

A Systematic Literature Review of Infrastructure Studies in SIGCHI

YAO LYU, University of Michigan, USA

JIE CAI, Tsinghua University, China

JOHN M. CARROLL, Pennsylvania State University, USA

Infrastructure is an indispensable part of human life. In the past decades, the Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) community has paid increasing attention to human interactions with infrastructure. In this paper, we conducted a systematic literature review on infrastructure studies in SIGCHI, one of the most influential communities in HCI. We collected a total of 190 primary studies; the corpus includes studies published between 2006 and 2024. Most of the studies are inspired by Susan Leigh Star’s notion of infrastructure. We discover three themes of infrastructure studies, including growing infrastructure, appropriating infrastructure, and coping with infrastructure. We foreground the overall trend of infrastructure studies in SIGCHI, which focuses on informal infrastructural activities in various socio-technical contexts. Especially, we discuss studies that problematize infrastructures and alert the HCI community about the underlying harmful side of infrastructure.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Systematic Literature Review, Infrastructure, Information Infrastructure, Cyberinfrastructure, Human Infrastructure, Infrastructuring.

ACM Reference Format:

Yao Lyu, Jie Cai, and John M. Carroll. 2025. A Systematic Literature Review of Infrastructure Studies in SIGCHI. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 1, 1 (April 2025), 39 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

Infrastructures are large-scale systems that support people’s routines, like power grids that ensure residents’ electricity supply. In their seminal work “Steps Toward an Ecology of Infrastructure: Design and Access for Large Information Spaces” [225], Susan Leigh Star and Karen Ruhleder reconsidered the term *infrastructure* as a conceptual framework for information system studies. They proposed that infrastructure is relational and socio-technical. Infrastructure includes not only technical and physical foundations (e.g. generator stations, transmission lines, and towers) but also the actors and activities around the foundations (e.g. engineers and staff and their maintenance work). Specifically, Star and Ruhleder further elaborated the concept of infrastructure through eight characteristics [225]: 1) infrastructure is embedded into its environment; 2) infrastructure is transparent when at work; 3) infrastructure can reach beyond a single event or site; 4) new participants learn infrastructure through becoming a member of it; 5) infrastructure shapes and is shaped by conventions; 6) infrastructure is plugged into other infrastructures in a standardized manner; 7) infrastructure is built on installed bases; 8) infrastructure becomes visible upon breakdown.

Authors’ addresses: Yao Lyu, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, yaolyu@umich.edu; Jie Cai, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, jie-cai@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn; John M. Carroll, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA, jmcarroll@psu.edu.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Manuscript submitted to ACM

1

In the recent three decades, infrastructure has become an increasingly prevalent framework in various fields related to HCI, like Science, Technology and Society (STS) [51, 244], Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) [118, 176], Participatory Design (PD) [45, 116, 119, 163], Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp) [137, 148], Information & Communication Technologies and Development (ICTD) [167, 205], and Accessible Computing [25, 167, 214]. On the one hand, scholars use infrastructure to comprehend large-scale and distributed systems in different contexts [10, 27, 28, 78, 83, 87, 192]. For instance, Accessible Computing researchers [167] use *accessible infrastructure* to describe "the social, economic, and technical conditions that form the larger environment in which accessible technologies are usable. [167]". CSCW researchers refer to networked information technologies supporting scientific research activities as *cyberinfrastructure* [10, 83, 192]. STS researchers combine the concept of infrastructure with currently popular *platform studies* and argue that "platform-based services acquire characteristics of infrastructure, while both new and existing infrastructures are built or reorganized on the logic of platforms" [179]. On the other hand, scholars explore methodologies that could better comprehend human-infrastructure interactions. Star introduced ethnography methods to investigate infrastructures [223]. Participatory Design researchers [19, 63, 119] use *infrastructuring* as an approach to encourage users' engagement in infrastructure design, while Information Scientists [177] develop *infrastructuring* as an analytical unit that indicates users work for making an infrastructure work. STS scholar Vertesi [244], who was inspired by UbiComp scholar Weiser [254, 255] and Chalmers [40], proposed *seamful spaces* to research the heterogeneity in multi-infrastructure environments.

Additionally, the popularity of infrastructure causes discipline-level efforts to review its impact and set agendas for future research. Scholars in various fields conduct literature reviews of infrastructure studies [81, 116, 126, 262]. For example, Helena Karasti [116] traced how the PD community adopted and developed the concept of *infrastructuring*. By reviewing the literature on infrastructuring in participatory design, Karasti emphasized the social and relational side of information infrastructure; in addition, Karasti also discusses how infrastructure can scale beyond a community by constructing the field with reflexivity and by understanding the political nature of people. This helped us better recognize the importance of social factors in infrastructure studies when doing our review. Besides, Inman and Ribes [89] reviewed seamless design and seamful design, two concepts that are closely relevant to infrastructure studies, in Ubiquitous Computing literature. They discussed the contexts and situations where designs should be seamful or seamless. The discussion of seam also inspired our discussion of transparency/visibility and problematization of infrastructure (more details in the discussion section).

The work mentioned above in various domains proves that infrastructure studies have great value in understanding the design, management, and use of large-scale systems. Such value of infrastructure studies is also applicable to HCI, where people focus on interactions between humans and technology. Moreover, infrastructure studies also have been increasingly prevalent in HCI [92, 133, 266]. The value and popularity of infrastructure studies in HCI warrant a review. That said, little research has been done to systematically review how this concept was adopted, developed, and internalized by HCI people. **To fill the gap, we present a literature review of infrastructure studies in HCI.** Specifically, we chose infrastructure studies in SIGCHI as the sample. SIGCHI is one of the most influential communities in the field of HCI and one of the most popular databases of literature reviews in HCI [24, 162, 164]; therefore, infrastructure studies in SIGCHI could be representative of research on infrastructure in HCI.

We take a systematic approach to conducting the literature review. We collected a total of 190 infrastructure studies. From the 190 studies, we observe that from 2006 to 2024, the SIGCHI community had been increasingly interested in infrastructure studies. In addition, most of the infrastructure studies in SIGCHI (143 out of 190) adopted Star's notion of infrastructure [29, 163, 223–225] as a theoretical foundation. We apply thematic analysis [30, 67] to capture the

themes among the infrastructure studies in HCI. Three themes emerge from the systematic review, including growing, appropriating, and coping with infrastructure. Growing infrastructure includes developing, sustaining, and repairing infrastructures. Appropriating infrastructure describes how people utilize infrastructures to facilitate collaboration and participation. Coping with infrastructure shows interest in situations where infrastructures constrain or fail to support people; people in such situations have to come up with countermeasures to overcome the adversities caused by infrastructures. To be noted, by reviewing the papers, we also identify the dynamics of infrastructure stakeholders. Infrastructure studies cover a wide range of stakeholders. Infrastructure studies in anthropology [130], STS [13], and geography [70], have emphasized that infrastructure stakeholders' roles and characteristics are situational and influenced by various factors. That said, we wanted to foreground a pattern throughout the dossier of infrastructure studies we reviewed: there exists a significant discrepancy in terms of power, knowledge, and resources among different infrastructure stakeholders in infrastructure studies. And the different status would influence their experiences with infrastructure and even the way researchers study the experiences. We elaborate on this part in the findings section and conclusion section.

Our contributions to the HCI literature are threefold. First, we document the corpus of infrastructure studies in SIGCHI with a clear, thorough, and justified methodology. Second, from the systematic review, we discover three themes of infrastructure studies, including growing, appropriating, and coping with infrastructure. Third, with the findings, we discuss studying HCI from an infrastructure perspective, and the problematization of infrastructure; we also provide the epistemological and methodological implications for infrastructure studies in HCI based on the discussion.

2 METHODOLOGY

We took a systematic approach to reviewing the literature on infrastructure studies in HCI. We selected SIGCHI publications as the database of HCI studies. SIGCHI is one of the most influential communities in HCI and it publishes valuable and representative HCI literature. A number of HCI literature reviews have used SIGCHI as the primary data source to collect HCI studies [24, 162, 164]. In addition, a systematic literature review requires reviewers to state and document the methodology clearly and thoroughly [122, 124, 178]. Thus, we elaborated the entire procedure step by step.

To be noted, we aimed at collecting and analyzing papers that explicitly discussed infrastructure as a specific **socio-technical** concept (i.e. providing a definition of infrastructure that involves such nature in the paper), and primarily investigated the interaction between infrastructure and some population. An example is Semaan et al.'s work "Transition Resilience with ICTs: 'Identity Awareness' in Veteran Re-integration [212]." When veterans returned to civil society after military service, some of them experienced problems like post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). The study introduced a social support infrastructure for such veterans' life transitions. The authors defined the infrastructure as "patterns of social connections and relationships among people enacted through various ICT-mediated and offline networks. [212]" The infrastructure aligned the interactions in support of the goal of helping veterans. The study investigated the interaction between veterans and the social support infrastructure. The investigation revealed that the formal social support infrastructure provided by governments was not capable of helping veterans. In facing the collapse of the formal infrastructure, veterans constructed their own social support infrastructure with ICT.

2.1 Data Collection

The data collection consists of four steps (see Fig. 1). **1)** We went to the ACM Digital Library to collect relevant primary studies. We searched for all papers published before 2024. In total, the search process returned 625 items eligible for




Fig. 1. The flowchart of the data collection process.

review. 2) Based on the criteria of previous HCI literature reviews, we excluded workshops [24, 202, 209, 240], extended abstracts [209, 240, 245], doctoral or master theses [14, 209, 245], editorials [240], and posters [202], because those publications are considered to lack detailed descriptions of research [24, 202, 240] or to be under-reviewed [245]; we also excluded non-English papers. After the exclusion, 342 remained. 3) We then extracted studies that explicitly discussed infrastructure as a **socio-technical** concept (detailed criteria mentioned earlier in this section). After step 3, 165 papers remained. 4) We took an extra step to search for studies that could be missed in the former steps. We applied Wohlin’s snowballing methods [257] for the additional search. When reviewing snowballed papers, we applied the same criteria used in previous steps for screening, which means all papers we collected from this step were also published by SIGCHI-sponsored venues, strongly reviewed, and closely relevant to infrastructure and HCI. The snowballing process added 25 articles. Therefore, we collected a total of 190 ($N=165+25$) primary studies (See in Appendix A).

2.2 Data Analysis

We analyzed salient themes in infrastructure studies within HCI using thematic analysis [30, 67] to interpret primary studies. Many reviews examine the adoption of concepts in HCI [56, 209], often focusing on conceptual and theoretical innovations [209]. Following this approach, we employed an inductive method to identify each paper’s theoretical foundation of infrastructure (how it conceptualized infrastructure) and its contributions to the literature. For example, Lee [133] applied Star’s notion of infrastructure to human organizations and introduced *human infrastructure* to describe labor organization supporting infrastructure. Such conceptual contributions formed the core of our thematic analysis. We collected and coded all theoretical contributions based on their specific aspects of infrastructure. Based on the

coding results, we grouped codes that focused on similar aspects. For example, we found that Lee's [133] *human infrastructure* and Randall's [185] *stakeholder positioning* both were about collaboration on utilizing an infrastructure for better efficiency. Therefore, we group these two codes, as well as other codes about collaboration, into one category "collaboration" (See Fig 2). Thereafter, we compared each code, group, and category back and forth to generate and refine themes.

That said, due to the complexity of conceptual and theoretical innovation, some codes might cover multiple aspects of contributions to the infrastructure literature. Therefore, one single code could be used in multiple groups or themes. Finally, we identified three overarching themes: growing, appropriating, and coping with infrastructure. The themes are demonstrated in detail in Section 4. In a sense, the three themes can be generally understood as three stages of the infrastructure lifecycle. Especially, the themes are mutually informed because of their close relationships. For instance, growing infrastructures involves the collaborative work of participants. In addition, when infrastructure breaks down and fails to provide support, participants have to come up with solutions to cope with the breakdown. Furthermore, given that some studies involve multiple research interests, they may belong to multiple themes at the same time. Thus, the total number of studies on three themes may not match the number of primary studies.




Fig. 2. The flowchart of the data collection process.

3 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

To provide a holistic overview of infrastructure studies in SIGCHI, we present the studies' descriptive statistics, including publication venues and methods. The overview demonstrates that, from 2006 to 2023, the SIGCHI community had been increasingly interested in infrastructure studies; most infrastructure studies in SIGCHI applied qualitative methodologies.

Publication Venues. The study pool has a total of 190 primary studies. Most studies came from CSCW (N=86), CHI (N=64), DIS (N=13), GROUP (N=9), and TOCHI (N=6). Papers from these five venues constituted more than 90 percent of the entire pool (178 out of 190). A detailed description of the publication venues and publication years of all primary studies is displayed in Appendix A. We presented the distribution of publications by year in Fig. 3. To focus on the most popular publications, we only specify the names of the top five venues. The rest of the papers (including publications on UbiComp [204], UIST [155], MobileHCI [68], CHI PLAY [26], CABS [104], etc.) are labeled as "Others." From the statistics results and the distribution diagram, we conclude that infrastructure studies are becoming more and more popular in SIGCHI. From 2006 to now, at least one infrastructure study has been published in SIGCHI every year.

Especially, the number of papers significantly increased after 2011. In 2006-2011, SIGCHI researchers produced less than 2 papers per year on average; in 2012-2024, they yielded more than 13 papers each year. The increasing number of publications each year indicates that the SIGCHI community's interest in infrastructure studies has been steadily growing.




Fig. 3. Publication venues of infrastructure studies in SIGCHI (X-axis for year, Y-axis for number of studies).

Research Methods. Among all primary studies (N=190), 174 took qualitative approaches; 16 applied mixed methods. In terms of data collection methods, 170 of them deployed interviews, either independently or combined with other methods like observation or a focus group; 6 analyzed archived documents; 3 collected data through workshops; 3 used case studies; 5 collected other types of data like online comments or participants' reflection; there were also 3 studies that did not demonstrate their data collection methods. Regarding data analysis, 61 employed grounded theory; 51 used thematic analysis; 16 applied inductive coding; 8 deployed deductive coding; 9 analyzed through a combination of inductive and deductive coding; 15 mentioned other qualitative methods like affinity analysis, interpretative analysis, or critical reading; 30 studies did not specify their data analysis methods.

Concluding Notes. The rise of infrastructure studies within SIGCHI reflects a growing recognition of theories that emphasize social factors and large-scale systems in HCI. Initially, since its inception around the 1940s, HCI predominantly focused on technical problems, with research objectives centered around personnel or staff who used technology systems in research or military institutions [76]. Theoretical approaches in HCI during this period primarily employed cognitive theories to address HCI issues [196]. However, in the late 2000s, with the widespread accessibility of social media and smartphones, the landscape began to shift. The user base (the "H" in HCI) diversified significantly. The technology component (the "C" in HCI) expanded to encompass more than just a single device, evolving into a complex

amalgamation of multiple information systems. Concurrently, the nature of the interaction (the "I" in HCI) became more contextual and situational [76]. This period marked a paradigm shift in HCI, with a heightened focus on social factors. Yvonne Rogers, for instance, referred to HCI theories during this time as "contemporary HCI," highlighting "the emergence of a more self-conscious reflexivity and social conscience" [196]. John M. Carroll characterized this era's focus as the "socially and materially embedded experience of users" [37]. Therefore, it was a natural progression for the concept of infrastructure, which not only underscores the social aspects of technology but also concentrates on large-scale systems, to become an increasingly prominent concept in the field.

Furthermore, the descriptive statistics provide a comprehensive understanding of infrastructure studies in SIGCHI. These studies have emerged as trending topics within the HCI community. For example, in 2021, SIGCHI published 23 papers, of which 7 addressed infrastructure breakdowns during the COVID-19 pandemic (e.g., [34, 135]). This trend also mirrors the methodological evolution in HCI. The past decades have witnessed the emergence of what is known as "the third wave of HCI" [21, 58, 80], advocating for contextual, situated, and nuanced interpretations of human-technology interactions. Correspondingly, infrastructure studies gained popularity in HCI during these decades. These studies often focus on specific situations where practices are deliberately organized to achieve particular objectives, demonstrating an interest in the intricacies of human-infrastructure interactions. This historical context has significantly influenced the paradigm of infrastructure studies. For instance, in terms of methodology, the majority of infrastructure studies utilize qualitative methods, such as interviews, which are adept at capturing the subtleties of human interactions with infrastructure, as opposed to quantitative approaches.

