arXiv:2504.07833v1 [quant-ph] 10 Apr 2025

Operator growth in many-body systems of higher spins

Igor Ermakov^{1, 2, *}

¹Russian Quantum Center, Skolkovo, Moscow 121205, Russia ²Department of Mathematical Methods for Quantum Technologies, atical Institute of Puscian Academy of Sciences & California, St. Masser 110001

Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, 8 Gubkina St., Moscow 119991, Russia.

(Dated: April 11, 2025)

We study operator growth in many-body systems with on-site spins larger than 1/2, considering both non-integrable and integrable regimes. Specifically, we compute Lanczos coefficients in the oneand two-dimensional Ising models for spin values S = 1/2, 1, and 3/2, and observe asymptotically linear growth $b_n \sim n$. On the integrable side, we investigate the Potts model and find square-root growth $b_n \sim \sqrt{n}$. Both results are consistent with the predictions of the Universal Operator Growth Hypothesis. To analyze operator dynamics in this setting, we employ a generalized operator basis constructed from tensor products of shift and clock operators, extending the concept of Pauli strings to higher local dimensions. We further report that the recently introduced formalism of equivalence classes of Pauli strings can be naturally extended to this setting. This formalism enables the study of simulable Heisenberg dynamics by identifying dynamically isolated operator subspaces of moderate dimensionality. As an example, we introduce the Kitaev-Potts model with spin-1, where the identification of such a subspace allows for exact time evolution at a computational cost lower than that of exact diagonalization.

Introduction The question of how an initially local quantum operator evolves within the many-body operator space has long attracted attention, both due to its fundamental significance [1–10] and, more recently, because of its emerging role in practical computational methods [11–19]. Heisenberg-picture approaches based on operator dynamics are increasingly viewed as a promising addition to the toolbox of computational many-body physics, particularly in settings where tensor network techniques [20–22] may face limitations due to volume-law operator entanglement. Recent progress has demonstrated that techniques such as the recursion method and Pauli truncation methods [11, 12, 14, 23] have been successfully applied to two-dimensional [13, 17] and even three-dimensional [18, 19] systems.

Most studies of operator growth have focused on manybody systems of interacting spin-1/2 particles, where the operator space has a convenient basis of Pauli strings. This naturally raises the question of how operator growth behaves in systems with higher on-site spin. Such systems are relevant in the context of qudit-based quantum technologies, certain NMR experiments, and are also of fundamental interest, as increasing the local Hilbert space dimension brings the system closer to the classical limit. Progress has been made on this topic in the singleparticle case [24] and in the state version of the Lanczos algorithm [25].

Another important motivation is to directly test the Universal Operator Growth Hypothesis (UOGH) [6] in such systems. While UOGH is expected to hold in generic many-body systems, direct confirmation in systems with spins larger than 1/2 remains limited.

In this work, we present a systematic study of operator growth in systems with local spin greater than 1/2, exploring both non-integrable and integrable regimes. In the non-integrable case, we observe that the Lanczos coefficients b_n grow linearly with the iteration step, $b_n \sim n$, while in the integrable case, they exhibit slower, squareroot growth, $b_n \sim \sqrt{n}$. These results are consistent with the predictions of the UOGH.

We perform our calculations using a basis of generalized Pauli strings, which extends the concept of Pauli strings to systems with local spin greater than 1/2. Essentially, this basis is a tensor product of shift and clock operators acting on different sites. We argue that this basis is particularly well-suited for studying operator growth in such systems. Its key property—analogous to the spin-1/2 case—is that the product of two generalized Pauli strings yields another string from the same basis. This closure under multiplication enables an efficient implementation of the numerical Lanczos procedure, following the same conceptual framework used in spin-1/2 models.

Interestingly, in our recent work [9], we introduced a formalism based on equivalence classes of Pauli strings. This approach reveals that, for certain types of quantum evolution, the operator space decomposes into dynamically isolated subspaces, some of which have polynomial dimension. As a result, the Heisenberg evolution of any operator within such a subspace can be simulated efficiently in polynomial time. This framework helps to unify various instances of simulable Heisenberg dynamics, including matchgate circuits and the Kitaev models. A similar approach for exact dimensionality reduction in quantum dynamics has recently appeared from the operator *-algebra perspective [26].

In the present work, we show that this formalism naturally extends to the operator space built from generalized Pauli strings. For higher-spin systems, where the complexity of operator dynamics grows more rapidly, the identification of such isolated subspaces becomes even more valuable. Using this approach, we demonstrate exact dimensionality reduction in the Kitaev-Potts chain.

