

MODULARITY OF MOMENTS OF RECIPROCAL SUMS FOR PARTITIONS INTO DISTINCT PARTS

KATHRIN BRINGMANN, BYUNGCHAN KIM, AND EUNMI KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we determine modularity properties of the generating function of $s_k(n)$ which sums k -th power of reciprocals of parts throughout all of the partitions of n into distinct parts. In particular, we show that the generating function for $s_k(n)$ is related to Maass Eisenstein series and sesquiharmonic Maass forms.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

A *partition* of $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell$ such that the *parts* λ_j sum up to n . We denote by $p(n)$ the number of partitions of n . Its generating function is, by Euler,

$$P(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty},$$

where, for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we let $(a; q)_n := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j)$. Note that $P(q)$ is (essentially) a modular form. This modularity has many applications including Hardy–Ramanujan’s asymptotic formula [8], Rademacher’s exact formula [10], and Ramanujan’s partition congruences [11]. Graham [5] studied partitions with conditions on the reciprocal of parts. We let $\text{srp}(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$, where $\ell(\lambda)$ is the number of parts of the partition λ and we define \mathcal{D}_n to be the set of partitions of n into distinct parts. Graham [5, Theorem 1] proved that there exists a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $\text{srp}(\lambda) = 1$ if $n \geq 78$. To understand how $\text{srp}(\lambda)$ is distributed along \mathcal{D}_n , the last two authors [9] introduced the counting functions¹ $s_1(n)$ and $s_2(n)$ as

$$s_1(n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{srp}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad s_2(n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{srp}^2(\lambda),$$

which are the first and the second moments of the reciprocal sums of partitions.

In [3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3], the authors of this paper obtained asymptotic formulas for $s_1(n)$ and $s_2(n)$ with polynomial error by analyzing the behavior of their generating functions near roots of unity using the Circle Method. Along the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F20, 11P82, 11P83.

Key words and phrases. Eichler integrals, Eisenstein Series, integer partitions, raising operator, Maass forms, sesquiharmonic Maass forms, sums of reciprocal parts.

¹In [9], $s_1(n)$ and $s_2(n)$ were denoted by $s(n)$ and $ss(n)$.

way, we found that these functions introduce extra terms if we apply modular transformations.

More generally, following [3], let $s_k(n)$ be the k -th moment of the reciprocal sums of partitions for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, i.e.,

$$s_k(n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{srp}^k(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^k.$$

Then the generating function for $s_k(n)$ is

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} s_k(n) q^n = \left[\left(\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^k \prod_{r \geq 1} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{r}} q^r \right) \right]_{\zeta=1}.$$

Define

$$g_k(q) := \left[\left(\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^k \sum_{r \geq 1} \text{Log} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{r}} q^r \right) \right]_{\zeta=1},$$

where Log denotes the principal branch of the logarithm. Note that

$$\frac{1}{(-q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} s_k(n) q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[g_1(q), g_2(q), \dots, g_k(q)].$$

For instance, we have the following generating functions for the first and the second moments, as in Subsection 4.1 of² [9]:

$$\frac{1}{(-q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} s_1(n) q^n = g_1(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n(1+q^n)}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{(-q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} s_2(n) q^n = g_1^2(q) + g_2(q) = \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n(1+q^n)} \right)^2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n^2(1+q^n)^2}.$$

Throughout the paper, we write $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ with $\tau = u + iv \in \mathbb{H}$, the complex upper half-plane. Note that $g_1(q)$ and $g_2(q)$ are reminiscent of the *weight $2k$ Eisenstein series*

$$E_{2k}(\tau) := 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) q^n = 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^{2k-1} q^n}{1 - q^n},$$

where B_ℓ denotes the ℓ -th Bernoulli number and $\sigma_j(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^j$ in the j -th divisor sum. Based on this observation and the nice asymptotic behaviors and the modular-like transformations of $g_1(q)$ and $g_2(q)$ [3], the authors asked whether $g_k(q)$ can be “completed” to a modular object. In this paper, we show that this is indeed the case.

