

# Tilings from Tops of Overlapping Iterated Function Systems

Michael F. Barnsley and Corey de Wit

**ABSTRACT.** The *top* of the attractor  $A$  of a hyperbolic iterated function system  $\{f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n | i = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$  is defined and used to extend self-similar tilings to overlapping systems. The theory interprets expressions of the form

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{j_1}^{-1} f_{j_2}^{-1} \dots f_{j_k}^{-1} (\{top(f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \dots f_{i_{k+1}}(A)) | i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k+1} \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{k+1}\})$$

to yield tilings of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Examples include systems of finite type, tilings related to aperiodic monotiles, and ones where there are infinitely many distinct but related prototiles.

## 1. Introduction

How can self-similar tiling theory [2, 14] be extended to collections of overlapping tiles?

In this paper we use top addresses, defined in Section 2.2, to extend self-similar tiling theory to include tilings generated by iterated function systems (IFS) that do not obey the open set condition. The tiles in these tilings are closures of the limits of decreasing sequences of approximate tiles. Individual tiles become fully defined after finitely many steps, while the tilings themselves become fully defined only in the infinite limit. Since our construction depends mainly on topology rather than geometry, it also applies to point-fibred IFSs acting on compact Hausdorff spaces. [6, 15].

Tilings associated with IFS that obey the open set condition or are of finite type have been investigated in other papers, see for example [3, 4, 6, 8] and references therein.

In [5] top addresses were introduced to define tilings in special cases. This paper elucidates the situation in [5] and answers in the affirmative the conjecture at the end of that paper.

As an application, we show how our construction applies in the context of aperiodic monotiles [18].

## 2. Iterated Function Systems, Tops, Blowups, and Tilings

**2.1. Iterated function systems.** Let  $F$  be an iterated function system (IFS)

$$F = \{f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n | i = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$$

where  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a strictly contractive homeomorphism with metric  $d$  such that

$$d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y), \text{ some } 0 < \lambda < 1, \text{ for all } x, y, i.$$

Then it is known [13] that  $F$  possesses a unique attractor, the only non-empty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which obeys

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^M f_i(A).$$

We will use the fact that if  $A$  has non-empty interior, then it is the closure of its interior,  $A = \overline{A^\circ}$ .

We will need the following notions related to symbolic handling of subsets of  $A$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the positive integers. Consider  $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the set of infinite strings of the form  $\mathbf{j} = j_1 j_2 \dots$  where each  $j_i$  belongs to  $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ . We define  $i : \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$  by  $i(\mathbf{j}) = i j_1 j_2 \dots$ . We may also write  $k_1 k_2 \dots k_l \mathbf{j}$  to mean the string, or *address*,  $k_1 k_2 \dots k_l j_1 j_2 \dots$ . The address  $\mathbf{j}$  truncated to length  $m$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{j}|m = j_1 j_2 \dots j_m$ , and we define

$$f_{\mathbf{j}|m} = f_{j_1} f_{j_2} \dots f_{j_m} = f_{j_1} \circ f_{j_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_m}.$$

We define a metric  $d'$  by  $d'(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) = 2^{-\max\{n | j_m = k_m, m=1, 2, \dots, n\}}$  for  $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{k}$ , so that  $(\{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}, d')$  is a compact metric space.

Then a continuous surjection  $\pi : \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$\pi(\mathbf{j}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{\mathbf{j}|m}(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{j_1} f_{j_2} \dots f_{j_m}(x).$$

It is well-known [13] that the limit is independent of  $x$ . Also, the convergence is uniform in  $\mathbf{j}$  over  $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and uniform in  $x$  over any compact subset of  $\mathbb{X}$ . We say  $\mathbf{j} \in \Sigma$  is an *address* of the point  $\pi(\mathbf{j}) \in A$ . Each point in  $A$  has at least one address.

**2.2. Tops.** Since  $\pi : \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow A$  is continuous and onto, it follows that  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is closed for all  $x \in A$ . Hence, a map  $\tau : A \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and a set  $\Sigma$  are well-defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) &:= \max\{\mathbf{k} \in \Sigma | \pi(\mathbf{k}) = x\}, \\ \Sigma &:= \tau(A) = \{\tau(x) : x \in A\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the maximum is with respect to lexicographical ordering. We call  $\tau(x)$  the *top* address of  $x \in A$ .