4 FINDINGS

We obtained three themes from the primary studies. The themes demonstrate the various interactions of actors with infrastructures, including growing, appropriating, and coping with infrastructure. Growing infrastructure includes initiating infrastructure development, sustaining infrastructure, and managing the repair of infrastructures. Appropriating infrastructure talks about utilizing infrastructures by emphasizing collaboration and promoting participation. Coping with infrastructure pays attention to situations where infrastructures constrained or failed to support people; people in such situations had to overcome the adversities caused by infrastructures.

4.1 Growing Infrastructure

We identified 64 articles that worked on growing infrastructure. Growing infrastructure refers to the activities that build and maintain infrastructures. Specifically, we introduce studies on how to initiate infrastructure development, how to sustain infrastructures, and how to manage infrastructures' repair work.

4.1.1 Initiating the Development. Infrastructures are large-scale and distributed systems, and such extensiveness is not achieved instantly. Rather, it takes a long process of infrastructural development. Besides, infrastructures are built based on installed bases, indicating that developing a new infrastructure involves numerous strategies to purposefully utilize existing infrastructures [225].

The most salient topic on initiating infrastructure development was leveraging participants' speculations of future infrastructures [94, 195, 220, 230, 258]. Researchers were aware of the importance of various speculations in initiating an infrastructure. They created numerous concepts to demonstrate the speculations [195, 220, 230, 258], which aimed at understanding participants' expectations for potential infrastructure. One exemplar is Wong's definition of *infrastructural speculation* [258]. Wong and colleagues defined infrastructural speculation as "an orientation towards speculation that

aims to interrogate and ask questions about the broader lifeworld within which speculative artifacts sit, placing the lifeworld (rather than an individual artifact) at the center of a designer's concern" [258]. They drew particular attention to *lifeworld*, which emphasized, "the things that must be true, common-sense, and taken-for-granted in order for the design to work" [258]. The emphasis on the importance of 'lifeworld' when developing 'infrastructural speculation' shows infrastructure researchers' concern with the relationship between the future infrastructure and the current infrastructures.

This topic is also consistent with ICTD literature on people's motivation to build new infrastructure. Hussain et al. [86] reported a study of Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh, who were suffering from the lack of infrastructure yet still desired to build one for a better future. The authors foregrounded *social hope*, a unique type of speculation among refugees, that focused on "a practical and feasible future that is rooted in the morality of a community [86]" as the key motivation of infrastructure development.

4.1.2 Managing Endurance. After infrastructure is built, another topic emerges: how to manage its life cycle. As Participatory Design researchers [117] emphasize, infrastructure should be considered "from one-time technology development towards ongoing processes" [117]. The "ongoing process" point of view emphasized the need of sustaining infrastructure for the long term. In our study pool, we observed a group of researchers that worked on the sustainability of infrastructure [101, 185, 187, 188, 197, 229].

There were many concerns about the longevity of infrastructure. The most popular concern of sustainability was time management. Researchers wanted infrastructure to stay functional for a long period of time. Ribes and Finholt [190] pointed out that infrastructure is "changing at a rapid and ever-increasing pace; yesterday's novel solutions quickly become today's staple resources and even faster seem to become tomorrow's relics." [190] The juxtaposition of yesterday, today, and tomorrow spoke to the inertia of installed base [225] and revealed the change of infrastructure as time goes by. Another concern was capacity management [187, 188, 267]. As infrastructure grows, the scale, personnel, and capability also change. The scale issues were due to the expanding coverage of the growing infrastructure. To better manage the scale of infrastructure, researchers proposed various attributes that could demonstrate the scale and capacity of infrastructure. Ribes [187] showed interests in "[infrastructure] actors' techniques and technologies for knowing and managing large-scale enterprises, including the All-Hands Meeting, surveys, and descriptive statistics, and benchmark metrics – each of these scaling activities seeks to represent and manage the size and growth of these sociotechnical systems" [187].

When an infrastructure malfunctions or fails, it breaks down and needs repair. Infrastructures work behind the scenes; the mechanism that determines the function of infrastructure remains invisible until the infrastructure breaks down [225]. Thus, breakdowns reversely foreground important elements which are oftentimes overlooked when infrastructures work smoothly [171, 180]. Especially in this theme, researchers found that infrastructure breakdown and repair not only reveal failures but also generated valuable insights for future design [85, 151, 228]. Reflecting on this, researchers revisited the idea of repair of infrastructure from a long-term and positive perspective, considering it as a manageable property of infrastructure [97, 100, 197]. Like Rosner and Ames said [197], "breakdown and repair are not processes that designers can effectively script ahead of time; instead, they emerge in everyday practice." The unpredictable and inevitable breakdowns and repair work formed a condition, where infrastructure participants had to constantly negotiate with the damage of breakdowns, the benefits of stakeholders, the efficacy of repair work, and potential risks [197].

Some studies also talked about how to end the life cycle of infrastructure [47]. Though it might take a long period of time, most infrastructures "die" someday. Considering the large scale of infrastructure, how to deal with the "death" of infrastructure is also an important research topic. Cohn et al. [47] reported the termination of an aging cyberinfrastructure, which was in the face of "hardware's material decay, programming languages and software tools reaching the end of support, and obsolete managerial methodologies." The aging of the infrastructure involved a complex process where different components aged at different paces. Cohn stated that the termination of infrastructure also could be considered as a type of repair work that negotiated with the complexity of the aging process, while the aim of the repair work was not to maintain the infrastructure but to terminate it purposefully. Cohn called the "repair-into-decay" process as *convivial decay*, showing a way that actively ends the life of infrastructure [47].

4.2 Appropriating Infrastructure

We recognize 80 papers that investigate the appropriation of infrastructure. By appropriation, we mean the adoption and utilization of infrastructures to serve certain purposes. Studies of appropriating infrastructures include understanding collaboration and promoting participation. This theme describes the infrastructure from the perspective of users, who are supposed to leverage infrastructure for certain purposes: due to the heterogeneity of infrastructure, it requires multiple components' participation and collaboration so that it can work; therefore, facilitating participation and collaboration is the main focus of the theme.

4.2.1 Comprehending Collaboration. It is important to understand the importance of collaboration in appropriating infrastructure. Star and Ruhleder [225] emphasized that infrastructure components are not isolated, they are interconnected in various and complex ways. This also applies to the appropriation of infrastructure, i.e., making use of infrastructure. As Karasti [116] reiterated, making use of infrastructure always took place at a level higher than individual, such as community or society. Therefore, it is impossible for an individual to appropriate an infrastructure, it requires collaboration.

Collaboration in infrastructure is pervasive. It could be the cooperation between international companies [90], the coordination between national policymakers and execution departments [169], the connection of local education institutions [48, 243], or communication among staff in the same workflow [165]. Collaboration makes huge differences in infrastructure appropriation, including reducing costs, saving time, and improving transparency [104]. Therefore, comprehending collaboration helped participants better appropriate infrastructure. There was a group of scholars who particularly investigated collaboration in infrastructure [11, 92, 93, 133, 137, 189, 191, 264].

One strand of the research was interested in the organization form of collaboration. Researchers proposed various frameworks to understand such organization [38, 133]. The most salient contribution was the conception of *human infrastructure* by Lee et al. [133]. According to Lee, human infrastructure was "the arrangements of organizations and actors that must be brought into alignment in order for work to be accomplished" [133]. Inspired by Star's notion of infrastructure, human infrastructure also viewed collaboration from an infrastructure lens, pointing out "a new way to understand organizational work, in contrast to traditional organizational structures, distributed teams, or networks" [133]. Though the concept also covers aspects related to the development of infrastructure, it primarily talks about a novel form of human collaboration that supports an infrastructure. Therefore, we consider this as an example of collaboration. After its inception, human infrastructure soon became a popular lens for understanding collaboration in HCI [146]. It also laid a solid ground for later studies on human infrastructure in ICTD contexts [11, 42, 61, 205]. For instance, ICTD researchers Sambasivan and Smyth [205] reported that, in rural areas, human infrastructure played a

significant role in helping local residents overcome the constraints due to the lack of technology resources. Specifically, they emphasized that the human infrastructure, which consisted of local residents and their social networks, was pervasive, flexible, low-cost, and robust.

Another strand analyzed collaboration among infrastructure components, primarily from a relational perspective [2, 93, 185]. Infrastructure is relational [225]: the successful utilization of infrastructure involves the careful arrangement of relationships among various components. The relational nature of infrastructure provided rich implications for research on collaboration work in infrastructure. The relationship that researchers investigated were various, including the relationship among collaborators in one work infrastructure [185] as well as the relationship between two infrastructures [93].

4.2.2 Promoting Participation. The appropriation of infrastructure requires collective work, and collective work needs the participation of multiple participants. Therefore, while paying attention to collaboration, infrastructure researchers were also interested in actors' participation [39, 64, 74]. Scholars identified participation problems in infrastructures. Some demonstrated the lack of engagement of participants [236]. Taylor et al. [236] investigated a UK community's use of local civic infrastructures. When residents in the community could not generate emotional attachment to technologies through participation, they lost interest in participating. Others also described low productivity issues [144, 203] due to frustration, fear of criticism, or conflicts among participants.

Researchers then looked for solutions to the participation problems. One type of solution focused on acknowledging participants' contributions. Infrastructures worked invisibly [225], so the participants' contributions were also hidden. The invisibility oftentimes led to ignorance of contribution [33, 91, 173]. To counter this, Bullard [33] introduced the motivation strategies in a volunteer-based website. Leaders of the website constructed a community exclusively for invisible contributors. The community of insiders certified and honored such contributors' expertise and effort. Therefore, contributors were motivated to contribute more.

Another type of solution worked on creating a comfortable environment for participation. Scholars were aware of the importance of social factors in infrastructures [131, 136, 140, 142, 143, 248]. An environment that fostered social interactions among participants, as argued by Lee et al. [131], encouraged mutual support that motivated individual workers. On the contrary, a workplace, with social structures that only favored senior members, frustrated new participants and discouraged their participation [144]. In addition to social factors, some researchers paid attention to the infrastructural factors that influenced participation. Irani et al. [90] revealed that infrastructure could symbolize its users' characteristics. The characteristics, which were visible and easy to detect, gave new collaborators an impression of the infrastructure and influenced their motivation to participate. If the impression made participants "feel right," the participation was promoted. To create a better environment, researchers also proposed various design methods [143, 191]. Green et al. [74] proposed to decentralize the power of infrastructure and allowed all participants to "collectively define the role and form" when designing and assigning tasks [74].

4.3 Coping with Infrastructure

In the last two subsections, we introduced two themes focusing on how infrastructure could better support human activities. However, we also obtained 71 studies that showed that infrastructures are adverse rather than helpful to users. In "Infrastructure Problems in HCI," Edwards et al. [62] listed three infrastructure problems against users: 1) users' experiences could be constrained by designers' decision-making; 2) users' understanding of and collaboration around infrastructure could be hindered by the relational and contextual nature of infrastructure; 3) users' interaction

could lack support from infrastructure due to poor design. In this theme, we show particular interest in studies that viewed infrastructure from a negative perspective: that is to say, the users do not benefit from infrastructure: rather, they are constrained by it and have to conduct various work to overcome the constraints. Most studies on this theme built their work on Star's conception of infrastructure. For example, Star emphasized that infrastructure is learned through membership, which means that infrastructure prioritizes its members over others; according to studies in the current theme, such prioritization can lead to marginalization, discrimination, or exclusion and therefore constrain certain user groups.

4.3.1 Encountering Constraints. Infrastructures, like a double-edged sword, can both support and constrain human activities [1, 32]. These constraints often negatively shape users' experiences. Wang et al. [251] observed that user experiences can be limited by various factors such as technical design, social environment, and physical arrangement of the infrastructure. It's important to note that this subtheme, focusing on infrastructure constraints, is distinct from the "Promoting Participation" subtheme. While "Promoting Participation" addresses scenarios where participants choose not to adopt an infrastructure due to internal reasons like lack of motivation or interest, the constraints subtheme highlights cases where users are motivated to use an infrastructure but are impeded by external factors. In essence, the former subtheme deals with internal barriers to adoption, whereas the latter focuses on external impediments to effective use.

Scholars reported four categories of constraints: infrastructural restrictions, infrastructural exclusion, unbalanced power distribution among infrastructure stakeholders, and nontransparent infrastructure mechanisms. Infrastructures have restrictions that restrict users' experiences [49, 59, 64, 92, 95, 131, 184]. The restrictions could be intentionally set to regulate user behaviors. For example, Boustani et al. [26] documented that, online gamers often encountered restrictions from the infrastructure when they created usernames. The restrictions were determined by technology considerations (e.g. unique name for identification) or policies (e.g. content moderation). Researchers also reported participants' circumvention in the face of such limits. To bypass infrastructural constraints, "[users] wrestle against the affordances of the installed base of [an] Infrastructure, and take the shape of engaging or circumventing activities" [92]. The key point is to understand the installed base of infrastructure. To recognize the work and capability of understanding the current infrastructure and bypassing infrastructural constraints, Erickson and Jarrahi [64] defined the knowledge on such circumventing activities as *infrastructure competence*.

The study pool revealed exclusion issues as well [66, 219]. Infrastructure could be learned by becoming a member of it [225], indicating that access to infrastructure is dependent on membership. When designers blueprint infrastructures, they sometimes do not fully consider the diversity of user groups, like people with relatively low knowledge [201, 215, 219, 232] or disabilities [11]. Therefore, when the infrastructures are built, they only support some populations while leaving others excluded [66]. The exclusion could lead to bias [220] and discrimination [108]. Feinberg et al. [66] stated that, infrastructural exclusion "represents the persistent vagueness, ambiguity, and invisibility that standard classificatory practice attempts to eliminate via the systematic application of technical rules to establish neatly differentiated relationships" [66]. Users had to come up with solutions when they were excluded. The primary solution was to draw attention to such excluded groups and hear their voices. For instance, Rajapakse et al. [184] introduced the lack of infrastructural support experienced by people with disabilities. The research group also proposed design artifacts to help people with disabilities to express their needs and assemble support from different societal resources.

In addition, some researchers pointed out the unbalanced power distribution in infrastructures [52, 135, 247]. One infrastructure involves various stakeholders, and different groups of stakeholders might utilize the infrastructure in

different ways. When the power across groups is distributed unevenly, the infrastructure tends to prioritize the more powerful ones over others [220]. The unevenly distributed power in the infrastructure could cause conflicts. Leal et al. [52] reported a case on the armed struggles of a Colombian guerrilla group with the Colombian army. The Colombian army, who had more resources, introduced technologies to locate the guerrilla group's communication and then sent targeted bombs. The technologies and their threats created an infrastructure that had "a specific aim in view: the destabilisation and eventual destruction of an opposition" [52].

There was also a line of research interested in nontransparent infrastructure mechanisms. Infrastructure works behind the scenes [225]. Ackerman et al. [1] introduced a code infrastructure of an online community. It invisibly surveilled users' activities and conducted immediate punishment when users misbehaved. The creepy nature of the infrastructure's work caused trust issues [195]. To deal with such issues, users raised awareness of situations where infrastructures could conduct invisible work [123] and foregrounded the work in the black box for more transparency [156].

4.3.2 Resolving Failures. In this subsection, we explore the failures of infrastructure and the efforts made by participants to address these failures. It is important to note that while the scenarios of infrastructure failure discussed here bear similarities to the breakdowns covered in Section 4.1.2, our focus in this section is specifically on the impact of these failures on participants and their strategies for overcoming them. Additionally, this subtheme contrasts with the scenarios described in the "appropriating infrastructure" section. While the "appropriating infrastructure" section primarily addresses concerns relevant to infrastructure management, the current subtheme concentrates on individual responses to infrastructure challenges. According to researchers, infrastructure failures took place in a large variety of scenarios. Some failures were about the deconstruction of habitats due to military activities [3, 211], like the breakdowns of transportation, education, and power infrastructures in a war [211]. Some failures described the shortage of critical resources [49, 73, 260]. Dailey and Starbird [49] described the cutoff of information about victims after a landslide in the USA. Some failures revealed the malfunction of essential service departments [60, 77, 212]. Veterans in the USA [212] criticized the collapse of formal infrastructures, which was supposed to facilitate their life transition from the military to the civil world. Such failures caused tremendous trouble to users, yet users still actively looked for solutions to remain resilient and robust [204].