²The q -series $g_1(q)$ could be interpreted as a distinct partition analogue of q -bracket as it is $\frac{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}} \text{srp}(\lambda) q^{|\lambda|}}{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}} q^{|\lambda|}}$, which is similar to the spin q -bracket defined in [12, Subsection 2.1].

First, we consider $g_1(q)$ defined in (1.1) as it behaves differently than $g_k(q)$ for $k \geq 2$. Define

$$\widehat{g}_1(\tau) := 2 \log(2) - \gamma + \frac{6\zeta'(2)}{\pi^2} - \frac{\pi}{2}v + \frac{\log(v)}{2} + g_1(q) + g_1(\bar{q}),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ denotes the usual Riemann zeta function. Note that $g_1(q)$ is basically the “holomorphic part” of $\widehat{g}_1(\tau)$. Our first result shows that \widehat{g}_1 is a so-called sesquiharmonic Maass form. Recall that harmonic Maass forms of weight k are annihilated by $\Delta_k = -\xi_{2-k} \circ \xi_k$, whereas sesquiharmonic Maass forms are annihilated by $-\xi_k \circ \xi_{2-k} \circ \xi_k$ (see Section 2 for details). Here the *shadow operator* is defined as

$$\xi_k := 2iv^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}}.$$

We let

$$\widehat{E}_2(\tau) := 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_1(n) q^n - \frac{3}{\pi v}$$

be the *weight two non-holomorphic Eisenstein series*.

Theorem 1.1. *The function \widehat{g}_1 is a sesquiharmonic Maass form of weight zero on $\Gamma_0(2)$. Moreover, we have*

$$\xi_0(\widehat{g}_1(\tau)) = \frac{\pi}{6} \left(\widehat{E}_2(\tau) - 4\widehat{E}_2(2\tau) \right).$$

Let $E(\tau; s)$ denote the classical Maass Eisenstein series defined in (2.2). We also realize \widehat{g}_1 as a limit of a Maass Eisenstein series.

Theorem 1.2. *We have*

$$\widehat{g}_1(\tau) = -\frac{\pi}{6} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(2E(2\tau; s) - E(\tau; s) - \frac{3}{\pi(s-1)} \right).$$

We next consider $g_k(q)$ for $k \geq 2$. We define for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$

$$\widehat{g}_k(\tau) := \frac{(-1)^{k+1} (4\pi)^k (k-1)! B_{2k}}{2(2k)!} (E(\tau; k) - 2^k E(2\tau; k)).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Then $g_k(q)$ is the holomorphic part of³ $\widehat{g}_k(\tau)$. Moreover, \widehat{g}_k is a Maass form on $\Gamma_0(2)$ with eigenvalue $k(1-k)$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic facts on (weak) Maass forms, the raising operator, sesquiharmonic Maass forms, Maass Eisenstein series, and Eichler integrals. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is given in Section 4. In Section 5, we give an exemplary explicit calculation of $\widehat{g}_2(\tau)$. We end in Section 6 with questions for further research.

³Throughout by *holomorphic part* we mean the contribution that is a q -series - see also the proof of Theorem 1.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Walter Bridges, Larry Rolen, and Jan-Willem van Ittersum for useful comments on an earlier version of this paper. In particular they thank Andreas Mono for pointing the authors to the Kronecker limit formula which lead to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The first author has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 101001179). The third author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (RS-2023-00244423).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Weak Maass forms.

Definition 2.1. A real-analytic function $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a *weak Maass form of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and eigenvalue λ on $\Gamma_0(N)$* if the following conditions hold:

(1) We have, for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$,

$$f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau).$$

(2) We have

$$\Delta_k(f) = \lambda f,$$

where the *weight k Laplace operator* is defined as

$$\Delta_k := -v^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right) + ikv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right).$$

Note that

$$\Delta_k = -\xi_{2-k} \circ \xi_k.$$

(3) The function f has at most linear exponential growth at the cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$.

If $\lambda = 0$, then f is called a *harmonic Maass form*.

