

A Lightweight Moment Retrieval System with Global Re-Ranking and Robust Adaptive Bidirectional Temporal Search

Tinh-Anh Nguyen-Nhu^{1} Huu-Loc Tran^{2*} Nguyen-Khang Le^{3*} Minh-Nhat Nguyen^{4*}
 Tien-Huy Nguyen² Hoang-Long Nguyen-Huu² Huu-Phong Phan-Nguyen²
 Huy-Thach Pham⁵ Quan Nguyen⁶ Hoang M. Le⁷ Quang-Vinh Dinh⁸

¹ Ho Chi Minh University of Technology, VNU-HCM, Vietnam

² University of Information Technology, VNU-HCM, Vietnam

³ Ho Chi Minh University of Science, VNU-HCM, Vietnam

⁴ University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh, Vietnam

⁵ Georgia State University, USA

⁶ Posts and Telecommunications Institute of Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁷ York University, Canada

⁸ AI VIETNAM Lab

22520567@gm.uit.edu.vn

Abstract

The exponential growth of digital video content has posed critical challenges in moment-level video retrieval, where existing methodologies struggle to efficiently localize specific segments within an expansive video corpus. Current retrieval systems are constrained by computational inefficiencies, temporal context limitations, and the intrinsic complexity of navigating video content. In this paper, we address these limitations through a novel Interactive Video Corpus Moment Retrieval framework that integrates a SuperGlobal Reranking mechanism and Adaptive Bidirectional Temporal Search (ABTS), strategically optimizing query similarity, temporal stability, and computational resources. By preprocessing a large corpus of videos using a keyframe extraction model and deduplication technique through image hashing, our approach provides a scalable solution that significantly reduces storage requirements while maintaining high localization precision across diverse video repositories.

1. Introduction

Recent advances in deep learning and computer vision have led to remarkable performance across a wide range

of tasks, including visual question answering, object detection, recognition, and domain adaptation [46–51]. Besides, with the rapid expansion of online video platforms, Video Corpus Moment Retrieval (VCMR) faces significant challenges, particularly in handling long videos with redundant content, leading to apply deep learning method to solve problems. VCMR involves identifying specific moments within videos from a large repository, typically combining Video Retrieval and Single Video Moment Retrieval (SVMR) [19, 34, 78, 79]. However, long videos with irrelevant segments degrade retrieval performance and increase storage resources [76]. Additionally, text-to-video models struggle to localize moments effectively, as excessive frames obscure key features [69].

Recent methods utilize keyframe-based retrieval [29, 54] to reduce processing costs, yet ignoring temporal structure hinders precise boundary detection. Moreover, retrieval noise persists due to ambiguous queries and overlapping content. Reranking techniques refine results by incorporating temporal consistency, evolving from feature matching [7, 55] to Transformer-based models [64], though computational costs remain a challenge.

To address these limitations, we propose an efficient VCMR framework that combines keyframe-based image retrieval with temporal refinement. Our method significantly reduces processing and storage costs while maintaining high localization accuracy. This work introduces the following key contributions:

*All authors contributed equally to this paper.
 This research is fully supported by AI VIETNAM [1].

- **Rerank Module:** We leverage a novel reranking mechanism called SuperGlobal Reranking [60]. By refining the initial candidate moments, the approach combines both stages of reranking into a single global stage, effectively reducing both the memory footprint and computational time without sacrificing the overall performance.
- **Temporal Search:** We propose Adaptive Bidirectional Temporal Search (ATBS), a novel method for enhancing moment retrieval by jointly optimizing query similarity and temporal stability. Unlike traditional similarity-based approaches that often suffer from boundary misalignment, ATBS employs a bidirectional search strategy to accurately identify the start and end frames of a moment. Additionally, we introduce stability weighting, which prioritizes boundaries that exhibit higher temporal coherence and consistency, ensuring a more reliable segmentation.

2. Related work

Video Corpus Moment Retrieval (VCMR) aims to locate specific video segments that align with textual queries, leveraging a variety of innovative approaches. Research spans supervised [13, 17, 27], weakly-supervised [13, 36], and zero-shot paradigms leveraging multimodal large language models [80]. Efficiency challenges are addressed through fast retrieval frameworks [17] and cross-modal common spaces. Annotation cost reduction techniques include "glance annotation" [13] and pretraining on unlabeled videos [9]. Performance improvements come from modal-specific query generation [27], transformer architectures [25], cross-modal interaction [17, 27], graph neural networks [66], reinforcement learning [68], semantic-conditioned modulation [45], boundary-aware prediction [39], sentence reconstruction [10], tree LSTM structures [65], and unified timestamp localization frameworks [81].

Video Question Answering (VideoQA) has progressed significantly, beginning with early methods that adapted image-based QA by incorporating temporal modeling, attention across frames, and memory networks to capture dynamic context [18, 22, 23, 49, 72]. Multimodal fusion strategies then grew more powerful, leveraging cross-modal co-attention mechanisms, hierarchical video representations, graph convolutional networks, and even transformer-based encoders to jointly model visual and linguistic information for improved alignment and understanding [24, 35, 53]. To handle complex reasoning, later works introduced relational modules like multi-step attention, spatio-temporal scene graphs, and neuro-symbolic frameworks [43, 62, 71, 75]. Recently, the focus has shifted to scalable, generalizable models using large-scale video-language pre-training and synthetic data, enabling unified transformer-based ar-

chitectures to achieve strong zero-shot or few-shot performance across diverse datasets, domains, and question types with minimal task-specific fine-tuning [31, 33, 34, 70, 73, 74, 77].