The paper is organized as follows: we first introduce the basic concepts, followed by our results on the application of the Lanczos algorithm to the 1D and 2D Ising models with spins S = 1/2, S = 1, and S = 3/2. We then discuss the *d*-state Potts model for d = 2, 3, 4. Finally, we address the separation of the operator space into equivalence classes for higher spins, followed by a discussion of our results.

Operator growth We are interested in the Heisenberg evolution of an operator A. In the Heisenberg picture, the time evolution of A is given by $A(t) = e^{itH}Ae^{-itH}$. Introducing the Liouvillian superoperator $\mathcal{L} \equiv [H, \cdot]$, the Heisenberg equation of motion becomes $\partial_t A(t) = i\mathcal{L}A(t)$, with the formal solution $A(t) = e^{it\mathcal{L}}A$, which can also be expressed as:

$$A(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^k}{k!} [H, \dots, [H, [H, A]] \dots],$$
(1)

This expansion plays a central role in methods based on operator growth. It also highlights the main computational challenge: evaluating nested commutators between many-body operators within an exponentially large operator space.

In this space, we define a scalar product between operators as:

$$(A|B) \equiv \operatorname{tr}(A^{\dagger}B)/\operatorname{dim}(H), \qquad (2)$$

where dim(H) is the Hilbert space dimension (assumed finite). This scalar product induces the norm $||A|| = \sqrt{\langle A|A \rangle}$.

A standard basis for analyzing operator growth is the orthogonal Lanczos basis $\{A_n\}, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, defined iteratively: $|A_0\rangle = ||A||^{-1}|A\rangle, |A_1\rangle = \mathcal{L}|A_0\rangle$, and

$$b_n = ||A_n||, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
(3)
$$|A_n| = b_{n-1}^{-1} \mathcal{L}|A_{n-1}| - b_{n-1} b_{n-2}^{-1} |A_{n-2}| = 1, 2, \dots$$

The coefficients b_n known as Lanczos coefficients, encode rich information about operator dynamics. For example, they can be used to compute the infinite-temperature autocorrelation function $C(t) \equiv \text{tr}(A(t)A)/\text{tr}A^2$, or applied to quench dynamics.

Let us now consider a system described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{J}{d} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i^x S_j^x + h_x \sum_i S_i^x + h_z \sum_i S_i^z, \qquad (4)$$

here *i* and *j* label lattice sites, and S_i^{α} are spin operators with $\alpha = x, y, z$ satisfying the standard commutation relations $[S_i^{\alpha}, S_j^{\beta}] = i\delta_{ij}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, we set $\hbar = 1$. The constants *J* and *h* denote interaction strengths, and d = 2S + 1 is the number of local degrees of freedom. We assume nearest-neighbor interactions and periodic boundary conditions.

Unless stated otherwise, we take the observable of interest to be the total magnetization $A \equiv M$

$$M = \sum_{i} S_i^z.$$
 (5)

In the case S = 1/2 the model (4) reduces to the paradigmatic spin-1/2 Ising model, extensively studied in various contexts. From the operator growth perspective, one can compute up to $n_{\text{max}} = 45$ Lanczos coefficients symbolically in 1D, $n_{\text{max}} = 22$ in 2D on a square lattice with $h_z = 0$ [27].

For S = 1/2, an already exponentially hard problem becomes drastically more difficult: the operator space, whose dimension is d^{2L} , grows rapidly with both the system size L and local Hilbert space dimension d. In such problems, the choice of an appropriate operator basis plays a crucial role and cannot be underestimated. We argue that the most suitable basis for addressing this complexity is the one constructed from generalized Pauli strings, defined as:

$$P(v,w) = X^{v_1} Z^{w_1} \otimes X^{v_2} Z^{w_2} \otimes \dots \otimes X^{v_L} Z^{w_L}, \quad (6)$$

where $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_L)$, $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_L)$ are vectors with entries from 0 to d - 1, and X, Z are the shift and clock operators:

$$X = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} |j+1\rangle\langle j|, \qquad Z = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^j |j\rangle\langle j|, \qquad (7)$$

with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/d}$. These operators have a long history [28–33] and numerous applications [34–38]. For a system of L with local dimension d, there are d^{2L} operators of the form (6) that form an orthogonal basis.

