

Imitation Games

Kunihiko Kaneko and Junji Suzuki[†]

Department of Pure and Applied Sciences,

[†]*Institute of Physics,*

College of Arts and Sciences

University of Tokyo

Komaba 3-8-1, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, JAPAN

Mutual imitation games among artificial birds are studied. By employing a variety of mappings and game rules, the evolution to the edge between chaos and windows is universally confirmed. Some other general features are observed, including punctuated equilibria, and successive alternations of dominant species with temporal complexity. Diversity of species aided by the symbolization of artificial birds' song are also shown. §1 Introduction The

evolution of species in mutually interacting system has been a problem of interests in many branches of sciences. Is there trend to increase the complexity there? Is there any characterization for an evolutionarily favorable state? Determination of such a state is generally a complicated question, involving a certain balance among several factors, such as costs to adopt a strategy and behavior and gains by adopting it. The recent “dogma” for the characterization may be “the edge of chaos’ scenario. This concept have been emphasized in many contexts such as cellular automata, boolean networks or CML [1, 2, 3, 4]. To the authors’ knowledge, however, there was no clear example presenting the evidence for evolution to the edge of chaos in the exact sense of dynamical systems theory. In the recent communication, we have proposed a minimal model for the evolution to the edge of chaos, based on the dynamical systems theory [5]. The model has been motivated by the observed complexity of bird songs: It is known that a bird with a complex

song (with many repertoires made from combinations of simple phrases) is stronger in defending its territory, as is observed by Krebs with the help of loud-speaker experiments [6, 7]. So far the reason why a complex song is evolved is unknown, although there is a hypothesis that a complex song may give an impression of crowded population, thus is efficient for the defense [7]. This hypothesis, however, has no experimental evidences. On the other hand, there are some reports of observations that birds try to imitate each other's song at the defense of territory [6]. Combining the above two observations, the following hypothesis has been put forward for the explanation of such complexity of a bird song: Birds join a battle for defense of territory through mimicking each other's song. In other words, if a bird A can imitate bird B's song better, then A can intrude B's territory and can share feeds. A is advantageous in the battle for the survival. It may be expected that a complex song is difficult to be imitated. Hence we may expect the evolution to a complex song. In spite of the lack of a direct experimental support for this scenario, the concept of mutually imitating game itself deserves much attention, as a novel problem of game theory and as a general framework for the evolution to a complex behavior. As an abstract game, we adopt an artificial bird (or player) whose song is generated by a nonlinear map. Birds play imitation games with each other. We assume that the winner of the game is fitter in the survival, which is taken into account by the population dynamics as a growth rate or by a simple replacement of losers by winners. Mutational changes of parameters for the song dynamics are introduced in the course of replication (See for details the modeling in section 2). We have to emphasize two advantages in our modeling here.

- Since our artificial birds generate their songs by nonlinear mapping, the songs have complexity with real numbers. In most game theory, strategies are chosen from discrete sets, while the strategies (songs) here are chosen from continuously many sets.
- Since our parameters have a clear meaning in the nonlinear mapping, the meaning of the edge of chaos is quite clear in the exact sense of dynamical systems theory.

Since all players are labeled by continuous parameters, no clear speciation is given in advance. Judging from the result of simulations, however, it seems that we are entitled to divide players into several species. With high mutation

rates, the coexistence of several species has been observed. With the use of much smaller mutation rates, we have explicitly shown the evolution to the edge of chaos, in the exact sense of nonlinear dynamics. In addition, the evolution occurs towards the borderline between a window and chaos, not to the first onset of chaos. This observation leads to the hypothesis of the evolution to the border between observable and invisible chaos. Some other features emerge through the evolution, such as punctuated equilibria and abrupt, complex alternations of dominant species. In the previous report [5], we have adopted

- the logistic map as a song generator
- euclidean criterion for imitation
- “infinite dimensional” lattice (all-to-all game)
- (see the meanings of these terms in section 2).