Interactive Video Retrieval (IVR) enables human-machine collaboration to iteratively refine video search results, addressing the semantic gap in automated methods. Early systems used relevance feedback based on low-level features [12, 57], later evolving into embedding-based models that adapt to user input across sessions [6, 20]. Language-driven IVR introduced natural language commands and follow-ups for dynamic refinement [4, 30, 37], powered by vision-language models like CLIP and VideoBERT for flexible query understanding [8, 16]. Reinforcement learning and iterative grounding further improved retrieval by modeling user intent and refining temporal-spatial scopes [3, 14, 44, 59]. Enhancements like visual dashboards, explainability, and few-shot personalization improved usability [28, 38, 52], while active learning reduced annotation costs [2, 11]. Large-scale benchmarks show IVR outperforms automated systems in precision-demanding tasks [41, 42, 61], pointing toward a future of adaptive, multimodal, feedback-driven retrieval systems. Recent systems from the Video Browser Showdown (VBS) demonstrate the growing capabilities of interactive video search. *diveXplore* [32] supports diverse multimodal queries and collaborative exploration, while *VISIONE* and *vitivr* [5, 58] leverage scalable indexing and content-based retrieval with rich query support. *Exquisitor* and *VIRET* [26, 40] enhance relevance feedback and deep model-based annotation, enabling more precise and flexible search interactions.

3. Methodology

To overcome the limitations of existing video moment retrieval systems, especially in handling long, untrimmed videos with high redundancy and weak temporal precision, we propose **GRAB** - a modular framework that integrates efficient keyframe-based search with adaptive temporal localization. Our system is designed to significantly reduce computational overhead while improving retrieval accuracy and boundary localization. As illustrated in **Figure 1**, our proposed framework comprises three core stages. First, in Data Preprocessing (**Section 3.2**), we perform shot detection and extract a compact set of keyframes using a perceptual hashing-based deduplication strategy, resulting in a storage-optimized keyframe database. Next, in Searching and Reranking (**Section 3.3**), user queries are embedded and matched against keyframe embeddings using FAISS for efficient similarity search, followed by a reranking stage that refines results through contextual feature enhancement. Finally, Temporal Search (**Section 3.4**) takes the top retrieved frames and performs bidirectional localization using

an adaptive scoring mechanism that balances semantic similarity with temporal stability to identify precise start and end timestamps.

3.1. System Overview

As depicted in **Figure 2**, our system allows a seamless search experience through a three-stage process. After the submission of the query, the system retrieves the top K keyframes laid out in a grid view, with all corresponding metadata for each keyframe being included. This initial retrieval process takes advantage of the BEiT-3 model to create fine-grained visual and semantic understanding. After retrieving the first set of keyframes, the user selects a pivot keyframe to create a temporal search from these initial results. To facilitate this important step, we have optimized the process so that the user only has to enter the first portion of their query in the box. Once the user selects a pivot keyframe, they can add the remaining text of their query for the specific purpose of searching for temporal relationships. The interface also provides moment exploration, where the user can review frames that precede and follow the pivot keyframe to establish context and continuity. In the final stage of the process, as illustrated in **Figure 3a, 3b**, the user reviews the temporal boundaries indicated within the sequence they selected to make more pinpointed decisions about what segments to cut. Included in this step is a Question and Answering(QA) annotation process to allow users to document important observations and answer on behalf of the query’s requirements.

3.2. Data Preprocessing

During the data preprocessing phase, we extract keyframes from the raw video data to represent its content effectively. The set of extracted keyframes is denoted as K , where K_i refers to the keyframe located at index i within the video. To achieve this, we utilize the TransNetV2[63] model, which is well-suited for detecting shot boundaries. For each segment with frame indices ranging from $[a, b]$, we select four keyframes [29, 54] based on the following formula:

$$k_{\text{extract}} = \{K_{a+[i \times (b-a)/3]} \mid \forall i \in (0, 1, 2, 3)\} \quad (1)$$

3.2.1. Keyframe Deduplication

A common challenge in keyframe extraction is the occurrence of near-duplicate frames, where multiple consecutive keyframes contain highly similar content. To address these issues, we utilize a **near-duplicate removal** strategy based on **perceptual hashing (pHash)** [21]. The method efficiently detects and removes visually redundant keyframes by computing hash-based similarity scores. By filtering out near-duplicates within a shot detected by the previous section, we maintain a more compact yet representative set of keyframes, improving both storage efficiency and retrieval speed.

To identify visual similarity between frames within arbitrary video shots, we compute the similarity between two keyframes I_i and I_j based on the *Hamming distance* between their corresponding perceptual hash representations:

$$D(I_i, I_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{1}(h_i^k \neq h_j^k) \quad (2)$$

Where:

- h_i and h_j are perceptual hashes of keyframes I_i and I_j .
- N is the hash length (e.g., $N = 64$ for an 8x8 pHash).
- $\mathbb{1}(h_i^k \neq h_j^k)$ is an indicator function that counts bitwise differences.

A frame is classified as a **near-duplicate** if:

$$D(I_i, I_j) \leq N(1 - \tau) \quad (3)$$

where τ is the similarity threshold (e.g., $\tau = 0.8$). Frames exceeding this threshold are grouped, and only one representative frame per cluster is retained.

By removing near-duplicate keyframes, we achieve less redundant data stored, leading to lower disk space requirements and faster search and retrieval.

3.2.2. Feature Extractor

In our approach, we utilize **BEiT-3** as a deep learning-based feature extractor to improve the accuracy of near-duplicate detection. BEiT-3 [67] is a state-of-the-art vision-language model that serves as a powerful feature extractor by leveraging a transformer-based architecture to capture high-level semantic information from images. The feature extraction process involves preprocessing images into fixed-size patches, embedding them into a high-dimensional space, and passing them through multiple transformer layers to generate rich contextual representations. The final output is a compact feature vector that can be used for various tasks such as similarity comparison, image retrieval, and near-duplicate detection. By employing BEiT-3, we enhance the robustness of our feature representations, leading to more accurate and efficient visual analysis.

