

A PROBLEM OF HIRST FOR THE HURWITZ CONTINUED FRACTION AND THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF SETS WITH RESTRICTED SLOWLY GROWING DIGITS

YUTO NAKAJIMA AND HIROKI TAKAHASI

ABSTRACT. We address the problem of determining the Hausdorff dimension of sets consisting of complex irrationals whose complex continued fraction digits satisfy prescribed restrictions and growth conditions. For the Hurwitz continued fraction, we confirm Hirst's conjecture, as a complex analogue of the result of Wang and Wu [Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008), no. 1, 18–22] for the regular continued fraction. We also prove a complex analogue of the second-named author's result on the Hausdorff dimension of sets with restricted slowly growing digits [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (2023), no. 9, 3645–3653]. To these ends, we exploit an infinite conformal iterated function system associated with the Hurwitz continued fraction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Continued fractions of real and complex numbers can provide good rational approximations to irrational numbers, and various aspects of irrational numbers are understood by means of their continued fraction expansions. Conversely, sets of irrationals whose continued fraction digits satisfy certain prescribed conditions often become fractal sets. A principal aim of the dimension theory of continued fractions is to determine the Hausdorff dimension of these fractals. A pioneering result in this direction is due to Jarník [17] for the regular continued fraction

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1(x)} + \frac{1}{a_2(x)} + \frac{1}{a_3(x)} + \cdots,$$

where $x \in (0, 1)$ is an irrational and $a_n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $n \geq 1$. It is well-known that x is badly approximable if and only if the sequence $(a_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. In [17], Jarník proved that the set of badly approximable numbers in $(0, 1)$ is of Hausdorff dimension 1. On the basis of Jarník's techniques, Good [12, Theorem 1] proved that the set of irrationals in $(0, 1)$ whose regular continued fraction digits diverge to infinity is of Hausdorff dimension $1/2$.

As a refinement of Good's theorem, Hirst [13] considered cases where digits are restricted to belong to some subset of \mathbb{N} . For an infinite subset B of \mathbb{N} , he introduced the set

$$E(B) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q} : a_n(x) \in B \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(x) = \infty \right\},$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A55, 11K50, 30B70.

Keywords: Hurwitz continued fraction; Hausdorff dimension; iterated function system (IFS).

and conjectured that for an arbitrary B , $E(B)$ is of Hausdorff dimension $\tau(B)/2$ where $\tau(B)$ denotes the *convergence exponent* given by

$$\tau(B) = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \sum_{k \in B} k^{-t} < \infty \right\}.$$

Hirst indeed proved the upper bound for an arbitrary B , and proved the lower bound in the special case $B = \{k^b\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [13, Theorem 3]). Cusick [6, Theorem 1] proved the lower bound under the following density assumption on B [6, p.280]: there exist positive constants c , q and r such that $r < q\tau(B)$, and for all real numbers $p \geq q$, $\#(B \cap [(n-1)^p, n^p]) \geq cn^{p\tau(B)-r}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hirst's conjecture was confirmed by Wang and Wu [33, Theorem 1.1] without any assumption on B , 35 years later than the appearance of Hirst's paper [13]. Hirst's conjecture was then formulated and confirmed in several different setups: continued fractions over the field of Laurent series [14]; infinite iterated function systems on compact intervals [4]; generalized continued fractions [32].

One ramification of Hirst's conjecture is a problem to determine the Hausdorff dimension of subsets of $E(B)$ with prescribed slowly growing speeds of digits, namely sets of the form

$$G(B, f) = \{x \in E(B) : a_n(x) \leq f(n) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where f is a function taking values in $[\min B, \infty)$ and satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty$. It was proved in [31, Theorem 1.1] that $G(B, f)$ is of Hausdorff dimension $\tau(B)/2$ for any such f . This result was generalized to infinite iterated function systems on compact intervals [11], and to semi-regular continued fractions [26].

It is natural to ask for analogous dimension results for complex continued fractions, i.e., continued fractions with digits in complex numbers. Since the work of Adolf Hurwitz [15] in the 19th century, there have been a number of attempts to define good continued fraction expansions for complex numbers, see e.g., [8, 16, 18, 20, 22, 30]. Extending results for the regular continued fraction to complex continued fractions is in general desirable, but not always possible, see e.g., [21, Section 4]. The aim of this paper is to confirm Hirst's conjecture for the Hurwitz continued fraction (Theorem 1.1), and prove an analogue of the result [31, Theorem 1.1] on the dimension of sets with restricted slowly growing digits for the Hurwitz continued fraction (Theorem 1.3).

1.1. Statements of the results. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ denote the Gaussian field and let $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$ denote the ring of Gaussian integers, namely

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) = \{x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} : x, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1}) = \{x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

For convenience we identify \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 in the obvious way. Then $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$ is identified with \mathbb{Z}^2 . Let

$$U = \left\{ x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} : -\frac{1}{2} \leq x < \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \leq y < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denote the largest integer not exceeding x . For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$\lfloor z \rfloor = \left\lfloor x + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + \sqrt{-1} \left\lfloor y + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

which is one of the at most four Gaussian integers that are nearest to z in the Euclidean metric. Define the *Hurwitz map* $H: U \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow U$ by

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{z} \right\rfloor. \tag{1.1}$$

For each complex number $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$, define a sequence $c_1(z), c_2(z), \dots$ of nonzero Gaussian integers inductively by

$$c_n(z) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{H^{n-1}(z)} \right\rfloor.$$

If $H^n(z) = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$ then $c_{n+1}(z)$ is not defined. We have $H^n(z) \neq 0$ for every $n \geq 1$ if and only if $z \in U \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, and in this case z has the unique infinite expansion of the form

$$z = \frac{1}{\lfloor c_1(z) \rfloor} + \frac{1}{\lfloor c_2(z) \rfloor} + \frac{1}{\lfloor c_3(z) \rfloor} + \dots, \tag{1.2}$$

see [8, Theorem 6.1] for example. The expansion of z in (1.2) is called the *Hurwitz continued fraction expansion* [15].

Substantial dimension results are emerging for the Hurwitz continued fraction. Generalizing Jarník’s techniques, González Robert [10] proved that the set of complex irrationals whose Hurwitz continued fraction digits diverge to infinity is of Hausdorff dimension 1. Bugeaud et al. [3] obtained a comprehensive dimension result as a complex analogue of the result of Wang and Wu [34] for the regular continued fraction. Our first result confirms Hirst’s conjecture, a complex analogue of [33, Theorem 1.1]. For a subset S of $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$, let $|S| = \{|z|: z \in S\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *For any infinite subset S of $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$, the set*

$$F(S) = \left\{ z \in U \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) : c_n(z) \in S \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n(z)| = \infty \right\}$$

is of Hausdorff dimension $\tau(|S|)/2$.

