

INTEGRAL ARTIN MOTIVES II: PERVERSE MOTIVES AND ARTIN VANISHING THEOREM

RAPHAËL RUIMY

ABSTRACT. In this text, we are mainly interested in the existence of the perverse motivic t-structures on the category of Artin étale motives with integral coefficients. We construct the *perverse homotopy* t-structure which is the best possible approximation to a perverse t-structure on Artin motives with rational coefficients. The heart of this t-structure has properties similar to those of the category of perverse sheaves and contains the Ayoub-Zucker motive. With integral coefficients, we construct the perverse motivic t-structure on Artin motives when the base scheme is of dimension at most 2 and show that it cannot exist in dimension 4. This construction relies notably on an analogue for Artin motives of the Artin Vanishing Theorem.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Notations and conventions	7
1. Preliminaries	9
1.1. Categorical complements	9
1.2. Complements on Étale Motives	10
1.3. The Perverse t-structure on Torsion Motives	13
1.4. Artin Motives	17
2. Computations Around the Artin Truncation Functor	22
2.1. The Artin Truncation Functor	22
2.2. The Artin Truncation Functor over a Base Field	25
2.3. Artin Residues over 1-dimensional Schemes	30
3. The Perverse Homotopy t-structure on Artin Motives	35
3.1. Definition for Non-constructible Artin Motives	36
3.2. Locality and Consequences	38
3.3. Induced t-structure on Constructible Motives with Rational Coefficients and Artin Vanishing Properties	42
3.4. Induced t-structure on Constructible Artin Motives over a Base Scheme of Dimension at most 2	53
4. The Abelian Category of Perverse Artin Motives	60
4.1. Definition and First Properties	60
4.2. Intermediate Extension, Simple Objects and the Ayoub-Zucker Weightless Intersection Complex (rational coefficients)	62
4.3. Description of Perverse Artin Motives and t-exactness of the Realization	63
4.4. Explicit Description of Perverse Artin Motives on Schemes of Dimension 1	69
Appendix: The Six Functors Formalism for Cohomological Étale Motives Without Resolution of Singularities (following Gabber)	75
References	76

INTRODUCTION

The Artin Vanishing Theorem of [AGV73, XIV.3.2] asserts that the étale cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ vanish when X is a smooth affine variety over an algebraically closed field, when \mathcal{F} is a torsion étale sheaf and when $n > \dim(X)$.

This celebrated result has been reformulated in [BBDG18] using the perverse t-structure. Recall that a t-structure is a way of isolating an abelian subcategory within a stable ∞ -category (for example, within a derived category) by specifying which objects have vanishing cohomology groups in positive degrees and which ones have vanishing cohomology groups in negative degrees. If Λ is a torsion ring and if S is a scheme of finite type over a field, the perverse t-structure is defined on the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \Lambda)$ of constructible complexes of étale sheaves with coefficients in Λ by setting a complex M to be

- negative whenever for any point x of S , letting $i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow S$ be the inclusion, the cohomology groups of the complex $i_x^* M$ vanish in degree higher than $\dim(\overline{\{x\}})$.
- positive whenever for any point x of S , the cohomology groups of the complex $i_x^! M$ vanish in degree lower than $\dim(\overline{\{x\}})$.

The Artin Vanishing Theorem is then equivalent to the following statement (see [BBDG18, 4.1.1]) which is also known as the Affine Lefschetz Property.

Theorem. (*Artin Vanishing Theorem*) *Let k be a field and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an affine morphism between k -schemes of finite type. Then, the functor*

$$f_*: \mathcal{D}_c^b(X, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$$

is perverse right t-exact (meaning that it preserves negative objects of the perverse t-structure).

By duality, the functor $f_!$ is perverse left t-exact.

Gabber has extended this result in [ILO14, XV.1.1.2].

Theorem. (*Gabber's Artin Vanishing Theorem*) *The Affine Lefschetz Property still holds for any affine morphism of finite type between quasi-excellent schemes.*

The above result implies its ℓ -adic analog. One of the goals of this paper is to give an analog to this result for Artin motives. Thanks to [Voe92, Ayo07, CD19, Ayo14, CD16, Rob14, Kha16, Ayo21], we now have at our disposal stable ∞ -categories of mixed étale motives $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}$ endowed with Grothendieck's six functors formalism and with ℓ -adic realization functors. However, to connect those categories to Grothendieck's conjectural theory of motives, the motivic t-structure is still missing. Its heart would be the abelian category of mixed motivic sheaves which would satisfy Beilinson's conjectures [Jan94]. Working by analogy with the derived category of ℓ -adic complexes, there are two possible versions of the motivic t-structure: the *perverse motivic t-structure* and the *ordinary motivic t-structure*. The perverse motivic t-structure should furthermore satisfy the Affine Lefschetz Property.

This problem seems completely out of reach at the moment. However, when k is a field, the dimensional filtration on the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ of constructible étale motives over k with rational coefficients was introduced by Beilinson in [Bei02]; he showed that the existence of the motivic t-structure implies the existence of t-structures on the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^n(k, \mathbb{Q})$ of n -motives which is the subcategory generated by the cohomological motives of proper k -schemes of dimension less than n . Defining the motivic t-structure on those dimensional subcategories has proved to be easier in some cases.

This question also generalizes to an arbitrary base scheme if we define the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}^n(S, \mathbb{Q})$ of n -motives in the same fashion. In [Bon15], Bondarko has shown that the perverse motivic t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}(S, \mathbb{Q})$ can be recovered from the ordinary motivic t-structures on every $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ (assuming they exist) when k runs through the set of residue fields of S . However, his approach does not apply to the dimensional subcategories $\mathcal{DM}_c^n(S, \mathbb{Q})$.

The strongest result on this problem concerns the ordinary motivic t-structure and stems from the work of Voevodsky, Orgogozo, Ayoub, Barbieri-Viale, Kahn, Pépin Lehalleur and Vaish as well as [Rui22b].

Theorem. ([Org04, Ayo11, AB09, BVK16, Pep19b, Pep19a, Vai19, Rui22b]) *Let S be a noetherian excellent finite dimensional scheme allowing resolution of singularities by alterations, let ℓ be a prime number invertible on S and let $n = 0, 1$.*

Then, there is a t-structure on the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^n(S, \mathbb{Q})$ which induces a non-degenerate t-structure on the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}^n(S, \mathbb{Q})$ of constructible n -motives and such that the ℓ -adic realization functor

$$\rho_\ell: \mathcal{DM}_c^n(S, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

is t-exact when the derived category $\mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of constructible ℓ -adic complexes is endowed with its ordinary t-structure.

Furthermore, if $n = 0$, there is a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^0(S, \mathbb{Z})$ which induces a non-degenerate t-structure on the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}^0(S, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the ℓ -adic realization functor

$$\rho_\ell: \mathcal{DM}_c^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

is t-exact when $\mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is endowed with its ordinary t-structure.

The above theorem leaves open the case of the perverse t-structure, in both the case of rational coefficients and the case of integral coefficients. In this paper, we construct the perverse t-structure in some cases and show that it cannot exist in other cases. This allows to formulate (and ultimately prove) the statement of the Artin Vanishing Theorem for Artin motives which is [Theorem 3.3.7](#).

Let R be a ring of coefficients. We introduce the following categories of Artin motives.

- The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}^A(S, R)$ of constructible Artin étale motives (or 0-motives with the above terminology) defined as the thick subcategory (in the sense of [Definition 1.1.2](#)) of the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}(S, R)$ of étale motives of [\[Ayo14, CD16\]](#) generated by the cohomological motives of finite S -schemes.
- The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(S, R)$ of Artin étale motives defined as the localizing subcategory (in the sense of [Definition 1.1.2](#)) of $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}(S, R)$ with the same generators.

The Artin truncation functor. The existence of t-structures, and more generally the properties of étale motives, are closely related to the Artin truncation functor ω^0 which is the right adjoint functor of the inclusion functor $\iota: \mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^{\text{coh}}(S, R)$ of Artin étale motives into the category of cohomological étale motives. This functor was first introduced in [\[AZ12\]](#) to study the relative Borel-Serre compactification of a locally symmetric variety.

One of the main features of the functor ω^0 is that, when the ring R is a number field, it preserves constructible objects. Unfortunately, when R is a localization of the ring of integers of a number field which is not a number field, we show that this results fails completely: if $f: X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of finite type which is not quasi-finite, then, the

Artin motive $\omega^0 f_! \mathbb{1}_X$ is not constructible under some mild conditions on S and R (see [Proposition 2.2.1](#)). However, this functor is still tractable, even with integral coefficients when the base scheme is the spectrum of a field and we can generalize some of the computations of [\[AZ12\]](#).

To explain this, let us first introduce smooth Artin motives and their counterpart in the world of étale sheaves.

- The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$ of smooth Artin étale motives is the localizing subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ generated by the cohomological motives of finite étale S -schemes.
- The category of *Ind-lisse étale sheaves* $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R)$ as the subcategory of the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ made of colimits of dualizable (a.k.a. lisse) sheaves.

Recall the following results from [\[Rui22b\]](#).

Theorem. ([\[Rui22b\]](#)) *Let R be a regular good ring and let S be a regular scheme.*

- (1) *Assume that the residue characteristic exponents of S are invertible in R . Then, the functor $\rho_!$ of [Section 1.2.1](#) induces a monoidal equivalence*

$$\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$$

- (2) *The ordinary t -structure on the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ induces t -structures on $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R)$. The heart of the induced t -structure on $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R)$ is the category $\text{Ind Loc}_S(R)$ of filtered colimits of locally constant sheaves of R -modules with finitely presented fibers.*
- (3) *If S is the spectrum of a field k of characteristic exponent p . Then, the functor $\rho_!$ induces a monoidal equivalences*

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}(G_k, R[1/p])) \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k_{\text{ét}}, R[1/p]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(k, R),$$

where $\text{Mod}(G_k, R[1/p])$ is the category of discrete representations of the absolute Galois group G_k of k with coefficients in $R[1/p]$.

The above theorem shows in particular that the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$) is endowed with a t -structure and identifies the heart with an abelian category of étale sheaves.

Theorem. ([Theorem 2.2.4](#) and [Corollary 2.2.8](#)) *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let k be a field of characteristic exponent p and let $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a morphism of finite type. Assume that the scheme X is regular.*

- (1) *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(X, R) & \xrightarrow{\rho_!} & \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(X, R) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow \omega^0 f_* \\ \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k_{\text{ét}}, R) & \xrightarrow{\rho_!} & \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(k, R) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (2) *Using the notation defined in [Definition 2.2.5](#) and letting $g: \pi_0(X/k) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be the structural morphism, we have*

$$H^0(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X) = g_* \mathbb{1}_{\pi_0(X/k)}.$$

- (3) *Using [Notations 2.2.7](#), we have*

$$H^n(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X) = \alpha_! [H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p])]$$

and

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p]) = \begin{cases} R[1/p][\pi_0(X_{\bar{k}})] & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mu^{n-1}(X, R) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence, in the above computation of $\omega^0(f_*\mathbb{1}_X)$, we have a contribution of weight zero which is $H^0(\omega^0(f_*\mathbb{1}_X))$ and a non-constructible torsion part which does not appear when R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra and comes from motives with torsion coefficients of weight at least one.

The perverse motivic t-structure and the Artin Vanishing Properties. Let t_0 be a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$. We say that

- the t-structure t_0 is the *perverse motivic t-structure* if for any constructible Artin étale motive M , the motive M is t_0 -non-positive if and only if for all non-archimedean valuation v on K which is non-negative on R , the complex $\bar{\rho}_v(M)$ is perverse t-non-positive.
- the t-structure t_0 is the *perverse motivic t-structure in the strong sense* if for any non-archimedean valuation v on K which is non-negative on R , the functor

$$\bar{\rho}_v: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(S, R_v)$$

is t-exact when the left hand side is endowed with the t-structure t_0 and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse t-structure.

A perverse motivic t-structure in the strong sense is perverse motivic and if a perverse motivic t-structure exists, it is unique. Therefore, the perverse motivic t-structure is, if it exists, the best possible approximation to the perverse motivic t-structure in the strong sense. Hence, the answer to question (2) above can be divided into two steps: first to determine when the perverse motivic t-structure exists and second to determine when the ℓ -adic realization functor is t-exact.

To achieve the first step of this program, we can in fact reduce the statement to a statement about the perverse homotopy t-structure. Indeed, the only suitable candidate to be the perverse motivic t-structure is the perverse homotopy t-structure. More precisely (see [Proposition 3.2.1\(3\)](#)), if S is excellent and R is a localization of \mathbb{Z} , then the perverse motivic t-structure exists if and only if the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$. In this case, the perverse homotopy t-structure is the perverse motivic t-structure.

To prove this statement, we show that the perverse homotopy t-structure can be described locally in a way which is similar to the local description of the perverse t-structure of [\[BBDG18\]](#).

Proposition. ([Proposition 3.2.1\(1\)](#)) *Let S be a scheme and R be a regular good ring. If x is a point of S , denote by $i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow S$ the inclusion. Let M be an Artin motive over S with coefficients in R . Then,*

- (1) *The Artin motive M is perverse homotopy t-non-negative if and only if it is bounded below with respect to the ordinary homotopy t-structure and for any point x of S , we have*

$$\omega^0 i_x^! M \geq_{\text{ord}} -\delta(x).$$

- (2) *Assume that the Artin motive M is constructible. Then, it is perverse homotopy t-non-positive if and only if for any point x of S , we have*

$$i_x^* M \leq_{\text{ord}} -\delta(x).$$

When the ring of coefficients is a number field, following the ideas of [Vai19, Rui22a], we show that the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$. This t-structure is therefore the perverse motivic t-structure. Furthermore, if p is a prime number, if the scheme S is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p and if Λ is an ℓ -adic field, we defined in [Rui22a] a perverse homotopy t-structure on the category $\mathcal{D}^A(S, \Lambda)$ of Artin ℓ -adic sheaves. We show in this paper that if K is a number field and if v is a valuation on K which does not extend the ℓ -adic valuation, the v -adic realization functor

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^A(S, K_v)$$

is t-exact when both sides are endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure.

We then prove Affine Lefschetz Properties which will play a key role in the rest of the text.

Theorem. (*Proposition 3.3.5 and Corollary 3.3.10*) *Let S be an excellent scheme, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite morphism and let K be a number field. Assume that the scheme X is nil-regular.*

Then, the functor

$$\omega^0 f_*: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(X, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$$

is perverse homotopy t-exact.

Assume furthermore that we are in one of the following cases

(a) *We have $\dim(S) \leq 2$.*

(b) *There is a prime number p such that the scheme S is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p .*

and that the morphism f is affine, then, the functor

$$f_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(X, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$$

is perverse homotopy t-exact.

The t-exactness of the functor $f_!$ with f quasi-finite and affine can in fact be extended to the category of those motives M such that $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is constructible. This result is one of the main ingredients to understand the perverse homotopy t-structure on Artin motives with integral coefficients.

When R is not a number field, the situation is different. First, we have a positive result for schemes of dimension 2 or less which may be seen as the main result of this text. The proof of this theorem involves computations around the Artin truncation functor.

Theorem. (*Theorems 3.4.1 and 4.3.3 and Proposition 3.4.4*) *Let S be an excellent scheme of dimension 2 or less, let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K . Then,*

(1) *The perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$.*

(2) *Let v be a non-archimedean valuation on K and let ℓ be the prime number such that v extends the ℓ -adic valuation. Then, the reduced v -adic realization functor*

$$\bar{p}_v: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(S[1/\ell], R_v)$$

of Definition 3.0.1 is t-exact when the left hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse t-structure.

(3) *Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite and affine morphism of schemes. Then, the functor*

$$f_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(T, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$$

is perverse homotopy t-exact.

However, unlike in the case of Artin motives with coefficients in a number field, we have a negative result for higher dimensional schemes. If k is a field, the perverse homotopy t-structure of the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(\mathbb{A}_k^4, \mathbb{Z})$ does not induce a t-structure on the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(\mathbb{A}_k^4, \mathbb{Z})$ (see [Example 3.4.6](#)). The case of 3-dimensional schemes remains open.

Perverse Artin motives. The Lefschetz properties also allow us to describe the object of the heart of the perverse homotopy t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$ which we call the category of perverse Artin étale motives over S and denote by $M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, R)$.

If S is an excellent scheme of dimension 1, the category $M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, R)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{N}(S, R)$ (see [Definition 4.4.9](#)) which is defined completely in terms of representations and maps of representations. In addition, we give an explicit description of the v -adic realization functor in terms of the category $\mathcal{N}(S, R)$ if S is of dimension 1.

Finally, if the ring of coefficient is a number field K , the category $M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, K)$ is similar to the category of perverse sheaves with coefficients in an ℓ -adic number field and we can define an analog of the intermediate extension functor denoted j_*^A . Furthermore, the category of perverse Artin motives contains the motivic weightless complex of [\[AZ12\]](#); this last assertion is a consequence of the perverse homotopy t-exactness of the functor $\omega^0 j_*$.

Proposition. ([Propositions 4.2.3 and 4.2.6](#)) *Let S be an excellent scheme.*

- (1) *The abelian category of perverse Artin motives with rational coefficients on S is artinian and noetherian: every object is of finite length.*
- (2) *If $j: V \hookrightarrow S$ is the inclusion of a regular connected subscheme and if L is a simple object of $\text{Loc}_V(K)$, then the perverse Artin motive $j_*^A(\rho_! L[\delta(V)])$ is simple. Every simple perverse Artin motive is obtained this way.*
- (3) *Let $d = \delta(S)$. Recall the motive \mathbb{E}_S from [\[AZ12, 3.21\]](#). Then, the motive $\mathbb{E}_S[d]$ is a simple perverse Artin motive over S .*

Acknowledgements. This paper is part of my PhD thesis, done under the supervision of Frédéric Déglise. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to him for his constant support, for his patience and for his numerous suggestions and improvements.

My sincere thanks also go to Joseph Ayoub, Jakob Scholbach and the anonymous referee for their very detailed reports that allowed me to greatly improve the quality of this paper. I would also like to thank warmly Marcin Lara, Sophie Morel, Riccardo Pengo, Simon Pepin Lehalleur and Jörg Wildeshaus for their help, their kind remarks and their questions which have also enabled me to improve this work.

Finally, I would like to thank Olivier Benoist, Robin Carlier, Mattia Cavicchi, Adrien Dubouloz, Wiesława Nizioł, Fabrice Orgogozo, Timo Richarz, Wolfgang Soergel, Markus Spitzweck, Olivier Taïbi, Swann Tubach and Olivier Wittenberg for their interest in my work and for some helpful questions and advices.

NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

All schemes are assumed to be **noetherian** and of **finite dimension**; furthermore all smooth (and étale) morphisms and all quasi-finite morphisms are implicitly assumed to be separated and of finite type.

We let Sm be the class of smooth morphisms of schemes. For a scheme S , we let $S_{\text{ét}}$ (resp. Sm_S) be the category of étale (resp. smooth) S -schemes.

Recall that a strictly full subcategory is a full subcategory whose set of objects is closed under isomorphisms.

We adopt the cohomological convention for t-structures (*i.e.* the convention of [BBDG18, 1.3.1] which is the opposite of the convention of [Lur17, 1.2.1.1]): a t-structure on a stable category \mathcal{D} is a pair $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ of strictly full subcategories of \mathcal{D} having the following properties:

- For any object M of $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$ and any object N of $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$, the abelian group $\pi_0 \text{Map}(M, N[-1])$ vanishes.
- We have inclusions $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}[-1]$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}[-1] \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$.
- For any object M of \mathcal{D} , there exists an exact triangle $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$ where M' is an object of $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$ and M'' is an object of $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}[-1]$.

A stable category endowed with a t-structure is called a t-category. If \mathcal{D} is a t-category, we denote by $\mathcal{D}^{\heartsuit} = \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$ the heart of the t-structure which is an abelian category and by \mathcal{D}^b the full subcategory of bounded objects which is a stable t-category.

We will extensively use the results and notations of [CD16]. We also freely use the language of [CD19]. Their definitions and results are formulated in the setup of triangulated categories but can readily be adapted to our framework following the ideas of [Ayo21, Kha16, Rob14, AGV22].

If \mathcal{D} is a premotivic category, for any scheme S , we denote by $\mathbb{1}_S$ the unit object of the monoidal category $\mathcal{D}(S)$.

If S is a scheme and R is a ring, we denote by $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ the category of étale hypersheaves on the small étale site $S_{\text{ét}}$ with value in the derived category of R -modules and by $\text{Sh}(S, R)$ its heart which is the category of sheaves of R -modules over $S_{\text{ét}}$. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ the category of étale hypersheaves over Sm_S with value in the derived category of R -modules and by $\text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ its heart which is the category of étale sheaves of R -modules over Sm_S .

There are several models for the category of étale motives. We will use Ayoub's model developed in [Ayo07]. If R is a ring, we denote by $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, R)$ the stable subcategory of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ made of its \mathbb{A}^1 -local objects. Then, the stable category $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ of *étale motives* over S with coefficients in R is the \mathbb{P}^1 -stabilization of the stable category $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, R)$.

Let S be a scheme. Recall that the h-topology is the topology on the category of schemes of finite type over S whose covers are universal topological epimorphisms. The premotivic category of h-motives defined in [CD16, 5.1.1] is equivalent over noetherian schemes of finite dimension to the premotivic category of étale motives ([CD16, 5.5.7] applies in this generality, replacing [Ayo14, 4.1] by [BH21, 3.2] in the proof). Therefore, étale motives satisfy h-descent and we can use the results proved in [CD16] in the setting of h-motives to prove statements about étale motives. Let $i: Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion and $j: U \rightarrow X$ be the complementary open immersion. We call localization triangles the exact triangles of functors:

$$(0.0.1) \quad j_! j^* \rightarrow Id \rightarrow i_* i^*.$$

$$(0.0.2) \quad i_! i^! \rightarrow Id \rightarrow j_* j^*.$$

All rings are commutative and noetherian. A ring is said to be *good* if it is either a localization of the ring of integers of a number field or noetherian and of positive characteristic.

We denote by Δ^{inj} the category of finite ordered sets with morphisms the injective maps.

If S is a scheme. A *stratification* of S is a partition of S into non-empty equidimensional locally closed subschemes called *strata* such that the topological closure of any stratum is a union strata.

If S is a scheme and ξ is a geometric point of S , we denote by $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi)$ the étale fundamental group of S with base point ξ defined in [GR71] and $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(S, \xi)$ the pro-étale fundamental group of S with base point ξ defined in [BS15, 7].

If x is a point of a scheme S , we denote by $k(x)$ the residue field of S at the point x .

If k is a field, we denote by G_k its absolute Galois group.

We denote by \mathbb{Z} the ring of rational integers, by \mathbb{Q} the ring of rational numbers and by \mathbb{C} the ring of complex numbers. If p is a prime number, we denote by \mathbb{F}_p the field with p elements, by \mathbb{Z}_p the ring of p -adic integers, by \mathbb{Q}_p the field of p -adic numbers and by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the latter's algebraic closure. Finally, if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z} we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the localization of the ring \mathbb{Z} with respect to \mathfrak{p} .

If $\phi: H \rightarrow G$ is a map of group, we denote by ϕ^* the forgetful functor which maps representations of G to representations of H .

If H is a finite index subgroup of a group G , we denote by Ind_H^G the induced representation functor which maps representations of H to representations of G .

If R is a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K and if v is a valuation on K , we denote by R_v the completion of R with respect to v . If the valuation v is non-negative on R , we denote by $R_{(v)}$ the localization of R with respect to the prime ideal $\{x \in R \mid v(x) > 0\}$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Categorical complements.

1.1.1. *Notions of Abelian and Stable Subcategories Generated by a Set of Objects.* Recall the following definitions (see [Sta23, 02MN]).

Definition 1.1.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category.*

- (1) *A Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A} is a nonempty full subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} such that given an exact sequence*

$$M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$$

in \mathcal{A} such that M' and M'' are objects of \mathcal{B} , then, the object M belongs to \mathcal{B} .

- (2) *A weak Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A} is a nonempty full subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} such that given an exact sequence*

$$M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow M_4 \rightarrow M_5$$

in \mathcal{A} such that for all $i \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$, the object M_i belongs to \mathcal{B} , then, the object M_3 belongs to \mathcal{B} .

- (3) *Let \mathcal{E} be a set of objects of \mathcal{A} , the Serre (resp. weak Serre) subcategory of \mathcal{A} generated by \mathcal{E} is the smallest Serre (resp. weak Serre) subcategory of \mathcal{A} whose set of objects contains \mathcal{E} .*

Note that a Serre subcategory of an abelian category \mathcal{A} is also a weak Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A} and that if \mathcal{B} is a weak Serre subcategory of \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{B} is abelian and the inclusion functor $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is exact and fully faithful.

Definition 1.1.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a stable category*

- (1) *A thick subcategory of \mathcal{C} is a full subcategory \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{C} which is closed under finite limits, finite colimits and retracts.*

- (2) A localizing subcategory of \mathcal{C} is a full subcategory \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{C} which is closed under finite limits and arbitrary colimits.
- (3) Let \mathcal{E} be a set of objects. We call thick (resp. localizing) subcategory generated by \mathcal{E} the smallest thick (resp. localizing) subcategory of \mathcal{C} whose set of objects contains \mathcal{E} .

1.1.2. *Induced t-structure.* Recall the following definition from [BBDG18, 1.3.19]:

Definition 1.1.3. Let \mathcal{D} be a t-category and \mathcal{D}' be a full stable subcategory of \mathcal{D} . If $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0} \cap \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{D}')$ defines a t-structure on \mathcal{D}' , we say that \mathcal{D}' is a sub-t-category of \mathcal{D} , that the t-structure of \mathcal{D} induces a t-structure on \mathcal{D}' and call the latter the induced t-structure.

1.1.3. *t-structure Generated by a Set of Objects.* This lemma will allow us to define the t-structures which we will study in this paper.

Proposition 1.1.4. ([Lur17, 1.4.4.11]) Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable stable category. Given a small family \mathcal{E} of objects, the smallest subcategory \mathcal{E}_- closed under small colimits and extensions is the set of non-positive objects of a t-structure.

In this setting, we will call this t-structure the *t-structure generated by \mathcal{E}* . When a set \mathcal{E} of objects has a small set of isomorphism classes, we still call *t-structure generated by \mathcal{E}* the t-structure generated by a small family of representatives of \mathcal{E} .

1.1.4. *Idempotent Complete \mathfrak{p} -localization.* We recall some notions from [CD16, B].

Definition 1.1.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear stable category and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

- (1) The naive \mathfrak{p} -localization $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{na}}$ of \mathcal{C} is the category with the same objects as \mathcal{C} and such that if M and N are objects of \mathcal{C} , we have

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{na}}}(M, N) = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

- (2) The \mathfrak{p} -localization $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of \mathcal{C} is the idempotent completion of the naive \mathfrak{p} -localization $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{na}}$ of \mathcal{C} .

If \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z} -linear stable category and if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} , we have a canonical exact functor

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are \mathbb{Z} -linear stable categories, if $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an exact functor and if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} , we have canonical exact functors

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{na}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{na}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{na}}. \\ F_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

1.2. Complements on Étale Motives.

1.2.1. *Small Étale Site.* We have a chain of premotivic adjunctions

$$\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ét}}(-, R) \xleftarrow[\iota]{L_{A^1}} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(-, R) \xleftarrow[\Omega^{\infty}]{\Sigma^{\infty}} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}}(-, R).$$

We can add a pair of adjoint functors to left of this diagram, which is not premotivic in general. The inclusion of sites $\rho: S_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Sm}_S$ indeed provides a pair of adjoint functors

$$\rho_{\#}: \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_S, R): \rho^*$$

This extends to a morphism between objects in $\text{Fun}(\text{Sch}^{\text{op}}, \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^L))$.

We still denote by

$$R_S: S_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$$

the Yoneda embedding. This will be harmless as the sheaf on $S_{\text{ét}}$ representing an étale S -scheme X is sent by the functor $\rho_{\#}$ to the sheaf on Sm_S which represents X .