Here we report studies on several variants of the model, to test the universality of the results obtained previously. For example, we put birds on a 2D square lattice, allowing only for fights among the players on the nearest neighbor sites. In most of cases, the results in the previous paper [5] are reproduced, supporting the universality of the concept of the “edge of chaos”, and the edge of windows. Furthermore, we find several exotic phenomena such as the diversity (or coexistence) of species assisted by discrete symbolization of birds’ songs. This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present details of our modeling. Several factors defining our models are described respectively. In section 3 we survey the results of the model with “Euclidean criterion”, parts of which were also reported previously [5]. These results provide basic notions and framework for later sections. Characteristic features in the imitation games are shown there. We will give some intuitive reasons why the system evolves to the edge between chaos and a window. Moreover, it will be shown that our “edge of chaos” scenario is stable against choices of the topology of players. In section 4, results with the choice of symbolized criterion, i.e., “LR criterion” are reported. Such symbolization of dynamics is shown to lead to the increase of fluctuation, resulting the diversity of species. In section 5, we revisit the problem of the priority of the edge between chaos and a window, by changing the birds’ song generator

(to the tent or the circle map). In particular, we will confirm the priority by simulating a system with the coexistence of two distinct types of song mappings with and without windows (i.e., the logistic and tent maps). In section 6, we will discuss complex dynamics of the imitation games by allowing for different dynamics for the “song generation” and imitation processes. Summary and discussions are given in section 7. **§2 modeling** Our model

consists of the three stages, the song generation process, 2-persons’ imitation game and reproduction. We will discuss these three procedures separately.

1: Song generation (Song dynamics): As a “song”, we use a time series generated by a nonlinear map. In the previous report [5], the logistic map:

$$x_{n+1} = 1 - ax_n^2 \quad (1)$$

is adopted for this purpose, since it is one of the most investigated maps as a standard model in nonlinear physics. A bird, say, the i -th bird, possesses its own parameter $a(i)$. We regard time series $(x_0(i), x_1(i), \dots)$ generated by the map as the i -th bird’s song. For most examples of simulations here, the logistic map is again adopted, while the other maps for a bird’s song generator are also adopted to test the universality of our results. Here simulations with the use of the tent map or the circle map will be given in §5.

2: 2 person imitation game: Three factors are involved in defining the 2-persons’ game; topology for players, imitation, and game processes (or criterion for winners). Here we give detailed explanation of each process separately. *2.a) topology for players* In the previous report [5], players are assumed to fight against all other players. In the sense of statistical physics, players live on an infinite dimensional lattice. Any spatial information is not included in this modeling. Here we also investigate cases where players are put on a two-dimensional square lattice with the periodic boundary condition. For most simulations here, we adopt the lattice of 30×30 . *2.b) imitation process: choice of an initial value for an imitating song:* Each bird player i has to choose an initial condition, so that the time series by its own dynamics can imitate the other player’s song better. Here we use the following imitation process for simplicity. For given transient time steps T_{imi} , a player (mimicker) modifies its dynamics with a feedback from the other player(singer):

$$x_{n+1}(1) = f_1[(1 - \epsilon)x_n(1) + \epsilon x_n(2)] \quad (2)$$

By this dynamics, the player 1 adjusts its value $x_n(1)$ by referring to the other player's value ($x_n(2)$). Here ϵ is a coupling parameter for imitation process. After repeating this imitation process for T_{imi} steps, the player 1 uses its own dynamics $x_{n+1}(1) = f_1(x_n(1))$. In other words, the above process is used as a choice of the initial condition for the imitation of the other player's dynamics. The coupling parameter ϵ also varies by players. However, the distribution of this parameter seems "irrelevant", as far as we judge from our numerical results. Thus we will skip the discussion on this parameter hereafter.

2.c): Game: After the player 1 completes the above imitation process, 2 players 1 and 2 are decoupled and generate songs by their own dynamics. Then we calculate a quantity $D(1, 2)$ measuring the distance between the imitating time series $\{x_n(1)\}$, and the singing one $\{x_n(2)\}$. By changing the role of two players, $D(2, 1)$ is also measured. If $D(1, 2)$ is smaller than $D(2, 1)$ the player 1 imitates better the other's song and wins this 2-persons' game (and vice versa). Definition of the distance $D(i, j)$ gives a criterion for the winner and may be crucial in the game. Here we adopt either of the following two criterions. *2.c.1) Euclidean distance:* As the measure, we choose $D(1, 2) = \sum_{m=1}^T |x_m(1) - x_m(2)|^2$ over certain time steps T . This choice is just a normal Euclidean distance. (Of course, the distance should be cordial for the circle map, since x is on a circle.) *2.c.2) LR-code:*

It is often useful to symbolize the time series to some discrete sets rather than to use the real number x . The time series of the logistic map, for example, can be traced by 2 symbols, represented by R ($x > 0$) and L ($x < 0$) [10]. Using such symbolization, the distance between two trajectories is measured by the number of unmatched symbols over some time steps. In the above symbolization for the logistic map, the distance is thus defined by

$$D(1, 2) = \sum_{m=1}^T IS(x_m(1), x_m(2)) \quad (3)$$

with the notation $IS(a, b) = 1$ if $ab < 0$ and 0 otherwise. **3 Reproduction**

Fitness of the players are given by the results of games. Offsprings are reproduced according to the results of fights. We adopt either of the following two for this process : *3.a) Replacement:* This might be the simplest way; the parameters of losers are replaced by those of winners at every game. We adopt this replacement rule for players on a 2D lattice. *3.b) Score:*