The top address  $\tau(x)$  of  $x \in A$  and the set of top addresses  $\Sigma$  may be calculated by following orbits under the dynamical system  $D : A \rightarrow A$  as follows. Partition  $A$  into  $\{A_i\}$  according to

$$A_1 = f_1(A), A_2 = f_2(A) \setminus A_1, A_3 = f_3(A) \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2), \dots, A_M = f_M(A) \setminus \bigcup_{n \neq M} A_n.$$

We always assume  $A_M \neq \emptyset$ . Define the orbit  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $x = x_1 \in A$ , by  $x_{n+1} = D x_n = f_{i_n}^{-1}(x_n)$  where  $i_n$  is the unique index such that  $x_n \in A_{i_n}$ . Then  $\tau(x) = i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots \in \Sigma$ .

William Thurston has profoundly discussed related  $\beta$ -expansions, [20]. See also [1] and references therein.

Define the *critical set* of  $F$  to be

$$C = \bigcup_i \overline{\partial A_i \setminus \partial A}.$$

Let  $\sigma : \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the shift operator defined by  $\sigma(\mathbf{j}) = j_2 j_3 \dots$ . Then

$$f_i \circ \pi = \pi \circ i \text{ and } f_{j_1}^{-1} \circ \pi(\mathbf{j}) = \pi \circ \sigma(\mathbf{j}) \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \mathbf{j}.$$

Key to the results in this paper is the total shift invariance of  $\Sigma$ , as follows.

LEMMA 1.  $\Sigma = \sigma(\Sigma)$ .

PROOF. This is readily checked [5].  $\square$

**2.3. Tops at finite depth.** Define  $\Sigma_n$  to be the elements of  $\Sigma$  truncated to length  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\Sigma_n = \{(\mathbf{j}|n) \mid \mathbf{j} \in \Sigma\}.$$

Corresponding to  $\mathbf{i}|n \in \Sigma_n$ , define members of a partition of  $A$  at depth  $n$  by

$$A_{\mathbf{i}|n} = \{\pi(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{j} \in \Sigma, (\mathbf{j}|n) = (\mathbf{i}|n)\}.$$

Equivalently,

$$A_{\mathbf{i}|n} = f_{\mathbf{i}|n}(A) \setminus \bigcup \{f_{\mathbf{j}|n}(A) \mid \mathbf{j} \in \Sigma, (\mathbf{j}|n) > (\mathbf{i}|n)\}.$$

We will need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\mathbf{k} \in \Sigma$ . Then  $f_{k_1}(A_{(\sigma(\mathbf{k}))|n-1}) \supseteq A_{\mathbf{k}|n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$ .

PROOF. We compare the sets

$$\{f_{k_1 \dots k_n}(x) \mid f_{k_1 \dots k_n}(x) \notin f_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_n}(A) \text{ for all } l_1 \dots l_n > k_1 \dots k_n\}$$

and

$$\{f_{k_1 \dots k_n}(x) \mid f_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}(x) \notin f_{k_1 l_2 \dots l_n}(A) \text{ for all } l_2 \dots l_n > k_2 \dots k_n\}.$$

The condition in the latter expression is less restrictive.  $\square$

Also, since  $\Sigma$  is shift invariant, we have:

LEMMA 3. Let  $n > 1$ . If  $i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-1} i_n \in \Sigma_n$ , then both  $i_2 \dots i_{n-1} i_n$  and  $i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-1}$  belong to  $\Sigma_{n-1}$ .

**2.4. Top blowups and tilings.** We focus on the overlapping case, where the OSC does not hold, with particular interest in the case where  $A$  has nonempty interior. We show how tilings of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be defined, generalizing well known constructions that apply when the OSC holds [3, 6, 19].

Let  $\overleftarrow{\Sigma} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the set strings  $\mathbf{l} = l_1 l_2 \dots$  such that  $l_n l_{n-1} \dots l_1 \in \Sigma_n$  for all  $n$ . Note that  $\overleftarrow{\Sigma}$  is closed but  $\Sigma$  may not be. We define, for  $\mathbf{j} \in \overleftarrow{\Sigma}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_{-\mathbf{j}|k} = f_{j_1}^{-1} f_{j_2}^{-1} \dots f_{j_k}^{-1} = f_{j_1}^{-1} \circ f_{j_2}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_k}^{-1}.$$

Strichartz [19] defines the *blowup*  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j})$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{j} \in \overleftarrow{\Sigma}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j}|n) = \bigcup_{l=1}^n f_{-\mathbf{j}|l}(A) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j}|n).$$