Proposition 1.2.1. *Let R be a ring and let*

$$\rho_! = \Sigma^{\infty} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rho_{\#}: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(-_{\text{ét}}, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(-, R).$$

Then,

- (1) *The functor $\rho_!$ is monoidal.*
- (2) *For any morphism f , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\rho_! f^* \rightarrow f^* \rho_!.$$

- (3) *For any quasi-finite morphism f , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\rho_! f_! \rightarrow f_! \rho_!.$$

Proof. [CD16, 4.4.2] yields the first two assertions and the third assertion in the case of étale morphisms. Therefore, using Zariski's Main Theorem [Gro67, 18.12.13], it suffices to prove that for any finite morphism f , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\rho_! f_* \rightarrow f_* \rho_!.$$

Assume first that $f: X \rightarrow S$ is a closed immersion and let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the complementary open immersion. The localization triangles (0.0.1) in the fibered category of étale sheaves and in the fibered category of étale motives yield a morphism of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rho_! j_! j^* & \longrightarrow & \rho_! & \longrightarrow & \rho_! f_* f^* \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ j_! j^* \rho_! & \longrightarrow & \rho_! & \longrightarrow & f_* f^* \rho_! \end{array}.$$

The left vertical arrows is an equivalence using the first assertion and the third assertion in the case of the map j . Therefore, we get an isomorphism

$$\rho_! f_* f^* \rightarrow f_* f^* \rho_!$$

and therefore, using the first assertion, we get an equivalence

$$\rho_! f_* f^* \rightarrow f_* \rho_! f^*.$$

Since the functor f^* is essentially surjective, this yields the result for the map f .

If the map f is purely inseparable the functor f_* is an equivalence with inverse f^* using [CD16, 6.3.16] in the motivic setting and using the topological invariance of the small étale site [Sta23, 04DY] in the case of étale sheaves. Since the functors $\rho_!$ and f^* commute, so do the functors $\rho_!$ and f_* .

Assume now that $f: X \rightarrow S$ is a general finite morphism. We prove the claim by noetherian induction on S . We can assume that the scheme X is reduced using the case of closed immersions.

Let Γ be the subscheme of S made of its generic points. The pullback map $X \times_S \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is finite over a finite disjoint union of spectra of fields and can therefore be written as a composition

$$X \times_S \Gamma \xrightarrow{g} \Gamma' \xrightarrow{h} \Gamma$$

where g is finite étale and h is purely inseparable. Hence, by [Gro67, 8.8.2], if $j: U \rightarrow S$ is a small enough dense open immersion, the pullback map $f_U: X \times_S U \rightarrow U$ can be written as a composition

$$X \times_S U \xrightarrow{g_U} U' \xrightarrow{h_U} U$$

such that the pullback of g_U (resp. h_U) to Γ is g (resp. h).

Shrinking U further, we can assume that the map g_U is finite étale by [Gro67, 8.10.5(x)] and [Ayo15, 1.A.5] and that the map h_U is purely inseparable by [Gro67, 8.10.5(vii)]. Hence, there is a dense open subscheme U such that the map $f_U: X \times_S U \rightarrow U$ is the composition of a finite étale map and a purely inseparable morphism. Therefore, the transformation

$$\rho_!(f_U)_* \rightarrow (f_U)_*\rho_!$$

is an equivalence.

Let $i: Z \rightarrow S$ be the reduced closed immersion which is complementary to j and let $f_Z: X \times_S Z \rightarrow Z$ be the pullback map. By noetherian induction, the transformation

$$\rho_!(f_Z)_* \rightarrow (f_Z)_*\rho_!$$

is an equivalence.

The localization triangles (0.0.1) in the fibered category of étale sheaves and in the fibered category of étale motives yield a morphism of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rho_!j_!j^*f_* & \longrightarrow & \rho_!f_* & \longrightarrow & \rho_!i_*i^*f_* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_!j^*f_*\rho_! & \longrightarrow & f_*\rho_! & \longrightarrow & i_*i^*f_*\rho_! \end{array} .$$

The discussion above and proper base change ensure that the leftmost and rightmost vertical arrows are equivalences. Therefore, the middle vertical arrow is an equivalence which finishes the proof. \square

1.2.2. Change of Coefficients. Let \mathcal{D} be one of the fibered categories $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}$, $\mathcal{DA}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}$, $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{ét}}$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(-_{\text{ét}})$. Let $\sigma: R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism.

The adjunction

$$\sigma^*: \text{Mod}_R \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{R'}: \sigma_*$$

provides a premotivic adjunction

$$\sigma^*: \mathcal{D}(-, R) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}(-, R'): \sigma_*,$$

except for $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(-_{\text{ét}})$ where the adjunction exists but is not premotivic.

If S is a scheme, we can identify $\mathcal{D}(S, R)$ with the category of R -modules in $\mathcal{D}(S, \mathbb{Z})$. The functor σ_* is then the forgetful functor, and the functor σ^* is the functor $- \otimes_R R'$.

Finally, the map $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^*$ comes from the functor

$$\text{CAlg}(\mathbf{Ab}) \xrightarrow{H} \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{h(S) \otimes \text{Id}} \text{CAlg}(\widehat{\text{Sm}}_S \otimes \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{A}^1} L_{\text{ét}}} \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{SH}(S)) \xrightarrow{\text{Mod}_-} \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^L).$$

Lemma 1.2.2. ([CD16, 5.4.12]) *Let \mathcal{D} be one of the fibered categories $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}$, $\mathcal{DA}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}$, $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{ét}}$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(-_{\text{ét}})$. Let S be a scheme and let R be a good ring, then with the above notations, the family $(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}^*, \sigma_p^* \mid p \text{ prime})$ is conservative.*

We have another similar conservativity lemma. If p is a prime number, denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ the localization of \mathbb{Z} with respect to the prime ideal generated by p . Denote by r_p the ring morphism $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. Then, the morphisms $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and σ_p factor through r_p . This yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2.3. *Let S be a scheme and let R be a good ring, then with the above notations, the family $(r_p^* \mid p \text{ prime})$ is conservative.*

1.2.3. *Strengthening of the Continuity Property.* The ordinary t-structure gives us a setting in which we can generalize the continuity property of [CD16, 6.3.7].

Proposition 1.2.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be one of the fibered categories $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}$ or $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(-_{\text{ét}})$ and let R be a good ring. Consider a scheme X which is the limit of a projective system of schemes with affine transition maps $(X_i)_{i \in I}$.*

Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(N_i)_{i \in I}$ be two cartesian sections of the fibered category $\mathcal{D}(-, R)$ over the diagram of schemes $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ and denote by M and N the respective pullback of M_i and N_i along the map $X \rightarrow X_i$. If each M_i is constructible and each $N_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is bounded below with respect to the ordinary t-structure on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{tors}}(X_i, R)$, then the canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X_i, R)}(M_i, N_i) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X, R)}(M, N) \\ \text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}(X_i, R)}(M_i, N_i) &\rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}(X, R)}(M, N) \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences.

Proof. Following the proof of [CD16, 6.3.7], we can assume that the ring R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra or a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with n a power of some prime number p .

If R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, the result is [CD16, 5.2.5] when $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}$ and the result follows from [AGV73, VI.8.5.7] when $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(-_{\text{ét}})$.

If R is a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, we can assume that p (and therefore n) is invertible on S by virtue of [CD16, A.3.4]. Applying the Rigidity Theorem [BH21, 3.2], we can assume that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(-_{\text{ét}})$. In this case, the result follows from [AGV73, IX.2.7.3]. \square

1.3. The Perverse t-structure on Torsion Motives.

1.3.1. *The Perverse t-structure on étale sheaves.* We now define a perverse t-structure on the category of torsion étale motives over base schemes endowed with a dimension function following [Gab08]. First recall the definition of dimension functions (see for instance [BD17, 1.1.1]).

Definition 1.3.1. *Let S be a scheme. A dimension function on S is a function*

$$\delta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

such that for any immediate specialization y of a point x , i.e a specialization such that

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{\{x\}}}(y) = 1,$$

we have $\delta(x) = \delta(y) + 1$.

Two dimension functions on a scheme differ by a Zariski-locally constant function. Moreover, if a scheme S is universally catenary and integral, the formula

$$\delta(x) = \dim(S) - \text{codim}_S(x)$$

defines a dimension function on S . Finally, any S -scheme of finite type $f: X \rightarrow S$ inherits a canonical dimension function by setting

$$\delta(x) = \delta(f(x)) + \text{tr.deg } k(x)/k(f(x)).$$

Let R be a ring. The auto-dual perversity $p_{1/2}: Z \mapsto -\delta(Z)$ induces two t-structures $[p_{1/2}]$ and $[p_{1/2}^+]$ on $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$. In this paper, we only consider the t-structure $[p_{1/2}]$.

Definition 1.3.2. ([Gab08, 2]) Let S be a scheme endowed with a dimension function δ and let R be a ring. If x is a point of S , denote by $i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow S$ the inclusion. We define

- the full subcategory ${}^p\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 0}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ as the subcategory made of those étale sheaves M such that for any point x of S , we have

$$i_x^* M \leq p_{1/2}(\overline{\{x\}}) = -\delta(x)$$

with respect to the ordinary t -structure of $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k(x)_{\text{ét}}, R)$.

- the full subcategory ${}^p\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\geq 0}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ as the subcategory made of those bounded below étale sheaves M such that for any point x of S , we have

$$i_x^! M \geq p_{1/2}(\overline{\{x\}}) = -\delta(x)$$

with respect to the ordinary t -structure of $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k(x)_{\text{ét}}, R)$.

[Gab08, 6] implies that this defines a t -structure on $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$. Which we call the perverse t -structure.

Proposition 1.3.3. Let S be a scheme endowed with a dimension function δ and let R be a ring. The perverse t -structure on $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ is generated in the sense of Proposition 1.1.4 by the étale sheaves of the form $f_! \underline{R}[\delta(X)]$ with $f: X \rightarrow S$ quasi-finite.

Proof. When S is the spectrum of a field, the result follows from [Rui22b, 2.2.1].

Denote by t_0 the t -structure generated by the étale sheaves of the form $f_! \underline{R}[\delta(X)]$ with $f: X \rightarrow S$ quasi-finite. It suffices to show that a sheaf M is perverse t -non-negative if and only if it is t_0 -non-negative.

Hence, it suffices to show that a sheaf M is t_0 -non-negative if and only if it is bounded below and for any point x of S , the étale sheaf $i_x^! M$ is t_0 -non-negative.

To prove the "only if" part, notice that if f is a quasi-finite morphism, the adjunction $(f_!, f^!)$ is a t_0 -adjunction. Indeed, the full subcategory of those étale sheaves N such that $f_! N$ is t_0 -non-positive is closed under extensions and small colimits and contains the set of generators of t_0 . Thus, this subcategory contains all t_0 -non-positive objects. Hence, the functors $f_!$ is right t_0 -exact and therefore, the functor $f^!$ is left- t_0 -exact. Hence, if M is t_0 -non-negative and if x is a point of S , the étale sheaf $i_x^! M$ is t_0 -non-negative which yields

$$i_x^! M \geq -\delta(x)$$

with respect to the ordinary t -structure on $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k(x)_{\text{ét}}, R)$.

Furthermore, if M is t_0 -non-negative, if c is a lower bound for the function δ on S , the complex $\text{Map}(R_S(X), M)$ is $(c-1)$ -connected for any étale S -scheme X . Hence, the étale sheaf M is bounded below and it is therefore perverse t -non-negative.

We now prove the converse. Let M be a bounded below étale sheaf such that for all point x of S , the étale sheaf $i_x^! M$ is t_0 -non-negative. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be quasi-finite. For any point y of X , let $Z(y)$ be the set of open neighborhoods of y in the closure $\overline{\{y\}}$ of $\{y\}$. If U is an element of $Z(y)$, denote by $f_U: U \rightarrow S$ the inclusion. Then, by [BD17, 3.1.5], we have the δ -niveau spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^1 \Rightarrow \pi_{-p-q} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)}(f_! \underline{R}, M)$$

with

$$E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{y \in X, \delta(y)=p} \text{colim}_{U \in Z(y)} \pi_{-p-q} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)}((f_U)_! \underline{R}, M)$$

If y is a point of X and if $x = f(y)$, let $\iota_y: \{y\} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion and let $f_y: \{y\} \rightarrow \{x\}$ be the morphism induced by f . Then, since the sheaf M is bounded below, [Proposition 1.2.4](#) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{U \in Z(y)} \operatorname{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)}((f_U)_! \underline{R}, M) &= \operatorname{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)}((f \circ \iota_y)_! \underline{R}, M) \\ &= \operatorname{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)}((i_x)_! (f_y)_! \underline{R}, M) \\ &= \operatorname{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k(x)_{\acute{e}t}, R)}((f_y)_! \underline{R}, i_x^! M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{y \in X, \delta(y)=p} \pi_{-p-q} \operatorname{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k(f(y))_{\acute{e}t}, R)}((f_y)_! \underline{R}, i_{f(y)}^! M).$$

Since for any point x of S , the sheaf $i_x^! M$ is t_0 -non-negative, for any point y of X , the complex $\operatorname{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k(f(y))_{\acute{e}t}, R)}((f_y)_! \underline{R}, i_{f(y)}^! M)$ is $(-\delta(y) - 1)$ -connected and thus it is $(-\delta(X) - 1)$ -connected.

Thus, if $n > \delta(X)$, the R -module $\pi_{-n} \operatorname{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)}(f_! \underline{R}, M)$ vanishes. Hence, the étale sheaf M is t_0 -non-negative. \square

Proposition 1.3.4. *Let S be a scheme endowed with a dimension function and let R be a ring. Then, the perverse t -structure of the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)$ induces a t -structure on the stable subcategory $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{p^\infty\text{-tors}}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)$.*

Proof. We only need to show that the functor $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ is perverse t -exact: indeed it suffices to show that the perverse truncation functor preserves p^∞ -torsion sheaves (compare with the proof of [\[Rui22b, 2.2.2\]](#)). The functor is indeed t -exact because if x is a point of S , denoting by $i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow S$ the inclusion, the functors i_x^* and $i_x^!$ commute with the functor $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. \square

1.3.2. The perverse t -structure on torsion motives.

Definition 1.3.5. *Let S be a scheme, let R be a ring and let p be a prime number. We define stable categories as follows.*

- (1) *The stable category of torsion étale motives $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, \text{tors}}(S, R)$ as the subcategory of the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}(S, R)$ made of those objects M such that the motive $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ vanishes.*
- (2) *The stable category of p^∞ -torsion étale motives $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, p^\infty\text{-tors}}(S, R)$ as the subcategory of the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}(S, R)$ made of those objects M such that the motive $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ vanishes.*
- (3) *The stable category of torsion étale sheaves $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{tors}}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)$ as the subcategory of the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)$ made of those sheaves M such that the sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ vanishes.*
- (4) *The stable category of p^∞ -torsion étale sheaves $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{p^\infty\text{-tors}}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)$ as the subcategory of the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\acute{e}t}, R)$ made of those sheaves M such that the sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ vanishes.*

Definition 1.3.6. *Let S be a scheme endowed with a dimension function and let R be a ring. The perverse t -structure over the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, \text{tors}}(S, R)$ is the only t -structure such that the equivalence*

$$\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{p^\infty\text{-tors}}(S[1/p]_{\acute{e}t}, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, \text{tors}}(S, R)$$

which sends an object $(M_p)_{p \text{ prime}}$ to the object $\bigoplus_{p \text{ prime}} j_p^*(\rho_! M_p)$ is t -exact when the left hand side is endowed with the product of the perverse t -structures (recall that it is indeed an equivalence by [Rui22b, 2.1.4]).

Remark 1.3.7. *Let S be a scheme endowed with a dimension function and let R be a ring. If all the residue characteristics of S are invertible in R , then, the functor $\rho_!$ of Section 1.2.1 induces a t -exact equivalence*

$$\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{tors}}(S_{\text{ét}}, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét,tors}}(S, R)$$

when both sides are endowed with their perverse t -structures.

The definition of the perverse t -structure and the fact that the equivalence $\rho_!$ of Section 1.2.1 commutes with the six functors yield the following characterization of the perverse t -structure.

Proposition 1.3.8. *Let S be a scheme endowed with a dimension function and let R be a ring. If x is a point of S , denote by $i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow S$ the inclusion. Then,*

- *The subcategory ${}^p\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét,tors}}^{\leq 0}(S, R)$ is made of those torsion motives M such that for any point x of S , we have*

$$i_x^* M \leq -\delta(x)$$

with respect to the ordinary t -structure of $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét,tors}}(k(x), R)$.

- *The subcategory ${}^p\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét,tors}}^{\geq 0}(S, R)$ is made of those bounded below torsion motives M such that for any point x of S , we have*

$$i_x^! M \geq -\delta(x)$$

with respect to the ordinary t -structure of $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét,tors}}(k(x), R)$.

Proposition 1.3.9. *(Affine Lefschetz Theorem for torsion motives) Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be an affine morphism of schemes and let R be a ring. Assume that the scheme S is quasi-excellent and endowed with a dimension function. Then, the functor $f_!$ induces a left t -exact functor*

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét,tors}}(T, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét,tors}}(S, R)$$

when both sides are endowed with their perverse t -structures.

Proof. Since the functor of Definition 1.3.6 commutes with the six functors by [Rui22b, 2.1.4], it suffices to show that if p is a prime number and p is invertible on S , the functor

$$f_!: \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{p^\infty\text{-tors}}(T_{\text{ét}}, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{p^\infty\text{-tors}}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$$

is left t -exact when both sides are endowed with their perverse t -structures.

Let M be a p^∞ -torsion étale sheaf which is perverse t -non-negative. We have

$$M = \text{colim}_n M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}[-1].$$

Let n be a positive integer. Since we have an exact triangle

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}[-1] \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\times p^n} M,$$

the sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}[-1]$ is perverse t -non-negative.

Therefore, by Lemma 1.3.10 below, the sheaf $f_!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}[-1])$ is perverse t -non-negative. Since the functor $f_!$ is a left adjoint, it is compatible with colimits; now, the canonical functor

$$\text{colim}_n \text{Map}(g_! \underline{R}[\delta(Y)], f_!(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}[-1]) \rightarrow \text{Map}(g_! \underline{R}[\delta(Y)], \text{colim}_n f_!(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}[-1])$$

is an equivalence for any $g: Y \rightarrow S$ quasi-finite (this can be proved in the same fashion as [Rui22b, 1.2.4 (5)]). Therefore, the sheaf $f_!(M)$ is perverse t-non-negative using Proposition 1.3.3 \square

Lemma 1.3.10. *Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be an affine morphism of schemes, let p be a prime number which is invertible on S and let n be a positive integer. Then, the functor*

$$f_!: \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(T_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$$

is left t-exact.

Proof. By definition of the perverse t-structure, we can assume that the scheme S is the spectrum of a strictly henselian ring. In that case, since the étale sheaves of the form $g_!\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[\delta(X)]$ with $g: X \rightarrow S$ quasi-finite are compact, the perverse t-structure is compatible with filtered colimits.

Let M be an étale sheaf over $T_{\text{ét}}$ which is t-non-negative we want to prove that the sheaf $f_!M$ is. The sheaf M is a filtering colimit of constructible sheaves. Therefore, we can assume that the sheaf M is constructible. The result is then exactly Gabber's Affine Lefschetz Theorem [ILO14, XV.1.1.2]. \square

1.4. Artin Motives.

1.4.1. *Subcategories of Dimensional Motives.* Let S be a scheme and R be a ring. We can define subcategories of the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ as follows:

- The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{coh}}(S, R)$ of *cohomological étale motives* over S is the localizing subcategory generated by the motives of the form

$$h_S(X) = M_S^{\text{BM}}(X)$$

where X runs through the set of proper S -schemes.

- The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{coh}}(S, R)$ of *constructible cohomological étale motives* over S is the thick subcategory generated by the motives of the form

$$h_S(X) = M_S^{\text{BM}}(X)$$

where X runs through the set of proper S -schemes.

- The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^n(S, R)$ of *n -étale motives* over S is the localizing subcategory generated by the motives of the form

$$h_S(X) = M_S^{\text{BM}}(X)$$

where X runs through the set of proper S -schemes of relative dimension at most n .

- The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^n(S, R)$ of *constructible n -étale motives* over S is the thick subcategory generated by the motives of the form

$$h_S(X) = M_S^{\text{BM}}(X)$$

where X runs through the set of proper S -schemes of relative dimension at most n .

The main focus of this text will be the following categories:

Definition 1.4.1. *Let S be a scheme and R be a ring. We define*

- (1) *The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ of Artin étale motives to be the category of 0-étale motives.*
- (2) *The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$ of constructible Artin étale motives to be the category of constructible 0-motives.*

- (3) The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$ of smooth Artin étale motives over S to be the localizing subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ generated by the motives of the form

$$h_S(X) = M_S^{\text{BM}}(X) = M_S(X)$$

where X runs through the set of étale covers over S .

- (4) The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$ constructible smooth Artin étale motives over S to be the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ generated by the motives of the form

$$h_S(X) = M_S^{\text{BM}}(X) = M_S(X)$$

where X runs through the set of étale covers over S .

Using Zariski's Main Theorem [Gro67, 18.12.13], (constructible) Artin étale motives are generated by the motives of the form $h_S(X)$ where X runs through the set of finite S -schemes.

We can define subcategories of the stable category $\mathcal{DA}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, R)$ in a similar fashion and we will use similar notations.

Beware that in general (in the case of integral coefficients), all Artin motives that belong to the category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}(S, R)$ of constructible étale motives are not constructible Artin motives.

The functors \otimes and f^* (where f is any morphism) induce functors over the categories $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},(c)}^{\text{sm}A}(-, R)$.

1.4.2. Generators and Stability. The following two results were first stated in [AZ12] for schemes over a perfect field and extended over any schemes in [Pep19b] where they assume that $R = \mathbb{Q}$. We also stated them in [Rui22b]. We restate them here as we will use them constantly (sometimes without warning).

Proposition 1.4.2. ([Pep19b, 1.28]) *Let S be a scheme and let R be a ring. The category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$) is the localizing (resp. thick) subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ generated by any of the following families of objects.*

- (1) The family of the $M_S^{\text{BM}}(X)$, with X quasi-finite over S .
- (2) The family of the $M_S^{\text{BM}}(X) = h_S(X)$, with X finite over S .
- (3) The family of the $M_S^{\text{BM}}(X) = M_S(X)$, with X étale over S .

Proposition 1.4.3. ([Pep19b, 1.17]) *Let R be a ring. The categories $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},(c)}^A(-, R)$ are closed under the following operations.*

- (1) The functor f^* , where f is any morphism.
- (2) The functor $f_!$, where f is a quasi-finite morphism.
- (3) Tensor product.

Furthermore, the fibered category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},(c)}(-, R)$ satisfies the localization property (0.0.1).

If $j: U \rightarrow S$ is an open immersion, the motive $j_*\mathbb{1}_U$ need not be Artin and thus the fibered category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(-, R)$ does not satisfy the localization property (0.0.2).

On the other hand, the description of generators yields the functor $\rho_!$ of Section 1.2.1 has its essential image contained in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ (see [Rui22b, 1.5.5]).

Finally, any constructible Artin motive is smooth over some stratification of the base scheme which will often allow to reduce to smooth Artin motives.

Proposition 1.4.4. ([Rui22b, 3.5.1]) *Let S be a scheme, let M be a constructible Artin étale motive over S . Then, there is a stratification of S such that, for any stratum T , the Artin motive $M|_T$ is smooth. Moreover if the scheme S is excellent, we can assume the strata to be regular.*

1.4.3. *Recollections: Artin motives, Artin représentations and étale sheaves.* Recall the following definitions:

Definition 1.4.5. *Let π be a topological group and let R be a ring. An $R[\pi]$ -module M is discrete if the action of π on M is continuous when M is endowed with the discrete topology. We will denote by $\text{Mod}(\pi, R)$ the Grothendieck abelian category of discrete $R[\pi]$ -modules.*

Example 1.4.6. *Let k be a field of characteristic exponent p . If n is a positive integer coprime to p , the absolute Galois group G_k of k acts on the abelian group μ_n of roots of unity in the algebraic closure of k . Let (T_n) , where n runs through the set of positive integers which are coprime to p , be an inductive system of discrete representations of G_k such that T_n is of n -torsion. Set $T = \text{colim } T_n$ and let m be an integer, we can define a discrete representation*

$$T(m) := \text{colim } T_n \otimes \mu_n^{\otimes m}$$

of G_k . We call this representation the m -th discrete Tate twist of T (beware that it depends on the inductive system and not only on T itself in general). Notice that by definition, T is isomorphic to every $T(m)$ as an abelian group but not as a G_k -module in general.

For example, let $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a morphism of finite type, let \bar{k} be the algebraic closure of k , let $X_{\bar{k}}$ be the scalar extension of X to \bar{k} and let n be a non-negative integer. Then, the m -th discrete Tate twist of the discrete Galois representation $H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ will by convention be the discrete representation

$$\text{colim}_{(N,p)=1} H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mu_N^{\otimes m}.$$

Remark 1.4.7. *With the notation of the example above, we have in fact $T(m) \simeq T \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}'(m)$ where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}' = \prod_{\ell \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$.*

Definition 1.4.8. *Let π be a profinite group and let R be a ring. An Artin representation of π is a discrete $R[\pi]$ -module which is of finite presentation as an R -module. We will denote by $\text{Rep}^A(\pi, R)$ the abelian category of Artin representations.*

Note that an $R[\pi]$ -module is an Artin representation if and only if it is of finite presentation as an R -module and the action of π factors through a finite quotient.

The categories of smooth Artin motives can be identified to the following categories.

Definition 1.4.9. *Let S be a scheme and let R be a ring.*

- (1) *The category of lisse étale sheaves $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(S, R)$ as the subcategory of dualizable objects of the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$.*
- (2) *The category of Ind-lisse étale sheaves $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R)$ as the localizing subcategory of the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ generated by $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(S, R)$.*

Theorem 1.4.10. ([Rui22b, 3.1.6, 3.1.7, 3.3.1, 3.3.7]) *Let R be a regular good ring and let S be a regular scheme.*

- (1) *Assume that the residue characteristic exponents of S are invertible in R . Then, the functor $\rho_!$ of Section 1.2.1 induces monoidal equivalences*

$$\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$$

$$\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(S, R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R).$$

- (2) *The ordinary t -structure on the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ induces t -structures on the subcategories $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(S, R)$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R)$.*

- (a) The heart of the induced t -structure on $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(S, R)$ is the category $\text{Loc}_S(R)$ of locally constant sheaves of R -modules with finitely presented fibers.
- (b) The heart of the induced t -structure on $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R)$ is the category $\text{Ind Loc}_S(R)$ of filtered colimits of locally constant sheaves of R -modules with finitely presented fibers.
- (3) If S is connected, letting ξ be a geometric point of S , the fiber functor associated to ξ induces an equivalence of abelian monoidal categories

$$\xi^* : \text{Loc}_S(R) \rightarrow \text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi), R).$$

- (4) If S is the spectrum of a field k of characteristic exponent p . Then, the functor ρ induces monoidal equivalences

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}(G_k, R[1/p])) \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k_{\text{ét}}, R[1/p]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(k, R),$$

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\text{Rep}^A(G_k, R[1/p])) \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(k, R[1/p]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(k, R).$$

The above theorem shows in particular that the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$) is endowed with a t -structure and identifies the heart with an abelian category of étale sheaves. We denote by H^n the cohomology functor given by this t -structure.

1.4.4. Functoriality for étale sheaves.

Proposition 1.4.11. *Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes, let $\xi': \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow T$ be a geometric point of T , let $\xi = f \circ \xi'$ and let R be a regular ring. Denote by $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f): \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi') \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi)$ the group homomorphism induced by f .*

- (1) The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Loc}_S(R) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Loc}_T(R) \\ \downarrow \xi^* & & \downarrow (\xi')^* \\ \text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi), R) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f)^*} & \text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi'), R) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (2) Assume that f is finite and étale, then, the map $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f)$ is injective and its image is a finite index subgroup. Furthermore, the functor

$$f_* : \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(T, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$$

induces a functor $\text{Loc}_T(R) \rightarrow \text{Loc}_S(R)$ and the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Loc}_T(R) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{Loc}_S(R) \\ \downarrow (\xi')^* & & \downarrow \xi^* \\ \text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi'), R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_{\pi'}^{\pi}} & \text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi), R) \end{array}$$

where $\pi' = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi')$ and $\pi = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi)$, is commutative.

