HYEEUN JANG AND E. ARTHUR ROBINSON, JR.

ABSTRACT. We define and study two kinds of directional expansiveness, weak and strong, for an action T of \mathbb{R}^d on a compact metric space X. We show that for \mathbb{R}^2 finite local complexity (FLC) tiling dynamical systems, weak and strong expansiveness are the same, and are both equivalent to a simple coding property. Then we show for the Penrose tiling dynamical system, which is FLC, there are exactly five non expansive directions, the directions perpendicular to the 5th roots of unity. We also study Raphael Robinson's set of 24 Penrose Wang tiles and show the corresponding Penrose Wang tile dynamical system is strictly ergodic. Finally, we study two deformations of the Penrose Wang tile system, one where the square Wang tiles are all deformed into a $2\pi/5$ rhombus, and another where they are deformed into a set of eleven tetragon tiles. We show both of these are topologically conjugate to the Penrose tiling dynamical system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact metric space with metric ρ . A homeomorphism T of X is called *expansive* (see [36]) if there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that $\rho(T^n(x), T^n(y)) < \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies x = y. Expansiveness means two distinct points cannot always stay close. Examples of expansive homeomorphisms include Anosov diffeomorphisms, Axiom A diffeomorphisms restricted to their non-wandering sets, and finite alphabet *subshifts*. It was shown in [27] and [22] that every expansive homeomorphism is a factor of a finite alphabet subshift, although the converse is false [8].

The definition of expansiveness readily generalizes to continuous \mathbb{Z}^d -actions T on compact metric spaces X. However, when d > 1, \mathbb{Z}^d -actions T offer additional possibilities. Following in the footsteps of Milnor's theory of directional entropy (see [23], [24]), Boyle and Lind [3] defined and studied directional expansiveness for \mathbb{Z}^d -actions. In this theory, an *e*-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, e < d, is called an *e*-dimensional direction. Boyle and Lind [3] showed that for any \mathbb{Z}^d action T, the set $\mathbb{E}_e(T)$ of *e*-dimensional expansive directions is open in the Grassmanian manifold $\mathbb{G}_{d,e}$, and the set $\mathbb{N}_e(T)$ of non-expansive directions is always non-empty. For every possible proper open set $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{G}_{2,1}$ with $\#(\mathcal{O}^c) > 1$, except for the case $\#(\mathcal{O}^c) = 1$, Boyle and Lind [3] gave examples of \mathbb{Z}^2 actions T with set $\mathbb{E}_1(T) = \mathcal{O}$. Hochman [14] later provided examples with $\#(\mathbb{N}_1(T)) = 1$.

A notion of expansiveness for flows T (i.e., \mathbb{R} actions) on compact metric spaces X was introduced by Bowen and Walters in [4]. A flow T is expansive if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$, so that for any $x, y \in X$ and any homeomorphism $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with h(0) = 0, $\rho(T^tx, T^{h(t)}y) < \delta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ implies $y = T^s x$ for some $|s| < \epsilon$. They proved that any fixed point free expansive flow on a 1-dimensional space X is a quotient of a continuous suspension of a finite alphabet subshift. In the context of tiling dynamical systems T, Frank and Sadun [10] studied two types of expansiveness for \mathbb{R}^d -actions, which we call here weak and strong expansiveness. Strong expansiveness implies weak expansiveness, but not conversely in general. However, Frank and Sadun [10] showed that the converse holds for tiling dynamical systems satisfying the finite local complexity (FLC) property, (Definition 3.1).

In this paper, we define directional versions of both weak and strong expansiveness for an \mathbb{R}^d action T on a compact metric space X. The definition, which requires some care, is given in Section 2.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37B52, 37B51, 37B05.

Key words and phrases. Penrose tiling, Wang tiles, tiling dynamical system, directional expansiveness.

And while weak directional expansiveness does not generally imply strong directional expansiveness, we show they are equivalent for finite local complexity (FLC) tiling dynamical systems. Also, in the FLC case, directional expansiveness is equivalent to a natural coding property.

Once the basic theory of directional expansiveness for \mathbb{R}^d -actions has been established, we move to our main study: describing the expansive directions for the Penrose tiling dynamical system Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.1. For the Penrose tiling dynamical system (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) , (which is FLC), (see Section 4.1), there are exactly five 1-dimensional non-expansive directions: the five directions perpendicular to 5th roots of unity.

Our proof uses the translation equivariant version of de Bruijn's [6] duality theory for pentagrid tilings, used in [29] to prove that the Penrose tiling dynamical system is an almost 1:1 extension of a Kronecker \mathbb{R}^2 -action on the 4-torus \mathbb{T}^4 (stated here as Theorem 4.3). Our proof of Theorem 1.1 takes a closer look at factor map φ in the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [29].

We also study Rapheel Robinson's set of 24 aperiodic Penrose Wang tiles (see [11]) and some related dynamical systems. In particular, we show the \mathbb{Z}^2 subshift of finite type S corresponding to the Penrose Wang tiles is minimal, uniquely ergodic with pure point spectrum. We compute its point spectrum group and expansive directions.

1.1. Conventions. We will identify a *d*-tuple $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with a $d \times 1$ column vector. The usual dot product is denoted $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}$. The vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_0, \boldsymbol{e}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_{d-1}$ denote the usual standard basis for \mathbb{R}^d . All norms are Euclidean, and for $d \geq 2$, $B_r(\boldsymbol{w}) := \{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{w}|| < r\}$ denotes the open radius r ball (or disc in the case d = 2) in \mathbb{R}^d . For d > 1, $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$, with $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ and $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. We often identify \mathbb{T}^d with $[0, 1)^d$. For $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we write $\lfloor \boldsymbol{w} \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ for the coordinate-wise floor, and define $\{\boldsymbol{w}\} := \boldsymbol{w} - \lfloor \boldsymbol{w} \rfloor \in [0, 1)^d$. We let $\gamma = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \sim 1.6180 \dots$ and $\alpha = 1/\gamma = \gamma - 1 \sim 0.6180 \dots$

1.2. Acknowledgements. Most of the results in this paper are from the first author's 2021 Ph.D. dissertation [17] at the George Washington University. Theorem 1.1 answers a question Mike Boyle once asked the second author.

2. EXPANSIVENESS AND DIRECTIONAL EXPANSIVENESS

2.1. Group actions. Let X be a compact metric space with metric ρ , and let G be a locally compact complete metric abelian group. In this paper, the non-compact group G will always be \mathbb{Z}^d , \mathbb{R}^d , or a subgroup of one of these, and the metric on G will always be the Euclidean norm $|| \cdot ||$.

A *G*-action *T* on *X* is a continuous mapping $T: G \times X \to X$, written $T^{g}x := T(g, x)$, satisfying $T^{g+h} = T^{g} \circ T^{h}$ for all $g, h \in G$. We assume the acting group *G* is non-compact and think of a *G*-action as a *dynamical system*, denoted (X, G, T) or sometimes *T* for short. A *G*-action *T* is *free*, if every $x \in X$ is *aperiodic*: $T^{g}x = x$ implies g = 0, and *locally free* if there exists $\omega > 0$ so that $T^{g}x = x$ implies $||g|| > \omega$.

A dynamical system (X, G, T) is *minimal* if any closed *T*-invariant subset $K \subseteq X$ satisfies either K or K^c is empty, or equivalently, there exists a dense *orbit*: $X = \overline{\{T^g x : g \in G\}}$, (see [7]). We say (X, G, T) is *uniquely ergodic* if there is a unique *T*-invariant Borel probability measure μ on *X*. Strictly ergodic means both minimal and uniquely ergodic, either of which implies *T* is free.

Definition 2.1. For (X, G, T) and (Y, G, K), we say K is a factor of T (or T is an extension of K) if there is a continuous surjection $\varphi : X \to Y$, the factor map, such that $K^{g}(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(T^{g}x)$ for all $g \in G$. An extension is almost 1:1 if $Y_1 := \{x \in Y : \#(\varphi^{-1}(x)) = 1\}$ is dense G_{δ} . In the case φ is

a homeomorphism, K and T are said to be topologically conjugate. We say K and T continuously orbit equivalent¹ if there is a homeomorphism $\psi: X \to Y$ so that $\psi(O_T(x)) = O_K(\psi(x))$.

2.2. Kronecker dynamical systems. (See e.g., [30], [36]) The dual group \widehat{G} of a locally compact metric abelian group G (see e.g., [36]) is the locally compact metric abelian group of continuous homomorphisms $\chi : G \to S^1$. In particular, $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^d} = \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\chi_{\mathbf{h}}(t) = e^{2\pi i (\mathbf{h} \cdot t)}$, and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d} = \mathbb{T}^d$ with $\chi_{\mathbf{h}}(n) = e^{2\pi i (\mathbf{h} \cdot n)}$, both uncountable. But the compact \mathbb{T}^d has a countable discrete dual $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^d} = \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\chi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{h}) = e^{2\pi i (\mathbf{h} \cdot n)}$.

An eigenfunction f for a dynamical system T, with eigenvalue $\chi \in \widehat{G}$, is a continuous² complex valued function satisfying $f(K^{g}y) = \chi(g)f(y)$. The set of eigenvalues $\Sigma_T \subseteq \widehat{G}$ is called the *point* spectrum of T. If T is minimal, every eigenvalue f is simple, |f| = const., and $\Sigma_T \subseteq \widehat{G}$ is a countable discrete subgroup that is a topological conjugacy invariant.

For an infinite compact metric abelian group Y, let $i: G \to Y$ be a continuous injective homomorphism. We define an *algebraic Kronecker* dynamical system (Y, G, K) to be the free G-action $K^{g}y := y + i(g)$. It satisfies $\widehat{K} \cong \Sigma_K$ via $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ i$. Minimality is equivalent to $\overline{i(G)} = K$, or $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ i$ injective, (see [30]). More generally, we call (X, G, T) Kronecker if it is topologically conjugate to an algebraic Kronecker dynamical system. For a free Kronecker system, the Halmos-von Neumann Theorem, (see e.g., [13]) says Σ_K is a complete topological conjugacy invariant. Also, every countable dense subgroup \widehat{Y} occurs as Σ_K for some K.

Now consider (X, G, T) and a Kronecker system (Y, G, K) so that $\Sigma_T = \Sigma_K$. Then (Y, G, K) is a factor of (X, G, T), called its *Kronecker* (or *maximal equicontinuous*) factor. If the factor map φ is almost 1:1 then we call (X, G, T) almost automorphic, and call $\mathfrak{M}_T := \{\#(\varphi^{-1}(y)) : y \in Y\} \subseteq$ $\mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$, the preimage multiplicities (called the *thickness spectrum* in [29], and called set of fiber cardinalities in [21]).

2.3. \mathbb{Z}^2 subshifts. For finite alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}, n \geq 2$, we call the product topology compact set $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2} = \{z = (z_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} : z_m \in \mathcal{A}\}$ the \mathbb{Z}^2 full shift, equipped with the \mathbb{Z}^2 shift action S defined $(S^n z)_m = z_{m-n}$. The \mathbb{Z}^2 full shift dynamical system is $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$, and a \mathbb{Z}^2 subshift is (Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) where $Z \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is any closed and S-invariant.

Given a rectangle $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$, #(B) > 1, a word on B is $b = (b_m)_{m \in B} \in \mathcal{A}^B$. We say the word $b = (b_m)_{m \in B}$ occurs in $z \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$, denoted b|z, if $(z_{m-n_0})_{m \in B} = (b_m)_{m \in B}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. We call a subshift Z a subshift of finite type (SFT) if there is a finite set \mathcal{F} of forbidden words, so that $Z = \{z \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2} : b|z \text{ implies } b \notin \mathcal{F}\}$. For a special case, called a 1-step SFT, we let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_1$ where $\mathcal{F}_j \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\{0,e_j\}}$. A 1-step SFT can also be described in terms of permitted words $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{A}^{\{0,e_j\}} \setminus \mathcal{F}_j$.

Let (Z, \mathbb{Z}, S) be a \mathbb{Z} subshift, and let $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} := \{a \otimes b : a, b \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We define the *tensor* product of $z, z' \in Z$ by $z \otimes z' := (z_{n_0} \otimes z'_{n_1})_{(n_0,n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$, and define the *tensor* square $Z \otimes Z \subseteq (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ to be the set of all tensor product sequences $z \otimes z'$ for $z, z' \in Z$. The \mathbb{Z}^2 shift map on $Z \otimes Z$ is given by $(S \otimes S)^{(k_0,k_1)}(z_{n_0} \otimes z_{n_1})_{(n_0,n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} = (z_{n_0-k_0} \otimes z_{n_1-k_1})_{(n_0,n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$. The tensor square dynamical system $(Z \otimes Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S \otimes S)$ is a \mathbb{Z}^2 subshift, which is minimal, uniquely ergodic, or finite type, if and only if (Z, \mathbb{Z}, S) is the same.

2.4. Expansiveness for \mathbb{Z}^d actions. A \mathbb{Z}^d dynamical system (X, \mathbb{Z}^d, T) is *expansive* if there is a $\delta > 0$ so that $\rho(T^n x, T^n y) < \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ implies x = y. Expansiveness is an invariant of topological conjugacy (see [4] for the case d = 1).

¹This is called "conjugacy of flows" in [4] but we avoid that terminology as it is too close to "topological conjugacy". Sometimes this is called "flow equivalent".

²It is also possible for some T to have discontinuous eigenfunction, but for the examples we consider here, this is not the case.

Now we want to describe expansiveness for an \mathbb{R}^d dynamical system (X, \mathbb{R}^d, T) , but simply replacing \mathbb{Z}^d with \mathbb{R}^d in the definition above does not quite work (see [4]). Actually, we will describe two versions of expansiveness for \mathbb{R}^d dynamical systems, both of which were studied for d = 1 by Bowen and Walters [4], (see also Frank and Sadun [10]). We call them *weak* and *strong expansiveness*.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, \mathbb{R}^d, T) be a locally free \mathbb{R}^d dynamical system. (i) T is called *weakly* expansive if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for any $x, y \in X$, $\rho(T^t x, T^t y) < \delta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ implies $y = T^{s_0}x$ for some $||s_0|| < \epsilon$. (ii) T is called strongly expansive if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ so that for any $x, y \in X$ and any homeomorphism $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $h(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, $\rho(T^t x, T^{\mathbf{h}(t)}y) < \delta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ implies $y = T^{s_0}x$ for some $||s_0|| < \epsilon$.

Remarks 2.3.

- (1) Both weak and strong expansiveness are invariants of topological conjugacy.
- (2) The assumption "locally free" is a convenience that eliminates trivial cases like non isolated fixed points (see [4]). Locally free is automatic for FLC tiling dynamical systems (see Remark 3.6).
- (3) In the case d = 1, Bowen and Walters [4] call our "strong expansiveness" (*ii*) "expansiveness". They also discuss our "weak expansiveness" (*i*), without naming it, but dismiss it because it is not an invariant of "conjugacy of flows": our "continuous orbit equivalence".
- (4) Other versions of (i) and (ii) (a priori a little stronger) were studied for tiling dynamical systems by Frank and Sadun [10]. Their versions of (i) and (ii), which are equivalent to ours and each other in the FLC case, have no ϵ , and the conclusion is $||s_0|| < \delta$. They refer to (i) as "geometric expansiveness".
- (5) There are also versions of (i) and (ii) where the conclusion is simply that $y = T^{s_0}x$, i.e., with no condition on $||s_0||$. Gura [12] calls (i) "separating" for d = 1, and shows the horocycle flow satisfies (i) but not (ii). For d = 1, Katok and Hasselblatt [19] call this version of (ii) for d = 1 "expansiveness". All (weak and strong) are equivalent in the FLC case.