(Note that Strichartz was concerned with situations where the OSC holds, in which case  $\overleftarrow{\Sigma} = \{1, 2, \dots\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .) Here the unions are of increasing nested sequences of




FIGURE 1. The right hand panel represents the attractor of the IFS in Equation 3.1 partitioned at depth one into four pre-tiles, indicated by different colours. Dotted lines indicate open boundaries. The left hand panel is similar, but partitioned to depth two.

sets so  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j}|n) = f_{\mathbf{j}|n}^{-1}(A)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j}|n)$  is related to  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{i}|n)$  by the isometry  $(f_{-\mathbf{j}|n})(f_{-\mathbf{i}|n})^{-1}$ . But possible relationships between  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{i})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j})$  are quite subtle because inverse limits are involved.

Under conditions on  $F$  and fixed  $\mathbf{j} \in \overleftarrow{\Sigma}$ , stated in Theorem 1, we construct a collection of sets as follows. We define:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi(\mathbf{j}|k) &:= \{f_{-\mathbf{j}|k}(A_{\mathbf{t}|k+1}) | \mathbf{t} \in \Sigma\}, \\ \Pi(\mathbf{j}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Pi(\mathbf{j}|k), \text{ as defined in Theorem 1.} \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathbf{t} \in \Sigma$  and  $\mathbf{j} \in \overleftarrow{\Sigma}$ , we may refer to sets of the form  $f_{-\mathbf{j}|k}(A_{\mathbf{t}|k+1})$  and  $f_{-\mathbf{j}|k}(\overline{A_{\mathbf{t}|k+1}})$ , where the bar denotes closure, as *pre-tiles* and *tiles* respectively.

When the OSC holds and  $A$  has nonempty interior, that is  $A^\circ \neq \emptyset$ , the limit in Equation 2.1 is well defined because  $\overline{A_{\mathbf{t}|k+1}} = f_{\mathbf{t}|k+1}(A)$  so that, up to closure of the sets  $A_{\mathbf{t}|k+1}$ , we have  $\Pi(\mathbf{j}|k) \subset \Pi(\mathbf{j}|k+1)$  for all  $k$ , so  $(\{f_{-\mathbf{j}|k}(\overline{A_{\mathbf{t}|k+1}}) | \mathbf{t} \in \Sigma\})_{k=1}^\infty$  is an increasing nested sequence of finite collections of just-touching sets. In this case, at each level new pre-tiles are added, while the tiles defined by taking the closures of pre-tiles belonging to the previous levels remain unchanged. In Section 3.1 we provide a simple example of pre-tiles and use of our notion of “tops”. Tilings constructed in this manner, though with different language, are discussed in [7, 9].

But the general situation is quite different because nested sequences of pre-tiles may change substantially from one level to the next. As we will show, the collection of sets  $\Pi(\mathbf{j})$  is well defined in situations where  $\Pi(\mathbf{j}|k)$  may not be a subset of  $\Pi(\mathbf{j}|k+1)$ , even when the closures of the pre-tiles is taken. In general, for  $\mathbf{j} \in \overleftarrow{\Sigma}$ , here is an informal preview of what happens. At each successive value of  $k$ , the pre-tiles from the previous level may shrink and new pre-tiles are added. Sequences of successively smaller pre-tiles cease to shrink after finitely many steps, and their closures remain thereafter as established tiles with nonempty interiors, members of a tiling that is fully defined only in the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . An example of this process, showing how the successive pre-tiles may shrink but finally stabilize, is given in Section 3.2.




FIGURE 2. The maps  $f_4^{-1}$  (left) and  $f_1^{-1}$  (right) have been applied to the right-hand partition in Figure 1. Notice the different positionings of the closed and open edges of the pre-tiles that cover  $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ .

In the overlapping case it is not obvious that the pre-tiles we will define have non-empty interiors. We will need the following Lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $E \setminus F$  is not empty,  $E$  is the closure of its interior, and  $F$  is closed. Then the interior  $(E \setminus F)^\circ$  of  $E \setminus F$  is not empty.*

PROOF. Suppose  $(E \setminus F)^\circ$  is empty. Then  $E^\circ \subset F$ . Taking the closure of both sides, it follows that  $E$  is contained in  $F$ . That is,  $E \setminus F$  is empty, a contradiction. So if  $E \setminus F$  is not empty, then  $(E \setminus F)^\circ$  is not empty.  $\square$

In Theorem 1 we consider expressions of the form

$$S(l, \mathbf{i}, k) := f_{j_1}^{-1} \dots f_{j_k}^{-1}(A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}})$$

where  $k, l \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{i} \in \Omega_l$  with

$$\Omega_l := \{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma : j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1} \in \Sigma_{k+1} \text{ for all } k \geq l+1\}.$$

Sets of the form  $S(l, \mathbf{i}, k)$  are subsets of the pre-tiles that occur in the expression  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Pi(\mathbf{j}|k)$ . The key observation underlying Theorem 1 is that, under a condition on  $\mathbf{j}$ , as  $k$  diverges the sequence of sets  $S(l, \mathbf{i}, k)$  decreases for finitely many steps after which it does not change.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $F$  be a strictly contractive IFS on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose attractor  $A$  has nonempty interior. Let  $C$  be the critical set of  $F$ . (\*) Let  $\mathbf{j} \in \overleftarrow{\Sigma}$ ,  $\hat{\lambda} \in (\lambda, 1)$ ,  $x_0 \in A$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , be fixed such that the sequence  $\{x_n = f_{j_n} f_{j_{n-1}} \dots f_{j_1}(x_0)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  obeys  $d(x_n, C) > \varepsilon (\hat{\lambda})^n$  for all  $n$ .*

(i) For fixed  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{i} \in \Omega_l$ , the sequence  $\{S(l, \mathbf{i}, k) : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is nested decreasing according to  $S(l, \mathbf{i}, 0) \supseteq S(l, \mathbf{i}, 1) \supseteq \dots$  and there is finite  $K$  such that the sequence converges in a finite number of steps to a non-empty set  $S(l, \mathbf{i})$  with non-empty interior

$$S(l, \mathbf{i}) := S(l, \mathbf{i}, K) = S(l, \mathbf{i}, K+1) = \dots$$

(ii) Let

$$S(l) := \{S(l, \mathbf{i}) : \mathbf{i} \in \Omega_l\}.$$

Then  $\{S(l)\}_{l=0}^\infty$  is a nested increasing sequence of collections of sets with non-empty interiors, according to

$$S(0) \subset S(1) \subset \dots$$

Define  $\Pi(\mathbf{j})$  as the union limit of the above sequence, i.e.

$$\Pi(\mathbf{j}) := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S(n).$$

$\Pi(\mathbf{j})$  provides a partition of the unbounded region of infinite area/volume,

$$\mathbb{X} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{-\mathbf{j}|k}(\tilde{A})$$

where  $\tilde{A} = f_{-\mathbf{j}|m}(A_{j_m \dots j_1})$  for all sufficiently large  $m$ .

(iii) If, in (\*),  $C$  is replaced by  $C \cup \partial A$ , then  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

PROOF. We begin by noting the following. The sets  $A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}$  are non-empty by Lemmas 2 and 3. Each of these sets has non-empty interior, by the following argument.  $A$  has non-empty interior by assumption. Because  $A$  is an attractor of an IFS of invertible maps, it is the closure of its interior, i.e.  $A = \overline{A^\circ}$ . The set  $A_1 = f_1(A)$  has nonempty interior and is the closure of its interior because  $f_1$  is continuous and invertible.  $A_2 = A_1 \setminus f_1(A)$  is of the form  $E \setminus G$  where  $E$  has non-empty interior and  $G$  is closed. So  $A_2$  has non-empty interior by Lemma 4. Similarly, inductively, each  $A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}$  has nonempty interior.

To prove the first part of (i), by Lemma 2,

$$f_{j_{k+1}}(A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}) \supseteq A_{j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}$$

and apply  $f_{j_1}^{-1} \dots f_{j_{k+1}}^{-1}$  to both sides.

For the remainder of (i), notice that if  $f_{j_{k+1}}(A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}) \cap C = \emptyset$ , then for any  $f_{\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{k+1}}(A)$  intersecting  $f_{j_{k+1}}(A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}})$  such that  $\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{k+1} > j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}$ , we must have  $\omega_1 = j_{k+1}$ . That is,  $f_{j_{k+1}}(A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}) = A_{j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}$ , and by applying  $f_{j_1}^{-1} f_{j_2}^{-1} \dots f_{j_{k+1}}^{-1}$  to both sides we get  $S(l, \mathbf{i}, k) = S(l, \mathbf{i}, k+1)$ . So it suffices to find a large enough  $K$  such that  $A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}$  contains no points of  $C$  for all  $k \geq K$ .

