Compactified moduli spaces and Hecke correspondences for elliptic curves with a prescribed N-torsion scheme

Elie Studnia

April 10, 2025

Contents

1	Representable moduli problems	8
2	Moduli problems with level structures and degeneracies	14
3	Compactifications of moduli schemes	28
4	Application to our moduli problems	41
5	Galois twists of modular curves	76
\mathbf{A}	Descent and Galois twists	83

Introduction

In the article [49], Mazur asks the following question:

Question (Mazur, [49]) Does there exist non-isogenous elliptic curves $E, F/\mathbb{Q}$ and $N \ge 7$ such that the Galois-modules $E[N](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $F[N](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ are isomorphic?

Mazur suggests the following refinement: as abelian groups, $E[N](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $F[N](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ are both isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$; they are also endowed with a natural perfect bilinear alternating pairing into the set $\mu_N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of N-th roots of unity, the Weil pairing. One can also ask for an isomorphism $E[N](\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to F[N](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ respecting the Weil pairing: such an isomorphism is called symplectic.

The first answer to Mazur's question comes in the article [43] by Kraus and Oesterlé: they show that the elliptic curves with equations $y^2 = x^3 + 7x^2 + 28$ and $y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - x + 3$ (with respective conductors $7448 = 2^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19$ and $152 = 2^3 \cdot 19$, hence non-isogenous) have symplectically isomorphic 7-torsion Galois-modules.

This work has been improved in [37], where the authors revisit the question of finding nonisogenous elliptic curves with isomorphic 7-torsion Galois modules. They prove in Proposition 6.3 of *op.cit.* that there is an infinite family of 6-uples of pairwise non-isogenous elliptic curves $(E_i)_{1\leq i\leq 6}$ such that for every $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$, the Galois-modules $E_i[7](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $E_j[7](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ are symplectically isomorphic.

Their approach is as follows: by [43, Proposition 1], given an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , there is a smooth affine curve $Y_E(7)$ over \mathbb{Q} such that, for any field K of characteristic zero, $Y_E(7)(K)$ is in bijection with the isomorphism classes of couples (F, i), where F/K is an elliptic curve, and $i : E[7]_K \to F[7]$ is a symplectic isomorphism of K-group schemes.

The authors then compute equations for a suitable compactification of $Y_E(7)$ [37, Théorèmes 2.1, 2.2], using the fact that it is a smooth plane quartic, isomorphic over \mathbb{C} to the Klein quartic

X(7) (with projective equation $x^3y + y^3z + z^3x = 0$) over \mathbb{C} , containing over any field where E has three nontrivial 2-torsion points A, B, C the four tautological points

$$(E, id), (E/\langle A \rangle, 5q_A), (E/\langle B \rangle, 5q_B), (E/\langle C \rangle, 5q_C)$$

where q_P denotes the quotient $E \to E/\langle P \rangle$.

With an equation for the curve $X_E(7)$, it can become easier to show that the 7-torsion Galoismodule of a given E'/\mathbb{Q} is symplectically isomorphic to that of E: in [37, Remarque 6.1], the authors give an example for (E, E') where the symplectic isomorphism of 7-torsion follows from the equation, while checking it by comparing their *L*-series as in [43, Proposition 4] would require computing coefficients at all prime indices $p \leq 25 \cdot 10^9$.

Aided by the equation, the authors then construct, for certain elliptic curves E, new points on the curve $X_E(7)$ by geometric methods, which is enough to complete the proof of [37, Proposition 6.3].

Similarly, for any $n \geq 3$, and any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, for any elliptic curve E defined over \mathbb{Q} , it seems known¹ that there exists a smooth affine curve $Y_E^{\alpha}(n)$ over \mathbb{Q} such that for any field K of characteristic 0, $Y_E^{\alpha}(n)(K)$ parametrizes the isomorphism classes of pairs (F, i), where F/K is an elliptic curve, and $i : E[n]_K \to F[n]$ is an isomorphism of K-group schemes such that $\langle i(-), i(*) \rangle_{F[n]} = \langle -, * \rangle_{E[n]}^{\alpha}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Weil pairing. Because we can always multiply i by a constant, the curve $Y_E^{\alpha}(n)$ only depends on the class of α modulo squares. In particular, when n is prime or twice an odd prime, only two values of α need to be investigated: 1 and any quadratic non-residue in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ (the case of *anti-symplectic congruences* of elliptic curves).

A similar study to that of [37] has been done for many small values of n: equations for various $X_E^{\alpha}(n)$ over a field of characteristic zero are known for $3 \le n \le 13$ by works of:

- Silverberg and Rubin–Silverberg [56, 58] for $n \leq 5$ and $\alpha = 1$,
- Papadopoulos [53] and Rubin–Silverberg [57] for $(n, \alpha) = (6, 1)$,
- Poonen–Schaefer–Stoll [55] for $(n, \alpha) = (7, -1)$,
- Fisher [17, 18] for $n \leq 5$ and $\alpha = -1$,
- Fisher [20, 19, 21] for n = 9, 11, 13 and any α ,
- Chen [11, 10] for $n \in \{6, 8, 10\}$ and $(n, \alpha) \in \{(12, 1), (12, 7)\},\$
- Frengley [26] for n = 12.

For certain values of (n, α) as above, the above equations were enough to exhibit infinite families of couples (E, F) of non-isogenous elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} such that there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -group schemes $E[n] \to F[n]$ with determinant α .

But for larger n, their arguments tend to rely instead on equations for certain quotient modular diagonal surfaces studied among others by Kani and Schanz [40], coarsely parametrizing triples (E, F, i), where E, F are elliptic curves and $i : E[n] \to F[n]$ is an isomorphism of group schemes with determinant α . The equations attached to these surfaces tend to be more tractable, and the above works thus prove the following:

Theorem For any $3 \le n \le 13$ and every $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, there exists a smooth integral Q-scheme X of dimension one such that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite, non-isogenous elliptic curves \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} over X, and an isomorphism of finite étale X-group schemes $i : \mathcal{E}[n] \to \mathcal{F}[n]$ with determinant α such that the map $(j(\mathcal{E}), j(\mathcal{F})) : X \to \mathbb{A}^2$ is non-constant.

In particular, there is an infinite family of pairs (E, F) of non-isogenous elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} such that there is an isomorphism $i : E[n] \to F[n]$ of group schemes with determinant α . Furthermore, the collection of the $(j(E), j(F)) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ is infinite.

¹For instance, Mazur gives without reference a slightly stronger definition in [50, §I.7].

Using modular diagonal quotient surfaces, Fisher [23] was also able to produce the first known pair of non-isogenous elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} with symplectically isomorphic 17-torsion Galois-modules.

On the other hand, it was recently shown by Cremona and Freitas [12] that there is no pair of non-isogenous elliptic curves (E, F) over \mathbb{Q} such that E[p] and F[p] are isomorphic $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ modules for p > 18 and both E and F have a conductor not greater than 500000. Moreover, the main result of [3] is that infinite families of non-isogenous elliptic curves with isomorphic p-torsion Galois-modules (in the sense of the above Theorem) do not exist for large enough p.

These results are in line with the currently open Frey-Mazur conjecture (as formulated in e.g. $[27]^2$):

Conjecture Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. There is a constant $C_E > 0$ such that, for any prime $p > C_E$, for any elliptic curve F/\mathbb{Q} such that the Galois-modules $E[p](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $F[p](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ are isomorphic, E and F are isogenous.

Where the authors we previously cited managed to find (broadly speaking), for small n, many elliptic curves E such that $X_E^{\alpha}(n)$ had a non-trivial rational point, we are interested in the Frey-Mazur question, that is: given an elliptic curve E and a couple (n, α) with n "large enough", show that the only rational points on $X_E^{\alpha}(n)$ are trivial, i.e. cusps, or come from elliptic curves isogenous to E.

In fact, the main result of Mazur's article [49] is precisely a determination of the rational points of certain classical modular curves (specifically, $X_0(p)$, where $X_1(p)$ was already solved in his work on the Eisenstein ideal [48]): he shows for instance that for primes p > 163, the rational points of the modular curves $X_0(p)$ and $X_1(p)$ are cusps.

His argument is as follows: he first identifies in [48] a quotient of the Jacobian $J_0(p)$ of $X_0(p)$ now known as the *Eisenstein quotient* \tilde{J} , which he proves (using some rather delicate algebraic geometry) has rank zero. In [49], he introduces the *formal immersion argument*: in the context of $X_0(p)$, he studies the geometry of the map $X_0(p) \to J_0(p) \to \tilde{J}$ in finite characteristic at its cusps, and shows that the morphism is a formal immersion.

Mazur can then argue that, in the above situation, a rational point on $X_0(p)$ is never congruent to a cusp modulo some prime $\ell \nmid 2p$, unless it is itself a cusp. In other words, any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} possessing a rational *p*-isogeny (for a prime p > 13) has potentially good reduction away from 2*p*. While more work is needed to deduce the conclusion of [49], this result is already very strong: for instance, it implies by purely local arguments that if E/\mathbb{Q} is an elliptic curve and $P \in E[p](\mathbb{Q})$ for some prime p > 13, then P = 0.

Mazur's approach has been widely re-used to tackle other problems pertaining to algebraic points on modular curves: the rational points on the split Cartan modular curve [5, 6], bounds for the torsion subgroup of any elliptic curve over a number field of given degree [51, 54], and rational points on certain covers of the nonsplit Cartan structure, corresponding to an auxiliary level structure [14] or a smaller Galois image [44, 28].

The formal immersion argument plays a very special role in all these demonstrations, and applying it requires a precise understanding of the geometry of the modular curve of interest, specifically of the special fibres of its compactification over a ring of integers (rather than a number field).

In the case of classical modular curves, this was done by finding them good definitions as moduli spaces that made sense over more general bases than fields, which was precisely described

²This name is also used to refer to certain stronger versions of the claim, such as [23, Conjecture 1.1] which claims that $C_E = 18$ always works in the statement following this footnote.

in the well-known treatises [15] and [42]. In the latter, the authors are able to leverage their careful study of the curve $X_1(p^r)$ in characteristic p to prove potential good reduction results at p for its Jacobian.

This article is dedicated to understanding the geometry of the modular curves $X_E^{\alpha}(N)$ over more general bases than characteristic zero fields, as is the case in most of the previously cited works. We also include a discussion of the degeneracy maps yielding the usual Hecke correspondences over a more general base. In particular, our construction provides the following:

- proofs for the construction over \mathbb{Q} that do not seem easily accessible otherwise,
- upgrades of the equations of $X_E^{\alpha}(n)$ derived over fields to equations valid over any regular excellent Noetherian Q-algebra which is a domain (in Section 4.3),
- a study of the mixed characteristic case and the geometry of the special fibres.

The last point does not seem to have been discussed in the literature, in spite of its importance to the formal immersion argument.³

More precisely, our results are the following.

Theorem 1 Let G be a finite locally free commutative group scheme over a ring R and $N, m \ge 1$ be integers with $N \ge 3$. The functor mapping a R-scheme S to the collection of isomorphism classes of triples (F, C, i), where

- F/S is an elliptic curve,
- $i: G_S \to F[N]$ is an isomorphism of group schemes,
- C is a subgroup scheme of F, locally free over S of degree m and $cyclic^4$,

is representable by a finitely presented R-scheme $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$.

The scheme $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ has a map $j: Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ (called its j-invariant) sending any S-point (F/S, C, i) to the j-invariant of the elliptic curve F, and the group $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ acts on the \mathbb{A}^1_R -scheme $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ as follows: any $n \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ maps a point (F/S, C, i) to $[n](F/S, C, i) := (F/S, C, n \cdot i).$

If the R-scheme $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ admits a R'-point for some faithfully flat R-algebra, it is smooth of relative dimension one over R except at the supersingular j-invariants in characteristic $p \mid m$.

If d, t are positive integers and $dt \mid m$, there is a finite locally free degeneracy map

$$D_{d,t}: Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(t))$$

mapping any triple (F/S, C, i) to $((F/C[d])/S, q(C)[t], q \circ i)$, where the notation [n] denotes the "standard" cyclic subgroup of order n (see [42, Theorem 6.7.2]) and $q : F \to F/(C[d])$ is the natural isogeny. Moreover, $D_{d,t}$ commutes with the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.

We omit $\Gamma_0(1)$ from the notation, since a $\Gamma_0(1)$ -structure is simply the zero section of an elliptic curve.

Theorem 2 Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer and G be a finite locally free commutative group scheme over some $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra R, étale-locally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$. Let m be a positive integer coprime to N. There is a finite étale $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ -torsor $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$ on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that for any connected R-scheme S, $\operatorname{Pol}(G)(S)$ is the collection of bilinear alternating surjective maps $G_S \times G_S \to (\mu_N)_S$. Then

³Or other explicit methods to determine the rational points such as the Chabauty–Kim method [4].

⁴in the sense of [42, Chapter 3.4], that is: there is a family $(T_i)_i$ of flat finitely presented S-schemes such that $\prod_i T_i \to S$ is surjective and for each *i*, there is a section σ_i of F_{T_i}/T_i such that C_{T_i} is equal to $\sum_{s=0}^{m-1} [s\sigma_i]$ as Cartier divisors on F_{T_i}

(a) The rule

$$(F/S, C, i) \mapsto \left[G_S \times G_S \stackrel{i \times i}{\to} E[N] \times E[N] \stackrel{\mathrm{We}}{\to} \mu_N \right]$$

defines a flat morphism det : $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(G)$ of relative dimension one, with geometrically connected Cohen-Macaulay fibres.

(b) If d,t are positive integers such that $dt \mid m$ and $n \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_G(N,\Gamma_0(m)) & \stackrel{\text{det}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Pol}(G) & & Y_G(N,\Gamma_0(m)) & \stackrel{\text{det}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Pol}(G) \\ & & & & \downarrow^{D_{d,t}} & & \downarrow^{\cdot d} & & \downarrow^{[n]} & & \downarrow^{\cdot n^2} \\ Y_G(N,\Gamma_0(t)) & \stackrel{\text{det}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Pol}(G) & & Y_G(N,\Gamma_0(m)) & \stackrel{\text{det}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Pol}(G) \end{array}$$

(c) The map $(j, \det) : Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \times_R \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is finite locally free.

Assume furthermore that there are coprime positive integers m_0, m_1 such that $m = m_0m_1$ with m_0 square-free and m_1 invertible in R. Then $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \text{Pol}(G)$ is smooth, except possibly at supersingular points on fibres of residue characteristic dividing m_0 .

Having investigated the geometric properties of the moduli space in this nice case, we then show that it has a well-behaved compactification.

Theorem 3 Keep the setup of the last part of Theorem 2. Assume furthermore that R is a regular excellent Noetherian ring. Then there exists a finite locally free $\mathbb{P}^1_R \times \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ -scheme $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ endowed with a Cartesian diagram

such that

- $-X_G(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(G)$ is smooth of relative dimension one, except possibly at points with supersingular *j*-invariant in residue characteristic dividing m_0 ,
- the reduced subscheme attached to the closed subspace $\{j = \infty\}$ of $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ (its cuspidal subscheme) is finite étale over R and is a relative effective Cartier divisor,
- If d,t are positive integers such that $dt \mid m$, $D_{d,t}$ extends to a finite locally free map $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to X_G(N, \Gamma_0(t))$, $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ still acts on $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$, the diagram in (b) still commutes after replacing all instances of Y_G with X_G , and the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ commutes with the $D_{d,t}$ after the extension.
- The formation of $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ and its cuspidal subscheme, the extensions of j and the $D_{d,t}$ commute with any base change.

