, the lemma is proved.

**Theorem 24** Let  $\tau''$  be generic. For  $d > 2^g$ , the morphism  $\phi$  is an embedding. In particular,  $\mathcal{L}_p$  is very ample.

*Proof*: It remains to prove that the differential is injective on the Zariski tangent spaces.

After reordering the coordinates, we may assume that we are at a point

$$P = \rho(z_g; 0, \dots, 0, v_{h+1}, \dots, v_{g-1}),$$

where  $z_g \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $v_{h+1}, \ldots, v_{g-1} \in \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \infty\}$ . The Zariski tangent space of  $A_p$  at P has dimension g + h (see remark 15). Moreover,  $A_p$  has  $2^h$  smooth branches at P, which are indexed by subsets K of  $\{1, \ldots, h\}$ . The branch corresponding to K is

$$\rho(\{z_g - \tau_K''\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}),$$

where  $\tau_K'' = \sum_{i \in K} \tau_{ig}$  and in this branch, the point  $P_K$  above P is

$$\rho(z_g - \tau''_K; v'_1, \dots, v'_{g-1}),$$

where

$$v'_{l} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } l \in K \\ 0 & \text{if } l \in K' = \{1, \dots, h\} - K \\ \prod_{i \in K} t_{il}^{-1} v_{l} & \text{for } h < l < g \end{cases}$$

(see proposition 14 (ii)).

We change the coordinates around  $P_K$  by setting

$$w_i'' = \begin{cases} (w_i')^{-1} & \text{if } i \in K \\ w_i' & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In these coordinates,  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\Phi(z_g; w_1'', \dots, w_{g-1}'') = \left(\sum_{q \in S} c_q \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'') (w'')^{1-q_K} (w'')^{q_{K'}+q_L}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}},$$

where

$$1 = (1, \dots, 1) \in S$$
  
$$L = \{h + 1, \dots, g - 1\}$$

and, for  $M \subset \{1, \ldots, g-1\}$  and  $q \in S$ , we define  $q_M \in S$  by

$$(q_M)_i = \begin{cases} q_i & \text{if } i \in M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We need to calculate the corresponding Jacobian matrix at  $P_K$  (whose new coordinates are  $(z_g - \tau''_K; 0, \ldots, 0, v'_{h+1}, \ldots, v'_{g-1})$ ). Derivative with respect to x.

In the sum, we need only consider indices q such that

$$q_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in K \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in K'. \end{cases}$$

After setting  $r = q - \mathbf{1}_K$ , we get

$$\Big(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0}}c_{\mathbf{1}_K+r}\vartheta'_k(\tau_g,z_g+r\tau'')(v')^{r_L}\Big)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Since

$$c_{\mathbf{1}_{K}+r} = \Big(\prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \in K}} t_{ij}\Big) \Big(\prod_{\substack{i \in K \\ j \in L}} t_{ij}^{r_j}\Big) c_r$$

and

$$(v')^{r_L} = \prod_{\substack{i \in K \\ l \in L}} t_{il}^{-r_l} v_l^{r_l},$$

we get a non-zero multiple of the vector

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0}}c_r\vartheta'_k(\tau_g,z_g+r\tau'')v^r\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Derivative with respect to  $w''_{\beta}$ ,  $\beta \in K$ .

In the sum, we need only consider indices q such that

$$q_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in K - \{\beta\} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in K' \cup \{\beta\}. \end{cases}$$

A similar calculation yields (after setting  $r=q-\mathbf{1}_{K-\{\beta\}})$  a non-zero multiple of the vector

$$\Big(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0}}c_r\vartheta_k(\tau_g,z_g-\tau_{\beta g}+r\tau'')v^r\Big(\prod_{j\in L}t_{\beta j}^{-r_j}\Big)\Big)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Derivative with respect to  $w''_{\beta}, \ \beta \in K'.$ 

We get a non-zero multiple of

$$\Big(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0}}c_r\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g+\tau_{\beta g}+r\tau'')v^r\big(\prod_{j\in L}t_{\beta j}^{r_j}\big)\Big)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Derivative with respect to  $w''_{\beta}, \ \beta \in L.$ 