Proof. If X is a scheme, let Loc_X be the Galois category of locally constant sheaves of sets on $X_{\text{ét}}$. By definition of the map $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f)$, the square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Loc}_S & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Loc}_T \\ \downarrow \xi^* & & \downarrow (\xi')^* \\ \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi) - \text{Set} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f)^*} & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi') - \text{Set} \end{array}$$

is commutative which implies the first assertion.

The first part of the second assertion is [GR71, V.6.3]. To finish the proof, notice that on the one hand, the functor f_* is left adjoint to the functor f^* and that on the other hand, the functor $\text{Ind}_{\pi'}^{\pi}$ is left adjoint to the functor $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f)^*$ which yields the result. \square

Since the functor f^* (for any morphism of schemes f) and the functor f_* (for any finite étale morphism f) are compatible with colimits, this yields the following result.

Corollary 1.4.12. *Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes, let ξ' be a geometric point of T , let $\xi = f \circ \xi'$ and let R be a regular ring. Denote by $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f): \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi') \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi)$ the group homomorphism induced by f .*

(1) *The functor $f^*: \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(T, R)$ induces a functor*

$$\text{Ind Loc}_T(R) \rightarrow \text{Ind Loc}_S(R)$$

which sends an Ind-locally constant sheaf $\text{colim } M_i$ to the Ind-locally constant sheaf

$$\text{colim}[(\xi')^*]^{-1} \left(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(f)^*(\xi^* M_i) \right).$$

(2) *Assume that f is finite and étale, then, the functor $f_*: \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(T, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ induces a functor*

$$\text{Ind Loc}_T(R) \rightarrow \text{Ind Loc}_S(R)$$

which sends an Ind-locally constant sheaf $\text{colim } M_i$ to the Ind-locally constant sheaf

$$\text{colim}[(\xi')^*]^{-1} (\text{Ind}_{\pi'}^{\pi}(\xi^* M_i))$$

where $\pi' = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi')$ and $\pi = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi)$.

Theorem 1.4.10 and corollary 1.4.12 yield the following proposition.

Proposition 1.4.13. *Let $f: \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be a field extension, let $\xi': \text{Spec}(\overline{L}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$ be the separable closure of L and let $\xi = f \circ \xi'$. Denote by $\phi: G_L \rightarrow G_K$ the group homomorphism induced by f .*

(1) *The square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sh}(K_{\text{ét}}, R) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Sh}(L_{\text{ét}}, R) \\ \downarrow \xi^* & & \downarrow (\xi')^* \\ \text{Mod}(G_K, R) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & \text{Mod}(G_L, R) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

(2) *Assume that L is a finite extension of K . Then, the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sh}(L_{\text{ét}}, R) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{Sh}(K_{\text{ét}}, R) \\ \downarrow (\xi')^* & & \downarrow \xi^* \\ \text{Mod}(G_L, R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_K}} & \text{Mod}(G_K, R) \end{array},$$

where $\pi' = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, \xi')$ and $\pi = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, \xi)$, is commutative.

2. COMPUTATIONS AROUND THE ARTIN TRUNCATION FUNCTOR

2.1. The Artin Truncation Functor. Let S be a scheme and let R be a ring. The adjunction theorem [Lur09, 5.5.2.9] ensures the existence of a right adjoint exact functor to the inclusion of Artin motives into cohomological motives:

$$\omega^0: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{coh}}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R).$$

This functor was first introduced in [AZ12, 2.2] and was further studied in [Pep19b] and [Vai16]. We have a 2-morphism $\delta: \omega^0 \rightarrow \text{id}$ given by the counit of the adjunction. We recall the basic properties of ω^0 and its compatibilities with the six functors formalism from [AZ12, 2.15] and [Pep19b, 3.3, 3.7]:

Proposition 2.1.1. *Let R be a ring.*

- (1) *The 2-morphism $\delta: \omega^0 \rightarrow \text{id}$ induces an equivalence when restricted to Artin motives. Moreover, we have $\delta(\omega^0) = \omega^0(\delta)$ and this 2-morphism is an equivalence $\omega^0 \circ \omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0$.*
- (2) *Let f be a morphism of schemes.*
 - (a) *The 2-morphism $\delta(f^*\omega^0): \omega^0 f^*\omega^0 \rightarrow f^*\omega^0$ is invertible.*
 - (b) *We have a 2-morphism $\alpha_f: f^*\omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 f^*$ such that*
 - (i) *we have $\delta(f^*) \circ \alpha_f = {}^1f^*(\delta)$ and $\alpha_f \circ \delta(f^*\omega^0) = \omega^0 f^*(\delta)$.*
 - (ii) *the 2-morphism α_f is invertible when f is étale.*
 - (iii) *letting $i: F \rightarrow S$ be a closed immersion, letting $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the complementary open immersion and letting M be an object of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ such that $j^*(M)$ belongs to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(U, R)$, the map $\alpha_i(M)$ is invertible.*
- (3) *Let f be a morphism of finite type.*
 - (a) *The 2-morphism $\omega^0 f_*(\delta): \omega^0 f_*\omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 f_*$ is invertible.*
 - (b) *We have a 2-morphism $\beta_f: \omega^0 f_* \rightarrow f_*\omega^0$ such that:*
 - (i) *we have $f_*(\delta) \circ \beta_f = \delta(f_*)$ and $\beta_f \circ \omega^0 f_*(\delta) = \delta(f_*\omega^0)$.*
 - (ii) *the 2-morphism β_f is invertible when the morphism f is finite.*
- (4) *Let f be a quasi-finite morphism.*
 - (a) *The maps $\delta(f_!\omega^0): \omega^0 f_!\omega^0 \rightarrow f_!\omega^0$ and $\omega^0 f^!(\delta): \omega^0 f^!\omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 f^!$ are invertible.*
 - (b) *We have 2-morphisms $\eta_f: f_!\omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 f_!$ and $\gamma_f: \omega^0 f^! \rightarrow f^!\omega^0$ such that*
 - (i) *we have $\delta(f_!) \circ \eta_f = f_!(\delta)$, $\eta_f \circ \delta(f_!\omega^0) = \omega^0 f_!(\delta)$, $f^!(\delta) \circ \gamma_f = \delta(f^!)$ and $\gamma_f \circ \omega^0 f^!(\delta) = \delta(f^!\omega^0)$.*
 - (ii) *the 2-morphism η_f is invertible when f is finite and coincides with β_f^{-1} modulo the natural isomorphism $f_! \simeq f_*$.*
 - (iii) *the 2-morphism γ_f is invertible when f is étale and coincides with α_f^{-1} modulo the natural isomorphism $f^* \simeq f^!$.*
- (5) *Assume that the ring R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra.*
 - (a) *The map α_f is an equivalence when f is smooth.*
 - (b) *Assume that S is regular. Let X be a smooth and proper S -scheme and $\pi_0(X/S)$ be its Stein factorization over S . Then, the canonical map $h_S(\pi_0(X/S)) \rightarrow \omega^0 h_S(X)$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. This is [Pep19b, 3.3, 3.7]. □

¹A more rigorous statement would be that $f^*(\delta)$ is a composition of $\delta(f^*)$ and α_f (in the categorical sense).

As a consequence, we get an analog of the localization triangle (0.0.2) for Artin motives:

Corollary 2.1.2. *Let $i: Z \rightarrow S$ be a closed immersion, let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the complementary open immersion and let R be a ring. Then, we have an exact triangle*

$$i_! \omega^0 i^! \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \omega^0 j_* j^*$$

in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$.

Proof. Apply the functor ω^0 to the exact localization triangle (0.0.2) and use the fact that the functor η_i of Proposition 2.1.1 above an equivalence to get the result. \square

We will see later that this triangle need not induce a triangle in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$ in general.

In [AZ12], the authors proved that if S is a quasi-projective scheme over a field of characteristic 0 and if $R = \mathbb{Q}$, then, the functor ω_S^0 preserves constructible objects (see [AZ12, 2.15 (vii)]). In [Pep19b, 3.7], Pepin Lehalleur extended the result to schemes allowing resolutions of singularities by alterations. In the proof, Pepin Lehalleur uses this hypothesis only to ensure that the localization triangle (0.0.2) belongs to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{coh}}(-, R)$. Using the appendix, we see that this assumption is in fact unnecessary and we deduce the following statement.

Proposition 2.1.3. *Let S be a quasi-excellent scheme and R a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Then, the functor ω_S^0 preserves constructible objects.*

Using the Artin truncation functor ω^0 , we prove that there is a trace of the six functors formalism on constructible Artin motives with rational coefficients ; this is not true with integral coefficient. Namely, if k is a field, and i is the inclusion of a point in \mathbb{P}_k^1 , the motive $\omega^0 i^! \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}$ is not constructible (see Corollary 2.2.8 below).

Proposition 2.1.4. *Let R be a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Then, the six functors on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(-, R)$ induce*

- (1) a functor f^* for any morphism f ,
- (2) a functor $\omega^0 f_*$ for any separated morphism of finite type f whose target is quasi-excellent,
- (3) a functor $f_!$ for any quasi-finite morphism f ,
- (4) a functor $\omega^0 f^!$ for any quasi-finite morphism f with a quasi-excellent source,
- (5) functors \otimes and $\omega^0 \underline{\text{Hom}}$

on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(-, R)$. Moreover, the fibered category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$ satisfies the localization property (0.0.1) and if $i: Z \rightarrow S$ is a closed immersion and if $j: U \rightarrow S$ is the complementary open immersion, we have an exact triangle

$$i_! \omega^0 i^! \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \omega^0 j_* j^*$$

in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$.

Proof. We already proved the proposition in the case of left adjoint functors in Proposition 1.4.3. The case of right adjoint functors follows from the fact that $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{coh}}(-, R)$ is endowed with the six functors formalism and from Proposition 2.1.3. \square

Finally, the functor ω^0 is compatible with change of coefficients.

Proposition 2.1.5. *Let $\sigma: R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring morphism of the form $\sigma_A: R \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ or $\sigma_n: R \rightarrow R/nR$ where A is a localization of \mathbb{Z} and n is an integer. Assume that the ring R is flat over \mathbb{Z} . Then, we have invertible 2-morphisms*

$$u: \sigma_* \omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 \sigma_* \text{ and } v: \sigma^* \omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 \sigma^*$$

such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma_* \omega^0 & \xrightarrow{u} & \omega^0 \sigma_* \\ \sigma_*(\delta) \searrow & & \downarrow \delta(\sigma_*) \\ & & \sigma_* \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^* \omega^0 & \xrightarrow{u} & \omega^0 \sigma^* \\ \sigma^*(\delta) \searrow & & \downarrow \delta(\sigma^*) \\ & & \sigma^* \end{array}$$

are commutative.

Proof. Let us first show that any object of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R')$ lies in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$. Let X be an étale S -scheme.

In the case where $R' = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, since R is flat over \mathbb{Z} , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\times n} R \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the six functors commute with change of coefficients by [Rui22b, 1.2.1], this implies that $M_S(X, R')$ is the cone of the map

$$M_S(X, R) \xrightarrow{\times n} M_S(X, R).$$

Therefore, the set of generators of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R')$ belongs to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$.

In the case where $R' = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$, write $A = \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ where Σ is a multiplicative subset of \mathbb{Z} . Then, the motive $M_S(X, R')$ is the colimit of the inductive system given by the multiplication by s maps $M_S(X, R) \rightarrow M_S(X, R)$ for $s \in \Sigma$. Therefore, the set of generators of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R')$ lies in $\mathcal{DM}^A(S, R)$.

Therefore, the functor σ_* preserves Artin motives. The existence of a 2-morphism u such that $\sigma_*(\delta) = \delta(\sigma_*) \circ u$ is therefore a consequence of the definition of ω^0 . Likewise, since the functor σ^* preserves Artin motives, we get a 2-morphism v such that $\sigma^*(\delta) = \delta(\sigma^*) \circ v$. We now show that u and v are invertible.

To show that the 2-morphism u is invertible, it suffices to show that for any object M of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ and any object N of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R')$, the map

$$\text{Map}(M, \sigma_* \omega^0 N) \rightarrow \text{Map}(M, \sigma_* N)$$

induced by $\sigma_*(\delta)$ is invertible. This amounts to the fact that the map

$$\text{Map}(\sigma^* M, \omega^0 N) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\sigma^* M, N)$$

induced by δ is invertible which is true by definition of the functor ω^0 .

To show that the 2-morphism v is invertible, it suffices to show that for any object M of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R')$ and any object N of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$, the map

$$\text{Map}(M, \sigma^* \omega^0 N) \rightarrow \text{Map}(M, \sigma^* N)$$

induced by $\sigma^*(\delta)$ is invertible. We can assume that $M = M_S(X, R')$ for X an étale S -scheme. We have $M = \sigma^* M_S(X, R)$. But the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(\sigma^* M_S(X, R), \sigma^* \omega^0 N) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*(\delta) \circ -} & \text{Map}(\sigma^* M_S(X, R), \sigma^* N) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \sigma^* \text{Map}(M_S(X, R), \omega^0 N) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*(\delta \circ -)} & \sigma^* \text{Map}(M_S(X, R), N) \end{array}$$

is commutative, its vertical arrows are invertible by [CD16, 5.4.5, 5.4.11] and its lower horizontal arrow is invertible by definition of ω^0 . This finishes the proof. \square

Finally, since all torsion motives are Artin motives by [Rui22b, 1.5.7], we have the following result.

Proposition 2.1.6. *Let S be a scheme and let R be a ring. The functor $\delta: \omega^0 \rightarrow \text{Id}$ induces an equivalence over $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét,tors}}(S, R)$.*

2.2. The Artin Truncation Functor over a Base Field. With integral coefficients, Proposition 2.1.3 is (very) false, namely we have:

Proposition 2.2.1. *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a separated morphism of finite type. If the object $\omega^0 f_! \mathbb{1}_X$ of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ belongs to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét,c}}^A(S, R)$, then we are in one of the following cases:*

- (1) we have $R = K$.
- (2) the map f is quasi-finite.
- (3) there is a prime number p such that $R[1/p]$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra and all the points x of S such that the set $f^{-1}(x)$ is infinite have the same residue characteristic p .

Proposition 2.2.1 will follow from Theorem 2.2.4 below. To state this result, we need to introduce the following notion:

Definition 2.2.2. *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field and let k be a field of characteristic exponent p . If n is a positive integer, we denote by μ_n the sheaf of n -th roots of unity over the small étale site of k .*

Let $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a morphism of finite type. Let m be an integer, we define the m -th Tate twist of the étale sheaf $f_ \left[\underline{R[1/p] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \right]$ as the étale sheaf*

$$f_* \left[\underline{R[1/p] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \right] (m) := \text{colim}_{(n,p)=1} f_* \left[\underline{R[1/p] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \right] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_n^{\otimes m}.$$

Remark 2.2.3. *Notice that through the equivalence of Theorem 1.4.10, the i -th cohomology group of $f_* \left[\underline{R[1/p] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \right] (m)$ seen as a complex of discrete G_k -modules is the discrete G_k -module $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})(m)$ defined in Example 1.4.6.*

We can now state the main result of this section:

Theorem 2.2.4. *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let k be a field of characteristic exponent p , let $\sigma: R \rightarrow R[1/p]$ be the localization morphism and let $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a morphism of finite type. Assume that the scheme X is regular.*

Then,

- (1) *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(X, R[1/p]) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_* \rho_!} & \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(X, R) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow \omega^0 f_* \\ \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(k_{\text{ét}}, R[1/p]) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_* \rho_!} & \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(k, R) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (2) *We have*

$$\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X = \rho_! f_* \underline{R[1/p]}.$$

- (3) *If m is a positive integer, we have*

$$\omega^0 (f_* \mathbb{1}_X(-m)) = \rho_! \left[f_* \left(\underline{R[1/p] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \right) [-1](-m) \right].$$

Proof. We can assume by Theorem 1.4.10 that p is invertible in R . We have pairs of adjoint functors

$$f^*: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(k, R) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(X, R): \omega^0 f_*$$

and

$$f^*: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(k, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(X, R): f_*.$$

Since the functor $\rho_!$ is an equivalence by [Theorem 1.4.10](#) and commutes with the functor f^* by [Proposition 1.2.1](#), this yields the first assertion.

The second assertion follows from the first one, since we have

$$\mathbb{1}_X = \rho_! \underline{R}.$$

We now prove the third assertion. Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}_X^m \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be the canonical projection. We have

$$\pi_* \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}_X^m} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m f_* \mathbb{1}_X(-i)[-2i]$$

by the projective bundle formula.

On the other hand, we have an exact triangle étale sheaves

$$\pi_* (\underline{R}) \rightarrow \pi_* (\underline{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \pi_* (\underline{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}).$$

[\[Den88, 2.1\]](#) yields

$$\pi_* (\underline{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}}) = f_* (\underline{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}})$$

and the projective bundle formula in étale cohomology yields

$$\pi_* (\underline{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m f_* (\underline{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}})(-i)[-2i].$$

The result follows by induction on m . □

Definition 2.2.5. Let $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a morphism of finite type, let \bar{k} be the algebraic closure of k and let $X_{\bar{k}}$ be the pull-back of X to \bar{k} . The set $\pi_0(X_{\bar{k}})$ of connected components of $X_{\bar{k}}$ is a finite G_k -set and therefore corresponds to a finite étale k -scheme through Galois-Grothendieck correspondence [\[GR71, VI\]](#). We let $\pi_0(X/k)$ be this scheme and call it the scheme of connected components of X .

Remark 2.2.6. When f is proper, this scheme coincides with the scheme $\pi_0(X/k)$ defined in [\[AZ12\]](#) as the Stein factorization of f . In general, the scheme $\pi_0(X/k)$ coincides with the Stein factorization of any compactification \bar{X} of X which is normal in X in the sense that X is its own relative normalization in \bar{X} .

Notations 2.2.7. Let k be a field of characteristic exponent p and let $\xi: \text{Spec}(\bar{k}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be the algebraic closure of k .

(1) Let R be a regular ring. We let

$$\alpha_!: \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}(G_k, R[1/p])) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}^A(k, R)$$

be the equivalence of [Theorem 1.4.10](#) (which is the composition of $\rho_!$ and of the inverse of the fiber functor ξ^* associated to ξ) and we let $\alpha^!$ be its inverse.

(2) Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type and let $X_{\bar{k}}$ be the pull-back of X to \bar{k} . We denote by $\mu^n(X, R)$ the G_k -module $H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 2.2.8. Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let k be a field of characteristic exponent p and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type. Assume that the scheme X is regular.

Then,

(1) We have

$$H^0(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X) = h_k(\pi_0(X/k)).$$

(2) We have

$$H^n(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X) = \alpha_! [H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p])]$$

and

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p]) = \begin{cases} R[1/p][\pi_0(X_{\bar{k}})] & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mu^{n-1}(X, R) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

(3) If m is a positive integer and if n is an integer, we have

$$H^n(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X(-m)) = \alpha_! [\mu^{n-1}(X, R)(-m)].$$

We can now prove [Proposition 2.2.1](#). Notice that it follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.9. *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let k be a field of characteristic exponent p and let $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a morphism of finite type. Assume that the ring $R[1/p]$ is not a \mathbb{Q} -algebra and that the morphism f is not finite. Then, the Artin motive $\omega^0 f_! \mathbb{1}_X$ is not constructible.*

Using [Theorem 1.4.10](#), the lemma follows from the following more precise statement.

Lemma 2.2.10. *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let k be a field of characteristic exponent p , let $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a separated morphism of finite type and let $d = \dim(X)$. Assume that the ring $R[1/p]$ is not a \mathbb{Q} -algebra and that $d > 0$. Then, the motive $H^{2d+1}(\omega^0 f_! \mathbb{1}_X)$ is not the image of an Artin representation through the map $\alpha_!$. Furthermore, if $n > 2d + 1$, the motive $H^n(\omega^0 f_! \mathbb{1}_X)$ vanishes.*

Example 2.2.11. *With the notations of the lemma, assume that $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and that $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$. Then, by [Corollary 2.2.8](#), the motive $H^3(\omega^0 f_! \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1})$ is equivalent to $\alpha_! [\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[1/p](-1)]$. The idea of this lemma is to prove that for a general k -scheme of finite type X with n connected components, it still remains true that the top cohomology object of $\omega^0 f_! \mathbb{1}_X$ is placed in degree $\dim(X) + 1$ and corresponds through the equivalence $\alpha_!$ to the direct sum of n copies of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ (which is not of finite presentation as an R -module) endowed with some action of G_k .*

Proof. Since change of coefficients preserves constructible objects, we may assume that there is a prime number $\ell \neq p$ such that the ring R is a flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ -algebra. We may also assume that the scheme X is connected.

We proceed by induction on the dimension of X . By compactifying X using Nagata's theorem [[Sta23](#), 0F41], and by using the localization triangle (0.0.1) and the induction hypothesis, we may assume that the morphism f is proper. By normalizing X , by using cdh-descent and by using the induction hypothesis again, we may also assume that the scheme X is normal.

Using Gabber's ℓ -alterations [[ILO14](#), IX.1.1], there is a proper and surjective map $p: Y \rightarrow X$ such that Y is regular and integral and p is generically the composition of an étale Galois cover of group of order prime to ℓ and of a finite surjective purely inseparable morphism.

Therefore, there is a closed subscheme F of X of positive codimension such that the induced map

$$p: Y \setminus p^{-1}(F) \rightarrow X \setminus F$$

is the composition of an étale Galois cover of group G , where the order of G is prime to ℓ , and of a finite surjective purely inseparable morphism.

Let X' be the relative normalization of X in $Y \setminus p^{-1}(F)$. Then, the map $X' \rightarrow X$ is finite and the scheme X' is endowed with a G -action. Furthermore, the map $X'/G \rightarrow X$ is purely inseparable since X is normal.

Using [Ayo07, 2.1.165], for any integer n we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^n(\omega^0 h_k(X)) \rightarrow H^n(\omega^0 h_k(X'))^G.$$

Furthermore, by cdh-descent, and by using the induction hypothesis, the canonical map

$$H^n(\omega^0 h_k(X')) \rightarrow H^n(\omega^0 h_k(Y))$$

is an isomorphism if $n > 2d$.

Now, by Poincaré Duality in étale cohomology [Del77] and by Theorem 2.2.4, since d is positive, we have

$$H^{2d+1}(\omega^0 h_k(Y)) = \alpha! [\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R[\pi_0(Y_{\bar{k}})]]$$

and the G -action on $H^{2d+1}(\omega^0 h_k(Y))$ is induced by the action of G on the connected components of $Y_{\bar{k}}$.

Since the map $Y \rightarrow X'$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1, the map

$$\pi_0(Y_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X'_{\bar{k}})$$

is a bijection. Thus, we get

$$H^{2d+1}(\omega^0 h_k(Y)) = \alpha! [\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R[\pi_0(X'_{\bar{k}})]]^G$$

If $x \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(-d)$ and if S is a connected component of the scheme $X'_{\bar{k}}$, denote by $[x]_S$ the element $x \otimes e_S$ of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R[\pi_0(X'_{\bar{k}})]$ where e_S is the element of the basis of $R[\pi_0(X'_{\bar{k}})]$ attached to S . Then, the G -fixed points are exactly the elements of the form:

$$\sum_{\substack{T \in \pi_0(X_{\bar{k}}), S \in \pi_0(X'_{\bar{k}}) \\ p(S)=T}} [x_T]_S$$

where $x_T \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(-d)$. Therefore, this set of G -fixed points is isomorphic as a G_k -module to

$$\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R[\pi_0(X_{\bar{k}})]$$

which is not an Artin representation. □

We now explore more consequences of Theorem 2.2.4.

Corollary 2.2.12. *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let k be a field of characteristic exponent p and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism. Assume that*

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$$

where I is a finite set and X_i is a closed subscheme of X .

For $J \subseteq I$, let

$$X_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} X_j$$

and let $f_J: X_J \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be the structural morphism. Assume that for any such J , the scheme X_J is nil-regular.

(1) The Artin motive $\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X$ is the image through the functor $\alpha_!$ of the following limit

$$\lim_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} (f_J)_* \underline{R[1/p]}$$

where the degeneracy maps are given by the suitable closed immersions (see [DDØ21, 5.1.3]).

(2) Let Y be a regular scheme and $i: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion. Let r be a non-negative integer. Assume that for all $J \subseteq I$, the subscheme X_J is of codimension $|J|$ in Y . Then, the Artin motive $\omega^0 f_* i^! \mathbb{1}_Y(-r)$ is the image through the functor $\alpha_!$ of the following colimit

$$\text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} (f_J)_* \left(\underline{R[1/p]} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \right) (-n-1-r)[-2n-3]$$

Proof. We begin with the first assertion. First, [DDØ21, 5.7] asserts that the canonical map

$$f_* \mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow \lim_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} (f_J)_* \mathbb{1}_{X_J}$$

is an equivalence.

Since ω^0 is an exact functor, it is compatible with finite limits. Therefore, the canonical map

$$\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow \lim_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} \omega^0 (f_J)_* \mathbb{1}_{X_J}$$

is an equivalence. The first assertion then follows from [Theorem 2.2.4](#).

We now prove the second assertion. If $J \subseteq I$, let $i_J: X_J \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Again, [DDØ21, 5.7] asserts that the canonical map

$$i^! \mathbb{1}_Y \rightarrow \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} (i_J)_* (i \circ i_J)^! \mathbb{1}_X$$

is an equivalence.

Since ω^0 is an exact functor, it is compatible with finite colimits, and therefore the canonical map

$$\text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} \omega^0 f_* (i_J)_* (i \circ i_J)^! \mathbb{1}_X(-r) \rightarrow \omega^0 f_* i^! \mathbb{1}_Y(-r)$$

is an equivalence.

Using absolute purity property [CD16, 5.6.2], we get that $\omega^0 f_* i^! \mathbb{1}_Y(-r)$ is equivalent to

$$\text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} \omega^0 f_* (i_J)_* \mathbb{1}_{X_J}(-n-1-r)[-2n-2].$$

The result then follows from [Theorem 2.2.4](#). \square

With the notations of [Corollary 2.2.12](#), we now want to describe the cohomology of $\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X$ seen as the image of a discrete G_k -module through the functor $\alpha_!$. Using [Lur17, 1.2.2.7], [Corollary 2.2.12](#) provides:

Corollary 2.2.13. *Keep the notations of [Corollary 2.2.12](#).*

(1) The limit of (1) of [Corollary 2.2.12](#) induces a spectral sequence:

$$\alpha_! E_1^{n,m} \Rightarrow H^{n+m}(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X)$$

where

$$E_1^{n,m} = \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} H_{\text{ét}}^m((X_J)_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p]) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} R[1/p][\pi_0((X_J)_{\bar{k}})] & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} \mu^{m-1}(X_J, R) & \text{if } m \geq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

(2) the colimit of (2) of [Corollary 2.2.12](#) induces a spectral sequence:

$$\alpha_! E_1^{n,m} = \alpha_! \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} \mu^{m-n-3}(X_J)(-n-1-r) \Longrightarrow H^{n+m}(\omega^0 f_* i^! \mathbb{1}_Y(-r)).$$

Proof. The Artin motive $\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X$ is the image through the functor $\alpha_!$ of the limit

$$\lim_{n \in \Delta^{\text{inj}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} (f_J)_* \underline{R[1/p]}.$$

We can truncate this diagram in each degree to write $\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X$ as the limit of a sequential diagram $(M^n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that the fiber of the map $M^n \rightarrow M^{n-1}$ is $\alpha_! \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} (f_J)_* \underline{R[1/p]}$.

By [[Lur17](#), 1.2.2.14], this sequential diagram gives rise to a spectral sequence such that

$$\alpha_! E_1^{n,m} = \alpha_! \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} H_{\text{ét}}^m((X_J)_{\bar{k}}, R[1/p]) \Longrightarrow H^{n+m}(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X).$$

This proves the first assertion. We now prove the second assertion.

As in the proof of the first assertion, we can compute the motive $\omega^0 f_* i^! \mathbb{1}_Y$ using [Corollary 2.2.12](#) as the colimit of a sequential diagram $(N_p)_{p \geq 0}$ such that the cofiber of the map $N_{p-1} \rightarrow N_p$ is $\rho_! \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=p+1}} (f_J)_* \left(\underline{R[1/p]} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \right) (-n-1-r)[-2n-3]$.