Harmonic Maass forms naturally split into a holomorphic and a non-holomorphic part. To be more precise, if f is a harmonic Maass form of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, then f can be written as

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n)q^n + c_f^-(0)v^{1-k} + \sum_{\substack{n \ll \infty \\ n \neq 0}} c_f^-(n)\Gamma(1-k, -4\pi nv)q^n,$$

where $\Gamma(s, y) := \int_y^\infty t^{s-1}e^{-t}dt$ is the *incomplete gamma function*. The first sum is the *holomorphic part* of f and the remaining parts are its *non-holomorphic part*.

2.2. The raising operator. Define the *raising operator*

$$R_\kappa := 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\kappa}{v}.$$

This operator maps harmonic Maass forms of weight κ to Maass forms of weight $\kappa + 2$ and eigenvalue κ (see [2, Lemma 5.2]). Moreover, we require the iterated raising operator. To be more precise, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$R_\kappa^n := R_{\kappa+2(n-1)} \circ \dots \circ R_\kappa.$$

According to [2, Lemma 5.4],

$$R_{2-2k}^n = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} (2 - 2k + r)_{n-r} v^{r-n} (4\pi)^r D^r, \quad (2.1)$$

where the *rising factorial* is defined as $(a)_n := a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ and $D := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$.

2.3. Sesquiharmonic Maass forms.

Definition 2.2. A real-analytic function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *sesquiharmonic Maass form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$* if it satisfies (1) and (3) of Definition 2.1 and instead of (2) we have

$$\Delta_{k,2}(f) = 0,$$

where

$$\Delta_{k,2} := -\xi_k \circ \xi_{2-k} \circ \xi_k.$$

2.4. Maass Eisenstein series. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, we define the classical *Maass Eisenstein series*

$$E(\tau; s) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \operatorname{Im}(\gamma\tau)^s, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Gamma_\infty := \{\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The Maass Eisenstein series are Maass forms of weight 0 on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with eigenvalue $s(1-s)$ under Δ_0 . In the following lemma, we state the Fourier expansion of $E(\tau; s)$.

Lemma 2.3 ([2, Theorem 3.9 iii]). *Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. The Fourier expansion of $E(\tau; s)$ is given by*

$$E(\tau; s) = v^s + \phi(s)v^{1-s} + 2\sqrt{v} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \phi(n, s) K_{s-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi|n|v) e^{2\pi i n u},$$

where $K_\kappa(x)$ is the K -Bessel function of order κ ,

$$\phi(s) := \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta(2s - 1)}{\Gamma(s) \zeta(2s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(n, s) := \frac{\pi^s}{\Gamma(s) \zeta(2s)} \sum_{ab=|n|} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The Kronecker limit formula (see Theorem 1 of [13]) yields that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(E(\tau; s) - \frac{3}{\pi(s-1)} \right) = \frac{6}{\pi} (\gamma - \log(2) - \log(\sqrt{v}|\eta(\tau)|^2)) - \frac{36}{\pi^3} \zeta'(2), \quad (2.3)$$

where the *Dedekind η -function* is defined as

$$\eta(\tau) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{24}}}{P(q)}.$$

The K -Bessel function has the following representation for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ [14, Subsection 3.71, equation (12)]

$$K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(n+r)!}{r!(n-r)!(2x)^r}. \quad (2.4)$$

2.5. Eichler integrals. For $k \geq 1$, we define the (re-normalized) *Eichler integral* of E_{2k} as

$$\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n^{2k-1}(1-q^n)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{1-2k}(n) q^n.$$

For $k \geq 2$, these can be completed to harmonic Maass forms. To state the result, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{2-2k}^*(\tau) &:= v^{2k-1} \\ &+ \frac{2(2k)!}{B_{2k}(4\pi)^{2k-1}} \left(\zeta(2k-1) + \mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{1-2k}(n) \Gamma^*(2k-1, 4\pi n v) q^{-n} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\Gamma^*(s, y) := \frac{\Gamma(s, y)}{\Gamma(s)}$. By [4, Theorem 1.2 (2)], we have the following lemma. Note that

$$D^{2k-1}(\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) = \frac{B_{2k}}{4k} (1 - E_{2k}(\tau)).$$

Lemma 2.4. *We have that, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\ell\tau) := \frac{B_{2k}(4\pi)^{2k-1}}{2(2k)!} \mathbb{E}_{2-2k}^*(\ell\tau)$$

is a harmonic Maass form of weight $2 - 2k$ on $\Gamma_0(\ell)$.