2.5. Directional Expansiveness for \mathbb{Z}^d . For an *e*-dimensional direction (i.e., a subspace) $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \leq e < d$, we define $\mathcal{V}^r := \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} B_r(v)$.

Definition 2.4 (Boyle and Lind, [3]). Let (X, \mathbb{Z}^d, T) be a \mathbb{Z}^d action and let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $1 \leq e < d$ dimensional direction. Then T is *expansive* in the direction \mathcal{V} if there exists A $\delta > 0$ and an r > 0 such that if $x, y \in X$ satisfy $\rho(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathcal{V}^r$, then x = y.

The sets of *e*-dimensional expansive and non-expansive directions are denoted in [3] by $\mathbb{E}_d(T)$ and $\mathbb{N}_d(T)$. In the paragraph following (2-1), Boyle and Lind [3] give the following useful characterization of directional expansiveness for a subshift.

Lemma 2.5 (Boyle and Lind, [3]). Let (Z, \mathbb{Z}^d, S) be a \mathbb{Z}^d subshift, and let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an $1 \leq e < d$ dimensional direction. For $z \in Z$, let $z[\mathcal{V}^r] := (x_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \mathcal{V}^r}$. Then \mathcal{V} is an expansive direction if and only if there is an r > 0 so that $z[\mathcal{V}^r] = z'[\mathcal{V}^r]$ for $z, z' \in Z$ implies z = z'. We say \mathcal{V}^r determines z.

Definition 2.6. We say $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ thick if $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{Z}$, where $\pi_0, \pi_1 : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ are the coordinate projections.

Lemma 2.7. Let $(Z \otimes Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S \otimes S)$ be the tensor square of a \mathbb{Z} -subshift, and let $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a thick set. Then \mathcal{L} determines every $z \otimes z' \in Z \otimes Z$.

Proof. To find $z_{n_0} \otimes z_{n_1}$ for arbitrary $(n_0, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we use the thick property to find $(n_0, m_1), (m_0, n_1) \in \mathcal{L}$. We use the first factor of $z_{n_0} \otimes z'_{m_1}$, and the second factor of $z_{m_0} \otimes z'_{n_1}$ to make $z_{n_0} \otimes z'_{n_1}$. \Box

Corollary 2.8. For any tensor square subshift $(Z \otimes Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S \otimes S)$, the non-expansive directions $\mathbb{N}_1(S \otimes S)$ consist of exactly the horizontal and vertical directions.

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be horizontal and let r > 0. Find $z', z'' \in Z$ so that $z'_j = z''_j$ for $|j| \leq r$ but $z'_k \neq z''_k$ for some |k| > r. Then for any $z \in Z$, $(z \otimes z')[\mathcal{V}^r] = (z \otimes z'')[\mathcal{V}^r]$, since $z_i \otimes z'_j = z_i \otimes z''_j$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|j| \leq r$, i.e., $(i, j) \in \mathcal{V}^r$. But $(z \otimes z') \neq (z \otimes z'')$, since $z'_k \neq z''_k$ implies $z_i \otimes z'_k \neq z_i \otimes z''_k$. Thus \mathcal{V}^r does not determine $z \otimes z'$ for any r > 0. The same argument works for the vertical direction.

Now suppose \mathcal{V} is neither vertical nor horizontal. Define $Q_{(n_0,n_1)} := [n_0 - \frac{1}{2}, n_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \times [n_1 - \frac{1}{2}, n_1 + \frac{1}{2})$. Choose r > 0 large enough that $\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2: Q_n \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}} Q_n \subseteq \mathcal{V}^r$. Clearly \mathcal{L} is thick, so \mathcal{V}^r contains a thick set. Lemma 2.7 shows \mathcal{V}^r determines any $z \otimes z'$, and the result again follows from Lemma 1. \Box

2.6. Directional Expansiveness for \mathbb{R}^d . It turns out that the correct definition of direction \mathcal{V} expansiveness for an \mathbb{R}^d action T is not simply the definition of expansiveness for T, restricted to \mathcal{V} , even though this does work in some directional theories. The restriction of T to \mathcal{V} , defined $(T|_{\mathcal{V}})^t := T^t$ for $t \in \mathcal{V}$, is a \mathcal{V} action, but can be made into an \mathbb{R}^e by a choice of a basis (see e.g., [3]). This does not affect questions of expansiveness.

Proposition 2.9. Let (X, \mathbb{R}^d, T) be a locally free \mathbb{R}^d action. Then for any direction \mathcal{V} , the restriction $(X, \mathcal{V}, T|_{\mathcal{V}})$ to \mathcal{V} is not weakly or strongly expansive.

Proof. Suppose $T|_{\mathcal{V}}$ is weakly expansive and choose $\epsilon < \omega/2$ where ω is the constant for locally free. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathcal{V}$ with $||\mathbf{p}|| = 1$, and define a continuous real-valued function on $[0, 1] \times X$ by $f(x,r) := \rho(x, T^{r\mathbf{p}}x)$. Then f(0,x) = 0, and f(r,x) > 0 for $r < \omega/2$. For $\delta > 0$, find $0 < r < \omega/2$ so that $f(r,x) < \delta$ for all $x \in X$. Fix $x \in X$ and let $y = T^{r\mathbf{p}}x$. Then for $t \in \mathcal{V}$, $\rho(T^tx, T^ty) = \rho(T^tx, T^{r\mathbf{p}}T^tx) = f(T^tx, r) < \delta$. Now, $T|_{\mathcal{V}}$ weakly expansive would mean $y = T^{r\mathbf{p}}x = T^{s_0}x$ for some $s_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ with $||s_0|| < \epsilon < \omega/2$. But then $T^{s_0-r\mathbf{p}}x = x$. Since $||s_0 - r\mathbf{p}|| \le ||s_0|| + r||\mathbf{p}|| < \omega$, locally free implies $s_0 = r\mathbf{p}$, contradicting $r\mathbf{p} \notin \mathcal{V}$.

Definition 2.10. Let (X, \mathbb{R}^d, T) be a locally free \mathbb{R}^d action, and let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an *e*-dimensional direction, $1 \leq e < d$. (*i*) *T* is called *weakly* (*directionally*) *expansive* in the direction \mathcal{V} if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$, $\rho(T^tx, T^ty) < \delta$, for all $t \in \mathcal{V}$ implies $y = T^{s_0}x$ for some $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $||s_0|| < \epsilon$. (*ii*) *T* is called *strongly* (*directionally*) *expansive* in the direction \mathcal{V} if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in X$ and any $h : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ with $h(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, $\rho(T^tx, T^{h(t)}y) < \delta$ for all $t \in \mathcal{V}$ implies $y = T^{s_0}x$ for some $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $||s_0|| < \epsilon$.

3. TILING DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

In this section we define finite local complexity (FLC) tiling spaces and tiling dynamical systems, and describe their basic properties (see e.g., [28] or [1]) For simplicity, we will always assume d = 2.

3.1. **Basic definitions.** A *tile* is a subset $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ homeomorphic to a closed disk, with a Lebesgue measure zero boundary (e.g., a convex polygon). A *tiling* x of \mathbb{R}^2 is a set of the tiles that cover \mathbb{R}^2 , intersecting only on their boundaries. A *tiling patch* y in \mathbb{R}^2 is a finite collection of the tiles whose union is a closed topological disc, and a *tiling swatch* y is a collection of tiles whose union is closed and simply connected.

If x is a tiling of \mathbb{R}^2 , and $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, we let x[S] be the smallest patch or swatch, the union of whose tiles contains S. Two tiles, patches, swatches or tilings are said to be *equivalent* if they are congruent via a translation. Sometimes geometrically equivalent tiles are "decorated" with colors or markings that make them inequivalent. We denote by $T^t x := \{D - t : D \in x\}$ the translation of a tiling (or tile or patch or swatch) x by $t \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We call a set p of inequivalent tiles in \mathbb{R}^2 a *prototile set*. A tiling (or path or swatch) x by p means x each tile in x is equivalent to a tile in p. We want to look at sets of all tilings by p, but without further restrictions, such a set is often too large.

Definition 3.1. Let p be a finite set of prototiles in \mathbb{R}^2 . A *local rule* is a finite set $p^{(2)}$ of inequivalent 2-tile patches by p. We let X_p (or p^*) denote the set of all tilings (or patches) by p such that every

2-tile patch in any $x \in X_p$ (or any $y \in p^*$) is equivalent to a patch in $p^{(2)}$. We call X_p the *finite local* complexity (abbreviated FLC) full tiling space defined by p, (with the local rule $p^{(2)}$ being implicit).

We will always assume that p and $p^{(2)}$ are such that $X_p \neq \emptyset$. This is a nontrivial assumption in general (see Section 6.1), but is easy to ascertain in some cases (see Example 3.5), or is known in some others (see Remark 4.1).

There is a well known compact metric topology on any FLC tiling spaces X_p in which two tilings are close if, after a small translation, they agree on a large ball around the origin. Here is one version of such a metric ρ (see [28], [34]). Let $\Delta_p := \min_{D \in p} \operatorname{diam}(D)$. For $x, y \in X_p$, $x \neq y$, let

 $R(x,y) := \sup \{r : (T^{r_x}x)[B_r(\mathbf{0})] = (T^{r_y}y)[B_r(\mathbf{0})] \text{ some } ||r_x||, ||r_y|| < 1/r \},\$

and define the *tiling metric* $\rho(x, y) := \min(\Delta_p, 1/R(x, y))$. A well known result of Rudolph [33] is that an FLC full tiling space X_p is a compact metric space (see also [28], [34]). The following will be useful.

Lemma 3.2. Let X_p be an FLC tiling space, and let $x, y \in X_p$.

- (1) If $(T^{r}x)[\{t\}] = (T^{s}x)[\{t\}]$ with $||r s|| < \Delta_{p}$ then r = s.
- (2) If $\rho(x,y) < \delta < \min(1/3, \Delta_p)$ and $r = 1/\delta$, then there exists $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $||\mathbf{t}|| < \delta$ so that $x[B_{(8/9)r}(\mathbf{0})] = (T^t y)[B_{(8/9)r}(\mathbf{0})].$
- (3) If $\rho(T^r x, T^s x) < \Delta_p$ or $||\mathbf{r} \mathbf{s}|| < \Delta_p$ then $\rho(T^r x, T^s x) = ||\mathbf{r} \mathbf{s}||.$

Proof.

- (1) Suppose $x[\{t\}] = (T^r x)[\{t\}]$ for $||\mathbf{r}|| < \Delta_p$. Then for some $D \in x[\{t\}]$ we also have $T^r D \in x[\{t\}]$, with $T^r D \cap D \neq \emptyset$. So $T^r D = D$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$.
- (2) If $0 < \rho(x, y) = \delta =: 1/r < \Delta_p$ then $(T^r x)[B_r(\mathbf{0})] = (T^s y)[B_r(\mathbf{0})]$ for some $||\mathbf{r}||, ||\mathbf{s}|| < \delta/2$. So $x[B_r(\mathbf{r})] = (T^{s-r}y)[B_r(\mathbf{r})]$ and $\mathbf{t} := \mathbf{s} \mathbf{r}$ satisfies $||\mathbf{t}|| < \delta$. It follows that $x[B_{(9/10)r}(\mathbf{0})] = (T^t y)[B_{(9/10)r}(\mathbf{0})]$, because $1/r = \delta < 1/3$ implies $B_{(9/10)r}(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq B_r(\mathbf{r})$.
- (3) It suffices to prove $\rho(x, T^r x) = ||\mathbf{r}||$. Clearly $\rho(x, T^r x) \leq ||\mathbf{r}||$. Now suppose $\rho(x, T^r x) = \delta = 1/r < ||\mathbf{r}||$. By (2) there is $||\mathbf{s}|| < \delta$ with $(T^s x)[B_{(8/9)r}(\mathbf{0})] = (T^r x)[B_{(8/9)r}(\mathbf{0})]$, so by (1), $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{s}$, contradicting $\delta = ||\mathbf{s}|| < ||\mathbf{r}||$. The converse is clear.

Definition 3.3. An *FLC tiling space* in general is any closed *T*-invariant subset $X \subseteq X_p$ where X_p is a full tiling space. We call (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) an FLC *tiling dynamical system*.

Remark 3.4. Let X and X' be tiling spaces. For R > 0, consider the sets of patches $X_{\{R\}} := \{x[B_R(\mathbf{0})] : x \in X\}$ and $X'_{\{0\}} := \{x'[\{\mathbf{0}\}] : x' \in X'\}$. A map $\theta : X \to X'$ is called *local* (see [28]) if there is a map $\theta : X_{\{R\}} \to X'_{\{0\}}$ so that $\theta(x)[\{\mathbf{0}\}] = \Theta(x[B_R(\mathbf{0})])$ for all $x \in X$. Note that a local map is uniformly continuous, and satisfies $\theta(T^t x) = T^t \theta(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^2$. A local map is a factor map if it is onto. If a local homeomorphism θ has a local inverse, we say θ is a mutually locally derivable (MLD) topological conjugacy. Local maps between FLC tiling spaces are analogous to sliding block codes between \mathbb{Z}^d subshifts. In the subshift case, the Curtis/Lyndon/Hedlund Theorem says every topological conjugacy is a sliding block code. However, for FLC tiling dynamical systems there are topological conjugacies that are not MLD (see [26], [15], [1] and Theorem 6.11).

Example 3.5. For $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 4$, let $\mathbf{v}_j := (\operatorname{Re}(e^{2\pi i j/5}), \operatorname{Im}(e^{2\pi i j/5}))$, the *j*th 5th root of unity, and let $\mathbf{v}'_j := (2/5)\mathbf{v}_j$. We dente the prototile set $p_5 := \{\mathbf{v}'_i \wedge \mathbf{v}'_j : 0 \leq i < j \leq 4\}$, where $\mathbf{v}'_i \wedge \mathbf{v}'_j := \{t_0\mathbf{v}'_i + t_1\mathbf{v}'_j : t_0, t_1 \in [0, 1]\}$. Then p_5 consists of 10 rhombic tiles with edge length 2/5, five with acute angle $2\pi/5$ and five with acute angle $2\pi/10$. Figure 1 (a) shows $\mathbf{v}'_0 \wedge \mathbf{v}'_4$ and $\mathbf{v}'_0 \wedge \mathbf{v}'_3$. All other tiles in p_5 are rotations of one of these. We take local rule $p_5^{(2)}$ to be the requirement that all tiles meet edge to edge. The corresponding FLC full tiling space satisfies $X_{p_5} \neq \emptyset$ since it contains periodic tilings (see Figure 1, (b)).



FIGURE 1. (a) Two unmarked rhombic tiles, thick: $v'_0 \wedge v'_1$ and thin $v'_0 \wedge v'_3$. (b) A swatch of a periodic tiling $x \in X_{p_5}$, showing $X_{p_5} \neq \emptyset$, but also that p_5 is not an aperiodic prototile set.

Remark 3.6. The existence of periodic tilings $x \in X_{p_5}$ means that the FLC tiling dynamical system $(X_{p_5}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ is not minimal, uniquely ergodic, or even free. However, every FLC tiling dynamical system is locally free by (1) of Lemma 3.2.