After each game, the winner gets a point W , while a loser gets L ($W > L$) (Both get $(W + L)/2$ in the case of draw.) After iterating a large number

of games, the population distribution is updated in proportional to the score of players, with the further restriction that the total population be constant. At both of these reproducing stages, we include mutational errors to the parameters; The parameters a and ϵ are changed to $a + \delta$, $\epsilon + \delta'$, where variables δ and δ' are random numbers chosen from a suitable distribution (we use a homogeneous random distribution over $[-\mu, \mu]$ or Lorenzian distribution ($P(\delta) = 1/\{\mu(1 + (\delta/\mu)^2)\}$). The latter choice is often useful, since the former choice inhibits a large jump of parameters and often the parameter values are trapped at intermediate values, while the latter can provide a wider possibility of “species”.

These three processes define our model. Because of the numbers of variations, we will sometimes specify a model by sets of rules such as [lattice, logistic, LR, Score, $5e - 4$], which means that players are on a 2D lattice, and generate songs by the logistic map, that the distance between two songs is measured by LR symbolic sequence, and that the population is updated in proportional to player’s score with the mutation rate $\mu = 5 \times 10^{-4}$.

Throughout this paper time step is measured in the unit of the total number of players N . In the lattice case one step is defined as the time necessary for one game for each player, i.e., totally N games for N players. In the all-to-all game, it is defined as the time required that each player plays a game for all othe players (i.e., totally $N(N - 1)/2$ games for all players).

§3 Basic Results: Simulations with Euclidean Criterion Let us start

our presentation by giving numerical results with the Euclidean criterion for distance. First, we present a result of the game with high mutation parameters. The model is defined by [lattice, logistic, euclid, replacement, ?]. In figure[1], we present the distribution of the logistic parameter a after 10 time steps. It clearly shows the coexistence of two species; one is around $a = 0.75$ and the other is around $a = 1.75$. The former corresponds to the bifurcation point (period 1 \rightarrow period 2) while the latter to the edge between the period 3 window and chaos. The snapshot configuration is depicted in Fig[2], where dark dots mean players with $a \sim 0.75$ while bright dots give those with $a \sim 1.75$. This example already shows the typical character of advantageous states for some bifurcation points (in other words, “edge of something”).

To see the fitness by players we switch to use a model with all-to-all games [infinite, logistic, euclid, score, ?], presented in the previous communication,

since it deserves a prototype of our models. The average score of players is plotted as a function of the bifurcation parameter a by taking a high mutation rate, to allow for the existence of players with a wide range of parameters a [Fig 3]. We can see many peaks, which correspond to the bifurcation point from period-2 to period-4, and from period-4 to period-8, and the edge of period-3 window, period-5 window, period-4 window, and so forth. This score landscape is rugged as is often discussed in spin-glass type models in biology [8, 9]. Here this landscape is not implemented as a model itself (like energy function in spinglass type models [9]), but is emergent through the evolution. Indeed this landscape depends on the population distribution at the moment. To see the advantage of “the edge of chaos” in the score, we have plotted the score of birds as a function of the Lyapunov exponent λ [Fig 4]. As is shown there, the score has a clear peak around $\lambda = 0$. Indeed this peak is supplied at the edge of period-3 window and chaos ($a \approx 1.75$), and the edge of period-4 window and chaos ($a \approx 1.94$).

A high mutation rate, however, leads to a large fluctuation, which makes it difficult to identify each “species” precisely. Let us therefore concentrate on low mutation rate cases for the rest part of the present paper. In Fig.[5], temporal evolution of the average of the parameter a over all players is plotted. Plateaus are observed successively, providing an explicit example for punctuated equilibrium [11]. At the temporal domain with a plateau, the deviation from the average value is typically very small. Each plateau corresponds to the bifurcation points and the edges of chaos mentioned at the score landscape. Finally, the birds reach the parameter for the edge of period-4 window ($a \approx 1.94$), and stay there ¹.