The application of interest is the case where the group G is already the N-torsion subgroup scheme of some elliptic curve E/R, where R is the ring of S-integers in some number field. In this case, Pol(G) contains a section, the Weil pairing, hence it is trivial. The interest of allowing for additional $\Gamma_0(m)$ -structures in the previous results is that the degeneracy maps give rise to the Hecke correspondences.

Corollary 1 Let K be a number field, E/K be an elliptic curve and $N \ge 3$. Let S be a set of places of K containing all the places dividing N or the conductor ideal of E. For every

 $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, there is a smooth projective curve $X_E^{\alpha}(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ with geometrically connected fibres containing an affine open subscheme $Y_E^{\alpha}(N)$ such that:

- For any \mathcal{O} -algebra A, $Y_E^{\alpha}(N)(A)$ is naturally isomorphic to the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (F/A, i), where F is an elliptic curve over A and $i : E[N]_A \to F[N]$ is an isomorphism of group schemes such that the following diagram commutes:

$$E[N]_A \times_A E[N]_A \xrightarrow{\operatorname{We}} (\mu_N)_A$$
$$\downarrow^{i \times i} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\cdot \alpha}$$
$$F[N] \times_A F[N] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{We}} (\mu_N)_A$$

- There is a finite locally free map $j: X_E^{\alpha}(N) \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $Y_E^{\alpha}(N) = j^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{O}})$ and under the above isomorphism, j maps a point (F/A, i) to the j-invariant of F.
- The reduced closed subscheme of $X_E^{\alpha}(N)$ attached to $X_E^{\alpha}(N) \setminus Y_E^{\alpha}(N)$ (its cuspidal subscheme) is finite étale over Spec \mathcal{O} .
- The group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ acts on $X_E^{\alpha}(N)$ by mapping a noncuspidal point (E/S, i) to $(E/S, n \cdot i)$. After applying det, the action of n becomes the multiplication by n^2 on $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$.
- For any prime $q \nmid N$, there is a natural Hecke correspondence

$$T_q: \operatorname{Jac}(X_E^{\alpha}(N)) \to \operatorname{Jac}(X_E^{q\alpha}(N)),$$

and the Hecke correspondences commute pairwise and commute to the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. - The above construction commutes with any base change $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}'$.

In particular, the smooth projective geometrically connected curve $X_E^{\alpha}(N)_K$ has good reduction (resp. potentially good reduction) at every place of good reduction for E not dividing N (resp. every place of K not dividing N).

In the above statement, one strategy to define the Hecke operator T_q would be to define it after inverting q (since all maps then become between smooth projective relative curves) then use the Néron model property for the Jacobians of the $J_E^{\alpha}(N)$. However, the properties of the $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ over bases where m is not invertible make it possible to consider a well-behaved Hecke operator constructed by "pull-back then pushforward" at the level of the Pic⁰ functors, and thus for the Jacobians of the $X_G(N)$, without having to invoke the Néron model property, which lets us deal with higher-dimensional bases.

We also discuss some properties of the curves $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ when N is not invertible over the base ring.

Theorem 4 Let p be a prime and $N \ge 3$ be a multiple of p. Let R be a Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p, E be an elliptic curve over R and G be the finite locally free R-group scheme $\mathcal{E}[N]$. By Theorem 1, $Y_G(N)$ is a smooth R-scheme of relative dimension one.

- If the special fibre of E is ordinary, then the *j*-invariant map $Y_G(N) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ is quasi-finite flat; it becomes locally free after any base change $R \to k$ to a field, but the rank is not the same depending on whether k has characteristic dividing N or not. Moreover, if $N' \geq 3$ is a divisor of N, the group scheme $G' = G[N'] = \mathcal{E}[N']$ is finite locally free and the natural forgetful map $Y_G(N) \to Y_{G'}(N')$ is flat and quasi-finite.
- If the special fibre of E is supersingular, then the geometric special fibre of $Y_G(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is the disjoint reunion of a finite number of copies of \mathbb{A}^1 which can be explicitly parametrized. Furthermore, the *j*-invariant map $Y_G(N) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ is locally constant; in particular, it is neither quasi-finite nor flat. Similarly, if $N' \geq 3$ is a divisor of $\frac{N}{p}$ and $G' = G[N'] = \mathcal{E}[N']$

(which is finite locally free), the set-theoretic image of the natural forgetful morphism $d: Y_G(N) \to Y_{G'}(N')$ contains finitely many points of the special fibre of $Y_{G'}(N')$. In particular, d is neither flat nor quasi-finite.

Theorem 4 is the main result of this text not figuring in the author's PhD dissertation [60].

In [43, 21, 26], the curve $X_E^{\alpha}(N)$ is usually defined by a Galois twist of the classical modular curve X(N) (or rather its geometrically connected variant defined over \mathbb{Q}); it is not entirely apparent that this construction is equivalent to the one above. We prove that it is the case.

Theorem 5 Let $N \ge 3$ be an integer and k be a field of characteristic not dividing N with separable closure k_s . Let X(N) denote the curve attached by Theorem 2 to the constant étale group $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_k^{\oplus 2}$; it is endowed with a left action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ given for any noncuspidal point $(E/S, \iota) \in X(N)(S)$ by $M \cdot (E/S, \iota) = (E/S, \iota \circ M^T)$.

Fix a basis (P,Q) of $G(k_s)$, and let $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be the function defined by

$$\forall g \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k), \ \rho(g) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g(P) \\ g(Q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then ρ is an anti-homomorphism, and let $X(N)_{\rho^{-1}}$ denote the Galois twist (in the sense of Proposition A.2.4) of X(N) by the homomorphism ρ^{-1} : $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Then $X(N)_{\rho^{-1}}$ is also endowed with a finite locally free morphism $j: X(N)_{\rho^{-1}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ representing the *j*-invariant, with Hecke correspondences and an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ coming from those on X(N).

We let $\tau_{\rho} : X(N)_{k_s} \to (X(N)_{\rho^{-1}})_{k_s}$ be the isomorphism of k_s -schemes determined by the twist. For any intermediate field $k \subset L \subset k_s$, $X(N)_{\rho^{-1}}(L) \cap j^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1_k)$ is in (functorial) bijection with the equivalence classes of triples (E, U, V), where E/L is an elliptic curve, (U, V) is a basis of $E[N](k_s)$, and one has

$$\forall g \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L), \qquad \rho(g) \begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g(U) \\ g(V) \end{pmatrix}$$

Through such a bijection, τ_{ρ}^{-1} maps the point (E/L, U, V) to $(E/k_s, (a, b) \mapsto aU + bV)$.

Then there is a isomorphism of \mathbb{P}^1_k -schemes $\iota : X_G(N) \to X(N)_{\rho^{-1}}$ such that, for any noncuspidal $(E/k_s, \varphi) \in X_G(N)(k_s)$, one has

$$\iota((E/k_s,\varphi)) = \tau_{\rho}(E/k_s, (a,b) \mapsto a\varphi(P) + b\varphi(Q)) \in X(N)_{\rho^{-1}}(k_s).$$

After passing to the Jacobians, this isomorphism preserves the Hecke operators and the action of [n].

If G is endowed with a perfect bilinear alternated pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G : G \times G \to \mu_N$ (so that $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is a constant k-group scheme), then $\det \rho$ is the mod N cyclotomic character. Let $\mu_N^{\times}(k_s)$ be the constant k-scheme with underlying set $\mu_N^{\times}(k_s)$ of primitive N-th roots of unity in $\overline{k_s}$; there is a map $X(N)_{\rho^{-1}} \to \mu_N^{\times}(k_s)$ whose fibres are smooth projective geometrically connected curves over k such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_G(N) & \stackrel{\operatorname{det}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Pol}(G) \\ & & & \downarrow^{\mu \mapsto \mu(P,Q)} \\ X(N)_{\rho^{-1}} & \stackrel{}{\longrightarrow} & \underline{\mu}_N^{\times}(k_s) \end{array}$$

Notation

- If p is a prime and $n \ge 1$ is an integer, $v_p(n)$ denotes its p-adic valuation.
- If R is a ring and S is a set, S_R (or sometimes \underline{S}_R) denotes the constant R-scheme with underlying set S.
- If $N \ge 1$ is an integer, μ_N denotes the finite flat group scheme $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N 1)$ over \mathbb{Z} , that is, the Cartier dual of the constant group scheme $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and μ_N^{\times} the finite flat \mathbb{Z} -scheme $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[X]/\Phi_N(X)$, where $\Phi_N(X)$ is the N-th cyclotomic polynomial, which is étale above $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$.
- If $N \ge 1$ is an ineger, for every $d \mid N$, there is a natural closed immersion $\mu_d^{\times} \to \mu_N$ (given on coordinate rings by $X \mapsto X$), and the induced map $\coprod_{d \mid N} \mu_d^{\times} \to \mu_N$ is an isomorphism over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$.
- If $N \ge 1$ is an integer, \mathcal{O}_N denotes the ring $\mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]$, where ζ_N is a primitive N-th root of unity.
- If $N \ge 1$ is an integer, every $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, <u>a</u> denotes the automorphism of μ_N (or μ_N^{\times} , or Spec \mathcal{O}_N , or their coordinate rings depending on context, which is usually clear) given on $\mathcal{O}(\mu_N)$ by $X \mapsto X^a$.
- If X is a scheme, \mathcal{O}_X (or \mathcal{O} if it is clear in context) denotes its structure sheaf; at a point $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ (or \mathfrak{m}_x if X is clear) denotes the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, and $\kappa(x)$ denotes the residue field $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$. The ambient scheme X is omitted from the notation because if $Z \to X$ is an immersion, then the residue fields of $x \in Z$ and $x \in X$ are naturally identified.

Acknowledgements: The inspiration to write this article largely arose in an email exchange with Barry Mazur, who pointed out to me how the definition of the twisted modular curves as moduli spaces made their reduction theory largely easier to understand. This text was largely a part of my PhD dissertation, and I am thus grateful to my supervisor Loïc Merel for his support, help, comments and general encouragement to clarify the literature, as well as my thesis referees Pierre Parent and Henri Darmon for their suggestions and comments. The study of the situation in characteristic dividing N was not in my thesis, and I started thinking about it after Jan Vonk and Vincent Pilloni both asked me this question. I am grateful to Luc Illusie, Adrian Vasiu, Frans Oort and Ofer Gabber for fruitful conversations about some of the algeometric geometry arguments contained in the paper, in particular those in characteristic p and about p-divisible groups.

The author was partly supported by ERC Grant "GAGARIN" 101076941.

1 Representable moduli problems

1.1 Basic definitions

We recall the framework introduced in [42, Chapter 4].

Given a ring R, we denote by \mathbf{Ell}_R the category defined as follows. Its objects are relative elliptic curves $E \to S$ (which we often denote as E/S), where S is a R-scheme; given two relative elliptic curves $F \to T$ and $E \to S$, with S, T being R-schemes, a morphism $F/T \to E/S$ is a Cartesan diagram of R-schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

where α preserves the zero section, so that $F \to E \times_S T$ is an isomorphism of elliptic curves over T (by [42, Theorem 2.5.1]).

Note that if R' is a R-algebra, we have a faithful forgetful functor $\mathbf{Ell}_{R'} \to \mathbf{Ell}_R$.

Definition 1.1.1 A moduli problem over R is a contravariant functor $\mathscr{P} : \operatorname{Ell}_R \to \operatorname{Set}$. If R' is a R-algebra, the base change $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ of \mathscr{P} to R is the functor $\operatorname{Ell}_{R'} \to \operatorname{Ell}_R \xrightarrow{\mathscr{P}} \operatorname{Set}$.

Definition 1.1.2 A moduli problem \mathscr{P} over R is

- rigid if for any object E/S of (\mathbf{Ell}_R) , the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(E/S)$ on $\mathscr{P}(E/S)$ (on the right) is free, that is: for any $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$, any $g \in \operatorname{Aut}_R(E/S) \setminus \{\operatorname{id}\}, \mathscr{P}(g) \alpha \neq \alpha$.
- relatively representable if for any object E/S of \mathbf{Ell}_R , the functor

$$T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \longmapsto \mathscr{P}(E_T/T) \in \mathbf{Set}$$

is representable by a S-scheme $\mathscr{P}_{E/S}$.

- representable if there exists an object $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{M}(\mathscr{P})$ of \mathbf{Ell}_R and some $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{M}(\mathscr{P}))$ such that, for any object E/S in \mathbf{Ell}_R ,

$$f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Ell}}_{R}}(E/S, \mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})) \longmapsto \mathscr{P}(f)\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$$

is a bijection. We call $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ the moduli scheme, and we say that $(\mathscr{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}), \alpha)$ represents the moduli problem \mathscr{P} . We will often omit α or \mathscr{E} from the notation if it is not relevant.

The following result is formal:

Proposition 1.1.3 Let \mathscr{P} be a moduli problem over R and let R' be a R-algebra. Let $\mathscr{P}' = \mathscr{P}_{R'}$ be the base change of \mathscr{P} .

- If \mathscr{P} is rigid, then so is \mathscr{P}' .
- If \mathscr{P} is relatively representable, then any morphism $F/T \to E/S$ in \mathbf{Ell}_R induces a functorial isomorphism $\mathscr{P}_{F/T} \to \mathscr{P}_{E/S} \times_S T$,
- If \mathscr{P} is relatively representable, then \mathscr{P}' is relatively representable, and, for any object E/S in $\operatorname{Ell}_{R'}$, $\mathscr{P}'_{E/S}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{E/S}$ are isomorphic S-schemes.
- If \mathscr{P} is representable by $(\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}), \alpha)$, then \mathscr{P}' is representable by

$$(\mathcal{E}_{R'}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}, \mathscr{P}[\mathcal{E}_{R'}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})_{R'} \to \mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})]\alpha).$$

In particular, we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}') \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}$ (we say that the formation of the moduli scheme commutes with base change).

Let \mathscr{C} be a property of morphisms of schemes. We say that it is *good* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- it is stable under pre- and post-composition by isomorphisms,
- it is stable under arbitary base change,
- it satisfies fpqc descent in the following sense: if $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism such that there exists a fpqc cover by maps $Y_i \to Y$ such that $f_{Y_i}: X \times_Y Y_i \to Y_i$ satisfies the property \mathscr{C} , then f satisfies the property \mathscr{C} .

All the properties given in Proposition A.1.5 are good.

Definition 1.1.4 Let \mathscr{P} be a relatively representable moduli problem over R and \mathscr{C} be a good property of morphisms of schemes. We say that \mathscr{P} satisfies \mathscr{C} over \mathbf{Ell}_R if for every object E/S in \mathbf{Ell}_R , then $\mathscr{P}_{E/S} \to S$ has property \mathscr{C} .