Computing the convergence exponent of the set $|\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})|$ and applying Theorem 1.1 to the case $S = \mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$ yields an alternative proof of González Robert’s extension [10] of Good’s theorem [12, Theorem 1] to the Hurwitz continued fraction.

Theorem 1.2 ([10, Theorem 1.3]). *The set*

$$\left\{ z \in U \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n(z)| = \infty \right\}$$

is of Hausdorff dimension 1.

We now move on to sets with restricted slowly growing digits. Our second result is a complex analogue of [31, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.3. *For any infinite subset S of $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$ and any function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [\min |S|, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty$, the set*

$$F(S, f) = \{z \in F(S) : |c_n(z)| \leq f(n) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is of Hausdorff dimension $\tau(|S|)/2$.

1.2. Outline of proofs of the main results. The regular continued fraction is generated by iterations of the Gauss map $x \in (0, 1] \mapsto 1/x - [1/x] \in [0, 1)$. The natural infinite partition $\{(1/(i+1), 1/i] : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $(0, 1]$ into 1-cylinders is an infinite Markov partition for the Gauss map. For the Hurwitz continued fraction, a main difficulty is that the natural infinite partition of the domain U into 1-cylinders is not a Markov partition for the Hurwitz map H : there are finitely many 1-cylinders whose H -images do not cover U . As far as Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are concerned, we may exclude all these problematic 1-cylinders from consideration.

A key concept underlying proofs of our main results is that of *iterated function system* (IFS). An IFS is a collection of uniformly contracting maps: at each step one of the maps in the collection is applied (see e.g., [9, Chapter 9]). An IFS consisting of conformal maps is called a *conformal IFS* (see e.g., [24, Section 6]). Level sets of a conformal IFS are almost balls, and hence can be used to compute Hausdorff dimension. The sets $F(S)$ and $F(S, f)$ in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are Cantor-like sets that may be well described as subsets of the limit set of a two-dimensional conformal IFS. We provide upper bounds of these two sets using a conformal IFS obtained by removing all the problematic 1-cylinders.

Providing lower bounds of Hausdorff dimension of $F(S)$ and $F(S, f)$ is much more difficult. Arguments in the lower bounds of Hausdorff dimension in the earlier related papers [4, 11, 14, 31, 32, 33] rely on the topological nature of intervals, and do not immediately generalize to our two-dimensional setup. Our lower bound relies on an ingenious application of the dimension theory of non-autonomous conformal IFSs developed by Rempe-Gillen and Urbański [29]. A *non-autonomous IFS* is a sequence of collections of contracting maps: unlike the usual IFS, the collection of contractions applied at each step is allowed to vary. We construct non-autonomous IFSs well-adapted to the prescribed restrictions and growth conditions, estimate the associated pressure functions, and then apply the non-autonomous version of Bowen's formula [2]. This approach traces back to our earlier paper [26] on semi-regular continued fractions [1, 7, 19, 27], and may be applicable to diverse setups including other complex continued fractions.

1.3. Organization of the paper. The rest of this paper consists of three sections. In §2 we introduce conformal IFSs, and then state a dimension result on an arbitrary 2-decaying conformal IFS (Theorem 2.1). In §3 we introduce non-autonomous conformal IFSs, and prove Theorem 2.1. In §4 we construct a 2-decaying conformal IFS associated with the Hurwitz continued fraction, and apply Theorem 2.1 to deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. Theorem 1.2 is also proved in §4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section is a preliminary on conformal IFSs. In §2.1 we introduce conformal IFSs, and state a dimension result for an arbitrary 2-decaying conformal IFS. In §2.2 we summarize basic properties of univalent functions and conformal IFSs.

2.1. Definition of Conformal IFS. For a holomorphic function $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \Omega$ let $D\phi(z)$ denote the complex derivative of ϕ at z . Throughout this paper, let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a connected compact set such that the closure of its interior coincides with Δ . We assume Δ is a convex set. Let I be a countable subset of \mathbb{C} and let $\{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ be a collection of maps from Δ to itself. For $n \geq 2$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$, write

$$\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \phi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i_n}.$$

We say $\{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ is a *conformal IFS* if the following three conditions hold:

(A1) (open set condition) For any pair i, j of distinct indices in I ,

$$\phi_i(\text{int}\Delta) \cap \phi_j(\text{int}\Delta) = \emptyset.$$

(A2) (conformality) There exists a connected open set $\tilde{\Delta} \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing Δ such that each ϕ_i extends to a C^1 conformal diffeomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_i: \tilde{\Delta} \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_i(\tilde{\Delta}) \subset \tilde{\Delta}$. With a slight abuse of notation, we often write ϕ_i for $\tilde{\phi}_i$.

(A3) (uniform contraction) There exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in I^m$ and any $z \in \Delta$ we have

$$0 < |D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_m}(z)| \leq \gamma.$$

If moreover $\#I = \infty$, then we say $\{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ is an *infinite conformal IFS* on Δ .

Let $\Phi = \{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ be an infinite conformal IFS on Δ . Fix $\zeta \in \Delta$. By (A3), we can define an *address map* $\Pi: I^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \Delta$ by

$$\Pi((i_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\zeta).$$

The set $L(\Phi) = \Pi(I^{\mathbb{N}})$ is called the *limit set* of Φ . Since we do not require “ $\phi_i(\Delta) \cap \phi_j(\Delta) = \emptyset$ for any pair i, j of distinct indices in I ”, the address map may not be injective. Let $L'(\Phi)$ denote the set of $z \in L(\Phi)$ such that $\Pi^{-1}(z)$ is a singleton.

For each $z \in L'(\Phi)$, let $(i_n(z))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the element of the singleton $\Pi^{-1}(z)$. We call $(i_n(z))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ an *address* of z . The next condition ensures that all but countably many points in the limit set has a unique address (see Lemma 2.5):

(A4) $\bigcup_{i \in I} (\phi_i(\Delta) \cap \partial\Delta)$ is a countable set.