Thus we have seen the priority of “the edge of chaos”, and the evolution to it. To be more precise, we have to note that our edge state lies between a window and chaos. At windows, the logistic map can provide chaotic transients before the dynamics settles down to a stable cycle. Thus the existence of transient chaos should be useful to imitate a dynamics of different nature. A window at a higher nonlinearity regime includes a variety of unstable cycles, as coded by Sharkovskii’s ordering [10]. Therefore it can provide a larger variety of dynamics, as transients. This might be the reason why the edge

¹ The averaged score plotted as a function of the Lyapunov exponent λ has a broad peak at $\lambda = 0$, which extends to the region $\lambda < 0$ rather broadly. The score has a sharp drop at the side of $\lambda > 0$, on the other hand. With the increase of T , the peak slightly shifts to $\lambda > 0$ while drops at $\lambda < 0$ get sharper.

of windows is strong in our imitation game. The above speculation suggests the importance of transient chaos, besides the edge of it, for the adaptation to a wide range of external dynamics. Let us incorporate again the lattice structure, by taking the model [lattice,logistic, euclid, score, ?]. Temporal evolution of the average logistic parameter value a is plotted in Fig[6]. Again we see the evolution to the edge of chaos (windows) after punctuated equilibria. Thus the previous model with all-to-all game is worth of a good mean field theory to our problem. The lattice structure enhances the fluctuation as is seen by comparing the flatness of plateaus in Figs. (5) and (6) or noticing the lack of some plateaus here. To get some spatial information, we measure the distribution of the maximum connected cluster sizes of a species, since admissible parameter regions are separated enough to be regarded as distinct species. We have plotted the temporal changes of the maximal cluster sizes around the time steps for abrupt changes of the mean logistic parameter [Fig 7]. Successive transitions of dominant species are clearly shown. An interesting question here is if the lattice structure helps the coexistence of species or not. In [Fig 7], both species with $a \approx 1.75$ and $a \approx 1.86$ increase their populations together, which seems to suggest a kind of symbiosis. This example, however, is not so decisive to claim the spatial differentiation supporting the coexistence of species. Further studies are necessary. The examples in the present section show that the “edge of chaos” concept is valid irrespective of the topology of players. We stress again the significant role of the windows, which will be further addressed in section 5. **§4 Symbolization induced**

complexity and diversity; LR criterion: Next we study the case with the use of the distance in terms of symbolic codes. The motivation for this choice is the examination of the effect of symbolization in communication codes: Does the symbolization lead to increase or decrease of diversity and complexity? The temporary evolution of the average logistic parameter is given in Fig[8]. In this case, advantageous players have such parameters that the symbolic sequence changes its pattern there, e.g., $a = 1, 1.3, \dots$. It is not difficult to see that the dominant species lie again at window values in long intervals. Clearly, this example shows the stability of “edge between chaos and window” scenario against the choice of criteria in the 2-persons’ game. Judging from the amplitudes in Fig[7] and [8], we conclude that the fluctuation here is further enhanced from the Euclidean criterion case. In the lattice version, the average values of a go up and down temporally with some

transient “disordered” intervals. No “final” parameter (such as $a \approx 1.94$ which is robust and is reached from any distributions in the Euclid criterion) exists here. The parameters switch (almost) forever. After staying at the edge between some window and chaos, the parameter switches to the edge of another bifurcation point or to an edge. In the example of Fig[8], successive transitions between $a \approx 1.75$ (period 3) and $a \approx 1.94$ (period 4) are observed. Such complex alternations between “ordered states” are typically seen in the chaotic itinerancy [12], although the present switching process may be of stochastic nature (not of deterministic). Moreover, coexistence of different species (parameters) in the LR case is strongly enhanced compared with the Euclidean case with the same mutation rate. See Fig.[9] for snapshots of the distribution of players with respect to distinct sets of the logistic parameter a . The diversity is enhanced in the symbolic criterion. The increase of diversity is also seen in simulations with the all-to-all game. Roughly speaking, players with $a \approx 1.75$ (period-3 window) are rather robust and have occupied a large ratio of population. (As the fluctuation is increased, a window with a larger interval (such as the period-3 one) is more robust, which is also true of a model with a high mutation rate with Euclidean criterion [5]). Still, we have seen coexistence of other parameters such as $a \approx 1.94$ besides the above group. When the average is plotted with time, it fluctuates around $a \approx 1.75 \sim 1.78$, while the variance of the fluctuation remains large even in a very small mutation rate regime (say 10^{-4}). Summing up the present section, we have found that the symbolization enhances complexity of dynamics and diversity of species. We have found coexistence of two groups, and successive switches of dominant species for ever. What is the origin of this diversity and complexity? One possible guess is as follows. By the binary symbolization, players with a certain range of the logistic parameter come to possess an identical temporal pattern in singing, whereas fine structures within the parameter interval are distinguished in the Euclidean (or analogue) measure. Thus a “strong” pattern of time series which was observed only in some very narrow window regime may be stabilized and exist in a wider parameter regime. The species, for example, at $a \sim 1.63$, can have a larger chance for the survival. By the above mechanism of symbolization, effective strength of players is further averaged out. Difference between two songs in the game is much weaker than the Euclidean one ². Relationships between two players with different edges are more subtle, and no robust parameter exists. The switching dynamics and the coexistence may reflect this