If $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R$ is a locally closed subscheme, we say that \mathscr{P} satisfies \mathscr{C} above Z if, for every object E/S in \mathbf{Ell}_R such that $j(E/S) \in \mathbb{A}^1_R(S)$ factors through Z, then $\mathscr{P}_{E/S} \to S$ satisfies property \mathscr{C} .

Definition 1.1.5 Let \mathscr{P} be a moduli problem over R, representable by the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$. The *j*-invariant of \mathcal{E} is a morphism $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$, which we also call the *j*-invariant map.

One checks formally the following results:

Lemma 1.1.6 The formation of the *j*-invariant map commutes with base change of representable moduli problems.

Lemma 1.1.7 Let \mathscr{C} be a good property of morphisms of schemes, \mathscr{P} be a relatively representable moduli problem over R, and \mathscr{P}' be the base change of \mathscr{P} to an R-algebra R'. Then

- If \mathscr{P} has property \mathscr{C} over \mathbf{Ell}_R , then \mathscr{P}' has property \mathscr{C} over $\mathbf{Ell}_{R'}$,
- If R' is faithfully flat over R and \mathscr{P}' has property \mathscr{C} over $\operatorname{Ell}_{R'}$, then \mathscr{P} has property \mathscr{C} over Ell_{R} .

Lemma 1.1.8 Let $T : \mathscr{P} \Rightarrow \mathscr{P}'$ be a natural transformation of relatively representable moduli problems over Ell_R . Then, for every object E/S in Ell_R , T induces a morphism of S-schemes $\mathscr{P}_{E/S} \to \mathscr{P}'_{E/S}$ whose formation commutes with base change. If $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ are representable, then T induces a map $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}')$ whose formation commutes with base change.

1.2 Representability results

Proposition 1.2.1 ([42, (4.3.4)]) Let $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ be relatively representable moduli problems over \mathbf{Ell}_R . Then the moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R

$$\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}' : E/S \longmapsto \mathscr{P}(E/S) \times \mathscr{P}'(E/S)$$

(with its natural action on the morphisms in \mathbf{Ell}_R) is relatively representable. Moreover, for every object E/S of \mathbf{Ell}_R , one has a canonical isomorphism of S-schemes

$$(\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}')_{E/S} \to \mathscr{P}_{E/S} \times_S \mathscr{P}'_{E/S}$$

If furthermore \mathscr{P} is representable by $(\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}), \alpha)$, then $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}'$ is representable by

$$\left((p')^* \mathcal{E}/\mathscr{P}'_{\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})}, ((\mathscr{P}p')(\alpha), \beta)\right),$$

where $p': \mathscr{P}'_{\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})} \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is the map given by the relative representability of \mathscr{P}' , and

$$\beta \in \mathscr{P}'((p')^* \mathcal{E}/\mathscr{P}'_{\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})})$$

corresponds to the identity under the identification

$$\mathscr{P}'((p')^*\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{P}'_{\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})})\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})}(\mathscr{P}'_{\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})}, \mathscr{P}'_{\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})})$$

Proof. – This is [42, (4.3.4)], but since the proof (essentially an exercise in formalism) is not detailed in the book, we do it here. For the first claim, we simply note that if E/S is an object of **Ell**_R and T is any S-scheme, then we have, fonctorially in T,

$$(\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}')(E_T/T) \simeq \mathscr{P}(E_T/T) \times \mathscr{P}'(E_T/T)$$

$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_S(T, \mathscr{P}_{E/S}) \times \operatorname{Hom}_S(T, \mathscr{P}'_{E/S})$$

$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_S(T, \mathscr{P}_{E/S} \times_S \mathscr{P}'_{E/S}),$$

which concludes.

Now let us consider the second claim. For the sake of easier notation, let $B = \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$, $B' = \mathscr{P}'_{\mathcal{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})}$, and $\alpha' = (\mathscr{P}p')(\alpha)$. Given an object E/S of \mathbf{Ell}_R , we have an isomorphism $\iota_{E/S}$ of functors on \mathbf{Sch}_S : $\iota_{E,S} : \mathscr{P}'(E_T/T) \to \mathrm{Mor}_S(T, \mathscr{P}'_{E/S})$. In particular, β is the inverse image under $\iota_{\mathcal{E}/B}(B')$ of $\mathrm{id}_{B'} \in \mathrm{Mor}_B(B', B')$.

Let F/T be any object of \mathbf{Ell}_R : we need to prove that for any $a \in \mathscr{P}(F/T)$, $b \in \mathscr{P}'(F/T)$, there is a unique morphism $f \in \mathbf{Ell}_R(F/T, (p')^*\mathcal{E}/B')$ such that $(\mathscr{P}f)\alpha' = a, (\mathscr{P}'f)(\beta) = b$.

Let us pick $a \in \mathscr{P}(F/T)$ and $b \in \mathscr{P}'(F/T)$. There is a morphism $f_1 \in \operatorname{Ell}_R(F/T, \mathcal{E}/B)$ such that $a = (\mathscr{P}f_1)(\alpha)$. Let g_1 be the induced morphism $T \to B$, so that f_1 determines an isomorphism $f_2 : F/T \simeq g_1^* \mathcal{E}/T$. We thus can define $b' = (\mathscr{P}'(f_2^{-1}))(b) \in \mathscr{P}'(\mathcal{E} \times_B T/T)$. Let $(\iota_{\mathcal{E}/B}T)(b') = \tilde{f}_3 \in \operatorname{Mor}_B(T, B')$, and f_3 be the induced morphism $(\tilde{f}_3)^*(p')^* \mathcal{E}/T \to (p')^* \mathcal{E}/B'$ (noting that $\tilde{f}_3^*(p')^* = (p' \circ \tilde{f}_3)^* = g_1^*$).

By Yoneda's lemma, one has $\tilde{f}_3 = (\iota_{\mathcal{E}/B}\tilde{f}_3)((\iota_{\mathcal{E}/B}B')\beta) = (\iota_{\mathcal{E}/B}T)(\mathscr{P}'f_3)(\beta)$, and therefore $b' = (\mathscr{P}'f_3)\beta$, whence $b = (\mathscr{P}'(f_3 \circ f_2))(\beta)$. Moreover, one has

$$\mathscr{P}(f_3 \circ f_2)(\alpha') = \mathscr{P}(p' \circ f_3 \circ f_2)(\alpha) = \mathscr{P}(f_1)(\alpha) = a.$$

Now, we need to show injectivity. Let $\tilde{p}': (p')^* \mathcal{E}/B' \to \mathcal{E}/B$ be the natural morphism. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \operatorname{Ell}_R(F/T, (p')^* \mathcal{E}/B')$ be such that $(\mathscr{P}f_i)(\alpha') = a, (\mathscr{P}'f_i)(\beta) = b$. Thus one has $a = (\mathscr{P}f_i)(\alpha') = (\mathscr{P}f_i) \circ (\mathscr{P}\tilde{p}')(\alpha)$, so that the two maps $\tilde{p}' \circ f_1 = \tilde{p}' \circ f_2$. In particular, the two base maps $\tilde{f}_i: T \to B'$ attached to the f_i define the same structure of B-scheme on T, and the two isomorphisms $g_i \in \operatorname{Ell}_R(F/T, \tilde{f}_i^*(p')^* \mathcal{E}/T)$ induced by f_i are the same, since $\tilde{f}_i^*(p')^* = q^*$.

Let f'_i denote the morphism $q^* \mathcal{E}/T \to (p')^* \mathcal{E}$ induced by \tilde{f}_i . We have $f_i = f'_i \circ g_i$, and since $g_1 = g_2$ are isomorphisms, one knows that $(\mathscr{P}'f'_i)(\beta)$ is independent from i. Now, $(\mathscr{P}'f'_i)(\beta) = (\mathscr{P}'f'_i)((\iota_{\mathcal{E}/B}B')^{-1}\mathrm{id}_{B'}) = (\iota_{\mathcal{E}/B}T)^{-1}(\tilde{f}_i)$. Therefore, $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$, hence $f'_1 = f'_2$ and $f_1 = f_2$.

Proposition 1.2.2 Let R be a ring and \mathscr{P} be a moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R . Assume that \mathscr{P} is rigid, relatively representable and affine. Then \mathscr{P} is representable.

Proof. – This is exactly [42, (4.7.0)]. Now, *loc.cit.* only discusses the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$, but the argument works for any ring. Indeed, the moduli problems used to cover $\mathbf{Ell}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the "Legendre" and "naïve level three" problems (over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[1/3]$ respectively) are still representable by smooth affine curves over R[1/2] and R[1/3] for any ring R, by Proposition 1.1.3. Moreover, the argument does not use any property of \mathbb{Z} as a base ring.

Proposition 1.2.3 Let $R \to R'$ be a faithfully flat étale ring homomorphism, and let \mathscr{P} be a moduli problem on Ell_R. Assume that:

- $-\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is representable and affine over $\mathbf{Ell}_{R'}$,
- For all objects E/S of \mathbf{Ell}_R , $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \mapsto \mathscr{P}(E_T/T)$ is a fpqc sheaf.

Then \mathscr{P} is representable over \mathbf{Ell}_R .

Proof. – By Proposition 1.2.2, we need to show that \mathscr{P} is relatively representable, affine over **Ell**_R, and rigid.

Let us first show that \mathscr{P} is rigid. Let T be a R-algebra, E/T be an elliptic curve, $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/T)$ and $u \in \operatorname{Aut}(E/T)$ such that $(\mathscr{P}u)(\alpha) = \alpha$. Let $T' = T \otimes_R R'$ and α', u' be the base changes of α, u to the elliptic curve $E_{T'}/T'$. Since $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is representable, and since $\alpha' \in (\mathscr{P}_{R'})(E_{T'}/T')$, and $(\mathscr{P}_{R'}u')(\alpha') = \alpha'$, one has $u' = \operatorname{id}$. Thus the automorphisms id and u of the T-scheme Ebecome equal after a faithfully flat base change with respect to T: so $u = \operatorname{id}$.

Now, we show that \mathscr{P} is relatively representable and affine over \mathbf{Ell}_R . Let E/T be an elliptic curve where T is a R-scheme; we want to show that the pre-sheaf on the category of T-schemes $U \longmapsto \mathscr{P}(E_U/U)$ is representable. The hypothesis states that this pre-sheaf is an fpqc sheaf, and

its restriction to the category of $T_{R'} = T \times_R \text{Spec } R'$ -schemes (where $T_{R'} \to T$ is faithfully flat) is representable by a scheme X' affine over $T_{R'}$. By Proposition A.1.4, this sheaf is representable by an affine T-scheme X.

1.3 Basic moduli problems and elementary applications

Definition 1.3.1 Let $m \ge 1$ be an integer. Let us recall the definitions of the basic moduli problems $[\Gamma(m)]_R, [\Gamma_1(m)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ over a ring R, following [42, Chapter 3]. In the case of $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R$ and $[\Gamma(m)]_R$, we assume that $m \in R^{\times}$.

The moduli problem $[\Gamma(m)]_R$ (resp. $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R$) is defined as follows:

- Let *E* be an elliptic curve over a *R*-scheme *S*. Then $[\Gamma(m)]_R(E/S)$ (resp. $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R(E/S)$) is the set of group homomorphisms $\phi : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} \to E[m](S)$ (resp. $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to E[m](S)$) such that for any geometric point \overline{s} of *S*, the induced map $\phi : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} \to E[m](\overline{s})$ (resp. $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to E[m](\overline{s})$) is an isomorphism (resp. is injective). Equivalently, $[\Gamma(m)]_R(E/S)$ (resp. $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R(E/S)$) denotes the collection of couples $(P,Q) \in E[m](S)$ (resp. of $P \in E[m](S)$) such that for any geometric point \overline{s} of *S*, the image of (P,Q) in $E[m](\overline{s})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of this group (resp. the image of *P* in $E[m](\overline{s})$ has order exactly *m*).
- Given a morphism $F/T \to E/S$ in **Ell**_R, the morphism $[\Gamma(m)]_R(E/S) \to [\Gamma(m)]_R(F/T)$ (resp. $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R(E/S) \to [\Gamma_1(m)]_R(F/T)$) is induced by the map $E(S) \to E_T(T) \simeq F(T)$.

The moduli problem $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is defined as follows (as in [42, (3.4)]):

- Given an elliptic curve E over some R-scheme S, $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R(E/S)$ denotes the collection of S-subgroup schemes C of E that are finite locally free of degree m over S, and admit fppf-locally over S a generator⁵, in the sense that, fppf-locally on S, there is a section $P \in C(S)$ such that as Cartier divisors over E, C is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} [iP]$.
- Given a morphism $F/T \to E/S$ in \mathbf{Ell}_R , the morphism $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R(E/S) \to [\Gamma_0(m)]_R(F/T)$ is given by $C \longmapsto C_T$.

Remark 1.3.2 [42, (3.4)] also gives another definition for the moduli problem $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$, as the collection of isomorphism classes of isogenies $E \to E'$ whose kernel is finite locally free of degree m over S and fppf-locally cyclic. The two definitions are equivalent because, for any relative elliptic curve E/S and any subgroup scheme G of E which is finite locally free over S, G is the kernel of a finite locally free isogeny $\pi : E \to E'$ by [2, Lemma 07S7]⁶.

Proposition 1.3.3 For any ring R, and for any integer $m \ge 1$, the moduli problems $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$, $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R$, $[\Gamma(m)]_R$ are relatively representable, finite locally free of constant rank on Ell_R, étale above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$ (over Ell_R). Moreover, when $m \ge 3$ is invertible in R, $[\Gamma(m)]_R$ is representable by a smooth affine R-scheme of relative dimension one. When $m \ge 4$ is invertible in R, $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R$ is representable.

Proof. – The relative representability and the properties of the moduli problems follow from [42, Lemma 4.12.1, Theorem 5.1.1] and Lemma 1.1.7). The representability of $[\Gamma(m)]_R$ is [42, Corollary 4.7.2]. The representability of $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R$ for $m \ge 4$ invertible in R follows from its rigidity, proved as [42, Corollary 2.7.4].

Thanks to this representability result, we can prove that the moduli schemes attached to representable moduli problems satisfying many good properties (in the above sense) also satisfy good properties over the base ring or the affine line.

Proposition 1.3.4 Let \mathscr{C} be a good property of morphisms of schemes satisfying in addition the following assumptions:

⁵We say in the rest of the text that C is a cyclic subgroup of order m of E/S.

⁶I am grateful to MathOverflow user SashaP for pointing out this result to me.

- it is stable under post-composition by surjective smooth affine maps of relative dimension one (resp. by finite locally free maps),
- if $f: X \to Y$ is a finite étale surjective map and $g: Y \to Z$ is such that $g \circ f$ satisfies \mathscr{C} , then g satisfies \mathscr{C} .

Let \mathscr{P} be a representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R having property \mathscr{C} over \mathbf{Ell}_R (resp. above some locally closed subscheme Z of \mathbb{A}^1_R). Then $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ has property \mathscr{C} (resp. the j-invariant map $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ has property \mathscr{C} above Z).