We next turn to the case $k = 1$. Let

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau) := \gamma - \log(2) + \frac{\pi}{6}v - \frac{\log(v)}{2} - \frac{6\zeta'(2)}{\pi^2} + \mathcal{E}_0(\tau) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{-1}(n) \bar{q}^n.$$

From [4, Theorem 1.2 (1)], we have the following modularity.

Lemma 2.5. *For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\mathbb{E}(\ell\tau)$ is a sesquiharmonic Maass form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(\ell)$.*

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We start with Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. To find a completion for $g_1(q)$, we rewrite

$$g_1(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n(1+q^n)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n(1-q^n)} - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n}}{n(1-q^{2n})} = \mathcal{E}_0(\tau) - 2\mathcal{E}_0(2\tau).$$

By Lemma 2.5, $\mathbb{E}(\tau) - 2\mathbb{E}(2\tau)$ is a sesquiharmonic Maass form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(2)$. A direct calculation shows that

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau) - 2\mathbb{E}(2\tau) = \widehat{g}_1(\tau).$$

We now apply ξ_0 to find

$$\xi_0(\mathbb{E}(\tau)) = \frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{1}{2v} - 4\pi \sum_{n \geq 1} n\sigma_{-1}(n)q^n.$$

Using $n\sigma_{-1}(n) = \sigma_1(n)$, the claim on ξ_0 follows. □

Next, we relate \widehat{g}_1 to a Maass Eisenstein series.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In [3, Lemma 3.1], the authors showed that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} s_1(n)q^n = \frac{P(q)}{P(q^2)} \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{P(q)}{P^2(q^2)} \right).$$

By (1.1), we note that

$$g_1(q) = \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{P(q)}{P^2(q^2)} \right).$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$g_1(q) = 2 \operatorname{Log}(\eta(2\tau)) - \frac{\pi i \tau}{4} - \operatorname{Log}(\eta(\tau)).$$

Using (2.3) gives the claim. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Throughout this section, we let $k \geq 2$. To prove Theorem 1.3, we first write $g_k(q)$ in terms of Eichler integrals.

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$g_k(q) = \left(q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^{k-1} (\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau) - 2\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(2\tau)).$$

Proof. Since

$$w \frac{d}{dw} \operatorname{Log}(1+w) = \frac{w}{1-w} - \frac{2w^2}{1-w^2},$$

we have

$$\left(w \frac{d}{dw}\right)^k \operatorname{Log}(1+w) = \left(w \frac{d}{dw}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{w}{1-w} - \frac{2w^2}{1-w^2}\right).$$

This implies that

$$\left[\left(\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^k \operatorname{Log}\left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{n}} q^n\right)\right]_{\zeta=1} = \frac{1}{n^{2k-1}} \left(q \frac{d}{dq}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{q^n}{1-q^n} - \frac{2q^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}}\right).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(q) &= \left[\left(\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^k \sum_{n \geq 1} \operatorname{Log}\left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{n}} q^n\right)\right]_{\zeta=1} = \left(q \frac{d}{dq}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{2k-1}} \left(\frac{q^n}{1-q^n} - \frac{2q^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}}\right) \\ &= \left(q \frac{d}{dq}\right)^{k-1} (\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau) - 2\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(2\tau)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$g_k^*(\tau) := \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^{k-1} R_{2-2k}^{k-1} (\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau) - 2\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(2\tau)).$$

Our goal is to show that $g_k^*(\tau) = \widehat{g}_k(\tau)$. To this end, we first compute the Fourier expansion of $R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau))$.