averaging effect by the symbolization. If this scenario of diversity is universal, it suggests the importance of symbolization or discretization for the diversity. Why is the human language so diverse? Is the diversity caused by our symbolization ability from analogue vocal signals? **§5 Universality of**

the priority of the edge between window and chaos Here we examine the universality of the scenario in §3, by choosing a few different mappings for the song dynamics. In this section, we will simulate using the tent map and the circle map as the song generator. The results support our hypothesis on the priority of the windows, discussed in §3.

Tent Map We choose the following tent map parameterized by one parameter,

$$x_{n+1} = a\left(\frac{1}{2} - \left|\frac{1}{2} - x_n\right|\right), \quad (4)$$

as the song dynamics. Thus each bird possesses two parameters $a(i), \epsilon(i)$ as in the case of the game with the logistic map. In the tent map, there is no window structure. With the increase of a , chaos appears at $a = 1$, which is the only edge of chaos. For $a > 1$, no bifurcation structure exists. Numerical results of the evolution of the imitation game show that the average value of the parameter a evolves to 1, the onset of chaos for the tent map [Fig 10, 11], irrespective of criterions. Since $a = 1$ is the only edge, this result is expected. For the logistic map, we have given a plausible explanation to the priority of windows in section 3. Is this edge of window stronger than the above onset of chaos in the tent map? Since no window exists in the tent map, it is interesting to study a system where birds with the logistic map and with the tent map can coexist and compete. Here we slightly modify the logistic map to

$$x_{n+1} = 2ax_n(1 - x_n) \quad (5)$$

so that the variables (parameter a) in both maps take values in a same range $[0,1]$ ($a[0,2]$). We put players on a 2D square lattice, and adopt the symbolic criterion (L for $x < .5$ and R for $x > .5$). Initially, a bird's song takes

² Another way of weakening the difference (but keeping analogue criterion) is the use of modified definition for distance measure; $D(1, 2) = \sum_{m=1}^T |x_m(1) - x_m(2)|^y$ with $y < 2$. We have studied the case $y = 1$, which also enhances the fluctuation and instability of dominance of a species, similarly with the LR criterion. Such modification may be rather superficial, however, as is immediately seen by considering the extreme limit $y \sim 0$.

either logistic or tent map randomly with equal weights. Starting from the population with small a values, the mean value of a for logistic birds evolves to a punctuated equilibrium value $a \sim 1$ after few steps. (See Fig.[12]). At this equilibrium state, the ratio of the birds with the logistic map to those with the tent maps is kept almost constant $\sim 8 : 1$. Then the logistic parameter shows the abrupt change to the value corresponding to the period-3 window (or the period-4 window, depending on system size). During the change, the birds with tent maps are completely terminated, which means that the onset of chaos in the tent map is defeated by the windows in the logistic map. This result may deserve a support to our hypothesis on the priority of windows' edge. *Circle map* We have also studied the case with the use of the circle map

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a \sin(2\pi x_n) + d \quad \text{mod} 1 \quad (6)$$

as the song generator [13]. Now each bird possesses three parameters $a(i), d(i), \epsilon(i)$. We determine the rule for 2 persons' game by measuring the cordial distance between two trajectories of maps as noted previously. Numerical results again show abrupt changes of parameters with successive punctuated equilibria. Synchronized temporal motions between average values of a and d are clearly seen in Fig.[13]. The average of d seems to take an "equilibrium" value either ~ 0 or ~ 0.5 , resulting a shift of the origin of variables $\{x_n(i)\}$. On the other hand, average a lies again at window values (to be precise, those with $d \sim 0$) $a \sim -2, -1.5, 1.5$ etc. ³ Summarizing this section, the significance of the window structures in the imitation game, discussed in section 3, is further confirmed by changing the generator for birds' songs. **§6 Dual dynamics** Before closing this report, let us present an example

stressing clearly an aspect of dynamical complexity in the imitation game. Let the logistic map be again the song generator. This time, however, we assign two parameter values for the logistic map to each player so that it can use a different value in imitating and in singing. This is not an irrelevant complication of the model. Rather, there is no a priori reason to believe that