Now, by [[Lur17](#), 1.2.2.14] this sequential diagram gives rise to a spectral sequence such that

$$\alpha_! E_1^{n,m} = \alpha_! \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=n+1}} \mu^{m-n-3}(X_J)(-n-1-r) \Longrightarrow H^{n+m}(\omega^0 f_* i^! \mathbb{1}_Y)$$

which is exactly the second assertion. \square

2.3. Artin Residues over 1-dimensional Schemes. In this section, we study an analog of the nearby cycle functor (or more accurately residue functor) in our setting. Namely, let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let C be an excellent 1-dimensional scheme, let $i: F \rightarrow C$ be a closed immersion of positive codimension and let $j: U \rightarrow C$ be the complementary open immersion; we study the functor

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* : \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R).$$

First, notice that the scheme F is 0-dimensional. Therefore, the scheme F is the disjoint union of its points. Therefore, denoting by

$$i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow F$$

the inclusion for $x \in F$, we get

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* = \bigoplus_{x \in F} (i_x)_* i_x^* \omega^0 i^* j_*.$$

Now, since the map i_x is an open immersion, by [Proposition 2.1.1](#), the 2-morphism $i_x^* \omega^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 i_x^*$ is an equivalence. Therefore, the functor $\omega^0 i^* j_*$ is equivalent to the functor

$$\bigoplus_{x \in F} (i_x)_* \omega^0 (i \circ i_x)^* j_*.$$

Hence, studying the case where F is a point will yield a description in the general case. Assume from now on that F is reduced to a single point. Let x be the only point of F and let p be the characteristic exponent of $k(x)$.

Now, recall that [Theorem 1.4.10](#) states that if S is a regular scheme, and if all the residue characteristic exponents of S are invertible in R , the functor

$$\rho_!: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lis}}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{smA}}(S, R)$$

is an equivalence.

If the scheme U is regular and if all the residue characteristic exponents of U are invertible in R , the functor $\omega^0 i^* j_*$ above can be seen as a functor

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lis}}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(k(x)_{\text{ét}}, R[1/p]).$$

Since the functor $\rho_!$ is the left adjoint functor of a premotivic adjunction, we have an equivalence $\rho_! i^* \xrightarrow{\sim} i^* \rho_!$ and an exchange transformation $\rho_! j_* \rightarrow j_* \rho_!$.

This gives a transformation

$$\rho_! i^* j_* \rightarrow i^* j_* \rho_!$$

of functors

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lis}}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}}(F, R).$$

Furthermore, if M is a lisse étale sheaf over U , then, the motive $\rho_! i^* j_* M$ is Artin by [Section 1.2.1](#). Therefore, we have a transformation

$$\overline{\Theta}: \rho_! i^* j_* \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \rho_!.$$

of functors

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lis}}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R).$$

Finally, let

$$\Theta = \overline{\Theta} \otimes_R R[1/p].$$

Since tensoring with $R[1/p]$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R[1/p]),$$

the functor Θ can be seen as a transformation

$$\rho_! i^* j_* \otimes_R R[1/p] \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \rho_!.$$

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let C be an excellent 1-dimensional scheme, let x be a closed point of C , let $i: \{x\} \rightarrow C$ be the closed immersion, let $j: U \rightarrow C$ be the complementary open immersion and let p be the characteristic exponent of $k(x)$.*

Assume that the scheme U is nil-regular and the residue characteristics of U are invertible in R .

Then, the transformation

$$\Theta: \rho_! i^* j_* \otimes_R R[1/p] \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \rho_!$$

is an equivalence. In particular, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lisse}}(U, R) & \xrightarrow{\rho_!} & \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét},c}^A(U, R) \\ i^* j_* \otimes_R R[1/p] \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \\ \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lisse}}(\{x\}, R[1/p]) & \xrightarrow{\rho_!} & \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{\text{ét},c}^A(\{x\}, R) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Q} commutes with the six functors formalism and with $\rho_!$ by [Rui22b, 1.2.4], it also commutes with the functor ω^0 by Proposition 2.1.5. Using the conservativity property (Lemma 1.2.2), we can therefore assume that the ring R is either a \mathbb{Q} -algebra or an n -torsion algebra with n an integer prime to p .

In the n -torsion case, the proposition follows from the fact that ω^0 is an equivalence by Proposition 2.1.6 and from the rigidity theorem and the fact that the six functors commute with the functor $\rho_!$ in the torsion case by (for example using [Rui22b, 2.1.3]).

Assume now that the ring R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra.

Let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization of C . Write

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_{\text{red}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{C} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & F \\ \nu_U \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \nu_F \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & C & \xleftarrow{i} & \{x\} \end{array}$$

the commutative diagram such that both squares are cartesian. Notice that U_{red} is the reduced subscheme associated to U . Therefore, the functor $(\nu_U)_*$ is an equivalence and commutes with the functor $\rho_!$. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\Theta(\nu_U)_*: \rho_! i^* j_* (\nu_U)_* \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* (\nu_U)_* \rho_!$$

is an equivalence.

Therefore, it suffices to show that the map $\rho_! i^* \nu_* \gamma_* \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* \nu_* \gamma_* \rho_!$ is an equivalence.

Using proper base change, this is equivalent to the fact that the map

$$\rho_! (\nu_F)_* \iota^* \gamma_* \rightarrow \omega^0 (\nu_F)_* \iota^* \gamma_* \rho_!$$

is an equivalence. Since the map induced by ν_F over the reduced schemes is finite, the functor $(\nu_F)_*$ commutes with the functor $\rho_!$ by Proposition 1.2.1 and with the functor ω^0 by Proposition 2.1.1. Thus, it suffices to show that the map

$$\rho_! \iota^* \gamma_* \rightarrow \omega^0 \iota^* \gamma_* \rho_!$$

is an equivalence.

Moreover, since the scheme F is 0-dimensional, we can assume as before that F is a point. Therefore, we can assume that the 1-dimensional scheme C is normal and therefore regular.

Since by [Theorem 1.4.10](#) the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\text{lisse}}(U, R)$ is generated as a thick subcategory of itself by the sheaves of the form $R_U(V) = f_*\underline{R}$ for $f: V \rightarrow U$ finite and étale and since the functors $\rho_!i^*j_*$ and $\omega^0i^*j_*$ are exact and therefore compatible with finite limits, finite colimits and retracts, it suffices to show that the map

$$\Theta(R_U(V)): \rho_!i^*j_*f_*\underline{R} \rightarrow \omega^0i^*j_*f_*\mathbb{1}_V$$

is an equivalence.

To show that this map is an equivalence, we introduce $\bar{f}: C_V \rightarrow C$ the normalization of C in V . Write

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C_V & \xleftarrow{\iota} & Z \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow p \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & C & \xleftarrow{i} & \{x\} \end{array}$$

the commutative diagram such that both squares are cartesian. The map $\Theta(R_U(V))$ is an equivalence if and only if the map

$$\rho_!i^*\bar{f}_*\gamma_*\underline{R} \rightarrow \omega^0i^*\bar{f}_*\gamma_*\mathbb{1}_V$$

is an equivalence. The morphism p induces a finite and étale map over the reduced schemes and therefore the functor p_* commutes with the functor $\rho_!$ by [Proposition 1.2.1](#) and with the functor ω^0 by [Proposition 2.1.1](#). Hence, using proper base change, it suffices to show that the map

$$\rho_!i^*\gamma_*\underline{R} \rightarrow \omega^0i^*\gamma_*\mathbb{1}_V$$

is an equivalence.

Hence, we can assume that $V = U$. Therefore, we need to prove that the map

$$\Theta(\underline{R}): \rho_!i^*j_*\underline{R} \rightarrow \omega^0i^*j_*\mathbb{1}_U$$

is an equivalence. Using [Proposition 2.1.1](#), the exchange transformation

$$i^*\omega^0j_* \rightarrow \omega^0i^*j_*$$

is an equivalence. Furthermore, by [Proposition 1.2.1](#), the exchange transformation $\rho_!i^* \rightarrow i^*\rho_!$ is an equivalence. Therefore, it suffices to show that the exchange map

$$\rho_!j_*\underline{R} \rightarrow \omega^0j_*\mathbb{1}_U$$

is an equivalence which is a direct consequence of the two lemmas below. \square

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let R be a \mathbb{Q} algebra. Let S be a regular scheme, and $j: U \rightarrow S$ an open immersion with complement a simple normal crossing divisor. Then, the canonical map*

$$\mathbb{1}_S \rightarrow \omega^0j_*\mathbb{1}_U$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. This result generalizes [[AZ12](#), 2.11]. Let $i: D \rightarrow S$ be the closed immersion which is complementary to j . Using [Proposition 2.1.4](#), we have an exact triangle

$$i_*\omega^0i^!\mathbb{1}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_S \rightarrow \omega^0j_*\mathbb{1}_U.$$

It therefore suffices to show that

$$\omega^0i^!\mathbb{1}_S = 0.$$

Using [DDØ21, 5.7], we can reduce to the case when D is a regular subscheme of codimension $c > 0$. In this setting, we have

$$\omega^0 i^! \mathbb{1}_S = \omega^0(\mathbb{1}_D(-c))[-2c]$$

and the latter vanishes by [Pep19b, 3.9]. \square

Lemma 2.3.3. *Let R be a \mathbb{Q} algebra, let S be a normal scheme, and let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be an open immersion. Then, the canonical map*

$$\underline{R} \rightarrow j_* \underline{R}$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. The canonical map $\underline{R} \rightarrow j_* \underline{R}$ is an equivalence if and only if for any geometric point ξ of S , the canonical map

$$R \rightarrow \xi^* j_* \underline{R}$$

is an equivalence. If n is an integer, [AGV73, VIII.5] yields

$$H^n(\xi^* j_* \underline{R}) = H_{\text{ét}}^n(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S,x}^{\text{sh}}) \times_S U, R)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{S,x}^{\text{sh}}$ is the strict henselization of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,x}$ of S at x .

Since the scheme S is normal, so is the scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S,x}^{\text{sh}}) \times_S U$. Moreover, this scheme is also connected. Therefore [Den88, 2.1] yields the result. \square

Remark 2.3.4. *Proposition 2.3.1 is specific to curves. Assume that $R = \mathbb{Q}$. A reasonable equivalent to Proposition 2.3.1 would then be that if S is an excellent scheme, $i: F \rightarrow S$ a closed immersion and $j: U \rightarrow S$ is the complementary open immersion, with F a Cartier divisor (so that the morphism j is affine) and U regular, then, the 2-morphism*

$$\Theta: \rho_! i^* j_* \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \rho_!$$

is an equivalence.

However, this statement is not true. We now give a counterexample. Let k be a field. Take $S = \text{Spec}(k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 - z^2))$ to be the affine cone over the rational curve of degree 2 in \mathbb{P}^2 given by the homogeneous equation $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$. The scheme S has a single singular point $P = (0, 0, 0)$.

Let F be the Cartier divisor of S given by the equation $x = 0$, so that F is the union of the two lines

$$L_1: y - z = 0 \text{ and } L_2: y + z = 0$$

which contain P and therefore the complement U of F is regular.

The blow up $B_P(S)$ of S at P is a resolution of singularities of S and the exceptional divisor E above F is the reunion of \mathbb{P}_k^1 and of the strict transform L'_i of the line L_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Each L'_i crosses \mathbb{P}_k^1 at a single point and L'_1 and L'_2 do not cross each other.

We therefore have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{S} = B_P(S) & \xleftarrow{\iota} & E = L'_1 \cup \mathbb{P}_k^1 \cup L'_2 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow p \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & F = L_1 \cup L_2 \end{array}$$

where both squares are cartesian.

Now, Lemma 2.3.3 yields

$$\rho_! i^* j_* \underline{R} = \mathbb{1}_F.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 i^* f_* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 p_* \iota^* \omega^0 \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U$$

by [Proposition 2.1.1](#). But [Lemma 2.3.2](#) ensures that

$$\omega^0 \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U = \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}$$

and thus, we get

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E.$$

Finally, notice that $\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E$ does not coincide with $\mathbb{1}_F$ since by [Theorem 2.2.4](#) $\omega^0 i_x^! \mathbb{1}_F$ is trivial while $\omega^0 i_x^! \omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{1}_x$.

3. THE PERVERSE HOMOTOPY T-STRUCTURE ON ARTIN MOTIVES

From now on, all schemes are assumed to be endowed with a dimension function δ (see [Definition 1.3.1](#)). If S is a scheme and if Λ is an ℓ -adic field or its ring of integers, we denote with the small letters p the notions related to the perverse t-structure on $\mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \Lambda)$. For instance, we denote by ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}$ the left truncation with respect to the perverse t-structure and t_p denotes the perverse t-structure itself.

One of the main goals of this text is to construct the perverse motivic t-structure on constructible Artin étale motives in the following sense

Definition 3.0.1. *Let S be a scheme and R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K . Let t_0 be a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$. Recall the reduced v -adic realization functor: letting v be a valuation on K and letting ℓ be the prime number such that v extends the ℓ -adic valuation, the reduced v -adic realization functor $\bar{\rho}_v$ is the composition*

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R) \xrightarrow{j_\ell^*} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S[1/\ell], R) \xrightarrow{\rho_v} \mathcal{D}(S[1/\ell], R_v),$$

where j_ℓ denotes the open immersion $S[1/\ell] \rightarrow S$ and where the functor ρ_v is the v -adic realization functor defined in [[Rui22b](#), Section 1.3.2]. We say that

- (1) the t-structure t_0 is the perverse motivic t-structure if for any constructible Artin étale motive M , the motive M is t_0 -non-positive if and only if for all non-archimedean valuation v on K which is non-negative on R , the complex $\bar{\rho}_v(M)$ is perverse-non-positive.
- (2) the t-structure t_0 is the perverse motivic t-structure in the strong sense if for any non-archimedean valuation v on K which is non-negative on R , the functor

$$\bar{\rho}_v: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(S, R_v)$$

is t-exact when the left hand side is endowed with the t-structure t_0 and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse t-structure.

Remark 3.0.2. (1) If the perverse motivic t-structure exists, then it is unique.

- (2) If t is the perverse motivic t-structure in the strong sense, [[Rui22b](#), 1.1.6] and [[Rui22b](#), 3.4.2] ensure that it is the perverse motivic t-structure.

The most suitable candidate to be the perverse motivic t-structure will be the *perverse homotopy t-structure* (see [Proposition 3.2.1](#) below) which we will construct and study in this section.

3.1. Definition for Non-constructible Artin Motives.

Definition 3.1.1. *Let S be a scheme and let R be a ring. The perverse homotopy t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ is the t-structure generated in the sense of [Proposition 1.1.4](#) by the family of the motives $M_S^{\text{BM}}(X)[\delta(X)]$ with X quasi-finite over S .*

We denote with the small letters hp the notions related to the perverse t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$. For instance, we denote by ${}^{hp}\tau_{\leq 0}$ the left truncation with respect to the ordinary homotopy t-structure and t_{hp} denotes the perverse t-structure itself.

Using the same method as [Proposition 1.4.2](#), this t-structure is also generated by family of the motives $h_S(X)[\delta(X)]$ with X finite over S . Thus, an object M of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ belongs to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)^{hp \geq n}$ if and only if for any finite S -scheme X the complex $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)}(h_S(X), M)$ is $(n - \delta(X) - 1)$ -connected.

Proposition 3.1.2. *Let R be a ring. Let f be a quasi-finite morphism of schemes and let g be a morphism of relative dimension d .*

- (1) *The adjunction $(f_!, \omega^0 f^!)$ is a t_{hp} -adjunction.*
- (2) *If M is a t_{hp} -non-positive Artin motive, then, the functor $-\otimes_S M$ is right t_{hp} -exact.*
- (3) *If $\dim(g) \geq d$, then, the adjunction $(g^*[d], \omega^0 g_*[d])$ is a t_{hp} -adjunction.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [\[Rui22b, 4.1.2\]](#) □

Corollary 3.1.3. *Let R be a ring and let f be a morphism of schemes. Then,*

- (1) *If f is étale, the functor $f^* = f^! = \omega^0 f^!$ is t_{hp} -exact.*
- (2) *If f is finite, the functor $f_! = f_* = \omega^0 f_*$ is t_{hp} -exact.*

Proposition 3.1.4. *Let S be a scheme, let R be a ring, let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be a closed immersion and $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the open complement. Then, the category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ is a gluing of the pair $(\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(U, R), \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(Z, R))$ along the fully faithful functors i_* and $\omega^0 j_*$ in the sense of [\[Lur17, A.8.1\]](#), i.e. the functors i_* and $\omega^0 j_*$ have left adjoint functors i^* and j^* such that*

- (1) *We have $j^* i_* = 0$.*
- (2) *The family (i^*, j^*) is conservative.*

In particular, by [\[Lur17, A.8.5, A.8.13\]](#), the sequence

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(U, R)$$

satisfies the axioms of the gluing formalism of [\[BBDG18, 1.4.3\]](#).

Moreover, the perverse homotopy t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ is obtained by gluing the t-structures of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R)$ (see [\[BBDG18, 1.4.9\]](#)) i.e. for any object M of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$, we have

- (1) *$M \geq_{hp} 0$ if and only if $j^* M \geq_{hp} 0$ and $\omega^0 i^! M \geq_{hp} 0$.*
- (2) *$M \leq_{hp} 0$ if and only if $j^* M \leq_{hp} 0$ and $i^* M \leq_{hp} 0$.*

Proof. This follows from the usual properties of the six functors and [Proposition 3.1.2](#) and [Corollary 3.1.3](#). □

Corollary 3.1.5. *Let S be a scheme, let R be a ring and let M be an object of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$. Then, we have*

- (1) *$M \geq_{hp} 0$ if and only if there is a stratification of S such that for any stratum $i: T \hookrightarrow S$, we have $\omega^0 i^! M \geq_{hp} 0$.*

- (2) $M \leq_{hp} 0$ if and only if there is a stratification of S such that for any stratum $i: T \hookrightarrow S$, we have $i^*M \leq_{hp} 0$.

Proposition 3.1.6. *Let S be a scheme and let R be a ring. Then, the constructible objects are bounded with respect to the perverse homotopy t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(S, R)$.*

Proof. The subcategory of bounded objects is thick. Therefore, it suffices to show that the motives of the form $f_*\mathbb{1}_X$ with $f: X \rightarrow S$ finite are bounded. By [Corollary 3.1.3](#), the functor f_* is t_{hp} -exact when f is finite. Therefore, it suffices to show that the object $\mathbb{1}_S$ is bounded with respect to t_{hp} for any scheme S . We already know that it lies in degree at most $\delta(S)$ by definition of t_{hp} .

Let Y be a finite S -scheme. The objects $h_S(Y)$ and $\mathbb{1}_S$ belong to the heart of the ordinary homotopy t -structure by [\[Rui22b, 4.1.4\]](#). Therefore, the complex $\text{Map}(h_S(Y), \mathbb{1}_S)$ is (-1) -connected. Therefore, if c is a lower bound for δ , the complex $\text{Map}(h_S(X), \mathbb{1}_S[c])$ is $(\delta(X) - 1)$ -connected and therefore, the object $\mathbb{1}_S$ is bounded below by c . \square

Proposition 3.1.7. *Let S be a scheme, let R be a ring, let n be an integer and let A be a localization of \mathbb{Z} . Denote by $\sigma_n: R \rightarrow R/nR$ and by $\sigma_A: R \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ the natural ring morphisms. Recall the notations of [Section 1.2.2](#). Then,*

- (1) *The functors $(\sigma_A)_*$ and $(\sigma_n)_*$ are t_{hp} -exact.*
- (2) *the functor σ_A^* is t_{hp} -exact.*

Proof. This follows from [\[Rui22b, 1.1.5\]](#) and from [\[Rui22b, 1.2.1\]](#). \square

We now describe the perverse homotopy t -structure in the case when the base scheme is the spectrum of a field.

Proposition 3.1.8. *Let k be a field of characteristic exponent p and let R be a regular good ring. Then, the following properties hold.*

- (1) *The ordinary homotopy and the perverse homotopy t -structure on the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(k, R)$ coincide up to a shift of $\delta(k)$.*
- (2) *The perverse homotopy t -structure induces a t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, c}^A(k, R)$.*
- (3) *If R is good, then, the functors*

$$\rho_!: \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(k, R[1/p]) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, c}^A(k, R)$$

$$\rho_!: \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}(k_{\acute{e}t}, R[1/p]) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(k, R)$$

of [Theorem 1.4.10](#) are t -exact equivalences when the right hand sides are endowed with the perverse homotopy t -structure and the left hand sides are endowed with the ordinary t -structure shifted by $\delta(k)$.

- (4) *If R is a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K and v is a non-archimedean valuation on K , the v -adic realization functor*

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, c}^A(k, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(k, R_v)$$

is t -exact when the left hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t -structure and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse t -structure.

Proof. Notice that t_{ord} and t_{hp} have the same set of generators up to a shift of $\delta(k)$. This proves the first point. The other points follow from the first point and from the properties of t_{ord} . \square

Proposition 3.1.9. *Let S be a scheme and let R be a ring. The inclusion functor*

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét,tors}}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$$

is t-exact when the left hand side is endowed with the perverse t-structure of Definition 1.3.6 and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure.

Proof. If $f: X \rightarrow S$ is quasi-finite, Proposition 1.2.1 implies that the functor $\rho_!$ maps the étale sheaf $f_! \underline{R}$ to the motive $M_S^{BM}(X)$. Recall that Proposition 1.3.3 asserts that the sheaves of the form $f_! \underline{R}[\delta(X)]$ with $f: X \rightarrow S$ quasi-finite generate the perverse t-structure on the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$. Since the exact functor

$$\rho_!: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(S_{\text{ét}}, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$$

is compatible with small colimits, it is therefore right t-exact.

We can prove the left t-exactness using the same proof as the proof of the left t-exactness in [Rui22b, 4.1.6]. We also give a shorter proof which uses Proposition 3.2.1(1)(a) below.

Let M be a torsion étale motive which is perverse t-non-negative. Proposition 1.3.8 ensures that M is bounded below (with respect to the ordinary t-structure) and that for any point x of S , we have

$$i_x^! M \geq -\delta(x)$$

with respect to the ordinary t-structure. Proposition 2.1.6 then ensures that

$$i_x^! M = \omega^0 i_x^! M.$$

Therefore, using [Rui22b, 4.1.6], we have

$$\omega^0 i_x^! M \geq_{\text{ord}} -\delta(x).$$

Proposition 3.2.1(1)(a) below ensures that the Artin motive M is therefore t_{hp} -non-negative. \square

3.2. Locality and Consequences. The following proposition summarizes the main features of the perverse homotopy t-structure. They are analogous to the properties of the perverse t-structure on ℓ -adic sheaves.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let S be a scheme and R be a regular good ring. If x is a point of S , denote by $i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow S$ the inclusion. Then, the following properties hold.*

(1) *Let M be an Artin motive over S with coefficients in R . Then,*

(a) *The Artin motive M is t_{hp} -non-negative if and only if it is bounded below with respect to t_{ord} and for any point x of S , we have*

$$\omega^0 i_x^! M \geq_{hp} 0,$$

i.e. $\omega^0 i_x^! M \geq_{\text{ord}} -\delta(x)$.

(b) *Assume that the Artin motive M is constructible. Then, it is t_{hp} -non-positive if and only if for any point x of S , we have*

$$i_x^* M \leq_{hp} 0,$$

i.e. $i_x^ M \leq_{\text{ord}} -\delta(x)$.*

(2) *Assume that the scheme S is regular. Then,*

(a) *Assume that the regular scheme S is connected. Then, the functor $\rho_!$ of Section 1.2.1 induces a t-exact functor*

$$\rho_!: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lisse}}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$$

when the left hand side is endowed with the ordinary t -structure shifted by $\delta(S)$ and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t -structure.

In particular, when the residue characteristic exponents of S are invertible in R , the perverse homotopy t -structure on the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(S, R)$ induces a t -structure on the stable subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, c}^{smA}(S, R)$.

- (b) If R is a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K , if the residue characteristic exponents of S are invertible in R , and if v is a non-archimedean valuation on K , the v -adic realization functor

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, c}^{smA}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(S, R_v)$$

is t -exact when the left hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t -structure and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse t -structure.

- (3) Assume that S is excellent and that the ring R a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K . Then, the perverse motivic t -structure exists if and only if the perverse homotopy t -structure induces a t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t, c}^A(S, R)$. In that case, the perverse homotopy t -structure is the perverse motivic t -structure.

Proof. Notice that Assertion (2)(b) follows from Assertion (2)(a) and [Rui22b, 4.2.7]. We now prove the other assertions.

Proof of Assertion (1)(a):

If $M \geq_{hp} 0$, we already know from Proposition 3.1.2 that for any point x of S , we have $\omega^0 i_x^! M \geq_{hp} 0$. Furthermore, if c is a lower bound for the function δ on S , the complex $\text{Map}(M_S(X), M)$ is $(c - 1)$ -connected for any étale S -scheme X . Therefore, the Artin motive M is bounded below with respect to the ordinary homotopy t -structure.

Conversely, assume that M is t_{ord} -bounded below and that for any point x of S , the Artin motive $\omega^0 i_x^! M$ is t_{hp} -non-negative. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be quasi-finite. As in the proof of Proposition 1.3.3, the δ -niveau spectral sequence of [BD17, 3.1.5] and the strong continuity property of Proposition 1.2.4 yields

$$E_{p, q}^1 \Rightarrow \pi_{-p-q} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}(S, R)}(M_S^{\text{BM}}(X), M)$$

with

$$E_{p, q}^1 = \bigoplus_{y \in X, \delta(y)=p} \pi_{-p-q} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}(k(f(y)), R)}(M_{f(y)}^{\text{BM}}(y), \omega^0 i_{f(y)}^! M).$$

Since for any point x of S , we have $\omega^0 i_x^! M \geq_{hp} 0$, the complex

$$\text{Map}(M_{f(y)}^{\text{BM}}(y), \omega^0 i_{f(y)}^! M)$$

is $(-\delta(X) - 1)$ -connected for any point y of X .

Hence, if $n > \delta(X)$, the R -module $\pi_{-n} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}(S, R)}(M_S^{\text{BM}}(X), M)$ vanishes. Therefore, the Artin motive M is t_{hp} -non-negative.

Proof of Assertion (2)(a):

[Rui22b, 3.1.11] implies that the functor

$$\rho!: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\text{Ind lisse}}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(S, R)$$

is right t -exact when the left hand side is endowed with the ordinary t -structure shifted by $-\delta(S)$ and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t -structure. Since the scheme S is regular and connected $\delta(x) = -\text{codim}_S(x)$ is a dimension function on S . In particular, we can assume that $\delta(S) = 0$.

By dévissage, it therefore suffices to show that any étale sheaf which belongs to $\text{Loc}_S(R)$ is sent through the functor $\rho_!$ to a t_{hp} -non-negative object. Let M be such an étale sheaf. We have an exact triangle

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1] \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

The sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ lies in $\text{Loc}_S(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$.

Furthermore, if n is a positive integer, the sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[-1]$ is t-non-negative with respect to the ordinary t-structure. Let x be a point of S . [Rui22b, 1.2.4] yields

$$i_x^!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1]) = \text{colim}_n i_x^!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[-1]).$$

Therefore, since the scheme S is regular, and since the sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[-1]$ is lisse, we get by absolute purity [ILO14, XVI.3.1.1] that

$$i_x^!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[-1]) = i_x^*(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[-1])(\delta(x))[2\delta(x)].$$

Therefore, we get

$$i_x^!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1]) = i_x^*(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1])(\delta(x))[2\delta(x)].$$

Finally, we get

$$\omega^0 i_x^! \rho_!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1]) = \rho_! [i_x^*(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1])(\delta(x))] [2\delta(x)]$$

where the left hand side in degree $-2\delta(x)$ or more. It is therefore in degree $-\delta(x)$ or more and therefore, the motive $\rho_!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1])$ is t-non-negative.

Hence, the torsion étale sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1]$ is perverse t-non-negative and therefore it is perverse homotopy t-non-negative by Proposition 3.1.9.

We can therefore assume that the ring R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. In that case, Corollary 2.2.8 ensures that $\omega^0 i_x^!(M)$ vanishes when x is not the generic point of S . When x is the generic point of S , we get

$$\omega^0 i_x^!(M) = i_x^*(M).$$

Therefore, the sheaf $\omega^0 i_x^!(M)$ is in degree $0 = -\delta(x)$. Hence, Assertion (1)(a) ensures that M is perverse homotopy t-non-negative

Proof of Assertion (1)(b):

If $M \leq_{hp} 0$, we already know from Proposition 3.1.2 that for any point x of S , we have $i_x^* M \leq_{hp} 0$.