3.3. Searching and Reranking

3.3.1. Searching

In large-scale image retrieval, storing and searching through high-dimensional feature embeddings efficiently is a critical challenge. To address this, we utilize FAISS [15], a library designed for fast approximate nearest neighbor (ANN) search. FAISS provides scalable indexing structures that enable rapid retrieval of similar images from massive datasets. The key advantage of FAISS is its ability to handle millions to billions of vectors efficiently using optimized algorithms such as product quantization (PQ), inverted file indexes (IVF), and hierarchical navigable small world (HNSW) graphs.




Figure 1. Overview of our GRAB — **Global Re-ranking and Adaptive Bidirectional** search system. The user begins by entering a natural language query to search for semantically relevant keyframes in a preprocessed video corpus. a) In **Section 3.2** data preprocessing, raw videos are segmented using shot detection, and representative keyframes are extracted and deduplicated to form a storage-efficient and visually diverse index. b) In **Section 3.3** Embedding-based searching and reranking, the user query is embedded and compared against the keyframe database using FAISS for fast retrieval, followed by SuperGlobal Reranking to refine the results. The user then selects a pivot frame from the top-ranked results. c) In **Section 3.4**, Adaptive Bidirectional Temporal Search identifies precise start and end boundaries based on semantic similarity and temporal stability. The interface supports interactive refinement and QA-based boundary validation.




Figure 2. User Interface of Our Interactive Video Corpus Moment Retrieval System.

In our approach, we extract global feature embeddings from images and store them in a FAISS index. During retrieval, a given query image is first converted into its feature representation, which is then used to search for the top-M nearest neighbors based on cosine similarity. This initial search serves as a candidate selection stage, providing a refined set of potential matches while maintaining computational efficiency. Using FAISS, we significantly reduce retrieval latency and memory overhead, making it feasible for large-scale datasets without sacrificing accuracy.


3.3.2. Reranking

A standard practice for modern moment retrieval systems is to perform coarse retrieval using global representations, and then subsequently refine the retrieval on the candidate subset using local features. However, this approach tends to be computationally intensive and it is not scalable to a large collection of videos where we extract millions of keyframes.

To achieve efficient retrieval speeds and a reduced memory footprint while preserving accuracy, we incorporate the SuperGlobal Reranking method, as introduced by Shao et al. [60] in "Global Features are All You Need for Image Retrieval and Reranking". This approach uses global descriptors for both the initial and reranking steps, significantly reducing the computational overhead while maintaining accuracy. This approach leverages the Generalized Mean (GeM) pooling mechanism [56], which provides the general capability for feature aggregation as described by. The global descriptor is expanded using the equation:

$$f_k = \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}_k|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_k} x^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \quad (4)$$

where f_k is the feature to be refined, \mathcal{X}_k represents the set of neighbors of f_k , and p_k is a hyperparameter. We leverage



(a) Moment Selection



(b) QA and Boundary Selection

Figure 3. Visualization of different interaction components: (a) Moment Exploration, (b) Moment Selection, and (c) QA and Boundary Selection.

two special cases of this formulation: when $p_k = 1$ (average pooling) for image descriptor refinement and when $p_k \rightarrow \infty$ (max pooling) for query expansion.

SuperGlobal improves the quality of global features by refining the representation of both the query and the retrieved images. Given a query image, its global feature representation is updated in conjunction with its top-M retrieved images to generate an enhanced descriptor. This refined descriptor better captures contextual and semantic information, leading to more accurate ranking decisions. In the reranking stage, each query image maintains both its original representation g_q and an expanded representation g_{qe} . We then compute two sets of similarity scores:

- S_1 : Measures the similarity between the original query descriptor g_q and the refined descriptors g_{dr} of the database images.
- S_2 : Measures the similarity between the expanded query

descriptor g_{qe} and the original global descriptors g_d . The final reranking score S_{final} is computed by averaging the two similarity scores:

$$S_{final} = \frac{S_1 + S_2}{2} \quad (5)$$

By incorporating SuperGlobal-based refinement, the most relevant images are ranked higher, leading to improved retrieval performance. This approach effectively enhances ranking stability while maintaining computational efficiency, making it suitable for large-scale retrieval systems.

3.4. Temporal Search

Given a user-provided pivot frame from the selection of the first process, which approximates the location of the moment described in the query, the fundamental challenge lies in determining the exact temporal extension that captures the intended action while preserving contextual coherence. Conventional similarity-based retrieval approaches often introduce difficulties in determining moment boundaries due to temporal ambiguity, where multiple visually similar frames exist in proximity to the actual moment, complicating boundary establishment. To address these issues, we propose **Adaptive Bidirectional Temporal Search**, a simple yet effective method that improves retrieval precision by jointly optimizing query relevance and temporal stability. We decompose it into two directional sub-queries targeting the start and end boundaries of the event. Our algorithm performs a backward search from the pivot to identify the start frame, and a forward search to locate the end frame. Within each direction, candidate frames are ranked using a composite score that balances how well a frame matches the query with how stable it is in its local temporal neighborhood. To quantify this stability, we introduce a confidence measure based on the standard deviation of similarity scores between each candidate frame and its nearby frames. A frame with low variance is considered temporally stable, suggesting that it belongs to a region of consistent visual content and is less likely to lie near a scene transition or visual disturbance. This property is critical for moment localization: stable frames are more likely to serve as natural semantic boundaries, acting as points of transition into or out of coherent actions or scenes. In contrast, unstable frames often occur in the middle of ongoing action, during motion blur, or at abrupt cuts—conditions under which frame-level similarity may be high but semantically misleading. By combining stability with semantic alignment, ABTS avoids selecting noisy frames and instead chooses frames that are both meaningful and consistent.