3.2. Expansiveness and directional expansiveness for tiling dynamical systems.

Theorem 3.7 (Frank-Sadun, [10]). For (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) an FLC tiling dynamical system, weak expansiveness is equivalent to strong expansiveness (we just say "expansive" in the FLC case).

Proposition 3.8. Any FLC tiling dynamical system (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is expansive.

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $\delta < \min(\epsilon, 1/3, \Delta_p/2)$, $r = 1/\delta$. Let $B_0 = B_{8r/9}(\mathbf{0})$. For a sequence $\mathbf{t}_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, let $B_n := B_{8r/9}(-\mathbf{t}_n)$. Choose the \mathbf{t}_n so that $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} B_n = \mathbb{R}^2$, and so that $I_n := B_{n+1} \cap B_n \neq \emptyset$. Now suppose $x, y \in X_p$ satisfy $\rho(T^tx, T^ty) < \delta$ for all $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then for each $n = 1, 2, \ldots, \rho(T^{t_n}x, T^{t_n}y) < \delta$, so that by (2) of Lemma 3.2, there exists $||\mathbf{r}_n|| < \delta$ so that $(T^{t_n}x)[B_0] = (T^{t_n+r_n}y)[B_0]$. Equivalently, $x[B_n] = (T^{r_n}y)[B_n]$. We claim $\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{r}_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $x[B_n] = (T^{r_1}y)[B_n]$ for all n, and since the B_n cover \mathbb{R}^2 , $y = T^{r_1}x$. By (3) of Lemma 3.2, $||\mathbf{r}_1|| = \rho(x, y) < \delta < \epsilon$. To prove the claim, we prove $\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n$ for all n. Let $C_n := B_n \cap B_{n+1}$. Then $(T^{r_n}y)[C_n] = x[C_n] = (T^{r_{n+1}}y)[C_n]$. Since $||\mathbf{r}_{n+1} - \mathbf{r}_n|| < 2\delta < \Delta_p$, (1) of Lemma 3.2 implies $\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n$.

We now discuss directional expansiveness for FLC tiling dynamical systems (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) . Any 1dimensional direction \mathcal{V} in \mathbb{R}^2 is a line. We typically describe it either as the line generated by a vector $v \neq 0$, or by its slope $m \in \mathbb{R}$, (with $m = \infty$ being a vertical line).

Proposition 3.9. If (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is an FLC tiling dynamical system, then a direction \mathcal{V} is weakly expansive if and only if it is strongly expansive in the direction \mathcal{V} .

Because of Proposition 3.9, we will from now on simply refer to *expansive* and *non-expansive* directions when discussing FLC tiling dynamical systems. Our proof of Proposition 3.9, closely follows the proof of Theorem 3.7 in [10]. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 3.10. Let (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) , $X \subseteq X_p$, be an FLC tiling dynamical system, and let $0 < 2\delta < \min(1/3, \Delta_p)$. For a 1-dimensional direction $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $x, y \in X$, let $h : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a homeomorphism such that $h(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\rho(T^t x, T^{h(t)} y) < \delta/3$ for all $t \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $||h(t) - t|| < \delta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We prove this by induction. Let $r = \delta/3$. Suppose there is a $t \in \mathcal{V}$ with ||t|| < r such that $||h(t) - t|| \ge \delta$. By continuity we can find $t_0 \in \mathcal{V}$, $||t_0|| < r$, so that $||h(t_0) - t_0|| = \delta$. Now, since $\rho(x, y) = \rho(T^t x, T^{h(t)} y)|_{t=0} < \delta/3$, part (2) of Lemma 3.2 says there is $r \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $||r|| < \delta/3$ so that $x[B_{2r}(\mathbf{0})] = (T^r y)[B_{2r}(\mathbf{0})]$. Since $t_0 \in B_{2r}(\mathbf{0})$, we have $x[\{t_0\}] = (T^r y)[\{t_0\}]$. Again, using

part (2) of Lemma 3.2, $\rho(T^{t_0}x, T^{h(t_0)}y) < \delta/3$ implies $(T^{t_0}x)[B_{2r}(\mathbf{0})] = (T^{h(t_0)+s}y)[B_{2r}(\mathbf{0})]$ for some $||s|| < \delta/3$. Thus $x[B_{2r}(t_0)] = (T^{h(t_0)-t_0+s}y)[B_{2r}(t_0)]$, which implies $x[\{t_0\}] = (T^{h(t_0)-t_0+s}y)[\{t_0\}]$. It follows that $(T^ry)[\{t_0\}] = (T^{h(t_0)-t_0+s}y)[\{t_0\}]$. Since $||h(t_0)-t_0+s-r|| \le ||h(t_0)-t_0|| + ||r|| + ||s|| < \delta + \delta/3 + \delta/3 = 2\delta < \Delta_p$, part (1) of Lemma 3.2 implies $h(t_0) - t_0 = s - r$ which implies $\delta = ||h(t_0) - t_0|| = ||s - r|| < \delta/3 + \delta/3 < \delta$, a contradiction. This is the base of the induction.

For the induction step, we assume that, for some $k \ge 1$, no $t \in \mathcal{V}$ with ||t|| < rk has $||h(t) - t|| \ge 2\delta/3$, and show the same for $t \in \mathcal{V}$ with ||t|| < (k+1)r. Suppose to the contrary that some such $t = t_0$ satisfies $||h(t_0) - t_0|| = 2\delta/3$. Let $s_0 = kt/(k+1)$ so that $s_0 \in \mathcal{V}$, $||s_0|| < kr$ and $||t_0 - s_0|| < r$. By the assumptions, $\rho(T^{s_0}x, T^{h(s_0)}y) < \delta/3$, and by induction, $||h(s_0) - s_0|| < 2\delta/3$, so part (2) of Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\rho(T^{s_0}x, T^{s_0}y) \le \rho(T^{s_0}x, T^{h(s_0)}y) + \rho(T^{h(s_0)}y, T^{s_0}y) < \delta/3 + ||h(s_0) - s_0|| < \delta.$$

Since $||t_0 - s_0|| < r$, the argument above shows $(T^{s_0}x)[t_0 - s_0] = (T^{s_0+r}y)[t_0 - s_0]$ for some $||r|| < \delta/3$. Equivalently, $x[\{t_0\}] = (T^r y)[\{t_0\}]$. But also, the assumption, $\rho(T^{t_0}x, T^{h(t_0)}y) < \delta/3$ implies $x[\{t_0\}] = (T^{h(t_0)-t_0+r'}y)[\{t_0\}]$ for some $||r'|| < \delta/3$. So $(T^r y)[\{t_0\}] = (T^{h(t_0)-t_0+r'}y)[\{t_0\}]$. As above, part (1) of Lemma 3.2 implies $h(t_0) - t_0 = r' - r$, which yields the contradiction $2\delta/3 = ||h(t_0) - t_0|| = ||r' - r|| < 2\delta/3$.

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Assume T is weakly expansive in the direction \mathcal{V} . Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and find $\delta' > 0$ for weak expansiveness ((1) of Definition 2.10), satisfying $\delta' < \Delta_p$. Let $x, y \in X$ and let $h = h_{x,y}$ be as in part (2) of Definition 2.10 satisfying: (i) $\rho(T^t x, T^{h(t)}y) < \delta'/3$. Then by part (3) of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.10 we have: (ii) $\rho(T^t y, T^{h(t)}y) = ||h(t) - t|| < 2\delta'/3$. Thus $\rho(T^t x, T^t y) \leq \rho(T^t x, T^{h(t)}y) + \rho(T^{h(t)}y, T^t y) < \delta'/3 + 2\delta'/3 = \delta'$, by (i) and (ii). It follows from weak expansiveness (part (1) of Definition 2.10) that $y = T^{s_0}x$ for some $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $||s_0|| < \epsilon$. This implies T is strongly expansive (for $\delta = \delta'/3$) in the direction \mathcal{V} .

Definition 3.11. Let \mathcal{V} be a 1-dimensional direction. We say an FLC tiling dynamical system T is direction \mathcal{V} symbolically expansive if there is an r > 0 so that $x[\mathcal{V}^r] = y[\mathcal{V}^r]$ for all $x, y \in X$ implies x = y.

The following should be compared to Lemma 2.5.

Proposition 3.12. For an FLC tiling dynamical system (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) , direction \mathcal{V} symbolic expansiveness is equivalent to direction \mathcal{V} expansiveness.

Proof. Suppose Definition 3.11 holds for T in the direction $\mathcal{V} = \{t\boldsymbol{v}: t \in \mathbb{R}\}, ||\boldsymbol{v}|| = 1$, for r > 0. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, and for $r' = (2/3)\sqrt{3}r$, let $\delta < \min(\epsilon, 1/r', \Delta_p/2)$. Let $\boldsymbol{t}_n = nr'\boldsymbol{v}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{V}^r \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}B_{r'}(\boldsymbol{t}_n)$. Let $C_n := B_{r'}(\boldsymbol{t}_n) \cap B_{r'}(\boldsymbol{t}_{n+1})$. Now suppose $\rho(T^t\boldsymbol{x}, T^t\boldsymbol{y}) < \delta$ for all $\boldsymbol{t} \in \mathcal{V}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a small shift, $||\boldsymbol{r}_n|| < \delta$, such that $(T^{t_n}\boldsymbol{x})[B_{r'}(\mathbf{0})] = (T^{r_n}T^{t_n}\boldsymbol{y})[B_{r'}(\mathbf{0})]$, or equivalently $\boldsymbol{x}[B_{r'}(-\boldsymbol{t}_n)] = (T^{r_n}\boldsymbol{y})[B_{r'}(-\boldsymbol{t}_n)]$. We claim $\boldsymbol{r}_n = \boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. we have $(T^{r_n}\boldsymbol{y})[C_n] = \boldsymbol{x}[C_n] = (T^{r_{n+1}}\boldsymbol{y})[C_n]$ where $||\boldsymbol{r}_n||, ||\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}|| < \delta$. Since $||\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{r}_n|| < \Delta_p$, (1) of Lemma 3.2 implies $\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{r}_n$. So $\boldsymbol{x}[B_{r'}(-\boldsymbol{t}_n)] = (T^{r_0}\boldsymbol{y})[B_{r'}(-\boldsymbol{t}_n)]$ for all n. But the definition of r' implies $\mathcal{V}^r \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}B_{r'}(\boldsymbol{t}_n)$. Thus $\boldsymbol{x}[\mathcal{V}^r] = (T^{r_0}\boldsymbol{y})[\mathcal{V}^r]$, and by Definition 3.11, $\boldsymbol{x} = T^{r_0}\boldsymbol{y}$ with $||\boldsymbol{r}_0|| < \delta < \epsilon$.

Conversely, suppose T is weakly expansive in direction \mathcal{V} . Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $\delta < \min(\epsilon, \Delta_p)$, and let $r > 1/\delta$. Now suppose $x[\mathcal{V}^r] = y[\mathcal{V}^r]$. Then $x[B_r(-t)] = y[B_r(-t)]$ for all $t \in \mathcal{V}$, or equivalently, $(T^tx)[B_r(\mathbf{0})] = (T^ty)[B_r(\mathbf{0})]$ for all $t \in \mathcal{V}$. Thus $\rho(T^tx, T^ty) = 1/r < \delta$. By weak expansiveness in direction $\mathcal{V}, y = T^{s_0}x$ with $||s_0|| < \epsilon < \delta < \Delta_p$. But $(T^{s_0}x)[\mathcal{V}^r] = x[\mathcal{V}^r]$, so by (1) of Lemma 3.2, we have $s_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and x = y.

4. The Penrose tiling dynamical system

4.1. **Penrose tilings.** Two important types of Penrose tilings arrowed rhombic Penrose tilings and the unmarked rhombic Penrose tilings (see [28], [29], [1]). The arrowed rhombic Penrose tiles, denoted p_{5a} , are versions of the tiles from p_5 marked with arrows. Two of them are shown in Figure 2, (a). The entire set p_{5a} of 20 prototiles is obtained by taking all rotations of these two tiles by multiples of $2\pi/10$. To make an FLC prototile set, the local rule $p_{5a}^{(2)}$ requires two tiles to meet edge to edge with matching arrows (see Figure 2).



FIGURE 2. (a) two arrowed rhombic Penrose tiles, (b) arrowed rhombic Penrose tiling patch, and (c) the same patch with arrows erased. The erasure map E has a local inverse E^{-1} .

Remark 4.1. Penrose showed the following about $X_{p_{5a}}$, (see e.g., [25] or [11]), although not expressing it in dynamical terminology: $X_{p_{5a}} \neq \emptyset$ and every $x \in X_{p_{5a}}$ is aperiodic, so T is free. Moreover, T minimal and uniquely ergodic (see [29]). These all properties essentially follow from the fact that there is a MLD topological conjugacy, called *tiling substitution* or *inflation*, between $X_{p_{5a}}$ and the space $\gamma X_{p_{5a}}$ of all tilings $x \in X_{p_{5a}}$ expanded by $\gamma := (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, (see e.g., [11], [29]).

The map $E: X_{p_{5a}} \to X_{p_5}$ that erases the arrows is not onto (see [6]) since no periodic tiling can be correctly decorated with arrows. The set of *unmarked Penrose tilings*, defined by $\mathsf{X} := E(X_{p_{5a}}) \subseteq X_{p_5}$ is a tiling space (see Figure 2 (c)), and we call the $(\mathsf{X}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ the (unmarked rhombic) *Penrose tiling dynamical system*.

Lemma 4.2 (deBruijn, [6]). The erasing map $E: X_{p_{5a}} \to X_{p_5}$ is 1:1, and for $X = E(X_{p_{5a}})$, the inverse $E^{-1}: X \to X_{p_{5a}}$ is an MLD topological conjugacy.

Proof. It is shown in [6] that, up to rotation there are only seven vertex configurations (see Figure 3) among all the $x \in X_{p_{5a}}$. Types "S" and "S5" are the only two that are the same in E(x), and since they can never be adjacent, they can be distinguished in E(x) by the patterns on at least one adjacent vertex.

4.2. The Kronecker model for Penrose tilings. Let $\mathbb{T}_0^5 = \{ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}^5 : \{\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{u}_4\} = 0 \}$, a closed subtorus of \mathbb{T}^5 , homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^4 . For $\boldsymbol{v}_j = (\operatorname{Re}(e^{2\pi i/5}), \operatorname{Im}(e^{2\pi i/5}))$, the *j*th 5th root of unity, let $W = [\boldsymbol{v}_0^t; \boldsymbol{v}_1^t; \ldots; \boldsymbol{v}_4^t]$ be the 5×2 matrix rows \boldsymbol{v}_j . Let V be the 4×2 matrix obtained by deleting the row of \boldsymbol{v}_3^t from W. For $\boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define $K^t \boldsymbol{u} = \{\boldsymbol{u} + W\boldsymbol{t}\}$ on \mathbb{T}^5 and $L^t \boldsymbol{u} = \{\boldsymbol{u} + V\boldsymbol{t}\}$ on \mathbb{T}^4 . Clearly $(\mathbb{T}^5, \mathbb{R}^2, K)$ and $(\mathbb{T}^4, \mathbb{R}^2, L)$ are Kronecker systems, with $\Sigma_K = \Sigma_L = V^t \mathbb{Z}^5 = W^t \mathbb{Z}^4 = \mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/5}] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, the decomplexification of the complex ring generated by $e^{2\pi i/5}$. Note that K is not minimal since \mathbb{T}_0^5 is K invariant, but L is minimal since W^t is injective on \mathbb{Z}^4 . Moreover, $(\mathbb{T}^4, \mathbb{R}^2, L)$



FIGURE 3. Up to rotation there are seven arrowed vertex configurations in all $x \in X_{p_{5a}}$. The labels for the types are from [6].

is topologically conjugate to $(\mathbb{T}_0^5, \mathbb{R}^2, K|_{\mathbb{T}_0^5})$. This is the unique minimal Kronecker \mathbb{R}^2 dynamical system with $\Sigma_K = \mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/5}]$.