Proof. – Since everything is Zariski-local with respect to R, we can assume that there is an odd prime ℓ invertible in R. The conclusion then follows from the properties of the following commuting diagram:



Let $j_0 : \mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}) \to \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}$ be the *j*-invariant map, so that j_ℓ is the base change of j_0 under the unique morphism $R_0 := \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell] \to R$. The morphism j_0 is finite by [42, Theorem 5.1.1, Proposition 8.2.2]. Moreover, it is a morphism of smooth surjective R_0 -schemes of relative dimension one, in which any nonempty open subscheme of either scheme is regular of dimension 2, therefore it is flat by "miracle flatness" (the corresponding statement in commutative algebra is [2, Lemma 00R4], see also [42, Notes on Chap. 4]); since the two schemes are locally Noetherian, j_0 is locally free. By base change, this implies that j_ℓ is finite locally free.

Now, π_1 is finite étale by [42, Theorem 3.7.1], f_1 satisfies \mathscr{C} (resp. satisfies \mathscr{C} above Z), σ is smooth affine of relative dimension one by [42, Corollary 4.7.2], while j_{ℓ} is finite locally free, so the conclusion follows.

Corollary 1.3.5 Let \mathscr{P} be a representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R .

- If \mathscr{P} is affine (resp. quasi-compact) over \mathbf{Ell}_R , then $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is affine (resp. quasi-compact).
- If \mathscr{P} is surjective (resp. locally quasi-finite, locally of finite type, locally of finite presentation, finite with R Noetherian, flat) over \mathbf{Ell}_R , its j-invariant $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathbb{A}_R^1$ is surjective (resp. locally quasi-finite, locally of finite type, locally of finite presentation, finite, flat). In particular, if \mathscr{P} is locally quasi-finite of finite presentation and flat over \mathbf{Ell}_R , then $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is Cohen-Macaulay (in the sense of [2, Section 00N7]) and its nonempty fibres are pure of relative dimension one.
- If \mathscr{P} is affine of finite presentation over \mathbf{Ell}_R and étale above some open subscheme $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R$, then $j^{-1}(U) \subset \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth of relative dimension one.

Proof. – The first point follows from the properties of affine and quasi-compact morphisms by fpqc descent and [2, Lemma 01ZT]. The second point follows from Proposition A.1.5 for fpqc descent, [2, Section 036M, Section 036K] for the "finite étale descent" conditions, and the fact that finite flat morphisms are clearly Cohen–Macaulay. The dimension of the fibres follows from the results of [2, Section 02NM]. For the last statement, we use the Proposition in the same way along with [2, Lemma 036U] to check that the "finite étale descent" condition is satisfied. \Box

2 Moduli problems with level structures and degeneracies

2.1 Γ_0 -structures and degeneracy maps

We make the following definition inspired by $[42, \S4.14]$.

Definition 2.1.1 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer and R be a ring. Let \mathbf{FGp}_R^N be the category defined as follows:

- Its objects are finite locally free commutative group schemes G/S, where S is a R-scheme, such that G is annihilated by the multiplication by N,
- A morphism $G'/S' \to G/S$ is a Cartesian diagram as below such that the induced map $f: G' \to G \times_S S'$ is an isomorphism of S'-group schemes.



A level N structure for a moduli problem \mathscr{P} on \mathbf{Ell}_R is a contravariant functor

$$F: \mathbf{FGp}_R^N \to \mathbf{Set}$$

such that \mathscr{P} is the composition of F and $E/S \in \mathbf{Ell}_R \mapsto E[N]/S \in \mathbf{FGp}_R^N$. Unless it is both relevant and not obvious, when we claim that a moduli problem \mathscr{P} on \mathbf{Ell}_R is of level N, we omit the functor F from the notation.

Definition 2.1.2 Let $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ be moduli problems on \mathbf{Ell}_R , endowed with level N structures by the functors F, F'. A morphism of moduli problems of level N is a natural transformation $f: F \Rightarrow F'$. In particular, f defines a morphism of functors $\mathscr{P} \Rightarrow \mathscr{P}'$.

Remarks 2.1.3

- If S_0 is a *R*-scheme, the moduli problem $[S_0] : E/T \mapsto S_0(T)$ on **Ell**_R is relatively representable (one clearly has $[S_0]_{E/S} = S_0 \times_R S$) has a natural level N structure for any N.
- Suppose that (\mathscr{P}, F) is a moduli problem of level N, S is a R-scheme, E/S, E'/S are elliptic curves, and $f: E \to E'$ is an isogeny of degree prime to N. Then f induces an isomorphism of S-group schemes $E[N] \to E'[N]$, thus induces an isomorphism $\mathscr{P}(E/S) \to \mathscr{P}(E'/S)$, which we will denote somewhat abusively by $\mathscr{P}f$ or even f for the sake of easier notation. When furthermore E = E' and f is the multiplication by some integer $d \equiv 1 \mod N, f$ acts trivially on E[N]: this means that $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \operatorname{acts}^{7}$ on \mathscr{P} ; we will denote this action by $[\cdot]$. Note that this action preserves the j-invariant.
- We can define notions of base change similarly to the previous section.
- If $N \geq 1$ is an integer and \mathscr{P} is a moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R endowed with the level N structure F, if N' is another integer whose GCD with N is invertible in R, then we can endow \mathscr{P} with the natural level M := NN' structure $F_{N \to M} : \mathbf{FGp}_R^M \xrightarrow{G \mapsto G[N]} \mathbf{FGp}_R^N \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Set}$. This is because any finite locally free commutative group scheme G killed by M is the product of its N- and N'-torsion subschemes, which are both finite locally free commutative group schemes by [42, Proposition 1.7.2].
- The above point does not seem to work any more when the greatest common divisor of N'and N is not invertible in R. Indeed, it is possible to find a finite locally free commutative group scheme G of rank p^2 over some complete discrete valuation ring R such that G[p]

⁷Note that this action and the above isomorphism depend on the choice of F. We usually remove this from the notation, because we never consider two different level structures on the same moduli problem.

is not flat⁸. A possible solution to make this claim true could be to simply restrict the analysis to the category of finite locally free commutative group schemes whose torsion subschemes are flat, which would not change much of the text.

- One obviously has $(F_{N \to M})_{M \to M'} = F_{N \to M'}$ if $M' = MM_1$ and M_1 is prime to M.
- If (\mathscr{P}, F) is a moduli problem of level N, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ acts on \mathscr{P} by projecting to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ and applying $[\cdot]$. If M = NN' with N, N' coprime, then the induced action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ on \mathscr{P} given by the level M structure $(\mathscr{P}, F_{N \to M})$ is the same action.

Proposition 2.1.4 Let $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ be moduli problems on \mathbf{Ell}_R of levels N, N'. Assume that N = dM, N' = dM', where d, M, M' are pairwise coprime positive integers. Then the moduli problem $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}'$ is naturally endowed with a natural level dMM' structure.

Proof. – This is formal: if $F : \mathbf{FGp}_R^N \to \mathbf{Set}$ and $F' : \mathbf{FGp}_R^{N'} \to \mathbf{Set}$ are the functors attached to $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ respectively, then $G \in \mathbf{FGp}_R^M \mapsto F(G[N]) \times F'(G[N'])$ is a level M structure for $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}'$ (the coprimality condition is needed by the above remarks).

Proposition 2.1.5 On any ring R, for any integer $m \ge 1$, the relatively representable moduli problems $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$, $[\Gamma_1(m)]_R$, $[\Gamma(m)]_R$ are endowed with natural level m structures. Moreover, the induced action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ on $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is trivial.

Proof. – The definition of the level m structure follows from the discussion in [42, Chapter 3] (using for instance [42, Proposition 1.10.6]). As to the action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ on $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$, note that, for any $d \geq 1$ coprime to m, if E/S is an elliptic curve and $C \leq E[m]$ is a cyclic subgroup of order⁹ m, then dC = C.

Proposition 2.1.6 Let R be a ring and \mathscr{P} be a representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R of level N. Let m be an integer coprime to N. Then the moduli problem $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is representable by a scheme $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)$ endowed with a finite flat map of locally constant rank to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ (which is étale on the invertible locus of m). This moduli problem has a natural level Nm structure.

Proof. – $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is relatively representable by Proposition 1.3.3, so $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is representable by Proposition 1.2.1. The level structure is given by Proposition 2.1.4.

Proposition 2.1.7 Let m, d, t > 0 be integers such that $dt \mid m$. For any representable moduli problem \mathscr{P} on Ell_R of level N coprime to m, the following rule defines a degeneracy map $D_{d,t} : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)$. Let E/S be an elliptic curve endowed with a cyclic subgroup C of order m, and $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$. Let C_d be the "standard" cyclic subgroup scheme of Cof order d in the sense of [42, Theorem 6.7.2], and let $\pi : E \to E' = E/C_d$ be the cyclic isogeny of degree d. Then $D_{d,t}$ maps $(E/S, \alpha, C)$ to $(E'/S, \pi(\alpha), C')$, where $C' \leq E'[t]$ is the standard cyclic subgroup of degree t of the finite flat cyclic subgroup $\pi(C)$ of E' (which has order m/d by [42, Theorem 6.7.4]).

Definition 2.1.8 The $D_{d,t}$ defined in Proposition 2.1.7 are the *degeneracy maps*.

Corollary 2.1.9 We keep the setting of Proposition 2.1.7.

• The formation of any $D_{d,t}$ is natural with respect to \mathscr{P} (for morphisms of representable moduli problems of level N) and commutes with base change.

⁸The following example has been given to me by O. Gabber and F. Oort: let R be the ring of integers of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with residue field k and \mathcal{E}/R be an elliptic curve with supersingular reduction, and G be the schematic closure of the subgroup generated by a R-section of \mathcal{E} with order p^2 . In particular, G is finite flat of degree p^2 . However, for any $d \ge 1$, \mathcal{E}_k contains a unique closed subscheme of degree d over k concentrated at the identity, since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_k,0}$ is a DVR. In particular, G_k is a subgroup scheme of \mathcal{E}_k concentrated at 0 with degree p^2 , hence $G_k = \mathcal{E}_k[p]$. Hence the generic (resp. special) fibre of G[p] has degree p (resp. p^2), so G[p] is not R-flat.

⁹ in the sense of [42, (3.4)], that is: a closed subgroup scheme of E[m], finite locally free of rank m over S, admitting a generator fppf-locally on S. We will use this definition freely later.

- $D_{1,m}$ is the identity, and every $D_{1,q}$ (for $q \mid m$) is a map of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P})$ -schemes (where the structure map comes from Proposition 2.1.6).
- Let r, s > 0 be such that $rs \mid t$. Then $D_{r,s} \circ D_{d,t} = D_{dr,s}$.

Proof. – The first two statements are completely formal. The last assertion follows from [42, Theorem 6.7.4].

Proposition 2.1.10 Let $F : \mathscr{P} \Rightarrow \mathscr{P}'$ be a morphism of representable moduli problems of level N on \mathbf{Ell}_R . Let $m, d, t \ge 1$ be such that $dt \mid m$ and m is coprime to N. Then the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \xrightarrow{D_{d,t}} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)$$

$$\downarrow^F \qquad \qquad \downarrow^F \qquad \qquad \downarrow^F$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}', [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \xrightarrow{D_{d,t}} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}', [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)$$

Proof. – Let $\tau_1 = (E'/S, \alpha', C') \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)(S), \tau_2 = (E/S, \beta, C) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$ be such that $F(\tau_1) = D_{d,t}(\tau_2) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)(S)$. Then there exists a cyclic isogeny $\pi : E \to E'$ of degree d whose kernel is the standard subgroup C_d of degree d of C, such that C' is the standard cyclic subgroup of degree t of $\pi(C)$ and $F(\alpha') = \pi(\beta)$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$ be the inverse image of α' under π , then $(E/S, \alpha, C) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$ lies above τ_1 by construction; to check that it lies above τ_2 , it is enough to check that $F(\alpha) = \beta$. It is enough to check that $\pi(F(\alpha)) = \pi(\beta)$, which is true since $\pi(F(\alpha)) = F(\pi(\alpha)) = F(\alpha') = \pi(\beta)$.

Suppose that $\tau = (K/S, \gamma, D) \in \mathscr{M}(P, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$ is a point above (τ_1, τ_2) . Because τ lies above τ_2 , there is an isomorphism of elliptic curves $f : K \to E$ mapping $F(\gamma)$ to β and D to C. Moreover, because τ lies above τ_1 , there is a cyclic isogeny $g : K \to E'$ of degree d such that $\alpha' = g(\gamma)$, ker g is the standard subgroup of D of order d, and C' is the standard cyclic subgroup of g(D) of order t.

Then $g, \pi \circ f$ are cyclic isogenies $K \to E'$ with the same kernel. Thus there exists an automorphism ψ of E' such that $\psi \circ g = \pi \circ f$, whence

$$\psi(F(\alpha')) = \psi(F(g(\gamma))) = F(\psi(g(\gamma))) = F(\pi(f(\gamma))) = \pi(f(F(\gamma))) = \pi(\beta) = F(\alpha').$$

Since \mathscr{P}' is representable, it is rigid, hence ψ is the identity. Therefore, $\pi(\alpha) = \alpha' = \pi(f(\gamma))$, whence $f(\gamma) = \alpha$, so that $f: (F/S, \gamma, D) \to (E/S, \alpha, C)$ is an isomorphism and we are done. \Box

Lemma 2.1.11 Let E, F be relative elliptic curves over a basis S, endowed with cyclic subgroups C, D of coprime degrees $c, d \ge 1$. Suppose we are given an isomorphism $\iota : E/C \to F/D$ of elliptic curves (thus endowing F with the structure of finite locally free E/C-scheme of degree d). Then $E \times_{E/C} F$ is an elliptic curve over S.

Proof. – Since E, F, E/C are proper commutative group schemes over S, so is $G = E \times_{E/C} F$. Moreover, $G \to S$ factors through $G \to F \to S$, with $G \to F$ finite locally free and $F \to S$ smooth of relative dimension one, hence G is a proper flat commutative group scheme over S of relative dimension one.

Moreover, $G_{S[1/c]} \to F_{S[1/c]}$ is the base change of the étale isogeny $E_{S[1/c]} \to (E/C)_{S[1/c]}$, so it is étale and $G_{S[1/c]} \to S$ is smooth of relative dimension one. Similarly, $G \to S$ is smooth above S[1/d] of relative dimension one. Since c, d are coprime, $G \to S$ is a proper smooth commutative group scheme of relative dimension one.

We finally need to check that the geometric fibres of $G \to S$ are connected, so we may assume that S is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field k. Let $p: E \to E/C, q: F \to F/D$ be the projections and G^0 be the connected component of G containing the unit: G^0 is an elliptic curve over S and it is enough to show that $G(k) = G^0(k)$. The map $u := (p^{\vee}\iota^{-1}q, c \cdot \mathrm{id}) : F \to E \times_{E/C} F$ factors through G^0 ; for any $(x, y) \in G(k)$, one has $p^{\vee}\iota^{-1}q(y) = p^{\vee}p(x) = cx$, so $c(x, y) = u(y) \in G^0(k)$. Therefore $G(k)/G^0(k)$ is killed by c. Similarly, $G(k)/G^0(k)$ is killed by d, whence $G(k) = G^0(k)$.