Researchers documented various efforts conducted by users to resolve infrastructure failures. On the one hand, users' countermeasures covered different spatial levels. Many of the countermeasures were at the community level. As disruption to people's life, infrastructure failures often affect a huge group of users simultaneously. Therefore, users cooperated with others who had similar experiences to solve the problems [60]. The cooperation highlighted social relationships within communities, such as shared identities between community members [31, 212]. For instance, due to the absence of formal support for new mothers, they had to work together to create online social networks to meet the specific social, political, and medical needs of women [31]. The social relationship also emphasized trust in social networks [210]. As Semaan and Mark [211] reported, the 2nd Gulf War destroyed most infrastructures that were reliable in peaceful times, and civilians looking for public services could be ransomed or killed by militia and insurgent groups. Therefore, civilians had to seek resources from close friends or strangers who had been carefully tested. Despite the prevalence of community-level work, few studies documented individual-level efforts. Gui and Chen [77] primarily documented how caregivers coped with breakdowns of healthcare infrastructures as individuals. The caregivers corrected omissions of staff, fixed the misalignment or non-alignment between organizations, and bypassed the infrastructures' spatial, temporal, policy, and financial limits.

On the other hand, the reactions also included different temporal levels. Some of the reactions focused on short-term goals as they sought expedient solutions; in most cases, users' strategies were "irregular, opportunistic, adaptable, responsive and decentralized [247]." Moreover, some aimed at long-term countermeasures. Some participants suffered from ongoing disruptions in daily life, like the life transitions of new mothers [31]. They formalized their solutions as routines to cope with ongoing disruptions [4, 68]. Semaan [210] described the "*routine infrastructuring*" of war survivors, retired veterans, and LGBTQ people. Routine infrastructuring refers to people's work of "building everyday resilience with technology" [210]. For example, war survivors constantly saved electricity through daily activities in case of a shortage of power; retired veterans regularly communicated with other veterans to retain the collectivist culture which they had been used to through military experiences; LGBTQ people habitually composed, read, and shared stories that helped them reconstruct their identities in online communities. The effort on self-help, which often involves the re-appropriation of currently available resources, would further create new infrastructures for themselves.

5 DISCUSSION

In this work, we reviewed 190 SIGCHI studies that investigated infrastructure. From the dossier, we obtained three salient themes. The themes present that infrastructure studies mainly focus on how people grow, appropriate, and cope with infrastructure. To summarize, we obtain three themes from the systematic review of infrastructure studies in SIGCHI. Star's notion of infrastructure serves as a significant theoretical foundation for most researchers to understand and contribute to the infrastructure literature. In the following section, we will discuss how infrastructure studies emphasize informal infrastructural activities, as well as how HCI researchers problematize infrastructure.

5.1 Infrastructure Studies in SIGCHI: A Focus on Informal Activities

Infrastructure predicates an environment where human life is supported and shaped by the infrastructure. Infrastructure manifests as an ongoing process that needs to be negotiated and re-negotiated all the time [197]. Therefore, infrastructure is situated in dynamic human contexts; it must be constantly critiqued and reconsidered. Yet this requirement inherently conflicts with social inertial tendencies for practices and power structures to persist. In the findings, we identified a pattern that to better understand the relational nature of infrastructure, researchers need to have an awareness of informal activities. Many previous studies have discussed similar concepts in HCI or infrastructure studies. Bowker and Star pointed out the complexity of classification and standards in infrastructure that cause "the problem of residual categories" [29]. We list four significant types of informal activities across the papers reviewed.

First, the review highlights the human experience's *dependence* on infrastructural change. The concept of human infrastructure [133] illustrates this dependence by emphasizing that infrastructure is not just a technical system but also a social arrangement, one that relies on human organization and labor to function. This perspective foregrounds the "work of infrastructure" as an ongoing process rather than a static structure. Besides, as Star [225] points out, infrastructure is not a fixed entity; it continuously evolves through negotiation and renegotiation, shaped by shifting contexts. These changes are not always foreseeable at the time of infrastructure design but emerge dynamically over time, often through disruptions and adjustments. Because the alignment of infrastructural contexts is inherently situated and cannot be predetermined by rigid frameworks, researchers focusing on infrastructure sustainability advocate for the design of more flexible, adaptable, and open-ended infrastructures [197].

Besides, the review draws attention to participants' *amateur* work that overcomes infrastructural constraints, too. As we introduced in the descriptive statistics section, SIGCHI has been paying increasing attention to infrastructure in the Global South; among the studies in the Global South, a large proportion of them are concerned about conditions where

participants have difficulty getting support from infrastructure in their daily lives due to rurality [59]. Associated with the lack of infrastructure support is the lack of systematic and professional expertise, which could help participants overcome the constraints. What participants can do is try to use their relatively low knowledge to solve the problems. Researchers are interested in amateur work that creatively solves problems in the infrastructure. For instance, Chandra's work [41] introduced local residents' human labor that utilized social relationships to overcome the limitations of the lack of technical infrastructure of communication. This problem-solving presents the power of the grassroots against the institutional, professional, and systematic power behind an infrastructure. HCI researchers have been looking for ways to better support amateur work. Hoare et al. [84] argued for helping amateurs build social networks with other amateur workers and professionals. This could be a future direction for researchers who investigate and support amateur work in infrastructure studies.

In addition, the review sheds light on *improvisational* practices in the face of infrastructural crises. By infrastructural crises, we mean conditions where crises like war [211] or nature disasters [49] have destroyed the infrastructures that people rely on. Infrastructure has the quality of being taken for granted and being invisible [225]. Therefore, when infrastructures are destroyed, participants experience situations where they suddenly lose the foundations of daily life and urgently need to get the foundations back. The sudden change and the short time for response push participants to make quick decisions with little preparation. Participants can only improvise to look for stopgaps that could help them survive the infrastructural crises. As presented in the third theme, Semaan and Mark [211] reported a study on how residents in a war reacted to supply shortages by changing lifestyles so that they could survive. The victims' improvisations were informal as they reacted with little preparation. The informal activities can be an important analytical unit for crisis informatics researchers to understand human behaviors when infrastructure breaks down. In HCI, researchers also have been studying improvisation. For instance, Kang and Jackson presented multiple studies [113, 114] on how people improvise as art practices. While these studies provide a solid foundation for understanding improvisation's essential characteristics such as reflexivity, tension, and interdependence [114], improvisation in this literature review can expand the discussion by putting it in a new context. For example, Kang and Jackson [113] argued for making safe spaces for improvisational learning. However, in the papers we reviewed, most of the improvisations were in situations where people experienced infrastructure breakdowns and did not have "safe space." Therefore, by introducing new contexts, which are much more intensive, we can understand improvisation in a more comprehensive way.

Lastly, the review also speaks to *invisible* labor in work settings. Infrastructure works behind the scenes. Like Liu [137] revealed, a major outcome of infrastructure actors' work is the transparency of the infrastructure. Therefore, the actors' contribution to the infrastructure is oftentimes invisible. The invisibility further makes it hard for formal frameworks to identify and recognize the contributions of infrastructure workers. This raises serious ethical issues, especially in work settings. Reflecting on invisibility issues, researchers have proposed various approaches to honor invisible contributions [91]. That said, we also found new understandings of invisible labor. By analyzing examples like domestic worker, Star and Strauss [226] alerted that work is recognized by contextual indicators, and failing to identify the indicators would result in invisible work. While most studies paid attention to indicators like productivity, we also found novel indicators to recognize labor. Bullard [33] found that working behind the scenes with a small group of people actually generates a sense of being privileged. In this case, being not recognized generates a feeling of privilege. The contradictory findings suggest future studies on invisible work in infrastructure. To be noted, the study on invisibility is not to merely foreground the invisible work, but also to explore the situatedness of the invisible work.

For instance, researchers can pay attention to looking for different contexts where people prefer (or do not prefer) invisible work and the motivations behind such preferences.

5.2 Problematising Infrastructure: How Does Infrastructure Become Troublesome?

In the three themes presented in the findings section, we illustrated various problems with infrastructure, ranging from how to sustain it to how to overcome its constraints. In this section, we would like to discuss how such studies in HCI problematize infrastructure. One type of infrastructure problem emphasizes the way contexts, resources, and work are aligned. As the "when of infrastructure" emphasizes the relational nature of infrastructure, it points out the importance of understanding and aligning contexts so that creative arrangements can be made. For instance, one strand of infrastructure research in HCI particularly considers the *seamfulness* as the unit of analysis. STS researcher Janet Vertesi [244] used *seamfulness* to denote the heterogeneity within or across infrastructures [89]. The heterogeneity could be problems caused by different standards of power grid used in different countries. In our study pool, seamfulness manifests as the scattered sources of critical information; they are waiting for users in disasters to piece together [49]. The "seamfulness" lens has inspired a large number of infrastructure researchers, as it could explain infrastructure problems in multiple dimensions, like technological, physical, and social factors. Another type of problematization, on the other hand, focuses on the purpose of the infrastructure. In the study pool, we also hear a small yet vehement voice that criticizes infrastructures not because they fail to be helpful, but because they never meant to help. As presented in the third theme, we see infrastructuralized platforms that marginalized people with low tech literacy [215]; we report infrastructural violence built to censor or suppress citizen online speeches [135]. Such concerns have been reported by not only HCI researchers in SIGCHI (our study pool) but also researchers in Accessible Computing, who argue for more inclusive and accessible infrastructure for people with disabilities [25, 167, 214].

To understand the purposes of infrastructure, we also need to draw on Star's notion of infrastructure. Star pointed out that *membership* is one of the keys to getting access to an infrastructure [225]. However, subsequent infrastructure studies have shown that membership incorporates conflicts in inclusivity: infrastructure for some members sometimes means it only serves its members but not others. Therefore, infrastructure becomes a burden rather than a foundation for people without membership. The studies presented in the findings, especially in the third theme, reveal that infrastructures can be problematic at the moment when they are conceptualized. According to STS researchers [51], the conceptualization of infrastructure is usually associated with the idea of *public*, where a set of facilities or services is open-access to everyone. But if the infrastructure cannot ensure the principle of being public, it can only become a gated residential area rather than a commonplace. The issues engendered by the conflict between *membership* and *public* point to a complementary approach to the aforementioned lens in terms of problematising infrastructure. This approach is more concerned with the value of infrastructure rather than the alignment of contexts. The value-embedded design has been prevalent in HCI [46, 154] and now we bring the conversation into the infrastructure context. In the infrastructure context, value is created by humans and expressed in the form of infrastructure. An infrastructure's goal and its participants' benefits are at odds when the values of the infrastructure clash with the values of participants. But what is the "human" or "participant" in this context? Star has stated that in infrastructure, the roles of designer, user, and repairer are blurred [225]. Therefore, to make infrastructure more inclusive, an idea is to blur the boundary between *membership* and *public*. Methodologically, researchers can pay specific attention to value conflicts at the infrastructure level. Social justice issues caused by infrastructures like marginalization [215] can be considered as analytical units to reflect infrastructure problems; the problems can be imbrication [153], torque [219], residuality [66], or uneven power distribution [220], as we presented in the last theme. To investigate and fix these problems, researchers can learn from

infrastructure studies in the Participatory Design community [119]. The PD community uses participatory design to listen to more groups when designing infrastructure; this method also gives more participants the right to shape infrastructure during its development.

In addition to participatory design, we advocate for the increased use of ethnographic studies in infrastructure research. Star, in 1999, highlighted the necessity of an ethnographic sensibility in infrastructure studies, proposing that "people make meanings based on their circumstances, and that these meanings would be inscribed into their judgments about the built information environment" [223]. Our review of existing literature revealed several studies employing ethnography to investigate infrastructure issues. A notable example is the work of Semaan and Mark [211], who conducted an ethnographic study on residents' responses to infrastructure breakdowns during the 2nd Gulf War. Their research focused on the residents' experiences and usage of infrastructure in wartime, adopting a bottom-up approach. Such ethnographic studies are crucial for gaining a deeper understanding of residents' perceptions of infrastructure, particularly in scenarios where infrastructure fails, such as in war-torn areas. This approach is instrumental in identifying key aspects of infrastructure, thereby enabling more efficient resolution of infrastructure failures. We observed that social dynamics often emerge as the focal point in these ethnographic studies of infrastructure. Essentially, when examining infrastructures through an ethnographic lens, researchers tend to prioritize social, political, and cultural factors over technical aspects. This approach aligns with infrastructure studies in other domains, such as Information and Communication Technologies for Development (ICTD). For instance, Sambasivan and Smyth [205] explored how local residents in an underdeveloped area in India leveraged social relationships to compensate for technological limitations. Similarly, Hussain et al. [86] examined the social hierarchy and political dynamics among Rohingya Refugees as they endeavored to rebuild their infrastructure using ICTs for daily life. These studies collectively underscore the significance of ethnography in infrastructure research. Ethnography, with its emphasis on understanding people as the central component of infrastructure, provides researchers with invaluable insights into the user experience and the societal context of infrastructure usage.

In conclusion, we encourage future infrastructure studies in SIGCHI to pay attention to infrastructural problems. We would like to alert infrastructure scholars that not all infrastructures are created with the purpose of benefiting all human beings; some of them are inherently biased. Researchers should constantly review and examine the purposes of infrastructure to make them inclusive, accessible, and beneficial to the public. This strand of research can be informed by social justice issues, like discrimination, embedded in ICT systems. And more inclusive design methodologies, like participatory design and ethnography, can be applied in the studies.

6 LIMITATIONS

While claiming this review's contributions to the infrastructure literature in HCI, we also acknowledge that this work has several limitations because we do not cover all infrastructure studies in HCI. The first one concerns the sampling database. In this project, we choose to focus on SIGCHI-sponsored publication venues for our literature review. The landscape of literature reviews in Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is vast, and the criteria for what constitutes an HCI study vary widely. Broadly, there are two main approaches to identifying HCI studies. The first approach is based on publication sources. For instance, Dillahunt et al., [56] "coded publication venues as HCI if the proceeding or journal's site stated that human interaction with computing systems was a primary interest of the venue." This method involves selecting papers from specific publication venues or publishers known for their HCI content. For example, some reviews concentrate on works from certain publishers [5, 69, 237]; notably, Altarriba Bertran et al. [5] utilize the ACM Digital Library for sourcing HCI literature. Others base their selection on a collection of

publications recognized by academic organizations [36, 79]; a case in point is Caraban et al. [36], who select the top 15 HCI publication venues as listed by Google Scholar. Additionally, some reviews choose multiple publications united by common themes [162, 206]; for instance, Nelimarkka [162] identifies HCI papers published in venues sponsored by SIGCHI. The second approach is driven by keywords or content. Kannabiran et al. [115] define HCI studies as those "already published or available in a public domain and affiliate itself to HCI or its cognate fields." Bopp and Volda [24] adopt a more practical strategy, categorizing a study as HCI if it falls under HCI-related classifications in the ACM Computing Classification System (CCS). Sampling literature based on publishers or specific publications tends to be a popular method in HCI literature reviews [162, 164, 227]. For example, Stefanidi et al. in their recent work "Literature Reviews in HCI: A Review of Reviews" presented at CHI'23, choose SIGCHI-sponsored venues as their data source for HCI reviews, justifying this choice by stating that "the SIGCHI conferences and the way they have been shaped in the last 40 years accurately describe the intellectual development of HCI" [227]. We adopt a similar approach in our study by selecting SIGCHI-sponsored publications as our sample pool. However, it is not our intention to suggest that SIGCHI publications are the only or most suitable source for such a review. We recognize that different methodologies have their respective strengths and weaknesses. Our goal is to offer an overview of the various methods employed in previous literature reviews for identifying HCI studies. This summary is intended to assist future HCI literature reviews by presenting a spectrum of approaches used within the HCI community.

Another limitation concerns the keywords used in data collection. We are aware that, in addition to "infrastructure", infrastructure studies might also use other keywords such as "toolkit", "platform", etc. However, we still choose to focus on one keyword. The most important reason for this decision is because of the workload of screening. Researchers use words or phrases in various ways. When they use "toolkit", "system", "platform", or "infrastructure" in the title/abstract/keyword, it might not mean they consider them as specific concepts or theories. Therefore, considering too many keywords might distract our search process and result in too many irrelevant studies. For instance, if we use "infrastructure", the first round would return about 400 papers for screening. But if we changed to other keywords such as "platform", the search would result in about 1000 papers. This would significantly increase the workload of screening papers, especially when the screening process includes thoroughly reading each paper to identify the definition, application, and discussion of infrastructure (details in the data collection section). Finally, we choose to focus on one word and its variants.