But  $A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}$  is contained in  $f_{j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1}}(A)$  and for any fixed  $l$  and  $k$  sufficiently larger than  $l$ , condition (\*) ensures that  $f_{j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1}}(A)$  does not meet  $C$ . Indeed,

$$(2.2) \quad d(f_{j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} l+1 \dots j_1}(x_0), f_{j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1}}(A)) \leq \lambda^{k-l} |A|,$$

where  $|A| = \max\{d(x, y) | x, y \in A\}$  with  $d$  the metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$(2.3) \quad d(f_{j_{k+1} j_k \dots j_{l+2} j_{l+1} l+1 \dots j_1}(x_0), C) \geq (\hat{\lambda})^{k+1} \varepsilon.$$




FIGURE 3. This picture relates to the IFS in Equation 3.3 and shows successive tilings of the form  $f_{-\mathbf{k}|n}(\{\overline{A_{\mathbf{i}|(n+1)}} : \mathbf{i} \in \Sigma\})$  where  $|\mathbf{k}|_4 = 1414$ . In successive panels the bounding box shown in red remains constant.

So the shortest distance between  $f_{j_{k+1}j_k \dots j_{l+2}j_{l+1}}(A)$  and  $C$  is greater than

$$(\widehat{\lambda})^{k+1} \varepsilon - \lambda^{k-l}|A|$$

which is strictly positive, for any fixed  $l$  and any  $k$  that is sufficiently larger than  $l$ .

To prove the first part of (ii), namely that  $S(l) \subset S(l+1)$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} S(l) &= \{S(l, \mathbf{i}) : \mathbf{i} \in \Omega_l\} \\ &= \{f_{j_1}^{-1} \dots f_{j_k}^{-1}(A_{j_k \dots j_{l+2}j_{l+1}i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}) : \text{for all } k \text{ as in (i), } \mathbf{i} \in \Omega_l\}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} S(l+1) &= \{S(l+1, \mathbf{i}) : \mathbf{i} \in \Omega_{l+1}\} \\ &= \{f_{j_1}^{-1} \dots f_{j_k}^{-1}(A_{j_k \dots j_{l+3}j_{l+2}i_1 \dots i_{l+2}}) : \text{for all } k \text{ as in (i), } \mathbf{i} \in \Omega_{l+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $k$  sufficiently large, say  $k \geq K$ , so that both expressions on the right hold. Then, using Lemma 3, the set of allowed indices in the first expression, ones with tails of the form  $j_{l+1}i_1 \dots i_{l+1}$ , where  $l+1$  is fixed, is a subset of the set of allowed indices in the second expression, with tails of the form  $i_1 \dots i_{l+2}$ . So  $S(l) \subset S(l+1)$ . This completes the proof of the first part of (ii).

Following though the details, we find that  $\Pi(\mathbf{j}) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S(n)$ , defines a tiling of a region that contains

$$\mathbb{X} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{-\mathbf{j}|k}(\tilde{A})$$

where  $\tilde{A} = f_{-\mathbf{j}|m}(A_{j_m \dots j_1})$  for all sufficiently large  $m$ . (Clearly the tiled region is contained in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{j})$ .)

(iii) In this case, using a calculation similar to the one in Equations 2.2 and 2.3, we find that the shortest distance between  $\tilde{A}$  and the boundary of  $S(l)$  diverges with  $l$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1. The tiling  $\Pi(\bar{1})$  always well-defined and its support is the blowup  $\cup f_1^{-k}(A)$ . In this case the set of tiles is exactly  $\cup f_1^{-k}(\{f_{\mathbf{i}|(k+1)}(A) : \mathbf{i} \in \Sigma\})$ . [5].