The following is the main result of [29].

Theorem 4.3 (see [29]). The Penrose tiling dynamical system (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is strictly ergodic and almost automorphic, with $\Sigma_T = \mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/5}]$ and $\mathfrak{M}_T = \{1, 2, 10\}$.

4.3. Grid tiling dynamical system. Here we recall some ideas from the proof of Theorem 4.3 from [29] that we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.1. That proof is based on deBruijn's theory of grid tilings [6], (see also [28] or [1]).

For each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 4, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_0, \ldots, u_4) \in \mathbb{T}_0^5$, define the grid line

$$\ell_{j,k} = \ell_{j,k}(u_j) := \{\boldsymbol{v}_j\}^{\perp} + (-u_j + k)\boldsymbol{v}_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$$(4.1)$$

We view the set $y(\boldsymbol{u}) := \{\ell_{j,k}(u_j) : j = 0, 1, ..., 4; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of all grid lines as a non FLC tiling of \mathbb{R}^2 by convex polygonal grid tiles, (see Figure 4), which we call a grid tiling. We denote the set of all grid tilings by $Y := \{y(\boldsymbol{u}) : \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}_0^5\}$. The mapping $\boldsymbol{u} \mapsto y(\boldsymbol{u}) : \mathbb{T}_0^5 \to Y$ is a bijection, continuous in the topology³ that \mathbb{T}_0^5 pushes forward to Y. Thus (Y, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is a non FLC tiling dynamical system, where T is the \mathbb{R}^2 translation action on Y.

Lemma 4.4. The map $y : \mathbb{T}_0^5 \to Y$ satisfies $y(K^t u) = T^t(y(u))$. Thus (Y, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is the unique minimal Kronecker dynamical system with spectrum $\Sigma_K = \mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/5}]$.

Proof. The \mathbb{Z}^d valued function

$$\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{u}) := \lfloor W \boldsymbol{s} + \boldsymbol{u} \rfloor - \lfloor \boldsymbol{u} \rfloor, \tag{4.2}$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^5$, satisfies m(0, u) = 0, m(s, u + k) = m(s, u), $k \in \mathbb{Z}^5$, so is well defined on \mathbb{T}_0^5 . It also satisfies the *cocycle equation* $m(t+s, u) - m(s, u) = m(t, K^s u)$. Fixing $u \in \mathbb{T}_0^5$, we see that m(t, u) is constant (interiors of) tiles $E \in y(u)$, and takes a different value on each tile. The left side of the cocycle equation is piecewise constant on the tiles of $y(u) - s = T^s y(u)$, whereas the right side is piecewise constant on the tiles of $y(K^s u)$.

4.4. **Duality and nonsingular tilings.** For an edge to edge tiling y of \mathbb{R}^2 by polygonal tiles, let v(y) be its vertices, and e(y) be its edges, with the tiles in y being its faces. Another tiling y^* by polygonal tiles is said to be *geometrically dual* to y if there are bijections $y \stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow} v(x), v(y) \stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow} x$ and $e(y) \stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow} e(x)$, such that $\ell^* \in e(x), \ \ell \in e(y)$ implies $\ell^* \perp \ell$.

³The topology on Y can also be defined geometrically using a non-FLC version of the tiling metric, (see [28] or [10]).



FIGURE 4. (a) Patch of nonsingular grid tiling $y(\boldsymbol{u}) \in Y_1$. Note that grid tiles are often almost vanishingly small. One grid patch $g_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ is marked in grey, and (b) also shown right. As described in Definition 5.3, this grid patch has symbol $\sigma(g_n(\boldsymbol{u})) = 0 \otimes 1$. The dual $w_n(x) = g_n(\boldsymbol{u})^*$ (see Lemma 5.8) of this grid patch, where $x = y(\boldsymbol{u})^*$, is of type w^{20} . It is shown in Figure 9.

We will now describe a geometric duality (see [6], [29]) between the grid tilings $y = y(\mathbf{u}) \in Y$ and a set of tilings $Y^* \supseteq X_{p5}$, described below. First, for a tile $E \in y(\mathbf{u})$, define the vertex

$$E^* := (2/5)W^t(\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \{\boldsymbol{u}\}) \in v(y^*),$$
(4.3)

for arbitrary $s \in int(E)$. We connect two vertices $E_1^*, E_2^* \in v(y^*)$ by an edge $\ell^* \in e(y^*)$ if their distance is 2/5, or equivalently, if $E_1, E_2 \in y$ are adjacent across an edge $\ell \in e(y)$. The edges $e(y^*)$ divide \mathbb{R}^2 into the polygonal tiles D that make up y^* . In particular, if $\mathbf{b} \in v(y)$ is an *n*-fold crossing, then it is the common vertex of 2n tiles $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_{2n} \in y$, going clockwise. The duals $E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_{2n}^* \in v(y^*)$, and the connecting edges, enclose a 2n-gon $\mathbf{b}^* := D \in y^*$. The case n = 2is shown in Figure 5.

Lemma 4.5 (See [29]). For $y = y(u) \in Y$, the map $y(u) \mapsto y^*(u)$ is injective and satisfies

$$(T^{t}y(u))^{*} = y(K^{t}u)^{*} = T^{t}(y(u)^{*}).$$
(4.4)

Proof. We first prove (4.4). The first equality follows from Lemma 4.4. For the second, we have that $v(y(\boldsymbol{u})^*) = \frac{2}{5}W^t(\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbb{R}^2, \boldsymbol{u}) - \{\boldsymbol{u}\}) = \frac{2}{5}W^t(\lfloor W\mathbb{R}^2 + \boldsymbol{u} \rfloor - \boldsymbol{u})$. Then $K^t\boldsymbol{u} = W\boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\frac{2}{5}W^tW = I$ imply $v(y(K^t\boldsymbol{u})^*) = \frac{2}{5}W^t(\lfloor W\mathbb{R}^2 + W\boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{u} \rfloor - (W\boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{u})) = \frac{2}{5}W^t(\lfloor W\mathbb{R}^2 + \boldsymbol{u} \rfloor - \boldsymbol{u}) - \boldsymbol{t} = v(y(\boldsymbol{u})^*) - \boldsymbol{t}$. Since $v(y^*)$ determines y^* , we have $y^*(K^t\boldsymbol{u}) = y^*(\boldsymbol{u}) - \boldsymbol{t} = T^ty^*(\boldsymbol{u})$.

The duality is clearly injective up to equivalence: if $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ are not translates then y_1^*, y_2^* cannot be translates, [6]. The injectivity of $y \mapsto y^*$ then follows from (4.4).

We call a grid tiling $y = y(\mathbf{u}) \in Y$ nonsingular if every vertex $\mathbf{b} \in v(y)$ is a 2-fold crossing, and we denote the set of all nonsingular grid tilings by Y_1 . Note that $y^{-1}(Y_1) \subseteq \mathbb{T}_0^5$, or equivalently $Y_1 \subseteq Y$, is dense G_{δ} . Now suppose $y = y(\mathbf{u}) \in Y_1$, and the vertex $\mathbf{b} \in v(y)$ is a (2-fold) crossing of $\ell_{i,k}$ and $\ell_{j,k'}$, i < j. Then its dual \mathbf{b}^* in y^* is a type $\mathbf{v}'_i \wedge \mathbf{v}'_j$ tile in p_5 (see Figure 5). Thus $y \in Y_1$ implies $y^* \in X_{p_5}$. But in fact, much more is true!

Theorem 4.6 (deBruijn, [6]). If $y = y(u) \in Y_1$ then $x(u) := y(u)^* \in X$. In other words, the dual x(u) of any nonsingular grid tiling y(u) is an unmarked Penrose tiling.

FIGURE 5. A vertex $\boldsymbol{b} \approx \boldsymbol{0} \in v(y)$ (left) that is a 2-fold crossing of a 0-grid line and a 1-grid line. The values of $\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{u})$ on the four tiles E_0, E_1, E_2 and E_3 adjacent to \boldsymbol{b} have values $\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{0}, \ \boldsymbol{m} = -\boldsymbol{e}_1, \ \boldsymbol{m} = -(\boldsymbol{e}_0 + \boldsymbol{e}_1)$ and $\boldsymbol{m} = -\boldsymbol{e}_1$. Assuming $\{\boldsymbol{u}\} = \boldsymbol{0}$, their duals (right) are $E_0^* = \boldsymbol{0}, \ E_1^* = -\boldsymbol{v}_0', \ E_2^* = -(\boldsymbol{v}_0' + \boldsymbol{v}_1'), \ E_3^* = -\boldsymbol{v}_1'$, which are the vertices of a tile \boldsymbol{b}^* (right), of type $\boldsymbol{v}_0' \wedge \boldsymbol{v}_1'$.

Let $X_1 := Y_1^*$, called the *nonsingular* Penrose tilings, and let $\varphi : X_1 \to Y_1$ be the inverse of the duality map.

Remark 4.7. It is shown in [29] that φ is uniformly continuous on X₁, and X = $\overline{X_1} = \overline{Y_1^*}$. This is essentially deBruijn's observation [6] that all Penrose tilings are limits of nonsingular Penrose tilings. The extension $\varphi : X \to Y$ is the factor map in Theorem 4.3. The fact that φ has a single preimage on the G_{δ} set Y₁ shows that φ is almost 1:1.



FIGURE 6. (a) The 3-fold crossings along the spine ℓ_0 for $y(\boldsymbol{u}) \in Y_2$ and the unfilled work in its dual $y(\boldsymbol{u})^*$. (b) and (c) show the + and - resolutions of the worm.

4.5. The singular cases. If $y(u) \notin Y_1$ it means that some of crossings in y(u) are not 2-fold, and we call y(u) a singular grid tiling. Let n be the highest crossing order in y(u). It is easy to see that $u \in \mathbb{T}_0^5$ implies n = 4 never occurs (see [6]). Thus there are two cases: Case A, n = 3, which we will see corresponds to Y_2 in Theorem 4.3, and Case B, n = 5, which corresponds to Y_{10} .

Case A: Let **b** be one of the 3-fold crossings in y(u). As shown in [6] (see also [29]), there is a grid line $\ell_{j,k}$ through **b**, we call it a *spine*, such that all the crossings along $\ell_{j,k}$ are 3-fold, and all the other crossings in y(u) are 2-fold. The 3-fold crossings along $\ell_{j,k}$ are of two kinds: wide is a

crossing of ℓ_j with (some) $\ell_{j+2,k'}$ and $\ell_{j-2,k''}$ (where the arithmetic for j is mod 5), and *narrow* is a crossing of ℓ_j with $\ell_{j+1,k'}$ and $\ell_{j-1,k''}$, (see Figure 6 (a)).

The duals of 3-fold crossings are hexagons, respectively wide or narrow. The hexagons line up in $y(\mathbf{u})^*$ in configuration called an unfilled worm, Figure 6, (b), and we call the line *l* along the center of the worm its axis. Since hexagons are not in p_5 , the dual $y(\mathbf{u})^*$ is not in X_{p_5} . But we can obtain a tiling in X_{p_5} by "filling" each hexagon in $y(\mathbf{u})^*$ by tiling it with three tiles from p_5 . This can be done in one of two directions: direction + will be when a single tile sits on the side facing \mathbf{v}_j , and direction - will be when two tiles sit on the side facing \mathbf{v}_j

To obtain a Penrose tiling, all the hexagons must be filled in the same direction [6]. We denote the two resulting Penrose tilings as $x^+(u), x^-(u) \in X$, Figure 6, (c). The column of filled hexagons in $x^+(u)$ or $x^-(u)$ is called a *filled worm*. Going back and forth between $x^+(u)$ and $x^-(u)$ is called *flipping* the worm. Except for the tiles in the filled worm, all the tiles in $x^+(u)$ and $x^-(u)$ are the same. The following is the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.8. If \mathcal{V} is a direction perpendicular to a 5th root of unity, then the Penrose tiling dynamical system (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is not expansive in the direction \mathcal{V} .

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{v}_j^{\perp}\}$. Let $x^+ \in X_2$ and fix r > 0. By applying a rotation and a translation we can assume that the single worm in x^+ is in direction \boldsymbol{v}_j^{\perp} that lies outside \mathcal{V}^r . Let x^- be x^+ with the worm flipped. Then $x^+[\mathcal{V}^r] = x^-[\mathcal{V}^r]$ but $x^+ \neq x^-$. It follows from Definition 3.11 and Proposition 3.12 that (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is not expansive in the direction \mathcal{V} .



FIGURE 7. (a) A 5-fold crossing in $y(\boldsymbol{u}) \in Y_{10}$ with some surrounding 3-fold crossings, (b) a small perturbation $y(\boldsymbol{u}') \in Y_1$ of the 5-fold crossing, and (c) the decayon tiling in rotation 0 together with worm filling directions: -, +, -, -, +.

Case B: Here, $y = y(\mathbf{u})$ has a single 5-fold crossing at $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By translation, we can assume $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ so the *spines* are $\ell_{j,0}$, $j = 0, \ldots, 4$. All the crossings along these spines, except at $\mathbf{0}$, are wide and narrow 3-fold, and all the other crossings in $y(\mathbf{u})$ are 2-fold (see [6]). In $y(\mathbf{u})^*$ the dual of the 5-fold crossing is a regular decagon, and there are unfilled half-worms of hexagons radiating from its faces. This configuration is called an *unfilled cartwheel*, and we call the direction \mathbf{v}_j^{\perp} lines l_j through the middle of each pair of half-worms *spokes*.

Clearly $y(\boldsymbol{u})^*$ is invariant under rotations around **0** by multiples of $2\pi/10$. We fill the unfilled cartwheel with p_5 tiles to obtain a Penrose tiling $x(\boldsymbol{u})^0 \in X$ as follows. We fill the half-worm pairs,

in the order $\mathbf{v}_0^{\perp}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4^{\perp}$, with a pattern of directions -, +, -, -, +. Each counter-clockwise rotation of $x(\mathbf{u})^0$ by $2\pi/10$ acts on the sign pattern by flipping all the directions and cycling the pattern by moving the last direction to the front. So, for example, a single rotation of $x(\mathbf{u})^0$, which we denote $x(\mathbf{u})^1$, has the sign pattern -, +, -, +, +. There are 10 such patterns which yield the 10 distinct rotations of the decagon filling, and yield 10 distinct Penrose tillings $x(\mathbf{u})^0, \ldots, x_9(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathsf{X}$, obtained from filling the unfilled cartwheel in $y(\mathbf{u}) \in Y_{10}$. Off this unfilled cartwheel these are all the same. These 10 Penrose tillings are the 10 distinct φ preimages of $y(\mathbf{u}) \in Y_{10}$, (see [6]).