The **Algorithm 1** describes the implementation of the adaptive bidirectional temporal search, designed to locate the most relevant temporal segment given a query. The algorithm operates by first identifying the video that con-

Algorithm 1 Adaptive Bidirectional Temporal Search for Video Retrieval

Require: Query q , Pivot index p , Video dataset \mathcal{V} , Embedding model \mathcal{M} , Window sizes \mathcal{W}

Ensure: Start and End frame indices (f_s, f_e)

- 1: Extract v from \mathcal{V} corresponding to p
 - 2: Compute frame embeddings \mathcal{E} for v
 - 3: Encode query segments: $(e_q^s, e_q^e) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(q)$
 - 4: Initialize candidate sets: $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E} \leftarrow \emptyset$
 - 5: **for** $w \in \mathcal{W}$ **do**
 - 6: Extract local embeddings around p with range w
 - 7: $s_{\text{local}}, c_s \leftarrow \text{AdaptiveSearch}(e_q^s, \mathcal{E}_{\text{start}})$
 - 8: $e_{\text{local}}, c_e \leftarrow \text{AdaptiveSearch}(e_q^e, \mathcal{E}_{\text{end}})$
 - 9: $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow \mathcal{S} \cup \{(s_{\text{local}}, c_s)\}$
 - 10: $\mathcal{E} \leftarrow \mathcal{E} \cup \{(e_{\text{local}}, c_e)\}$
 - 11: **end for**
 - 12: Select $f_s = \arg \max_{(s,c) \in \mathcal{S}} c$
 - 13: Select $f_e = \arg \max_{(e,c) \in \mathcal{E}} c$
 - 14: Compute timestamps (t_s, t_e) from (f_s, f_e)
- return** (f_s, f_e, t_s, t_e)
-

Algorithm 2 Adaptive Search

Require: Query embedding e_q , Frame embeddings \mathcal{E} , Similarity weight λ_s , Stability weight λ_t

Ensure: Best frame index f^*

Initialize similarity scores $\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \emptyset$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{E}|\}$ **do**

 Extract frame embedding e_i

 Identify neighboring frames \mathcal{N}_i

 Compute similarity: $s_i = \text{Similarity}(e_q, e_i)$

 Compute stability: $t_i = \text{Stability}(\mathcal{N}_i, e_i)$

 Compute confidence: $c_i = \lambda_s s_i + \lambda_t t_i$

$\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{C} \cup \{(i, c_i)\}$

end for

Select best frame $f^* = \arg \max_{(i,c) \in \mathcal{C}} c$ **return** f^*

tains the pivot index p in the video corpus \mathcal{V} and computing frame-wise embedding \mathcal{E} using a pre-trained embedding model \mathcal{M} . The query q is then split into two sub-queries, each describing the anticipated start and end of the target moment, and subsequently encoded by \mathcal{M} to obtain the corresponding embeddings e_q^s and e_q^e . Since a moment in this workshop ranges from 2–20 seconds, the search is performed over multiple temporal window sizes \mathcal{W} , extracting local embeddings around the pivot frame p . As the pivot can algorithmically represent either boundary, we set the window list to be 10 seconds, 15 seconds, and 20 seconds. The adaptive search algorithm (**Algorithm 2**) is then applied separately to locate the optimal start and end frames based on similarity and stability scores. The highest confidence frame indices are selected as the final segment boundaries (f_s, f_e) , which are subsequently assigned to timestamps (t_s, t_e) , providing precise temporal localization of the retrieved

moment.

The key component of the moment localization process lies in **Algorithm 2**, which selects the most relevant frames by computing a confidence-weighted score that integrates both semantic similarity and temporal stability. Given a query embedding e_q and a set of candidate frame embeddings \mathcal{E} , the algorithm iterates through each frame and determines the score based on two complementary measures. The similarity score s_i quantifies how closely a frame embedding aligns with the query and is computed as:

$$s_i = \frac{e_q \cdot e_i}{\|e_q\| \|e_i\|} \quad (6)$$

While similarity alone captures semantic alignment, it is often insufficient due to visual noise or abrupt scene transitions. To migrate this, the algorithm incorporates a stability score t_i , which determines how consistent a frame is within its local temporal neighborhood \mathcal{N}_i . This is formulated as:

$$t_i = 1 - \min(1, 2 \cdot \sigma(\{e_j \cdot e_i | j \in \mathcal{N}_i\})) \quad (7)$$

Where $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the standard deviation of cosine similarities among neighboring frames. This formulation ensures that frames belonging to stable temporal regions receive higher scores, reducing the likelihood of selecting outliers, blurred, or transition frames [Repeat Temporal Stability]. The final confidence score, c_i , is obtained by computing the weighted similarity and stability score with hyperparameters λ_s and λ_t :

$$c_i = \lambda_s s_i + \lambda_t t_i \quad (8)$$

By jointly optimizing for semantic relevance and temporal coherence, the adaptive search mechanism robustly selects the most reliable frame, ensuring precise localization of the retrieved video moment.

4. Experimental results

In this section, we evaluate our interactive video retrieval system on two tasks: **Known-Item Search (KIS)** and **Video Question Answering (QA)**. Each case study highlights the impact of key system components such as reranking and temporal search, providing qualitative insights into system performance, strengths, and limitations. We showcase a representative example for each task that best demonstrates the system’s effectiveness in real-world scenarios.

4.1. Know-Item Search task

4.1.1. With Reranking

Our system first retrieves the most relevant keyframes, followed by the proposed reranking strategy to prioritize semantically relevant results.