Given an infinite subset S of I and a function $f: [\min |S|, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, define

$$F_{\Phi}(S) = \left\{ z \in L'(\Phi) : i_n(z) \in S \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |i_n(z)| = \infty \right\}$$

and

$$F_{\Phi}(S, f) = \{z \in F_{\Phi}(S) : |i_n(z)| \leq f(n) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We aim to compute the Hausdorff dimension of these two sets. This problem is tractable if we assume certain regularity on the decay of derivatives at infinity. We

say Φ is 2-decaying if (A4) holds, and there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that for any $i \in I$ and any $z \in \Delta$, we have

$$\frac{C_1}{|i|^2} \leq |D\phi_i(z)| \leq \frac{C_2}{|i|^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}$ denote the Hausdorff dimension on \mathbb{C} . A proof of the next theorem is given in §3.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ be a 2-decaying conformal IFS on Δ . For any infinite subset S of I and any function $f: [\min |S|, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} F_{\Phi}(S) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} F_{\Phi}(S, f) = \frac{\tau(|S|)}{2}.$$

A prime example of a 2-decaying conformal IFS $\{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ is of the form $\phi_i(z) = 1/(z+i)$, $i \in I$. In [23, Section 6], Mauldin and Urbański considered an infinite conformal IFS of this form on the closed disc centered at the point $1/2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with radius $1/2$, and proved Bowen's formula for the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set (see the remark after Theorem 3.2). Condition (A4) holds for this IFS.

One can introduce ν -decaying conformal IFSs ($\nu > 1$) replacing $|i|^2$ in (2.1) by $|i|^\nu$, and can generalize Theorem 2.1 to ν -decaying conformal IFSs: then $\tau(|S|)/2$ should be replaced by $\tau(|S|)/\nu$. The case $\nu = 2$ is most important since it is related to the Hurwitz continued fraction and other various complex continued fractions.

2.2. Properties of univalent functions and conformal IFSs. The next lemma is elementary in complex analysis, which follows from Koebe's distortion theorem, see [5, Theorem 1.4] for example.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a region and let A be a compact subset of Ω . There exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that for every univalent function $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and every pair of points z_1, z_2 in A we have*

$$\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{|D\phi(z_1)|}{|D\phi(z_2)|} \leq K.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta > 0$ let $B_\delta(z) = \{w \in \mathbb{C}: |w-z| < \delta\}$. The next lemma asserts that the images of open balls under conformal maps with bounded distortion contain open balls of definite diameters related to the derivatives of the maps. Similar statements are well-known and often used implicitly. For explicit presentations, see e.g., [24, pp.73–74] and [25, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $K \geq 1$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a region and let $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be univalent. For any $z \in \Omega$ and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$B_\delta(z) \subset \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{z_1, z_2 \in B_\delta(z)} \frac{|D\phi(z_1)|}{|D\phi(z_2)|} \leq K,$$

we have

$$B_{\delta|D\phi(z)|/(3K)}(\phi(z)) \subset \phi(B_\delta(z)).$$

Proof. It suffices to show $B_{\delta|D\phi(z)|/(3K)}(\phi(z)) \subset \phi(B_{\delta/2}(z))$. Since ϕ is univalent, it is an open map. If $B_{\delta|D\phi(z)|/(3K)}(\phi(z)) \not\subset \phi(B_{\delta/2}(z))$, then we could take a point $z' \in B_{\delta|D\phi(z)|/(3K)}(\phi(z)) \cap (\phi(B_{\delta}(z)) \setminus \phi(B_{\delta/2}(z))) \subset \phi(\Omega)$. Since $\phi^{-1}: \phi(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega$ is conformal and its distortion is bounded by K by the hypothesis, we would have

$$|\phi^{-1}(z') - z| = |\phi^{-1}(z') - \phi^{-1}(\phi(z))| \leq \frac{\delta|D\phi(z)|}{3K} \sup_{w \in \phi(\Omega)} |D\phi^{-1}(w)| \leq \frac{\delta}{3},$$

and so $\phi^{-1}(z') \in B_{\delta/2}(z)$. We would obtain $z' \in \phi(B_{\delta/2}(z))$, a contradiction. \square

For a set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ let $\text{diam}(A) = \sup\{|z_1 - z_2|: z_1, z_2 \in A\}$. The next lemma summarizes basic properties of conformal IFSs.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ be a conformal IFS on Δ .*

- (a) *There exists $K_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$ and any pair of points z_1, z_2 in $\tilde{\Delta}$,*

$$\frac{|D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z_1)|}{|D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z_2)|} \leq K_0.$$

- (b) *Let $\zeta \in \Delta$ and $\delta > 0$ be such that $B_\delta(\zeta) \subset \Delta$. There exist positive constants K_1, K_2 such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$ we have*

$$K_1 \leq \frac{\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta))}{|D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\zeta)|} \leq K_2.$$

Proof. Item (a) follows from Lemma 2.2 and (A2). From the convexity of Δ , (a) and the conformality of $\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}$, we have

$$\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta)) \leq \max_{z \in \Delta} |D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z)| \cdot \text{diam}(\Delta) \leq K_0 |D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\zeta)| \cdot \text{diam}(\Delta).$$

Lemma 2.3 gives

$$B_{\delta|D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\zeta)|/(3K_0)}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\zeta)) \subset \phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(B_\delta(\zeta)) \subset \phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta),$$

and thus

$$\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta)) \geq \frac{2\delta}{3K_0} |D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\zeta)|.$$

Taking $K_1 = 2\delta/(3K_0)$ and $K_2 = K_0 \cdot \text{diam}(\Delta)$ yields the desired double inequalities in (b). \square

For an infinite conformal IFS, the address map may not be injective. Moreover, there may be uncountably many limit points with non-unique addresses. The next lemma will be used in §3.3 to resolve this issue.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ be an infinite conformal IFS on Δ satisfying (A4). Then $L(\Phi) \setminus L'(\Phi)$ is a countable set.*

Proof. Let $z \in L(\Phi)$ and suppose $z \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\omega \in I^n} \phi_\omega(\bigcup_{i \in I} (\phi_i(\Delta) \cap \partial\Delta))$. If $z \notin L'(\Phi)$, then there exists $(j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in I^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \neq (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $z = \Pi((i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \Pi((j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Put $k = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}: i_n \neq j_n\}$. If $k > 1$ then (A1) implies $(\phi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i_{k-1}})^{-1}(z) \in \phi_{i_k}(\partial\Delta)$. Applying $\phi_{i_k}^{-1}$ we get $(\phi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i_k})^{-1}(z) \in \partial\Delta$. We also have $(\phi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i_k})^{-1}(z) \in \phi_{i_{k+1}}(\Delta)$. Since $\phi_{i_{k+1}}(\Delta) \cap \partial\Delta = \emptyset$ by the hypothesis on z , a contradiction arises. If $k = 1$ then an analogous argument

yields a contradiction too. We have verified that $L(\Phi) \setminus L'(\Phi)$ is contained in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\omega \in I^n} \phi_{\omega} \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} (\phi_i(\Delta) \cap \partial\Delta) \right)$, which is a countable set by (A4). \square

3. HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF SETS FOR CONFORMAL IFSs

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2.1. In §3.1 and §3.3 we establish upper and lower bounds on Hausdorff dimension for an arbitrary 2-decaying conformal IFS. A proof of the lower bound relies on the dimension theory of non-autonomous conformal IFSs summarized in §3.2. In §3.4 we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3.1. The upper bound of Hausdorff dimension. The following upper bound is rather straightforward, from the properties of conformal IFSs and the definition of convergence exponent.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_i : i \in I\}$ be a 2-decaying conformal IFS on Δ . For any infinite subset S of I we have*

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F_{\Phi}(S) \leq \frac{\tau(|S|)}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $\zeta \in \Delta$ and $\delta > 0$ be such that $B_{\delta}(\zeta) \subset \Delta$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $N \geq 1$ be sufficiently large such that

$$\left(\frac{K_0 K_2 C_2}{K_1} \right)^{(\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2} \sum_{\substack{i \in S \\ |i| \geq N}} |i|^{-\tau(|S|) - \varepsilon} \leq 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where $K_0, K_1 = K_1(\delta), K_2$ are the constants in Lemma 2.4 and C_2 is the constant in (2.1). From collections of level sets $\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta)$ satisfying $i_k \in S$ and $|i_k| \geq N$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n$, we choose coverings of the set

$$F_{\Phi, N}(S) = \{z \in F_{\Phi}(S) : |i_n(z)| \geq N \text{ for every } n \geq 1\},$$

and use them to estimate the Hausdorff dimension of $F_{\Phi, N}(S)$ from above.

By (a), (b) in Lemma 2.4 and (2.1), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}) \in I^{n+1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1}}(\Delta))}{\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(\Delta))} &\leq \frac{K_2 |D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1}}(\zeta)|}{K_1 |D\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\zeta)|} \\ &\leq \frac{K_0 K_2}{K_1} |D\phi_{i_{n+1}}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{K_0 K_2 C_2}{K_1} |i_{n+1}|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{i_{n+1} \in S \\ |i_{n+1}| \geq N}} \frac{\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1}}(\Delta))^{(\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2}}{\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta))^{(\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2}} \leq \left(\frac{K_0 K_2 C_2}{K_1} \right)^{(\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2} \sum_{\substack{i_{n+1} \in S \\ |i_{n+1}| \geq N}} |i_{n+1}|^{-\tau(|S|) - \varepsilon},$$

which does not exceed 1 by (3.1). It follows that

$$\frac{\sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} \in S \\ |i_1| \geq N, \dots, |i_{n+1}| \geq N}} \text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1}}(\Delta))^{(\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2}}{\sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \in S \\ |i_1| \geq N, \dots, |i_n| \geq N}} \text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta))^{(\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2}} \leq 1,$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} \in S \\ |i_1| \geq N, \dots, |i_{n+1}| \geq N}} \text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1}}(\Delta))^{(\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2} \leq 1.$$

Since $\sup\{\text{diam}(\phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\Delta)) : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n\} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 2.4(b) and (A3), we obtain $\dim_{\text{H}} F_{\Phi, N}(S) \leq (\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2$.

Note that $F_{\Phi}(S) \subset F_{\Phi, N}(S) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n} \phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(F_{\Phi, N}(S))$. Since each ϕ_i is Lipschitz continuous and Hausdorff dimensions do not change under the action of bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, it follows that $\dim_{\text{H}} F_{\Phi}(S) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} F_{\Phi, N}(S) \leq (\tau(|S|) + \varepsilon)/2$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain $\dim_{\text{H}} F_{\Phi}(S) \leq \tau(|S|)/2$ as required. \square

3.2. Dimension theory for non-autonomous conformal IFSs. For the sake of the lower bound in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we summarize the dimension theory [29] for non-autonomous conformal IFS on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d of dimension $d \geq 1$. We note that $d = 2$ throughout our application of this theory in §3.3.

Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set and let $\phi: W \rightarrow \phi(W)$ be a diffeomorphism. We say ϕ is *conformal* if for any $x \in W$ the differential $D\phi(x): \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a similarity linear map: $D\phi(x) = c_x \cdot M_x$ where $c_x > 0$ is a scaling factor at x and M_x is a $d \times d$ orthogonal matrix. For a conformal map $\phi: W \rightarrow \phi(W)$ and a set $A \subset W$, we set

$$\|D\phi\|_A = \sup\{|D\phi(x)| : x \in A\},$$

where $|D\phi(x)|$ denotes the scaling factor of ϕ at x .

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $I^{(n)}$ be a finite set. We introduce index sets

$$I^{\infty} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} I^{(j)}, \text{ and } I_n^q = \prod_{j=n}^q I^{(j)} \text{ for an integer } q \geq n. \quad (3.2)$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\{\phi_i^{(n)} : i \in I^{(n)}\}$ be a finite collection of self maps of Δ . For $\omega = i_1 i_2 \dots \in I^{\infty}$ and $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq q$, we set

$$\omega|_n^q = i_n \dots i_q \in I_n^q \text{ and } \phi_{\omega|_n^q} = \phi_{i_n}^{(n)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i_q}^{(q)}. \quad (3.3)$$

A *non-autonomous conformal IFS* on Δ is a sequence $\Phi = (\Phi^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\Phi^{(n)} = \{\phi_i^{(n)} : i \in I^{(n)}\}$ of collections of self maps of Δ which satisfy the following four conditions:

(B1) (open set condition) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any pair i, j of distinct indices in $I^{(n)}$,

$$\phi_i^{(n)}(\text{int}\Delta) \cap \phi_j^{(n)}(\text{int}\Delta) = \emptyset.$$

(B2) (conformality) There exists a connected open set $\tilde{\Delta}$ of \mathbb{R}^d containing Δ such that each $\phi_i^{(n)}$ extends to a C^1 conformal diffeomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_i^{(n)}: \tilde{\Delta} \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\Delta}) \subset \tilde{\Delta}$. With a slight abuse of notation we write $\phi_i^{(n)}$ for $\tilde{\phi}_i^{(n)}$.