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

5.1. Sturmian sequences. The slope α irrational rotation is the Kronecker system $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}, R_{\alpha})$ defined $R_{\alpha}u := u + \alpha \mod 1$. It satisfies $\Sigma_{R_{\alpha}} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{T} \sim \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the ring generated by α , and $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z}$ is its projection to the circle. A Sturmian sequence $z = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a coding of $u \in \mathbb{T}$ by R_{α} . It is defined $z_n = z(u)_n := \chi_I(R_{\alpha}^n u)$, where I is either $I^+ := [1 - \alpha, 1)$ or $I^- := (1 - \alpha, 1]$. We let $Z = \{(z(u)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : u \in \mathbb{T}\}$ with $\phi : Z \to \mathbb{T}$ the factor map satisfying $\phi(z(u)) = u$. The following lemma summarizes some well known facts.

Lemma 5.1. The \mathbb{Z} Sturmian subshift (Z, \mathbb{Z}, S) is strictly ergodic almost automorphic with $\Sigma_S = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{M}_T = \{1, 2\}$, and $\mathbb{T}_1 := \mathbb{T} \setminus \{R^n_{\alpha} 0 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

Most of this can be found in [9], with explicit formula for $\phi(z)$ in [31]. We call the tensor square $(Z \otimes Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S \otimes S)$ of (Z, \mathbb{Z}, S) the \mathbb{Z}^2 Sturmian subshift.

Lemma 5.2. The \mathbb{Z}^2 Sturmian subshift $(Z \otimes Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S \otimes S)$ is strictly ergodic almost automorphic with $\Sigma_{S \otimes S} = (Z[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z}) \times (Z[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z})$, (i.e., an almost 1 : 1 etension of $R_\alpha \otimes R_\alpha$), $\mathfrak{M}_T = \{1, 2, 4\}$, and $\mathbb{T}_1^2 := \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \{(R_\alpha \otimes R_\alpha)^n \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$. The factor map is $\phi \otimes \phi$.

5.2. Grid patches. Let $f_0 := (0, \sec(2\pi/20))$ and $f_1 := (1, -\tan(2\pi/20))$, and let $A = [f_0^t; f_1^t]$ be the matrix with f_0 and f_1 as rows. Define a rhombus

$$R := \boldsymbol{f}_1 \wedge \boldsymbol{f}_0 = \{ t_1 \boldsymbol{f}_1 + t_0 \boldsymbol{f}_0 : t_0, t_1 \in [0, 1] \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 = A[0, 1]^2.$$
(5.1)

For any $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}_0^5$, the 0 and 1-grid lines in $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ partition \mathbb{R}^2 into a tessellation $\{R_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{R}^2}$ by congruent rhombs. Here,

$$R_{\boldsymbol{n}} := T^{-((-u_0+n_0)\boldsymbol{f}_0 + (-u_1+n_1)\boldsymbol{f}_1)} R = R + A(\boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{u}'),$$
(5.2)

where $\mathbf{u}' = (u_0, u_1) \in [0, 1)^2$. Note that $\mathbf{0} \in R_{\mathbf{0}}$. We define the grid patch $g_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u})$ to be the patch in $y(\mathbf{u})$ supported on the rhomb $R_{\mathbf{n}}$ (see Figure 4 (b)).

Definition 5.3. Let $y(\boldsymbol{u}) \in Y_1$ (so all crossings are 2-fold). For $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_0, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, define the symbol of $g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{u})$, denoted $\sigma(g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{u})) = z_{n_0} \otimes z'_{n_1} \in \{0 \otimes 0, 0 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes 0, 1 \otimes 1\}$ as follows: If a single 4-grid line passes through $R_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, put $z_{n_0} = 0$, but if two 4-grid lines pass through $R_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, put $z_{n_0} = 1$. Similarly, if a single 2-grid line passes through $R_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, put $z'_{n_1} = 0$, but if two 2-grid lines pass through $R_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, put $z'_{n_1} = 1$.

Lemma 5.4. Suppose $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}_{0,1}^5$ satisfies $u_0 = u_1 = 0$. Then $z_0 \otimes z'_0 = \sigma(g_0(\boldsymbol{u}))$ is given by $z_0 = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(u_4)$ and $z'_0 = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(u_2)$. Moreover, $\{\sigma(g_n(\boldsymbol{u}))\}_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} = z(u_4)\otimes z(u_2)$.

Proof. First note that $g_0(u)$ is supported on R_0 . A calculation (4.1) shows that $\ell_{2,0}(1-\alpha)$ passes through the upper right vertex of R_0 . If $z'_0 = 0$, Definition 5.3 says that $\ell_{2,0}(u_2)$ is the only 2-grid line through R_0 . Since it enters R_0 through the bottom edge (and exits through the top), it lies to the left of $\ell_{2,0}(1-\alpha)$. Thus $u_2 \in [0, 1-\alpha)$. Likewise, if $z'_0 = 1$, there is a second 2-grid line $\ell_{2,-1}(u_2)$ that enters R_0 through the left edge and exits through the top. This means $\ell_{2,0}(u_2)$ enters R_0 to the right of $\ell_{2,0}(1-\alpha)$ (and exits through the right edge). In both cases, we have $z'_0 = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(u_2)$. The same argument shows $z_0 = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(u_4)$. See Figure 8.



FIGURE 8. Left: In the case $z'_0 = 0$, a single 2-grid line, $\ell_{2,0}(u_2)$, crosses R_0 , so that $u_2 \in [0, 1 - \alpha)$ since $\ell_{2,0}(u_2)$ is left of $\ell_{2,0}(1 - \alpha)$. Right: In the case $z'_0 = 1$, two 2-grid lines cross R_0 and $u_2 \in [1 - \alpha, 1)$.

For the second part, $u_0 = u_1 = 0$ implies $R_n = T^{n_0 f_1 + n_1 f_0} R$, so $z_{n_0} \otimes z'_{n_1} = \sigma(g_0(K^{-s_n} u)) = \sigma(g_0(\{-Ws_n + u\}))$. By a computation, $Wf_0 = (0, 1, \alpha, -\alpha, -1)$ and $Wf_1 = (1, 0, -1, -\alpha, \alpha)$, and $-Ws_n + u = (n_0, n_1, -n_0 + n_1\alpha + u_2, -(n_0 + n_1)\alpha + u_3, n_0\alpha - n_1 + u_4)$, since $u = (0, 0, u_2, u_3, u_4)$. By the definition of a Sturmian sequence, $z'_{n_1} = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(\{n_1\alpha + u_2\}) = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(R^{n_1}_{\alpha}u_2) = z(u_2)_{n_1}$. The same argument shows $z_{n_0} = z(u_4)_{n_0}$.

5.3. Duals of grid patches.

Lemma 5.5. Suppose $x \in X_1$ (a nonsingular Penrose tiling) with $x = y^*$ for $y = y(u) \in Y_1$. Suppose $\mathbf{b_n} \in v(y)$ satisfies $\mathbf{b_n} = \ell_{0,n_0} \cap \ell_{1,n_1}$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_0, n_1)$, so $\mathbf{b_n}$ is the lower left vertex of the rhombus R_n in (5.2). Let $E_n \in y$ be the tile in $g_n(u)$ with vertex $\mathbf{b_n}$. The dual $D_n = \mathbf{b_n^*} \in x$ of $\mathbf{b_n}$ is a type $\mathbf{v}'_0 \wedge \mathbf{v}'_1$ tile from p_5 in x, and the dual $\mathbf{d_n} = E_n^*$ of the tile $E_n \in y$ is the upper right vertex of D_n . Then $||\mathbf{b_n} - \mathbf{d_n}|| < (1/5)(1 + \sqrt{5})$.

Proof. Use Lemma 4.5 to translate $\boldsymbol{b_n}$ to the origin, and making it $\boldsymbol{b_0}$, by replacing \boldsymbol{y} with $K^{-\boldsymbol{b_n}}\boldsymbol{u}$. Then $\{\boldsymbol{u}\} = (0, 0, u_2, \{-(u_2 + u_4)\}, u_4)$, and we show $||\boldsymbol{d_0}|| < (1/2)(1 + \sqrt{5})$. By (4.3), $\boldsymbol{d_0} = E_0^* := (2/5)W^t(\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \{\boldsymbol{u}\})$ for any $\boldsymbol{s} \in \operatorname{int}(E_0)$. Making $\boldsymbol{s} > 0$ small, we see from (4.2) that $\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ for $\boldsymbol{s} \in \operatorname{int}(E_0)$, since \boldsymbol{m} is constant on tiles. Thus $||\boldsymbol{d_0}|| = (2/5)||W^t\{\boldsymbol{u}\}|| \le (2/5)||\boldsymbol{v}_2 + \boldsymbol{v}_3 + \boldsymbol{v}_4|| = (2/5)(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = (1/5)(1 + \sqrt{5})$.

For $x \in X_{p_5}$ (in particular, for $x \in X$), we call an infinite sequence $\{\dots, D_{-1}, D_0, D_1, \dots\}$ of tiles in x a forward orientation, direction v_j^{\perp} pseudo-line each pair D_i and D_{i+1} are adjacent across a type v'_j edge in the direction $R_{2\pi/4}v_j$. For $x(u) \in X_1$ nonsingular, every direction v_j^{\perp} pseudo-line is the dual of the 2-fold crossings along some grid line $\ell_{j,k}$ in y(u). A pseudo-line segment is a contiguous finite subsequence $\{D_k, D_{k+1}, \dots, D_{k+n-1}\}$ of a pseudo-line.

Lemma 5.6. Fix a Penrose tiling $x \in X$. Then there is an injective map $\mathbf{n} \mapsto D_{\mathbf{n}} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to x$ that is onto the set of all type $\mathbf{v}'_0 \wedge \mathbf{v}'_1$ tiles in $D \in x$, such that for each $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $D_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_0}$ is forward along a direction \mathbf{v}_0^{\perp} pseudo-line from $D_{\mathbf{n}}$, and $D_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_1}$ is forward along a direction \mathbf{v}_1^{\perp} pseudo-line from $D_{\mathbf{n}}$. This map is unique for $x \in X_1$ if we assume $D_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{b}^*_{\mathbf{0}}$, or for $x \in X \setminus X_1$ if we assume $D_{\mathbf{0}} = (\mathbf{b}'_{\mathbf{0}})^*$ with $x' \in X_1$ and $\rho(x, x')$ sufficiently small.

Proof. If $x \in X_1$ is nonsingular, the map $\mathbf{n} \mapsto D_{\mathbf{n}}$ defined in Lemma 5.5 satisfies the lemma. If $x \in X \setminus X_1$ is singular, there is a sequence $x_k \in X_1$ so that $x_k \to x$ in the metric ρ , (i.e., $X = \overline{X_1}$, Remark 4.7). Let $x_k = y(\mathbf{u}_k)^*$ and let $\mathbf{n} \mapsto D_{\mathbf{n}}^k$ be the map defined in Lemma 5.5. It satisfies $D_{\mathbf{n}}^k = \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{n}}^k$ for $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{n}}^k \in v(y(\mathbf{u}_k))$. Let $r_n > 0$ be an increasing sequence, and for each n, choose K_n

large enough that $k \ge K_n$ implies $x_k[B_{r_n}(\mathbf{0})] = x[B_{r_n}(\mathbf{0})]$. Define $D_n := D_n^k$ where $r_n > ||n||$ and $k \ge K_n$.

Definition 5.7. For a Penrose tiling $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we define a patch $w_n(x)$ in x, called a *Wang patch*, as follows: Starting at D_n , go forward along the v_0^{\perp} pseudo-line segment to D_{n+e_0} , then go forward along the v_1^{\perp} pseudo-line segment to $D_{n+e_0+e_1}$, then go backward along the v_0^{\perp} pseudo-line segment to D_{n+e_1} , and finally go backward along the v_1^{\perp} pseudo-line segment, back to D_n . The patch $w_n(x)$ is defined to be this closed pseudo-line path, and all the tiles inside it.

The Wang patches $\{w_n(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$ in $x\in X$ cover \mathbb{R}^2 in the sense that $x=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^2}w_n(x)$. We call the tiles $D_n, D_{n+e_0}, D_{n+e_0+e_1}$ and D_{n+e_1} the corners of a Wang patch $w_n(x)$, and we call the pseudo-line segments from corner to corner, its margins; bottom: $\mu_n^{\rm b}$, and top: $\mu_n^{\rm t}$, left: $\mu_n^{\rm l}$, and right: $\mu_n^{\rm r}$. Adjacent Wang patches in x are share common margins: $w_n(x) \cap w_{n+e_0}(x) = \mu_n^{\rm r} = \mu_{n+e_0}^{\rm l}$ and $w_n(x) \cap w_{n+e_1}(x) = \mu_n^{\rm t} = \mu_{n+e_1}^{\rm b}$. The following is a consequence of Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.8. For $x \in X_1$, let $\varphi(x) = y(\mathbf{u})$ (all crossings 2-fold), so that $x = y(\mathbf{u})^*$. Then each Wang patch in x is the dual of the corresponding grid patch: $w_{\mathbf{n}}(x) = g_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u})^*$.

Proposition 5.9 (Raphael Robinson, see Exercise 11.1.2 in [6]). Up to translation, there are 24 Wang patches among all the Penrose tilings $x \in X$. We denote these (proto-)Wang patches by $\{w^a : a \in A\}$ where $A = \{0, \ldots, 23\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 it suffices to consider $g_0(u)^*$ with $u = (0, 0, u_2, \{-(u_2 + u_4)\}, u_4)$. These points u correspond to the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \{(u_2, u_4) \in [0, 1]^2\}/\{(u_2, 0) \sim (u_2, 1), (0, u_4) \sim (1, u_1)\}$. By Lemma 5.8, we count inequivalent patches under the additional assumption that $y(u) \in Y_1$. Figure 10 shows a bifurcation diagram, (called a Markov partition in [16]), consisting of a division of \mathbb{T}^2 into 24 cells. A point (u_2, u_4) is in the interior of a cell if $g_0(u)$ has only 2-fold crossings. When (u_2, u_4) on an edge or vertex, $g_0(u)$ has 3-fold or 5-fold crossings. The cells are found by solving systems of linear equations.

The 24 (proto-)Wang patches, up to translation, are shown in Figure 9. Pictures of these patches appeared in the first author's dissertation [17], and also in [16].

Definition 5.10. Recall (Lemma 5.5) that for $x \in X$, the points d_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are the upper right vertices of the type $v'_0 \wedge v'_1$ corner tiles D_n of the Wang patches $w_n(x)$. For each $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the n_0 th horizontal edge curve $\eta_{n_0}(x)$ to be the piecewise linear curve connecting the vertices $\{d_{(n_0,n_1)}\}_{n_1\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Similarly, for $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the n_1 th vertical edge curve $\eta_{n_1}(x)$ to be the piecewise linear curve connecting the vertices $\{d_{(n_0,n_1)}\}_{n_0\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

The edge curves $\{\eta_{n_0}(x)\}_{n_0\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\eta_{n_1}(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ partition \mathbb{R}^2 into a tiling by tiles by tetragons (i.e., quadrilaterals):

Definition 5.11. For $x \in X$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_0, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let $U_{\mathbf{n}}(x)$ be the tile bounded by the tetragon with vertices $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_0}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_0+\mathbf{e}_1}$, and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_1}$, (the edges of $U_{\mathbf{n}}(x)$ are segments of the edge curves $\eta_{n_0}(x), \eta_{n_0+1}(x), \eta_{n_1}(x)$ and $\eta_{n_1+1}(x)$ in x). We call $U_{\mathbf{n}}$ an unmarked tetragon Penrose tile, and call $u = \{U_{\mathbf{n}}(x)\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$ an unmarked tetragon Penrose tiling.