Example Query: *‘Begin with the gleaming trophy on display at the center of the perfectly manicured field, then*




Figure 4. Demonstration of our reranking function’s effectiveness in retrieving frames most matching to the query.

transition to the broadcast team and commentators preparing for the live coverage. Show the packed stadium with thousands of enthusiastic fans in team colors, followed by dramatic moments when pyrotechnics light up the boundary during a celebration. End with intimate team moments as players huddle together in their distinctive uniforms, showing both the New Zealand team in blue and the Australian team in green and gold.’

As shown in **Figure 4**, without reranking, the target frame ranked in the top 6. With our proposed reranking, it is promoted to the top 3, demonstrating improved relevance and ranking accuracy.

The effect of reranking is especially evident when applied to complex, multi-step queries such as the one describing a full broadcast sequence—from trophy presentation to live coverage to stadium-wide celebration. As evidenced by the visual results, the initial retrieval surfaces visually similar frames (e.g., stadium scenes, large crowds), yet semantically inconsistent with the requested celebration phase. Several top-ranked results depict unrelated moments, such as pre-game camera setups or post-match crowd dispersals. After reranking, the system correctly promotes the key frame featuring pyrotechnics lighting up the boundary, precisely matching the “dramatic moments” described in the query. Additionally, the surrounding top frames shift to show synchronized crowd celebrations, reinforcing alignment with the event’s peak moment. This demonstrates the system’s ability to distinguish between narrative phases and to prioritize results that match scene-specific actions and context—a crucial capability when retrieving fine-grained moments within a larger event timeline.

4.1.2. With Temporal Search

Beyond frame retrieval, users should be able to localize the full video segment corresponding to the query. Our adaptive temporal search identifies the start and end boundaries by

incorporating both semantic similarity and temporal stability, while also capturing key spatial and temporal aspects of the video content. Spatial scenarios are demonstrated in the following query: *‘Capture a journey through a valley with shots from a moving vehicle, showing the turquoise river winding between steep cliffs and terraced fields. Frame the dramatic mountain range in the background with billowing white clouds embracing their peaks while keeping the lush green vegetation in the foreground. Include perspectives from bridge crossings that frame the river below with metal railings in the foreground. End with a lingering shot from the center of the bridge.’*

This query helps identify complex spatial relationships in the scene: from foreground elements (river, mountain), and background components (clouds) to motion indicators (vehicle movement). As in **Figure 5**, our system demonstrates strong spatial understanding by selecting frames that accurately reflect the query’s described scene composition. The chosen start frame (Frame 626) captures a clear view of the turquoise river, fence, and distant mountains, aligning well with the spatial layout outlined in the query, with the surrounding frames offering consistent visual context. Notably, the end frame (Frame 705) precisely matches the description of the last sentence of the query. A stable shot of the bridge is selected over local keyframes, demonstrating the capability of the system to detect boundaries that best align with both the semantic and visual content of the query.

Beyond retrieval accuracy, our system offers an interactive interface that enhances user experience. As shown in **Figure 5**, the interface presents surrounding frames alongside the selected start and end frames, allowing users to see and verify the moment segment. By enabling users to validate scene continuity and spatial consistency in real-time, the system facilitates more precise and user-aligned moment localization.

Temporal factors are also demonstrated in the following query: *‘The images depict a high-stakes poker game in dramatic close-up shots. The camera focuses on hands managing poker chips and cards on a red felt table. Hands adorned with gold rings adjust stacks of colorful chips across the table. The camera focuses intensely on fingers gripping the edge of face-down cards. With deliberate slowness, the hand turns over its hidden treasure. In the final, climactic shot, two aces are revealed—a pair of powerful pocket rockets that signal a game-changing moment about to unfold.’*

As in **Figure 6**, our system effectively captures temporal narratives by identifying the precise start and end boundaries aligned with evolving events. The selected segment follows a clear trend: from preparatory actions like handling poker chips and drinks (Frame 260) to the climactic reveal of two aces (Frame 637), matching the described tension




Figure 5. Demonstration of our temporal search function’s ability to recognize and interpret complex spatial compositions across video sequences. The selected frames reflect accurate alignment with the query’s described layout, capturing foreground, background, and motion cues to support precise moment localization.




Figure 6. In this demonstration, we highlight our search function’s advanced temporal recognition capabilities, specifically in the context of a high-stakes poker game scenario.

and resolution in the query. The surrounding frames provide smooth transitions that reinforce the story progression, demonstrating the capability of the system to track not only visual content but also the underlying temporal dynamics. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach in handling complex temporal narratives, rather than static visual descriptions alone.

In addition to accurate boundary localization, our system demonstrates robust temporal reasoning by identifying frames that align with both semantic transitions and temporal coherence. As shown in **Figure 6**, while multiple frames




Figure 7. Demonstration of accurate retrieval for a specific video segment matching a detailed natural language query. This example highlights the system’s ability to precisely localize complex, multi-step actions and visual context, showcasing its effectiveness in handling fine-grained moment retrieval within a large video corpus.

share similar visual elements (e.g., red felt, poker chips, hands), the system effectively selects Frame 637 as the endpoint, precisely capturing the climactic card reveal. This choice reflects more than just visual similarity—it highlights the ability of the model to detect semantic shifts in the narrative, from tension-building actions to the moment of resolution.