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) &= \frac{B_{2k}(4\pi)^{2k-1}}{2(2k)!} (k-1)! v^k + (-1)^{k+1} \zeta(2k-1) \frac{(2k-2)!}{(k-1)!} v^{1-k} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \sqrt{\frac{v}{\pi}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{1-2k}(n) (4\pi n)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi n v) (e^{2\pi i n v} + e^{-2\pi i n v}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (2.5) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) &= \frac{B_{2k}(4\pi)^{2k-1}}{2(2k)!} R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(v^{2k-1}) + \zeta(2k-1) R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(1) \\ &\quad + R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{1-2k}(n) R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\Gamma^*(2k-1, 4\pi n v) q^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the individual summands.

Using (2.1), we find that

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(v^{2k-1}) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^r \binom{k-1}{r} (2-2k+r)_{k-1-r} v^{r+1-k} (4\pi)^r D^r (v^{2k-1}).$$

Since

$$(4\pi)^r D^r (v^{2k-1}) = (-1)^r \frac{(2k-1)!}{(2k-r-1)!} v^{2k-1-r}$$

and

$$(2-2k+r)_{k-1-r} = (-1)^{k+r+1} \frac{(2k-r-2)!}{(k-1)!}, \quad (4.1)$$

we obtain that

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1} (v^{2k-1}) = (-1)^{k+1} (2k-1)! v^k \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^r \frac{1}{r!(k-r-1)!} \frac{1}{2k-r-1}.$$

To evaluate the summation above, we let

$$f(x) := \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} \frac{(-1)^r x^{2k-r-1}}{2k-r-1}.$$

Observe that $f(0) = 0$ and

$$f'(x) = (-1)^{k+1} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{k-1}.$$

Thus, we have

$$f(x) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^x t^{k-1} (1-t)^{k-1} dt.$$

In particular,

$$f(1) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^1 t^{k-1} (1-t)^{k-1} dt = (-1)^{k+1} \beta(k, k),$$

where (for $a, b > 0$)

$$\beta(a, b) := \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$$

is the β -function. Recall that

$$\beta(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}.$$

This gives that

$$f(1) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(k)}{\Gamma(2k)} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} (k-1)!^2}{(2k-1)!}.$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1} (v^{2k-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} (2k-1)!}{(k-1)!} f(1) v^k = (k-1)! v^k,$$

which gives the first claimed summand.

For the second summand, we find that, using (2.1) and (4.1),

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(1) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k-2)!}{(k-1)!} v^{1-k}.$$

Next, since we may write

$$\Gamma^*(2k-1, 4\pi nv) q^{-n} = \frac{\bar{q}^n}{\Gamma(2k-1)} \int_0^\infty (t + 4\pi nv)^{2k-2} e^{-t} dt,$$

we have

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\Gamma^*(2k-1, 4\pi nv) q^{-n}) = \frac{\bar{q}^n}{\Gamma(2k-1)} \int_0^\infty R_{2-2k}^{k-1}((t + 4\pi nv)^{2k-2}) e^{-t} dt.$$

Now, using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{2-2k}^{k-1}((t + 4\pi nv)^{2k-2}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^r \binom{k-1}{r} (2-2k+r)_{k-1-r} v^{r+1-k} (4\pi)^r D^r ((t + 4\pi nv)^{2k-2}). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) and the following evaluation

$$D^r ((t + 4\pi nv)^{2k-2}) = (-n)^r \frac{(2k-2)!}{(2k-r-2)!} (t + 4\pi nv)^{2k-2-r},$$

we derive that

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}((t + 4\pi nv)^{2k-2}) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k-2)!}{(k-1)!} v^{1-k} t^{k-1} (t + 4\pi nv)^{k-1}.$$

This implies that

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\Gamma^*(2k-1, 4\pi nv) q^{-n}) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} v^{1-k} \bar{q}^n}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} (t + 4\pi nv)^{k-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

We next evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} (t + 4\pi nv)^{k-1} e^{-t} dt &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (4\pi nv)^{k-1-r} \int_0^\infty t^{k-1+r} e^{-t} dt \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (4\pi nv)^{k-1-r} \Gamma(k+r) \\ &= (k-1)! (4\pi nv)^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k+r-1)!}{r!(k-r-1)! (4\pi nv)^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4), we arrive at

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\Gamma^*(2k-1, 4\pi nv) q^{-n}) = (-1)^{k+1} \sqrt{\frac{v}{\pi}} (4\pi n)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi nv) e^{-2\pi i n u},$$

which gives the contribution of the second term in the summation over n .