We are aware that the current approach, which chooses to not cover certain studies, might lead to missing important relevant literature and harming our contribution. Therefore, to balance the feasibility and the comprehensiveness of the literature review, 1) we conduct a thorough round of forward and backward sampling after the search based on keywords; 2) we select the most representative and relevant infrastructure studies that we do not include in the sample but are closely relevant to the review; we introduce them as background knowledge of infrastructure or discuss them with our findings. This approach of making up for the missing papers not only helps us stay focused on infrastructure studies in SIGCHI, which already yield rich findings and implications, but also connects our discussion of infrastructure studies in SIGCHI to a broader level so that we do not ignore relevant studies in other fields.

7 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present a systematic review of the literature on infrastructure studies in SIGCHI. We collected and analyzed 174 infrastructure studies. We discovered three themes that emerged from the analysis: sustaining, appropriating, and coping with infrastructure. In the first theme (growing infrastructure), infrastructure manifests as large systems that need extraordinary work to develop and maintain. In the second theme (appropriating infrastructure), the technical design of infrastructure serves for collaboration among individuals or organizations. How to navigate

relationships (such as social relationships) among various organizations becomes the most important topic. The last theme (coping with infrastructure) represents a perspective that criticizes infrastructure. We also emphasized the influence and limits of Susan Leigh Star's work on HCI researchers' investigations of infrastructure. We discuss how infrastructure problems can be better framed in different settings. We alert that not all infrastructures are created to serve all populations; some of them have serious bias issues. We, therefore, recommend researchers use more inclusive design methodologies, like participatory design, when designing and developing infrastructures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks for the reviewers' comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mark S Ackerman, Jack Muramatsu, and David W McDonald. 2010. Social Regulation in an Online Game: Uncovering the Problematics of Code. In *The ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (GROUP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1880071.1880101>
- [2] Shana Agid. 2016. "It's your project, but it's not necessarily your work": Infrastructuring, situatedness, and designing relational practice. In *The Participatory Design Conference (PDC)*. 81–90. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2940299.2940317>
- [3] Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed, Steven J. Jackson, and Nusrat Jahan Mim. 2015. Residual Mobilities: Infrastructural Displacement and Post-Colonial Computing in Bangladesh. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, Vol. 2015-April. 437–446. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702573>
- [4] Abdallah El Ali, Khaled Bachour, Wilko Heuten, and Susanne Boll. 2016. Technology Literacy in Poor Infrastructure Environments: Characterizing Wayfinding Strategies in Lebanon. In *The International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services (MobileHCI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 266–277. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2935334.2935352>
- [5] Ferran Altarriba Bertran, Danielle Wilde, Samvid Jhaveri, Rosa Lutz, and Katherine Isbister. 2019. Making Sense of Human-Food Interaction. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–13.
- [6] Ira Anjali Anwar, Michaelanne Thomas, Kentaro Toyama, and Julie Hui. 2022. Gig platforms as faux infrastructure: a case study of women beauty workers in india. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555134> Number of pages: 25 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 409 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [7] Sam Addison Ankenbauer and Alex Jiahong Lu. 2022. Making Space for Cultural Infrastructure : The Breakdown and Maintenance Work of Independent Movie Theaters During Crisis. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3501840>
- [8] Sarah Armouch, Reem Talhouk, and Vasilis Vlachokyriakos. 2022. Revolting from abroad: The formation of a lebanese transnational public. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555131> Number of pages: 28 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 406 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [9] Mariam Asad and Christopher A Le Dantec. 2017. Tap the "Make This Public" Button: A Design-Based Inquiry into Issue Advocacy and Digital Civics. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 6304–6316. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3026034>
- [10] Daniel E Atkins, Kelvin K Droegemeier, Stuart I Feldman, Hector García Molina, Michael L Klein, David G Messerschmitt, Paul Messina, Jeremiah P Ostriker, Margaret H Wright, Hector Garcia-molina, Michael L Klein, David G Messerschmitt, Paul Messina, Jeremiah P Ostriker, and Margaret H Wright. 2003. *Revolutionizing Science and Engineering Through Cyberinfrastructure: Report of the National Science Foundation Blue-Ribbon Advisory Panel on Cyberinfrastructure*. Technical Report. <http://www.nsf.gov/od/oci/reports/atkins.pdf>
- [11] Giulia Barbareschi, Catherine Holloway, Katherine Arnold, Grace Magomere, Wycliffe Ambeyi Wetende, Gabriel Ngare, and Joyce Olenja. 2020. The Social Network: How People with Visual Impairment Use Mobile Phones in Kibera, Kenya. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376658>
- [12] Louise Barkhuus and Barry Brown. 2012. The Sociality of Fieldwork: Designing for Social Science Research Practice and Collaboration. In *The ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (GROUP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 35–44. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2389176.2389183>
- [13] Andrew Barry. 2020. The material politics of infrastructure. *TechnoScienceSociety: Technological Reconfigurations of Science and Society* (2020), 91–109.
- [14] Gökçe Elif Baykal, Maarten Van Mechelen, and Eva Eriksson. 2020. Collaborative Technologies for Children with Special Needs: A Systematic Literature Review. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376291>
- [15] Steve Benford, Kadja Manninen, Sarah Martindale, Adrian Hazzard, Juan Pablo Martinez Avila, Paul Tennent, Jocelyn Spence, Teresa Castle-Green, Pat Brundell, Pepita Barnard, and Dimitrios Paris Darzentas. 2023. Infrastructures for virtual volunteering at online music festivals. *Proc. ACM*

- Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 7, CSCW1 (April 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3579498> Number of pages: 26 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 65 tex.issue_date: April 2023.
- [16] Karthik S Bhat, Mohit Jain, and Neha Kumar. 2021. Infrastructuring Telehealth in (In) Formal Patient-Doctor Contexts. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW2 (2021).
 - [17] Matthew J Bietz, Toni Ferro, and Charlotte P Lee. 2012. Sustaining the Development of Cyberinfrastructure: An Organization Adapting to Change. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 901–910. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2145204.2145339>
 - [18] Karl-Emil Kjær Bilstrup, Magnus Høholt Kaspersen, Niels Olof Bouvin, and Marianne Graves Petersen. 2024. ml-machine.org: Infrastructuring a research product to disseminate AI literacy in education. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '24)*. Association for Computing Machinery, Honolulu, HI, USA and New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642539> Number of pages: 16 tex.articleno: 272.
 - [19] Erling Björgvinsson, Pelle Ehn, and Per Anders Hillgren. 2010. Participatory design and "democratizing innovation". In *The Participatory Design Conference (PDC)*. 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1900441.1900448>
 - [20] Pernille Bjorn and Nina Boulus-Rodje. 2018. Infrastructural Inaccessibility: Tech Entrepreneurs in Occupied Palestine. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 25, 5 (2018).
 - [21] Susanne Bodker. 2006. When Second Wave HCI meets Third Wave Challenges. In *The Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (NordiCHI)*. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1182475.1182476>
 - [22] Susanne Bodker, Henrik Korsgaard, Peter Lyle, and Joanna Saad-Sulonen. 2016. Happenstance, strategies and tactics: Intrinsic design in a volunteer-based community. In *the Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (NordiCHI)*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2971485.2971564>
 - [23] Chris Bopp, Lehn M Benjamin, and Amy Volda. 2019. The Coerciveness of the Primary Key: Infrastructure Problems in Human Services Work. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (nov 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359153>
 - [24] Chris Bopp and Amy Volda. 2020. Voices of the social sector. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 27, 2 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3368368>
 - [25] Fatima A Boujarwah, Nazneen, Hwajung Hong, Gregory D Abowd, and Rosa I Arriaga. 2011. Towards a Framework to Situate Assistive Technology Design in the Context of Culture. In *The International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility (ASSETS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2049536.2049542>
 - [26] Katreen Boustani, Anne C Tally, Yu Ra Kim, and Christena Nippert-Eng. 2020. Gaming the Name: Player Strategies for Adapting to Name Constraints in Online Videogames. In *The Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play (CHI PLAY)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 120–131. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3410404.3414259>
 - [27] Geoffrey C. Bowker. 1996. The history of information infrastructures: The case of the International Classification of Diseases. *Information Processing and Management* 32, 1 (1996), 49–61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4573\(95\)00049-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4573(95)00049-M)
 - [28] Geoffrey C Bowker, Karen Baker, Florence Millerand, and David Ribes. 2010. Toward Information Infrastructure Studies: Ways of Knowing in a Networked Environment. In *International Handbook of Internet Research*. 97–117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9789-8>
 - [29] Geoffrey C. Bowker and Susan Leigh Star. 1999. *Sorting Things Out: Classification and Its Consequences*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3421475>
 - [30] Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke. 2006. Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3, 2 (2006), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
 - [31] Lauren Britton, Louise Barkhuus, and Bryan Semaan. 2019. "Mothers as Candy Wrappers": Critical infrastructure supporting the transition into motherhood. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, GROUP (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3361113>
 - [32] Jed R Brubaker and Gillian R Hayes. 2011. SELECT * FROM USER: Infrastructure and Socio-Technical Representation. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 369–378. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1958824.1958881>
 - [33] Julia Bullard. 2016. Motivating Invisible Contributions: Framing Volunteer Classification Design in a Fanfiction Repository. In *The ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (GROUP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 181–193. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2957276.2957295>
 - [34] Carrie J. Cai, Michelle Carney, and Nida Zada. 2021. Breakdowns and Breakthroughs: Observing Musicians' Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445192>
 - [35] Vikram Kamath Cannanure, Eloisa Avila-Urbe, Tricia Ngoon, Yves Adjji, Sharon Wolf, Kaja Jasinska, Timothy Brown, and Amy Ogan. 2022. "We dream of climbing the ladder; to get there, we have to do our job better": Designing for Teacher Aspirations in rural Cote d'Ivoire. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGCAS/SIGCHI conference on computing and sustainable societies (Compass '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3530190.3534794>
 - [36] Ana Caraban, Evangelos Karapanos, Daniel Gonçalves, and Pedro Campos. 2019. 23 Ways to Nudge: A Review of Technology-Mediated Nudging in Human-Computer Interaction. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300733>
 - [37] John M Carroll. 2013. Human computer interaction-brief intro. *The encyclopedia of human-computer interaction*, 86 (2013).
 - [38] Daniel Carter. 2019. How to Debate a Border: Supporting Infrastructure Publics through Communication System Design. In *The ACM International Conference on the Design of Communication (SIGDOC)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3328020.3353932>

- [39] Hanna Celina, Ahmed Kharrufa, Anne Preston, Rob Comber, and Patrick Olivier. 2016. SOLE Meets MOOC: Designing Infrastructure for Online Self-Organised Learning with a Social Mission. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. 484–496. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901848>
- [40] Matthew Chalmers and Areti Galani. 2004. Seamful Interweaving: Heterogeneity in the Theory and Design of Interactive Systems. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. 243. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1013115.1013149>
- [41] Priyank Chandra. 2017. Informality and Invisibility: Traditional Technologies as Tools for Collaboration in an Informal Market. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 4765–4775. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025643>
- [42] Rajesh Chandwani and Neha Kumar. 2018. Stitching Infrastructures to Facilitate Telemedicine for Low-Resource Environments. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173958>
- [43] Aarjav Chauhan and Robert Soden. 2024. Commoning as a strategy for HCI research and design in south asia. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '24)*. Association for Computing Machinery, Honolulu, HI, USA and New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642547> Number of pages: 18 tex.articleno: 558.
- [44] Adrian Choi, Grace Pfohl, Catherine D'Ignazio, Brooke Foucault Welles, and Andrea G. Parker. 2024. Entangled amid misaligned seams: Limitations to technology-mediated care for repairing infrastructural breakdowns in a youth empowerment program. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW2 (Nov. 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3686896> Number of pages: 33 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 357 tex.issue_date: November 2024.
- [45] Andrew Clement, Brenda McPhail, Karen Louise Smith, and Joseph Ferenbok. 2012. Probing, mocking and prototyping: Participatory approaches to identity infrastructuring. In *The Participatory Design Conference (PDC)*. 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2347635.2347639>
- [46] Gilbert Cockton. 2004. Value-centred HCI. In *the Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (NordiCHI)*, Vol. 82. 149–160. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1028014.1028038>
- [47] Marisa Leavitt Cohn. 2016. Convivial Decay: Entangled Lifetimes in a Geriatric Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1511–1523. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2820077>
- [48] Clara Crivellaro, Rob Anderson, Daniel Lambton-Howard, Tom Nappey, Patrick Olivier, Vasilis Vlachokyriakos, Alexander Wilson, and Pete Wright. 2019. Infrastructuring public service transformation: Creating collaborative spaces between communities and institutions through HCI research. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 26, 3 (may 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3310284>
- [49] Dharma Dailey and Kate Starbird. 2017. Social Media Seamsters: Stitching Platforms and Audiences into Local Crisis Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1277–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2998181.2998290>
- [50] Samantha Dalal, Ngan Chiem, Nikoo Karbassi, Yuhan Liu, and Andrés Monroy-Hernández. 2023. Understanding Human Intervention in the Platform Economy: A case study of an indie food delivery service. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581517> Number of pages: 16 Place: ;conf-loc; , ;city;Hamburg;/city; , ;country;Germany;/country; , ;/conf-loc; tex.articleno: 568.
- [51] Christopher A. le Dantec and Carl DiSalvo. 2013. Infrastructuring and the formation of publics in participatory design. *Social Studies of Science* 43, 2 (2013), 241–264. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312712471581>
- [52] Débora de Castro Leal, Max Krüger, Kaoru Misaki, David Randall, and Volker Wulf. 2019. Guerilla Warfare and the Use of New (and Some Old) Technology: Lessons from FARC's Armed Struggle in Colombia. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300810>
- [53] Kristin N Dew and Daniela K Rosner. 2019. Designing with Waste: A Situated Inquiry into the Material Excess of Making. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1307–1319. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3322276.3322320>
- [54] Mrunal Sanjay Dhaygude, Naitik D. Lapsiya, and Dipanjan Chakraborty. 2022. There is no app for that: Manifestations of the digital divides during COVID-19 school closures in india. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555140> Number of pages: 26 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 415 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [55] Tawanna R Dillahunt, Alex Jiahong Lu, Aarti Israni, Ruchita Lodha, Savana Brewer, Tiera S Robinson, Angela Brown Wilson, and Earnest Wheeler. 2022. The Village: Infrastructuring Community-Based Mentoring to Support Adults Experiencing Poverty. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3501949>
- [56] Tawanna R. Dillahunt, Xinyi Wang, Earnest Wheeler, Hao Fei Cheng, Brent Hecht, and Haiyi Zhu. 2017. The sharing economy in computing: A systematic literature review. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 1, CSCW (2017), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3134673>
- [57] Xianghua(Sharon) Ding, Linda Tran, Yanling Liu, Conor O'Neill, and Stephen Lindsay. 2023. Infrastructural work behind the scene: a study of formalized peer-support practices for mental health. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3580657> Number of pages: 14 Place: ;conf-loc; , ;city;Hamburg;/city; , ;country;Germany;/country; , ;/conf-loc; tex.articleno: 561.
- [58] Emanuel Felipe Duarte and M. Cecilia C. Baranauskas. 2016. Revisiting the three HCI waves: A preliminary discussion on philosophy of science and research paradigms. In *The Brazilian Symposium on Human Factors in Computing Systems (IHC)*. 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3033701.3033740>