### 3. NOTION OF PRE-TILES AND TOPS

**3.1. Basic example of top tiling when the OSC holds.** Here we use an example, where the OSC holds, to illustrate notions and language associated with




FIGURE 4. This shows the converged tiling, within the red bounding box, following on from Figure 3. See text. As the tiling develops further, parts within the bounding box cease to change.

top tilings. We consider the IFS

$$(3.1) \quad \{\mathbb{C}; f_1(z) = z/2, f_2(z) = (z+1)/2, f_3(z) = (z+i)/2, f_4(z) = (z+1+i)/2\}$$

which has attractor  $A = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . We may think of  $A$  as being tiled by the four half-sized copies  $\{f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A), f_4(A)\}$  of  $A$ . Each point of  $A$  belongs to a unique pre-tiling, specifically

$$A_1 = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}], A_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}], A_3 = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1], A_4 = (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$$

See Figure 1. These pre-tiles, which generally are neither open nor closed, but have non-empty interiors, are obtained by taking the “top” of the set  $\{f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A), f_4(A)\}$ , after assigning lexicographical ordering to the indices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Here the set  $f_1(A)$  lies “on top of” parts of  $f_2(A)$ ,  $f_3(A)$ , and  $f_4(A)$ . What we call the “top tiling” of  $A$  in this example comprises four pre-tiles, the portions of the sets  $f_i(A)$  that belong to the “top”.

We extend this top tiling to a larger region in two steps. (i) We use

$$A = \bigcup_{i,j=1}^4 f_{ij}(A) = \bigcup_{i,j=1}^4 A_{ij},$$

where  $A_{ij} = f_{ij}(A) \setminus \bigcup_{st < ij} A_{st}$ , so for example, as illustrated in Figure 1,

$$A_{11} = [0, \frac{1}{4}] \times [0, \frac{1}{4}], A_{12} = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [0, \frac{1}{4}], A_{13} = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, \frac{1}{4}], A_{14}(A) = (\frac{3}{4}, 1] \times [0, \frac{1}{4}].$$

That is, we have used the lexicographic ordering  $11 > 12 > 13 > 14 > 21 > \dots$  to determine to which tile to assign points which belong to more than one set in  $\{f_{ij}(A)\}$ . Note that in general  $A_{ij} \neq f_{ij}(A)$  because  $f_{ij}(A)$  is closed but  $A_{ij}$  is typically not closed. (ii) We apply  $f_1^{-1}$  to  $\{A_{ij}\}$  to obtain a partition of  $[0, 2] \times [0, 2]$  consisting of the sixteen disjoint pre-tiles illustrated in the right-hand panel Figure






FIGURE 5. The overlapping attractor of an i.f.s. of two similitudes. The figure has been rotated ninety degrees anticlockwise relative to the usual presentation of the x,y coordinate system. each with the same scaling factor.

2,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{-1}A_{11} &= [0, 0.5] \times (0, 0.5], f_1^{-1}A_{12} = (0.5, 1] \times [0, 0.5], \\ f_1^{-1}A_{13} &= [0.5, 0.5] \times (0, 1], f_1^{-1}A_{14} = (0.5, 2] \times [0, 0.5], \\ &\dots \\ f_1^{-1}A_{43} &= (1.5, 2] \times (1, 1.5], f_1^{-1}A_{44} = (1.5, 2] \times (1.5, 2]. \end{aligned}$$

This tiling extends the pre-tiling  $\{A_i\}$ , and we notice that

$$f_1^{-1}A_{1j} = A_j \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

We consider a second extension of the original top tiling, this time of  $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ , by applying  $f_4^{-1}$  to  $\{A_{ij}\}$ , as illustrated in the left-hand panel in Figure 2. In this case we notice that

$$(3.2) \quad f_4^{-1}A_{4j} \subsetneq A_j \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

This example may be developed further to yield obvious tilings, by squares, of the plane, half-plane and quarter plane, depending on choice of  $\mathbf{j}$ , typifying aspects of self-similar polygonal tilings, as discussed in [7], provided by the formula

$$\Pi(\mathbf{j}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{f_{-\mathbf{j}|k} \{f_{\mathbf{i}|k+1}(A) : \mathbf{i} \in \Sigma\}\}.$$

A similar development applies to any IFS that obeys the OSC. See for example [7]. Our main purpose in this simple example is to draw attention to Equation 3.2 and the notion of pre-tiles.