Lastly, we evaluate

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{1-2k}(n) R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(q^n).$$

By (2.1), we find

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(q^n) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^r \binom{k-1}{r} (2-2k+r)_{k-1-r} v^{r+1-k} (4\pi)^r D^r(q^n).$$

Computing

$$D^r(q^n) = n^r q^n,$$

and using (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(q^n) &= (-1)^{k+1} v^{1-k} q^n \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(2k-r-2)!}{r!(k-1-r)!} (4\pi n v)^r \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} (4\pi n)^{k-1} q^n \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k+r-1)!}{r!(k-1-r)!(4\pi n v)^r} \end{aligned}$$

by making the changes of variables $r \mapsto k-1-r$. Using (2.4), we arrive at

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(q^n) = (-1)^{k+1} \sqrt{\frac{v}{\pi}} (4\pi n)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi n v) e^{2\pi i n u}.$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) = (-1)^{k+1} \sqrt{\frac{v}{\pi}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{1-2k}(n) (4\pi n)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi n v) e^{2\pi i n u},$$

which gives the remaining contribution. \square

Our next lemma relates $R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau))$ to $E(\tau; k)$.

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) = \frac{B_{2k}(4\pi)^{2k-1}(k-1)!}{2(2k)!} E(\tau; k).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k}(4\pi)^{2k-1}(k-1)!}{2(2k)!} E(\tau; k) &= \frac{B_{2k}(4\pi)^{2k-1}(k-1)!}{2(2k)!} \\ &\times \left(v^k + \phi(k) v^{1-k} + 2\sqrt{v} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \phi(n, k) K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi |n|v) e^{2\pi i n u} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We now compare (4.2) and Lemma 4.2 term-wise. One directly sees that the constants in front of v^k are equal. Using

$$B_{2k} = \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}(2k)!}{(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1$$

and

$$\Gamma(k-1)\Gamma\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{3-2k}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2k-2),$$

we also observe that the coefficients in front of v^{1-k} coincide.

Using

$$\sum_{ab=n} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} = n^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{1-2k}(n) \quad (4.3) \quad \square$$

the claim follows for the remaining term.

Next, we compute the holomorphic part of $(-\frac{1}{4\pi})^{k-1}R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau))$.

Lemma 4.4. *The holomorphic part of*

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^{k-1}R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau))$$

is

$$\left(q\frac{d}{dq}\right)^{k-1}\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau).$$

Proof. Clearly the terms with v^k and v^{1-k} do not contribute to the holomorphic part. To finish the claim, we need to study

$$f_{\pm}(\tau) := \sqrt{v}K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(v)e^{\pm iu}.$$

From (2.4), we obtain

$$\sqrt{v}K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(v)e^v = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1+r)!}{r!(k-1-r)!(2v)^r}$$

and note that the constant term as a function of $\frac{1}{v}$ is $\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Since

$$e^{-v+iu} = e^{i(u+iv)} = e^{i\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-v-iu} = e^{-i(u-iv)} = e^{-i\bar{\tau}},$$

the holomorphic part of $f_+(\tau)$ is $\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}e^{i\tau}$ and $f_-(\tau)$ does not have a holomorphic part. Hence, the holomorphic part of $(-\frac{1}{4\pi})^{k-1}R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau))$ is as claimed. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since

$$R_{\kappa}(f(r\tau)) = r [R_{\kappa}(f(\tau))]_{\tau \rightarrow r\tau},$$

we have

$$R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(2\tau)) = 2^{k-1} [R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau))]_{\tau \rightarrow 2\tau}.$$

By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, $(q\frac{d}{dq})^{k-1}\mathcal{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)$ is the holomorphic part of

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^{k-1}R_{2-2k}^{k-1}(\mathbb{E}_{2-2k}(\tau)) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(4\pi)^k(k-1)!B_{2k}}{2(2k)!}E(\tau; k),$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we conclude that $g_k(q)$ is the holomorphic part of $\widehat{g}_k(\tau)$.