Proposition 2.1.12 Let \mathscr{P} be a representable moduli problem of level N on \mathbf{Ell}_R . Let $m \ge 1$ be an integer coprime to N. Let $s', t' \ge 1$ be two coprime divisors of m, and $s, t \ge 1$ be divisors of s', t' respectively. Then the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) & \xrightarrow{D_{s, \frac{m}{s'}}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0\left(\frac{m}{s'}\right)]_R) \\ & \downarrow^{D_{t, \frac{m}{t'}}} & \downarrow^{D_{t, \frac{m}{s't'}}} \\ \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0\left(\frac{m}{t'}\right)]_R) & \xrightarrow{D_{s, \frac{m}{s't'}}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0\left(\frac{m}{t's'}\right)]_R) \end{split}$$

Proof. – It is straightforward to check that the diagram commutes using [42, Lemma 3.5.1, Theorem 6.7.4]. Let s_{∞}, t_{∞} be the greatest divisors of m which have the same prime divisors as s', t' respectively. Then $s_{\infty}, t_{\infty}, r_{\infty} := \frac{m}{s_{\infty} t_{\infty}}$ are pairwise coprime positive integers. If C is a cyclic subgroup of some elliptic curve E/S, let C[d] denote its standard cyclic

If C is a cyclic subgroup of some elliptic curve E/S, let C[d] denote its standard cyclic subgroup of degree d.

Let

$$x_1 = (E_1/S, \alpha_1, C_1) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(\frac{m}{t'})]_R)(S), x_2 = (E_2/S, \alpha_2, C_2) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(\frac{m}{s'})]_R)(S)$$

be such that $D_{s,\frac{m}{s't'}}(x_1) = D_{t,\frac{m}{s't'}}(x_2).$

Step 1: Surjectivity

Thus, there exists an isomorphism $\iota : E_1/C_1[s] \to E_2/C_2[t]$ identifying the standard cyclic subgroups of order $\frac{m}{s't'}$ of $C_1/C_1[s]$ and $C_2/C_2[t]$ such that

$$(\mathscr{P}\iota)(\alpha_1 \mod C_1[s]) = \alpha_2 \mod C_2[t].$$

Since s, t are pairwise coprime, $E = E_1 \times_{E_1/C_1[s]} E_2$ is a relative elliptic curve over S by Lemma 2.1.11. Let $\pi_i : E \to E_i$ be the natural projection: π_1, π_2 are isogenies of degrees t, srespectively. Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$ be such that $(\mathscr{P}\pi_1)(\alpha) = \alpha_1$: then

$$(\mathscr{P}\pi_2)(\alpha) \mod C_2[t] = (\mathscr{P}\iota)((\mathscr{P}\pi_1)(\alpha) \mod C_1[s]) = (\mathscr{P}\iota)(\alpha_1 \mod C_1[s])$$
$$= \alpha_2 \mod C_2[t],$$

whence $(\mathscr{P}\pi_2)(\alpha) = \alpha_2$.

Since t is coprime to $r_{\infty}s_{\infty}$ (resp. s is coprime to $r_{\infty}t_{\infty}$), there exists a unique cyclic subgroup C'_1 of order $r_{\infty}s_{\infty}$ (resp. C'_2 of order $r_{\infty}t_{\infty}$) of E such that $\pi_1(C'_1) = C_1[r_{\infty}s_{\infty}]$ (resp. $\pi_2(C'_2) = C_2[r_{\infty}t_{\infty}]$).

Since $s \mid r_{\infty}s_{\infty}, t \mid r_{\infty}t_{\infty}$ and st is coprime to r_{∞} , one has

$$\iota \{ \pi_1 (C'_1 [r_{\infty}]) \mod C_1[s] \} = \iota \{ C_1 [r_{\infty}] \mod C_1[s] \}$$

= $\iota (C_1 \mod C_1[s]) [r_{\infty}] = (C_2 \mod C_2[t]) [r_{\infty}]$
= $C_2 [r_{\infty}] \mod C_2[t] = \pi_2 (C'_2 [r_{\infty}]),$

so that C'_1 and C'_2 have the same r_∞ -torsion and there exists by [42, Lemma 3.5.1] a cyclic subgroup C of E with degree m such that $C[r_\infty s_\infty] = C'_1, C[r_\infty t_\infty] = C'_2$.

We claim that

$$D_{s,\frac{m}{s'}}((E,\alpha,C)) = x_2, \qquad D_{t,\frac{m}{t'}}((E,\alpha,C)) = x_1.$$

It is enough to prove that

$$\ker \pi_1 = C[t], \ \pi_1(C[t_\infty])[\frac{t_\infty}{t'}] = C_1[\frac{t_\infty}{t'}], \qquad \ker \pi_2 = C[s], \ \pi_2(C[s_\infty])[\frac{s_\infty}{s'}] = C_2[\frac{s_\infty}{s'}].$$

Let $p_1: E_1 \to E_1/C_1[s], p_2: E_2 \to E_2/C_2[t]$ be the projections, then

$$(p_2 \circ \pi_2)(C[t]) = p_2(\pi_2(C[t_\infty])[t]) = p_2(C_2[t_\infty][t]) = p_2(C_2[t]) = 0.$$

Similarly, the kernel of $p_2 \circ \pi_2 = \iota \circ p_1 \circ \pi_1$ contains C[s]. Thus $p_2 \circ \pi_2$ is an isogeny of degree st whose kernel contains the cyclic subgroup C[s] + C[t] = C[ts] of degree st. In other words, $C[st] \to \ker p_2 \circ \pi_2$ is a closed immersion of finite locally free S-schemes of same rank, hence an isomorphism¹⁰.

Since p_2, π_2 are composable cyclic isogenies of coprime degrees, by [42, Proposition 6.7.10], ker π_2 is the standard cyclic subgroup of ker $p_2 \circ \pi_2 = C[st]$ of degree deg $\pi_2 = s$, so it is C[s] by [42, Theorem 6.7.4]. Similarly, one has ker $\pi_1 = C[t]$. The subgroups $\pi_1(C[t_\infty])[\frac{t_\infty}{t'}], C_1[\frac{t_\infty}{t'}]$ are cyclic with degree dividing t_∞ . Since p_1 has degree coprime to t_∞ , the two subgroups are equal if $p_1(\pi_1(C[t_\infty])[\frac{t_\infty}{t'}]) = p_1(C_1[\frac{t_\infty}{t'}])$. Now,

$$p_1(\pi_1(C[t_{\infty}])[\frac{t_{\infty}}{t'}]) = \iota^{-1}(p_2 \circ \pi_2(C[t_{\infty}]))[\frac{t_{\infty}}{t'}] = \iota^{-1}(p_2(C_2[t_{\infty}])[\frac{t_{\infty}}{t'}])$$
$$= \iota^{-1}((C_2[t_{\infty}] \mod C_2[t])[\frac{t_{\infty}}{t'}]) = \iota^{-1}(C_2 \mod C_2[t])[\frac{m}{s't'}][\frac{t_{\infty}}{t'}]$$
$$= (C_1 \mod C_1[s])[\frac{m}{s't'}][\frac{t_{\infty}}{t'}] = p_1(C_1[\frac{t_{\infty}}{t'}]),$$

and the same holds for C_2 , which concludes the proof that $D_{s,\frac{m}{s'}}((E,\alpha,C)) = x_2$ and $D_{t,\frac{m}{t'}}((E,\alpha,C)) = x_1$.

Step 2: Injectivity

Let $z = (F, \beta, D) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$ be such that $D_{s,\frac{m}{s'}}(z) = x_2, D_{t,\frac{m}{t'}}(z) = x_1$. Let us show that z is the same point as (E, α, C) .

Since $D_{t,\frac{m}{t'}}((F,\beta,D)) = x_1$, there is a cyclic isogeny $j_1: F \to E_1$ of degree t with kernel D[t] such that $(\mathscr{P}j_1)(\beta) = \alpha_1, C_1 = j_1(D)[\frac{m}{t'}]$. Similarly, there is a cyclic isogeny $j_2: F \to E_2$ of degree s with kernel C[s] and such that $(\mathscr{P}j_2)(\beta) = \alpha_2$ and $C_2 = j_2(D)[\frac{m}{s'}]$.

The couple $j := (j_1, j_2) : F \to E = E_1 \times_{E_1/C_1[s]} E_2$ is a morphism of S-elliptic curves with $\pi_1 \circ j = j_1$, so j is an isogeny of degree one, i.e. an isomorphism. In particular,

$$(\mathscr{P}j)(\beta) = (\mathscr{P}\pi_1)^{-1}(\mathscr{P}j_1)(\beta) = (\mathscr{P}\pi_1)^{-1}\alpha_1 = \alpha.$$

The subgroup scheme C' := j(D) of E is cyclic of degree m. To prove that C = C', we find two integers a, b with least common multiple m such that C'[a] = C[a] and C'[b] = C[b]. Now, one has $\pi_1(C')[\frac{m}{t'}] = j_1(D)[\frac{m}{t'}] = C_1[\frac{m}{t'}]$, so $\pi_1(C')[\frac{m}{t_{\infty}}] = C_1[\frac{m}{t_{\infty}}] = \pi_1(C)[\frac{m}{t_{\infty}}]$. Since π_1 has degree t prime to $\frac{m}{t_{\infty}} = r_{\infty}s_{\infty}$, one has $C'[\frac{m}{t_{\infty}}] = C[\frac{m}{t_{\infty}}]$. Similarly, $C'[\frac{m}{s_{\infty}}] = C[\frac{m}{s_{\infty}}]$, whence C = C', which concludes the proof.

Proposition 2.1.13 Let m, d be positive integers such that $d \mid m$ and d is coprime to $\frac{m}{d}$. For any representable moduli problem \mathscr{P} on \mathbf{Ell}_R of level N coprime to m, there is an Atkin–Lehner automorphism w_d of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)$ defined by the following rule. Let E/S be an elliptic curve endowed with a cyclic subgroup C of order m, and $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$. Let $C_d, C_{\frac{m}{d}}$ be the cyclic subgroups of C of respective degrees $d, \frac{m}{d}$, and $\pi : E \to E'$ the cyclic isogeny of kernel C_d . Let

¹⁰We may indeed assume that S is the spectrum of a local ring, so that $\mathcal{O}(\ker [p_2 \circ \pi_2]) \to \mathcal{O}(C[st])$ is a surjective map of free $\mathcal{O}(S)$ -modules of same rank, hence an isomorphism.

C' the finite flat S-subgroup scheme of E'[m] of degree m defined as follows: its d-torsion is the kernel of π^{\vee} , and its $\frac{m}{d}$ -torsion is $\pi(C) = \pi(C_{\frac{m}{d}})$. Then w_d maps $(E/S, \alpha, C)$ to $(E'/S, \pi(\alpha), C')$. Furthermore,

- The formation of any w_d is natural with respect to \mathscr{P} (for morphisms of representable moduli problems of level N, such as the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$) and commutes with base change,
- If $d, d' \mid m$ are positive integers such that d is coprime to $\frac{m}{d}$ and d' is coprime to $\frac{m}{d'}$, then one has $w_d \circ w_{d'} = [\delta] \circ w_{\frac{dd'}{s^2}}$, where δ is the greatest common divisor of d, d'.
- If d, t are positive integers such that $dt \mid m$ and d is coprime to $\frac{m}{d}$, then $D_{d,t} = D_{1,t} \circ w_d$.

Proof. – That the definition constructs a morphism of R-schemes of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)$ into itself follows from [42, Lemma 3.5.1, Theorem 6.7.4]. In fact, since d and $\frac{m}{d}$ are coprime, we do not need to involve in this case the notion of standard subgroup; we will use freely the combination of these results throughout the proof. The naturality and commutation to base change is immediate. As in the previous proof, if D is a cyclic subgroup of order k of some elliptic curve F/T, and $\ell \geq 1$ is a divisor of k, then $D[\ell]$ denotes the standard cyclic subgroup of D of order ℓ .

Note that the last identity is a direct computation.

To check that the w_d are automorphisms, it is enough to prove that $w_d \circ w_{d'} = [\delta] \circ w_{\frac{dd'}{\delta^2}}$ when d, d' are prime powers, which we now assume.

When d = d', w_d maps a triple $(E/S, \alpha, C)$ to $(E'/S, \pi(\alpha), C')$, where $\pi : E \to E' := E/C[d]$ is the cyclic isogeny of degree d whose kernel is exactly the d-torsion subgroup of C, and C' is the subgroup $\pi(C) + \ker \pi^{\vee}$ (by [42, Lemma 3.5.1, Theorem 6.7.4]). Thus

$$w_d^2(E/S, \alpha, C) = (E'/C'[d], (\pi^{\vee} \circ \pi)(\alpha), \pi^{\vee}(C')) = (E'/\ker \pi^{\vee}, [d]\alpha, \pi^{\vee}(\pi(C)) + \ker (\pi^{\vee})^{\vee}) \\ = (E/S, [d]\alpha, dC + C[d]) = [d](E/S, \alpha, C).$$

When $d \neq d'$ (so that $d, d', \frac{m}{dd'}$ are pairwise coprime), $w_{d'}$ maps a triple $(E/S, \alpha, C)$ to $(E_1/S, \pi_1(\alpha), C_1)$, where $\pi_1 : E \to E_1$ is the isogeny whose kernel is the d'-torsion of C, and $C_1 = \ker \pi_1^{\vee} + \pi_1(C)$; then $w_d(E_1/S, \pi_1(\alpha), C_1) = (E_2/S, \pi_2(\pi_1(\alpha)), C_2)$, where $\pi_2 : E_1 \to E_2$ is the isogeny whose kernel is the d-torsion of C_1 , and $C_2 = \ker \pi_2^{\vee} + \pi_2(C_1)$.

Since $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ is an isogeny of degree dd', we need to prove that $\ker \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 = C[dd']$, that $C_2\left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right] = \pi_2(\pi_1(C))$, and that $C_2[dd'] = \ker \pi_1^{\vee} \circ \pi_2^{\vee}$.

One has $C_2\left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right] = C_2\left[\frac{m}{d}\right] \left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right] = \pi_2(C_1)\left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right]$. Since π_1, π_2 have degree coprime to $\frac{m}{dd'}$, one thus has

$$C_2\left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right] = \pi_2(C_1\left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right]) = \pi_2(\pi_1(C)\left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right]) = (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)(C)\left[\frac{m}{dd'}\right].$$

Since π_1, π_2 have coprime degrees and are cyclic, $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ is cyclic by [42, Proposition 6.7.10]; its kernel K is cyclic of degree dd' and C[d'] is its (standard) cyclic subgroup of degree d'. Moreover $\pi_2(\pi_1(C[dd'])) = \pi_2(C_1[d]) = 0$, so $C[dd'] \to K$ is a closed immersion of locally free S-schemes of rank dd', thus an isomorphism.