³ To see the role of d , we also have carried out some simulations fixing $d = 0$ and with same choices for other parameters. In this case, the magnitude of average a seems monotonously increasing with punctuated equilibria at windows $\sim -5, -7 \dots$. Thus d is not irrelevant, but plays a role in suppressing the magnitude of the temporal variation of a .

a bird should use an identical parameter for the two processes. For imitation games with one parameter, the restriction of using an identical parameter in singing and mimicking may be a cause to achieve an optimal value of either singing or mimicking, and lead to an edge. In the two parameter game it may be possible that each process evolves to its own optimal value. Then one might expect that the results of the two parameter games' would be either of the following: I. the parameters in singing and imitating evolves to the same "edge of chaos" value; or II. the parameter in singing reaches a value for a fully chaotic state (~ 2.0) while the imitating value also evolves to a high nonlinear region. Surprisingly, the observed result supports neither of these. In the Euclid criterion, the singing parameter again stays around $a \approx 1.94$ the edge of window of period 4, while the imitating parameter stays around some other windows' edge (e.g., $a \approx 1.75$) or other bifurcation points (e.g., $a \approx 0.75$, bifurcation point from period-1 to 2), depending on the mutation rate and the topology of the game. Results with symbolic criterion are much more complex. Let us take an example with [lattice, LR, 5e-5]. The singing parameter switches among $a \sim 1.77, 1.94, 2$, in synchronization with the changes of imitating parameter $a \sim 1.1, 1.3, 1.77$ (Fig.[14]). This switch continues for ever as far as we have checked. The above result may be interpreted as follows: Optimal values for singing and imitating parameters are not unique, but there may be several local minima. Thus optimal values for the singing parameter depend strongly on the population distribution of the mimicking parameter at that time, and vice versa. A small disturbance is enough to change both the optimal values and the population distribution completely. Synchronized changes between the two parameters reflect such a subtle balance. As stressed in section 3, the fitness function is not given a priori but is emergent through the evolution. For one-parameter games, however, the landscape is rather stable once the system evolves to the "edge of chaos". In the dual dynamics game, the landscape, besides its ruggedness, varies in a quite complex manner. Thus the model provides an illustration of a novel problem: evolution of a system with a dynamically changing rugged landscape. Summing up the present section, the evolution to the edge between chaos and windows is still valid in dual dynamics but the population dynamics is more complicated with successive alternations of dominant species, and synchronized changes between the two parameters. This example illustrates that static (or "equilibrium") characterizations are not enough for the imitation games, but the understanding of dynamics is essential to

them. **§7 Summary and Discussions** In this report, we have examined

the evolution of imitation games. It is shown that the evolution to the “edge of chaos” is universally observed irrespective of topology of players, criterions for winners in a fight, and the choice of the map as a song generator. Besides the edge of chaos, we have also given a support to the priority of the edge of windows. It is clearly demonstrated by the dominance of the window’s edge of the logistic map over the onset of chaos in logistic and tent maps. Though birds with both maps can coexist with parameter values around the onset of chaos, the players with the tent map are completely terminated with the abrupt change of the logistic parameter to the period-3 window’s value. Thus we propose an additional scenario here; **the evolution towards the edge between chaos and window**. This edge corresponds to the border between observable and invisible chaos. At a window value, there is topological chaos in dynamics. Although the final attractor is a periodic cycle for almost all initial conditions with a probability measure 1, there are chaotic orbits from non-measurable initial conditions (on a Cantor set). In connection with this topological chaos, there are chaotic transients before an orbit is attracted to the periodic cycle. The existence of topological chaos assures a variety of unstable periodic orbits. Thus a player with a window parameter can imitate a large variety of periodic orbits, showing its dominance over players with periodic dynamics without topological chaos ($a < 1.4011 \dots$ in our logistic map). By the transient chaos, the dynamics has an ability to imitate chaotic time series roughly up to the length of transients, which diverges at the edge. As a song generator, dynamics at a window parameter can provide a large variety of orbits as transients. Since the transient length diverges at the edge, a high variety of songs is maintained. Thus generated songs are not easily imitated by periodic or chaotic dynamics. Summing up, our **window’s edge** scenario is based on the ability of creation of complexity with topological and transient chaos. Transient chaos has a potentiality to adapt a wide range of external dynamics, while the orbit at the attractor is not complicated. The transient chaos may be important in a wide area of biological information processing, and our **window’s edge** scenario may be applied universally in the evolution and adaptation. Besides the characterization of the evolutionary advantageous states, we have discussed the origin and maintenance of diversity of species, in a symbolized criterion and in dual dynamics for songs and mimicry. If there is a given fixed fitness