A key practical property of this basis, analogous to the case of standard Pauli strings for qubits, is that the product of any two generalized Pauli strings yields another string of the same form, up to a phase:

$$P(v,w)P(v',w') = \omega^{\xi(w,v')}P(v'',w''),$$
(8)

where $\xi(w, v') = \sum_{i=1}^{L} w_i v'_i \mod d$, and $v''_i = v_i + v'_i \mod d$, likewise for w''. The phase factor arises from the fact that $XZ = \omega^{-1}ZX$. The utilization of this property allows us to conveniently study the operator growth in the systems of qudits generalizing quite straightforwardly the techniques that were developed earlier.

To implement the Lanczos algorithm for the Hamiltonian (4), we first decompose both the Hamiltonian and the operator A as into sums of generalized Pauli strings (hereafter referred to simply as Pauli strings). While the number of terms in this representation grows quadratically with system size, the locality structure of the model



FIG. 1. Lanczos coefficients b_n for the 1D Ising model (4) and (5) as the observable of interest, for different values of quantum spin. Parameters are fixed at $J = h_x = h_z = 1$. The S = 1/2 case is reproduced from Ref. [13].

remains intact. The nested commutators are then evaluated within this operator basis to obtain the Lanczos coefficients b_n . The resulting values of b_n are exact in the thermodynamic limit.

Figure 1 shows the Lanczos coefficients $b_n(S)$ computed for various spin values S using the Hamiltonian (4). For S = 1, we were able to compute up to $n_{\text{max}} = 15$ nested commutators in the thermodynamic limit. For S = 3/2, the computation was limited to $n_{\text{max}} = 10$, already surpassing what is typically feasible with exact diagonalization methods. All calculations were performed using modest computational resources.

The results clearly demonstrate that $b_n(S)$ exhibits approximately linear growth with n, in agreement with the predictions of the Universal Operator Growth Hypothesis (UOGH). While the non-universal behavior at small n makes it difficult to resolve the subleading logarithmic correction expected in one-dimensional systems, such corrections are nonetheless anticipated to emerge at larger n.

We further observe that the initial values of $b_n(S)$ tend to coincide for different S, and only begin to diverge as n increases. Notably, while both $b_n(1)$ and $b_n(3/2)$ deviate significantly from the spin-1/2 case, the difference between them grows rather slowly with n. This behavior motivates the following conjecture: for fixed n, the Lanczos coefficients $b_n(S)$ converge to a finite limit $b_n(\infty)$ as $S \to \infty$.

The coefficients shown in Fig.1 are obtained directly in the thermodynamic limit, which is a key feature of our nested commutator-based computational procedure. Nonetheless, it is instructive to examine finite-size simulations, as presented in Fig.2. We observe that even for moderate system sizes, the linear growth of Lanczos coefficients is clearly reproduced. Moreover, finite-size effects—manifested as deviations between b_n values com-



FIG. 2. Lanczos coefficients b_n obtained from finite-size simulations of the 1D Ising model (4) and (5) as the observable of interest. Parameters are fixed at $J = h_x = h_z = 1$. Left panel shows the results for S = 1 and right for S = 3/2.



FIG. 3. (a) Lanczos coefficients b_n for the 2D Ising model (4) with spin S = 1 using the local spin operator $A = S_i^z$ as the initial observable. Parameters are fixed at J = d and $h_x = h_z = 1$. A linear (9) fit is shown as a solid yellow line, $\alpha = 0.6$, $\gamma = 1.44$, c = 3.05. (b) Infinite-temperature autocorrelation function calculated via the recursion method using extrapolated Lanczos coefficients.

puted for different L—tend to appear only at relatively large n. This suggests that in one-dimensional systems, operator growth can be efficiently captured using MPObased methods, owing to the typically low local operator entanglement. Still, direct computation within the space of generalized Pauli strings offers a valuable and controllable benchmarking approach.

Next, we consider the Hamiltonian (4) on a twodimensional square lattice. For spin S = 1 and local observable $A = S_i^z$, we compute up to $n_{\text{max}} = 6$ Lanczos coefficients in the thermodynamic limit. In two dimensions, the support of the operator grows rapidly with each successive commutator, making the problem intractable for exact diagonalization already at relatively small n.

As shown in Fig. 3 (a), the computed Lanczos coefficients b_n display a clear linear growth with n. This behavior is well captured by using the fit function:

$$b_n \simeq \alpha n + \gamma + \frac{(-1)^n \alpha}{\log n + c}.$$
(9)

Using this fit, we apply the recursion method to compute the infinite-temperature autocorrelation function C(t) of the observable S_i^z , see Fig. 3 (b). As expected, C(t)quickly relaxes to its equilibrium value.