4.2. Question Answering task

For the **Question Answering** task, we select the following query: *‘A man wearing dark trousers and jacket, a scarf and a horse mask walks down a path. There is a wooden bench on the right, cars and houses in the background, and litter on the path and grass. He shakes his head and puts his hand to the head. The camera follows him as he walks to another wooden bench and sits down. Which writer is quoted at the start of this video?’*

As in **Figure 7**, the system retrieves the appropriate segment based on the event sequence. Users can refine the result by selecting anchor frames and adjusting temporal boundaries. The final answer—displayed in a subsequent keyframe—is the quoted text: *“Vicdanımız yanılmaz bir yargıçtır, biz onu öldürmedikçe - Balzac”*.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our comprehensive experimental evaluation demonstrates the robust capabilities of the Interactive VCMR framework across two critical tasks: Known-Item Search (KIS) and Video Question Answering(QA). By demonstrating promising performance across diverse scenarios, our approach proves the ability to capture not only the visual similarity but semantic progression and temporal stability yield a significant performance boost in video moment retrieval system. These findings reinforce the potential of intelligent, context-aware retrieval systems to transform how we interact with and navigate large video repositories.

References

- [1] AI VIETNAM — aivietnam.edu.vn. 1
- [2] A. Agarwal, V. Balasubramanian, S. Choudhury, et al. Active learning for interactive video retrieval. In *Proceedings of the NeurIPS Workshop on Human-in-the-Loop Learning*, 2020. 2
- [3] H. Akbari, L. Yuan, R. Qian, W.C. Chuang, Y. Fu, Y. Cui, et al. Vatt: Transformers for multimodal self-supervised learning from raw video, audio and text. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2021. 2
- [4] F. Alam, J. Semedo, W.U. Ahmad, et al. Revisiting video retrieval with textual feedback. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 7788–7800, 2022. 2
- [5] Giuseppe Amato, Paolo Bolettieri, Fabrizio Falchi, Claudio Gennaro, Nicola Messina, Lucia Vadicano, and Claudio Vairo. VISIONE at Video Browser Showdown 2021. In *Proc. of the 27th Int. Conf. on MultiMedia Modeling (MMM)*, pages 473–478. Springer, Cham, 2021. 2
- [6] R. Arandjelović and A. Zisserman. Query expansion for image retrieval. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 168–181, 2012. 2
- [7] Relja Arandjelović and Andrew Zisserman. Three things everyone should know to improve object retrieval. In *2012 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2911–2918. IEEE, 2012. 1
- [8] M. Bain, A. Nagrani, E. Tzinis, and A. Zisserman. Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-to-end retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 1728–1738, 2021. 2
- [9] Peijun Bao, Chenqi Kong, Zihao Shao, Boon Poh Ng, Meng Hwa Er, and Alex C. Kot. Vid-morp: Video moment retrieval pretraining from unlabeled videos in the wild. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.00811*, 2023. 2
- [10] S. Chen et al. Semantic reconstruction network for video moment retrieval. In *Proceedings of ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2023. 2
- [11] Z. Chen, W. Huang, L. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Dong, and Z. Yu. Few-shot video retrieval via interaction-aware memory alignment. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 624–642, 2022. 2
- [12] M. Christel and A.G. Hauptmann. Improving user interaction in video retrieval. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Image and Video Retrieval*, pages 61–70, 2005. 2
- [13] R. Cui et al. Video moment retrieval from text queries via single frame annotation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.09409*, 2022. 2
- [14] A. Das, S. Kottur, K. Gupta, et al. Learning cooperative visual dialog agents with deep reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 2951–2960, 2017. 2
- [15] Matthijs Douze, Alexandr Guzhva, Chengqi Deng, Jeff Johnson, Gergely Szilvasy, Pierre-Emmanuel Mazaré, Maria Lomeli, Lucas Hosseini, and Hervé Jégou. The faiss library. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08281*, 2024. 3
- [16] H. Fang, Y. Cheng, Z. Gan, et al. Clip2video: Mastering video-text retrieval via image clip. In *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2023. 2
- [17] J. Gao and C. Xu. Fast video moment retrieval. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2021. 2
- [18] J. Gao, R. Ge, K. Chen, and R. Nevatia. Motion-appearance co-memory networks for video question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 6576–6585, 2018. 2
- [19] Danyang Hou, Liang Pang, Huawei Shen, and Xueqi Cheng. Event-aware video corpus moment retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13566*, 2024. 1
- [20] M. Jain, C.G.M. Snoek, and M. Worring. Query-adaptive video summarization via quality-aware relevance estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 19(12):2665–2676, 2016. 2
- [21] Tanuj Jain, Christopher Lennan, Zubin John, and Dat Tran. Imagededup. <https://github.com/idealo/imagededup>, 2019. 3
- [22] Y. Jang, Y. Song, C.D. Kim, Y. Yu, Y. Kim, and G. Kim. Tgif-qa: Toward spatio-temporal reasoning in visual question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 2758–2766, 2017. 2
- [23] Y. Jang, Y. Song, C.D. Kim, Y. Yu, Y. Kim, and G. Kim. Video question answering with spatio-temporal reasoning. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 127(10):1385–1412, 2019. 2
- [24] J. Jiang, Z. Chen, H. Lin, X. Zhao, and Y. Gao. Divide and conquer: Question-guided spatio-temporal contextual attention for video question answering. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 11101–11108, 2020. 2
- [25] T. Jones et al. Ld-detr: Loop decoder detection transformer for video moment retrieval and highlight detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024. 2
- [26] Björn Þór Jónsson, Omar Shahbaz Khan, Dennis C. Koelma, Stevan Rudinac, Marcel Worring, and Jan Zahálka. Exquisitor at the Video Browser Showdown 2020. In *Proc. of the 26th Int. Conf. on MultiMedia Modeling (MMM)*, pages 796–802. Springer, Cham, 2020. 2
- [27] M. Jung, S. Choi, J. Kim, J.H. Kim, and B.T. Zhang. Modal-specific pseudo query generation for video corpus moment retrieval. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 7769–7781, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 2022. 2
- [28] M.T.H. Law, Y. Guan, J. Uijlings, and T.M. Hospedales. Vision through conversations: Real-time object grounding using guided dialogue. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 7350–7359, 2018. 2
- [29] Minh-Dung Le-Quynh, Anh-Tuan Nguyen, Anh-Tuan Quang-Hoang, Van-Huy Dinh, Tien-Huy Nguyen, Hoang-Bach Ngo, and Minh-Hung An. Enhancing video retrieval