landscape, it would be reasonable to expect that the fittest species would dominate the world. Indeed, without any mutations or changes of environment the diversity of species may not be sustained. There can be several possibilities in the origin of diversity. A simple answer to the origin is the spatial differentiation mechanism. Indeed our simulation shows coexistence of some species in a 2-dimensional topology. The diversity is increased with the use of spatial game. However, a more interesting discovery in the present paper is **symbolization induced diversity**. By adopting a symbolized criterion, the diversity is enhanced drastically. At some stage of information processing in the brain, symbolization is often adopted. Thus we may expect that the symbolization induced complexity can be one origin of diversity in signal and language. ⁴ In the symbolized criterion, the population dynamics is also complicated. It shows successive punctuated equilibria for ever. The complexity is further enhanced with the choice of dual dynamics for songs and imitations. A variety of window's edge states appear successively, providing temporal complexity. In a large system size, this complexity is easily expected to lead to spatial diversity, since the successive changes of dominant species cannot be synchronized over all lattice regions. The diversity induced by temporal chaos is recently discussed as homeochaos scenario [14], where the population dynamics of species shows weak and high-dimensional chaos. Our window's edge scenario shares the notion of "weak chaos" with the homeochaos scenario. In the homeochaos, we have also seen successive switches among ordered states, noted as chaotic itinerancy [15, 16]. Detailed study of dynamical mechanisms of the successive switching in our case will be necessary in future. Our imitation game provides a universal route to the evolution to complexity. Evolution pressure of complexity to escape from imitation can be also conceptually used in many examples in the biological or social evolution, besides our original motivation to a bird song. Such examples may include the evolution of a communication code ("secret code"), Batesian mimicry [17], and social structure [18]. *Acknowledgments:* The au-

thors would like to thank T. Ikegami, K.Tokita, and S. Adachi for useful discussions. The work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan.

⁴ Did God tell us the symbolization to destroy the Tower of Babel?

References

- [1] N.H. Packard, in *Dynamic Patterns in Complex Systems*, eds. J. Kelso, A.J. Mandell, M.F. Shlesinger, World Scientific, p. 293-301 (1988). See also the paper by M. Mitchell et al. in this volume, however.
- [2] C. Langton, *Physica* 42D (1990) 12
- [3] S.A. Kaufmann and S. Johnson, *J. Theor. Biol.* 149 (1991) 467
- [4] K.Kaneko, *Physica* 34 D (1989) 1
- [5] K. Kaneko and J. Suzuki, “ Evolution to the Edge of Chaos in Imitation Game”, in *Artificial Life III* (1993) eds C. Langton, in press
- [6] C.K. Catchpole, *Vocal communication in birds*, Edward Arnold Press, 1979
- [7] Krebs J.R., Ashcroft,R., and Weber,M, *Nature* 271 (1978) 539-42
- [8] See e.g., S.A. Kaufmann and S. Levin, *J. Theor. Biol.* 128 (1987) 11.
- [9] M. Mezard, G. Parisi, and M.A. Virasoro eds., *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond* (World Sci. Pub., Singapore, 1988)
- [10] R. May, *Nature* 26 (1976) 459; P. Collet and J.P. Eckmann, *Iterated Maps on the Intervals as Dynamical Systems*, Birkhasuer, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, 1980.
- [11] N. Eldredge and S.J. Gould, in *Models in Paleobiology*, Freeman, 1972, ed. T.J. M. Schopf.
- [12] K.Ikeda, K. Matsumoto, and K. Ohtsuka, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 99 (1989) 295 I. Tsuda, “Chaotic Neural Networks and thesaurus”, in *Neurocomputers and Attention*, (eds. A.V. Holden and V. I. Kryukov, Manchester Univ. Press, 1990) K. Kaneko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 63 (1989) 219; *Physica* 41 D (1990) 137; 54 D (1991) 5.
- [13] K. Kaneko, “Collapse of Tori and Genesis of Chaos in Dissipative Systems”, World. Sci., 1986

- [14] K. Kaneko and T. Ikegami, "Homeochaos: Dynamics Stability of a symbiotic network with population dynamics and evolving mutation rates" *Physica* 56 D (1992) , 406-429
- [15] T. Ikegami and K. Kaneko, "Evolution of Host-Parasitoid Network through Homeochaotic Dynamics" *Chaos* 2 (1992) 397-408
- [16] K. Kaneko, in these proceedings
- [17] J. Maynard Smith, *Evolutionary Genetics*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1989
- [18] see A. Hubler and D. Pines, in these proceedings for a related problem, with the use of control of chaotic dynamics instead of our imitation.