(B3) (bounded distortion) There exists $K \geq 1$ such that for all $\omega \in I^{\infty}$ and all $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq q$,

$$|D\phi_{\omega|_n^q}(x_1)| \leq K |D\phi_{\omega|_n^q}(x_2)| \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in \tilde{\Delta}.$$

(B4) (uniform contraction) There are constants $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $L \geq 1$ such that for all $\omega \in I^\infty$ and all $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q - n \geq L$,

$$\|D\phi_{\omega|_n^q}\|_X \leq \gamma^{q-n+1}.$$

Let $\Phi = (\Phi^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$ be a non-autonomous conformal IFS on Δ . Condition (B4) ensures that the set $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \phi_{\omega|_1^n}(\Delta)$ is a singleton for each $\omega \in I^\infty$. We define an *address map* $\Pi: I^\infty \rightarrow \Delta$ by

$$\Pi(\omega) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \phi_{\omega|_1^n}(\Delta),$$

and the *limit set* by

$$\Lambda(\Phi) = \Pi(I^\infty).$$

For $s \geq 0$ we introduce a partition function

$$Z_n^\Phi(s) = \sum_{\omega \in I_1^n} (\|D\phi_\omega\|_\Delta)^s,$$

and a lower pressure function $\underline{P}^\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ of Φ by

$$\underline{P}^\Phi(s) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n^\Phi(s).$$

The lower pressure function has the following monotonicity [29, Lemma 2.6]: if $0 \leq s_1 < s_2$ then $\underline{P}^\Phi(s_1) = \underline{P}^\Phi(s_2) = \infty$ or $\underline{P}^\Phi(s_1) = \underline{P}^\Phi(s_2) = -\infty$ or $\underline{P}^\Phi(s_1) > \underline{P}^\Phi(s_2)$. So, one can define a critical value

$$s(\Phi) = \sup\{s \geq 0: \underline{P}^\Phi(s) > 0\} = \inf\{s \geq 0: \underline{P}^\Phi(s) < 0\},$$

called the *Bowen dimension*. We say the non-autonomous conformal IFS Φ is *subexponentially bounded* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \#I^{(n)} = 0.$$

Theorem 3.2 ([29, Theorem 1.1]). *Let Φ be a non-autonomous conformal IFS that is subexponentially bounded. Then*

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\Phi) = s(\Phi).$$

This type of formula, first established in [2] for Fuchsian groups without parabolic elements, is called Bowen's formula. Bowen's formula is well-known for conformal IFSs [23, 24]. Theorem 3.2 is an extension to non-autonomous conformal IFSs.

3.3. The lower bound of Hausdorff dimension. Using the dimension theory of non-autonomous conformal IFSs summarized in §3.2, we prove the following lower bound on Hausdorff dimension.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_i: i \in I\}$ be a 2-decaying conformal IFS on Δ . Let S be an infinite subset of I and let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [\min |S|, \infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty$. Then*

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F_\Phi(S, f) \geq \frac{\tau(|S|)}{2}.$$

Proof. An idea is to extract a family of non-autonomous conformal IFSs on Δ from Φ whose limit sets are of Hausdorff dimension approximately equal to $\tau(|S|)/2$. This idea traces back to [26]. We may assume $\tau(|S|) > 0$, for otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \tau(|S|))$.

We choose a sequence $(z_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in S inductively as follows:

$$|z_1| = \min\{|i| : i \in S\}. \quad (3.4)$$

$|z_{m+1}| > |z_m|$ for every $m \geq 1$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in S \\ |z_m| \leq |i| < |z_{m+1}|}} |i|^{-\tau(|S|)+\varepsilon} \geq 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Set

$$S_m = \begin{cases} \{i \in S : |i| = |z_1|\} & \text{for } m = 1, \\ \{i \in S : |z_m| \leq |i| < |z_{m+1}|\} & \text{for } m \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Let $(t_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that for every $m \geq 2$ we have

$$|z_{m+1}| \leq \inf \left\{ f(n) : \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j + 1 \leq n \leq \sum_{j=1}^m t_j \right\}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#S_m}{\sum_{j=1}^m t_j} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#S_m}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j + 1} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty$, one can choose such a sequence by induction on m .

For each integer $1 \leq n \leq t_1$, we set

$$I^{(n)} = S_1.$$

For each integer $n \geq t_1 + 1$ we pick $m \geq 2$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j + 1 \leq n \leq \sum_{j=1}^m t_j$, and set

$$I^{(n)} = S_m.$$

Put $I^\infty = \prod_{j=1}^\infty I^{(j)}$ and $I_n^k = \prod_{j=n}^k I^{(j)}$ as in (3.2). For $n \geq t_1 + 1$ we have

$$I_1^n = (S_1)^{t_1} \times \cdots \times (S_{m-1})^{t_{m-1}} \times (S_m)^{l_n},$$

where $l_n = n - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j$ and

$$I^\infty = (S_1)^{t_1} \times (S_2)^{t_2} \times \cdots \times (S_m)^{t_m} \times \cdots .$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in I^{(n)}$ we put $\phi_i^{(n)} = \phi_i$, and set

$$\Psi^{(n)} = \{\phi_i^{(n)} : i \in I^{(n)}\}.$$

For $\omega = i_1 i_2 \cdots \in I^\infty$ and $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq q$, we set $\omega|_n^q = i_n \cdots i_q \in I_n^q$ and $\phi_{\omega|_n^q} = \phi_{i_n}^{(n)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_q}^{(q)}$ as in (3.3). Finally we set

$$\Psi = (\Psi^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty.$$

Clearly Ψ is a non-autonomous conformal IFS on Δ .

Lemma 3.4. *The non-autonomous conformal IFS Ψ is subexponentially bounded, and $\Lambda(\Psi)$ is contained in $F_\Phi(S, f)$.*

Proof. Recall that for each integer $n \geq t_1 + 1$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j + 1 \leq n$. Then

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{n} \log \#I^{(n)} \leq \frac{\log \#S_m}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j + 1}.$$

From this and (3.8) we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log \#I^{(n)} = 0$, namely Ψ is subexponentially bounded.