- with robust clip-based multimodal system. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Information and Communication Technology*, pages 972–979, 2023. 1, 3
- [30] J.Y. Lee, J.W. Kim, J. Shin, and C. Yoo. Video-grounded dialog via transformer with multi-level semantic alignment. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 196–204, 2021. 2
- [31] J. Lei, L. Li, L. Zhou, Z. Gan, T.L. Berg, M. Bansal, et al. Less is more: Clipbert for video-and-language learning via sparse sampling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 7331–7341, 2021. 2
- [32] Andreas Leibetseder, Bernd Münzer, Jürgen Primus, Sabrina Kletz, and Klaus Schöffmann. diveXplore 4.0: The ITEC Deep Interactive Video Exploration System at VBS2020. In *Proc. of the 26th Int. Conf. on MultiMedia Modeling (MMM)*, pages 753–759. Springer, Cham, 2020. 2
- [33] G. Li, Y. Wei, Y. Tian, C. Xu, J.R. Wen, and D. Hu. Learning to answer questions in dynamic audio-visual scenarios. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 19108–19118, 2022. 2
- [34] L. Li, Y. Chen, Y. Cheng, Z. Gan, L. Yu, and J. Liu. Hero: Hierarchical encoder for video–language omni-representation pre-training. In *Proceedings of the Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 2046–2065, 2020. 1, 2
- [35] X. Li, J. Song, L. Gao, X. Liu, et al. Beyond rnns: Positional self-attention with co-attention for video question answering. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 8658–8665, 2019. 2
- [36] D. Lin et al. Weakly-supervised video moment retrieval via semantic completion network. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2022. 2
- [37] J. Liu, Y. Xu, J. Qin, Y. Zhang, X. Wang, and L. Shao. Dynamic concept interaction for visual dialog. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 3629–3638, 2021. 2
- [38] M. Liu, Z. Lin, J. Lin, et al. Hyper-personalized video search with few-shot user feedback. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining (WSDM)*, pages 1075–1084, 2023. 2
- [39] M. Liu et al. Boundary-aware bilinear network for dense video moment retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2023. 2
- [40] Jakub Lokoč, Gregor Kovalčík, Tomáš Souček, Jaroslav Moravec, Jan Bodnár, and Přemysl Čech. VIRET Tool Meets NasNet. In *Proc. of the 25th Int. Conf. on MultiMedia Modeling (MMM)*, pages 597–601. Springer, Cham, 2019. 2
- [41] J. Lokoč, G. Kovalčík, B. Münzer, K. Schoeffmann, W. Bailer, R. Gasser, et al. Interactive search or sequential browsing? a detailed analysis of the video browser showdown 2018. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM)*, 15(1):Article 29, 2019. 2
- [42] J. Lokoč, S. Andreadis, W. Bailer, A. Duane, C. Gurrin, et al. Interactive video retrieval in the age of effective joint embedding deep models: Lessons from the 11th vbs. *Multimedia Systems*, 29:3481–3504, 2023. 2
- [43] J. Mao, W. Jiang, X. Wang, Z. Feng, Y. Lyu, H. Liu, et al. Dynamic multistep reasoning based on video scene graph for video question answering. In *Proceedings of the NAACL-HLT*, pages 3894–3904, 2022. 2
- [44] A. Miech, J.B. Alayrac, I. Laptev, J. Sivic, and A. Zisserman. End-to-end learning of visual representations from uncurated instructional videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 9879–9888, 2020. 2
- [45] S. Mun et al. Local-global video-text interaction algorithm for video moment retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2022. 2
- [46] Ba Hung Ngo, Ba Thinh Lam, Thanh Huy Nguyen, Quang Vinh Dinh, and Tae Jong Choi. Dual dynamic consistency regularization for semi-supervised domain adaptation. *IEEE Access*, 2024. 1
- [47] Huy Thanh Nguyen, Thinh Ba Lam, Toan Thai Ngoc Truong, Thang Dinh Duong, and Vinh Quang Dinh. Mv-trams: An efficient tumor region-adapted mammography synthesis under multi-view diagnosis. *Available at SSRN 5109180*, 2025.
- [48] Khoi Anh Nguyen, Linh Yen Vu, Thang Dinh Duong, Thuan Nguyen Duong, Huy Thanh Nguyen, and Vinh Quang Dinh. Enhancing vietnamese vqa through curriculum learning on raw and augmented text representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.03285*, 2025.
- [49] Tien-Huy Nguyen, Quang-Khai Tran, and Anh-Tuan Quang-Hoang. Improving generalization in visual reasoning via self-ensemble, 2024. 2
- [50] Tho-Quang Nguyen, Huu-Loc Tran, Tuan-Khoa Tran, Huu-Phong Phan-Nguyen, and Tien-Huy Nguyen. Fa-yolov9: Improved yolov9 based on feature attention block. In *2024 International Conference on Multimedia Analysis and Pattern Recognition (MAPR)*, pages 1–6, 2024.
- [51] Xuan-Bach Nguyen, Hoang-Thien Nguyen, Thanh-Huy Nguyen, Nhu-Tai Do, and Quang Vinh Dinh. Emotic masked autoencoder on dual-views with attention fusion for facial expression recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4784–4792, 2024. 1
- [52] D. Papadopoulos, A. Clarke, F. Keller, et al. Training object class detectors with click supervision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 5794–5802, 2017. 2
- [53] J. Park, J. Lee, and K. Sohn. Bridge to answer: Structure-aware graph interaction network for video question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 15526–15535, 2021. 2
- [54] Phong Phan Nguyen Huu, Khoa Tran Dinh, Ngan Tran Kim Ngoc, Luong Tran Duc, Phuc Le Hoang, Quyen Nguyen Huu, Duy Phan The, and Van-Hau Pham. Doppelsearch: A novel approach to content-based video retrieval for ai challenge hcmc 2023. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Information and Communication Technology*, pages 916–922, 2023. 1, 3