Finally, $\pi_1^{\vee} \circ \pi_2^{\vee}$ is an isogeny of degree dd', so, as above, it suffices to show that $\pi_1^{\vee} \circ \pi_2^{\vee}$ vanishes on $C_2[d]$ and on $C_2[d']$. Since deg π_1 is prime to d, one has $(\ker \pi_1^{\vee} \circ \pi_2^{\vee})[d] = (\ker \pi_2^{\vee})[d] = C_2[d]$. Moreover, $C_2[d']$ is by construction equal to $\pi_2(C_1[d'])$ (since π_2 has degree prime to d') so $\pi_2^{\vee}(C_2[d']) = C_1[d']$ is equal to $\ker \pi_1^{\vee}$, thus $C_2[d']$ is contained in $\ker \pi_1^{\vee} \circ \pi_2^{\vee}$, whence the conclusion.

Proposition 2.1.14 Let \mathscr{P} be a representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R of level N and $m, m' \geq 1$ be such that N, m, m' are pairwise coprime. Let $\mathscr{P}' = \mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$. There is a natural (with respect to representable moduli problems of level N) isomorphism of moduli problems (of level Nmm', and over \mathscr{P}) $\mathscr{P}' \times [\Gamma_0(m')]_R \simeq \mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(mm')]_R$. Let $d, r, s \ge 1$ be divisors of m' such that d and $\frac{m'}{d}$ are coprime and $rs \mid m'$. Then, under the above isomorphism, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_0(m')]_R) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(mm')]_R) \\ w_d(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_0(m')]_R) & \uparrow \\ \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_0(m')]_R) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(mm')]_R) \\ & \downarrow D_{r,s}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_0(m')]_R) & \downarrow D_{r,ms}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(mm')]_R) \\ & \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_0(s)]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(ms)]_R) \end{split}$$

Proof. – This is completely formal, using [42, Lemma 3.5.1, Theorem 6.7.4] for the relevant results on cyclic subgroups. \Box

Corollary 2.1.15 Let \mathscr{P} be a representable moduli problem on Ell_R of level N, let $m \geq 1$ be coprime to N, and let $d, t \geq 1$ be such that $dt \mid m$. Then $D_{d,t}$ is finite locally free, and étale above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$.

Proof. – When d = 1, finiteness (resp. étaleness above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$) follows from the fact that $D_{1,t}$ is a morphism of finite locally free $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ -schemes (resp. finite étale $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ -schemes above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$) by Proposition 1.3.3. Moreover, $D_{1,1}$ is the map $[\Gamma_0(m)]_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{P}}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})} \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$, which is also finite locally free by Proposition 1.3.3.

For arbitrary d, it is formal to check that $D_{1,1} \circ D_{d,t} = D_{1,1} \circ w_d \circ D_{1,d}$, so that the composition $D_{1,1} \circ D_{d,t}$ is finite locally free (and étale above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$). Hence $D_{d,t}$ is finite by [45, Lemma 3.3.15 (b)].¹¹ By the same argument, we can also show that it should be étale above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$: indeed, after inverting m, we are reduced to a situation where we have morphisms $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ of R-schemes of finite presentation such that g and $g \circ f$ are finite étale. Then f is the composition $X = X \times_Y Y \to X \times_Z Y \to Y$. The first arrow is a base change of the diagonal of g, so is an open immersion, and the second arrow is a base change of $g \circ f$ hence is étale.

To prove that the morphism is locally free, first assume that $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma(\ell)]_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}$ for some prime $\ell \nmid 2m$.

In this case, the morphism is finite and the moduli schemes are regular affine with every nonempty open subset of dimension 2 (because \mathscr{P} is a modular family and the moduli problems $[\Gamma_0(s)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are regular by [42, Theorem 5.1.1]), and $D_{d,t}$ is finite, so the miracle flatness theorem (see the discussion in the proof of Proposition 1.3.4) applies and we are done.

Let us treat the general case. The claim is Zariski-local with respect to R, so we may assume that there exists a prime $\ell \nmid 2Nm$ invertible in R. Since $[\Gamma(\ell)]_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}$ is representable finite étale of constant rank over $\mathbf{Ell}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}$, for any divisor $s \geq 1$ of m, the forgetful map $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(s)]_R, [\Gamma(\ell)]_R) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(s)]_R)$ is finite étale of constant rank. By Proposition 2.1.10, the two squares in the following diagram are Cartesian.

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \longleftarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P} \times [\Gamma(\ell)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)$$

$$\downarrow^{D_{d,t}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{D_{d,t}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{D_{d,t}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R) \longleftarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P} \times [\Gamma(\ell)]_R, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_R, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)$$

Therefore, the special case above shows that the rightmost vertical arrow is finite locally free, thus the same holds for the middle vertical arrow by base change, and thus for the leftmost vertical arrow as well by descent. $\hfill \Box$

¹¹It seems that the $f \circ g$ of *loc.cit.* should be read as $g \circ f$.

2.2 Degeneracies for $\Gamma_1(N)$ -structures

Definition 2.2.1 Let $N, r \ge 1$ be integers such that $r \mid N$. Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra. The moduli problem $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R$ on \mathbf{Ell}_R is defined as follows: given a relative elliptic curve E/S, $\mathscr{P}(E/S)$ is the collection of couples (P, C), where $P \in E(S)$ has exact order N, C is a cyclic subgroup of E/S of order r such that the image of P in (E/C)(S) has exact order N.

Proposition 2.2.2 Let $N, r \ge 1$ with $r \mid N$ and R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra. Then $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R$ is relatively representable and finite étale of constant rank; it is representable if $N \ge 4$. It is endowed with a natural level N structure.

Proof. – By Lemma 1.1.7, we may assume that $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$. The moduli problem \mathscr{P} is a subfunctor of the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}' := [\Gamma_1(N)]_R \times [\Gamma_0(r)]_R$. When $N \ge 4$, $[\Gamma_1(N)]_R$ is rigid, hence so is \mathscr{P}' , thus \mathscr{P} is also rigid.

Next, we show that (without any assumption on N) for any relative elliptic curve E/S, the subsheaf $T \mapsto \mathscr{P}(E_T/T)$ of $T \mapsto \mathscr{P}'(E_T/T)$ is representable by a closed open subscheme of $\mathscr{P}'_{E/S}$. We define a map from $\mathscr{P}'_{E/S}$ to a disjoint union of copies S_d of S indexed by divisors d of N as follows: for any $(P,C) \in \mathscr{P}'(E_T/T)$, the image of P in the S-elliptic curve E_T/C is a section of the finite étale T-group scheme $(E_T/C)[N]$ of constant rank. Therefore, its fibrewise order ω is a locally constant function on T, its values divide N, and the formation of ω commutes with respect to base change. Then we map $(P,C) \in \mathscr{P}'(E/S)$ to the structure map $\omega^{-1}(d) \subset T \to S \simeq S_d$ for each $d \mid N$. Thus $\mathscr{P}(E/S)$ corresponds to the inverse image of S_N , whence the conclusion.

This implies in particular that \mathscr{P} is relatively representable and finite étale, thus representable when $N \geq 4$. Moreover, when S is a separably closed field with characteristic not dividing N, $\mathscr{P}(E/S)$ has the same cardinality as the set of (P, C) where $P \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ is a point of order N, C is a cyclic subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ with order r and the image of P in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}/C$ has order N, which does not depend on S. Hence \mathscr{P} has constant rank. \Box

Proposition 2.2.3 Let $N, r \ge 1$ be integers with $r \mid N$ and R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra. Let \mathscr{P} be a relatively representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R of level L prime to N. Assume that \mathscr{P} is representable or that $N \ge 4$. Let $s, t \ge 1$ be such that $st \mid r$.

There exists a degeneracy map $D'_{s,t} : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N,r)]_R) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N,t)]_R)$ defined by the following rule. Given an elliptic curve E/S and $(\alpha, (P,C)) \in (\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma'_1(N,r)]_R)(E/S)$, let C'be the standard subgroup of C of order s, and $\pi : E \to E' := E/C'$ the natural (étale) isogeny. Then $D'_{s,t}$ maps the point $(E/S, \alpha, (P,C))$ to $(E'/S, (\mathscr{P}\pi)(\alpha), (\pi(P), \pi(C)[t]))$, where $\pi(C)[t]$ is the standard cyclic subgroup of order t of the cyclic subgroup $\pi(C)$ of order r/s.

Proof. – One still needs to check that $\pi(P)$ has exact order N and that the image of $\pi(P)$ in $E'/\pi(C)[t]$ has exact order N. Since N is invertible in R, everything can be checked fibrewise. Note that we have a successive composition $\pi_{\infty} : E \to E' \to E'/\pi(C)[t] \to E/C$ of étale isogenies, and that $P, \pi_{\infty}(P)$ both have exact order N, whence the conclusion. \Box

Lemma 2.2.4 Let $N, r \ge 1$, R and \mathscr{P} are as in Proposition 2.2.3. Let $s, t, u, v \ge 1$ be integers with $st \mid r$, and $uv \mid t$. Then the composition $D'_{u,v} \circ D'_{s,t}$ is exactly $D'_{su,t}$. *Proof.* – This is a direct verification.

Proposition 2.2.5 Let $N, r, s, t \ge 1$ and R be as in Proposition 2.2.3, and let $F : \mathscr{P} \Rightarrow \mathscr{P}'$ be a morphism of relatively representable (representable if $N \le 3$) moduli problems of level coprime

to N over \mathbf{Ell}_R . Then the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma'_{1}(N,r)]_{R}) & \xrightarrow{D'_{s,t}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma'_{1}(N,t)]_{R}) \\ & \downarrow^{F} & \downarrow^{F} \\ \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}',[\Gamma'_{1}(N,r)]_{R}) & \xrightarrow{D'_{s,t}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}',[\Gamma'_{1}(N,t)]_{R}) \end{split}$$

Proof. – Let *E* be an elliptic curve over a *R*-scheme *S* and *α* ∈ $\mathscr{P}(E/S)$. Let $(P, C) \in [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R(E/S)$, then

$$\begin{split} F(D'_{s,t}((E,\alpha,(P,C)))) &= F((E/C[s],\alpha \mod C[s],(P \mod C[s],C[st]/C[s]))) \\ &= (E/C[s],F(\alpha \mod C[s]),(P \mod C[s],C[st]/C[s])), \end{split}$$

where [n] means taking the standard cyclic subgroup of order n.

On the other hand, since F has level prime to N, it is functorial with respect of isogenies of degree dividing N, so that

$$\begin{aligned} D'_{s,t}(F((E,\alpha,(P,C)))) &= D'_{s,t}((E,F(\alpha),(P,C))) \\ &= (E/C[s],F(\alpha) \mod C[s],(P \mod C[s],C[st]/C[s])) \\ &= F(D'_{s,t}((E,\alpha,(P,C)))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the diagram commutes. We then show that for any R-scheme S, the following diagram is Cartesian.

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, r)]_{R})(S) & \xrightarrow{D'_{s,t}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, t)]_{R})(S) \\ & \downarrow^{F} & \downarrow^{F} \\ \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma'_{1}(N, r)]_{R})(S) & \xrightarrow{D'_{s,t}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma'_{1}(N, t)]_{R})(S) \end{split}$$

Indeed, let

$$x_1 = (E_1, \alpha', (P_1, C_1)) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}', [\Gamma_1'(N, r)]_R)(S), x_2 = (E_2, \beta, (P_2, C_2)) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1'(N, t)]_R)(S)$$

be two points with $F(x_2) = D'_{s,t}(x_1)$. Thus, there exists an isogeny $\pi : E_1 \to E_2$ such that $\ker \pi = C_1[s], (\mathscr{P}'\pi)(\alpha') = F(\beta), \pi(P_1) = P_2$ and $C_2 = \pi(C_1)[t]$. In particular, we can define $\alpha = (\mathscr{P}\pi)^{-1}(\beta)$, so that $(\mathscr{P}'\pi)F(\alpha) = F((\mathscr{P}\pi)(\alpha)) = F(\beta) = (\mathscr{P}'\pi)(\alpha')$, hence $F(\alpha) = \alpha'$.

Thus $x_0 := (E_1, \alpha, (P_1, C_1)) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R)(S)$ is such that $F(x_0) = x_1$. But one can check that $D'_{s,t}(x_0) = x_2$ by construction.

Let $y_0 = (E_0, \alpha_0, (P_0, C_0)) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R)(S)$ such that $F(y_0) = x_1$ and $D'_{s,t}(y_0) = x_2$. This means that there is an isomorphism $\iota : E_0 \to E_1$ such that $F((\mathscr{P}\iota)(\alpha_0)) = \alpha', \iota(P_0) = P_1, \iota(C_0) = C_1$, and an isogeny $g : E_0 \to E_2$ such that ker $g = C_0[s], \beta = (\mathscr{P}g)(\alpha_0), C_2 = \pi(C_0)[t]), P_2 = \pi(P_0)$. Since $\pi \circ \iota$ and g are isogenies with the same kernel and same target, there is an automorphism ψ of E_2 such that $\psi \circ g = \pi \circ \iota$.

Let us prove that ψ is the identity. One has indeed

$$(\mathscr{P}'\pi) \circ (\mathscr{P}'\iota)(F(\alpha_0)) = (\mathscr{P}'\pi) \circ F((\mathscr{P}\iota)(\alpha_0)) = (\mathscr{P}'\pi)(\alpha') = F(\beta) = (\mathscr{P}'g)(F(\alpha_0)),$$
$$\pi(\iota(P_0)) = \pi(P_1) = P_2 = g(P_0).$$

Since $\mathscr{P}' \times [\Gamma_1(N)]_R$ is rigid, this implies that $\psi = \mathrm{id}$, thus $\pi \circ \iota = g$. Thus

$$(\mathscr{P}\iota)(\alpha_0) = (\mathscr{P}\pi)^{-1}(\mathscr{P}g)(\alpha_0) = (\mathscr{P}\pi)^{-1}(\beta) = \alpha,$$

so $\iota: (E_0, \alpha_0, (P_0, C_0)) \to (E_1, \alpha, (P_1, C_1))$ is an isomorphism, and we are done.

Corollary 2.2.6 In the situation of Proposition 2.2.3, $D'_{s,t}$ is finite étale.

Proof. – Suppose first that $k \geq 3$ is coprime to N, $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/(Nk)]$ and that $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma(k)]_R$. Consider the following diagram, where f, g are forgetful maps, finite étale (they are compositions of closed open immersions by the proof of Proposition 2.2.2 and forgetting the $[\Gamma_1(N)]_R$ component, which is finite étale):

$$\mathcal{M}([\Gamma(k)]_R, [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{M}([\Gamma(k)]_R, [\Gamma_0(r)]_R)$$

$$\downarrow^{D'_{s,t}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{D_{s,t}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}([\Gamma(k)]_R, [\Gamma'_1(N, t)]_R) \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{M}([\Gamma(k)]_R, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)$$

Since $D_{s,t}$ is finite étale by Corollary 2.1.15, $D'_{s,t}$ is finite étale.