**3.2. Illustrations of pre-tiles when the OSC does not hold.** We consider the overlapping IFS

$$(3.3) \quad \{\mathbb{C}; f_1(z) = \frac{3}{5}z, f_2(z) = \frac{3z+2}{5}, f_3(z) = \frac{3z+2i}{5}, f_4(z) = \frac{3z+2+2i}{5}\}.$$

The left hand panel in Figure 3 illustrates the attractor, namely the unit square, with the four pre-tiles

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= [0, 0.6] \times [0, 0.6], A_2 = (0.6, 1] \times [0, 0.6] \\ A_3 &= [0, 0.6] \times (0.4, 1], A_4 = (0.6, 1] \times (0.6, 1] \end{aligned}$$

The subsequent panels illustrate successively the partitions  $f_1^{-1}(\{A_{i_1 i_2} : i_1 i_2 \in \Sigma_2\})$ ,  $f_1^{-1}f_4^{-1}(\{A_{i_1 i_2 i_3} : i_1 i_2 i_3 \in \Sigma_3\})$ ,  $f_1^{-1}f_4^{-1}f_1^{-1}(\{A_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} : i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 \in \Sigma_4\})$ . In each panel the same viewing window is illustrated in red. It can be seen that in successive




FIGURE 6. Illustration of the top of the leaf attractor at depths 0 to 5.

panels, pre-tiles that cover approximately the same region either stay the same or shrink, while new pre-tiles appear. Figure 4 illustrates a close-up of the part of partition  $f_1^{-1}f_4^{-1}f_1^{-1}f_4^{-1}(\{A_{i_1i_2i_3i_4i_5} : i_1i_2i_3i_4i_5 \in \Sigma_5\})$  that lies within the bounding box. This patch of the final tiling has now converged, and is exactly the same as  $f_{-\mathbf{k}|n}(\{A_{\mathbf{i}|n+1} : \mathbf{i} \in \Sigma\})$  for all  $\mathbf{k}$  such that  $\mathbf{k}|4 = 1414$ .

#### 4. EXAMPLES OF TOPS TILINGS

**4.1. Notation for affine maps.** For a two-dimensional affine transformation  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  we write

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & g \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } f(x, y) = (ax + by + e, cx + dy + g)$$

where  $a, b, c, d, e, g \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**4.2. Leaf example of a two-dimensional top tiling.** We consider the i.f.s. defined by the two similitudes

$$(4.1) \quad f_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7526 & -.2190 & .2474 \\ 0.2190 & 0.7526 & -.0726 \end{bmatrix}, f_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7526 & 0.2190 & 1.0349 \\ 0.2190 & 0.7526 & 0.0678 \end{bmatrix}$$

The overlapping attractor looks like a leaf, and is the union of two overlapping copies of itself, as illustrated in Figure 5. The point with address  $\bar{1} = 111\dots$  is represented by the tip of the stem of the leaf. The stem is actually arranged in an infinite spiral, not visible in the picture.

Figure 6 illustrates the top of  $L$  at depths  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}$  labelled for the most part by the addresses in  $\Sigma_n$ .

Figure 7 illustrates the successive top tilings  $\Pi(\bar{1}|n)$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 5$  for the i.f.s. in Equation 4.1. In this case the pre-tiles do not shrink for some initial set of levels because  $\bar{1}$  is the lexicographically maximal address. The pictures represents successively larger fully converged parts of the unbounded tiling  $\Pi(\bar{1})$ . They were computed by the same method as those in Figure 8.

Figure 8 illustrates  $\Pi(21212121\dots|n)$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ . These illustrations were computed using the chaos game algorithm, assigning unique colours




FIGURE 7. This shows the sequence of tops  $\Pi(111\dots|n)$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 6$  for the leaf i.f.s. In each case the tip of the stem is at the same point in the xy-plane.

”stolen” from a master image, to identify pre-tiles by their addresses to depth  $n$ , illustrated in the bottom eight panels, then using an edge detection routine.

**4.3. Top tilings related to hat tilings.** Figure 9 illustrates the attractor and the part of each of the top tilings  $\Pi(\bar{5}324)$  and  $\Pi(234\bar{5})$  (\*\*check this) for the following IFS, within the viewing window  $-1 \leq x \leq 2$ ,  $-1.5 \leq y \leq 1.5$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}+3}z \\ f_2(z) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}+3}e^{(-i\pi/3)}z + \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{5}-1)(i\sqrt{3}+1) \\ f_3(z) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}+3}e^{(-i2\pi/3)}z + \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{5}-1)(i\sqrt{3}+3) \\ f_4(z) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}+3}e^{(2i\pi/3)}z + \frac{1}{4}(i\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{5}) + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4}i\sqrt{15} \\ f_5(z) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}+3}e^{(i\pi/3)}z + \frac{1}{4}(i\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{5}) + \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{4}i\sqrt{15} \\ f_6(z) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}+3}z + \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5}) \\ f_7(z) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}+3}z + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

This IFS was derived from study of Figure 2.14 in [18]. It provides an alternative description of structure of the limiting fractal tiling shapes associated with hat tilings. Instead of there being two different structures involved there is a single shape. The tile corresponding to  $f_7(z)$ , namely  $f_7(A)$ , has a missing piece because it lies partly underneath  $f_6(A)$ . Otherwise the sets  $f_i(A)$  are just-touching. In fact this system is of finite type and may be represented by a graph directed IFS. Here different, non-homeomorphic tilings are codified by their corresponding reversible addresses. See Figure 9. The tiled attractor of the IFS is indicated in green. More details concerning this example are included in [11].