Let $z \in \Lambda(\Psi)$. Since there exists $(i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in I^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $i_n \in S$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $\Pi((i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = z$, Lemma 2.5 implies $z \in L'(\Phi)$ except for countable number of points. The first alternative of (3.6) gives

$$|i_n(z)| = |z_1| \leq f(n) \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq n \leq t_1.$$

For every $m \geq 2$, the second alternative of (3.6) and (3.7) yield

$$|z_m| \leq |i_n(z)| < |z_{m+1}| \leq f(n) \quad \text{for every } \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j + 1 \leq n \leq \sum_{j=1}^m t_j.$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $m \rightarrow \infty$, $|z_m| \rightarrow \infty$ and so $|i_n(z)| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we obtain $z \in F_{\Phi}(S, f)$. \square

Recall that the lower pressure function $\underline{P}^{\Psi} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is given by

$$\underline{P}^{\Psi}(s) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n^{\Psi}(s), \quad \text{where } Z_n^{\Psi}(s) = \sum_{\omega \in I_1^n} (\|D\phi_{\omega}\|_{\Delta})^s.$$

By Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.2, the Bowen dimension $s(\Psi)$ satisfies

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} F_{\Phi}(S, f) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \Lambda(\Psi) = s(\Psi). \quad (3.9)$$

In order to estimate the Bowen dimension from below, we estimate the lower pressure function from below. By (2.1), for every $n \geq 1$ and every $i \in I^{(n)}$ we have

$$\min_{z \in \Delta} |D\phi_i^{(n)}(z)| \geq \frac{C_1}{|i|^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $|z_m| \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $N \geq 1$ such that for all $i \in I$ with $|i| \geq |z_{N+1}|$ we have

$$\frac{C_1}{|i|^2} \geq \frac{1}{|i|^{2+\delta}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Using the chain rule, (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_n^\Psi(s) &= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I_1^n} (\|D(\phi_{i_1}^{(1)} \circ \phi_{i_2}^{(2)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i_n}^{(n)})\|_\Delta)^s \\
&\geq C_1^{Ns} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I_1^n} |i_1|^{-2s} \dots |i_{t_1+\dots+t_N}|^{-2s} |i_{t_1+\dots+t_{N+1}}|^{-(2+\delta)s} \dots |i_n|^{-(2+\delta)s} \\
&= C_1^{Ns} |z_1|^{-2st_1} \left(\sum_{i \in S_2} |i|^{-2s} \right)^{t_2} \dots \left(\sum_{i \in S_N} |i|^{-2s} \right)^{t_N} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{i \in S_{N+1}} |i|^{-(2+\delta)s} \right)^{t_{N+1}} \dots \left(\sum_{i \in S_m} |i|^{-(2+\delta)s} \right)^{l_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $s_{\varepsilon, \delta} = (\tau(|S|) - \varepsilon)/(2 + \delta)$. By (3.5) we have

$$\left(\sum_{i \in S_{N+1}} |i|^{-(2+\delta)s_{\varepsilon, \delta}} \right)^{t_{N+1}} \dots \left(\sum_{i \in S_m} |i|^{-(2+\delta)s_{\varepsilon, \delta}} \right)^{l_n} \geq 1.$$

Substituting $s = s_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ and combining the above two inequalities yield

$$Z_n^\Psi(s_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \geq C_1^{Ns_{\varepsilon, \delta}} |z_1|^{-2s_{\varepsilon, \delta}t_1} \left(\sum_{i \in S_2} |i|^{-2s_{\varepsilon, \delta}} \right)^{t_2} \dots \left(\sum_{i \in S_N} |i|^{-2s_{\varepsilon, \delta}} \right)^{t_N}.$$

Since the right-hand side is independent of n , it follows that $\underline{P}^\Psi(s_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \geq 0$, and hence

$$s(\Psi) \geq \frac{\tau(|S|) - \varepsilon}{2 + \delta}. \quad (3.12)$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.12), and letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields the desired inequality in Proposition 3.3. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\Phi = \{\phi_i : i \in I\}$ be a 2-decaying conformal IFS on Δ and let S be an infinite subset of I . Proposition 3.1 gives $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} F_\Phi(S) \leq \tau(|S|)/2$. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [\min |S|, \infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty$. Proposition 3.3 gives $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} F_\Phi(S, f) \geq \tau(|S|)/2$. Since $F_\Phi(S, f) \subset F_\Phi(S)$, the desired equalities hold. \square

4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Returning to the Hurwitz continued fraction, in §4.1 we construct a 2-decaying conformal IFS associated with it. In §4.2 we apply Theorem 2.1 to this IFS and complete the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. In §4.3 we prove Theorem 1.2.

4.1. Conformal IFS associated with the Hurwitz continued fraction. Let $\mathbb{D}_1 = \{(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : k^2 + \ell^2 \geq 2\}$ and $\mathbb{D}_2 = \{(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : k^2 + \ell^2 \geq 8\}$. For each $i = 1, 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathbb{D}_i^n denote the set of n -strings of elements of \mathbb{D}_i .

For each $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_1$ define a domain

$$U_{k, \ell} = \left\{ \frac{1}{x + \sqrt{-1}y} \in \mathbb{C} : -\frac{1}{2} \leq x - k < \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \leq y - \ell < \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$




FIGURE 1. The domain $U_{k,\ell}$ ($(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_1$) is bordered by the four circles through the origin, orthogonally intersecting each other.

which intersects U and is bordered by the four circles $(x - 1/(2k \pm 1))^2 + y^2 = (1/(2k \pm 1))^2$, $x^2 + (y + 1/(2\ell \pm 1))^2 = (1/(2\ell \pm 1))^2$ (double sign corresponds) through the origin as indicated in FIGURE 1. Clearly we have

(H1) if $(k_1, \ell_1) \neq (k_2, \ell_2)$ then $U_{k_1, \ell_1} \cap U_{k_2, \ell_2} = \emptyset$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{D}_1^n$ define an n -th cylinder

$$[c_1, \dots, c_n] = \{z \in U : c_i(z) \text{ is well-defined and } c_i(z) = c_i \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

This is the set of elements of U which have the finite or infinite Hurwitz continued fraction expansion (1.2) beginning with c_1, \dots, c_n . It is not difficult to see the following properties:

(H2) each 1-cylinder $[c_1]$, $c_1 = (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_1$ has the form

$$[c_1] = \begin{cases} U_{k,\ell} \cap U \subsetneq U_{k,\ell} & \text{if } (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \mathbb{D}_2; \\ U_{k,\ell} & \text{if } (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2; \end{cases}$$

(H3) $\bigcup\{[c_1] : c_1 \in \mathbb{D}_1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$, see FIGURE 2;

(H4) if $n \geq 2$ then for every $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{D}_1^n$ we have $H([c_1, \dots, c_n]) \subset [c_2, \dots, c_n]$, and the equality holds if $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{D}_2^n$.