We now prove the converse. Corollary 1.2.3 and Proposition 3.1.7 ensure that the family $(-\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})_{p \text{ prime}}$ is conservative family which is made of t_{hp} -exact functors. Using [Rui22b, 1.1.6], we can therefore assume that the ring R is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra where p is a prime number.

Write

$$S = S[1/p] \sqcup S_p$$

where $S_p = S \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. The subscheme $S[1/p]$ of S is open while the subscheme S_p is closed.

Let M be a constructible Artin motive and such that for all point x of S , we have

$$i_x^* M \leq_{hp} 0.$$

[Rui22b, 3.5.4] ensures that we have a stratification $\mathcal{S}[1/p]$ of $S[1/p]$ with regular strata and a stratification \mathcal{S}_p of S_p with regular strata, lisse étale sheaves $M_T \in \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(T, R[1/p])$ for $T \in \mathcal{S}_p$ and lisse étale sheaves $M_T \in \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\text{lisse}}(T, R)$ for $T \in \mathcal{S}[1/p]$ such that for all any stratum T , we have

$$M|_T = \rho_! M_T.$$

Lemma 3.2.2 below then ensures that $M|_T \leq_{hp} 0$ for each $T \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, using Corollary 3.1.5, we get

$$M \leq_{hp} 0.$$

Proof of Assertion (3):

Let M be in $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}^A(S, R)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} M \leq_{hp} 0 &\iff \forall x \in S, i_x^* M \leq_{hp} 0 \\ &\iff \forall x \in S, \forall v \text{ valuation } i_x^* \bar{\rho}_v(M) \leq_p 0 \\ &\iff \forall v \text{ valuation } \bar{\rho}_v(M) \leq_p 0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed the first equivalence follows from Assertion (1)(b); the second equivalence follows from Proposition 3.1.8 and the fact that the v -adic realization respects the six functors formalism; finally the third equivalence is a characterization of the perverse t-structure (see [BBDG18, 2.2.12]).

Hence, if the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}^A(S, R)$, it is the perverse motivic t-structure.

Conversely, assume that the perverse motivic t-structure t_{pm} exists. Then, its t-non-positive objects are the t_{hp} -non-negative objects which are constructible. Therefore, the t_{pm} -positive objects are those constructible objects N such that for all constructible object M with $M \leq_{hp} 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(S,R)}(M, N) = 0.$$

But the generators of the t_{hp} are constructible and thus, those objects are exactly the t_{hp} -positive objects which are constructible. \square

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let S be a regular connected scheme and R be a regular ring and let*

$$\eta: \mathrm{Spec}(K) \rightarrow S$$

be the generic point of S . Then, the functor

$$\eta^*: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{lisse}}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{lisse}}(K, R)$$

is conservative and t-exact with respect to the ordinary t-structure.

Proof. The functor η^* is t-exact.

Assume that $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a map in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{lisse}}(S, R)$ such that $\eta^*(f)$ is invertible. Then, for any integer n , the map $H^n(\eta^*(f))$ is invertible. Since the functor η^* is t-exact, the map $\eta^* H^n(f)$ is invertible.

Let ξ be a geometric point with image η . By [GR71, V.8.2], the canonical map $G_K \rightarrow \pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(S, \xi)$ is surjective. Thus, the map

$$\mathrm{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(S, \xi), R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}^A(G_K, R)$$

induced by η^* is conservative. Therefore, for any integer n , the map $H^n(f)$ is invertible. Thus, the map f is invertible. \square

Remark 3.2.3. *Let S be a regular scheme and let R be a regular good ring. Assume that the residue characteristic exponents of S are invertible in R . Then, the perverse homotopy t-structure of $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(S, R)$ induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t,c}^{smA}(S, R)$. If T is a connected component of S , denote by $i_T: T \rightarrow S$ the clopen immersion. The heart of this t-structure is then the full subcategory made of those objects of the form*

$$\bigoplus_{T \in \pi_0(S)} (i_T)_* \rho_! L_T[\delta(T)]$$

where L_T is an object of $\text{Loc}_T(R)$ for any connected component T of S .

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let S be a regular scheme and let R be a regular good ring. Then, the Artin motive $\mathbb{1}_S$ is in degree $\delta(S)$ with respect to the perverse homotopy t-structure.*

Proof. Since S is regular, the function $\delta = -\text{codim}_S$ is a dimension function on S (for which $\delta(S) = 0$). Without loss of generality, we may work with this dimension function. We already know that $\mathbb{1}_S \leq_{hp} 0$ since it is a generator of the t-structure.

Let x be a point of S . Then, by the absolute purity property [CD16, 5.2.7], we have

$$\omega^0 i_x^! \mathbb{1}_S = \omega^0(\mathbb{1}_x(\delta(x)))[2\delta(x)].$$

If $\delta(x) = 0$, the point x is a generic point of S . Therefore, we have

$$\omega^0 i_x^! \mathbb{1}_S = \mathbb{1}_x$$

and therefore, the Artin motive $\omega^0 i_x^! \mathbb{1}_S$ in degree $\delta(x) = 0$.

Assume that $\delta(x) < 0$. Using Theorem 2.2.4, the Artin motive $\omega^0(\mathbb{1}_x(\delta(x)))$ is in degree 1 for the ordinary t-structure. Thus, the Artin motive $\omega^0 i_x^! \mathbb{1}_S$ is in degree $1 - 2\delta(x) \geq -\delta(x)$. \square

Definition 3.2.5. *Let S be a regular scheme and let R be a regular good ring. Assume that the residue characteristic exponents of S are invertible in R . The abelian category of perverse smooth Artin motives $\text{M}_{\text{perv}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$ is the heart of the perverse homotopy t-structure on the stable category $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}A}(S, R)$.*

3.3. Induced t-structure on Constructible Motives with Rational Coefficients and Artin Vanishing Properties.

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let S be an excellent scheme and let K be a number field.*

- (1) *Then, the perverse homotopy t-structure on the stable category $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, K)$ induces a t-structure on the stable subcategory $\text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$.*
- (2) *Let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be a closed immersion and let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the open complement. The sequence*

$$\text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(F, K) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K) \xrightarrow{j^*} \text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(U, K)$$

satisfies the axioms of the gluing formalism of [BBDG18, 1.4.3] and the perverse homotopy t-structure on $\text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$ is obtained by gluing the perverse homotopy t-structures of $\text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(U, K)$ and $\text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(F, K)$ (see [BBDG18, 1.4.9]).

- (3) *Let p be a prime number and let v be a non-archimedean valuation on K which does not extend the p -adic valuation. Assume that the scheme S is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p . Then, the v -adic realization functor*

$$\rho_v: \text{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^A(S, K_v)$$

is t-exact and conservative when the right hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure.

Proof. We can assume that S is reduced. The first part of (2) is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.1.4 and Proposition 2.1.4. To prove the rest of the proposition, we need to adapt the ideas of [BBDG18, 2.2.10] to our setting. This technique is very similar to [Rui22a, 4.7.1].

We first need a few definitions:

- If \mathcal{S} is a stratification of S , we say that a locally closed subscheme X of S is an \mathcal{S} -subscheme of S if X is a union of strata of \mathcal{S} .
- A pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is *admissible* if
 - \mathcal{S} is a stratification of S with regular strata everywhere of the same dimension.
 - for every stratum T of \mathcal{S} , $\mathcal{L}(T)$ is a finite set of isomorphism classes of objects of $M_{\text{perv}}^{\text{smA}}(S, K)$ (see [Definition 3.2.5](#)).
- If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is an admissible pair and X is an \mathcal{S} -subscheme of S , the category $\mathcal{DM}_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}}(X, K)$ of $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible Artin motives over X is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(X, K)$ made of those objects M such that for any stratum T of \mathcal{S} contained in X , the restriction of M to T is a smooth Artin motive and its perverse cohomology sheaves are successive extensions of objects whose isomorphism classes lie in $\mathcal{L}(T)$.
- A pair $(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{L}')$ refines a pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ if every stratum S of \mathcal{S} is a union of strata of \mathcal{S}' and any perverse smooth Artin motive M over a stratum T of \mathcal{S} whose isomorphism class lies in $\mathcal{L}(T)$ is $(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{L}')$ -constructible.

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is an admissible pair and if X is an \mathcal{S} -subscheme of S , the category $\mathcal{DM}_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}}(X, K)$ is a stable subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, K)$ (*i.e.* it is closed under finite (co)limits).

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is an admissible pair and $i: U \rightarrow V$ is an immersion between \mathcal{S} -subschemes of S , the functors $i_!$ and i^* preserve $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible objects.

Now, we say that an admissible pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is *superadmissible* if letting $i: T \rightarrow S$ be the immersion of a stratum T of \mathcal{S} into S and letting M be a perverse smooth Artin motive over T whose isomorphism class lies in $\mathcal{L}(T)$, the complex $\omega^0 i_* M$ is $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible.

We now claim that an admissible pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ can always be refined into a superadmissible one.

Assume that this is true when we replace S with the union of the strata of dimension n or more. Let $i: T \rightarrow S$ and $i': T' \rightarrow S$ be immersions of strata of \mathcal{S} . Let M be a perverse smooth Artin motive on T whose isomorphism class lies in $\mathcal{L}(T)$. If T and T' are of dimension at least n , then, the perverse cohomology sheaves of $(i')^* \omega^0 i_* M$ are obtained as successive extensions of objects of whose isomorphism classes lie in $\mathcal{L}(T')$ by induction.

If $T = T'$, then, by [Proposition 2.1.1](#), we have

$$(i')^* \omega^0 i_* M = \omega^0 i'^* \omega^0 i_* M = \omega^0 i'^* i_* M = M$$

whose isomorphism class lies in $\mathcal{L}(T)$.

Otherwise, if T is of dimension $n - 1$, we can always replace T with an open subset, so that the closure of T is disjoint from T' . Then, by [Proposition 2.1.1](#), we have

$$(i')^* \omega^0 i_* M = \omega^0 (i')^* i_* M = 0.$$

Assume now that T is of dimension n or more and that T' is of dimension $n - 1$. We can replace T' with an open subset so that for any M in $\mathcal{L}(T)$, the motive $(i')^* \omega^0 i_* M$ is smooth Artin by [Propositions 1.4.4](#) and [2.1.4](#). We can also add all the isomorphism classes of the ${}^{hp}H^n((i')^* \omega^0 i_* M)$ to $\mathcal{L}(T')$ as there are finitely many of them.

Hence, any admissible pair can be refined into a superadmissible one.

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is a superadmissible pair and if $i: U \rightarrow V$ is an immersion between \mathcal{S} -subschemes of S , we claim that the functors $\omega^0 i_*$ and $\omega^0 i^!$ preserve $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructibility. The proof is the same as [[BBDG18](#), 2.1.13] using as above the commutation of ω^0 with the six functors described in [Proposition 2.1.1](#).

Hence, if $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is a superadmissible pair, we can define a t-structure on $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible objects by gluing the perverse homotopy t-structures on the strata. By

Proposition 3.2.1, the positive (resp. negative) objects of this t-structure are the positive (resp. negative) objects of the perverse homotopy t-structure that are $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible.

Thus, the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible objects. Therefore, the subcategory of $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible objects is stable under the truncation functors of the perverse homotopy t-structure.

Any object M of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, K)$ is $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible for some superadmissible pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$. Indeed, by [Proposition 1.4.4](#), there is an admissible pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ such that M is $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ -constructible. Such a pair is can always be refined into one that is superadmissible.

Hence, $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, K)$ is stable under the truncation functors of the perverse homotopy t-structure. Therefore, the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, K)$.

Now, the second part (2) follows from [Proposition 3.1.4](#). Finally the third assertion follows from the construction of the perverse homotopy t-structure on constructible objects that we used to prove the first assertion, from the same construction in the ℓ -adic setting of [\[Rui22a, 4.7.1\]](#) and from the fact that the functor ω^0 commutes with the ℓ -adic realization functor by [\[Rui22a, 4.6.3\]](#). \square

Remark 3.3.2. *Another possible proof of the first assertion is to show that the perverse homotopy t-structure can be constructed on constructible Artin motives by using Vaish's formalism of punctual gluing [\[Vai19, 3\]](#).*

Using the same proof as [\[Rui22b, 4.2.4\]](#), replacing [\[Rui22b, 4.1.5\]](#) with [Proposition 3.1.7](#) yields

Corollary 3.3.3. *Let S be an excellent scheme and let R be a ring. Then, the perverse homotopy t-structure on the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ induces a t-structure on the stable subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, \mathbb{Q}-c}^A(S, R)$.*

We now prove the Affine Lefschetz Theorem (also known as the Artin Vanishing Theorem) for Artin motives which are analogs of [\[AGV73, XIV.3.1\]](#), [\[ILO14, XV.1.1.2\]](#) and [\[BBDG18, 4.1.1\]](#) in the setting of Artin motives. We begin with the case of schemes of dimension at most 1.

Proposition 3.3.4. *Let S be an excellent scheme, let $f: U \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite morphism and let K be a number field. Assume that $\dim(S) \leq 1$, then, the functor*

$$f_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(U, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(S, K)$$

is t_{hp} -exact.

Proof. [Corollary 3.1.3](#) implies that when g is a finite morphism, the functor $g_!$ is perverse homotopy t-exact. When the scheme S is 0-dimensional, the morphism f is finite and the result holds.

Assume that the scheme S is 1-dimensional. Zariski's Main Theorem [\[Gro67, 18.12.13\]](#) provides a finite morphism g and an open immersion $j: U \rightarrow X$ such that $f = g \circ j$. Therefore it suffices to show that the functor

$$j_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(U, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(X, K)$$

is t_{hp} -exact.

We already know by [Proposition 3.1.2](#) that $j_!$ is right t-exact.

Let M be a constructible Artin motive over U . Assume that M is t_{hp} -non-negative. We want to show that the Artin motive $j_!(M)$ is t_{hp} -non-negative.

Let x be a point of X . Using [Proposition 3.2.1](#), we can replace X with a neighborhood of x . Since the scheme X is 1-dimensional, we can therefore assume that the complement of U is the closed subscheme $\{x\}$ and replace U with any neighborhood of the generic points. In particular, we can assume that U is nil-regular and that M is smooth Artin using the continuity property of [\[Rui22b, 1.4.2\]](#).

Let $i: \{x\} \rightarrow X$ be the canonical immersion. Applying the localization triangle [\(0.0.1\)](#) to the Artin motive $\omega^0 j_*(M)$ yields an exact triangle

$$j_!(M) \rightarrow \omega^0 j_*(M) \rightarrow i_* i^* \omega^0 j_*(M).$$

By [Proposition 3.1.2](#), the motive $\omega^0 j_*(M)$ is t_{hp} -non-negative. Furthermore, [Proposition 2.1.1](#) ensures that

$$i^* \omega^0 j_*(M) = \omega^0 i^* j_*(M).$$

Using [Proposition 2.3.1](#), the Artin motive $\omega^0 i^* j_*(M)$ is in degree at least $\delta(X)$ with respect to the ordinary homotopy t-structure. Therefore, we get

$$\omega^0 i^* j_*(M) \geq_{hp} -1.$$

This ensures that the Artin motive $j_!(M)$ is perverse homotopy t-non-negative. \square

Proposition 3.3.5. (*Affine Lefschetz Theorem for Artin motives*) *Let S be an excellent scheme, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite affine morphism and let K be a number field. Assume that X is nil-regular and that we are in one of the following cases*

- (a) *We have $\dim(S) \leq 2$.*
- (b) *There is a prime number p such that the scheme S is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Then, the functor

$$f_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(X, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$$

is t_{hp} -exact.

Proof. We can assume that the scheme S is connected. As in the proof of [Proposition 3.3.4](#), it suffices to show that if $j: U \rightarrow S$ is an affine open immersion such that the scheme U is nil-regular and if M is a t_{hp} -non-negative smooth Artin motive over U , the Artin motive $j_! M$ is t_{hp} -non-negative.

Suppose that Assumption (b) holds, *i.e.* there is a prime number p such that the scheme S is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p . Let v be a non-archimedean valuation on K which does not extend the p -adic valuation. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(U, K) & \xrightarrow{j_!} & \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K) \\ \downarrow \rho_v & & \downarrow \rho_v \\ \mathcal{D}^{\text{sm}A}(U, K_v) & \xrightarrow{j_!} & \mathcal{D}^A(S, K_v) \end{array}$$

such that the vertical arrows are conservative and t-exact when the categories which appear in the bottom row are endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure. Using [\[Rui22b, 1.1.6\]](#), it suffices to show that the functor $j_!$ is t-exact which follows from [\[Rui22a, 4.8.12\]](#).

We now suppose that Assumption (a) holds *i.e.* that $\dim(S) \leq 2$. By [Proposition 3.3.4](#), we can assume that $\dim(S) = 2$. Take the convention that $\delta(S) = 2$. Let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be the reduced complementary closed immersion of j . As in the proof of [Proposition 3.3.4](#), it suffices to show that the functor $\omega^0 i^* j_*$ has cohomological amplitude bounded below by -1 .

Step 1: In this step, we show that we can assume that the scheme S is normal.

Let $\nu: \hat{S} \rightarrow S$ be the normalization of S . Write

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_{\text{red}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \hat{S} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \hat{F} \\ \nu_U \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \nu_F \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & F \end{array}$$

the commutative diagram such that both squares are cartesian. Notice that U_{red} is the reduced scheme associated to U and therefore, the functor $(\nu_U)_*$ is a t-exact equivalence. Hence, it suffices to show that the functor $\omega^0 i^* j_* (\nu_U)_*$ has cohomological amplitude bounded below by -1 . This functor is equivalent to $\omega^0 (\nu_F)_* \iota^* \gamma_*$. Since ν_F is a finite morphism, the functor $(\nu_F)_*$ is t_{hp} -exact by [Corollary 3.1.3](#) and commutes with ω^0 by [Proposition 2.1.1](#). Therefore, suffices to show that the functor $\omega^0 \iota^* \gamma_*$ has cohomological amplitude bounded below by -1 . Therefore, we can assume that the scheme S is normal.

Step 2: In this step, we show that it suffices to show that $\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U \geq_{hp} 1$.

Using [Proposition 3.1.6](#), the objects of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{smA}(U, K)$ are bounded with respect to the perverse homotopy t-structure. Therefore, it suffices to show that the objects of the heart of the t-category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{smA}(U, R)$ are sent to objects of degree at least -1 with respect to the perverse homotopy t-structure. Letting ξ be a geometric point of U , the heart of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{smA}(U, R)$ is equivalent to $\text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U, \xi), R)$ by [Theorem 1.4.10](#). Since K is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, the latter a semi-simple category by Maschke's Theorem. Thus, every object of the heart is a retract of an object of the form $f_* \mathbb{1}_V[2]$ with $f: V \rightarrow U$ finite and étale. Therefore, it suffice to show that $\omega^0 i^* j_* f_* \mathbb{1}_V \geq_{hp} 1$.

Let $\bar{f}: S_V \rightarrow S$ be the normalization of S in V . Write

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & S_V & \xleftarrow{\iota} & F_V \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow p \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & F \end{array}$$

the commutative diagram such that both squares are cartesian. By proper base change, we get

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* f_* \mathbb{1}_V = \omega^0 p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_V.$$

Since the map p is finite, the functor p_* is t_{hp} -exact by [Corollary 3.1.3](#) and commutes with the functor ω^0 by [Proposition 2.1.1](#). Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\omega^0 \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_V \geq_{hp} 1.$$

Hence, we can assume that $V = U$.

Step 3: Since the scheme S is normal its singular point are in codimension 2. Let x be a point of S . Using [Proposition 3.2.1](#), we can replace S with a neighborhood of x . We can therefore assume that the singular locus of S is either empty or the single point x . Let $f: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities of S such that E is a simple normal crossing divisor. Since the scheme S is excellent, such a resolution exists by Lipman's Theorem on embedded resolution of singularities (see [[Sta23](#), 0BGP,0BIC,0ADX]). Write

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{S} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & E \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow p \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & F \end{array}$$

the commutative diagram such that both squares are cartesian.

Then, by proper base change, we get

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 p_* l^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U.$$

The latter is by [Proposition 2.1.1](#) equivalent to $\omega^0 p_* l^* \omega^0 \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U$ which by [Lemma 2.3.2](#) is equivalent to $\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E \geq_{hp} 1$.

Write $E = \bigcup_{i \in J} E_i$ with J finite, where the E_i are regular and of codimension 1, the $E_{ij} = E_i \cap E_j$ are of codimension 2 and regular if $i \neq j$ and the intersections of 3 distinct E_i are empty. By cdh-descent, we have an exact triangle

$$\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} \omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}.$$

Since we have $\delta(E_i) = 1$ for any i and since $\delta(E_{ij}) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, [Propositions 3.1.2](#) and [3.2.4](#) imply that the Artin motives $\omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i}$ and $(p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}$ are t_{hp} -non-negative. Hence, we get $\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E \geq_{hp} 0$ and that ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$ is the kernel of the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in J} {}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}.$$

Hence, to finish the proof, it suffices to show that the kernel of the above map vanishes.

Let

$$F_0 = \{y \in F \mid \dim(f^{-1}(y)) > 0\}.$$

The scheme F_0 is 0-dimensional. Since we can work locally around x , we may assume that F_0 is either empty or the single point x and that the image of any E_{ij} through the map p is $\{x\}$.

Assume that the scheme F_0 is empty, then, the morphism p is finite. Furthermore, for any index i in J , the Artin motive $\mathbb{1}_{E_i}$ is in degree 1 with respect to the perverse homotopy t-structure by [Proposition 3.2.4](#), therefore, the Artin motive ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i})$ vanishes. Thus, the motive ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$ vanishes.

Assume now that $F_0 = \{x\}$. Let

$$I = \{i \in J \mid p(E_i) = \{x\}\}$$

and let $k: \{x\} \rightarrow F$ be the inclusion. If an index i belongs to $J \setminus I$, the morphism p_i is finite and therefore, the motive ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i})$ vanishes.

If an index i belongs to I , let

$$E_i \rightarrow G_i \xrightarrow{g_i} \{x\}$$

be the Stein factorization of p_i . [Corollary 2.2.8](#) yields

$${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i}) = k_* (g_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{G_i}$$

and therefore

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} {}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i}) = k_* \bigoplus_{i \in I} (g_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{G_i}.$$

Now, if $i \neq j$, the morphism p_{ij} factors through $\{x\}$, so that we have a morphism $g_{ij}: E_{ij} \rightarrow \{x\}$ with $p_{ij} = k \circ g_{ij}$.

We have

$$\bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}} = k_* \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} (g_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}.$$

Hence, the Artin motive ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$ is the kernel of the map

$$k_* \bigoplus_{i \in I} (g_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{G_i} \rightarrow k_* \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} (g_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}.$$

Since the functor k_* is t-exact, we get ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E) = k_* P$ with P the kernel of the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} (g_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{G_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} (g_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}.$$

Let $\kappa = k(x)$ and let $\Gamma = G_\kappa$. Recall that if $g: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\kappa)$ is a finite morphism, the Artin motive $g_* \mathbb{1}_X$ corresponds to the Artin representation $K[X_\kappa]$ of Γ through the equivalence $\alpha_!$ (see [Notations 2.2.7](#)). Hence, we have

$$P = \alpha_! P'$$

where P' is the kernel of the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} K[(G_i)_\kappa] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} K[(E_{ij})_\kappa]$$

of Artin representations of Γ with coefficients in K .

Now, since K is flat over \mathbb{Z} , we have

$$P' = P_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$$

where P_0 is the kernel of the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}[(G_i)_\kappa] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \mathbb{Z}[(E_{ij})_\kappa].$$

Since the underlying \mathbb{Z} -module of P_0 is of finite type, and since K is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, it suffices to show that P_0 is of rank 0.

Denote by N the $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}[(G_i)_\kappa]$, by Q the $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module $\bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \mathbb{Z}[(E_{ij})_\kappa]$ and by R the image of N through the map $N \rightarrow Q$.

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.$$

Let ℓ be a prime number, let n be a positive integer and denote by Λ the ring $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$. We get an exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(R, \Lambda) \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \rightarrow N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume that the map $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \rightarrow Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ is injective. Then, the induced map $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ is also injective and we get a surjection

$$\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(R, \Lambda) \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda.$$

Since $\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(R, \Lambda)$ is the ℓ^n -torsion subgroup of R and since the latter is of finite type, there is an integer n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$, the group $\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(R, \Lambda)$ is of ℓ^{n_0} -torsion. If P_0 is not of rank 0, the group $P_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ is not of ℓ^{n_0} -torsion for $n > n_0$.

Hence, to show that P_0 is of rank 0 and to finish the proof, it suffices to show that there is a prime number ℓ such that for any positive integer n , letting $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$, the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda[(G_i)_\kappa] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \Lambda[(E_{ij})_\kappa]$$

has a trivial kernel.

Step 4: Let ℓ be a prime number which is invertible in κ . Replacing S with a neighborhood of x if needed, we can assume that ℓ is invertible on S . Let n be a positive integer. Denote by Λ the ring $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$. In this step, we show that the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda[(G_i)_\kappa] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \Lambda[(E_{ij})_\kappa]$$

has trivial kernel.

The classical Affine Lefschetz Theorem [ILO14, XV.1.1.2] on $\mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \Lambda)$ endowed with its perverse t-structure ensures that

$${}^p H^0(i^* j_* \underline{\Lambda}) = 0.$$

As before, the sheaf $i^* j_* \underline{\Lambda}$ is equivalent to the sheaf $p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \underline{\Lambda}$. Now, the localization triangle in $\mathcal{D}_c^b(S, \Lambda)$ yields an exact triangle

$$\iota_* \iota^! \underline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \underline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \gamma_* \underline{\Lambda}.$$

Applying $p_* \iota^*$, we get an exact triangle

$$p_* \iota^! \underline{\Lambda} \rightarrow p_* \underline{\Lambda} \rightarrow p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \underline{\Lambda}.$$

Furthermore, by cdh descent, we have an exact triangle

$$\bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} (p_{ij})_* \underline{\Lambda}(-2)[-4] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} (p_i)_* \underline{\Lambda}(-1)[-2] \rightarrow p_* \iota^! \underline{\Lambda}$$

Thus, the perverse sheaf ${}^p H^k(p_* \iota^! \underline{\Lambda})$ vanishes if $k < 2$. Therefore, the map

$${}^p H^0(p_* \underline{\Lambda}) \rightarrow {}^p H^0(p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \underline{\Lambda})$$

is an equivalence and therefore, the perverse sheaf ${}^p H^0(p_* \underline{\Lambda})$ vanishes.

Finally, the same method as in the third step of our lemma shows that

$${}^p H^0(p_* \underline{\Lambda}) = k_* P_\Lambda$$

where P_Λ is the étale sheaf of $\kappa_{\text{ét}}$ which corresponds through Galois-Grothendieck theory to the kernel P'_Λ of the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda[(G_i)_{\bar{\kappa}}] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \Lambda[(E_{ij})_{\bar{\kappa}}]$$

of Γ -modules. Therefore, the Γ -module P'_Λ vanishes which finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3.6. *Let k be a sub-field of \mathbb{C} , let S be a k -scheme of finite type, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite affine morphism and let K be a number field. By using the Betti realization instead of the v -adic realization and by adapting the proof of to the setting of Mixed Hodge Modules or Nori motives, it should be possible to prove that the functor*

$$f_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(X, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$$

is perverse homotopy t -exact.

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3.7. *Let S be an excellent scheme, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite morphism of schemes and let R be a regular good ring. Assume that X is nil-regular and that we are in one of the following cases*

- (a) *We have $\dim(S) \leq 2$.*
- (b) *There is a prime number p such that the scheme S is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Then, the functor

$$f_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},\mathbb{Q}-c}^{\text{sm}A}(X, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},\mathbb{Q}-c}^A(X, R)$$

is t_{hp} -exact.

Proof. Proposition 3.1.2 implies that the functor $f_!$ is right t_{hp} -exact. Hence, it suffices to show that it is left t_{hp} -exact.