FIGURE 8. See text. The tiles on the right side of the image stabilise while tiles on the left continue to shrink.

### 5. Generalization

The theory developed in this paper applies in the setting of point-fibred IFS, as defined in [6] based on the original work of [15]. In particular, top tilings may be developed in the context of loxodromic Möbius transformations [21].

A version of the theory also applies when the tiles are defined using regions defined by applying  $f_{-j|n}$  of all images of the boundary of  $A$  in  $f_{i|n}(A)$  at depth  $n$  for all  $i$ . In this setting Baire's Theorem provides well-defined tilings of say  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , one for each of a dense set of choices for  $\mathbf{j} \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . This allows tilings to be well-defined in the case of non-algebraic data, possessing some level of self-similarity, and maybe suitable for modelling images derived from nature.

### 6. Acknowledgement

We thank Louisa Barnesley for help, including especially the artwork.




FIGURE 9. Two of many different top tilings associated with an aperiodic monotile of Smith et al. The top tiling of the attractor of the IFS is illustrated in green. See text.

## References

- [1] S. Akiyama, J. M. Thuswaldner, A survey on topological properties of tiles related to number systems, *Geom. Dedicata* **109** (2004), 89-105
- [2] C. Bandt, Self-similar sets 5: integer matrices and fractal tilings of  $R^n$ , *Proc. AMS* **112** (1991), 549-562.
- [3] C. Bandt, Self-similar tilings and patterns described by mappings, *Mathematics of Aperiodic Order* (ed. R. Moody) Proc. NATO Advanced Study Institute C489, Kluwer, (1997) 45-83.
- [4] C. Bandt, M. F. Barnsley, Elementary fractal geometry 5. Weak separation is strong separation. arXiv:2404.04892 2024
- [5] L. F. Barnsley, M. F. Barnsley, Blowups and tops of overlapping iterated functions systems, *From Classical Analysis to Analysis on Fractals* P.Alonso Ruis et al. (eds.) Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis (2023), 231-249.
- [6] M. F. Barnsley, A. Vince, Fractal tilings from iterated function systems, *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, **51** (2014), 729-752.
- [7] M. F. Barnsley, A. Vince, Self-similar polygonal tilings, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **124** (2017), 905-921.
- [8] M. F. Barnsley, A. Vince, A Tilings from graph directed iterated function systems', *Geometriae Dedicata*, **212** (2020), 299-324.
- [9] M. F. Barnsley, A. Vince, Tiling iterated function systems, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2024.114807>
- [10] M. F. Barnsley, A. Vince, Developments in fractal geometry, *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences*, **3** (2013), 299-348.
- [11] C. de Wit, The hat polykite as an iterated function system, in preparation (2025).
- [12] C. Frougny, B. Solomyak, Finite beta-expansions, *Ergodic Theory and Dyn. Systems* **12** (1992) 713-723.
- [13] J. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981), 713-747.
- [14] R. Kenyon, The construction of self-similar tilings, arXiv:math/9505210v1 [math.MG] 30 May 1995.
- [15] B. Kieninger, *Iterated Function Systems on Compact Hausdorff Spaces*, Shaker Verlag GmbH, 2002.
- [16] W. Parry, On beta-expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungary* **11** (1960) 401-416.
- [17] A. Reyni, Representation for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungary* **8** (1957) 477-493.
- [18] D. Smith, David, J. S. Myers, C. S. Kaplan, C. Goodman-Strauss, An aperiodic monotile, <https://doi.org/10.5070/C64163843>
- [19] R. S. Strichartz, Fractals in the large, *Canad. J. Math.*, **50** (1998), 638-657.

- [20] W. Thurston, Groups, tilings, and finite state automata, A.M.S. Colloquium Lecture Notes, 1989.
- [21] A. Vince, Mobius Iterated Function Systems, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), 491-509.