- [59] Marisa Elena Duarte, Morgan Vigil-hayes, Ellen Zegura, Elizabeth Belding, Ivone Masara, and Jennifer Case Nevarez. 2021. “As a Squash Plant Grows”: Social Textures of Sparse. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 28, 3 (2021).
- [60] Michaelanne Dye. 2021. Un Grano de Arena: Infrastructural Care, Social Media Platforms, and the Venezuelan Humanitarian Crisis. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4, CSCW3 (jan 2021), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3432946>
- [61] Michaelanne Dye, David Nemer, Josiah Mangiameli, Amy S. Bruckman, and Neha Kumar. 2018. El Paquete Semanal: The Week’s Internet in Havana. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, Vol. 2018-April. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174213>
- [62] W Keith Edwards, Mark W Newman, and Erika Shehan Poole. 2010. The Infrastructure Problem in HCI. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 423–432. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1753326.1753390>
- [63] Pelle Ehn. 2008. Participation in Design Things. In *The Participatory Design Conference (PDC)*. 92–101.
- [64] Ingrid Erickson and Mohammad Hossein Jarrahi. 2016. Infrastructuring and the Challenge of Dynamic Seams in Mobile Knowledge Work. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1323–1336. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2820015>
- [65] Sebastian S Feger, Pawel W. Wozniak, Lars Lischke, and Albrecht Schmidt. 2020. ‘Yes, I Comply!’: Motivations and Practices around Research Data Management and Reuse across Scientific Fields. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4, CSCW2 (oct 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3415212>
- [66] Melanie Feinberg, Daniel Carter, and Julia Bullard. 2014. A Story without End: Writing the Residual into Descriptive Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 385–394. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2598553>
- [67] Jennifer Fereday and Eimear Muir-Cochrane. 2006. Demonstrating Rigor Using Thematic Analysis: A Hybrid Approach of Inductive and Deductive Coding and Theme Development. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 5, 1 (2006), 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690600500107>
- [68] Pedro Ferreira, Moira McGregor, and Airi Lampinen. 2015. Caring for Batteries: Maintaining Infrastructures and Mobile Social Contexts. In *The International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services (MobileHCI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 383–392. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2785830.2785864>
- [69] Jonas Frich, Michael Mose Biskjaer, and Peter Dalsgaard. 2018. Twenty years of creativity research in human-computer interaction: Current state and future directions. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. 1235–1258. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196732>
- [70] Kathryn Furlong. 2011. Small technologies, big change: Rethinking infrastructure through STS and geography. *Progress in Human Geography* 35, 4 (Aug. 2011), 460–482. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132510380488>
- [71] Adriana Alvarado Garcia, Alyson L. Young, and Lynn Dombrowski. 2017. On making data actionable: How activists use imperfect data to foster social change for human rights violations in Mexico. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 1, CSCW (2017), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3134654>
- [72] R Stuart Geiger, Dorothy Howard, and Lilly Irani. 2021. The Labor of Maintaining and Scaling Free and Open-Source Software Projects. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (apr 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449249>
- [73] Sukeshini A Grandhi, Linda Plotnick, and Starr Roxanne Hiltz. 2020. An Internet-Less World? Expected Impacts of a Complete Internet Outage with Implications for Preparedness and Design. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4, GROUP (jan 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3375183>
- [74] David Philip Green, Simon Bowen, Jonathan Hook, and Peter Wright. 2017. Enabling Polyvocality in Interactive Documentaries through “Structural Participation”. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 6317–6329. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025606>
- [75] Margarita Grinko, Sarvin Qalandar, Dave Randall, and Volker Wulf. 2022. Nationalizing the internet to break a protest movement: Internet shutdown and counter-appropriation in iran of late 2019. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555205> Number of pages: 21 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 314 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [76] Jonathan Grudin. 2022. *From Tool to Partner: The Evolution of Human-Computer Interaction*. Springer.
- [77] Xinning Gui and Yunan Chen. 2019. Making Healthcare Infrastructure Work: Unpacking the Infrastructuring Work of Individuals. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300688>
- [78] Ole Hanseth, Eric Monteiro, and Morten Hatling. 1996. Developing information infrastructure: The tension between standardization and flexibility. *Science, Technology, and Human Values* 21, 4 (1996), 407–426. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016224399602100402>
- [79] Jean Hardy, Susan Wyche, and Tiffany Veinot. 2019. Rural HCI Research: Definitions, Distinctions, Methods, and Opportunities. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (nov 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359298>
- [80] Steve Harrison, Deborah Tatar, and Phoebe Sengers. 2007. The Three Paradigms of HCI. In *Alt. Chi. Session at the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–18.
- [81] Stefan Henningsson, Charikleia Rapti, and Thomas Emil Jensen. 2017. The information infrastructures design space: A literature review. In *International Conference on Business Informatics Research*, Vol. 295. 31–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64930-6_3
- [82] Sharon Heung, Lucy Jiang, Shiri Azenkot, and Aditya Vashistha. 2024. “Vulnerable, Victimized, and Objectified”: Understanding Ableist Hate and Harassment Experienced by Disabled Content Creators on Social Media. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*.

- [83] Tony Hey and Anne E. Trefethen. 2005. Cyberinfrastructure for e-Science. *Science* 308, 5723 (2005), 817–821. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110410>
- [84] Michaela Hoare, Steve Benford, Rachel Jones, and Natasa Milic-Frayling. 2014. Coming in from the Margins: Amateur Musicians in the Online Age. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Toronto, Ontario, Canada) (*CHI '14*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1295–1304. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557298>
- [85] Lara Houston, Steven J. Jackson, Daniela K. Rosner, Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed, Meg Young, and Laewoo Kang. 2016. Values in repair. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1403–1414. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858470>
- [86] Faheem Hussain, Abdullah Hasan Safir, Dina Sabie, Zulkarnin Jahangir, and Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed. 2020. Infrastructuring Hope: Solidarity, Leadership, Negotiation, and ICT among the Rohingya Refugees in Bangladesh. In *The International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development (ICTD)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3392561.3394640>
- [87] Isto Huvila. 2009. Ecological framework of information interactions and information infrastructures. *Journal of Information Science* 35, 6 (dec 2009), 695–708. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551509336705>
- [88] Gesu India, Y. Vidhya, O. Aishwarya, Nirmalendu Diwakar, Mohit Jain, Aditya Vashistha, and Manohar Swaminathan. 2021. Teachers' perceptions around digital games for children in low-resource schools for the blind. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445194>
- [89] Sarah Inman and David Ribes. 2019. "Beautiful Seams": Strategic Revelations and Concealments. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300508>
- [90] Lilly Irani, Paul Dourish, and Melissa Mazmanian. 2010. Shopping for Sharpies in Seattle: Mundane Infrastructures of Transnational Design. In *The International Conference on Intercultural Collaboration (ICIC)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 39–48. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1841853.1841860>
- [91] Lilly C. Irani and M. Six Silberman. 2013. Turkopticon: Interrupting Worker Invisibility in Amazon Mechanical Turk. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 611–620. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2470742>
- [92] Karim Jabbar and Pernille Bjorn. 2017. Growing the Blockchain Information Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 6487–6498. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025959>
- [93] Karim Jabbar and Pernille Bjorn. 2018. Infrastructural Grind: Introducing Blockchain Technology in the Shipping Domain. In *The ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (GROUP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 297–308. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3148330.3148345>
- [94] Karim Jabbar and Pernille Bjorn. 2019. Blockchain Assemblages: Whiteboxing Technology and Transforming Infrastructural Imaginaries. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300496>
- [95] Margaret Jack, Jay Chen, and Steven J. Jackson. 2017. Infrastructure as Creative Action: Online Buying, Selling, and Delivery in Phnom Penh. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, Vol. 2017-May. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 6511–6522. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025889>
- [96] Margaret Jack and Steven J. Jackson. 2016. Logistics as Care and Control: An Investigation into the UNICEF Supply Division. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2209–2219. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858503>
- [97] Steven J. Jackson, Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed, and Rashidujjaman Rifat. 2014. Learning, innovation, and sustainability among mobile phone repairers in Dhaka, Bangladesh. In *The ACM conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. 905–914. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2598576>
- [98] Steven J. Jackson and Sarah Barbrow. 2013. Infrastructure and Vocation: Field, Calling and Computation in Ecology. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2873–2882. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481397>
- [99] Steven J. Jackson and Sarah Barbrow. 2015. Standards and/as Innovation: Protocols, Creativity, and Interactive Systems Development in Ecology. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1769–1778. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702564>
- [100] Steven J. Jackson, Alex Pompe, and Gabriel Krieschok. 2012. Repair Worlds: Maintenance, Repair, and ICT for Development in Rural Namibia. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2145204.2145224>
- [101] Steven J. Jackson, David Ribes, Ayse Buyuktur, and Geoffrey C. Bowker. 2011. Collaborative Rhythm: Temporal Dissonance and Alignment in Collaborative Scientific Work. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1958824.1958861>
- [102] Esther Jang, Mary Claire Barela, Matt Johnson, Philip Martinez, Cedric Festin, Margaret Lynn, Josephine Dionisio, and Kurtis Heimerl. 2018. Crowdsourcing Rural Network Maintenance and Repair via Network Messaging. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173641>
- [103] Esther Jang, Philip Garrison, Ronel Vincent Vistal, Maria Theresa D. Cunanan, Maria Theresa Perez, Philip Martinez, Matthew William Johnson, John Andrew Evangelista, Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed, Josephine Dionisio, Mary Claire Aguilar Barela, and Kurtis Heimerl. 2019. Trust and technology repair infrastructures in the remote rural Philippines: Navigating urban-rural seams. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359201>

- [104] Thomas Jensen, Niels Bjorn-Andersen, and Ravi Vatrpu. 2014. Avocados Crossing Borders: The Missing Common Information Infrastructure for International Trade. In *The ACM International Conference on Collaboration across Boundaries: Culture, Distance and Technology (CABS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2631488.2631500>
- [105] Eunkyung Jo, Myeonghan Ryu, Georgia Kenderova, Samuel So, Bryan Shapiro, Alexandra Papoutsaki, and Daniel A Epstein. 2022. Designing Flexible Longitudinal Regimens: Supporting Clinician Planning for Discontinuation of Psychiatric Drugs. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3502206>
- [106] Jeongwon Jo, Jingyi Xie, Priyanka Aiyer, Tiffany Kneare, and John M. Carroll. 2024. Infrastructuring community fridges for food commoning. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637352> Number of pages: 27 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 75 tex.issue_date: April 2024.
- [107] Ian G. Johnson and Vasilis Vlachokyriakos. 2024. Socio-digital rural resilience: An exploration of information infrastructures within and across rural villages during covid-19. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637400> Number of pages: 30 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 123 tex.issue_date: April 2024.
- [108] Aditya Johri and Janaki Srinivasan. 2014. The Role of Data in Aligning the 'unique Identity' Infrastructure in India. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 697–709. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531687>
- [109] Ridley Jones, Cathrine F. Seidelin, Andrew B. Neang, and Charlotte P. Lee. 2023. Lessons learned from a comparative study of long-term action research with community design of infrastructural systems. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 7, CSCW1 (April 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3579502> Number of pages: 35 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 69 tex.issue_date: April 2023.
- [110] Ridley Jones LeDoux, Charlotte P. Lee, Sucheta Ghoshal, and Mark Haselkorn. 2024. Concept of operations as epistemic object: The sociotechnical design roles of a systems engineering document. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637311> Number of pages: 31 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 34 tex.issue_date: April 2024.
- [111] Tejaswini Joshi, Jeffrey Bardzell, and Shaowen Bardzell. 2021. The Flaky Accretions of Infrastructure: Sociotechnical Systems, Citizenship, and the Water Supply. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW2 (2021).
- [112] Perna Juneja and Tanushree Mitra. 2022. Human and technological infrastructures of fact-checking. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555143> Number of pages: 36 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 418 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [113] Laewoo Kang and Steven Jackson. 2021. Tech-Art-Theory: Improvisational Methods for HCI Learning and Teaching. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (April 2021), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449156>
- [114] Laewoo (Leo) Kang, Steven J. Jackson, and Phoebe Sengers. 2018. Intermodulation: Improvisation and Collaborative Art Practice for HCI. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Montreal QC Canada, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173734>
- [115] Gopinaath Kannabiran, Jeffrey Bardzell, and Shaowen Bardzell. 2011. How HCI talks about sexuality: discursive strategies, blind spots, and opportunities for future research. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Vancouver BC Canada, 695–704. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979043>
- [116] Helena Karasti. 2014. Infrastructuring in participatory design. In *The Participatory Design Conference (PDC)*. 141–150. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2661435.2661450>
- [117] Helena Karasti and Karen S. Baker. 2004. Infrastructuring for the long-term: Ecological information management. *The Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS)* 37, C (2004), 305–314. <https://doi.org/10.1109/hicss.2004.1265077>
- [118] Helena Karasti, Volkmar Pipek, and Geoffrey C. Bowker. 2018. An Afterword to 'Infrastructuring and Collaborative Design'. *The Journal of Computer Supported Cooperative Work (JCSW)* 27, 2 (2018), 267–289. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-017-9305-x>
- [119] Helena Karasti and Anna Liisa Syrjänen. 2004. Artful infrastructuring in two cases of community PD. In *The Participatory Design Conference (PDC)*. 20–30. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1011870.1011874>
- [120] Elizabeth Kazianas, Michael S. Klinkman, and Mark S. Ackerman. 2019. Precarious interventions: Designing for ecologies of care. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359215>
- [121] Irni Eliana Khairuddin, Corina Sas, and Chris Speed. 2019. BlocKit: A Physical Kit for Materializing and Designing for Blockchain Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1449–1462. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3322276.3322370>
- [122] Khalid S. Khan, Regina Kunz, Jos Kleijnen, and Gerd Antes. 2003. Five steps to conducting a systematic review. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 96, 3 (2003), 118–121. <https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.96.3.118>
- [123] Vera Khovanskaya, Eric P S Baumer, Dan Cosley, Stephen Volda, and Geri Gay. 2013. "Everybody Knows What You're Doing": A Critical Design Approach to Personal Informatics. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 3403–3412. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2466467>
- [124] Barbara Kitchenham. 2004. *Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews*.
- [125] Daniel Klug, Christopher Bogart, and James D Herbsleb. 2021. "They Can Only Ever Guide": How an Open Source Software Community Uses Roadmaps to Coordinate Effort. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (apr 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449232>

- [126] Matthias Korn and Amy Volda. 2015. Creating Friction: Infrastructuring Civic Engagement in Everyday Life. In *the Decennial Aarhus Conference on Critical Alternatives*, Vol. 1. 12. <https://doi.org/10.7146/aaahcc.v1i1.21198>
- [127] Matthias Korn and Susann Wagenknecht. 2017. Friction in Arenas of Repair: Hacking, Security Research, and Mobile Phone Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2475–2488. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2998181.2998308>
- [128] Sandjar Kozubaev and Carl DiSalvo. 2021. Cracking Public Space Open. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445730>
- [129] Udaya Lakshmi, Megan Hofmann, and Kelly Mack. 2021. Medical maker response to covid-19: Distributed manufacturing infrastructure for stopgap protective equipment. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445395>
- [130] Brian Larkin. 2013. The politics and poetics of infrastructure. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 42 (2013), 327–343. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-092412-155522>
- [131] Ahreum Lee, Austin L. Toombs, Ingrid Erickson, David Nemer, Yu Shen Ho, Eunhyung Jo, and Zhuang Guo. 2019. The social infrastructure of Co-spaces: Home, work, and sociable places for digital nomads. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359244>
- [132] Charlotte P Lee, Matthew J Bietz, Katie Derthick, and Drew Paine. 2012. A Sociotechnical Exploration of Infrastructural Middleware Development. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1347–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2145204.2145404>
- [133] Charlotte P. Lee, Paul Dourish, and Gloria Mark. 2006. The Human Infrastructure of Cyberinfrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. 483–492. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1180875.1180950>
- [134] Seolha Lee and Paul Dourish. 2024. Reconfiguring data relations: Institutional dynamics around data in local governance. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW2 (Nov. 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3686959> Number of pages: 28 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 420 tex.issue_date: November 2024.
- [135] Zi Li and Bonnie Nardi. 2021. “There Should Be More Than One Voice in a Healthy Society” : Infrastructural Violence and Totalitarian Computing in China. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW2 (2021).
- [136] Ann Light and Jo Briggs. 2017. Crowdfunding Platforms and the Design of Paying Publics. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 797–809. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025979>
- [137] Tengfei Liu, Xianghua Ding, Silvia Lindtner, Tun Lu, and Ning Gu. 2013. The Collective Infrastructural Work of Electricity: Exploring Feedback in a Prepaid University Dorm in China. In *The ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 295–304. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2493432.2493497>
- [138] Alex Jiahong Lu, Shruti Sannon, Cameron Moy, Savana Brewer, Jaye Green, Kisha N Jackson, Daivon Reeder, Camaria Wafer, Mark S. Ackerman, and Tawanna R Dillahunt. 2023. Participatory noticing through photovoice: Engaging arts- and community-based approaches in design research. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM designing interactive systems conference (Dis '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2489–2508. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3563657.3596041> Number of pages: 20 Place: ;conf-loc; , ;city;Pittsburgh;city; , ;state;PA;state; , ;country;USA;country; , ;/conf-loc; .
- [139] Alex Jiahong Lu, Shruti Sannon, Cameron Moy, Savana Brewer, Jaye Green, Kisha N. Jackson, Daivon Reeder, Camaria Wafer, Mark S. Ackerman, and Tawanna R. Dillahunt. 2023. Shifting from surveillance-as-safety to safety-through-noticing: a photovoice study with eastside detroit residents. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581474> Number of pages: 19 Place: ;conf-loc; , ;city;Hamburg;city; , ;country;Germany;country; , ;/conf-loc; tex.articleno: 209.
- [140] Thomas Ludwig, Alexander Boden, and Volkmar Pipek. 2017. 3D Printers as Sociable Technologies: Taking Appropriation Infrastructures to the Internet of Things. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 24, 2 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3007205>
- [141] Thomas Ludwig, Volkmar Pipek, and Peter Tolmie. 2018. Designing for collaborative infrastructuring: Supporting resonance activities. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (2018), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274382>
- [142] Thomas Ludwig, Oliver Stickel, Alexander Boden, and Volkmar Pipek. 2014. Towards Sociable Technologies: An Empirical Study on Designing Appropriation Infrastructures for 3D Printing. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 835–844. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2598528>
- [143] Jandy Luik, Jenna Ng, and Jonathan Hook. 2018. “More than Just Space”: Designing to Support Assemblage in Virtual Creative Hubs. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1269–1281. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196758>
- [144] Airong Luo, Margaret Ann Murphy, and Ted Hanss. 2012. Human Infrastructure as Process and Effect: Its Impact on Individual Scientists’ Participation in International Collaboration. In *The ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (GROUP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 45–54. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2389176.2389184>
- [145] Peter Lyle, Mariacristina Sciannamblo, and Maurizio Teli. 2018. Fostering Commonfare. Infrastructuring Autonomous Social Collaboration. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174026>