Let us come back to the general case. The statement is Zariski-local with respect to R, so we may assume that some integer $k \geq 3$ coprime to NL is invertible in R. Then both squares in the following diagram are Cartesian, with all vertical maps being $D'_{s,t}$:

By what we already saw, α is étale, thus so is β . Since $[\Gamma(k)]_R$ is finite étale over $\mathbf{Ell}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/k]}$, δ_r, δ_t are finite étale. Thus, by descent, γ is finite étale.

Proposition 2.2.7 Let $N, r, s, t \ge 1$ be as in Proposition 2.2.3 and \mathscr{P} be a relatively representable moduli problem of level L coprime to N, representable if $N \le 3$. Let $m \ge 1$ be coprime to NL. Then, for any $u, v \ge 1$ such that $uv \mid m$, the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, r)]_{R}, [\Gamma_{0}(m)]_{R}) \xrightarrow{D'_{s,t}} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, t)]_{R}, [\Gamma_{0}(m)]_{R})$$

$$\downarrow^{D_{u,v}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{D_{u,v}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, r)]_{R}, [\Gamma_{0}(v)]_{R}) \xrightarrow{D'_{s,t}} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, t)]_{R}, [\Gamma_{0}(v)]_{R})$$

Proof. – Step 1: The diagram commutes.

Let S be a R-scheme, and $x := (E/S, \alpha, (P, C), D) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$. Let us prove that $D_{u,v}(D'_{s,t}(x)) = D'_{s,t}(D_{u,v}(x))$.

Let $\pi_s: E \to E/C[s]$ be the natural isogeny of degree s. One has

$$D'_{s,t}(x) = (E/C[s], (\mathscr{P}\pi_s)(\alpha), (\pi_s(P), \pi_s(C)[t]), \pi_s(D)),$$

where, as previously, $[\cdot]$ denotes the standard cyclic subgroup of given degree. By the factorization lemma [42, (3.5)], C[s] + D is a cyclic subgroup of E of degree sm, so $\pi_s(D) = \pi_s(D + C_s)$ is indeed a cyclic subgroup of degree d by [42, Theorem 6.7.4]. Let $\pi_{s,u}$ denote the quotient isogeny $E/C[s] \rightarrow (E/(C[s]))/\pi_s(D)[u]$. Then, $\pi^1 = \pi_{s,u} \circ \pi_s : E \rightarrow (E/(C[s]))/(\pi_s(D)[u])$ is an isogeny of degree su, and its kernel contains the cyclic subgroup C[s] + D[u] of degree su, so (as in previous arguments) ker $\pi^1 = C[s] + D[u]$, and one has:

$$D_{u,v}(D'_{s,t}(x)) = \left((E/C[s])/\pi_s(D)[u], \quad (\mathscr{P}\pi_{s,u})(\mathscr{P}\pi_s)(\alpha), ((\pi_{s,u}(\pi_s(P)), \pi_{s,u}(\pi_s(C)[t]))), \\ \pi_{s,u}(\pi_s(D))[v] \right) \\ = \left((E/C[s])/\pi_s(D)[u], \quad (\mathscr{P}\pi^1)(\alpha), (\pi^1(P), \pi^1(C+D[u])[t]), \\ \pi^1(C[s]+D)[v] \right).$$

Similarly, if $\pi_u : E \to E/D[u]$ is the natural isogeny and $\pi^2 : E \to (E/D[u])/\pi_u(C)[s]$ is the composition of π_u and the quotient by $\pi_u(C)[s]$, ker $\pi^2 = C[s] + D[u]$ and one has

$$D'_{s,t}(D_{u,v}(x)) = ((E/D[u])/\pi_u(C)[s], (\mathscr{P}\pi^2)(\alpha), (\pi^2(P), \pi^2(C+D[u])[t]), \pi^2(C[s]+D)[v])$$

Since π^1, π^2 are isogenies from E with the same kernel, there is an isomorphism

$$\iota: (E/(C[s]))/(\pi_s(D)[u]) \to (E/D[u])/\pi_u(C)[s]$$

such that $\iota \circ \pi^1 = \pi^2$, so the diagram commutes.

Step 2: Injectivity Suppose we have points

$$x=(E,\alpha,(P,C),D), x'=(E',\alpha',(P',C'),D')\in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma_1'(N,r)]_R,[\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$$

such that $D'_{s,t}(x) = D'_{s,t}(y)$ and $D_{u,v}(x) = D_{u,v}(x')$. Then there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} j_s &: E/C[s] \to E'/C'[s], \quad (\alpha, P, C, D) \mod C[s] \mapsto (\alpha', P', C', D') \mod C'[s], \\ j_u &: E/D[u] \to E'/D'[u], \quad (\alpha, P, C, D) \mod D[u] \mapsto (\alpha', P', C', D') \mod D'[u]. \end{aligned}$$

The assumptions imply that the two compositions

$$c_s: E \to E/C[s] \xrightarrow{j_s} E'/C'[s] \to E'/(C'[s] + D'[u]),$$

$$c_u: E \to E/D[u] \xrightarrow{j_u} E'/D'[u] \to E'/(C'[s] + D'[u])$$

have the same kernel C[s] + D[u], so they differ by an automorphism λ of E'' := E'/(C'[s] + D'[u]). By construction, $(\mathscr{P}c_s)(\alpha) = (\mathscr{P}c_u)(\alpha) = \alpha' \mod (C'[s] + D'[u])$, and $c_s(P) = c_u(P) = P' \pmod{C'[s] + D[u]}$ is still a point of E'' of exact order N, whence

$$(\alpha', P') \mod (C'[s] + D'[u]) \in (\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_1(N)]_R) [E''/S]$$

is fixed by the automorphism λ . Since $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_1(N)]_R$ is rigid, λ is the identity and $c_s = c_u$.

Since $E' \to (E'/C'[s]) \times_{E'/(C'[s]+D'[u])} (E'/D'[u])$ is an isomorphism (it is a morphism of elliptic curves by Lemma 2.1.11, and its kernel is contained in C'[s] and in D'[u], so it is a monomorphism thus an isomorphism), the couple $(E \to E/C[s] \xrightarrow{j_s} E'/C'[s], E \to E/D[u] \xrightarrow{j_u} E'/D'[u])$ defines an morphism $j: E \to E'$ of elliptic curves. The composition of j with the cyclic isogeny $E' \to E'/C'[s]$ of degree s is exactly $E \to E/C[s] \xrightarrow{j_s} E'/C'[s]$, which is an isogeny of degree s. So j is not zero on any fibre, hence it is an isogeny of degree $\frac{s}{s} = 1$, i.e. an isomorphism.

Now, $(\mathscr{P}j)(\alpha) \mod C'[s] = (\mathscr{P}j_s)(\alpha \mod C[s]) = \alpha' \mod C'[s]$, and since \mathscr{P} has level prime to s, $(\mathscr{P}j)(\alpha) = \alpha'$. The same reasoning shows that $(\mathscr{P}j)(D) = D'$. To prove that $(\mathscr{P}j)(P) = P'$ and $(\mathscr{P}j)(C) = C'$, one quotients instead by D'[u], since $[\Gamma'_1(N,r)]_R$ has level prime to u. Step 3: Surjectivity Let finally

$$x_{1} = (E_{1}, \alpha_{1}, (P_{1}, C_{1}), D_{1}) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, r)]_{R}, [\Gamma_{0}(v)]_{R})(S),$$

$$x_{2} = (E_{2}, \alpha_{2}, (P_{2}, C_{2}), D_{2}) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, t)]_{R}, [\Gamma_{0}(m)]_{R})(S)$$

be two points with the same image in $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N,t)]_R, [\Gamma_0(v)]_R)(S)$. We want to prove that there exists $x_0 \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N,r)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$ with $D_{u,v}(x_0) = x_1, D'_{s,t}(x_0) = x_2$.

The assumption means that there exists an isomorphism $\iota: E_1/C_1[s] \to E_2/D_2[u]$ such that

$$\iota(\alpha_1 \mod C_1[s]) = \alpha_2 \mod D_2[u], \qquad \iota(P_1 \mod C_1[s]) = P_2 \mod D_2[u], \\ \iota((C_1 \mod C_1[s])[t]) = C_2 \mod D_2[u], \qquad \iota(D_1 \mod C_1[s]) = (D_2 \mod D_2[u])[v].$$

The scheme $E_0 = E_1 \times_{E_1/C_1[s]} E_2$ is a relative elliptic curve over S by Lemma 2.1.11; moreover, the projections $\pi_1 : E_0 \to E_1, \pi_2 : E_0 \to E_2$ are cyclic isogenies of degrees u, s respectively. The assumptions imply that $(\mathscr{P}\pi_1)^{-1}(\alpha_1) = (\mathscr{P}\pi_2)^{-1}(\alpha_2)$ (because they have the same image in $E_1/C_1[s] \simeq E_2/D_2[u]$, through an isogeny of degree su prime to the level of \mathscr{P}), and let $\alpha_0 \in \mathscr{P}(E_0/S)$ denote this element. Let $P_0 \in E_0(S)$ be the point (P_1, P_2) , then P_0 has exact order N because so have P_1 and P_2 . Because u is coprime to r (resp. s is coprime to m), there is a unique cyclic subgroup C_0 (resp. D_0) of E_0 of order r (resp. m) such that $\pi_1(C_0) = C_1$. (resp. $\pi_2(D_0) = D_2$).

We claim that $x_0 = (E_0, \alpha_0, (P_0, C_0), D_0) \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N, r)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$ is such that $D'_{s,t}(x_0) = x_2$ and $D_{u,v}(x_0) = x_1$.

To check that $x_0 \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N, r)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)(S)$, the only remaining assertion to check is that $P_0 \mod C_0$ has exact order N. Suppose that (in any geometric fibre) $dP_0 \mod C_0 = 0$: then $dP_0 \in C_0$. Then, after applying π_1 , we find that $dP_1 \in C_1$, hence $dP_1 \mod C_1 = 0$, so $N \mid d$, and we are done.

By construction, $\pi_1 : E_0 \to E_1$ (resp. $\pi_2 : E_0 \to E_2$) is an isogeny of degree u (resp. s) mapping P_0 to P_1 (resp. P_2), α_0 to α_1 (resp. α_2) and C_0 to C_1 (resp. D_0 to D_2). So all we need to check is that ker $\pi_1 = D_0[u]$, ker $\pi_2 = C_0[s]$, and $D_1 = \pi_1(D_0)[v]$, $C_2 = \pi_2(C_0)[t]$.

The map $q_1 : E_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} E_1 \to E_1/C_1[s]$ is an isogeny of degree su; its kernel contains $C_0[s]$ because $\pi_1(C_0[s]) = \pi_1(C_0)[s] = C_1[s]$. Similarly, the map $q_2 : E_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} E_2 \to E_2/D_2[u]$ is an isogeny of degree su and its kernel contains $D_0[u]$. Since $q_2 = \iota \circ q_1$, q_1 and q_2 have the same kernel Q, which is a subgroup scheme of E (flat over S) of degree su and contains the cyclic subgroup $C_0[s] + D_0[u]$ of degree su. As usual, $C_0[s] + D_0[u] \to Q$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the q_i are cyclic, and by [42, Proposition 6.7.10], the kernel of π_1 (resp. π_2) is the standard cyclic subgroup of $C_0[s] + D_0[u]$ of degree u (resp. s), i.e. $D_0[u]$ (resp. $C_0[s]$).

Finally, one has

$$q_2(C_0)[t] = \iota(q_1(C_0)[t]) = \iota((\pi_1(C_0) \mod C_1[s])[t]) = \iota((C_1 \mod C_1[s])[t]) = C_2 \mod D_2[u].$$

Now, $\pi_2(C_0)[t]$ and C_2 are two cyclic subgroups of E_2 of order t, and their images through the cyclic isogeny $E_2 \to E_2/D_2[u]$ of degree u coprime to t are the same: hence $\pi_2(C_0)[t] = C_2$. One shows similarly that $\pi_1(D_0)[v] = D_1$.

Proposition 2.2.8 Let $N, r \ge 1$, R and \mathscr{P} be as in Proposition 2.2.3. Let $s', t' \ge 1$ be coprime divisors of r, and $s, t \ge 1$ be divisors of s', t' respectively. Then the following diagram is

Cartesian:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}(N, r)]_{R}) & \xrightarrow{D'_{s, \frac{r}{s'}}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}\left(N, \frac{r}{s'}\right)]_{R}) \\ & \downarrow^{D'_{t, \frac{r}{t'}}} & \downarrow^{D_{t, \frac{r}{s't'}}} \\ \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}\left(N, \frac{r}{t'}\right)]_{R}) & \xrightarrow{D'_{s, \frac{r}{s't'}}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_{1}\left(N, \frac{r}{s't'}\right)]_{R}) \end{split}$$

Proof. – This is similar to the proof of Propositions 2.2.7 or 2.1.12.

Definition 2.2.9 Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer and R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra. Let \mathscr{P} be a relatively representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R (representable if $N \leq 3$) of level prime to N. Let $d \mid N$ be a positive integer coprime to N/d. We define the morphism

$$w'_d: \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N)]_R) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} (\mu_d^{\times})_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N)]) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} (\mu_d^{\times})_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}$$

in the following way. Given an elliptic curve E/S, $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$, $P \in E(S)$ of exact order N, and $\zeta \in \mu_d^{\times}(S)$, let $\pi : E \to E' := E/\langle N/d \cdot P \rangle$ be the natural isogeny of degree d. Then one has

$$w'_d((E,\alpha, P,\zeta)) = (E', (\mathscr{P}\pi)(\alpha), Q, \zeta),$$

where $Q \in E'(S)[N]$ is the unique point such that $dQ = \pi(dP)$ and $\langle N/d \cdot P, N/d \cdot Q \rangle_{\pi} = \zeta$ (by [42, (2.8.2)], $N/d \cdot Q$ is well-defined, hence Q is well-defined since d and N/d are coprime).

Remarks 2.2.10

- In this construction, w'_d is a morphism of $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_d]$ -schemes, but the $(\mu_d^{\times})_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}$ cannot be "factored into \mathscr{P} " as a moduli problem of level one.
- $-w'_d$ has an obvious base change if we replace μ_d with μ_N : in this case, the pairing condition becomes $\langle N/d \cdot P, N/d \cdot Q \rangle_{\pi} = \zeta^{N/d}$.
- If one specifies instead some $\zeta_0 \in \mu_d^{\times}(\operatorname{Spec} R)$ (that is, if R is a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_d]$ -algebra), then we can define a map $w'_d : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N)]_R) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N)]_R)$ of *R*-schemes as the base change of Definition 2.2.9 by ζ_0 : Spec $R \to \mu_d^{\times}$, and therefore satisfies the properties detailed in Lemmas 2.2.11, 2.2.13, 2.2.15.

Lemma 2.2.11 The formation of w'_d commutes with base change and morphisms of moduli problems of level coprime to N.

Proof. – This is formal.

Lemma 2.2.12 With the notations of Definition 2.2.9, let $e = (e_p)_p \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times} = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ be such that $e_p = -1$ if $p \mid d$, and $e_p = d$ if $p \nmid d$. Then one has $w'_d \circ w'_d = [e]$. In particular, w'_d is an isomorphism.