Figure 1 Snapshot distribution of the logistic parameter of the players after 10 time steps. Mutation parameter μ is chosen to be 0.001, and lattice size is 30×30 . Two peaks corresponding special points for the logistic map are observed (see text). Unless otherwise mentioned, we set $T_{imi} = T = 30$ throughout the present paper. Figure 2 Snapshot pattern of the configuration of distribution of the logistic parameter, corresponding to Fig.1. Here a bright (dark) pixel corresponds to a player possessing $a \sim 1.75(0.77)$, respectively. Figure 3 Emergent landscape: Average score for the players with parameters within $[a_i, a_i + \Delta]$ is plotted for $a_i = -1 + i \times \Delta$, with the bin size $\Delta = 0.001$. We have adopted $W = 10, L = 1, T_{imi} = 255$, and $T = 32$. Simulation is carried out with the rule [infinite,logistic,Euclid,score,0.1], starting from the initial parameter $a = 0.6$ and $\epsilon = .1$. Sampled for time steps from 1000 to 1500, over all players (whose number is fixed at 200). (adapted from [5]). Figure 4 Average score of the game vs. Lyapunov exponents. Simulation is carried out with the rule [infinite, logistic, Euclid, score, 0.02], $T_{imi} = 255$ and $T = 32$. We have adopted $W = 10, L = 1$. starting from the initial parameter $a = 0.9$ and $\epsilon = .1$. Average scores are obtained from the histogram of Lyapunov exponents, for which we use a bin size of 0.01 for $-1 < \lambda < 1$, while it is set at 0.1 for $\lambda < -1$ (since the sample there is rather sparse). Sampled over time steps from 500 to 750 over all players (whose number is fixed at 200). Figure 5 Two examples of the temporal evolution the average parameter a : Simulation is carried out with the rule [infinite, logistic, Euclid, score], and with mutation rates 5×10^{-4} and 8×10^{-4} . $T_{imi} = 200$ and $T = 50$. starting from the initial parameter $a = 0.6$ and $\epsilon = .1$. Average of the parameters a over all players are plotted with time. The total population is fixed at $N = 200$. Figure 6 Temporal evolution of the average logistic parameter a . (as is written, 1 time step = 900 2 persons' game). [lattice, logistic, Euclid, replacement, $\mu = 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$] Initial values for a are chosen randomly from $[0.6, 1.1]$. Punctuated equilibria at $a \sim 0.77$ and 1.94 are clearly seen. The plateau at 1.94 continues after 3500 steps, as far as we have observed. Figure 7 Temporal evolution of 4 connected clusters in the vicinity of the change of the average logistic parameter in Fig.6. Around the time steps [2700, 2800], two clusters (~ 1.74 and ~ 1.86)

seem to grow simultaneously. Figure 8. Temporal evolution of the average logistic parameter a . [lattice, logistic, LR, replacement, $\mu = 1.25 \times 10^{-4}$]. Alternations between the states at $a \sim 1.77$ and ~ 1.94 are seen. Figure 9. Successive snapshot distribution of the logistic parameter at time steps 1000,5000,7000. The parameters of the model are taken identical to those in Fig.8. Figure 10 Temporal evolution of the average tent parameter. The rule is given by [30× 30 lattice, tent, Euclidean, replacement, 6.1×10^{-5}]. Initial values for the tent parameter a are chosen randomly from [0.3, 0.4]. The deviation from 1 is very small after establishing the equilibrium. Figure 11 Temporal evolution of the average tent parameter with the LR criterion. The rule is given by [30×30 lattice, tent, LR, replacement, 8×10^{-5}]. We have also simulated smaller μ cases, but the fluctuation remains still larger than that from the Euclidean criterion (given in the previous Figure). Figure 12 Temporal evolution of the average value of logistic parameters a (thin line) and the population of adopting a logistic map song. Here the lattice size is 32×32 (totally 1024 players) and $\mu = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$. Around the time step 4000, birds with logistic maps cover the total lattice and those with the tent maps are terminated. Figure 13 Temporal evolution of the average a (thin line) and d (broken line) values. [30× 30 lattice, circle, Euclidean, replacement, 5×10^{-5}]. Figure 14 Temporal evolution of the averages of the logistic parameters for singing(broken) and imitating (thin) in dual dynamics, with LR criterion. The lattice size is chosen to be 30×30 , and $\mu = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$.