- [146] Yao Lyu and John M Carroll. 2022. Cultural Influences on Chinese Citizens' Adoption of Digital Contact Tracing: A Human Infrastructure Perspective. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517572>
- [147] Yao Lyu and John M. Carroll. 2024. "Because Some Sighted People, They Don't Know What the Heck You're Talking About:" A Study of Blind TikTokers' Infrastructuring Work to Build Independence. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 8, CSCW (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637297>
- [148] Scott D. Mainwaring, Michele F. Chang, and Ken Anderson. 2004. Infrastructures and their discontents: Implications for ubicomp. In *The International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp)*, Vol. 3205. 418–432. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-30119-6_25
- [149] Stina Matthiesen and Pernille Bjorn. 2015. Why Replacing Legacy Systems Is So Hard in Global Software Development: An Information Infrastructure Perspective. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 876–890. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675232>
- [150] Jessica McLearn, Rikke Bjerg Jensen, and Reem Talhouk. 2024. Security patchworking in lebanon: Infrastructuring across failing infrastructures. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637397> Number of pages: 26 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 120 tex.issue_date: April 2024.
- [151] Torbjørn Meum. 2012. Electronic Medication Management: A Socio-Technical Change Process in Clinical Practice. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 877–886. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2145204.2145335>
- [152] Marius Mikalsen and Eric Monteiro. 2018. Data Handling in Knowledge Infrastructures: A Case Study from Oil Exploration. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (nov 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274392>
- [153] Aparna Moitra, Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed, and Priyank Chandra. 2021. Parsing the 'Me' in MeToo: Sexual Harassment, Social Media, and Justice Infrastructures. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (2021), 1–34.
- [154] Rolf Molich. 2001. Ethics in HCI. In *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA)*. 217–218. <https://doi.org/10.1145/634067.634197>
- [155] Richard Mortier, Tom Rodden, Peter Tolmie, Tom Lodge, Robert Spencer, Andy Crabtree, Joe Sventek, and Alexandros Koliousis. 2012. Homework: Putting Interaction into the Infrastructure. In *The Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2380116.2380143>
- [156] Richard Mortier, Tom Rodden, Peter Tolmie, Tom Lodge, Robert Spencer, Andy Crabtree, Joe Sventek, and Alexandros Koliousis. 2012. Homework: Putting Interaction into the Infrastructure. In *The Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2380116.2380143>
- [157] Preeti Mudliar. 2018. Public WiFi is for Men and Mobile Internet is for Women: Interrogating Politics of Space and Gender around WiFi Hotspots. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (nov 2018), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274395>
- [158] Dave Murray-Rust, Chris Elsdén, Bettina Nissen, Ella Tallyn, Larissa Pschetz, and Chris Speed. 2022. Blockchain and Beyond: Understanding Blockchains through Prototypes and Public Engagement. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3503462>
- [159] Dave Murray-Rust, Chris Elsdén, Bettina Nissen, Ella Tallyn, Larissa Pschetz, and Chris Speed. 2023. Blockchain and beyond: Understanding blockchains through prototypes and public engagement. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 29, 5 (Jan. 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3503462> Number of pages: 73 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 41 tex.issue_date: October 2022.
- [160] Andrew B Neang, Will Sutherland, Michael W Beach, and Charlotte P Lee. 2021. Data Integration as Coordination: The Articulation of Data Work in an Ocean Science Collaboration. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4, CSCW3 (jan 2021), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3432955>
- [161] Andrew B. Neang, Will Sutherland, David Ribes, and Charlotte P. Lee. 2023. Organizing oceanographic infrastructure: The work of making a software pipeline repurposable. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 7, CSCW1 (April 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3579512> Number of pages: 18 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 79 tex.issue_date: April 2023.
- [162] Matti Nelimarkka. 2019. A Review of Research on Participation in Democratic Decision-Making Presented at SIGCHI Conferences. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359241>
- [163] Laura J Neumann and Susan Leigh Star. 1996. Making Infrastructure : The Dream of a Common Language. In *The Participatory Design Conference (PDC)*. 231–240.
- [164] Giovanna Nunes Vilaza, Kevin Doherty, Darragh McCashin, David Coyle, Jakob Bardram, and Marguerite Barry. 2022. A Scoping Review of Ethics Across SIGCHI. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. 137–154. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3532106.3533511>
- [165] Jacki O'Neill, David Martin, Tommaso Colombino, Frederic Roulland, and Jutta Willamowski. 2008. Colour Management is a Socio-Technical Problem. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 599–608. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1460563.1460657>
- [166] Drew Paine and Charlotte P Lee. 2017. "Who Has Plots?": Contextualizing Scientific Software, Practice, and Visualizations. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 1, CSCW (dec 2017), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3134720>
- [167] Joyojeet Pal, Priyank Chandra, Terence O'neill, Maura Youngman, Jasmine Jones, Ji Hye Song, William Strayer, and Ludmila Ferrari. 2016. An accessibility infrastructure for the global south. In *The ACM International Conference of Information and Communication Technologies on Development (ICTD)*, Vol. 03-06-June. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2909609.2909666>

- [168] Irene V Pasquetto, Alberto F Olivieri, Luca Tacchetti, Gianni Riotta, and Alessandra Spada. 2022. Disinformation as Infrastructure: Making and Maintaining the QAnon Conspiracy on Italian Digital Media. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 6, CSCW1 (apr 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3512931>
- [169] Irene V Pasquetto, Ashley E Sands, Peter T Darch, and Christine L Borgman. 2016. Open Data in Scientific Settings: From Policy to Practice. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1585–1596. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858543>
- [170] Shreyasha Paudel, Wendy Norris, and Robert Soden. 2024. Aftermath: Infrastructure, resources, and organizational adaptation in the wake of disaster. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637294> Number of pages: 24 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 17 tex.issue_date: April 2024.
- [171] Firaz Peer and Carl DiSalvo. 2019. Workshops as Boundary Objects for Data Infrastructure Literacy and Design. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1363–1375. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3322276.3322330>
- [172] Firaz Peer and Carl DiSalvo. 2022. The Work of Infrastructural Bricoleurs in Building Civic Data Dashboards. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 6, CSCW1 (apr 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3512971>
- [173] Sachin R Pendse, Faisal M Lalani, Munmun De Choudhury, Amit Sharma, Neha Kumar, Georgia Tech, Amit Sharma, and Neha Kumar. 2020. "Like Shock Absorbers": Understanding the Human Infrastructures of Technology-Mediated Mental Health Support. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376465>
- [174] Sachin R. Pendse, Talie Massachi, Jalehsadat Mahdavi Moghaddam, Jenna Butler, Jina Suh, and Mary Czerwinski. 2024. Towards inclusive futures for worker wellbeing. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637414> Number of pages: 32 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 137 tex.issue_date: April 2024.
- [175] Laura R Pina, Carmen Gonzalez, Carolina Nieto, Wendy Roldan, Edgar Onofre, and Jason C Yip. 2018. How Latino Children in the U.S. Engage in Collaborative Online Information Problem Solving with Their Families. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (nov 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274409>
- [176] Volkmar Pipek, Helena Karasti, and Geoffrey C. Bowker. 2017. A Preface to 'Infrastructuring and Collaborative Design'. *The Journal of Computer Supported Cooperative Work (JCSW)* 26, 1-2 (2017), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-017-9271-3>
- [177] Volkmar Pipek, Volker Wulf, Paul Edwards, Geoffrey C Bowker, Steven Jackson, and Robin Williams. 2009. Infrastructuring : Toward an Integrated Perspective on the Design and Use of Information Technology. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems* 10, 5 (2009), 447–473. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00195>
- [178] Luke Pittaway. 2011. Systematic literature reviews. In *The SAGE Dictionary of Qualitative Management Research*, Richard Thorpe and Robin Holt (Eds.). 217–218. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1054773803238736>
- [179] Jean Christophe Plantin, Carl Lagoze, Paul N. Edwards, and Christian Sandvig. 2018. Infrastructure studies meet platform studies in the age of Google and Facebook. *New Media and Society* 20, 1 (2018), 293–310. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816661553>
- [180] Erika Shehan Poole. 2012. Interacting with Infrastructure: A Case for Breaching Experiments in Home Computing Research. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 759–768. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2145204.2145319>
- [181] Sebastian Prost, Irina Pavlovskaya, Kahina Meziant, Vasilis Vlachokyriakos, and Clara Crivellaro. 2021. Contact Zones: Designing for More-than-Human Food Relations. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (apr 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449121>
- [182] Sebastian Prost, Vasilis Vlachokyriakos, Jane Midgley, Graeme Heron, Kahina Meziant, and Clara Crivellaro. 2019. Infrastructuring Food Democracy: The Formation of a Local Food Hub in the Context of Socio-Economic Deprivation. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (nov 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359159>
- [183] Rida Qadri. 2021. What' s in a Network ? Infrastructures of Mutual Aid for Digital Platform Workers during COVID-19. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW2 (oct 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3479563>
- [184] Ravihansa Rajapakse, Margot Brereton, and Laurianne Sitbon. 2018. Design Artefacts to Support People with a Disability to Build Personal Infrastructures. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 277–288. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196749>
- [185] David P Randall, E Ilana Diamant, and Charlotte P Lee. 2015. Creating Sustainable Cyberinfrastructures. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1759–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702216>
- [186] Prerna Ravi, Azra Ismail, and Neha Kumar. 2021. The Pandemic Shift to Remote Learning under Resource Constraints. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW2 (2021).
- [187] David Ribes. 2014. Ethnography of Scaling, or, How to a Fit a National Research Infrastructure in the Room. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 158–170. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531624>
- [188] David Ribes. 2014. The kernel of a research infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 574–587. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531700>
- [189] David Ribes. 2017. Notes on the Concept of Data Interoperability: Cases from an Ecology of AIDS Research Infrastructures. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1514–1526. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2998181.2998344>

- [190] David Ribes and Thomas A. Finholt. 2007. Tensions across the Scales: Planning Infrastructure for the Long-Term. In *The ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (GROUP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1316624.1316659>
- [191] David Ribes and Thomas A. Finholt. 2008. Representing Community: Knowing Users in the Face of Changing Constituencies. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1460563.1460581>
- [192] David Ribes and Charlotte P. Lee. 2010. Sociotechnical studies of cyberinfrastructure and e-research: Current themes and future trajectories. *The Journal of Computer Supported Cooperative Work (JCSCW)* 19, 3-4 (2010), 231–244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-010-9120-0>
- [193] Olivia K Richards, Gabriela Marcu, and Robin N Brewer. 2021. Hugs , Bible Study , and Speakeasies : Designing for Older Adults ' Multimodal Connectedness. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. 815–831.
- [194] Mohammad Rashidujaman Rifat, Hasan Mahmud Prottoy, and Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed. 2022. Putting the Waz on Social Media: Infrastructuring Online Islamic Counterpublic through Digital Sermons in Bangladesh. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3502006>
- [195] Tom A Rodden, Joel E Fischer, Nadia Pantidi, Khaled Bachour, and Stuart Moran. 2013. At Home with Agents: Exploring Attitudes towards Future Smart Energy Infrastructures. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1173–1182. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2466152>
- [196] Yvonne Rogers. 2012. *HCI Theory: Classical, Modern, and Contemporary*. Springer International Publishing, Cham. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-02197-8>
- [197] Daniela K Rosner and Morgan Ames. 2014. Designing for Repair? Infrastructures and Materialities of Breakdown. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 319–331. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531692>
- [198] Gloire Rubambiza, Phoebe Sengers, and Hakim Weatherspoon. 2022. Seamless Visions, Seamful Realities: Anticipating Rural Infrastructural Fragility in Early Design of Digital Agriculture. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517579>
- [199] Gloire Rubambiza, Phoebe Sengers, Hakim Weatherspoon, and Jen Liu. 2024. Seam work and simulacra of societal impact in networking research: a critical technical practice approach. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '24)*. Association for Computing Machinery, Honolulu, HI, USA and New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642337> Number of pages: 19 tex.articleno: 882.
- [200] Azhagu Meena S P, Palashi Vaghela, and Joyojeet Pal. 2022. Counting to be counted: Anganwadi workers and digital infrastructures of ambivalent care. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555177> Number of pages: 36 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 286 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [201] Dina Sabie and Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed. 2019. Moving into a technology land: Exploring the challenges for the Refugees in Canada in Accessing its Computerized Infrastructures. In *The ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies (COMPASS)*. 218–233. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3314344.3332481>
- [202] Dina Salah, Richard F. Paige, and Paul Cairns. 2014. A systematic literature review for Agile development processes and user centred design integration. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2601248.2601276>
- [203] Niloufar Salehi, Lilly C Irani, Michael S Bernstein, Ali Alkhatib, Eva Ogbe, Kristy Milland, and Clickhappier. 2015. We Are Dynamo: Overcoming Stalling and Friction in Collective Action for Crowd Workers. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1621–1630. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702508>
- [204] Nithya Sambasivan, Nimmi Rangaswamy, Ed Cutrell, and Bonnie Nardi. 2009. Ubicomp4D: Infrastructure and Interaction for International Development—the Case of Urban Indian Slums. In *The ACM International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1620545.1620570>
- [205] Nithya Sambasivan and Thomas Smyth. 2010. The Human Infrastructure of ICTD. In *The International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development (ICTD)*. 1–9.
- [206] Pedro Sanches, Axel Janson, Pavel Karpashevich, Camille Nadal, Chengcheng Qu, Claudia Daudén Roquet, Muhammad Umair, Charles Windlin, Gavin Doherty, Kristina Höök, and Corina Sas. 2019. HCI and Affective Health: Taking stock of a decade of studies and charting future research directions. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300475>
- [207] Laura Scheepmaker, Kay Kender, Christopher Frauenberger, and Geraldine Fitzpatrick. 2021. Leaving the field: Designing a socio-material toolkit for teachers to continue to design technology with children. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445462>
- [208] John S. Seberger, Ike Obi, Mariem Loukil, William Liao, David J. Wild, and Sameer Patil. 2022. Speculative vulnerability: Uncovering the temporalities of vulnerability in people's experiences of the pandemic. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555586> Number of pages: 27 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 485 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [209] Joseph Seering, Felicia Ng, Zheng Yao, and Geoff Kaufman. 2018. Applications of social identity theory to research and design in social computing. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274771>