Let M be a \mathbb{Q} -constructible motive over X which is t_{hp} -non-negative. We have an exact triangle

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1] \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

By Proposition 3.1.7, the motive $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is t_{hp} -non-negative. Since the subcategory of t_{hp} -non-negative is closed under limits, the motive $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1]$ is also t_{hp} -non-negative.

Furthermore, we have an exact triangle

$$f_!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1]) \rightarrow f_!(M) \rightarrow f_!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}).$$

By Proposition 3.3.5, the motive $f_!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ is t_{hp} -non-negative and by Proposition 1.3.9, the motive $f_!(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1])$ is t_{hp} -non-negative. Since the subcategory of t_{hp} -non-negative Artin motives is closed under extensions, the motive $f_!(M)$ is also t_{hp} -non-negative. \square

We also have a t_{hp} -exactness result about the functor $\omega^0 f_*$ when f is a proper morphism.

Proposition 3.3.8. *Let S be a scheme allowing resolution of singularities by alterations, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism and let K be a number field. Then, the functor*

$$\omega^0 f_*: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(X, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$$

is right t_{hp} -exact.

Proof. Recall that since f is proper, we have

$$\omega^0 f_* = \omega^0 f_!.$$

Since the functor $f_!$ is a left adjoint functor, it respects small colimits. Furthermore, with rational coefficients, the functor ω^0 also respects small colimits by [Pep19b, 3.5] and the proof applies in the setting of motives with coefficients in K . Hence, it suffices to show that the Artin motives of the form $\omega^0 f_* h_X(Y)[\delta(Y)]$ with Y finite over S are perverse homotopy t-non-positive. Hence, replacing X with Y , it suffices to show that the Artin motive $\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X[\delta(X)]$ is perverse homotopy t-non-positive.

This follows from Lemma 3.3.9. \square

Lemma 3.3.9. *Let K be a number field and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type. Then, the Artin motive $\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X[\delta(X)]$ belongs to the smallest subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$ which is closed under finite colimits, extensions and retracts and contains the motives of the form $g_* \mathbb{1}_Y[\delta(Y)]$ with $g: Y \rightarrow S$ finite.*

Proof. We now proceed by noetherian induction on X . If $\dim(X) = 0$, the morphism f is finite and the result follows from Corollary 3.1.3.

Step 1: Assume first that the map f is proper.

Using the induction hypothesis and cdh-descent, we can assume the scheme X to be normal. Let $q: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities by alterations and let $q': X' \rightarrow X$ be the relative normalization of X in $\widetilde{X} \setminus B$ where B is the inverse image of the singular locus of X . Using [Ayo07, 2.1.165], the motive $\omega^0 f_* q'_* \mathbb{1}_{X'}$ is a retract of the motive $\omega^0 f_* q_* \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}}$. Hence, using the induction hypothesis and cdh-descent, we can assume the scheme X to be regular and connected.

Let $\eta = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$ be a generic point of S . Let $f_{\mathbb{K}}: X_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be the pullback of f to \mathbb{K} . De Jong's resolution of singularities yield a proper alteration $X''_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that the map $X_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{K}}$ is proper and birational, the map $X''_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite morphism which

is generically the composition of an étale cover and of a purely inseparable morphism and such that the structural map $X''_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is the composition of a purely inseparable morphism and of a smooth morphism. Therefore by [Gro66, 8], we have a dense open subset U and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X''_U & \xrightarrow{q} & X'_U & \xrightarrow{p} & X_U \\ & \searrow f''_U & \downarrow f'_U & \swarrow f_U & \\ & & U & & \end{array}$$

such that the map f_U is the pullback of the map f to U , the map q is proper and birational, the map q is finite and is generically the composition of an étale Galois cover and of a purely inseparable morphism and the map f''_U is the composition of a purely inseparable morphism and of a smooth morphism.

Shrinking U if needed, we can assume that it is regular. Denote by $j: U \rightarrow S$ the immersion, by $i: F \rightarrow S$ its reduced closed complement and by $f_F: X_F \rightarrow F$ the pullback of f along the map i . By cdh-descent and induction, we can assume that the scheme X_F is simple normal crossing in X by replacing X with some abstract blow-up.

By localization, we have an exact triangle

$$i_* i^! f_* \mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow j_*(f_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X_U}.$$

By [Corollary 2.2.13](#), the motive $\omega^0 i^! f_* \mathbb{1}_X$ vanishes since the scheme X is regular and since X_F is a simple normal crossing divisor in X . This yields an equivalence

$$\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X = \omega^0 j_*(f_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X_U}$$

By [Proposition 2.1.1](#), we therefore get that

$$\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X = \omega^0 j_* \omega^0 (f_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X_U}.$$

Let Z be the complement of the open subset of X_U over which the map p is an isomorphism and let Z' be its pullback along the map p . By cdh-descent, and by using the induction hypothesis on the structural maps $Z \rightarrow U \rightarrow S$ and $Z' \rightarrow U \rightarrow S$, it suffices to show that

$$\omega^0 j_* \omega^0 (f'_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X'_U}[\delta(X)] \leq_{hp} 0.$$

Furthermore, [Ayo07, 2.1.165] implies that the motive $\omega^0 (f'_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X'_U}$ is a retract of the motive $\omega^0 (f''_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X''_U}$ and therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\omega^0 j_* \omega^0 (f''_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X''_U}[\delta(X)] \leq_{hp} 0.$$

Since the map f''_U is the composition of a smooth and proper morphism and of a purely inseparable morphism, letting

$$X''_U \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{g} U$$

be the Stein factorization of f''_U yields by [Proposition 2.1.1\(5\)](#) that

$$\omega^0 (f''_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{X''_U} = g_* \mathbb{1}_Y.$$

On the other hand, the localization property also gives an exact triangle

$$j! g_* \mathbb{1}_Y \rightarrow \omega^0 j_* g_* \mathbb{1}_Y \rightarrow i_* \omega^0 i^* j_* g_* \mathbb{1}_Y$$

and therefore, using [Proposition 3.1.2](#), it suffices to show that

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* g_* \mathbb{1}_Y[\delta(X) - 1] \leq_{hp} 0.$$

Let Y_1 be a relative normalization of S in Y and let \overline{Y} be a resolution of singularities by alterations of Y_1 . Let $k: \overline{Y} \rightarrow S$ be the structural map. The scheme \overline{Y} is regular and there is a map $e: Y' \rightarrow Y$ which the composition of a finite étale cover and of a purely inseparable morphism such that we have a comutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \overline{Y} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & Y_F \\ g \circ e \downarrow & & \downarrow k & & \downarrow g_F \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & F \end{array}$$

which is made of cartesian square.

The Artin motive $\omega^0 i^* j_* g_* \mathbb{1}_Y$ is by [Ayo07, 2.1.165] a retract of the motive $\omega^0 i^* j_* (g \circ e)_* \mathbb{1}_{Y'}$ and by proper base change, we get

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* (g \circ e)_* \mathbb{1}_{Y'} = \omega^0 (g_F)_* \omega^0 \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_Y.$$

But using Proposition 2.1.1 and lemma 2.3.2, we have

$$\omega^0 \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_Y = \iota^* \omega^0 \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_Y = \mathbb{1}_{Y_F}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\omega^0 (g_F)_* \mathbb{1}_{Y_F}[\delta(X) - 1] \leq_{hp} 0.$$

Since

$$\dim(Y_F) \leq \dim(Y) - 1 \leq \dim(X) - 1,$$

the result follows by induction.

Step 2: Assume now that the map f is a general morphism.

Using Nagata's Theorem [Sta23, 0F41], we can write

$$f = j \circ \overline{f}$$

where \overline{f} is proper and j is an open immersion. Therefore, we can assume that $f = j: U \rightarrow S$ is an open immersion.

We want to show that

$$\omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U[\delta(U)] \geq_{hp} 0.$$

Let now $p: Y \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities by alterations. We can proceed as before to show that the motive $\omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U$ is a retract of the motive $\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_Y$. The result then follows from the case of proper morphisms. \square

Corollary 3.3.10. *Let S be a scheme allowing resolution of singularities by alteration, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite morphism of schemes and let K be a number field. Assume that X is nil-regular.*

Then, the functor

$$\omega^0 f_*: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{smA}(X, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, K)$$

is t-exact when both sides are endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure.

Proof. Using Proposition 3.1.6, the objects of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{smA}(X, K)$ are bounded with respect to the perverse homotopy t-structure. Therefore, it suffices to show that the objects of the heart of the t-category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{smA}(X, R)$ are sent to objects of degree 0 with respect to the perverse homotopy t-structure. Since the latter is a semi-simple category by Maschke's Theorem, every object of the heart is a retract of an object of the form $g_* \mathbb{1}_V[\delta(X)]$ with $g: V \rightarrow X$ finite and étale. Therefore, it suffice to show that

$$\omega^0 f_* g_* \mathbb{1}_V[\delta(V)] \geq_{hp} 0$$

which follows from [Lemma 3.3.9](#). \square

3.4. Induced t-structure on Constructible Artin Motives over a Base Scheme of Dimension at most 2.

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let S be an excellent scheme of dimension 2 or less and let R be a regular good ring, then, the perverse homotopy t-structure on the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ induces a t-structure on the stable subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)$.*

Proof. We can assume that S is reduced and connected. We proceed by noetherian induction on S . If $\dim(S) = 0$, the result follows from [Proposition 3.1.8](#).

Assume now that $\dim(S) > 0$. If \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} , if X is a scheme and if M is an Artin motive over X , we denote by $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the image of M in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we say that an object N of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is constructible when it belongs to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(X, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Recall that an Artin motive M is \mathfrak{p} -constructible when $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is constructible. By [[CD16](#), B.1.7], it suffices to show that for any constructible Artin motive M , the Artin motive ${}^{hp}\tau_{\leq 0}(M)$ is \mathfrak{p} -constructible for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{Z} .

Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z} . By [[Rui22b](#), 1.1.8], there is a unique t-structure on the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that the canonical functor

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is t-exact. We still call this t-structure the *perverse homotopy t-structure*. If M is an Artin motive, we get by t-exactness of the functor $M \mapsto M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ that

$${}^{hp}\tau_{\leq 0}(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = {}^{hp}\tau_{\leq 0}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Hence, if the perverse homotopy t-structure on the stable category $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ induces a t-structure on the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then the Artin motive ${}^{hp}\tau_{\leq 0}(M)$ is \mathfrak{p} -constructible for any Artin motive M .

Therefore, it suffices to show that the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{Z} .

The properties of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},(c)}^A(-, R)$ transfer to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},(c)}^A(-, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$: we get functors of the form f^* for any morphism f , functors of the form $\omega^0 f_*$ for any morphism of finite type f , functors of the form $f_!$ and $\omega^0 f^!$ for any quasi-finite morphism f and localization triangles. The t-exactness properties of [Proposition 3.1.2](#) and [corollary 3.1.3](#) and the Affine Lefschetz Theorem ([Theorem 3.3.7](#)) remain true in this setting.

Let p be a generator of \mathfrak{p} . If p is invertible on a regular connected scheme X , the functor $\rho_!$ of [Section 1.2.1](#) induces a t-exact fully faithful functor

$$\rho_! : \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lisse}}(X, R)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(X, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

when the left hand side is endowed with the ordinary t-structure shifted by $\delta(X)$ and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure. Indeed, the full faithfulness follows from [[Rui22b](#), 4.2.8] while the t-exactness follows from [Proposition 3.2.1](#) and [[Rui22b](#), 1.1.9].

On the other hand, if a regular scheme X is of characteristic p , the functor $\rho_!$ induces a t-exact fully faithful functor

$$\rho_! : \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}_{\text{lisse}}(X, R[1/p])_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(X, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

when the left hand side is endowed with the ordinary t-structure shifted by $\delta(X)$ and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure. Indeed, the full faithfulness follows from [Theorem 1.4.10](#) while the t-exactness follows from [Proposition 3.2.1](#).

We say that a connected scheme X has the property \mathcal{P} if one of the two following properties hold:

- The scheme X is of characteristic p .
- The prime number p is invertible on X .

We say that a scheme X has the property \mathcal{P} if all its connected components have the property \mathcal{P} . The above discussion implies that in that case, the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(X, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we let $M_{\text{perv}}^{\text{sm}A}(X, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the heart of this t-structure.

Notice furthermore that if F is any closed subscheme of S which is of positive codimension, the induction hypothesis and [Rui22b, 1.1.8] imply that the perverse homotopy t-structure induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We let $M_{\text{perv}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the heart of this induced t-structure.

Using Lemma 3.4.2 below, it suffices to show that if $j: U \rightarrow S$ is a dense affine open immersion with U regular and having the property \mathcal{P} , letting $i: F \rightarrow S$ be the reduced closed complementary immersion, for any object M of $M_{\text{perv}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, any object N of $M_{\text{perv}}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and any map

$$f: M \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* N),$$

the kernel K of f is a constructible Artin motive.

If $\dim(S) = 1$, the scheme F is 0-dimensional. For any profinite group G and any noetherian ring Λ , the subcategory $\text{Rep}^A(G, \Lambda)$ of $\text{Mod}(G, \Lambda)$ is Serre. Therefore, Proposition 3.1.8 and Theorem 1.4.10 imply that the subcategory $M_{\text{perv}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\heartsuit}$ is Serre. Since K is a subobject of M , it is an object of $M_{\text{perv}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and thus it is constructible.

If $\dim(S) = 2$, the scheme F is of dimension at most 1.

By Lemma 3.4.3 below, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \xrightarrow{u} M_1 \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* N) \xrightarrow{v} M_2$$

in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\heartsuit}$ such that M_1 and M_2 are perverse Artin motives. Let K_1 be the kernel of the map

$$M \xrightarrow{f} {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* N) \rightarrow M_2,$$

it is a perverse Artin motive. Furthermore, the map $f_0: K_1 \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* N)$ induced by f factors through M_1 since

$$u \circ f_0 = 0$$

and the kernel of the induced map

$$K_1 \rightarrow M_1$$

is K which is therefore a perverse Artin motive. \square

Lemma 3.4.2. *Let S be a reduced excellent scheme, let R be a regular good ring, let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z} and let p be a generator of \mathfrak{p} .*

Assume that:

- (i) *The perverse homotopy t-structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ induces a t-structure on the subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any closed subscheme F of S which is of positive codimension.*

(ii) If $j: U \rightarrow S$ is a dense affine open immersion with U regular and having the property \mathcal{P} , letting $i: F \rightarrow S$ be the reduced closed complementary immersion, for any object M of $M_{\text{perv}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, any object N of $M_{\text{perv}}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and any map

$$f: M \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* N),$$

the kernel of f is a perverse Artin motive on F .

Then, the perverse homotopy t -structure induces a t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. If $j: U \rightarrow S$ is an open immersion, denote by $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c,U}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ made of those objects M such that j^*M belongs to $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Using Proposition 1.4.4, every object of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ lies in some $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c,U}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some dense open immersion $j: U \rightarrow S$. Shrinking U if needed, we can assume that U is regular since S is excellent. Shrinking U further, we can assume that it has the property \mathcal{P} . Finally, using [Rui22a, 3.3.5], we may assume that the morphism j is affine.

Therefore, it suffices to show that for any dense affine open immersion $j: U \rightarrow S$ with U regular and having the property \mathcal{P} , the perverse homotopy t -structure induces a t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c,U}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let now $j: U \rightarrow S$ be such an immersion, let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be the reduced closed complementary immersion. We let

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$,
- $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(U, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$,
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The category \mathcal{S} is a gluing of the pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ along the fully faithful functors $\omega^0 j_*$ and i_* in the sense of [Lur17, A.8.1] and the perverse homotopy t -structure on \mathcal{S} is obtained by gluing the perverse t -structures of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} in the sense of [BBDG18, 1.4.10] by Proposition 3.1.4. In addition, the perverse homotopy t -structure induces a t -structure on \mathcal{U}_0 and on \mathcal{F}_0 by assumption. Furthermore, since the morphism j is affine, the functor $j_!: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is t -exact.

Finally Proposition 3.1.6 ensures that the objects of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are bounded with respect to the perverse homotopy t -structure. We conclude by using [Rui22a, 3.3.6] \square

Lemma 3.4.3. *Let S be a reduced excellent connected scheme of dimension 2, let R be a regular good ring, let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be a dense affine open immersion with U regular and having property \mathcal{P} , let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be the reduced closed complementary immersion and let N be an object of $M_{\text{perv}}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Then, the object ${}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^ j_* N)$ fits in $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\heartsuit}$ into an exact sequence of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* N) \rightarrow M_2$$

where M_1 and M_2 belong to $M_{\text{perv}}^A(F, R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. We use the convention that $\delta(S) = 2$. Replacing S with the closure of a connected component of U , we may assume that U is connected. Thus, since U is regular and has property \mathcal{P} , we have

$$M_{\text{perv}}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \rho_! \text{Loc}_U(\Lambda_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}[2]$$

where $\Lambda_0 = R$ if p is invertible on U and $\Lambda_0 = R[1/p]$ if U is of characteristic p .

Notice that the result holds when the ring R is torsion by Proposition 2.1.6 and therefore, we can assume that the ring R is the localization of the ring of integers of a number field K .

Denote by Λ the ring $\Lambda_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. By [Rui22b, 3.1.12 & 1.1.8], the canonical functor

$$\mathrm{Loc}_U(\Lambda_0)_p \rightarrow \mathrm{Loc}_U(\Lambda)$$

is an equivalence.

Step 1: Let ξ be a geometric point of U and let

$$\varepsilon_! : \mathrm{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \xi), \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{Loc}_U(\Lambda)$$

be the inverse of the equivalence of Theorem 1.4.10, let $\alpha_! = \varepsilon_! \rho_!$ and let $\alpha^!$ be the inverse of $\alpha_!$.

Let $P = \alpha^! N[-2]$ and let P_{tors} be the sub-representation of P made of the torsion elements. There is a positive integer n such that $p^n P_{\mathrm{tors}}$ vanishes; moreover, the $\Lambda[\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \xi)]$ -module P_{tors} is an Artin representation. Therefore, the $\Lambda[\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \xi)]$ -module P/P_{tors} is also an Artin representation and it is torsion free.

Using the Affine Lefschetz Theorem (Theorem 3.3.7), the functor $\omega^0 i^* j_*$ is of perverse homotopy cohomological amplitude bounded below by -1 . Therefore, the exact triangle

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! P_{\mathrm{tors}}[2] \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* N \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! (P/P_{\mathrm{tors}})[2]$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! P_{\mathrm{tors}}) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* N) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! (P/P_{\mathrm{tors}})).$$

Using Proposition 2.1.6, the object ${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! P_{\mathrm{tors}})$ lies in $M_{\mathrm{perv}}^A(F, R)_p$ and therefore, it suffices to prove that the object ${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! (P/P_{\mathrm{tors}}))$ of $\mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(F, R)_p^\heartsuit$ is a subobject of an object of $M_{\mathrm{perv}}^A(F, R)_p$.

Since the Artin representation P/P_{tors} is torsion free, the canonical map

$$P/P_{\mathrm{tors}} \rightarrow P/P_{\mathrm{tors}} \otimes_{\Lambda} K$$

is injective. The category $\mathrm{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \xi), K)$ is semi-simple by Maschke's lemma. Therefore, the representation $P/P_{\mathrm{tors}} \otimes_{\Lambda} K$ is a direct factor of a representation

$$Q_K := K[G_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus K[G_n]$$

where G_1, \dots, G_n are finite quotients of $\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \xi)$.

Denote by λ_K the map $P/P_{\mathrm{tors}} \otimes_{\Lambda} K \rightarrow Q_K$. There is an integer m such that the image of P/P_{tors} in Q_K is contained in the lattice

$$Q_0 := \frac{1}{p^m} (\Lambda[G_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda[G_n])$$

in Q_K . The representation Q_0 is isomorphic to $\Lambda[G_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda[G_n]$. Thus, we get an embedding

$$P/P_{\mathrm{tors}} \rightarrow \Lambda[G_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda[G_n].$$

Since the functor $\omega^0 i^* j_*$ is of perverse homotopy cohomological amplitude bounded below by -1 , we get an embedding

$${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! (P/P_{\mathrm{tors}})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \alpha_! \Lambda[G_i]).$$

Furthermore, if $1 \leq i \leq n$, the motive $\alpha_! \Lambda[G_i]$ is of the form $f_* \mathbb{1}_V$ where $f: V \rightarrow U$ is a finite étale map.

Therefore, it suffices to show that the following claim: "If $f: V \rightarrow U$ is a finite étale map, and if $N = f_* \mathbb{1}_V$, the object ${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* N)$ belongs to $M_{\mathrm{perv}}^A(F, R)_p$."

Step 2: In this step we show that to prove the above claim, we can assume S to be normal and that $N = \mathbb{1}_U$.

Let \bar{V} be the relative normalization of S in V . Since S is excellent and noetherian, it is Nagata by [Sta23, 033Z]. Thus, [Sta23, 0AVK] ensures that the structural map $\nu : \bar{V} \rightarrow S$ is finite. Furthermore, the scheme \bar{V} is normal by [Sta23, 035L].

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \bar{V} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & F' \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \nu_F \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & F \end{array}$$

made of cartesian squares.

Now, we have

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* N = \omega^0 i^* j_* f_* \mathbb{1}_V = \omega^0 i^* \nu_* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_V = (\nu_F)_* \omega^0 \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_V.$$

Since ν_F is finite, it is perverse homotopy t-exact. Thus, we have

$${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* N) = (\nu_F)_* {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_V).$$

Therefore, we can replace S with \bar{V} and U with V in order to assume that S is normal and that $N = \mathbb{1}_U$.

Step 3: In this step, we study the singularities of S .

First, notice that if $Y \rightarrow S$ is a closed immersion and if $F \cap Y = \emptyset$, we can factor i into a closed immersion $u : F \rightarrow S \setminus Y$ and an open immersion $\xi : S \setminus Y \rightarrow S$. Letting $j' : U \setminus Y \rightarrow S \setminus Y$ be the canonical immersion, smooth base change implies that

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 u^* \xi^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 u^* j'_* \mathbb{1}_{U \setminus Y}.$$

We can therefore remove any closed subset which does not intersect F from S without changing ${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U)$. Since S is normal, its singular locus lies in codimension 2 and we can therefore assume that it is contained in F .

Since S is excellent, Lipman's Theorem on embedded resolution of singularities applies (see [Sta23, 0BGP, 0BIC, 0ADX] for precise statements). We get a cdh-distinguished square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{i_E} & \tilde{S} \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow f \\ F & \xrightarrow{i} & S \end{array}$$

such that the map f induces an isomorphism over U , the scheme \tilde{S} is regular and the subscheme E of \tilde{S} is a simple normal crossing divisor.

Let $\gamma : U \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be the complementary open immersion of i_E . We have $j = f \circ \gamma$. Therefore, we get

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 i^* f_* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 p_* i_E^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U.$$

We have a localization exact triangle

$$(i_E)_* i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U,$$

applying $\omega^0 p_* i_E^*$, we get an exact triangle

$$\omega^0 p_* i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow \omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U$$

and therefore, we get an exact sequence

$${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 p_* i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^2(\omega^0 p_* i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}})$$

and an equivalence

$${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}) = {}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$$

(to get this last equivalence, we use the Affine Lefschetz Theorem).

Since E is a simple normal crossing divisor, there is a finite set I and regular 1-dimensional closed subschemes E_i of \tilde{X} for $i \in I$, such that $E = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$. Choose a total order on the finite set I . If $i < j$, write $E_{ij} = E_i \cap E_j$. Consider for $J \subseteq I$ of cardinality at most 2 the obvious diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_J & \xrightarrow{u_{E_J}} & E \\ & \searrow p_J & \downarrow p \\ & & F \end{array}$$

By cdh-descent and absolute purity, we have an exact triangle

$$\bigoplus_{i < j} (u_{E_{ij}})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}(-2)[-4] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (u_{E_i})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i}(-1)[-2] \rightarrow i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}$$

and applying $\omega^0 p_*$ yields an exact triangle

$$\bigoplus_{i < j} \omega^0 \left((p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}(-2) \right) [-4] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \omega^0 \left((p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i}(-1) \right) [-2] \rightarrow \omega^0 p_* i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}.$$

Let $J \subseteq I$ be of cardinality at most 2 and let c be the cardinality of J . We have an exact triangle

$$\omega^0 \left((p_J)_* (\mathbb{1}_{E_J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})(-c) \right) [-1] \rightarrow \omega^0 \left((p_J)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_J}(-c) \right) \rightarrow \omega^0 \left((p_J)_* (\mathbb{1}_{E_J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})(-c) \right)$$

[Pep19b, 3.9] ensures that the motive $\omega^0 \left((p_J)_* (\mathbb{1}_{E_J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})(-c) \right)$ vanishes. Therefore, using Proposition 2.1.6, we get an equivalence

$$\omega^0 \left((p_J)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_J}(-c) \right) = (p_J)_* (\mathbb{1}_{E_J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})(-c)[-1]$$

But then, using Proposition 3.1.9 and the usual properties of the perverse t-structure described in [BBDG18, 4.2.4], we get

$$(p_J)_* (\mathbb{1}_{E_J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})(-c) \geq_{hp} 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\omega^0 \left((p_J)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_J}(-c) \right) \geq_{hp} 1$$

and thus, we have

$$\omega^0 \left((p_J)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_J}(-c) \right) [-2c] \geq_{hp} 1 + 2c$$

which yields

$$\omega^0 p_* i_E^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}} \geq_{hp} 3.$$

Hence, we get an equivalence

$${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E) = {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 i_* j_* \mathbb{1}_U)$$

and the motive ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$ vanishes.

Step 4: In this step we compute the motive ${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$ and we finish the proof. By cdh-descent, we have an exact triangle

$$\mathbb{1}_E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (u_{E_i})_* (u_{E_i})^* \mathbb{1}_E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} (u_{E_{ij}})_* (u_{E_{ij}})^* \mathbb{1}_E.$$

and applying $\omega^0 p_*$, we get an exact triangle

$$\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}}.$$

Let

$$I_0 = \{i \in I \mid \delta(p(E_i)) = 0\}.$$

If $i \in I \setminus I_0$, we have $\delta(E_i) = 1$ and the morphism p_i is finite. If $i \in I_0$, the map p_i factors through the singular locus Z of S which is 0-dimensional and we can write $p_i = \iota \circ \pi_i$ with $\iota: Z \rightarrow S$ the closed immersion. Finally, notice that $\delta(Z) = 0$ and thus, for any $i < j$, we have $\delta(E_{ij}) = 0$. Hence, since the motive ${}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$ vanishes, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}} \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus I_0} (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} \oplus Q \rightarrow 0$$

where $P = \iota_* \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} {}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 (\pi_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i})$ and $Q = \iota_* \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} {}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 (\pi_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i})$.

If $i \in I_0$, let

$$E_i \rightarrow F_i \xrightarrow{q_i} Z$$

be the Stein factorization of π_i . [Corollary 2.2.8](#) yields

$$P = \iota_* \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} (q_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{F_i}$$

and

$$Q = 0.$$

Hence, the objects P and Q belong to $M_{\text{perv}}^A(F, R)_p$ and therefore, so does the object ${}^{hp}H^1(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$ which finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.4.4. *Let S be an excellent scheme of dimension 2 or less, let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite affine morphism of schemes and let R be a regular good ring. Assume that X is nil-regular.*

Then, the functor

$$f_!: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{sm}A}(X, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(X, R)$$

is t -exact when both sides are endowed with the perverse homotopy t -structure.

Proof. This follows from [Theorem 3.3.7](#). \square

Example 3.4.5. *Let X be a normal scheme of dimension 4 with a single singular point x of codimension 4, let k be the residue field at x , let p be the characteristic exponent of k and let $f: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities of X .*

Assume that the exceptional divisor above x is isomorphic to a smooth k -scheme E such that $b_1(E, \ell) \neq 0$ for some prime number ℓ distinct from the characteristic exponent of E and that the ring of coefficients is \mathbb{Z} . Take the convention that $\delta(X) = 4$.