There is a unique tetragon tile $U_n(x)$ in u corresponding to each Wang patch $w_n(x)$ in x (see Figure 11). In Section 6 we will show that there are, up to translation, 11 different tetragon prototiles $\{U^t : t = 0, ..., 10\}$, so some of the tetragon tiles correspond to more than one Wang patches w^a . We will return to these tilings in Section 6.

Lemma 5.12. Suppose $x = y(\mathbf{u})^* \in X_1$ is a nonsingular Penrose tiling. For $n_0, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\ell_{0,n_1}(u_0)$ and $\ell_{1,n_0}(u_1)$ be the corresponding 0 and 1-grid lines in in $y(\mathbf{u})$, and let $\eta_{n_1}(x)$ and $\eta_{n_0}(x)$ be the vertical and horizontal edge curve through x. Then $||\ell_{0,n_1}(u_0) - \eta_{n_1}(x)||, ||\ell_{1,n_0}(u_1) - \eta_{n_0}(x)|| < 2/3$



FIGURE 9. The 24 Wang patches.

(in the sense of the Euclidean uniform closeness of the two curves). The same results hold for singular Penrose tilings $x \in X \setminus X_1$, if $y(u) = \varphi(x)$.



FIGURE 10. The bifurcation diagram showing the parameter values $(u_2, u_4) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \sim [0, 1]^2$ corresponding the 24 Wang patches. Each cell is labeled with $a \in \mathcal{A}$ for the corresponding Wang patch w^a (Figure 9). The vertices along each edges of the square are at $0, 2 - \gamma \approx 0.381967, \gamma - 1 \approx 0.618034, 4 - 2\gamma \approx 0.763932$, and 1, where $\gamma = (1/2)(1 + \sqrt{5})$. The courser division into four cells by the lines $u_2 = 2 - \gamma$ and $u_4 = 2 - \gamma$ corresponds to the values $0 \otimes 0, 0 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes 0, 1 \otimes 1$ of the symbol $\sigma(y(\boldsymbol{u}))$ where $\boldsymbol{u} = (0, 0, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ with $u_2 = \{-u_2 - u_3\}$ (read left to right, bottom to top). In other words, this four cell partition defines the \mathbb{Z}^2 Sturmian shift $S \otimes S$.



FIGURE 11. Swatch of Penrose tiling $x \in X$ (a) with type $v'_0 \wedge v'_1$ tiles D_n shaded to show corners of Wang patches $w^n(x)$. (b) Edge curves connect the upper right vertices d_n of D_n delineating unmarked tetrgon Wang tiles U_n .

Proof. For $x \in X_1$, this follows from Lemma 5.5. For $x \in X \setminus X_1$, we let $x_k = y(u_k)^* \to x$, where $y(u_k) \in Y_1$ (see Remark 4.7) can be chosen so that $\ell_{0,n_1}(u_0) = \ell_{0,n_1}(u_0^k)$ and $\ell_{0,n_1}(u_0) = \ell_{0,n_1}(u_0^k)$ for all $||\boldsymbol{n}||$ small.

Definition 5.13. For each Wang patch w^a , $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we define its symbol $\sigma(w^j) = z_0 \otimes z'_0 \in \{0 \otimes 0, 0 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes 0, 1 \otimes 1\}$ as follows: If a single direction v_2^{\perp} pseudoline segment passes through w^j , we put $z'_0 = 0$, but if two direction v_2^{\perp} pseudoline segments pass through w^j , we put $z'_0 = 1$. Similarly, if a single direction v_4^{\perp} pseudoline segment passes through w^j , we put $z_0 = 0$, but if two direction v_4^{\perp} pseudoline segment passes through w^j , we put $z_0 = 0$, but if two direction v_4^{\perp} pseudoline segment passes through w^j , we put $z_0 = 0$, but if two direction v_4^{\perp} pseudoline segment passes through w^j .

Lemma 5.14. Let $x \in X$ be Penrose tiling covered by Wang patches $\{w_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$. Then $z \otimes z' = \{\sigma(w_n(x))\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is a \mathbb{Z}^2 Sturmian sequence. Let $u_2 = \phi(z)$, $u_4 = \phi(z')$, and let $u_0 = (0, 0, u_2, \{-(u_2 + u_4)\}, u_4)$. If $x \in X_1$ then $y(u_0) \in Y_1$ and $x = T^{t_0}y(u_0)^*$ for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $||t_0|| < 4/3$. If $x \in X \setminus X_1$ let, $y(u) = \varphi(x)$. Then x is obtained from $T^{t_0}y(u)^*$ for $||t_0|| < 4/3$ by filling in a worm or cartwheel.

Proof. First let $x = y(u)^* \in X_1$, so that $y(u) \in Y_1$. By Definitions 5.3 and 5.13, $\sigma(g_n(u)^*) = \sigma(g_n(u))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Thus $\{\sigma(w_n(x))\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is a \mathbb{Z}^2 Sturmian sequence since $\{\sigma(g_n(u))\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is \mathbb{Z}^2 Sturmian by Lemma 5.4. For u_0 as in the lemma, $y(u_0)$ and y(u) are translates since they read the same Sturmian sequence. Thus there is a t_0 so that $y(u) = T^{t_0}y(u_0)$. For y(u) we have $\mathbf{0} \in R_0$, whereas for $y(u)^*$ the lower left vertex of R_0 is $\mathbf{0}$. Thus $||t_0|| \leq ||f_0 + f_1|| < 4/3$, and (4.4) implies $x = T^{t_0}y(u_0)^*$. If $x \in X \setminus X_1$ and $y(u) = \varphi(x)$, then as above, $y(u) = T^{t_0}y(u_0)$. Fill the worm or cartwheel in $y(u_0)^*$ the same way as $y(u)^*$ is filled to get x.

5.4. The proof of Theorem 1.1. The next two propositions, together with Proposition 4.8, when used in conjunction with Proposition 3.12, complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 5.15. Let $x \in X_1$ be a nonsingular Penrose tiling and let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a direction in \mathbb{R}^2 not perpendicular to either \mathbf{v}_0 or \mathbf{v}_1 . Then there is an $r_1 > 0$ (independent of x and \mathcal{V}) so that if $x[\mathcal{V}^{r_1}] = x'[\mathcal{V}^{r_1}]$ for any (not necessarily nonsingular) Penrose tiling $x' \in X$, then x = x'.

Proposition 5.16. Let $x \in X \setminus X_1$ be a singular Penrose tiling and let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a direction in \mathbb{R}^2 not perpendicular to any 5th root of unity. Then there is an $r_2 > 0$ (independent of x and \mathcal{V}) so that if $x[\mathcal{V}^{r_2}] = x'[\mathcal{V}^{r_2}]$ for any Penrose tiling $x' \in X$, then x = x'.

The proofs of these Propositions are similar, but we separate them for conceptual clarity.

Proof of Proposition 5.15. For any $x \in X$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_0, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, there is $r'_1 > 0$ with the following property: Let $w_{\mathbf{n}}(x)$ and $w_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_1}(x)$ be adjacent Wang patches, with $\eta'_{n_0}(x) \subseteq \eta_{n_0}$ the edge curve segment from $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{n}}$ to $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_1}$. Then there exists $\mathbf{s} \in \eta'_{n_0}(x)$ so that $w_{\mathbf{n}}(x) \cup w_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_1}(x) \subseteq B_{r'_1}(\mathbf{s})$. This follows from the FLC property for X. Similarly, there is $r''_1 > 0$ so that $w_{\mathbf{n}}(x) \cup w_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_0}(x) \subseteq B_{r''_1}(\mathbf{s})$ for some $\mathbf{s} \in \eta'_{n_1}$. Let $r_1 > \max\{r'_1, r''_1\}$.

Now fix $x = y(u)^* \in X_1$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \eta_{n_0}(x)$. Then $B_{r_1}(s)$ contains at least one Wang patch from the row $\{w_{(n_0,n_1)}(x)\}_{n_1\in\mathbb{Z}}$. In other words,

$$\forall n_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{s} \in \eta_{n_0}(x), \exists n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ so that } w_{(n_0,n_1)}(x) \subseteq B_{r_1}(\boldsymbol{s}).$$
(5.3)

Similarly,

$$\forall n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{s} \in \eta_{n_1}(x), \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ so that } w_{(n_0,n_1)}(x) \subseteq B_{r_1}(\boldsymbol{s}).$$
(5.4)

Since \mathcal{V} is not perpendicular to \boldsymbol{v}_0 or \boldsymbol{v}_1 , and since all the 0 and 1-grid lines in $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ are perpendicular to either \boldsymbol{v}_0 or \boldsymbol{v}_1 , \mathcal{V} crosses every grid line $\ell_{1,n_0}(u_1)$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and every grid line $\ell_{0,n_1}(u_0)$, $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 5.12 that \mathcal{V} crosses every edge curve $\eta_{n_0}(x)$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and every edge curve $\eta_{n_1}(x)$, $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a given $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, use (5.3) to find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{V} \cap \eta_{n_0}(x)$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $w_{(n_0,n_1)}(x) \subseteq B_{r_1}(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{r_1}$. Similarly, use (5.4) to find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{V} \cap \eta_{n_1}(x)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $w_{(n_0,n_1)}(x) \subseteq B_{r_1}(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{r_1}$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : w_{\mathbf{n}}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{r_1}\}$. Since $\mathcal{V}^{r_1} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{V}} B_{r_1}(\mathbf{s})$, it follows that \mathcal{L} is thick in the sense of Definition 2.6. By Lemma 5.14, $\{\sigma(w_{\mathbf{n}}(x))\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is a \mathbb{Z}^2 Sturmian sequence, and by Lemma 2.7, it is determined by its values on the thick set \mathcal{L} . Using Lemma 5.14 again, $x = T^{t_0}y(u_0)^*$ for $u_0 = (0, 0, u_2, u_3, u_4)$, where $u_2 = \phi(z), u_4 = \phi(z')$ and $u_3 = \{-(u_2 + u_4)\}$. Since $x = y(\mathbf{u})^* = T^{t_0}y(u_0)^*$, Lemma 4.5 implies $\mathbf{u} = K^{t_0}u_0$, so $x = y(K^{t_0}u_0)^*$.

Proof of Proposition 5.16. The diameter of the regular decagon D with edge length 2/5 is $r'_2 = (2/5)(1 + \sqrt{5})$. If D sits in the upper half plane with an edge on the x-axis, centered along the y-axis, then $D \cup (-D) \subseteq B_{r'_2}(\mathbf{0})$. The same is true if one or both of the decagons is replaced with

one or both of the hexagons H_1 and H_2 from the unfilled worms (in the upright position shown in Figure 6, (b)). It follows that if s lies in the central axis of a worm or cartwheel in a singular Penrose tiling x, then $B_{r'_2}(s)$ contains either an entire tiled hexagon or tiled decagon.

Now let x be a singular Penrose tiling. Assume $r_2 \ge \max\{r_1, r'_2\}$. We can apply the proof of Proposition 5.15, noting that \mathcal{V} is not perpendicular to v_0 or v_1 , to see that $x[\mathcal{V}^{r_2}]$ determines a tiling $y(u)^*$ which becomes x after filling either (a) an unfilled worm or (b) an unfilled cartwheel. Thus it remains to show that the direction of filling the worm (+ or -) or the cartwheel $(0, 1, \ldots, 8$ or 9) can be determined from $x[\mathcal{V}^{r_2}]$.

By assumption, \mathcal{V} is not perpendicular to any 5th root of unity v_j , whereas the central axis l of a worm, or a cartwheel spoke l_j is perpendicular to some v_j . In case (a) there is a single worm along an axis l. Let s be the point where l and \mathcal{V} intersect. Then by the choice of r_2 there is at least one hexagon H in the unfilled worm in $y(u)^*$ satisfying $H \subseteq B_{r_1}(s) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{r_2}$. That worm is filled in $x[\mathcal{V}^{r_2}]$, and the direction of that filling determines how the worm is filled in x.

Case (b) is the case that x has a cartwheel. There are two subcases: (i) $B_{r_2}(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{r_2}$ contains an unfilled decagon in $y(\mathbf{u})^*$. This decagon is filled in x, and that filling determines the direction of filling for all of the spokes. (ii) \mathcal{V} crosses all five spokes, $l_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 4$. If \mathbf{s}_j is the intersection of l_j with \mathcal{V} , then there is a hexagon $H^j \subseteq B_{r_2}(\mathbf{s}_j) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{r_2}$ in $y(\mathbf{u})^*$. The filling of each H^j determines the filling of the spoke it is in, which, in turn, determines the filling of the decagon. \Box

6. The Penrose Wang Tiles

6.1. Wang tiles. For n, m > 1, let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ be a finite alphabet, and let $\mathcal{C}_{b,t} = \{0, \dots, m_{b,t} - 1\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{l,r} = \{0, \dots, m_{l,r} - 1\}$ be two finite sets of colors. Formally, a Wang tile set is $q := \{Q^a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ where, formally, each Wang tile Q^a is a tuple $Q^a = (a, c_b^a, c_t^a, c_l^a, c_r^a)$ with $c_b^a, c_t^a \in \mathcal{C}_{b,t}$ and $c_l^a, c_r^a \in \mathcal{C}_{l,r}$. More concretely, we view the Wang tile Q^a as a unit square tile (shape $e_0 \wedge e_1$) with the label⁴ a, and colored edges $c_b^a, c_t^a, c_l^a, c_r^a$: bottom, top, left and right. We will interpret a Wang tile set q as an FLC prototile set by defining $q^{(2)}$ to be the condition that the tiles must meet edge to edge, and the colors of adjacent edges must match, and we let W_q be the corresponding FLC tiling space. If $W_q \neq \emptyset$ (see Section 6.2), then (W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is an FLC tiling dynamical system that we call a Wang tile dynamical system.

Alternatively, we can interpret a Wang tile set q as a recipe for 1-step \mathbb{Z}^2 SFT (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) , called a Wang tile \mathbb{Z}^2 SFT. For this, we define $Z_q \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ in terms of horizontal (and vertical) permitted words \mathcal{P}_0 (and \mathcal{P}_1) where $a a' \in \mathcal{P}_0$ if and only if $c_r^a = c_1^{a'}$ (and $(a a')^t \in \mathcal{P}_1$ (i.e., a' below a), if and only if $c_b^a = c_t^{a'}$).

Remark 6.1. There is a 1:1 correspondence between $Z_q \times [0,1)^2$ and W_q , in which (z, u') with $z = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in Z_q$, and $u' \in [0,1)^2$ corresponds to $\{Q^{a_n} + n + u'\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in W_q$,

Now suppose (Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is a 1-step \mathbb{Z}^2 SFT, $Z \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$, defined by the horizontal and vertical permitted words \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{P}_1 (see Section 2.3). We say (Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is *colorable* if for some finite sets $\mathcal{C}_{b,t}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{l,r}$, there are functions (i) $\xi_l, \xi_r : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}_{l,r}$ so that $aa' \in \mathcal{P}_0$ if and only if $\xi_r(a) = \xi_l(a')$, and (ii) $\xi_b, \xi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}_{b,t}$ so that $(aa')^t \in \mathcal{P}_1$ if and only if $\xi_b(a) = \xi_t(a')$. Clearly, if (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is the 1-step \mathbb{Z}^2 SFT that comes from a Wang tile set q, then it is colorable. Conversely, suppose (Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is a colorable 1-step SPT. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ define the Wang tile $Q^a = (a, \xi_b(a), \xi_t(a), \xi_l(a), \xi_r(a)) \in$ q. Then (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is identified with (Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) by the map that reads symbols. Note that our formulation of Wang tiles here allows for $Z_q = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ to be a full shift.