Direct calculations show that $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \mathbb{D}_2$ consists of the following sixteen elements: $(-1, 1)$, $(-1, -2)$, $(0, -2)$, $(1, -2)$, $(1, -1)$, $(2, -1)$, $(2, 0)$, $(2, 1)$, $(1, 1)$, $(1, 2)$, $(0, 2)$, $(-1, 2)$, $(-1, 1)$, $(-2, 1)$, $(-2, 0)$, $(-2, -1)$, see FIGURE 2. The union of all the corresponding 1-cylinders contains a neighborhood of ∂U . This and (H3) together imply

(H5) $\overline{U_{k,\ell}} \subset U$ if and only if $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2$.




FIGURE 2. The collection $\{[c_1] : c_1 = (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_1\}$ of 1-cylinders tessellates U .

For each $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2$ define $\phi_{k,\ell} : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\phi_{k,\ell}(z) = \frac{1}{z + k + \sqrt{-1}\ell}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that $\phi_{k,\ell}|_U$ is univalent, $\phi_{k,\ell}(U) = U_{k,\ell}$, $(\phi_{k,\ell}|_U)^{-1} = H|_{U_{k,\ell}}$ and $\phi_{k,\ell}(\bar{U}) = \overline{\phi_{k,\ell}(U)} = \bar{U}_{k,\ell} \subset \bar{U}$. We extend $\phi_{k,\ell}$ univalently to the outside of \bar{U} . For $r > 0$ let

$$U(r) = \left\{ x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} : -\frac{1}{2} - r < x < \frac{1}{2} + r, -\frac{1}{2} - r < y < \frac{1}{2} + r \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $0 < r_0 < 1/2$, then for every $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2$ the map*

$$\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell} : z \in U(r_0) \mapsto \frac{1}{z + k + \sqrt{-1}\ell} \in \mathbb{C}$$

is well-defined, univalent and satisfies $\max_{z \in \bar{U}} |D\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}(z)| < 2/3$. If $0 < r \leq r_0$ then $\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}(U(r)) \subset U(r)$.

Proof. Since $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2$, for any $z \in U(r_0)$ we have $z + k + \sqrt{-1}\ell \neq 0$. Hence $\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}$ is well-defined and univalent. For any $z \in \bar{U}$ we have

$$|D\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}(z)| = \frac{1}{|z + k + \sqrt{-1}\ell|^2} \leq \frac{1}{(k - 1/2)^2 + (\ell - 1/2)^2} < \frac{2}{3}.$$

We also have $|z + k + \sqrt{-1}\ell| \geq \sqrt{(|k| - 1)^2 + (|\ell| - 1)^2}$ for all $z \in U(r_0)$. If $0 < r \leq r_0$, then for any $z \in U(r)$ there exists $z' \in \bar{U}$ with $|z - z'| < r$. Since $(|k| - 1)^2 + (|\ell| - 1)^2 \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}(z) - \phi_{k,\ell}(z')| &= \left| \frac{z' - z}{(z + k + \sqrt{-1}\ell)(z' + k + \sqrt{-1}\ell)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|z' - z|}{(|k| - 1)^2 + (|\ell| - 1)^2} < r. \end{aligned}$$

Together with $\phi_{k,\ell}(z) \in \phi_{k,\ell}(\bar{U}) \subset \bar{U}$ we obtain $\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}(U(r)) \subset U(r)$. \square

We fix $r_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ and set $\tilde{U} = U(r_0/2)$. By Lemma 4.1, for every $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2$ the analytic extension of $\phi_{k,\ell}$ to $U(r_0)$ exists, which we denote by $\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}$, and satisfies

$$\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}(U(r_0)) \subset U(r_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}(\tilde{U}) \subset \tilde{U}. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.2. *The collection $\{\phi_{k,\ell}: (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2\}$ is a 2-decaying conformal IFS on \bar{U} .*

Proof. For any pair $(k_1, \ell_1), (k_2, \ell_2)$ of elements of \mathbb{D}_2 we have $\phi_{k_1,\ell_1}(U) \cap \phi_{k_2,\ell_2}(U) = U_{k_1,\ell_1} \cap U_{k_2,\ell_2}$. Hence (H1) implies (A1). Condition (A2) follows from the second inclusion in (4.2). By Lemma 4.1, for every $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2$ we have $\|D\tilde{\phi}_{k,\ell}\|_{\bar{U}} < 2/3 < 1$, and so (A3) holds. Hence $\{\phi_{k,\ell}: (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2\}$ is an infinite conformal IFS on \bar{U} . It is a 2-decaying IFS by virtue of the formula (4.1) and (H5) that immediately yields (A4). \square

Lemma 4.3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{D}_2^n$, we have*

$$[c_1, \dots, c_n] = \phi_{c_1 \dots c_n}(U).$$

Proof. From (H4), if $n \geq 2$ and $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{D}_2^n$ then $[c_1, \dots, c_n] = \phi_{c_1}([c_2, \dots, c_n])$. A recursive use of this equality yields $[c_1, \dots, c_n] = \phi_{c_1 c_2}([c_3, \dots, c_n]) = \dots = \phi_{c_1 \dots c_{n-1}}([c_n])$. The last expression equals $\phi_{c_1 \dots c_n}(U)$ because $[c_1] = \phi_{c_1}(U)$ holds for every $c_1 \in \mathbb{D}_2$. \square

4.2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. Let $\Phi = \{\phi_{k,\ell}: (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{D}_2\}$ denote the 2-decaying conformal IFS on \bar{U} associated with the Hurwitz continued fraction as in §4.1. Let S be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$. Lemma 4.3 implies $F(S) \subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} H^{-n}(F_{\Phi}(S))$. Since the Hurwitz map H in (1.1) is piecewise Lipschitz and Hausdorff dimensions do not change under the action of bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F(S) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} F_{\Phi}(S).$$

Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [\min |S|, \infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty$. Lemma 4.3 also implies that $F(S, f)$ contains $F_{\Phi}(S, f)$, and so

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F(S, f) \geq \dim_{\text{H}} F_{\Phi}(S, f).$$

Combining these two inequalities and then using Theorem 2.1, we obtain $\dim_{\text{H}} F(S) = \dim_{\text{H}} F(S, f) = \tau(|S|)/2$ as required in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. \square

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** By Theorem 1.1, the set

$$\left\{ z \in U \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n(z)| = \infty \right\}$$

is of Hausdorff dimension $\tau(|\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})|)/2$. In order to show $\tau(|\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})|) = 2$, we use the following formula for convergence exponent (see [28, Section 2]): if $S = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a set of positive reals with $|x_n| \leq |x_{n+1}|$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\tau(|S|) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log x_n}. \quad (4.3)$$