We have a cdh-distinguished square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{i_E} & \widetilde{X} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}(k) & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

which yields an exact triangle

$$\mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow \omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}} \oplus i_* \mathbb{1}_k \rightarrow i_* \omega^0 \pi_* \mathbb{1}_E.$$

Furthermore, letting $U = X \setminus \{x\} = \widetilde{X} \setminus E$ and letting $j: X \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow X$ be the open immersion, the localization property (0.0.2) yields an exact triangle

$$i_* \omega^0 \pi_* (i_E)^! \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}} \rightarrow \omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}} \rightarrow \omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U.$$

Since by Propositions 3.1.2 and 3.2.4, the Artin motive $\omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U$ lies in degree at least 4, we get that

$${}^{hp}H^i(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}}) = i_* {}^{hp}H^i(\omega^0 \pi_* (i_E)^! \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}})$$

for $i < 4$.

Using the absolute purity property, we have

$$\omega^0 \pi_* (i_E)^! \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}} = \omega^0 (\pi_* \mathbb{1}_E(-1))[-2]$$

and therefore, by Corollary 2.2.8, we get

$${}^{hp}H^i(\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 3 \\ i_* (\alpha! \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[1/p](-1)) & \text{if } i = 3, \end{cases}$$

using Notations 2.2.7.

On the other hand, we have

$${}^{hp}H^2(\omega^0 \pi_* \mathbb{1}_E) = i_* \alpha! \mu_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$$

by Corollary 2.2.8. Moreover, the Artin motive $i_* \mathbb{1}_k$ is in the heart of the perverse homotopy t -structure. Hence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_* \alpha! \mu_1(E, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^3(\mathbb{1}_X) \rightarrow i_* M \rightarrow 0,$$

where M is the kernel of the map ${}^{hp}H^3(\omega^0 \pi_* (i_E)^! \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{X}}) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^3(\omega^0 \pi_* \mathbb{1}_E)$. Thus, the motive ${}^{hp}H^3(\mathbb{1}_X)$ is in the image of the functor i_* . Since the representation $\mu_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$ of G_k is not of finite type as a \mathbb{Z} -module (it has $\mathbb{Z}(\ell^\infty)^{b_1(E, \ell)}$ as a sub-module), the Artin motive ${}^{hp}H^3(\mathbb{1}_X)$ cannot be constructible.

Example 3.4.6. Let X be the affine cone over an abelian variety E embedded in some \mathbb{P}^N . The scheme X has a single singular point x and the blow up of X at x is a resolution of singularities of X with exceptional divisor E .

By Noether's normalization lemma, there is a finite map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^4$. Thus, the perverse homotopy t -structure does not induce a t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(\mathbb{A}_k^4, \mathbb{Z})$. As \mathbb{A}_k^4 is a closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}_k^n for $n \geq 4$, the perverse t -structure does not induce a t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(\mathbb{A}_k^n, \mathbb{Z})$ if $n \geq 4$.

Remark 3.4.7. Loosely speaking, if the scheme X becomes more singular, the perverse homotopy cohomology sheaves of $\mathbb{1}_X$ should become more complicated. Here, the simplest possible singularity on a scheme of dimension 4 over a finite field already renders the cohomology sheaves not constructible. Therefore, Theorem 3.4.1 should not hold for schemes of dimension 4 or more. The case of 3-folds, however, remains open.

4. THE ABELIAN CATEGORY OF PERVERSE ARTIN MOTIVES

4.1. Definition and First Properties.

Definition 4.1.1. Let S be an excellent scheme and let R be a good ring. Assume that the perverse homotopy t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(S, R)$ induces a t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(S, R)$. We define the abelian category of perverse Artin étale motives over S with coefficients in R , as the heart of the perverse homotopy t -structure on $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}, c}^A(S, R)$. We denote by $M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, R)$ this category.

Proposition 4.1.2. *Let R be a good ring. Assume that the perverse homotopy t -structure induces a t -structure on the category of constructible Artin étale motives with R coefficients over all schemes appearing below.*

- (1) *Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite morphism of schemes. Then, the functor $f_!$ induces a right exact functor*

$${}^{hp}H^0 f_!: M_{\text{perv}}^A(T, R) \rightarrow M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, R).$$

Furthermore, if the functor f is finite, we have ${}^{hp}H^0 f_! = f_!$ and this functor is exact.

- (2) *Let $g: T \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. Assume that $\dim(g) \leq d$. Then, the functor g^* induces a right exact functor*

$${}^{hp}H^d g^*: M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, R) \rightarrow M_{\text{perv}}^A(T, R).$$

Furthermore, if the functor f is étale, we have $H^0 f^ = f^*$ and this functor is exact.*

- (3) *Consider a cartesian square of schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & S \end{array}$$

such that p is quasi-finite and $\dim(f) \leq d$. Then we have a canonical equivalence

$${}^{hp}H^d f^* {}^{hp}H^0 p_! \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^0 q_! {}^{hp}H^d g^*.$$

- (4) *Let S be a scheme. Assume that $\dim(S) \leq 2$. Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-finite and affine morphism. Then, the functor*

$${}^{hp}H^0 f_!: M_{\text{perv}}^A(T, R) \rightarrow M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, R).$$

is exact and ${}^{hp}H^0 f_! = f_!$.

- (5) *Let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be a closed immersion and $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the open complement. Assume that $\dim(S) \leq 2$ and that j is affine. Then, we have an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow i_* {}^{hp}H^{-1} i^* M \rightarrow j_! j^* M \rightarrow M \rightarrow i_* {}^{hp}H^0 i^* M \rightarrow 0.$$

- (6) *Let p be a prime number. Assume that the ring $R = K$ is a number field and that the scheme S is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p . Let v be a non-archimedean valuation on K which does not extend the p -adic valuation. Then, the v -adic realization functor ρ_v induces an exact and conservative functor*

$$M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, K) \rightarrow \text{Perv}^A(S, K_v)^\#.$$

- (7) *Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra where n is invertible on S . Then, the functor $\rho_!$ induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\rho_!: \text{Perv}(S, R) \rightarrow M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, R).$$

Proof. This follows from [Propositions 3.1.2](#), [3.1.9](#), [3.3.1](#) and [3.4.4](#). □

4.2. Intermediate Extension, Simple Objects and the Ayoub-Zucker Weightless Intersection Complex (rational coefficients). We now show that the abelian category of perverse Artin motives with coefficients in a number field K has similar features as the category of perverse sheaves.

First, we recall the definition of the intermediate extension functor given by the gluing formalism (see [BBDG18, 1.4.22]):

Definition 4.2.1. *Let S be a scheme, let K be a number field and let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be an open immersion. The intermediate extension functor $j_{!*}^A$ is the functor which to an object M in $M_{\text{perv}}^A(S, K)$ associates the image of the morphism*

$${}^{hp}H^0 j_! M \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^0 \omega^0 j_* M.$$

Using [BBDG18, 1.4.23], we have the following description of this functor (compare with [BBDG18, 2.1.9]):

Proposition 4.2.2. *Let S be a scheme, let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be a closed immersion, let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the open complementary immersion and let M be in $M_{\text{perv}}^A(U, K)$. Then, the Artin motive $j_{!*}^A(M)$ is the only extension P of M to S such that $i^*P <_{hp} 0$ and $\omega^0 i^! P >_{hp} 0$.*

The following result is similar to [BBDG18, 4.3.1].

Proposition 4.2.3. *Let S be an excellent scheme.*

- (1) *The abelian category of perverse Artin motives with rational coefficients on S is artinian and noetherian: every object is of finite length.*
- (2) *If $j: V \hookrightarrow S$ is the inclusion of a regular connected subscheme and if L is a simple object of $\text{Loc}_V(K)$, then the perverse Artin motive $j_{!*}^A(\rho_! L[\delta(V)])$ is simple. Every simple perverse Artin motive is obtained this way.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in [BBDG18, 4.3.1] replacing [BBDG18, 4.3.2, 4.3.3] with the following lemmas. \square

Lemma 4.2.4. *Let V be a regular connected scheme. Let L be a simple object of $\text{Loc}_V(K)$. Then, letting $F = \rho_! L[\delta(V)]$, for any open immersion $j: U \hookrightarrow V$, we have*

$$F = j_{!*}^A j^* F.$$

Proof. Let $i: F \rightarrow V$ be the reduced complementary closed immersion to j . Then, the motive $i^* F$ belongs to $\rho_! \text{Loc}_F(R)[\delta(X)]$ at is therefore in degree at most $\delta(F) - \delta(X) < 0$ with respect to the perverse homotopy t-structure.

Now, if x is a point of F , we have

$$\omega^0 i_x^! F = 0$$

by absolute purity and using Corollary 2.2.8. Therefore, by Proposition 3.2.1, we get

$$\omega^0 i^! F >_{hp} 0.$$

Therefore, the motive F is an extension of $j^* F$ such that $i^* F <_{hp} 0$ and $\omega^0 i^! F >_{hp} 0$ and the proposition follows from Proposition 4.2.2. \square

Lemma 4.2.5. *Let V be a regular connected scheme. If L is a simple object of $\text{Loc}_V(K)$, then $\rho_! L[\delta(V)]$ is simple in $M_{\text{perv}}^A(V, K)$.*

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as [BBDG18, 4.3.3]. \square

Proposition 4.2.6. (compare with [Rui22a, 4.9.7]) *Let X be a scheme allowing resolution of singularities by alterations, let $j: U \rightarrow X$ be an open immersion with U nil-regular and let $d = \delta(X)$. Ayoub and Zucker defined (see [AZ12, 2.20]) a motive*

$$\mathbb{E}_X = \omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U.$$

Then,

- (1) $\mathbb{E}_X[d] = j_{!*}^A(\mathbb{1}_U[d])$.
- (2) $\mathbb{E}_X[d]$ is a simple perverse Artin motive over X . In particular, $\omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U$ is concentrated in degree d with respect to the perverse homotopy t -structure.

Proof. First, notice that Assertion (2) follows from Assertion (1) and Proposition 4.2.3. Corollary 3.3.10 ensures that $\omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U$ is in degree d with respect to the perverse homotopy t -structure. Moreover, we have a triangle:

$$j_! \mathbb{1}_U \rightarrow \omega^0 j_* \mathbb{1}_U \rightarrow i_* \omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U.$$

thus, it suffices to show that ${}^{hp}H^d(\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U) = 0$. To prove this, note that we can assume X to be normal and connected as in the first step of the proof of Proposition 3.3.5. By assumption, there is a proper and surjective map $p: Y \rightarrow X$ such that Y is regular and integral and p is generically the composition of an étale Galois cover and of a finite surjective purely inseparable morphism.

Therefore, there is a closed subscheme S of X of positive codimension such that $p: Y \setminus p^{-1}(S) \rightarrow X \setminus S$ is the composition of an étale Galois cover of group G and of a finite surjective purely inseparable morphism.

Let X' be the relative normalization of X in $Y \setminus p^{-1}(S)$. Then, the scheme X' is finite over X and endowed with a G -action and the morphism $X'/G \rightarrow X$ is purely inseparable since X is normal. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U' & \xrightarrow{j'} & X' & \xleftarrow{i'} & F' \\ p_U \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p_F \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & X & \xleftarrow{i} & F \end{array}$$

made of cartesian squares. Using [Ayo07, 2.1.165], the motive $\mathbb{1}_U$ is a retract of the motive $(p_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{U'}$. Moreover, we have

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* (p_U)_* \mathbb{1}_{U'} = (p_F)_* \omega^0 (i')^* j'_* \mathbb{1}_{U'}.$$

Thus, we can replace X with X' and assume that the group G is trivial. In this case, the morphism p is birational. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ be the pullback of p along i . Using the same argument as in the first step of the proof of Proposition 3.3.5, we get that

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U = \omega^0 \pi_* \mathbb{1}_E.$$

The proof then follows from Proposition 3.3.8. \square

4.3. Description of Perverse Artin Motives and t -exactness of the Realization.

The following description of Artin perverse motives is a variation on Beilinson's gluing method developed in [Bei87]. Let M be an Artin perverse motive over an excellent base scheme S of dimension at most 2. Proposition 1.4.4 gives a stratification of S with regular strata and such that for any stratum T , the motive $M|_T$ is a constructible smooth Artin motive. We can recover the motive M from the motives $M|_T$ and additional data.

We take the convention that $\delta(S) = 2$ and assume for simplicity that the scheme S is reduced. Let U be the (disjoint) union of the strata containing the generic points

of S . Without loss of generality, we can assume that U is a single stratum over which the motive M is a constructible smooth Artin motive. Shrinking the open subset U , we can also assume that the immersion $j: U \rightarrow S$ is affine. Write $i: F \rightarrow S$ the reduced complementary closed immersion. The motive $M|_U$ is a perverse Artin motive and the constructible Artin motive $M|_F$ is in perverse degree $[-1, 0]$.

By localization, we have an exact triangle

$$i_*M|_F[-1] \rightarrow j_!M|_U \rightarrow M.$$

Furthermore, by [Proposition 4.1.2](#), we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_*{}^{hp}H^{-1}M|_F \rightarrow j_!M|_U \rightarrow M \rightarrow i_*{}^{hp}H^0M|_F \rightarrow 0$$

Hence, we can recover M as the cofiber of a map $i_*M|_F[-1] \rightarrow j_!M|_U$ such that the induced map $i_*{}^{hp}H^{-1}M|_F \rightarrow j_!M|_U$ is a monomorphism.

Conversely, a smooth Artin perverse sheaf M_U over U , a constructible Artin motive M_F in perverse degrees $[-1, 0]$ over F and a connecting map $i_*M_F[-1] \rightarrow j_!M_U$ such that the induced map $i_*{}^{hp}H^{-1}M_F \rightarrow j_*M_U$ is a monomorphism, give rise to a unique perverse Artin motive M over S .

Now, recall that we have an exact triangle

$$j_! \rightarrow \omega^0 j_* \rightarrow i_* \omega^0 i^* j_*$$

and that $j^* i_* = 0$. Therefore, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_*{}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* M_U) \rightarrow j_! M_U \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 j_* M_U) \rightarrow i_*{}^{hp}H^0(\omega^0 i^* j_* M_U) \rightarrow 0.$$

and we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(i_*M_F[-1], j_!M_U) = \mathrm{Hom}(M_F, \omega^0 i^* j_* M_U).$$

Therefore, if $\phi: i_*M_F[-1] \rightarrow j_!M_U$ is any map, the map ${}^{hp}H^0(\phi)$ is a monomorphism if and only if the induced map

$${}^{hp}H^{-1}(M_F) \rightarrow {}^{hp}H^{-1}(\omega^0 i^* j_* M_U)$$

is a monomorphism.

Similarly, since the stratification of S induces a stratification of F , the Artin motive M_F is uniquely encoded by the data of a smooth constructible Artin motive M_1 concentrated in perverse degrees $[-1, 0]$ over an affine open subset U_1 of F , a constructible Artin motive N_1 in perverse degrees $[-2, 0]$ on $F_1 = F \setminus U_1$ and a connecting map with a similar condition.

This discussion provides the following proposition:

Proposition 4.3.1. *Let S be an excellent scheme of dimension 2 or less and let R be a regular good ring. Then, perverse Artin étale motive over S are uniquely encoded by the following data.*

- A triple (U_0, U_1, U_2) of locally closed subschemes of S such that each U_k is nil-regular, purely of codimension k , is open in the scheme $F_{k-1} := S \setminus (U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_{k-1})$ and such that the open immersion

$$j_k: U_k \rightarrow F_{k-1}$$

is affine (take the conventions that $F_{-1} = S$). Denote by

$$i_k: F_k \rightarrow F_{k-1}$$

the closed immersion.

- A triple (M_0, M_1, M_2) of constructible smooth Artin motives on U_i such that each M_i is placed in perverse degree $[-i, 0]$.
- Two connecting maps

$$\begin{cases} \phi_2: M_2 \rightarrow \omega^0 i_1^*(j_1)_* M_1 \\ \phi_1: M_{12} \rightarrow \omega^0 i_0^*(j_0)_* M_0 \end{cases}$$

such that ${}^{hp}H^{-k}(\phi_k)$ is a monomorphism and where M_{12} is the cofiber of the map

$$(i_1)_* M_2[-1] \rightarrow (j_1)_! M_1$$

induced by ϕ_2 .

Remark 4.3.2. If $\dim(S) \leq 1$, $U_2 = \emptyset$, $M_2 = 0$ and the data of ϕ_1 is trivial so that $M_{12} = M_1$.

This description yields the t-exactness if the ℓ -adic realization functor.

Theorem 4.3.3. Let S be an excellent scheme of dimension 2 or less, let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field K , let v be a non-archimedean valuation on K and let ℓ be the prime number such that v extends the ℓ -adic valuation. Then, the reduced v -adic realization functor

$$\bar{\rho}_v: \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(S, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(S[1/\ell], R_v)$$

of Definition 3.0.1 is t-exact when the left hand side is endowed with the perverse homotopy t-structure and the right hand side is endowed with the perverse t-structure.

Proof. First, notice that ρ_v is right t-exact because the generators of the perverse homotopy t-structure are sent to perverse t-non-positive objects. Using same trick as in the proof of [Rui22b, 4.2.7], we can assume that $R = K$. We may also assume that ℓ is invertible on S . Now, it suffices to show that any perverse Artin étale motive on S is sent to a complex which is non-negative for the perverse t-structure. Let M be such a motive on S .

By Proposition 4.3.1, the motive M is given by

- A triple (U_0, U_1, U_2) of locally closed subschemes of S such that each U_k is nil-regular, purely of codimension k , is open in the scheme $F_{k-1} := S \setminus (U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_{k-1})$ and such that the open immersion

$$j_k: U_k \rightarrow F_{k-1}$$

is affine (take the conventions that $F_{-1} = S$). Denote by

$$i_k: F_k \rightarrow F_{k-1}$$

the closed immersion.

- A triple (M_0, M_1, M_2) of constructible smooth Artin motives on U_i such that each M_i is placed in perverse degree $[-i, 0]$.
- Two connecting maps

$$\begin{cases} \phi_2: M_2 \rightarrow \omega^0 i_1^*(j_1)_* M_1 \\ \phi_1: M_{12} \rightarrow \omega^0 i_0^*(j_0)_* M_0 \end{cases}$$

such that ${}^{hp}H^{-k}(\phi_k)$ is a monomorphism and where M_{12} is the cofiber of the map $(i_1)_* M_2[-1] \rightarrow (j_1)_! M_1$ induced by ϕ_2 .

By [Proposition 3.2.1](#), the ℓ -adic complex $\rho_v(M)|_{U_i} = \rho_v(M_i)$ is in perverse degree $[-i, 0]$. To show that $\rho_v(M)$ is a perverse sheaf, it suffices to show that the natural maps

$$\begin{cases} \psi_2: \rho_v(M_2) \rightarrow \rho_v(i_1^*(j_1)_*M_1) \\ \psi_1: \rho_v(M_{12}) \rightarrow \rho_v(i_0^*(j_0)_*M_0) \end{cases}$$

are such that ${}^pH^{-k}(\psi_k)$ is a monomorphism.

Notice now that if $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $M_{\geq k} = \begin{cases} M_{12} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ M_2 & \text{if } k = 2 \end{cases}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_v(M_{\geq k}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_v(\phi_k)} & \rho_v(\omega^0 i_{k-1}^*(j_{k-1})_*M_{k-1}) \\ & \searrow \psi_k & \downarrow \rho_v(\delta(i_{k-1}^*(j_{k-1})_*M_{k-1})) \\ & & \rho_v(i_{k-1}^*(j_{k-1})_*M_{k-1}) \end{array}$$

Working by induction on $\dim(S)$, we may assume that the maps

$$\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^A(F_{k-1}, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(F_{k-1}, K_v)$$

are t-exact. Thus, the map ${}^pH^{-k}(\rho_v(\phi_k))$ is a monomorphism. To prove the theorem, it therefore suffices to show that the map ${}^pH^{-k}[\rho_v(\delta(i_{k-1}^*(j_{k-1})_*M_{k-1}))]$ is a monomorphism.

Write

$$M_1 = A[1] \bigoplus B[0],$$

which is possible since the category of smooth Artin motives with coefficients in K is semi-simple by Maschke's theorem. Then, using [Theorem 3.3.7](#) and the induction hypothesis, the ℓ -adic complex $\rho_v(\omega^0 i_1^*(j_1)_*B)$ is in degree at least -1 with respect to the perverse t-structure. By the usual affine Lefschetz theorem, so is $\rho_v(i_1^*(j_1)_*B)$, since by [Proposition 3.2.1](#), the ℓ -adic complex $\rho_v(B)$ is a perverse sheaf. Hence, the map ${}^pH^{-2}[\rho_v(\delta(i_1^*(j_1)_*M_1))]$ is a monomorphism if and only if the map

$${}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(\delta)] : {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(\omega^0 i_1^*(j_1)_*A)] \longrightarrow {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(i_1^*(j_1)_*A)]$$

is a monomorphism. Hence, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the maps

$${}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(\delta)] : {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(\omega^0 i_1^*(j_1)_*A)] \longrightarrow {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(i_1^*(j_1)_*A)]$$

and

$${}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(\delta)] : {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(\omega^0 i_0^*(j_0)_*M_0)] \longrightarrow {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(i_0^*(j_0)_*M_0)]$$

are monomorphisms which follows from [lemma 4.3.4](#) below. \square

Lemma 4.3.4. *Let S be an excellent scheme of dimension 2 or less, let K be a number field, let v be a non-archimedean valuation on K , let ℓ be the prime number such that v extends the ℓ -adic valuation, let $i: F \rightarrow S$ be a closed immersion and let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be the open complementary immersion. Assume that the prime number ℓ is invertible on S , that the morphism j is affine and that the scheme U is nil-regular. Let M be an object of $M^{smA}(U, K)$. Then, the map*

$${}^pH^{-1}\rho_v(\delta) : {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(\omega^0 i^* j_* M)] \longrightarrow {}^pH^{-1}[\rho_v(i^* j_* M)]$$

is a monomorphism.

Proof. Let $d = \dim(S)$. Take the convention that $\delta(S) = d$. As in the proof of [Proposition 3.3.5](#), we can assume that $M = \mathbb{1}_U[d]$, that the scheme S is normal and that the scheme U is regular.

Case 1: Assume that $\dim(S) = 1$. Since the closed subscheme F is 0-dimensional, we can assume it to be reduced to a point $\{x\}$. Recall that the 2-morphism

$$\Theta: \rho_! i^* j_* \rightarrow \omega^0 i^* j_* \rho_!$$

of [Proposition 2.3.1](#) is defined so that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_! i^* j_* & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \omega^0 i^* j_* \\ & \searrow Ex & \downarrow \delta \\ & & i^* j_* \rho_! \end{array}$$

where Ex is the exchange map.

Moreover, the map $\Theta(\underline{K})$ is an equivalence by [Proposition 2.3.1](#). Therefore, it suffices to show that the map

$${}^p H^0 \rho_v(Ex): {}^p H^0 \rho_v(\rho_! i^* j_* \underline{K}) \longrightarrow {}^p H^0(i^* j_* \underline{K}_v)$$

is a monomorphism. Now, this map can be by [\[AGV73, VIII.5\]](#) identified with the canonical map

$$\underline{K}_v \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\mathcal{O}_{S,x}^{sh} \times_S U, K_v)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{S,x}^{sh}$ is the strict henselization of the local ring of S at x . Since S is normal, this map is an isomorphism by [\[Den88, 2.1\]](#).

Case 2: Assume that $\dim(S) = 2$. Since S is normal its singular point are of codimension 2. Let $f: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities of S such that the inverse image of F is a simple normal crossing divisor (which exists by Lipman's theorem). We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{S} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & E \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow p \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & F \end{array}$$

made of cartesian squares which yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_v(\omega^0 i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U) & \longrightarrow & \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U) \\ \downarrow \rho_v(\delta) & & \downarrow \rho_v(\delta) \\ \rho_v(i^* j_* \mathbb{1}_U) & \longrightarrow & \rho_v(p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are equivalences. Thus, it suffices to show that the map

$${}^p H^1 \rho_v(\delta): {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U) \longrightarrow {}^p H^1 \rho_v(p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U)$$

is a monomorphism.

The localization triangle [\(0.0.2\)](#) induces a morphism of exact triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \iota^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}) & \longrightarrow & \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E) & \longrightarrow & \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U) \\ \rho_v(\delta) \downarrow & & \rho_v(\delta) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_v(\delta) \\ \rho_v(p_* \iota^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}}) & \longrightarrow & \rho_v(p_* \mathbb{1}_E) & \longrightarrow & \rho_v(p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U) \end{array}$$

By [Lemma 2.3.2](#), we have

$$\omega^0 p_* \iota^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}} = 0.$$

Moreover, using the cdh-descent argument which appears the last step of the proof of [Proposition 3.3.5](#) we can show that

$${}^p H^1(\rho_v(p_* \iota^! \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{S}})) = 0.$$

Hence, we get a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E) & \longrightarrow & {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\delta) & & \downarrow {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\delta) & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & {}^p H^1 \rho_v(p_* \mathbb{1}_E) & \longrightarrow & {}^p H^1 \rho_v(p_* \iota^* \gamma_* \mathbb{1}_U) & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that the map

$${}^p H^1 \rho_v(\delta): {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E) \rightarrow {}^p H^1 \rho_v(p_* \mathbb{1}_E)$$

is a monomorphism.

Write $E = \bigcup_{i \in J} E_i$ with J finite, where for any index i , the scheme E_i is regular and of codimension 1, where for any distinct indices i and j the scheme $E_{ij} = E_i \cap E_j$ is of codimension 2 and regular and where the intersections of 3 distinct E_i are empty.

By cdh-descent, we have a morphism exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rho_v \omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in J} \rho_v \omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \rho_v (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}} \\ \downarrow \rho_v(\delta) & & \downarrow \rho_v(\delta) & & \parallel \\ \rho_v p_* \mathbb{1}_E & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in J} \rho_v (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \rho_v (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}} \end{array}$$

which yields a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bigoplus_{i \in J} {}^p H^0 \rho_v \omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \rho_v (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}} & \longrightarrow & {}^p H^1 \rho_v \omega^0 p_* \mathbb{1}_E & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in J} {}^p H^1 \rho_v \omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow {}^p H^0 \rho_v(\delta) & & \parallel & & \downarrow {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\delta) & & \downarrow {}^p H^1 \rho_v(\delta) \\ \bigoplus_{i \in J} {}^p H^0 \rho_v (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J} \rho_v (p_{ij})_* \mathbb{1}_{E_{ij}} & \longrightarrow & {}^p H^1 \rho_v p_* \mathbb{1}_E & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in J} {}^p H^1 \rho_v \omega^0 (p_i)_* \mathbb{1}_{E_i} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The proof then follows from the Five Lemma and from [Lemma 4.3.5](#) below. \square

Lemma 4.3.5. *Let S be an excellent 1-dimensional scheme and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper map, let K be a number field, let v be a non-archimedean valuation on K , let ℓ be the prime number such that v extends the ℓ -adic valuation. Assume that the scheme X is regular and connected. Then,*

(1) *The map*

$${}^p H^0(\rho_v \omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X) \rightarrow {}^p H^0(\rho_v f_* \mathbb{1}_X)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) *The map*

$${}^p H^1(\rho_v \omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X) \rightarrow {}^p H^1(\rho_v f_* \mathbb{1}_X)$$

is a monomorphism.

Proof. If f is finite, then, $\omega^0 f_* \mathbb{1}_X = f_* \mathbb{1}_X$ and both statement hold. Otherwise, the image of X is a point and the lemma follows from [Corollary 2.2.8](#). \square

4.4. Explicit Description of Perverse Artin Motives on Schemes of Dimension 1. This description can be made more explicit in the case of 1-dimensional excellent schemes. We begin with some notations:

Notations 4.4.1. *Let C be a regular connected 1-dimensional scheme and K be its field of functions. Then, if U is an open subset of C and if x is a closed point of C .*

- *We let K_x be the completion of K with respect to the valuation defined by x on K .*
- *We let $\phi_x: \text{Spec}(K_x) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be the induced map.*
- *We let $G_0(x)$ be inertia subgroup of G_{K_x} .*
- *We let $p(x)$ be the characteristic exponent of the residue field $k(x)$ of C at x .*

The following lemma is classical (see for example [Rui22a, 5.1.2])

Lemma 4.4.2. *Let C be a regular connected 1-dimensional scheme of generic point η , U an open subset of C and x a closed point. Let $K = k(\eta)$ be the field of regular functions on C . Then,*

(1) *We have an exact sequence of groups:*

$$1 \rightarrow G_0(x) \rightarrow G_{K_x} \rightarrow G_{k(x)} \rightarrow 1$$

(2) *If $x \notin U$, the map*

$$G_{K_x} \rightarrow G_K \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U, \bar{\eta})$$

is injective.