FIG. 4. Lanczos coefficients b_n for the *d*-state Potts model (10) and (5) as the observable of interest, for different values of *d*. Parameters are fixed at J = d, h = 1. The case d = 2 corresponds to the spin-1/2 Ising model, where the Lanczos coefficients do not exhibit any growth. For d = 3 and d = 4, the growth of b_n is well-approximated by the fit $b_n \simeq \alpha + \gamma \sqrt{n}$, with the following parameters: $\alpha = -0.34$, $\gamma = 2.86$ for d = 3, and $\alpha = -2.15$, $\gamma = 4.45$ for d = 4.

Integrable case We confirmed the linear growth of Lanczos coefficients in the case of non-integrable models with higher spins. However, as noted in [6], in integrable systems, Lanczos coefficients tend to grow as $b_n \sim \sqrt{n}$. It is of interest to test whether this behavior holds in the case of integrable models with higher spins. As an example of such a model, we consider the *d*-state Potts model, which Hamiltonian reads:

$$H_{\rm Potts} = \frac{J}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (Z_i Z_{i+1}^{\dagger})^k + h \sum_{i=1}^{L} (X_i + X_i^{\dagger}), \quad (10)$$

where the operators X and Z are the shift and clock operators defined earlier (7), $Z^{\dagger} = Z^{d-1}$, $X^{\dagger} = X^{d-1}$. The Hamiltonian (10) is already expressed in terms of generalized Pauli strings.

In Fig. 4, we plot the first 15 Lanczos coefficients b_n computed for the Hamiltonian (10) and observable (5). For d = 2, the Hamiltonian (10) reduces to the spin-1/2 Ising model, which is known to be equivalent to a free-fermion model in this case. For d = 3 and d = 4, we observe the characteristic growth of Lanczos coefficients $b_n \sim \sqrt{n}$, typical of integrable models.

Equivalence classes of generalized Pauli strings Lastly, we consider operator dynamics not in the Krylov space, but directly in the space of generalized Pauli strings ()6). In [9], we introduced a formalism of equivalence classes of Pauli strings that applies to spin-1/2systems. Here, we report that this formalism extends naturally to generalized Pauli strings, thus covering systems with arbitrary on-site dimension d.

We define two strings P_1 and P_2 to be equivalent if one can be transformed into the other up to a complex factor via a finite sequence of commutations with the Hamiltonian. In this way, the Hamiltonian H induces an equivalence relation on the operator space, determining how far a local observable A can spread under repeated commutation with H. Consequently, the number of distinct strings that can appear in the expansion (1) is bounded by a finite number D, which we refer to as the Operator Evolution Dimension (OED). The Heisenberg evolution of A can then be written as:

$$A(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{D} f_m(t) P_m,$$
 (11)

where P_m are generalized Pauli strings and $f_m(t)$ are time-dependent coefficients obtained from the Heisenberg equations.

In generic non-integrable models, one expects $D \sim d^L$. However, for particular operator–Hamiltonian pairs, this scaling can be significantly reduced - sometimes even to polynomial in L, as occurs for matchgates and Kitaev chains in spin-1/2 systems [9].

We emphasize that this extension of the equivalence class formalism to generalized Pauli strings is particularly valuable in higher-spin systems, where the full operator space grows rapidly as d^{2L} , and the identification of dynamically closed subspaces becomes even more valuable.

As an illustration of the case where OED is significantly smaller than the entire operator space, consider the following Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\rm KP} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} J_{2i-1}^{x} X_{2i-1}^{\dagger} X_{2i} + J_{2i}^{y} Z_{2i}^{\dagger} Z_{2i+1}, \qquad (12)$$

with J_i^x and J_i^y are arbitrary (possibly time-dependent) parameters. We refer to this model as the Kitaev–Potts Hamiltonian, due to its resemblance to the Kitaev chain with generalized clock and shift operators replacing spin-1/2 operators.

For d = 3, we apply the equivalence class algorithm from [9] to compute the OED for the observable S_i^z . Based on numerical results for finite system sizes up to L = 12, we observe that the data is well described by the following expression:

$$D[S_i^z, H_{\rm KP}] = 2 \cdot 3^{L-1} - 2(1 + (-1)^L).$$
(13)

While still exponential in L, this OED is significantly smaller than the full operator space dimension 3^{2L} , and even slightly below the cost of exact diagonalization in the Hilbert space. This highlights the practical power of the equivalence-class formalism when extended to generalized Pauli operators.