- [210] Bryan Semaan. 2019. 'Routine Infrastructuring' as 'Building Everyday Resilience with Technology': When Disruption Becomes Ordinary. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (nov 2019), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359175>
- [211] Bryan Semaan and Gloria Mark. 2011. Technology-mediated social arrangements to resolve breakdowns in infrastructure during ongoing disruption. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 18, 4 (2011), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2063231.2063235>
- [212] Bryan C. Semaan, Lauren M. Britton, and Bryan Dosono. 2016. Transition Resilience with ICTs: 'Identity Awareness' in Veteran Re-Integration. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2882–2894. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858109>
- [213] Riyaj Shaikh, Anubha Singh, Barry Brown, and Airi Lampinen. 2024. Not just a dot on the map: Food delivery workers as infrastructure. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '24)*. Association for Computing Machinery, Honolulu, HI, USA and New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3641918> Number of pages: 15 tex.articleno: 385.
- [214] Sumita Sharma, Tero Avellan, Juhani Linna, Krishnaveni Achary, Markku Turunen, Jaakko Hakulinen, and Blessin Varkey. 2020. Socio-Technical Aspirations for Children with Special Needs. *ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS)* 13, 3 (aug 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3396076>
- [215] Hong Shen, Cori Faklaris, Haojian Jin, Laura Dabbish, and Jason I. Hong. 2020. 'I Can't even Buy Apples if I Don't Use Mobile Pay?': When Mobile Payments Become Infrastructural in China. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4, CSCW (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3415241>
- [216] Line Silsand and Gunnar Ellingsen. 2016. Complex Decision-Making in Clinical Practice. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 993–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2819952>
- [217] Ellen Simpson, Samantha Dalal, and Bryan Semaan. 2023. "Hey, can you add captions?": The critical infrastructuring practices of neurodiverse people on TikTok. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 7, CSCW1 (April 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3579490> Number of pages: 27 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 57 tex.issue_date: April 2023.
- [218] Ranjit Singh and Steven Jackson. 2021. Seeing Like an Infrastructure: Low-Resolution Citizens and the Aadhaar Identification Project. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW2 (oct 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3476056>
- [219] Ranjit Singh and Steven J. Jackson. 2017. From Margins to Seams: Imbrication, Inclusion, and Torque in the Aadhaar Identification Project. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, Vol. 2017-May. 4776–4788. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025910>
- [220] Robert Soden and Nate Kauffman. 2019. Infrastructuring the Imaginary. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300516>
- [221] Robert Soden and Austin Lord. 2018. Mapping Silences, Reconfiguring Loss: Practices of Damage Assessment and Repair in Post-Earthquake Nepal. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (nov 2018), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274430>
- [222] Robert Soden and Leysia Palen. 2016. Infrastructure in the Wild: What Mapping in Post-Earthquake Nepal Reveals about Infrastructural Emergence. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2796–2807. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858545>
- [223] Susan Leigh Star. 1999. The ethnography of infrastructure. *American Behavioral Scientist* 43, 3 (1999), 377–391. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00027649921955326>
- [224] Susan Leigh Star and Geoffrey C. Bowker. 2006. How to Infrastructure. In *Handbook of New Media: Social Shaping and Social Consequences of ICTs*. 230–245. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446211304>
- [225] Susan Leigh Star and Karen Ruhleder. 1996. Steps Toward an Ecology of Infrastructure: Design and Access for Large Information Spaces. *Information Systems Research* 7, 1 (1996), 111–134. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.7.1.111>
- [226] Susan Leigh Star and Anselm Strauss. 1999. Layers of Silence, Arenas of Voice: The Ecology of Visible and Invisible Work. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)* 8, 1-2 (March 1999), 9–30. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008651105359>
- [227] Evropi Stefanidi, Marit Bentvelzen, Paweł W. Woźniak, Thomas Kosch, Mikołaj P. Woźniak, Thomas Mildner, Stefan Schneegass, Heiko Müller, and Jasmin Niess. 2023. Literature Reviews in HCI: A Review of Reviews. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Hamburg Germany, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581332>
- [228] Stephanie B Steinhardt. 2016. Breaking Down While Building Up: Design and Decline in Emerging Infrastructures. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2198–2208. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858420>
- [229] Stephanie B Steinhardt and Steven J Jackson. 2014. Reconciling Rhythms: Plans and Temporal Alignment in Collaborative Scientific Work. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 134–145. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531736>
- [230] Stephanie B Steinhardt and Steven J Jackson. 2015. Anticipation Work: Cultivating Vision in Collective Practice. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 443–453. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675298>
- [231] Zhaoyuan Su, Lu He, Sunit P Jariwala, Kai Zheng, and Yunan Chen. 2022. "What is your envisioned future?": Toward human-AI enrichment in data work of asthma care. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555157> Number of pages: 28 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 267 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [232] Sharifa Sultana, Syed Ishtiaque Ahmed, and Susan R Fussell. 2019. "Parar-Daktar Understands My Problems Better": Disentangling the Challenges to Designing Better Access to Healthcare in Rural Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (nov 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359270>

- [233] Ed Summers and Ricardo Punzalan. 2017. Bots, Seeds and People: Web Archives as Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 821–834. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2998181.2998345>
- [234] Will Sutherland and Mohammad Hossein Jarrahi. 2017. The gig economy and information infrastructure: The case of the digital nomad community. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 1, CSCW (2017), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3134732>
- [235] Charlotte Tang, Yunan Chen, Bryan C Semaan, and Jahmeilah A Roberson. 2015. Restructuring Human Infrastructure: The Impact of EHR Deployment in a Volunteer-Dependent Clinic. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 649–661. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675277>
- [236] Nick Taylor, Loraine Clarke, Martin Skelly, and Sara Nevay. 2018. Strategies for Engaging Communities in Creating Physical Civic Technologies. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174081>
- [237] Nada Terzimehić, Renate Häuslschmid, Heinrich Hussmann, and M. C. Schraefel. 2019. A review and Analysis of mindfulness research in HCI framing current lines of research and future opportunities. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300687>
- [238] Andrea K Thomer, Michael Bernard Twidale, and Matthew J Yoder. 2018. Transforming Taxonomic Interfaces: "Arm?S Length" Cooperative Work and the Maintenance of a Long-Lived Classification System. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (nov 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274442>
- [239] Emily Tseng, Mehrnaz Sabet, Rosanna Bellini, Harkiran Kaur Sodhi, Thomas Ristenpart, and Nicola Dell. 2022. Care Infrastructures for Digital Security in Intimate Partner Violence. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3502038>
- [240] Maarten Van Mechelen, Gökçe Elif Baykal, Christian Dindler, Eva Eriksson, and Ole Sejer Iversen. 2020. 18 Years of ethics in child-computer interaction research: A systematic literature review. In *The ACM Interaction Design and Children Conference (IDC)*. 161–183. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3392063.3394407>
- [241] Rajesh Veeraraghavan. 2021. Cat and Mouse Game : Patching Bureaucratic Work Relations by Patching Technologies. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (2021), 1–21.
- [242] Sukrit Venkatagiri, Virginia Tech, Kurt Luther, and Virginia Tech. 2021. CrowdSolve : Managing Tensions in an Expert-Led Crowdsourced Investigation. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (2021), 1–30.
- [243] Megan Venn-Wycherley and Ahmed Kharrufa. 2019. HOPE for Computing Education: Towards the Infrastructuring of Support for University-School Partnerships. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300729>
- [244] Janet Vertesi. 2014. Seamful Spaces: Heterogeneous Infrastructures in Interaction. *Science, Technology, and Human Values* 39, 2 (2014), 264–284. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243913516012>
- [245] Santiago Villarreal-Narvaez, Jean Vanderdonckt, Radu Daniel Vatavu, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2020. A systematic review of gesture elicitation studies: What can we learn from 216 studies?. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. 855–872. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3357236.3395511>
- [246] Jeremy E Viny, Lucy Copper, and Audrey Desjardins. 2021. Examining Opaque Infrastructures with the Desktop Odometer. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*, Vol. 2021. 1941–1953.
- [247] Vasillis Vlachokyriakos, Clara Crivellaro, Pete Wright, and Patrick Olivier. 2018. Infrastructuring the Solidarity Economy: Unpacking Strategies and Tactics in Designing Social Innovation. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174055>
- [248] Amy Volda, Zheng Yao, and Matthias Korn. 2015. (Infra)Structures of Volunteering. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1704–1716. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675153>
- [249] Susann Wagenknecht and Matthias Korn. 2016. Hacking as Transgressive Infrastructuring: Mobile Phone Networks and the German Chaos Computer Club. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*, Vol. 27. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1104–1117. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2820027>
- [250] Kelly B. Wagman, Elana B. Blinder, Kevin Song, Antoine Vignon, Solomon Dworkin, Tamara Clegg, Jessica Vitak, and Marshini Chetty. 2023. "We picked community over privacy": Privacy and Security Concerns Emerging from Remote Learning Sociotechnical Infrastructure During COVID-19. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 7, CSCW2 (Sept. 2023), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3610036>
- [251] Qi Wang, Xianghua Ding, Tun Lu, Huanhuan Xia, and Ning Gu. 2012. Infrastructural Experiences: An Empirical Study of an Online Arcade Game Platform in China. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 583–592. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2145204.2145294>
- [252] Asra Sakeen Wani, Ishika Joshi, and Pushpendra Singh. 2024. Navigating the job-seeking journey: Challenges and opportunities for digital employment support in kashmir. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637375> Number of pages: 28 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 98 tex.issue_date: April 2024.
- [253] Asra Sakeen Wani, Divyanshu Kumar Singh, and Pushpendra Singh. 2022. "Hartal (Strike) Happens Here Everyday": Understanding Impact of Disruption on Education in Kashmir. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing

- Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3502126>
- [254] Mark Weiser. 1993. Some computer science issues in ubiquitous computing. *Communications of the ACM (CACM)* 36, 7 (1993), 75–84.
- [255] Mark Weiser. 1994. Creating the Invisible Interface (Invited Talk). In *The Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*.
- [256] Lauren Wilcox, Renee Shelby, Rajesh Veeraraghavan, Oliver L. Haimson, Gabriela Cruz Erickson, Michael Turken, and Rebecca Gulotta. 2023. Infrastructuring care: How trans and non-binary people meet health and well-being needs through technology. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581040> Number of pages: 17 Place: `{conf-loc}`, `{city}` Hamburg/`{city}`, `{country}` Germany/`{country}`, `{conf-loc}` tex.articleno: 489.
- [257] Claes Wohlin. 2014. Guidelines for snowballing in systematic literature studies and a replication in software engineering. In *the International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering (EASE)*. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2601248.2601268>
- [258] Richmond Y Wong, Vera Khovanskaya, Sarah E Fox, Nick Merrill, and Phoebe Sengers. 2020. Infrastructural Speculations: Tactics for Designing and Interrogating Lifeworlds. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376515>
- [259] Christopher Wood, Stefan Poslad, Antonios Kaniadakis, and Jennifer Gabrys. 2017. What Lies Above: Alternative User Experiences Produced Through Focussing Attention on GNSS Infrastructure. In *The ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064757>
- [260] Susan P Wyche, Sarita Yardi Schoenebeck, and Andrea Forte. 2013. "Facebook is a Luxury": An Exploratory Study of Social Media Use in Rural Kenya. In *The ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (CSCW)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2441776.2441783>
- [261] Tian Xu, Emily Jost, Laurel H. Messer, Paul F. Cook, Gregory P Forlenza, Sriram Sankaranarayanan, Casey Fiesler, and Stephen Volda. 2024. "Obviously, nothing's gonna happen in five minutes": How adolescents and young adults infrastructure resources to learn type 1 diabetes management. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (Chi '24)*. Association for Computing Machinery, Honolulu, HI, USA and New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642612> Number of pages: 16 tex.articleno: 139.
- [262] Alyson L. Young and Wayne G. Lutters. 2017. Infrastructuring for Cross-Disciplinary Synthetic Science: Meta-Study Research in Land System Science. *The Journal of Computer Supported Cooperative Work (JCSW)* 26, 1-2 (2017), 165–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-017-9267-z>
- [263] Ben Zefeng Zhang, Oliver L. Haimson, and Michaelanne Thomas. 2022. The chinese diaspora and the attempted WeChat ban: Platform precarity, anticipated impacts, and infrastructural migration. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 6, CSCW2 (Nov. 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555122> Number of pages: 29 Place: New York, NY, USA Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery tex.articleno: 397 tex.issue_date: November 2022.
- [264] Min Zhang, Corina Sas, Zoe Lambert, and Masitah Ahmad. 2019. Designing for the Infrastructure of the Supply Chain of Malay Handwoven Songket in Terengganu. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300716>
- [265] Yixuan Zhang, Nurul Suhaimi, Nutchanon Yongsatianchot, Joseph D Gaggiano, Miso Kim, Shivani A Patel, Yifan Sun, Stacy Marsella, Jacqueline Griffin, and Andrea G Parker. 2022. Shifting Trust: Examining How Trust and Distrust Emerge, Transform, and Collapse in COVID-19 Information Seeking. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3501889>
- [266] Rui Zhou and Betsy DiSalvo. 2020. User's Role in Platform Infrastructuralization: WeChat as an Exemplar. In *The ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376201>
- [267] Ann Zimmerman and Thomas A Finholt. 2007. Growing an Infrastructure: The Role of Gateway Organizations in Cultivating New Communities of Users. In *The ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (GROUP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 239–248. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1316624.1316660>