Write $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1}) = \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $|z_1| \leq |z_2| \leq \dots$. Let $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $n \geq 10$ and

$$(2N + 1)^2 < n \leq (2N + 2)^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Since the number of Gaussian integers contained in the square $[-N, N]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ is $(2N + 1)^2$, the first inequality in (4.4) implies $z_n \notin [-N, N]^2$, and so

$$N < |z_n|. \quad (4.5)$$

Since the number of Gaussian integers contained in $[-N - 1, N + 1]^2$ is $(2N + 3)^2$, The second inequality in (4.4) implies $z_n \in [-N - 1, N + 1]^2$, and so

$$|z_n| \leq \sqrt{2}(N + 1). \quad (4.6)$$

From (4.5) and (4.6) it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\varepsilon) \geq 1$ such that for every $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$ we have $n^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \leq |z_n| \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$. From this estimate and (4.3) we obtain

$$\tau(|\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})|) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log |z_n|} = 2,$$

as required. □

Acknowledgments. We thank Gerardo González Robert for fruitful discussions. This research was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI 23K20220 and 25K17282.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wieb Bosma, Optimal continued fractions, *Indag. Math.* **49** (1987), no. 4, 353–379.
- [2] Rufus Bowen, *Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **50** (1979), 11–25.
- [3] Yann Bugeaud, Gerardo González Robert, and Mumtaz Hussain, *Metrical properties of Hurwitz continued fractions*, *Adv. Math.* **468** (2025), Paper No. 110208.
- [4] Chun-Yun Cao, Bao-Wei Wang, and Jun Wu, *The growth speed of digits in infinite iterated function systems*, *Studia Math.* **217** (2013), no. 2, 139–158.
- [5] Lennart Carleson and Theodore W. Gamelin, *Complex Dynamics*. (English summary) Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [6] Thomas W. Cusick, *Hausdorff dimension of sets of continued fractions*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **41** (1990), 277–286.
- [7] Karma Dajani and Cor Kraaikamp, *The mother of all continued fractions*, *Colloq. Math.* **84/85** (2000), part 1, 109–123.
- [8] S. G. Dani and Arnaldo Nogueira, *Continued fractions for complex numbers and values of binary quadratic forms*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014), no. 7, 3553–3583.

- [9] Kenneth Falconer, *Fractal geometry. Mathematical foundations and applications*, Third edition. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2014.
- [10] Gerardo González Robert, *Good's theorem for Hurwitz continued fractions*, Int. J. Number Theory **16** (2020), no. 7, 1433–1447.
- [11] Gerardo González Robert, Mumtaz Hussain, Nikita Shulga, and Hiroki Takahasi, *Infinite iterated function systems with restricted slowly growing digits*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **549** (2025), no. 1, 129478
- [12] I. J. Good, *The fractional dimensional theory of continued fractions*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **37** (1941), 199–228.
- [13] K. E. Hirst, *Continued fractions with sequences of partial quotients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1973), 221–227.
- [14] X. H. Hu and Jun Wu, *Continued fractions with sequences of partial quotients over the field of Laurent series*, Acta Arith. **136** (2009), no. 3, 201–211.
- [15] Adolf Hurwitz, *Über die Entwicklung complexer Grössen in Kettenbrüche*, Acta Math. **11** (1887), no. 1–4, 187–200.
- [16] Julius Hurwitz, *Über die Reduction der Binären Quadratischen Formen mit Complexen Coefficienten und Variablen*, Acta Math. **25** (1902), no. 1, 231–290.
- [17] Vojtěch Jarník, *Zur metrischen Theorie der diophantischen Approximationen*, Prace Mat.-Fiz. **36** (1928–29), 81–106.
- [18] Ryuji Kaneiwa, Iekata Shiokawa, and Jun-ichi Tamura, *Some properties of complex continued fractions*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul, **25** (1976/77), no. 2, 129–143.
- [19] Cor Kraaikamp, *A new class of continued fraction expansions*, Acta Arith. **57** (1991), no. 1, 1–39.
- [20] Richard B. Lakein, *Approximation properties of some complex continued fractions*, Monatshefte für Mathematik, **77**, 396–403 (1973)
- [21] Richard B. Lakein, *A continued fraction proof of Ford's theorem on complex rational approximations*, J. für die reine und angew. Math. **272** (1975) 1–13.
- [22] W. J. LeVeque, *Continued fractions and approximations in $k(i)$. I, II*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. **55** = Indag. math. **14** (1952), 526–535, 536–545.
- [23] R. Daniel Mauldin and Mariusz Urbański, *Dimensions and measures in infinite iterated function systems*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **73** (1996), no. 1, 105–154.
- [24] R. Daniel Mauldin and Mariusz Urbański, *Graph directed Markov systems. Geometry and dynamics of limit sets*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **148** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [25] Yuto Nakajima, *Transversal family of non-autonomous conformal iterated function systems*, J. Fractal Geom. **11** (2024), no. 1–2, 57–84.
- [26] Yuto Nakajima and Hiroki Takahasi, *Hausdorff dimension of sets with restricted, slowly growing partial quotients in semi-regular continued fractions*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/93059305>
- [27] Oskar Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*, Second edition. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York 1950.
- [28] George Pólya and Gabor Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis Vol I*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [29] Lasse Rempe-Gillen and Mariusz Urbański, *Non-autonomous conformal iterated function systems and Moran-set constructions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 3, 1979–2017.
- [30] Asmus L. Schmidt, *Diophantine approximation of complex numbers*, Acta Math. **134** (1975), 1–85.
- [31] Hiroki Takahasi, *Hausdorff dimension of sets with restricted, slowly growing partial quotients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (2023), no. 9, 3645–3653.
- [32] Liang Tang and Ting Zhong, *Some dimension relations of the Hirst sets in regular and generalized continued fractions*, J. Number Th. **167** (2016), 128–140.

- [33] Bao-Wei Wang and Jun Wu, *A problem of Hirst on continued fractions with sequences of partial quotients*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008), no. 1, 18–22.
- [34] Bao-Wei Wang and Jun Wu, *Hausdorff dimension of certain sets arising in continued fraction expansions*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 5, 1319–1339.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, DOSHISHA UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 610-0394, JAPAN

Email address: `yunakaji@mail.doshisha.ac.jp`

KEIO INSTITUTE OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCES (KIPAS), DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KEIO UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA, 223-8522, JAPAN

Email address: `hiroki@math.keio.ac.jp`