Discussion In this paper, we investigated operator growth in many-body systems with higher spins, exploring both non-integrable and integrable regimes. Our results provide further support for the UOGH and reveal new insights into the structure of operator dynamics in such systems. Based on our data, we conjecture that the Lanczos coefficients converge to a finite limit $b_n(\infty)$ as $S \to \infty$. Moreover, we propose that this limiting value coincides with the Lanczos coefficient computed in the corresponding classical system. A direct verification of this conjecture is beyond the scope of the present work, which primarily focuses on computing $b_n(S)$ for large spin values for the first time. Nevertheless, if confirmed, this connection may provide a novel and intriguing bridge between quantum and classical dynamics through the structure of Lanczos coefficients.

On the integrable side, we have shown that the recently developed formalism of equivalence classes of Pauli strings can be naturally extended to systems with arbitrary spin S, by employing the generalized Pauli string basis. This opens the door to identifying new instances of efficiently simulable dynamics in higher-spin systems.

Finally, the results developed here may enable future applications of techniques such as the recursion method or the Pauli truncation method to many-body systems with higher local dimension.

The author is grateful to Oleg Lychkovskiy and Vsevolod Yashin for useful discussions, and especially to Oleg Lychkovskiy for introducing me to the recursion method, which laid the foundation for my work in this area.

The work was supported by Rosatom in the framework of the Roadmap for Quantum computing (Contract No. 868-1.3-15/15-2021 dated October 5,2021). Part of the work present in the paragraph "Equivalence classes of generalized Pauli strings" was supported by the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "BASIS" under the grant N 22-1-2-55-1.

* ermakov1054@yandex.ru

- Curt W von Keyserlingk, Tibor Rakovszky, Frank Pollmann, and Shivaji Lal Sondhi. Operator hydrodynamics, otocs, and entanglement growth in systems without conservation laws. *Physical Review X*, 8(2):021013, 2018.
- [2] Adam Nahum, Sagar Vijay, and Jeongwan Haah. Operator spreading in random unitary circuits. *Physical Review* X, 8(2):021014, 2018.
- [3] Tibor Rakovszky, Frank Pollmann, and Curt W von Keyserlingk. Diffusive hydrodynamics of out-of-time-ordered correlators with charge conservation. *Physical Review X*, 8(3):031058, 2018.
- [4] Vedika Khemani, Ashvin Vishwanath, and David A Huse. Operator spreading and the emergence of dissipative hydrodynamics under unitary evolution with conservation laws. *Physical Review X*, 8(3):031057, 2018.
- [5] Sarang Gopalakrishnan, David A Huse, Vedika Khemani, and Romain Vasseur. Hydrodynamics of operator spreading and quasiparticle diffusion in interacting integrable systems. *Physical Review B*, 98(22):220303, 2018.
- [6] Daniel E Parker, Xiangyu Cao, Alexander Avdoshkin, Thomas Scaffidi, and Ehud Altman. A universal operator growth hypothesis. *Physical Review X*, 9(4):041017, 2019.