In this setting, we denote by

$$\partial_x: \text{Rep}^A(G_{K_x}, R) \rightarrow \text{Rep}^A(G_{k(x)}, R[1/p(x)])$$

the functor given by $M \mapsto M^{G_0(x)} \otimes_R R[1/p(x)]$.

Proposition 4.4.3. *Let C be a regular connected 1-dimensional scheme of generic point η and field of regular functions $k(C)$, let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field, let $i: F \rightarrow C$ be a reduced closed immersion and let $j: U \rightarrow C$ be the open complement. If x is a point of F , we denote by $i_x: \{x\} \rightarrow F$ be the closed immersion. Assume that all the residue characteristics of U are invertible in R .*

Then, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{M}^{\text{smA}}(U, R) & \xrightarrow{hp\tau_{\leq 0}\omega^0 i^* j_*} & \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R) \\
 \rho! [+1] \uparrow & & \uparrow \bigoplus_{x \in F} (i_x)_* \\
 \text{Loc}_U(R) & & \prod_{x \in F} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(k(x), R) \\
 \bar{\eta}^* \downarrow & & \uparrow \prod_{x \in F} \rho! [+1] \\
 \text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U, \bar{\eta}), R) & & \prod_{x \in F} \text{Loc}_x(R[1/p(x)]) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \prod_{x \in F} \bar{x}^* \\
 \text{Rep}^A(G_K, R) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{x \in F} \phi_x^*} \text{Rep}^A(G_{K_x}, R) \xrightarrow{\prod_{x \in F} \partial_x} & \text{Rep}^A(G_{k(x)}, R[1/p(x)])
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Remark 4.4.4. *Less formally, this diagram shows that, under the identification of Theorem 1.4.10, the functor*

$${}^{hp}\tau_{\leq 0}\omega^0 i^* j_* : M^{smA}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\acute{e}t}^A(F, R)$$

can be identified with the functor

$$\mathrm{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \bar{\eta}), R)[+1] \rightarrow \prod_{x \in F} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Rep}^A(G_{k(x)}, R[1/p(x)]))$$

that sends the object $M[1]$ where M is an Artin representation of $\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \bar{\eta})$ to the complex

$$\bigoplus_{x \in F} ([\phi_x^*(M)]^{G_0(x)} \otimes_R R[1/p(x)][+1]).$$

Proof. We can assume that the closed subscheme F is reduced to a point x . By Proposition 2.3.1, it suffices to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Loc}_U(R) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\leq 1} i^* j_*} & \mathcal{S}\mathcal{h}(k(x), R[1/p(x)]) \\ \bar{\eta}^* \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \bar{\eta}), R) & & \mathrm{Loc}_x(R[1/p(x)]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{x}^* \\ \mathrm{Rep}^A(G_K, R) & \xrightarrow{\phi_x^*} \mathrm{Rep}^A(G_{K_x}, R) \xrightarrow{\partial_x} & \mathrm{Rep}^A(G_{k(x)}, R[1/p(x)]) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let M be a locally constant étale sheaf over U with finitely presented fibers. Identify M with the corresponding Artin representation of $\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(U, \bar{\eta})$. By [AGV73, VIII.5], if n is an integer, the étale sheaf $H^n(i^* j_* M)$ identifies with the $G_{k(x)}$ -module

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^n(\mathrm{Spec}(L), \phi_x^* M) = H^n(G_0(x), \phi_x^* M)$$

where L is the maximal unramified extension of K_x . Therefore, the sheaf $H^1(i^* j_* M)$ vanishes and we have

$$H^0(i^* j_* M) = [\phi_x^*(M)]^{G_0(x)} \otimes_R R[1/p(x)]$$

which yields the result. \square

We now give an analog to this statement in the general case.

Notations 4.4.5. *Let C be an excellent 1-dimensional scheme, let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization of C , let Γ be the set of generic points of \tilde{C} , let U is an open subset of C , let y be a closed point of \tilde{C} and let $\eta \in \Gamma$.*

- We let $U_\eta = \overline{\{\eta\}} \cap \nu^{-1}(U) \subseteq \tilde{C}$.
- We let K_η be the field of regular functions on C_η .
- We let $\eta(y)$ be the unique element of Γ such that $y \in C_{\eta(y)}$.
- We let K_y be the completion of $K_{\eta(y)}$ with respect to the valuation defined by y on $K_{\eta(y)}$.
- We let $\phi_y: \mathrm{Spec}(K_y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K_{\eta(y)})$ be the induced map.
- We let $G_0(y)$ be the inertia subgroup of G_{K_y} .

Lemma 4.4.6. *Let C be a 1-dimensional excellent scheme, let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization of C , let Γ be the set of generic points of \tilde{C} , let U be a nil-regular open subset of C , let y be a closed point of \tilde{C} and let $\eta \in \Gamma$. Then,*

(1) We have an exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \rightarrow G_0(y) \rightarrow G_{K_y} \rightarrow G_{k(y)} \rightarrow 1$$

(2) If $y \notin U_{\eta(y)}$, the map

$$G_{K_y} \rightarrow G_{K_{\eta(y)}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U_{\eta(y)}, \overline{\eta(y)})$$

is injective.

In this setting, we denote by

$$\partial_y: \text{Rep}^A(G_{K_y}, R) \rightarrow \text{Rep}^A(G_{k(y)}, R[1/p(y)])$$

the functor given by $M \mapsto M^{G_0(y)} \otimes_R R[1/p(y)]$.

Corollary 4.4.7. *Let C be a 1-dimensional excellent scheme, let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization of C , let Γ be the set of generic points of C , let $i: F \rightarrow C$ be a closed immersion, let $j: U \rightarrow C$ be the open complement and let R be the localization of a number field. Assume that the scheme U is nil-regular and that the residue characteristic exponents of U are invertible in R .*

Then, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{M}_{\text{per}}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R) & \xrightarrow{hp_{\tau \leq 0} \omega^0 i^* j_*} & \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R) \\
 \uparrow \rho[+1] & & \uparrow \bigoplus_{x \in F} (i_x)_* \\
 \text{Loc}_U(R) & & \prod_{x \in F} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(k(x), R) \\
 \downarrow \prod_{\eta \in \Gamma} \bar{\eta}^* & & \uparrow \rho[+1] \\
 \prod_{\eta \in \Gamma} \text{Rep}^A(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U_{\eta}, \bar{\eta}^*), R) & & \prod_{x \in F} \text{Loc}_x(R[1/p(x)]) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \prod_{x \in F} \bar{x}^* \\
 \prod_{\eta \in \Gamma} \text{Rep}^A(G_{K_{\eta}}, R) & & \prod_{x \in F} \text{Rep}^A(G_{k(x)}, R[1/p(x)]) \\
 \downarrow \prod_{\eta \in \Gamma} \left(\prod_{y \in \{\eta\} \cap \nu^{-1}(F)} \phi_y^* \right) & & \downarrow \prod_{x \in F} \bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} \\
 \prod_{\eta \in \Gamma} \left(\prod_{y \in \{\eta\} \cap \nu^{-1}(F)} \text{Rep}^A(G_{K_y}, R) \right) & & \prod_{x \in F} \bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} \\
 \parallel & & \uparrow \prod_{x \in F} \bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} \\
 \prod_{y \in \nu^{-1}(F)} \text{Rep}^A(G_{K_y}, R) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{y \in \nu^{-1}(F)} \partial_y} & \prod_{y \in \nu^{-1}(F)} \text{Rep}^A(G_{k(y)}, R[1/p(y)]) = \prod_{x \in F} \prod_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Rep}^A(G_{k(y)}, R[1/p(x)])
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Remark 4.4.8. *Less formally, the commutativity of this diagram shows that, under the identification of [Theorem 1.4.10](#), the functor the functor*

$$hp_{\tau \leq 0} \omega^0 i^* j_*: \text{M}^{\text{sm}A}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^A(F, R)$$

can be identified with the functor that sends the object $(M_{\eta}[+1])_{\eta \in \Gamma}$ where each M_{η} is an Artin representation of $G_{K_{\eta}}$ to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} \left(\left[\phi_y^*(M_{\eta(y)}) \right]^{G_0(y)} \otimes_R R[1/p(x)] \right) [+1] \right)_{x \in F}.$$

Proof. Let $\nu_U: U_{\text{red}} \rightarrow U$ (resp. $\nu_F: \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$) be the pullback of the map ν along j (resp. i).

Let \tilde{j} (resp. \tilde{i}) be the inclusion of U_{red} (resp. \tilde{F}) in \tilde{C} . Recall that the functor ν_U^* is an equivalence. Thus, we have

$$\omega^0 i^* j_* = \omega^0 i^* j_* (\nu_U)_* \nu_U^* = \omega^0 i^* \nu_* \tilde{j}_* \nu_U^* = (\nu_F)_* \omega^0 \tilde{i}_* \tilde{j}_* \nu_U^*.$$

The result then follows from [Proposition 4.4.3](#) and from [Proposition 1.4.11](#). \square

We can now describe the category of Artin motives over a 1-dimensional excellent scheme.

Definition 4.4.9. *Let C be a 1-dimensional excellent scheme, let Γ be the set of generic points of C , let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be a normalization of C and let R be a localization of a number field. We define an abelian category $\mathbf{N}(C, R)$ as follows.*

- *An object of $\mathbf{N}(C, R)$ is a quadruple $(U, (M_\eta)_{\eta \in \Gamma}, (M_x)_{x \in C \setminus U}, (f_x)_{x \in C \setminus U})$ where*
 - (1) *U is a nil-regular open subset of C such that the immersion $U \rightarrow C$ is affine and such that the residue characteristic exponents of U are invertible in U ,*
 - (2) *for all $\eta \in \Gamma$, M_η is a representation of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U_\eta, \bar{\eta})$,*
 - (3) *for all $x \in C \setminus U$, M_x is a complex of representations of $G_{k(x)}$ placed in degrees $[0, 1]$*
 - (4) *for all $x \in C \setminus U$, f_x is an element of*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\text{Rep}^A(G_{k(x)}, R))} \left(M_x, \bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} (\partial_y \phi_y^*(M_{\eta(y)})) \right)$$

such that $H^0(f_x)$ is a monomorphism.

- *An element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{N}(C, R)}((U, (M_\eta), (M_x), (f_x)), (V, (N_\eta), (N_x), (g_x)))$ is a couple of the form $((\Phi_\eta)_{\eta \in \Gamma}, (\Phi_x)_{x \in (C \setminus U) \cap (C \setminus V)})$ where $\Phi_\eta: M_\eta \rightarrow N_\eta$ is a map of representations of G_{K_η} , where $\Phi_x: M_x \rightarrow N_x$ is a map of representations of $G_{k(x)}$ and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_x & \xrightarrow{f_x} & \bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} (\partial_y \phi_y^*(M_{\eta(y)})) \\ \downarrow \Phi_x & & \downarrow \bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} (\partial_y \phi_y^*(\Phi_{\eta(y)})) \\ N_x & \xrightarrow{g_x} & \bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} (\partial_y \phi_y^*(N_{\eta(y)})) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

[Proposition 4.3.1](#) and [Corollary 4.4.7](#) give us the following proposition:

Proposition 4.4.10. *Let C be an excellent 1-dimensional scheme, and let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field. Assume that the residue characteristic exponents of a dense open subset of C are invertible in R . Then, the category $\mathbf{M}_{\text{perv}}^A(C, R)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{N}(C, R)$.*

Remark 4.4.11. *As in [[Rui22b](#), 3.5.2] we can also give a description in the case where S has finitely many residue characteristics.*

Example 4.4.12. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. A perverse Artin étale motive over \mathbb{P}_k^1 is given by a quadruple $(\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus F, M, (M_x)_{x \in F}, (f_x)_{x \in F})$ where F*

is a finite set of points of \mathbb{P}_k^1 , where M is an Artin representation of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus F)$, where M_x is a complex of R -modules of finite type placed in degrees $[0, 1]$ and where

$$f_x: M_x \rightarrow [\phi_x^*(M)]^{G_0(x)}$$

is such that the morphism $H^0(f_x)$ of R -modules is injective.

We can always assume that the point at infinity belongs to F . Write $F = \{\infty\} \sqcup F'$ and let $m = |F'|$.

Let $x \in F'$, then, the field $k((X - x))$ is the completion of the field $k(X)$ of regular functions on \mathbb{P}_k^1 with respect to the valuation defined by x .

On the other hand, the field $k((1/X))$ is the completion of $k(X)$ with respect to the valuation given by the point at infinity.

Furthermore, recall that the absolute Galois group of the field $k((X))$ is $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The étale fundamental group of the scheme $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus F$ where F is a finite set of closed points is the profinite completion of the free group over F' ; we denote by g_x for $x \in F'$ its topological generators. The map

$$G_{k((x-x))} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus F)$$

is the only continuous map that sends the topological generator of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ to g_x .

The map $G_{k((1/X))} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus F)$ is the only continuous map which that sends the topological generator to a certain product $(g_{x_1} \cdots g_{x_m})^{-1}$ where $F' = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$.

Now, the action of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus F)$ on M factors through a finite quotient G and is therefore the same as a representation of a finite group with m marked generators which are the images of the g_x for $x \in F'$.

Thus, a perverse Artin étale motive over \mathbb{P}_k^1 is equivalent to the following data:

- A finite number of distinct points x_1, \dots, x_m of \mathbb{A}_k^1 (i.e. of elements of k).
- A finite group G generated by m elements g_1, \dots, g_m ; let $\phi_i: \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G$ be the map given by g_i and $\phi_\infty: \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G$ be the map given by $g_\infty = (g_1 \cdots g_m)^{-1}$.
- An R -linear representation M of G .
- Complexes $M_1, \dots, M_m, M_\infty$ of R -modules of finite type placed in degrees $[0, 1]$.
- Maps $f_i: M_i \rightarrow (\phi_i^* M)^{g_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m, \infty$ such that

$$H^0(f_i): H^0(M_i) \rightarrow (\phi_i^* M)^{g_i}$$

is injective.

Example 4.4.13. Let $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. A perverse Artin étale motives over S is given by a triple (M, N, f) where M is an Artin representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, where N is a complex of Artin representation of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with coefficients in $R[1/p]$ placed in degrees $[0, 1]$ and $f: N \rightarrow M^{G_0} \otimes_R R[1/p]$ (where G_0 is the inertia group) is a map such that the morphism $H^0(f)$ of representations of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with coefficients in $R[1/p]$ is injective.

Example 4.4.14. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let A be the localization of the ring $k[X, Y]/(XY)$ at the prime ideal (X, Y) . It is the local ring at the intersection point of two lines in \mathbb{P}_k^2 .

Let $S = \text{Spec}(A)$. The scheme S has two generic points of residue field $k(X)$ and the residue field at the closed point is k . The normalization of S is given by the scheme

$$\text{Spec}(k[X]_{(X)} \times k[Y]_{(Y)}).$$

Let ε be the topological generator of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let

$$\phi: \hat{\mathbb{Z}} = G_{k((X))} \rightarrow G_{k(X)}$$

be the inclusion.

A perverse Artin étale motive on S is given by a quadruple (M_1, M_2, N, f) where M_1 and M_2 are Artin representations of $G_{k(X)}$, where N is a complex of R -modules placed in degrees $[0, 1]$ and where

$$f: N \rightarrow (\phi^*(M_1 \oplus M_2))^\varepsilon$$

is such that $H^0(f)$ is injective.

Example 4.4.15. Let $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]_{(2)})$. This scheme has two points: its generic point has residue field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and its closed point has residue field \mathbb{F}_2 (the field with two elements). The normalization of S is $\text{Spec}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right]_{(2)}\right)$ which is a discrete valuation ring. The residue field of its closed point is the field \mathbb{F}_4 with 4 elements. The completion of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ with respect to the valuation defined by 2 is the field $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$. Let G_0 be the inertia subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})}$.

Let $\phi: \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the multiplication by 2. The map ϕ^* has a right adjoint functor

$$\text{Ind}_\phi: \text{Rep}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

Thus a perverse Artin motive on S is given by a triple (M, N, f) where M is an Artin representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})}$, where N is a complex Artin representations of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ placed in degrees $[0, 1]$ and where

$$f: N \rightarrow \text{Ind}(M^{G_0})$$

is such that $H^0(f)$ is injective.

Recall that there is an analogous description in the ℓ -adic setting (see [Rui22a, 5.1.7]). If G is a profinite group and if Λ is an ℓ -adic field or its ring of integers, we denote by $BG_{\text{proét}}$ the site of profinite continuous G -sets with covers given by continuous surjections (see [BS15, 4.1.10]). If k is a field, the stable category $\mathcal{D}(k, \Lambda)$ identifies with the stable category $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}((BG_k)_{\text{proét}}, \Lambda)$ of hypersheaves of modules over the hypersheaf of rings on $(BG_k)_{\text{proét}}$ defined by the topological ring Λ endowed with its trivial action using [BS15, 4.3.2]). The stable category $\mathcal{D}_c^b(k, \Lambda)$ then identifies with the stable subcategory $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\text{lisse}}((BG_k)_{\text{proét}}, \Lambda)$ of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}((BG_k)_{\text{proét}}, \Lambda)$ made of those objects which are dualizable. The heart of $\mathcal{D}_c^b(k, \Lambda)$ then identifies with the category $\text{Rep}(G_k, \Lambda)$ of continuous representations of G_k with coefficients in Λ .

Let C be an excellent scheme of dimension 1, let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be a normalization of C , let Γ be the set of generic points of \tilde{C} , let U be a nil-regular open subset of C , let y be closed point of \tilde{C} , let η be an element of Γ , let R be a localization of a number field L and let v be a non-archemidian valuation on L . Assume that the prime number ℓ such that the valuation v extends the ℓ -adic valuation is invertible on C . We denote by

$$\partial_y^v: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\text{lisse}}((BG_{K_y})_{\text{proét}}, R_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\text{lisse}}((BG_{k(y)})_{\text{proét}}, R_v)$$

the derived functor of the map given by $M \mapsto M^{G_0(y)}$.

We still denote by ∂_y^v the induced map

$$\partial_y^v: \text{Rep}^A(G_{K_y}, R_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{\text{lisse}}((BG_{k(y)})_{\text{proét}}, R_v)$$

We have a 2-morphism

$$e_y: \partial_y \otimes_R R_v \rightarrow \partial_y^v.$$

We can describe the ℓ -adic valuation in terms of our description and of the description of [Rui22a, 5.1.7].

Proposition 4.4.16. *Let C be an excellent 1-dimensional scheme, let R be a localization of the ring of integers of a number field L , let v be a non-archimedean valuation on L and let ℓ be the prime number such that v extends the ℓ -adic valuation. We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\text{perv}}^A(C, R_{(v)}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}_v} & \text{Perv}^A(C[1/\ell], R_v) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{N}(C, R_{(v)}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_v} & \mathcal{P}(C[1/\ell], R_v) \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_v(U, (M_\eta)_{\eta \in \Gamma}, (M_x)_{x \in C \setminus U}, (f_x)_{x \in C \setminus U}) = \\ (U, (M_\eta \otimes_{R_{(v)}} R_v)_{\eta \in \Gamma}, (M_x \otimes_{R_{(v)}} R_v)_{x \in C \setminus U}, (\psi_x^v(f_x))_{x \in C \setminus U}) \end{aligned}$$

setting

$$\psi_x^v(f_x) = \left(\bigoplus_{\nu(y)=x} \text{Ind}_{G_{k(y)}}^{G_{k(x)}} \left(e_y \left[\phi_y^*(M_{\eta(y)} \otimes_{R_{(v)}} R_v) \right] \right) \right) \circ (f_x \otimes_{R_{(v)}} R_v)$$

for any closed point x of C .

APPENDIX: THE SIX FUNCTORS FORMALISM FOR COHOMOLOGICAL ÉTALE MOTIVES WITHOUT RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES (FOLLOWING GABBER)

In [Pep19b, 1.12], Pépin Lehalleur proved that (constructible) cohomological motives are stable under the six functors. However, one has to assume that $R = \mathbb{Q}$ and to have the functors $f^!$ and f_* one also has to assume to have resolutions of singularities by alterations. This is not necessary: one can mimic the method of Gabber [CD16, 6.2] in the cohomological case. Thus, we can prove that if X (resp. Y) is a quasi-excellent scheme, and $f : Y \rightarrow X$, f_* (resp. $f^!$) preserves cohomological constructible motives. We will outline how to do this.

- (1) Let R be a ring. The fibred subcategory $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{coh}}(-, R)$ of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(-, R)$ is stable under tensor product, negative Tate twists, f^* if f is any morphism and $f_!$ if f is separated and of finite type.
- (2) From the absolute purity property and the stability of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{coh}}$ under negative Tate twists and using [Ayo07, 2.2.31], we deduce that if i is the immersion of a simple normal crossing divisor with regular target X , $i^! \mathbb{1}_X$ is a cohomological constructible étale motive.
- (3) For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{Z} , we define \mathfrak{p} -constructible cohomological étale motives as in [CD16, 6.2.2] and show as in [CD16, 6.2.3] that an étale motive is a cohomological constructible étale motive if and only if it is \mathfrak{p} -cohomological constructible for all prime ideal \mathfrak{p} . Moreover, if p is a prime number then as in [CD16, 6.2.4], an étale motive over a scheme of characteristic p is (p) -constructible cohomological if and only if it is (0) -cohomological constructible.
- (4) Let X be a scheme and \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} . Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ the category of \mathfrak{p} -cohomological constructible étale motives. It has the properties [CD16, 6.2.9(b)(c)]. It does not necessarily have property [CD16, 6.2.9(a)] however, in the proof of [CD16, 6.2.7] we only need the following weaker version: $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}(X, R)$ which contains the object $\mathbb{1}_X$. From this discussion, we deduce Gabber's lemma for cohomological étale motives: if X is quasi-excellent, and if either $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$ or $\mathfrak{p} = (p)$ and for any point x of X , $\text{char}(k(x)) \neq p$, then

for any dense immersion $U \rightarrow X$, the étale motive $j_*(\mathbb{1}_X)$ is a \mathfrak{p} -cohomological constructible étale motive.

- (5) Follow the proofs of [CD16, 6.2.13, 6.2.14] to prove that $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét},c}^{\text{coh}}(-, R)$ is endowed with the six functors formalism over quasi-excellent schemes.

REFERENCES

- [AB09] Joseph Ayoub and Luca Barbieri-Viale. 1-motivic sheaves and the Albanese functor. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(5):809–839, 2009.
- [AGV73] Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck, and Jean-Louis Verdier. *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963-1964 (SGA 4)*, volume 269, 270, 305 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1973.
- [AGV22] Joseph Ayoub, Martin Gallauer, and Alberto Vezzani. The six-functor formalism for rigid analytic motives. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 10:182, 2022. Id/No e61.
- [Ayo07] Joseph Ayoub. *Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique*, volume 315. Société mathématique de France Montrouge, 2007.
- [Ayo11] Joseph Ayoub. The n -motivic t -structures for $n = 0, 1$ and 2 . *Adv. Math.*, 226(1):111–138, 2011.
- [Ayo14] Joseph Ayoub. *La réalisation étale et les opérations de Grothendieck*, volume 47. Société Mathématique de France, 2014.
- [Ayo15] Joseph Ayoub. Motives of rigid analytic varieties. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr., Nouv. Sér.*, 140-141:1–386, 2015.
- [Ayo21] Joseph Ayoub. Anabelian presentation of the motivic galois group in characteristic zero. Available at <http://user.math.uzh.ch/ayoub/>, 2021.
- [AZ12] Joseph Ayoub and Steven Zucker. Relative artin motives and the reductive borel–serre compactification of a locally symmetric variety. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 188(2):277–427, 2012.
- [BBDG18] Alexander Beilinson, Joseph Bernstein, Pierre Deligne, and Ofer Gabber. *Faisceaux pervers*, volume 100. Société mathématique de France, 2018.
- [BD17] Mikhail Bondarko and Frédéric Déglise. Dimensional homotopy t -structures in motivic homotopy theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 311:91–189, 2017.
- [Bei87] Alexander A. Beilinson. How to glue perverse sheaves. *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry*, Semin., Moscow Univ. 1984-86, Lect. Notes Math. 1289, 42-51 (1987)., 1987.
- [Bei02] Alexander Beilinson. Remarks on n -motives and correspondences at the generic point. In *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory. Part I: Motives and polylogarithms. Papers from the International Press conference, Irvine, CA, USA, June 1998*, pages 35–46. Somerville, MA: International Press, 2002.
- [BH21] Tom Bachmann and Marc Hoyois. Remarks on étale motivic stable homotopy theory. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.06002>, 2021.
- [Bon15] Mikhail V. Bondarko. Mixed motivic sheaves (and weights for them) exist if ‘ordinary’ mixed motives do. *Compos. Math.*, 151(5):917–956, 2015.
- [BS15] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze. The pro-étale topology for schemes. In *De la géométrie algébrique aux formes automorphes (I). Une collection d’articles en l’honneur du soixantième anniversaire de Gérard Laumon*, pages 99–201. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2015.
- [BVK16] Luca Barbieri-Viale and Bruno Kahn. *On the derived category of 1-motives*, volume 381 of *Astérisque*. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2016.
- [CD16] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Frédéric Déglise. Étale motives. *Compositio Mathematica*, 152(3):277–427, 2016.
- [CD19] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Frédéric Déglise. *Triangulated categories of mixed motives*, volume 6 of *Springer monographs in mathematics*. Springer, 2019.
- [DDØ21] Adrien Dubouloz, Frédéric Déglise, and Paul Arne Østvær. Stable motivic homotopy theory at infinity. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.03222>, 2021.
- [Del77] Pierre Deligne. SGA 4 1/2–cohomologie étale. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 569, 1977.
- [Den88] Ch. Deninger. A proper base change theorem for non-torsion sheaves in étale cohomology. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 50(3):231–235, 1988.
- [Gab08] Ofer Gabber. Notes on some t -structures. In *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory*, pages 711–734. De Gruyter, 2008.

- [GR71] Alexander Grothendieck and Michèle Raynaud. *Revêtement étales et groupe fondamental Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1960-1961 (SGA 1)*, volume 224. Springer, 1971.
- [Gro66] Alexander Grothendieck. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. (Troisième partie)*. Rédigé avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 28:1–255, 1966.
- [Gro67] Alexander Grothendieck. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas (Quatrième partie)*. Rédigé avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 32:1–361, 1967.
- [ILO14] Luc Illusie, Yves Laszlo, and Fabrice Orgogozo, editors. *Travaux de Gabber sur l'uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents. Séminaire à l'École polytechnique 2006-2008*. Number 363-364 in Astérisque. Société mathématique de France, 2014.
- [Jan94] Uwe Jannsen. Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups. In *Motives. Proceedings of the summer research conference on motives, held at the University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, July 20-August 2, 1991*, pages 245–302. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [Kha16] Adeel Khan. *Motivic homotopy theory in derived algebraic geometry*. PhD thesis, Universität Duisburg–Essen, 2016.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra, 2017. Available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Org04] Fabrice Orgogozo. Isomotifs de dimension inférieure ou égale à un. *manuscripta mathematica*, 115(3):339–360, 2004.
- [Pep19a] Simon Pepin Lehalleur. Constructible 1-motives and exactness of realisation functors. *Doc. Math.*, 24:1721–1737, 2019.
- [Pep19b] Simon Pepin Lehalleur. Triangulated categories of relative 1-motives. *Advances in Mathematics*, 347:473–596, 2019.
- [Rob14] Marco Robalo. *Théorie homotopique motivique des espaces non commutatifs*. PhD thesis, Université de Montpellier 2, 2014.
- [Rui22a] Raphaël Ruimy. Artin perverse sheaves, 2022. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.07796>.
- [Rui22b] Raphaël Ruimy. Integral artin motives i: Smooth objects and the ordinary t-structure, 2022. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.02505>.
- [Sta23] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. Available at <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2023.
- [Vai16] Vaibhav Vaish. Motivic weightless complex and the relative artin motive. *Advances in Mathematics*, 292:316–373, 2016.
- [Vai19] Vaibhav Vaish. Punctual gluing of t-structures and weight structures. *manuscripta mathematica*, pages 1–26, 2019.
- [Voe92] Vladimir Voevodsky. *Homology of schemes and covariant motives*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1992. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Harvard University.