We next determine the modularity properties of \widehat{g}_k . We have that $E(\tau; k)$ is a Maass form on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so $E(2\tau; k)$ is a Maass form on $\Gamma_0(2)$. The eigenvalue of $E(\tau; k)$ is $k(1-k)$ so the same holds for $\widehat{g}_k(\tau)$. \square

5. AN EXAMPLE

Here we explicitly work out the example of $g_2(q)$, writing it in terms of more elementary functions.

By Theorem 1.3, for $k = 2$, we have that $g_2(q)$ is the holomorphic part of

$$\widehat{g}_2(\tau) = \frac{\pi^2}{90}(E(\tau; 2) - 4E(2\tau; 2)).$$

We have, by Lemma 2.3,

$$E(\tau; 2) = v^2 + \frac{\phi(2)}{v} + 2\sqrt{v} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \phi(n, 2) K_{\frac{3}{2}}(2\pi|n|v) e^{2\pi i n u}.$$

We then compute

$$\phi(2) = \frac{45}{\pi^3}\zeta(3), \quad K_{\frac{3}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) e^{-x}.$$

We also have that by (4.3),

$$\phi(n, 2) = \frac{90}{\pi^2} \sum_{ab=|n|} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{90}{\pi^2} |n|^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma_{-3}(|n|).$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$E(\tau; 2) = v^2 + \frac{45\zeta(3)}{\pi^3 v} + \frac{90}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} n \sigma_{-3}(n) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\pi n v}\right) (q^n + \bar{q}^n),$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}_2(\tau) &= -\frac{\pi^2}{6}v^2 - \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi v} + \sum_{n \geq 1} n \sigma_{-3}(n) (q^n - 4q^{2n} + \bar{q}^n - 4\bar{q}^{2n}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi v} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{-3}(n) (q^n - 2q^{2n} + \bar{q}^n - 2\bar{q}^{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that from Lemma 4.1,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n \sigma_{-3}(n) (q^n - 4q^{2n}) = g_2(q).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\widehat{g}_2(\tau) = g_2(q) + g_2(\bar{q}) - \frac{\pi^2}{6}v^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi v} \left(\mathcal{G}_2(q) + \mathcal{G}_2(\bar{q}) - \zeta(3) \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_2(q) := \mathcal{E}_{-2}(\tau) - 2\mathcal{E}_{-2}(2\tau).$$

Note that $(-q; q)_\infty \mathcal{G}_2(\tau)$ can be interpreted as the generating function for $s_3^*(n) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{srp}_3(\lambda)$, where $\text{srp}_k(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^k}$. We first observe that

$$\mathcal{G}_2(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n^3(1-q^n)} - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n}}{n^3(1-q^{2n})} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n^3(1+q^n)}.$$

From the theory of partitions, it is not hard to see that

$$f_3(\zeta; q) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \zeta^{\text{srp}_3(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{n^3}} q^n \right).$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} s_3^*(n) q^n = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} f_3(\zeta; q) \right]_{\zeta=1} = (-q; q)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{n^3(1+q^n)} = (-q; q)_\infty \mathcal{G}_2(q).$$

6. QUESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

- (1) It is natural to ask how $\text{srp}(\lambda)$ is distributed along the set \mathcal{D}_n . Recently, Bridges [1] proved that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n \left(2S - \log(\sqrt{3n}) \leq x \right) = P(H \leq x),$$

where $S(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is chosen uniform randomly, and H is a random harmonic sum, i.e., $H := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{k}$. Here, ε_k are independent random variables with $P(\varepsilon_k = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Note that from [9, Theorem 1.1], $\mathbb{E}(2S) = \log(\sqrt{3n})$. The asymptotics of the moments of $\text{srp}(\lambda)$, i.e., $s_k(n)$, give more detailed information on how $\text{srp}(\lambda)$ is distributed. The modularity of \widehat{g}_k established in this paper could be helpful to derive sharper asymptotics of $s_k(n)$.