- [55] James Philbin, Ondrej Chum, Michael Isard, Josef Sivic, and Andrew Zisserman. Object retrieval with large vocabularies and fast spatial matching. In *2007 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2007. 1
- [56] Filip Radenović, Giorgos Tolias, and Ondřej Chum. Fine-tuning cnn image retrieval with no human annotation. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 41(7):1655–1668, 2018. 4
- [57] Y. Rui, T.S. Huang, M. Ortega, and S. Mehrotra. Relevance feedback: a power tool for interactive content-based image retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 8(5):644–655, 1998. 2
- [58] Loris Sauter, Mahnaz Amiri Parian, Ralph Gasser, Silvan Heller, Luca Rossetto, and Heiko Schuldt. Combining Boolean and Multimedia Retrieval in vitivr for Large-Scale Video Search. In *Proc. of the 26th Int. Conf. on MultiMedia Modeling (MMM)*, pages 760–765. Springer, Cham, 2020. 2
- [59] Y. Seo, K. Lee, T. Oh, I. Kim, and B. Kim. Reinforced interactive video retrieval with semantic memory. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia (ACM MM)*, pages 234–242, 2021. 2
- [60] Shihao Shao, Kaifeng Chen, Arjun Karapur, Qinghua Cui, André Araujo, and Bingyi Cao. Global features are all you need for image retrieval and reranking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 11036–11046, 2023. 2, 4
- [61] A.F. Smeaton, P. Over, and W. Kraaij. Evaluation campaigns and trecvid. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Workshop on Multimedia Information Retrieval*, pages 321–330, 2006. 2
- [62] X. Song, Y. Shi, X. Chen, and Y. Han. Explore multi-step reasoning in video question answering. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 239–247, 2018. 2
- [63] Tomáš Souček and Jakub Lokoc. Transnet v2: An effective deep network architecture for fast shot transition detection. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 11218–11221, 2024. 3
- [64] Fuwen Tan, Jiangbo Yuan, and Vicente Ordonez. Instance-level image retrieval using reranking transformers. In *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 12105–12115, 2021. 1
- [65] J. Wang et al. Tree-structured lstm for video moment retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, 2023. 2
- [66] M. Wang et al. Graph neural networks for video moment retrieval with cross-modal relations. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2023. 2
- [67] Wenhui Wang, Hangbo Bao, Li Dong, Johan Bjorck, Zhiliang Peng, Qiang Liu, Kriti Aggarwal, Owais Khan Mohammed, Saksham Singhal, Subhojit Som, and Furu Wei. Image as a foreign language: BEiT pretraining for vision and vision-language tasks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023. 3
- [68] J. Wu et al. Reinforcement learning for temporal grounding of natural language in untrimmed videos. In *Proceedings of AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2022. 2
- [69] Mengxia Wu, Min Cao, Yang Bai, Ziyin Zeng, Chen Chen, Liqiang Nie, and Min Zhang. An empirical study of frame selection for text-to-video retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.00298*, 2023. 1
- [70] T. Wu, N. Garcia, M. Otani, C. Chu, Y. Nakashima, and H. Takemura. Transferring domain-agnostic knowledge in video question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.13395*, 2021. 2
- [71] J. Xiao, P. Zhou, T.S. Chua, and S. Yan. Video graph transformer for video question answering. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2022. 2
- [72] D. Xu, Z. Zhao, J. Xiao, F. Wu, H. Zhang, X. He, et al. Video question answering via gradually refined attention over appearance and motion. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM International Conference on Multimedia (ACM MM)*, pages 1645–1653, 2017. 2
- [73] A. Yang, A. Miech, J. Sivic, I. Laptev, and C. Schmid. Just ask: Learning to answer questions from millions of narrated videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 1686–1697, 2021. 2
- [74] A. Yang, A. Miech, J. Sivic, I. Laptev, and C. Schmid. Zero-shot video question answering via frozen bidirectional language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2022. 2
- [75] K. Yi, C. Gan, Y. Li, P. Kohli, et al. Clevrer: Collision events for video representation and reasoning. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2020. Paper no. 4527. 2
- [76] Zhihui Yin, Ye Ma, Xipeng Cao, Bo Wang, Quan Chen, and Peng Jiang. Text-video multi-grained integration for video moment montage. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.09276*, 2024. 1
- [77] R. Zellers, X. Lu, J. Hessel, Y. Yu, J.S. Park, J. Cao, et al. Merlot: Multimodal neural script knowledge models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 34, 2021. 2
- [78] Hao Zhang, Aixin Sun, Wei Jing, Guoshun Nan, Liangli Zhen, Joey Tianyi Zhou, and Rick Siow Mong Goh. Video corpus moment retrieval with contrastive learning. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 685–695, 2021. 1
- [79] Long Zhang, Peipei Song, Zhangling Duan, Shuo Wang, Xiaojun Chang, and Xun Yang. Video corpus moment retrieval with query-specific context learning and progressive localization. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 2025. 1
- [80] X. Zhang et al. Moment-gpt: Zero-shot video moment retrieval via off-the-shelf multimodal large language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024. 2
- [81] L. Zhao et al. Timeloc: A unified end-to-end framework for precise timestamp localization in long videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09509*, 2023. 2