- [7] Yong-Liang Zhang, Yichen Huang, and Xie Chen. Information scrambling in chaotic systems with dissipation. *Physical Review B*, 99(1):014303, 2019.
- [8] Thomas Schuster and Norman Y Yao. Operator growth in open quantum systems. *Physical Review Letters*, 131(16):160402, 2023.
- [9] Igor Ermakov, Tim Byrnes, and Oleg Lychkovskiy. Polynomially restricted operator growth in dynamically integrable models. *Physical Review B*, 111(9):094314, 2025.
- [10] Neil Dowling, Kavan Modi, and Gregory AL White. Bridging entanglement and magic resources through operator space. arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.18679, 2025.
- [11] Igor Ermakov, Oleg Lychkovskiy, and Tim Byrnes. Unified framework for efficiently computable quantum circuits. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08187, 2024.
- [12] Thomas Schuster, Chao Yin, Xun Gao, and Norman Y Yao. A polynomial-time classical algorithm for noisy quantum circuits. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.12768, 2024.
- [13] Filipp Uskov and Oleg Lychkovskiy. Quantum dynamics in one and two dimensions via the recursion method. *Physical Review B*, 109(14):L140301, 2024.
- [14] Ayush De, Umberto Borla, Xiangyu Cao, and Snir Gazit. Stochastic sampling of operator growth dynamics. *Physical Review B*, 110(15):155135, 2024.
- [15] Pratik Nandy, Apollonas S Matsoukas-Roubeas, Pablo Martínez-Azcona, Anatoly Dymarsky, and Adolfo del Campo. Quantum dynamics in krylov space: Methods and applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.09628, 2024.
- [16] Nicolas Loizeau, J. Clayton Peacock, and Dries Sels. Quantum many-body simulations with PauliStrings.jl. *SciPost Phys. Codebases*, page 54, 2025.
- [17] Nicolas Loizeau, Berislav Buča, and Dries Sels. Opening krylov space to access all-time dynamics via dynamical symmetries. arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.07403, 2025.
- [18] Ilya Shirokov, Viacheslav Hrushev, Filipp Uskov, Ivan Dudinets, Igor Ermakov, and Oleg Lychkovskiy. Quench dynamics via recursion method and dynamical quantum phase transitions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.24362, 2025.
- [19] Tomislav Begušić and Garnet Kin-Lic Chan. Real-time operator evolution in two and three dimensions via sparse pauli dynamics. *PRX Quantum*, 6(2):020302, 2025.
- [20] Frank Verstraete, Juan J Garcia-Ripoll, and Juan Ignacio Cirac. Matrix product density operators: Simulation of finite-temperature and dissipative systems. *Physical review letters*, 93(20):207204, 2004.
- [21] Michael Zwolak and Guifré Vidal. Mixed-state dynamics in one-dimensional quantum lattice systems;? format?; a time-dependent superoperator renormalization algorithm. *Physical review letters*, 93(20):207205, 2004.
- [22] Joseph Tindall, Matthew Fishman, E Miles Stoudenmire, and Dries Sels. Efficient tensor network simulation of ibm's eagle kicked ising experiment. *Prx quantum*, 5(1):010308, 2024.
- [23] Tomislav Begušić, Johnnie Gray, and Garnet Kin-Lic Chan. Fast and converged classical simulations of evidence for the utility of quantum computing before fault tolerance. *Science Advances*, 10(3):eadk4321, 2024.
- [24] Nikita Kolganov and Dmitrii A Trunin. Streamlined krylov construction and classification of ergodic floquet systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.19797, 2024.
- [25] Qingmin Hu, Wen-Yi Zhang, Yunguang Han, and Wen-Long You. Krylov complexity in quantum many-

body scars of spin-1 models. *Physical Review B*, 111(16):165106, 2025.

- [26] Tommaso Grigoletto, Yukuan Tao, Francesco Ticozzi, and Lorenza Viola. Exact model reduction for continuous-time open quantum dynamics. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.05102, 2024.
- [27] In fact, the moments $\mu_{2m} = (\mathcal{L}^m A | \mathcal{L}^m A) / ||A||^2$ corresponding to norms of nested commutators, are computed first; the b_n coefficients follow straightforwardly from them.
- [28] Hermann Weyl. Quantenmechanik und gruppentheorie. Zeitschrift f
 ür Physik, 46(1):1–46, 1927.
- [29] Hermann Weyl. The theory of groups and quantum mechanics. Courier Corporation, 1950.
- [30] TS Santhanam and AR Tekumalla. Quantum mechanics in finite dimensions. Foundations of Physics, 6(5):583– 587, 1976.
- [31] R Jagannathan, TS Santhanam, and R Vasudevan. Finite-dimensional quantum mechanics of a particle. *In*ternational Journal of Theoretical Physics, 20:755–773, 1981.
- [32] R Jagannathan and TS Santhanam. Finite-dimensional

quantum mechanics of a particle. ii. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 21:351–362, 1982.

- [33] James Joseph Sylvester. The Collected Mathematical Papers of James Joseph Sylvester..., volume 3. University Press, 1909.
- [34] Daniel Gottesman. Stabilizer codes and quantum error correction. California Institute of Technology, 1997.
- [35] Daniel Gottesman. Fault-tolerant quantum computation with higher-dimensional systems. In NASA International Conference on Quantum Computing and Quantum Communications, pages 302–313. Springer, 1998.
- [36] Vlad Gheorghiu. Standard form of qudit stabilizer groups. *Physics Letters A*, 378(5-6):505–509, 2014.
- [37] Oliver Friedrich, Ashmeet Singh, and Olivier Doré. Toolkit for scalar fields in universes with finitedimensional hilbert space. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 39(23):235012, 2022.
- [38] Rahul Sarkar and Theodore J Yoder. The qudit pauli group: non-commuting pairs, non-commuting sets, and structure theorems. *Quantum*, 8:1307, 2024.