We get a non-zero multiple of

$$\Big(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0\\r_\beta=1}}c_r\vartheta_k(\tau_g,z_g+r\tau'')v^r\Big)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Altogether, letting K vary among all subsets of  $\{1, \ldots, h\}$ , we see that the closure of  $d\phi(T_PA_p)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  is spanned by the following (g+h+1) points

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0}}c_r\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'')v^r\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$$
$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0}}c_r\vartheta'_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'')v^r\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$$
$$\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0}}c_r\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + \epsilon\tau_{\beta g} + r\tau'')v^r\left(\prod_{j\in L}t^{\epsilon q_j}_{\beta j}\right)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$$

for all  $(\beta, \epsilon) \in \{1, ..., h\} \times \{-1, 1\}$ , and

$$\Big(\sum_{\substack{r\in S\\r_1=\cdots=r_h=0\\r_\beta=1}}c_r\vartheta_k(\tau_g,z_g+r\tau'')v^r\Big)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$$

for all  $\beta \in \{h+1, \ldots, g-1\}$ . Since  $d > 2^g \ge 2^{g-h}(h+1)$ , it follows from lemma 21 that the  $2^{g-h}(h+1)$  vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'') \end{pmatrix}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \\ \left( \vartheta'_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'') \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \\ \left( \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + \epsilon\tau_{\beta g} + r\tau'') \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$$

for  $r \in S$  with  $r_1 = \cdots = r_h = 0$ ,  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  and  $\beta \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ , are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ .

This implies that the (g + h + 1) vectors above are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and proves that the image of the differential of  $\phi$  at P has dimension g + h. The differential of  $\phi$  is therefore everywhere injective, which proves the theorem. **Corollary 25** Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension g and type  $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ . For  $d > 2^g$ , the line bundle L is very ample.

**Remark 26** Similar calculations show that for given  $\tau_g$  and  $\tau''$  satisfying condition (4), and for a generic choice of the matrix  $\tau'$ , the morphism  $\phi$  is unramified for  $d \ge 2^g - g(g-3)/2$  and is an embedding for  $d > 2^g - g(g-3)/2$ . For  $g \ge 4$ , this improves slightly on the bound in theorem 24. However,  $\phi$ is never an embedding for g = 3 and d = 8: for a generic choice of  $\tau'$ , it is unramified and identifies (transversally) a finite number of pairs of smooth points of  $A_p$ .

## References

- W. Barth, Transplanting cohomology classes in complex-projective space, Am. J. of Math. 92 (1970), 951–967.
- [2] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).
- [3] K. Hulek, C. Kahn, S.H. Weintraub, Moduli spaces of polarized abelian surfaces: compactification, degenerations, and theta functions, to appear: de Gruyter (1993).
- [4] K. Hulek, S.H. Weintraub, *The principal degenerations of abelian* surfaces and their polarizations, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 281–307.
- [5] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange, S Ramanan, *Primitive line bundles on abelian threefolds*, Preprint Erlangen (1993).
- [6] G. Fischer, Complex analytic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 538, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1976). (1984).
- [7] W. Fulton, R. Lazarsfeld, On the connectedness of degeneracy loci and special divisors, Acta Math. 146 (1981), 271–283
- [8] J. Igusa, *Theta functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Band **194**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1967).

- [9] J. Kollár, Trento Examples, in: Classification of irregular varieties, Lecture Notes in Math. 1515, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991).
- [10] D. Mumford, An analytic construction of degenerating abelian varieties over complete rings, Comp. Math. 24 (1972), 239–272.
- [11] D. Mumford, On the equations defining abelian varieties, I, Invent. Math. 1 (1966), 287–354.
- [12] D. Mumford, On the Kodaira dimension of the Siegel modular variety, Lecture Notes in Math. 997, Proceedings of the Ravello conference 1982, 348–376, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).
- [13] D. Nagaraj, S. Ramanan, Abelian varieties with polarisation of type  $(1, 2, \ldots, 2)$ , to appear.
- [14] Y. Namikawa, Toroidal compactification of Siegel spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 812, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980).
- [15] T. Oda, Convex bodies and algebraic geometry, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980).
- [16] A. Van de Ven, On the embeddings of abelian varieties in projective spaces, Annali di Matematica 103 (1975), 127–129.