- (2) It would be interesting to investigate analogues of $\text{srp}(\lambda)$ which can be related with modular forms like Eisenstein series. As a possible candidate, one may consider a twisted sum of reciprocals of parts in the partition λ ,

$$\text{srp}_{\chi_p}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{\binom{\lambda_j}{p}}{\lambda_j}$$

for a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n$, where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol (mod p) for an odd prime p . Now we define the first moment of $\text{srp}_{\chi_p}(\lambda)$ as

$$s_{\chi_p}(n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{srp}_{\chi_p}(\lambda).$$

Then, the generating function for $s_{\chi_p}(n)$ is

$$T_{\chi_p}(q) := (-q; q)_{\infty} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\chi_p(m) q^m}{m(1+q^m)} = -(-q; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} (-1)^d \chi_p\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) dq^n.$$

Note that the summation part is a formally anti-derivative (w.r.t. $q \frac{d}{dq}$) of

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} (-1)^d \chi_p\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) dq^n.$$

This hints possible modular properties of $T_{\chi_p}(q)$ and higher moments for $\text{srp}_{\chi_p}(\lambda)$.

- (3) Eichler integrals occur in many places. For example, by the works of Han and Ji [6, 7], they are related to q -brackets. So it would be interesting to investigate whether our functions are related to these.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bridges, *Distribution of the sum of reciprocal parts for distinct parts partitions*, arXiv:2503.03899.
- [2] K. Bringmann, A. Folsom, K. Ono, and L. Rolin, *Harmonic Maass forms and mock modular forms: theory and applications*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **64**, 2017.
- [3] K. Bringmann, B. Kim, and E. Kim, *Improved asymptotics for moments of reciprocal sums for partitions into distinct parts*, arXiv:2412.02534.
- [4] K. Bringmann, K. Ono, and I. Wagner, *Eichler integrals of Eisenstein series as q -brackets of weighted t -hook functions on partitions*, Ramanujan J. **61** (2023), no. 1, 279–293.
- [5] R. Graham, *A theorem on partitions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **3** (1963), 435–441.
- [6] G. Han, *The Nekrasov-Okounkov hook length formula: refinement, elementary proof, extension and applications*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **60** (2010), no. 1, 1–29.
- [7] G. Han and K. Ji, *Combining hook length formulas and BG-ranks for partitions via the Littlewood decomposition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 2, 1041–1060.
- [8] G. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *Asymptotic formulae for the distribution of integers of various types*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **16** (1917), 112–132.
- [9] B. Kim and E. Kim, *Distributions at reciprocal sums of part in integer partitions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **211** (2025), 105982.
- [10] H. Rademacher, *On the partition function $p(n)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1937), no. 4, 241–254.
- [11] S. Ramanujan, *Congruence properties of partitions*, Math. Z. **9** (1921), no. 1-2, 147–153.
- [12] A. Sauvaget and J–W. van Ittersum, *Cylinder counts and spin refinement of area Siegel–Veech constants*, Comment. Math. Helv., accepted for publication.
- [13] C. Siegel, *Advanced Analytic Number Theory*, Tata Inst. of Fund. Studies in Math. **9**, 1980.
- [14] G. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 COLOGNE, GERMANY
Email address: `kbringma@math.uni-koeln.de`

SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECH-
NOLOGY, 232 GONGNEUNG-RO, NOWON-GU, SEOUL, 01811, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: `bkim4@seoultech.ac.kr`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EWHA WOMANS UNIVERSITY, 52 EWHAYEODAE-
GIL, SEODAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 03760, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: `ekim67@ewha.ac.kr`; `eunmi.kim67@gmail.com`