Proposition 6.2. Let (W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T) be a Wang tile dynamical system and let (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) be the corresponding \mathbb{Z}^2 SFT. Then a direction $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is expansive for (W_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, T) if and only if \mathcal{V} is expansive

⁴Putting labels on a Wang tiles is not conventional, but it allows two different Wang tiles to have the same edge colors. This makes it possible to realize the \mathbb{Z}^2 full shift with Wang tiles.

for (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) . The same result holds for any \mathbb{Z}^2 subshift $Z \subseteq Z_q$, and the corresponding tiling space $W \subseteq W_q$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.5, Definition 3.11, Proposition 3.12, and Theorem 3.9.

6.2. Brief history. Wang tiles were first described by Hao Wang in 1961 [37], who posed the question, now known as *Wang's problem*: is it possible to determine algorithmically (from q and $q^{(2)}$) whether or not W_q is nonempty? Wang proved (see [11]) that the problem is solvable in the class of Wang tile sets q such that any $W_q \neq \emptyset$ contains a doubly periodic tiling x (i.e., $T^{n_0e_0}x = x$ and $T^{n_1e_1}x = x$ for some $n_0, n_1 > 0$), and he conjectured that all Wang tile sets q belong to this class. Soon thereafter, however, Berger [2] showed that there exists a Wang tile set q with $W_q \neq \emptyset$ such that every $x \in W_q$ is aperiodic. In such a case, it is customary to say q is an *aperiodic Wang tile set*. Moreover, Berger [2] showed that in general, Wang's problem is undecidable.

In terms of dynamics, aperiodicity for the Wang tile set q means that (W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is free. Berger's [2] apreiodic set q of Wang tiles has #(q) > 20,000, which led to a race to reduce this number (see [11] for a nice account). Recently, Jeandel and Rao [18] ended this race by constructing an aperiodic Wang tile set q_{jr} with $\#(q_{jr}) = 11$ and also showing $\#(q_{jr}) = 11$ is the smallest possible size.

Lebbé showed [20] that the Jaendel/Rao SFT $(Z_{q_{jr}}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$ is uniquely ergodic but not minimal [20]. However, $(Z_{q_{jr}}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$ has a unique minimal subshift (Z_0, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) , and that subshift has $\mathbb{N}_1(S) = \{0, \gamma + 3, -3\gamma + 2, -\gamma + 5/2\}$, [21], (but *a priori*, the set $Z_{q_{jr}} \setminus Z_0$ could be responsible for additional non-expansive directions). Finally, Lebbé showed that (Z_0, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is almost automorphic, with $\Sigma_S = (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z})$, the same as Raphael Robinson's Penrose Wang tile SFT, (Section 6.4). However, the two are not topologically conjugate.

6.3. Suspensions, compositions, deformations, and continuous orbit equivalence.

Given a dynamical system (Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) , let $\widetilde{Z} = Z \times [0, 1]^2 / \sim$, where $(z, (1, s_1)) \sim (S^{e_0} z, (0, s_1))$ and $(z, (s_0, 1)) \sim (S^{e_1} z, (s_0, 0))$ for $z \in Z$ and $s := (s_0, s_1) \in [0, 1]^2$. The unit suspension of (Z, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is the dynamical system $(\widetilde{Z}, \mathbb{R}^2, \widetilde{S})$ defined by $\widetilde{S}^t(z, s) = (S^{\lfloor t \rfloor} z, \{t + s\})$. For a Wang tiling system (W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T) , where $q = \{Q^j : j \in \mathcal{A}\}$, and (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) is the corresponding SFT, clearly $(\widetilde{Z}_q, \mathbb{R}^2, \widetilde{S})$ is topologically conjugate to (W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T) .

Next, we change the shape of the Wang tiles q from squares to a parallelograms. Let $\mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \angle \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{f}_1 < \pi$, and let $A = [\mathbf{f}_0; \mathbf{f}_1]^t$. The parallelogram Wang tiles $Aq := \{AQ^a : Q^a \in q\}$ are defined to be the copies of $\mathbf{f}_0 \wedge \mathbf{f}_1 = A(\mathbf{e}_0 \wedge \mathbf{e}_1)$ marked the same way as the squares in q. Following Remark 6.1, we write $v \in W_{Aq}$ by $v = \{AQ^{a_n} + A(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{u}')\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$, where $\mathbf{u}' \in [0, 1)^2$ and $(a_n)_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in Z_q$. Here $(Aq)^{(2)} := A(q^{(2)})$.

A parallelogram Wang tiling dynamical system $(W_{Aq}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ is no longer the unit suspension of (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) . However, we can recover it from $(\widetilde{Z}_q, \mathbb{R}^2, \widetilde{S})$ as follows. For an \mathbb{R}^2 -action (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) , and a matrix $A \in Gl(2, \mathbb{R})$, we define the *composition action* $(T \circ A)^t x = T^{At} x$.

Lemma 6.3.

- (1) The parallelogram Wang tile system $(W_{Aq}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ is topologically conjugate to the composition action $(\widetilde{Z}_q, \mathbb{R}^2, \widetilde{S} \circ A)$.
- (2) The parallelogram Wang tile system $(W_{Aq}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ is continuously orbit equivalent (Definition 2.1) to the Wang tile system (W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T) .
- (3) The (weakly and strongly) expansive directions for $(W_{Aq}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ are the directions $A(\mathcal{V})$ for the expansive directions \mathcal{V} of (Z_q, \mathbb{Z}^2, S) .

Proof. For (1), we construct a model for $\widetilde{S} \circ A$ on $Z_q \times (\boldsymbol{f}_0 \wedge \boldsymbol{f}_1)$. The conjugacy is the homeomorphism $\mathrm{id} \times A : Z_q \times [0,1)^2 \to Z_q \times (\boldsymbol{f}_0 \wedge \boldsymbol{f}_1)$. Part (2) follows from part (1), and the fact (W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T) and $(W_q, \mathbb{R}^2, T \circ A)$, (which is topologically conjugate to $(W_{Aq}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$), both act on W_q , and have the same orbits. Part (3) follows from Propositions 3.12 and 3.9.

Remarks 6.4. (See e.g. [32]):

- (1) Suppose \widetilde{T} is the unit suspension of T. Then $\Sigma_{\widetilde{T}} = \pi^{-1} \Sigma_T$ where $\pi(s) := \{s\} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$.
- (2) The point spectrum of an \mathbb{R}^2 composition action $T \circ A$ satisfies $\Sigma_{T \circ A} = A^t \Sigma_T$.

Remark 6.5. In the terminology of [35] and [5], a *deformation* ψ is a continuous orbit equivalence (i.e., orbit preserving homeomorphism) between two FLC tiling spaces such that the restriction to each tile depends only on its prototile type. The point of view in [35] is closer to ours here⁵, and in particular, it is shown in [35] that any \mathbb{R}^2 FLC tiling space (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) is continuously orbit equivalent to the unit suspension of \mathbb{Z}^2 subshift, essentially realized as a subspace of a square Wang tiling space (any full shift can be realized by Wang tiles). Some examples of deformation are discussed in Section 6.4.

6.4. The Penrose Wang tiles. From now on, $\mathcal{A} := \{0, \ldots, 23\}$. In Section 5.3 we discussed the 24 Wang patches $\{w^a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$, shown in Figure 9. Here we will construct the corresponding 24 *Penrose Wang tiles* due to Raphael Robinson ([11], Exercise 11.1.2), made by deforming the Wang patches, as well as some related tiles and tilings.

For each the bottom and top margin $(s \in \{b, t\})$ of each Wang patch w^a , we assign a finite word edge code $\beta_s^a \in \{\overline{2}, \overline{3}, 4\}^*$ that records the tile edges along the outside of that margin. Similarly, for each left and right margin $(s \in \{l, r\})$ we assign an edge code $\beta_s^a \in \{2, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\}^*$. We record, j for v'_j and \overline{j} for $-v'_j$, skipping the corner tiles (j = 0 or j = 1) at either end. The edges are read left to right for β_b^a and β_t^a , and up for β_l^a and β_r^a . For example, since the edges along the bottom margin in w^0 are $v'_0, v'_4, -v'_3, -v'_2, v'_0$, we record $\beta_b^0 = 4\overline{32}$. The edge codes are shown, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, in the second column of Table 1.

Column 2 of Table 1 shows that there are nine distinct top and bottom code words, and for each of them we assign a *color* $c_b, c_t \in C_{b,t} = \{0, 1, \ldots, 8\}$. Similarly, there are nine distinct left and right code words assigned colors $c_l, c_r \in C_{l,r} = \{0, 1, \ldots, 8\}$. The colors are shown in Table 1, Column 3.

Definition 6.6. For each row in Table 1, (each $a \in \mathcal{A}$), we define a *Penrose Wang tile* $Q^a := (a, c_{\rm b}, c_{\rm t}, c_{\rm l}, c_{\rm r})$, where $c_{\rm b}, c_{\rm t}, c_{\rm l}, c_{\rm r}$ are the colors shown in the Column 3. The 24 *Penrose Wang tiles* are given by $q_{\rm p} = \{Q^a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$, where $q_{\rm p}^{(2)}$ is as described for Wang tiles in general in Section 6.1.

Two tiles Q^a and $Q^{a'}$ can be vertically (or horizontally) adjacent in some Wang tiling in W_{q_p} if and only if the same is true for the corresponding Wang patches w^a and $w^{a'}$ for some $x \in X$. The *Penrose Wang tile* dynamical system is denoted $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$, and the corresponding \mathbb{Z}^2 SFT is denoted $(Z_{q_p}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$, where we write $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in Z_{q_p}$.

Corollary 6.7. Let $\{Q^{a_n} + n + u'\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in W_{q_p}, u' \in [0, 1)^2, (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in Z_{q_p}, be an arbitrary Penrose Wang tiling, (see Remark 6.1). Then there is a Penrose tiling <math>x \in X$, unique up to translation, so that $w_n(x)$ is type w^{a_n} for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Conversely, for each Penrose tiling $x \in X$ there is a Penrose Wang tiling $\{Q^{a_n} + n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$, unique up to translation, so that $w_n(x)$ is type w^{a_n} for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. In particular, $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ and (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) and are continuous orbit equivalent (via a deformation), and $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ and $(Z_{q_p}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$ are nonempty and free.

Definition 6.8. We define *Penrose parallelogram Wang tiles* as follows: For f_0 and f_1 in (5.1), let $A = [f_1; f_0]^t$, so that $A[0, 1]^2 = f_1 \wedge f_0 = R$, the rhombus that supports the grid patches. The *Penrose parallelogram Wang tiles* are the tiles $Aq_p = \{AQ^a : a \in A\}$, which differ from the square Penrose Wang tiles q_p only in their shape.

Like $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$, the Penrose parallelogram Wang tile dynamical system $(W_{Aq_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$, nonempty, free and is Kakutanni equivalent to (X, \mathbb{R}^2, T) . More of its properties are described in Theorem 6.11 below.

⁵The point of view in [5] is in terms of the Anderson-Putnam complex.

$a \in \mathcal{A}$	$\beta_{\rm b}^a, \beta_{\rm t}^a, \text{ and } \beta_{\rm l}^a, \beta_{\rm r}^a$	$c_{\rm b}^a, c_{\rm t}^a$ and $c_{\rm l}^a, c_{\rm r}^a$	$v \text{ for } \boldsymbol{b}^v_{\mathrm{b}}, \boldsymbol{b}^v_{\mathrm{t}} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{b}^v_{\mathrm{l}}, \boldsymbol{b}^v_{\mathrm{r}}$	t for U^t
0	$4\overline{32}, 4\overline{2}, 2\overline{34}, 2\overline{4},$	0,1, 0,1	0,1, 0,1	0
1	$2\overline{4}, 2\overline{34}, \overline{42}, \overline{432}$	2,3, 2,3	1,0, 1,0	1
2	$\overline{2},\overline{32},\overline{4},\overline{34}$	4,5, 4,5	2,3, 2,3	2
3	$\overline{23}, \overline{2}, \overline{43}, \overline{4}$	6,4, 6,4	3,2, 3,2	3
4	$\overline{32}4, \overline{23}4, \overline{34}2, \overline{43}2$	7,3, 7,3	0,0, 0,0	4
5	$4\overline{32}, 4\overline{23}, 2\overline{34}, 2\overline{43}$	0,8, 0,8	0,0, 0,0	4
6	$4\overline{2},\overline{32}4,\ 2\overline{4},\overline{34}2$	1,7, 1,7	1,0, 1,0	1
7	$4\overline{23},\overline{2}4,\ 2\overline{43},\overline{4}2$	$8,2,\ 8,2$	$0,1,\ 0,1$	0
8	$\overline{23}4, 4\overline{23}, \overline{34}, \overline{43}$	3,8, 5,6	0,0, 3,3	5
9	$\overline{32}4, 4\overline{32}, \ \overline{34}, \overline{43}$	7,0, 5,6	0,0, 3,3	5
10	$\overline{32}, \overline{23}, \overline{43}2, 2\overline{43}$	5, 6, 3, 8	3,3,0,0	6
11	$\overline{32}, \overline{23}, \overline{34}2, 2\overline{34}$	5,6,7,0	$3,3,\ 0,0$	6
12	$\overline{23}, \overline{2}, \overline{43}2, \overline{42}$	6,4, 3,2	3,2, 0,1	7
13	$\overline{2}, \overline{32}, 2\overline{4}, \overline{4}2\overline{3}$	4,5, 1,0	2,3, 1,0	8
14	$\overline{23}4,\overline{2}4,\ ,\overline{43},\overline{4}$	3,2, 6,4	0,1, 3,2	9
15	$4\overline{2}, 4\overline{32}, \ \overline{4}, \overline{34}$	1,0, 4,5	1,0, 2,3	10
16	$\overline{2}4,\overline{32}4,\ 2\overline{4},\overline{43}2$	2,7, 1,3	1,0, 1,0	1
17	$4\overline{23}, 4\overline{2}, 2\overline{34}, \overline{42}$	8,1, 0,2	0,1, 0,1	0
18	$4\overline{32},\overline{2}4,\ 2\overline{43},\overline{2}4$	0,2, 8,1	$0,1,\ 0,1$	0
19	$4\overline{2},\overline{23}4,\ \overline{4}2,\overline{34}2$	1,3, 2,7	1,0, 1,0	1
20	$\overline{2}, \overline{23}, \overline{42}, 2\overline{34}$	4,6, 2,0	2,3, 1,0	8
21	$\overline{32}, \overline{2}, \overline{43}2, 2\overline{4}$	5,4, 3,1	3,2, 0,1	7
22	$\overline{2}\overline{4},4\overline{3}\overline{2},\ \overline{4},\overline{4}\overline{3}$	2,0, 4,6	1,0, 2,3	10
23	$\overline{2}\overline{3}4, 4\overline{2}, \ \overline{34}, \overline{4}$	3,1, 5,4	0,1, 3,2	9

TABLE 1. Columns 1-3: edge codes for Wang patches and corresponding Wang tile edge colors. Columns 4 and 5: edge vector numbers for tetragon Wang tiles, and tetragon Wang tile type.