A APPENDIX: THE LIST OF PRIMARY STUDIES

Title	Year	Publication
The human infrastructure of cyberinfrastructure [133]	2006	CSCW
Tensions across the Scales: Planning Infrastructure for the Long-Term [190]	2007	GROUP
Growing an Infrastructure: The Role of Gateway Organizations in Cultivating New Communities of Users [267]	2007	GROUP
Colour management is a socio-technical problem [165]	2008	CSCW
Representing Community: Knowing Users in the Face of Changing Constituencies [191]	2008	CSCW
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
Ubicomp4D: Infrastructure and Interaction for International Development—the Case of Urban Indian Slums [204]	2009	UbiComp
Shopping for Sharpies in Seattle: Mundane Infrastructures of Transnational Design [90]	2010	ICIC
Social Regulation in an Online Game: Uncovering the Problematics of Code [1]	2010	GROUP
The Infrastructure Problem in HCI [62]	2010	CHI
SELECT * FROM USER: Infrastructure and Socio-Technical Representation [32]	2011	CSCW
Collaborative Rhythm: Temporal Dissonance and Alignment in Collaborative Scientific Work [101]	2011	CSCW
Technology-mediated social arrangements to resolve breakdowns in infrastructure during ongoing disruption [211]	2011	TOCHI
A Sociotechnical Exploration of Infrastructural Middleware Development [132]	2012	CSCW
The Sociality of Fieldwork: Designing for Social Science Research Practice and Collaboration [12]	2012	GROUP
Sustaining the Development of Cyberinfrastructure: An Organization Adapting to Change [17]	2012	CSCW
Repair Worlds: Maintenance, Repair, and ICT for Development in Rural Namibia [100]	2012	CHI
Human infrastructure as process and effect: its impact on individual scientists’ participation in international collaboration [144]	2012	GROUP
Electronic medication management: a socio-technical change process in clinical practice [151]	2012	CSCW
Infrastructural Experiences: An Empirical Study of an Online Arcade Game Platform in China [251]	2012	CSCW
Interacting with Infrastructure: A Case for Breaching Experiments in Home Computing Research [180]	2012	CSCW
Homework: Putting Interaction into the Infrastructure [155]	2012	UIST
At Home with Agents: Exploring Attitudes towards Future Smart Energy Infrastructures [195]	2013	CHI
The Collective Infrastructural Work of Electricity: Exploring Feedback in a Prepaid University Dorm in China [137]	2013	UbiComp
Turkopticon: Interrupting worker invisibility in Amazon Mechanical Turk [91]	2013	CHI
Infrastructure and Vocation: Field, Calling and Computation in Ecology [98]	2013	CHI
“Facebook is a Luxury”: An Exploratory Study of Social Media Use in Rural Kenya [260]	2013	CSCW
“Everybody Knows What You’re Doing”: A Critical Design Approach to Personal Informatics [123]	2013	CHI
Designing for Repair? Infrastructures and Materialities of Breakdown [197]	2014	CSCW
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
Learning, innovation, and sustainability among mobile phone repairers in Dhaka, Bangladesh [97]	2014	DIS
The role of data in aligning the “unique identity” infrastructure in India [108]	2014	CSCW
The kernel of a research infrastructure [188]	2014	CSCW
Reconciling Rhythms: Plans and Temporal Alignment in Collaborative Scientific Work [229]	2014	CSCW
Avocados Crossing Borders: The Missing Common Information Infrastructure for International Trade [104]	2014	CABS
Towards Sociable Technologies: An Empirical Study on Designing Appropriation Infrastructures for 3D Printing [142]	2014	DIS
Ethnography of Scaling, or, How to Fit a National Research Infrastructure in the Room [187]	2014	CSCW
A Story without End: Writing the Residual into Descriptive Infrastructure [66]	2014	DIS
Creating Sustainable Cyberinfrastructures [185]	2015	CHI
(Infra)Structures of Volunteering [248]	2015	CSCW
Standards and/as Innovation: Protocols, Creativity, and Interactive Systems Development in Ecology [99]	2015	CHI
Why Replacing Legacy Systems Is So Hard in Global Software Development: An Information Infrastructure Perspective [149]	2015	CSCW
Anticipation Work: Cultivating Vision in Collective Practice [230]	2015	CSCW
Restructuring Human Infrastructure: The Impact of EHR Deployment in a Volunteer-Dependent Clinic [235]	2015	CSCW
Residual mobilities: Infrastructural displacement and post-colonial computing in Bangladesh [3]	2015	CHI
We Are Dynamo: Overcoming Stalling and Friction in Collective Action for Crowd Workers [203]	2015	CHI
Caring for Batteries: Maintaining Infrastructures and Mobile Social Contexts [68]	2015	MobileHCI
Hacking as transgressive infrastructuring: Mobile phonenetworks and the German chaos computer club [249]	2016	CSCW
Motivating Invisible Contributions: Framing Volunteer Classification Design in a Fan-fiction Repository [33]	2016	GROUP
Transition Resilience with ICTs [212]	2016	CHI
Convivial Decay: Entangled Lifetimes in a Geriatric Infrastructure [47]	2016	CSCW
Infrastructuring and the Challenge of Dynamic Seams in Mobile Knowledge Work [64]	2016	CSCW
Values in repair [85]	2016	CHI
Logistics as Care and Control: An Investigation into the UNICEF Supply Division [96]	2016	CHI
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
Infrastructure in the wild: What mapping in post-earthquake Nepal reveals about infrastructural emergence [222]	2016	CHI
Breaking Down While Building Up: Design and Decline in Emerging Infrastructures [228]	2016	CHI
Happenstance, strategies and tactics: Intrinsic design in a volunteer-based community [22]	2016	NordiCHI
Open Data in Scientific Settings: From Policy to Practice [169]	2016	CHI
Complex Decision-Making in Clinical Practice [216]	2016	CSCW
Technology literacy in poor infrastructure environments: Characterizing wayfinding strategies in Lebanon [4]	2016	MobileHCI
SOLE meets MOOC: Designing infrastructure for online self-organised learning with a social mission [39]	2016	DIS
On making data actionable: How activists use imperfect data to foster social change for human rights violations in Mexico [71]	2017	CSCW
"Who Has Plots?": Contextualizing Scientific Software, Practice, and Visualizations [166]	2017	CSCW
Crowdfunding Platforms and the Design of Paying Publics [136]	2017	CHI
Bots, Seeds and People: Web Archives as Infrastructure [233]	2017	CSCW
What lies above: Alternative user experiences produced through focusing attention on GNSS infrastructure [259]	2017	DIS
Growing the Blockchain Information Infrastructure [92]	2017	CHI
Infrastructure as Creative Action: Online Buying, Selling, and Delivery in Phnom Penh [95]	2017	CHI
Informality and Invisibility: Traditional Technologies as Tools for Collaboration in an Informal Market [41]	2017	CHI
Notes on the concept of data interoperability: Cases from an ecology of AIDS research infrastructures [189]	2017	CSCW
From margins to seams: Imbrication, inclusion, and torque in the Aadhaar identification project [219]	2017	CHI
Tap the "Make This Public" Button: A Design-Based Inquiry into Issue Advocacy and Digital Civics [9]	2017	CHI
3D printers as sociable technologies: Taking appropriation infrastructures to the Internet of Things [140]	2017	TOCHI
The gig economy and information infrastructure: The case of the digital nomad community [234]	2017	CSCW
Social media seamsters: Stitching platforms & audiences into local crisis infrastructure [49]	2017	CSCW
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
Enabling Polyvocality in Interactive Documentaries through “Structural Participation.” [74]	2017	CHI
Friction in Arenas of Repair: Hacking, Security Research, and Mobile Phone Infrastructure [127]	2017	CSCW
Mapping Silences, Reconfiguring Loss [221]	2018	CSCW
Data Handling in Knowledge Infrastructures: A Case Study from Oil Exploration [152]	2018	CSCW
Designing for collaborative infrastructuring: Supporting resonance activities [141]	2018	CSCW
How Latino Children in the U.S. Engage in Collaborative Online Information Problem Solving with Their Families [175]	2018	CSCW
Public WiFi is for Men and Mobile Internet is for Women: Interrogating Politics of Space and Gender around WiFi Hotspots [157]	2018	CSCW
Transforming Taxonomic Interfaces: “Arm?S Length” Cooperative Work and the Maintenance of a Long-Lived Classification System [238]	2018	CSCW
Infrastructural Inaccessibility: Tech Entrepreneurs in Occupied Palestine [20]	2018	TOCHI
Infrastructural Grind: Introducing Blockchain Technology in the Shipping Domain [93]	2018	GROUP
Stitching Infrastructures to Facilitate Telemedicine for Low-Resource Environments [42]	2018	CHI
El Paquete semanal: The week’s internet in Havana [61]	2018	CHI
“More than Just Space”: Designing to Support Assemblage in Virtual Creative Hubs [143]	2018	DIS
Design Artefacts to Support People with a Disability to Build Personal Infrastructures [184]	2018	DIS
Crowdsourcing Rural Network Maintenance and Repair via Network Messaging [102]	2018	CHI
Infrastructuring the Solidarity Economy: Unpacking Strategies and Tactics in Designing Social Innovation [247]	2018	CHI
Fostering Commonfare. Infrastructuring Autonomous Social Collaboration [145]	2018	CHI
Strategies for Engaging Communities in Creating Physical Civic Technologies [236]	2018	CHI
Trust and Technology Repair Infrastructures in the Remote Rural Philippines Navigating Urban-Rural Seams [103]	2019	CSCW
“Mothers as Candy Wrappers”: Critical infrastructure supporting the transition into motherhood [31]	2019	GROUP
Making Healthcare Infrastructure Work: Unpacking the Infrastructuring Work of Individuals [77]	2019	CHI
“Routine Infrastructuring” as “Building Everyday Resilience with Technology”: When Disruption Becomes Ordinary [210]	2019	CSCW
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
Designing for the infrastructure of the supply chain of Malay handwoven songket in Terengganu [264]	2019	CHI
Guerilla Warfare and the Use of New (and Some Old) Technology: Lessons from FARC's Armed Struggle in Colombia [52]	2019	CHI
Blockchain assemblages whiteboxing technology and transforming infrastructural imaginaries [94]	2019	CHI
Infrastructuring the imaginary how sea-level rise comes to matter in the San Francisco Bay area [220]	2019	CHI
Moving into a technology land: Exploring the challenges for the Refugees in Canada in Accessing its Computerized Infrastructures [201]	2019	COMPASS
"Parar-Daktar Understands My Problems Better": Disentangling the Challenges to Designing Better Access to Healthcare in Rural Bangladesh [232]	2019	CSCW
Infrastructuring public service transformation: Creating collaborative spaces between communities and institutions through HCI research [48]	2019	TOCHI
Infrastructuring Food Democracy: The Formation of a Local Food Hub in the Context of Socio-Economic Deprivation [182]	2019	CSCW
Precarious interventions: Designing for ecologies of care [120]	2019	CSCW
The Coerciveness of the Primary Key: Infrastructure Problems in Human Services Work [23]	2019	CSCW
HOPE for Computing Education: Towards the Infrastructuring of Support for University-School Partnerships [243]	2019	CHI
Workshops as Boundary Objects for Data Infrastructure Literacy and Design [171]	2019	DIS
Designing with Waste: A Situated Inquiry into the Material Excess of Making [53]	2019	DIS
The social infrastructure of Co-spaces: Home, work, and sociable places for digital nomads [131]	2019	CSCW
BlocKit: A Physical Kit for Materializing and Designing for Blockchain Infrastructure [121]	2019	DIS
"Like Shock Absorbers": Understanding the Human Infrastructures of Technology-Mediated Mental Health Support [173]	2020	CHI
User's Role in Platform Infrastructuralization: WeChat as an Exemplar [266]	2020	CHI
Gaming the Name: Player Strategies for Adapting to Name Constraints in Online Videogames [26]	2020	CHI PLAY
The Social Network: How People with Visual Impairment Use Mobile Phones in Kibera, Kenya [11]	2020	CHI
"I Can't even Buy Apples if i Don't Use Mobile Pay?": When Mobile Payments Become Infrastructural in China [215]	2020	CSCW
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
'Yes, I Comply!': Motivations and Practices around Research Data Management and Reuse across Scientific Fields [65]	2020	CSCW
Infrastructural Speculations: Tactics for Designing and Interrogating Lifeworlds [258]	2020	CHI
An Internet-Less World? Expected Impacts of a Complete Internet Outage with Implications for Preparedness and Design [73]	2020	GROUP
Examining Opaque Infrastructures with the Desktop Odometer [246]	2021	DIS
Breakdowns and Breakthroughs: Observing Musicians' Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic [34]	2021	CHI
Cracking Public Space Open [128]	2021	CHI
Medical maker response to covid-19: Distributed manufacturing infrastructure for stopgap protective equipment [129]	2021	CHI
Contact Zones: Designing for More-than-Human Food Relations [181]	2021	CSCW
The Labor of Maintaining and Scaling Free and Open-Source Software Projects [72]	2021	CSCW
Seeing Like an Infrastructure: Low-Resolution Citizens and the Aadhaar Identification Project [218]	2021	CSCW
"They Can Only Ever Guide": How an Open Source Software Community Uses Roadmaps to Coordinate Effort [125]	2021	CSCW
Data Integration as Coordination: The Articulation of Data Work in an Ocean Science Collaboration [160]	2021	CSCW
What's in a Network? Infrastructures of Mutual Aid for Digital Platform Workers during COVID-19 [183]	2021	CSCW
Parsing the 'Me' in # MeToo: Sexual Harassment, Social Media, and Justice Infrastructures [153]	2021	CSCW
"As a Squash Plant Grows": Social Textures of Sparse [59]	2021	TOCHI
Biographies of biometric devices: The POS machine at work in India's PDS [155]	2021	CHI
Un Grano de Arena: Infrastructural Care, Social Media Platforms, and the Venezuelan Humanitarian Crisis [60]	2021	CSCW
Hugs, Bible Study, and Speakeasies: Designing for Older Adults' Multimodal Connectedness [193]	2021	DIS
CrowdSolve: Managing Tensions in an Expert-Led Crowdsourced Investigation [242]	2021	CSCW
Cat and Mouse Game: Patching Bureaucratic Work Relations by Patching Technologies [241]	2021	CSCW
Teachers' perceptions around digital games for children in low-resource schools for the blind [88]	2021	CHI
Leaving the field: Designing a socio-material toolkit for teachers to continue to design technology with children [207]	2021	CHI
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
“There Should Be More Than One Voice in a Healthy Society” : Infrastructural Violence and Totalitarian Computing in China [135]	2021	CSCW
Infrastructuring Telehealth in (In) Formal Patient-Doctor Contexts [16]	2021	CSCW
The Flaky Accretions of Infrastructure: Sociotechnical Systems, Citizenship, and the Water Supply [111]	2021	CSCW
The Pandemic Shift to Remote Learning under Resource Constraints [186]	2021	CSCW
The Work of Infrastructural Bricoleurs in Building Civic Data Dashboards [172]	2022	CSCW
Disinformation as Infrastructure: Making and Maintaining the QAnon Conspiracy on Italian Digital Media [168]	2022	CSCW
Making Space for Cultural Infrastructure : The Breakdown and Maintenance Work of Independent Movie Theaters During Crisis [7]	2022	CHI
“Hartal (Strike) Happens Here Everyday”: Understanding Impact of Disruption on Education in Kashmir [253]	2022	CHI
The Village: Infrastructuring Community-Based Mentoring to Support Adults Experiencing Poverty [55]	2022	CHI
Seamless Visions, Seamful Realities: Anticipating Rural Infrastructural Fragility in Early Design of Digital Agriculture [198]	2022	CHI
Cultural Influences on Chinese Citizens’ Adoption of Digital Contact Tracing: A Human Infrastructure Perspective [146]	2022	CHI
Putting the Waz on Social Media: Infrastructuring Online Islamic Counterpublic through Digital Sermons in Bangladesh [194]	2022	CHI
Shifting Trust: Examining How Trust and Distrust Emerge, Transform, and Collapse in COVID-19 Information Seeking [265]	2022	CHI
Care Infrastructures for Digital Security in Intimate Partner Violence [239]	2022	CHI
Designing Flexible Longitudinal Regimens: Supporting Clinician Planning for Discontinuation of Psychiatric Drugs [105]	2022	CHI
Blockchain and Beyond: Understanding Blockchains through Prototypes and Public Engagement [158]	2022	TOCHI
"What is your envisioned future?": Toward human-AI enrichment in data work of asthma care [231]	2022	CSCW
Counting to be counted: Anganwadi workers and digital infrastructures of ambivalent care [200]	2022	CSCW
There is no app for that: Manifestations of the digital divides during COVID-19 school closures in India [54]	2022	CSCW
The Chinese diaspora and the attempted WeChat ban: Platform precarity, anticipated impacts, and infrastructural migration [263]	2022	CSCW
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
Speculative vulnerability: Uncovering the temporalities of vulnerability in people's experiences of the pandemic [208]	2022	CSCW
Human and technological infrastructures of fact-checking [112]	2022	CSCW
Nationalizing the Internet to break a protest movement: Internet shutdown and counter-appropriation in Iran of late 2019 [75]	2022	CSCW
Revolting from abroad: The formation of a lebanese transnational public [8]	2022	CSCW
Gig platforms as faux infrastructure: a case study of women beauty workers in India [6]	2022	CSCW
"We dream of climbing the ladder; to get there, we have to do our job better": Designing for Teacher Aspirations in rural Cote d'Ivoire [35]	2022	COMPASS
Blockchain and beyond: Understanding blockchains through prototypes and public engagement [159]	2023	TOCHI
Infrastructures for virtual volunteering at online music festivals [15]	2023	CSCW
Lessons learned from a comparative study of long-term action research with community design of infrastructural systems [109]	2023	CSCW
Organizing oceanographic infrastructure: The work of making a software pipeline repurposable [161]	2023	CSCW
"Hey, can you add captions?": The critical infrastructuring practices of neurodiverse people on TikTok [217]	2023	CSCW
"We picked community over privacy": Privacy and Security Concerns Emerging from Remote Learning Sociotechnical Infrastructure During COVID-19 [250]	2023	CSCW
Participatory noticing through photovoice: Engaging arts- and community-based approaches in design research [138]	2023	DIS
Understanding Human Intervention in the Platform Economy: A Case Study of an Indie Food Delivery Service [50]	2023	CHI
Infrastructural work behind the scene: a study of formalized peer-support practices for mental health [57]	2023	CHI
Shifting from surveillance-as-safety to safety-through-noticing: a photovoice study with eastside detroit residents [139]	2023	CHI
Infrastructuring care: How trans and non-binary people meet health and well-being needs through technology [256]	2023	CHI
Commoning as a strategy for HCI research and design in south asia [43]	2024	CHI
ml-machine.org: Infrastructuring a research product to disseminate AI literacy in education [18]	2024	CHI
Not just a dot on the map: Food delivery workers as infrastructure [213]	2024	CHI
"Obviously, nothing's gonna happen in five minutes": How adolescents and young adults infrastructure resources to learn type 1 diabetes management [261]	2024	CHI
Continued on next page		

– continued from previous page

Title	Year	Publication
"Vulnerable, Victimized, and Objectified": Understanding Ableist Hate and Harassment Experienced by Disabled Content Creators on Social Media [82]	2024	CHI
Seam work and simulacra of societal impact in networking research: a critical technical practice approach [199]	2024	CHI
Aftermath: Infrastructure, resources, and organizational adaptation in the wake of disaster [170]	2024	CSCW
"Because Some Sighted People, They Don't Know What the Heck You're Talking About:" A Study of Blind TikTokers' Infrastructuring Work to Build Independence [147]	2024	CSCW
Concept of operations as epistemic object: The sociotechnical design roles of a systems engineering document [110]	2024	CSCW
Entangled amid misaligned seams: Limitations to technology-mediated care for repairing infrastructural breakdowns in a youth empowerment program [44]	2024	CSCW
Infrastructuring community fridges for food commoning [106]	2024	CSCW
Navigating the job-seeking journey: Challenges and opportunities for digital employment support in kashmir [252]	2024	CSCW
Reconfiguring data relations: Institutional dynamics around data in local governance [134]	2024	CSCW
Security patchworking in lebanon: Infrastructuring across failing infrastructures [150]	2024	CSCW
Socio-digital rural resilience: An exploration of information infrastructures within and across rural villages during covid-19 [107]	2024	CSCW
Towards inclusive futures for worker wellbeing [174]	2024	CSCW