Next, we recall the unmarked Penrose tetragon tilings $u = \{U_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$, described in Definition 5.11. For any $x \in X$, the vertices are d_n , d_{n+e_0} , $d_{n+e_0+e_1}$ and d_{n+e_1} . We denote the vectors along the four sides by

$$egin{aligned} & b_{ ext{b}} &:= d_{n+e_0} - d_n, & & b_{ ext{t}} &:= d_{n+e_0+e_1} - d_{n+e_1}, \ & b_{ ext{t}} &:= d_{n+e_1} - d_n, & & ext{and} & & b_{ ext{r}} &:= d_{n+e_0+e_1} - d_{n+e_0}. \end{aligned}$$

These vectors can also be found by summing the edge vectors of the along the sides of the corresponding Wang patch $w_n(x)$:

Lemma 6.9. Suppose $U_n(x)$ is the unmarked tetragon Penrose tile corresponding to the Wang patch $w_n(x)$ in some $x \in X$. If the type of $w_n(x)$ is w^a (so that we think of U_n as type U^a). Then for

each $s \in \{b, t\}$ and $s' \in \{l, r\}$

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{s}^{a} = \boldsymbol{v}_{0}^{\prime} + \sum_{j \in \beta_{s}^{a}} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{\prime} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{b}_{s^{\prime}}^{a} = \boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\prime} + \sum_{j \in \beta_{s}^{a}} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{\prime}.$$

$$(6.1)$$

In particular \mathbf{b}_s^a for $s \in \{b, t, l, r\}$ depends only on the code word β_s^a , or equivalently, the color c_s^a (Table1, Column 2).

Computing the sums (6.1) for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ shows that there are four possible vectors for \boldsymbol{b}_{b}^{a} and \boldsymbol{b}_{t}^{a} , and four more for \boldsymbol{b}_{l}^{a} and \boldsymbol{b}_{r}^{a} . These are shown in Columns 2 and 5 on Table 2 as $\boldsymbol{b}_{b}^{v}, \boldsymbol{b}_{t}^{v}$ for $v \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and as $\boldsymbol{b}_{l}^{v'}, \boldsymbol{b}_{r}^{v'}$ for $v' \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Columns 3 and 6 of Table 2 show all the (Wang tile) colors c_{b}, c_{t}, c_{l} , or c_{r} that correspond to these vectors, as described in Lemma 6.9.



FIGURE 12. The 24 tetragon Penrose tiles $p_4 := \{M^a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is shown in the rounded squares. Each edge is labeled with its color, $c_b, c_t \in \{0, \ldots, 8\}$ and $c_l, c_r \in \{0, \ldots, 8\}$, (Table 1, Column 3), with the subscript showing the side vector v or v' (Table 2, Columns 2 and 4). The tile shape (the unmarked tetragon tile type v) is show in the circle below each tile. The 24 Penrose Wang tiles (or Penrose parallelogram Wang tiles) may be obtained by deforming each tetragon with the unit square or rhomb.

v	$oldsymbol{b}_{\mathrm{b}}^{v},oldsymbol{b}_{\mathrm{t}}^{v}$	$c_{ m b}, c_{ m t}$	v'	$oldsymbol{b}_{\mathrm{l}}^{v'},oldsymbol{b}_{\mathrm{r}}^{v'}$	$c_{\rm l}, c_{\rm r}$
0	(1.1710, -0.3804)	0,3,7,8	0	(0, 1.231)	0,3,7,8
1	(0.8472, -0.6155)	1,2	1	(-0.3236, 0.9960)	1,2
2	(0.7236, -0.2351)	4	2	(0, 0.7608)	4
3	(1.047, 0)	$5,\!6$	3	(0.3236, 0.9960)	$5,\!6$

TABLE 2. The vertical and horizontal edge vectors for marked and unmarked tetragon Penrose tiles. The vectors for v = 0 and v = 2, and for v' = 0 and v' = 2, have the same slopes. Columns 3 and 5 show which edge colors correspond to each vector.

Column 4 of Table 1 shows which edge vector defines each of the four sides of the unmarked tetragon Penrose tile. Table 1, Column 5 shows that there are 11 different unmarked tetragon Penrose tiles U^t for $t \in \{0, \ldots, 10\}$.

Definition 6.10. We define marked tetragon Penrose tiles $p^4 = \{M^a, a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ as follows. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ (Table 1, Column 1) we define M^a by decorating the corresponding unmarked tetragon Penrose tile U^v , where v = v(a) is given in Table 1, Column 5, by coloring its edges using the Wang tile colors c_b, c_t, c_l , and c_r (Table 1, Column 3). The matching rule $p_4^{(2)}$ is the requirement that the colors of the adjacent edges of two adjacent tiles must match.

Any two horizontal or vertical or edges that have the same color also have the same edge vector. Thus the adjacency condition is the same as for the Penrose Wang tiles. We call $(X_{p_4}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ the *tetragon Penrose tiling dynamical system*. We see that $(X_{p_4}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ is continuously orbit equivalent to $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ by a deformation, so in particular, $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ is nonempty and free.

The next two results summarize the dynamical properties of the four dynamical systems studied in this section. Theorem 6.11 discusses the *tetragon Penrose tiling* system $(X_{p_4}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ and *Penrose parallelogram Wang tile* system $(W_{Aq_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$. Corollary 6.12 discusses Raphael Robinson's *Penrose Wang tile* system $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$, and the corresponding \mathbb{Z}^2 SFT $(Z_{q_p}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$.

Theorem 6.11. The dynamical systems $(X_{p_4}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ and $(W_{Aq_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ are both topologically conjugate (MLD in the first case) to the Penrose tiling dynamical system $(\mathsf{X}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$. Each is strictly ergodic and almost automorphic, with $\Sigma_T = Z[e^{2\pi i/5}] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathfrak{M}_T = \{1, 2, 10\}$, and $\mathbb{N}_1(T) = \{v_0^{\perp}, \ldots, v_4^{\perp}\}$.

Corollary 6.12. The dynamical systems $(W_{q_p}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ and $(Z_{q_p}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$ are both strictly ergodic, and almost automorphic, satisfying $\Sigma_T = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \times \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\Sigma_S = (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^2$. Also $\mathfrak{M}_T = \mathfrak{M}_S = \{1, 2, 10\}$, and $\mathbb{N}_1(T) = \mathbb{N}_1(S) = \{0, 1, \infty, \gamma, \alpha\}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.11, Lemma 6.3, and Remark 6.4. However $\Sigma_S = (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbb{Z})$ is easier to see from the fact that $R_{\alpha} \otimes R_{\alpha}$ is the Kronecker factor of S.

Remark 6.13. In [16], the minimality of $(Z_{q_p}, \mathbb{Z}^2, S)$ is stated as a conjecture, and an earlier preprint version of [16], which also also proves that $\mathbb{N}_1(T)$ is as stated above.

Proof of Theorem 6.11. It suffices to prove the topological conjugacies, and then everything else follows from Theorems 4.3 and 1.1. For $(X_{p_4}, \mathbb{R}^2, T)$ there is an obvious MLD topological conjugacy.

We will now construct a topological conjugacy $\Phi : \mathsf{X} \to W_{Aq_p}$. For $x \in \mathsf{X}$, let $\varphi(x) = y(u) \in Y$ and for $u = (u_0, \ldots, u_4) \in \mathbb{T}_0^5$, let $u' = (u_0, u_1) \in [0, 1)^2$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $w_n(x)$ is a type w^{a_n} Wang patch. Define $\Phi(x) := \{AQ^{a_n} + A(n - u')\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$. Note that Φ is well defined: each $AQ^{a_n} + A(n - u')$ in $\Phi(x)$ is supported on $R_n = R + A(n - u')$, and $\Phi(x)$ satisfies $(Aq_p)^{(2)}$. Clearly Φ is continuous. Next, we consider a different formula for the restriction $\Phi|_{X_1}$ of Φ to X_1 . For $x \in X_1$, let $y(\boldsymbol{u}) = \varphi(x) \in Y_1$, so all crossings in $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ are 2-fold. By Lemma 5.8, the Wang patches $\{w_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ in x are the duals of the grid patches $\{g_n(\boldsymbol{u})\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ in $y(\boldsymbol{u})$, namely, $w_n(x) = g_n(\boldsymbol{u})^*$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Define $\theta : Y_1 \to W_{Aq_p}$ to be the map that replace each grid patch $g_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ in $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ with the Wang tile $\Theta(g_n(\boldsymbol{u})) := AQ^{a_n} + A(n - \boldsymbol{u}')$, noting as above, that both $g_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $AQ^{a_n} + A(n - \boldsymbol{u}')$ are supported on R_n . In particular, θ is a local map (see Remark 3.4), so it is uniformly continuous, and satisfies $\theta(T^t y(\boldsymbol{u})) = T^t(\theta(y(\boldsymbol{u})))$. Clearly $\Phi|_{X_1}(x) = \theta(\varphi(x))$. Then $\Phi|_{X_1}$ is uniformly on the dense set $X_1 \subseteq X$, and satisfies $\Phi|_{X_1}(T^t x) = T^t(\Phi|_{X_1}(x))$. So Φ is its unique continuous extension, satisfying $\Phi(T^t x) = T^t(\Phi(x))$.

It remains to show Φ is a bijection. Lemma 6.7 shows there is a 1:1 correspondence between T orbits on X and T orbits on W_{Aq_p} . But since T acts freely on both X and W_{Aq_p} , $\Phi(T^tx) = T^t(\Phi(x))$ shows that Φ is bijective on each orbit. This implies Φ is a bijection.

References

- Baake, Michael and Grimm, Uwe, Aperiodic Order, Volume I: A Mathematical Invitation, Encyclipedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 149, Cambridge University Press, (2013)
- [2] Berger, Robert, The undecidability of the domino problem. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 66, (1966).
- Boyle, Mike and Lind, Douglas, Expansive subdynamics, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 349, (1997), 55-102.
- Bowen, Rufus and Walters, Peter, Expansive one-parameter flows, Journal of differential Equations, 12, (1972), 180-193.
- [5] Clark, Alex, and Sadun, Lorenzo, When shape matters: deformations of tiling spaces, Ergodic Th. and Dynam. Sys. 26, 69-86, (2006).
- [6] De Bruijn, N. G., Algebraic theory of Penrose's non-periodic tilings of the plane, Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, 43(84), (1981), 1-7.
- [7] De Vries, J, Elements of topological dynamics (Vol. 257), Springer Science and Business Media, (2013), p. 592.
- [8] Downarowicz, Tomasz, Entropy of a symbolic extension of a dynamical system, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 21, (2001), no. 4, 1051-1070.
- [9] Fogg, N. Pytheas, Sturmian Sequences (by Pierre Arnoux), in Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1794, 143-195, (2002).
- [10] Frank, Natalie Priebe and Sadun, Lorenzo Fusion tilings with infinite local complexity. Topology Proc., 43, (2014), 235-276.
- [11] Grunbaum, B., and Shephard, G. C, Tilings and patterns, W. H. Freeman and Company, (1987).
- [12] Gura, A. A., Horocycle flow on a surface of negative curvature is separating, Mat. Zametki, 36, (1984), 279-284.
 [13] Hermle, Patrick and Kreidler, Henrik, A Halmos-von Neumann Theorem for Actions of General Groups, Applied Categorical Structures, 31, article no. 38, (2023), DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-023-09743-y
- [14] Hochman, Michael, Non-expansive directions for \mathbb{Z}^2 actions, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **31**, (2011), 91-112.
- [15] Holton, Charles, Radin, Charles, Sadun, Lorenzo, Conjugacies for tiling dynamical systems, Comm. Math. Phys 254 (2005), 343-359.
- [16] Hults, H., Jitsukawa, H., Mann, C., and Zhang, Experimental Results on Potential Markov Partitions for Wang Shifts, preprint, arXiv:2302.13516, (2024).
- [17] Jang, Hyeeun, Directional Expansiveness, Ph.D. Dissertation, The George Washington University, (2021)
- [18] Jeandel E., and Rao, M, An aperiodic set of 11 wang tiles. *Advances in Combinatorics*, (2021), Paper No. 1, 37 pp.
- [19] Katok, A., and Hasselblatt, B., Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- [20] Labbé, Markov partitions for toral Z²-rotations featuring Jeandel-Rao Wang shift and model sets, Annales Henri Lebesgue, 4 (2021), 283-324.
- [21] Labbé, S., Mann, C., and McLoud-Mann, J., Nonexpansive directions in the Jeandel-Rao Wang Shift, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 43, (2023), 3213-3250.
- [22] Keynes, H. B. and Robertson, J. B., Generators for topological entropy and expansiveness, *Theory of Computing Systems*, 3(1), (1969), 51-59.
- [23] Milnor, John, Directional entropies of cellular automaton-maps, Disordered systems and biological organization, (Les Houches, 1985), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst., Ser. F Comput. Systems Sci., 20, (1986), Springer, Berlin, 113-115.
- [24] Milnor, John, On the entropy geometry of cellular automata, Complex Syst. 2, (1988), 357-385.

- [25] Penrose, R, The role of aesthetics in pure and applied mathematical research, Bull. Inst. Math. Appl., 10, (1974), 266-271.
- [26] Petersen, Karl, Factor maps between tiling dynamical systems, Forum Math., 11 (1999), 503-512.
- [27] Reddy, W., Lifting expansive homeomorphisms to symbolic flows, Math. Systems Theory 2 (1968), 91-92.
- [28] Robinson, Jr., E Arthur, Symbolic dynamics and tilings of \mathbb{R}^d , Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics, **60**, (2004), 81-120.
- [29] Robinson, Jr., E. Arthur, The dynamical properties of Penrose tilings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 348, (1996), 4447-4464.
- [30] Robinson, E. Arthur, Jr., A Halmos-von Neumann theorem for model set dynamical systems, Dynamics, Ergodic Theory and Geometry, MSRI Publications 54, Cambridge University Press, (2007), 243-272.
- [31] Robinson, E. Arthur, Jr., Sturmian Expansions and Entropy, Integers, 11, A13, (2011).
- [32] Robinson, E.Arthur, Jr., Rosenblatt, Joseph and Sahin, Ayse, Directional ergodicity and weak mixing for actions of \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{Z}^d , Indag. Math **34** (2024), 837-864.
- [33] Rudolph, D. J., Markov Tilings of ℝ^d and representations of ℝ^d-actions, Contemporary Mathematics, (1989), 94, 271-290.
- [34] Sadun, L., Topology of tiling spaces, Univ. Lecture Ser., 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2008).
- [35] Sadun, L. and Williams, R. F., Tiling Spaces are Cantor Set Fiber Bundles, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 23, (2003), 307-316.
- [36] Walters, P., An introduction to ergodic theory, Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79, (1982), 127-134.
- [37] Wang, Hao, Proving Theorems by Pattern Recognition, Bell System Tech. J., 40 (1961), 1-42.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ABILENE CHRISTAIN UNIVERSITY, ABILENE, TX 79601 Email address: hxj22b@acu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, WASHINGTON, DC 20052 *Email address*: robinson@gwu.edu