Proof. – Let E/S be a relative elliptic curve, $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$, $P \in E(S)$ of exact order N, and $\zeta \in \mu_d^{\times}(S)$. Let $\pi : E \to E' := E/\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P \rangle$ be the isogeny, and Q be the point as in Definition 2.2.9, so that $(E'/S, (\mathscr{P}\pi)(\alpha), Q, \zeta) = w'_d(E/S, \alpha, P, \zeta)$. Then $\pi^{\vee} : E' \to E$ is the degree d isogeny whose kernel is generated by $\frac{N}{d} \cdot Q$. Moreover, one has $\pi^{\vee}(dQ) = \pi^{\vee}(\pi(dP)) = d \cdot (dP)$. Finally, $\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot Q, -\frac{N}{d} \cdot P \rangle_{\ker \pi^{\vee}} = \langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P, \frac{N}{d} \cdot Q \rangle_{\ker \pi} = \zeta$ by [42, (2.8.3)]. Therefore, if $P' \in E(S)$ is the unique point of exact order N such that $dP' = d \cdot dP, \frac{N}{d} \cdot P' = -\frac{N}{d} \cdot P$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} w'_d(w'_d((E/S, \alpha, P, \zeta))) &= w'_d((E'/S, (\mathscr{P}\pi)(\alpha), Q, \zeta)) = (E/S, (\mathscr{P}\pi^{\vee})(\mathscr{P}\pi)(\alpha), P') \\ &= (E/S, [d]\alpha, e_1P), \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ is congruent to $d \mod \frac{N}{d}$ and to $-1 \mod d$. The conclusion follows.

Lemma 2.2.13 With the notation of Definition 2.2.9, let $e = (e_p)_p \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$. Let $e' = (e'_p)_p \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ be given by $e'_p = e_p$ if $p \nmid d$, and $e'_p = e_p^{-1}$ otherwise. Then $w'_d \circ [e] = [e'] \circ w'_d$. *Proof.* – This is a straightforward computation.

Lemma 2.2.14 With the notation of Definition 2.2.9, let $u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, and \underline{u} the automorphism of μ_d^{\times} whose cyclotomic character is u (it does not act on the component $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N)]_P)$). Then one has $\underline{u} \circ [u] \circ w'_d = w'_d \circ \underline{u}$.

 \Box

Proof. – This is also a direct computation.

Lemma 2.2.15 With the notations of Definition 2.2.9, after extending coefficients to \mathcal{O}_N , if $d' \geq 1$ is another divisor of N coprime to $\frac{dN}{d'}$, then $w'_{d'} \circ w'_d = [e] \circ w_{dd'}$, where $e \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ is congruent to 1 mod $\frac{N}{d'}$ and to d^{-1} mod d'.

Proof. – Let E/S be a relative elliptic curve, $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/S)$, $\zeta \in \mu_N^{\times}(S)$ and $P \in E(S)$ be a point of exact order N. Let, for every $t \mid N$, $\zeta_t = \zeta^{N/t} \in \mu_N(S)$, which factors through $\mu_t^{\times}(S)$.

Then $w'_d((E/S, \alpha, P, \zeta)) = (E'/S, (\mathscr{P}\pi_1)(\alpha), P', \zeta)$, where $\pi_1 : E \to E' := E/\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P \rangle$ is the natural cyclic isogeny of degree d, $\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P, \frac{N}{d} \cdot P' \rangle_{\pi_1} = \zeta_d$, and $\pi_1(dP) = dP'$.

Let $\pi_2 : E' \to E'' = E'/\langle \frac{N}{d'} \cdot P' \rangle$ denote the natural cyclic isogeny of degree d', and let $Q \in E''[N](S)$ be such that $d'Q = \pi_2(d'P')$ and $\langle \frac{N}{d'} \cdot P', \frac{N}{d'} \cdot Q \rangle = \zeta_{d'}$. Therefore, one has

$$w'_{d'}(w_d((E/S,\alpha,P,\zeta))) = (E''/S,(\mathscr{P}\pi_2)(\mathscr{P}\pi_1)(\alpha),Q,\zeta).$$

Since $\frac{N}{d'} \cdot P' = \frac{N}{dd'} \cdot d \cdot P' = \frac{N}{dd'} \cdot \pi_1(dP) = \pi_1(\frac{N}{d'} \cdot P), \ \pi = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ is the cyclic isogeny (by [42, Proposition 6.7.10]) of degree dd' and of kernel generated by the point $\frac{N}{dd'} \cdot P$ of exact order dd'. In particular, we have $w'_{dd'}((E/S, \alpha, P, \zeta)) = (E''/S, (\mathscr{P}\pi)(\alpha), R, \zeta)$ where $R \in E''(S)$ is another point of exact order N such that $dd'R = \pi(dd'P)$, and $\langle \frac{N}{dd'} \cdot P, \frac{N}{dd'} \cdot R \rangle_{\pi} = \zeta_{dd'}$.

To conclude, we have the following equalities using [42, (2.8.3), (2.8.4.1)]:

$$\begin{aligned} dd'R &= \pi(dd'P) = \pi_2(\pi_1(dd'P)) = \pi_2(d'\pi_1(dP)) = \pi_2(d'dP') = d\pi_2(d'P') = d(d'Q) = dd'Q, \\ \langle \frac{N}{dd'}P, \frac{N}{dd'}Q \rangle_{\pi}^{(d')^2} &= \langle \frac{N}{d}P, \frac{N}{dd'}(d'Q) \rangle_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1} = \langle \frac{N}{d}P, \pi_2^{\vee} \left(\pi_2 \left(\frac{N}{d}P' \right) \right) \rangle_{\pi_1} \\ &= \langle \frac{N}{d}P, d'\frac{N}{d}P' \rangle_{\pi_1} = \zeta_d^{d'} = \zeta_d^{ed'} = \zeta_{dd'}^{e(d')^2}, \\ \langle \frac{N}{dd'}P, \frac{N}{dd'}Q \rangle_{\pi}^{d^2} &= \langle \frac{N}{d'}Q, \frac{N}{dd'}(dP) \rangle_{\pi_1^{\vee} \circ \pi_2^{\vee}}^{-1} = -\langle \frac{N}{d'}Q, \frac{N}{dd'} \cdot \pi_1(dP) \rangle_{\pi_2^{\vee}}^{-1} \\ &= \langle \frac{N}{d'}Q, \frac{dN}{dd'}P' \rangle_{\pi_2^{\vee}}^{-1} = \langle \frac{N}{d'}P', \frac{N}{d'}Q \rangle_{\pi_2} = \zeta_{d'} = \zeta_{d'}^{de} = \zeta_{dd'}^{d^2e}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2.16 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer, R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra and \mathscr{P} be a relatively representable moduli problem over Ell_R (representable if $N \le 3$) of level L coprime to N. Let $d \ge 1$ be a divisor of N coprime to N/d, $m \ge 1$ be an integer coprime to NL, and $u, v \ge 1$ such that $uv \mid m$. Let $u' \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ be congruent to $u \mod d$ and to $1 \mod \frac{N}{d}$. Then the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma_{1}(N)]_{R},[\Gamma_{0}(m)]_{R}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_{d}^{\times} & \xrightarrow{w_{d}^{\prime}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma_{1}(N)]_{R},[\Gamma_{0}(m)]_{R}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_{d}^{\times} \\ & \downarrow^{D_{u,v}} & \downarrow^{D_{u,v}} \\ \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma_{1}(N)]_{R},[\Gamma_{0}(v)]_{R}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_{d}^{\times} & \xrightarrow{[u'] \circ w_{d}^{\prime}} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma_{1}(N)]_{R},[\Gamma_{0}(v)]_{R}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_{d}^{\times} \end{split}$$

Proof. – Since the w'_d are isomorphisms, it is enough to prove that the diagram commutes. Thus, let E/S be a relative elliptic curve and $(E/S, \alpha, P, C, \zeta) \in (\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N)]_R, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \times \mu_d^{\times})(S)$.

Let $E' = E/\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P \rangle$, $\pi : E \to E'$ the natural isogeny, and $Q \in E'(S)$ the unique point of exact order N such that $dQ = \pi(dP)$ and $\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P, \frac{N}{d} \cdot Q \rangle_{\pi} = \zeta$. Let $P' = P \mod C[u]$, $\pi_C : E/C[u] \to E'' := (E/C[u])/(\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P' \rangle)$ be the natural isogeny, and $Q' \in E''(S)$ the unique point of exact order N such that $dQ' = \pi_C(P')$, and $\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P', \frac{N}{d} \cdot Q' \rangle_{\pi_C} = \zeta$. Note that π, π_C have degree d prime to m.

It is clear that the only point to prove is that $Q' = u'Q \mod \pi(C)[u]$, i.e. that $dQ' = dQ \mod \pi(C)[u]$ and $\langle \frac{N}{d} \cdot P', \frac{N}{d} \cdot (Q \mod \pi(C)[u]) \rangle_{\pi_C} = \zeta^u$. The first equality is clear because $dQ' = \pi_C(dP')$ and $dQ = \pi(dP)$.

For the second equality, let $p : E \to E/C[u]$ and $p' : E' \to E'/\pi(C)[u]$ be the natural isogenies of degree u, and let Q'' = p'(Q). By a direct computation, $\pi_C \circ (\pi_C^{\vee} \circ p' - p \circ \pi^{\vee}) = 0$, thus $\pi_C^{\vee} \circ p' = p \circ \pi^{\vee}$. Using [42, (2.8.3), (2.8.4)], we check that

$$\begin{split} \langle \frac{N}{d}P', \, \frac{N}{d}Q'' \rangle_{\pi_C} &= \langle \frac{N}{d}P', \, \frac{N}{d}Q \rangle_{(p')^{\vee} \circ \pi_C} = \langle \frac{N}{d}Q, \, \frac{N}{d}P' \rangle_{(p')^{\vee} \circ \pi_C}^{-1} \\ &= \langle \frac{N}{d}Q, \, \frac{N}{d}P' \rangle_{p \circ \pi^{\vee}}^{-1} = \langle \frac{N}{d}Q, \, \frac{N}{d}p^{\vee}(P') \rangle_{\pi^{\vee}}^{-1} = \langle u\frac{N}{d}P, \, \frac{N}{d}Q \rangle_{\pi} = \zeta^u, \end{split}$$

whence the conclusion.

3 Compactifications of moduli schemes

In this section, our base rings will be assumed to be regular, excellent, and Noetherian (unless explicitly specified otherwise). The rings of sections of *schemes*, on the other hand, are not required to satisfy these conditions. The goal is to state some properties needed to construct compactified moduli schemes and work with morphisms between them.

3.1 The general construction

Definition 3.1.1 We say that a *R*-scheme of finite type X is normal near infinity if there is a closed subscheme $Z \subset X$ finite over R such that $X \setminus Z$ is a normal scheme and $X \setminus Z \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is surjective.

Lemma 3.1.2 Suppose we are given a finite R-map $j : X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$. Then X is normal near infinity if, and only if, there is a monic $f \in R[t]$ such that X is normal on the locus where f(j) is invertible.

Proof. – The assumptions imply that X is a R-scheme of finite type. For (\Leftarrow) , it is clear that $Z = j^{-1}(V(f))$ fits the definition. Let us assume that X is normal near infinity and let Z be the closed subscheme given by the definition. Then the scheme-theoretic image H of Z in \mathbb{A}^1_R is affine. Moreover $\mathcal{O}(H)$ injects into $\mathcal{O}(Z)$, so, since R is Noetherian, H is finite over R. Thus, if x denotes the coordinate function on \mathbb{A}^1_R , there is a monic $f \in R[t]$ such that $f(x)|_H = 0$. Then X is normal on $j^{-1}(D(f))$.

Corollary 3.1.3 Suppose we are given a finite R-map $j : X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in R$ that generate the unit ideal. Then X is normal near infinity if and only if every $X_{R_{f_i}}$ is normal near infinity (as a R_{f_i} -scheme of finite type).

Proof. – The forward implication is clear, so let us discuss the reverse implication. For every i, we have an ideal I_i of $R[x]_{f_i}$ containing a monic polynomial and such that every point of $X_{R_{f_i}} \setminus j^{-1}(V(I_i))$ is normal. Let $I \subset R[x]$ be the sum of the inverse images under $R \to R_{f_i}$ of the I_i , so we only need to prove that I contains a monic polynomial. But it is clear that the subset $J \subset R$ which is the reunion of $\{0\}$ and the leading coefficients of polynomials in I is an ideal.

Since each I_i contains a monic polynomial, each J_{f_i} is R_{f_i} , hence J = R and I contains a monic polynomial.

Proposition 3.1.4 Let $j: X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ be a finite map, where X is normal near infinity. There is a canonical Cartesian diagram



such that \overline{j} is finite and \overline{X} is normal in a neighborhood of $\overline{X} \setminus X$. Moreover, $X \to \overline{X}$ is an open immersion with dense image. For any separated scheme Y and any open subscheme $U \subset \overline{X}$, $Y(U) \to Y(X \cap U)$ is injective. By taking $Y = \mathbb{A}^1$, we see that $\mathcal{O}(U) \to \mathcal{O}(U \cap X)$ is injective.

Moreover, let R' be any (regular excellent Noetherian) R-algebra and $j: X' \to \mathbb{A}^1_{R'}$ be a finite map with X' normal near infinity. Assume that there is a closed subscheme Z' of \mathbb{A}^1_R , finite over R, such that¹² X' is normal at every point not above Z', and that we have a commutative diagram



Then f extends uniquely to a map $\overline{f}: \overline{X'} \to \overline{X}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\overline{X'} \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} \overline{X} \\
\downarrow_{\overline{j'}} & \downarrow_{\overline{j}} \\
\mathbb{P}^1_{R'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_R$$

Proof. – First, we construct \overline{X} . Fix a closed subscheme Z of \mathbb{A}^1_R finite over R such that $X \setminus j^{-1}(Z)$ is normal. Let Y be the relative normalization of $X \setminus j^{-1}(Z) \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{A}^1_R \setminus Z \subset \mathbb{P}^1_R \setminus Z$. Because R is excellent, $\mathbb{P}^1_R \setminus Z$ is Nagata by [2, Lemma 07QV, Lemma 035A] so Y is finite over $\mathbb{P}^1_R \setminus Z$ by [2, Lemma 03GR] $(X \setminus j^{-1}(Z))$ is normal, hence reduced). By [2, Lemma 035L], Y is normal.

By [2, Lemma 035K], $Y \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_R} (\mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z)$ is exactly the normalization of $X \backslash j^{-1}(Z) \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z$. Since this map is finite, by [2, Lemma 03GP], $Y \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_R} (\mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z$ is exactly isomorphic to $X \backslash j^{-1}(Z) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z$. Thus, we can glue $Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_R \backslash Z$ and $X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ together, and this defines \overline{X} . Note that the construction of \overline{X} does not depend on the choice of Z.

 $X \to \overline{X}$ is an open immersion by construction, and it has dense image by [2, Lemma 0AXP]. Moreover, \overline{X} is normal, hence reduced, on a neighborhood of any point of $\overline{X} \setminus X$. Let U be any open subscheme of \overline{X} . Since X is dense, $X \cap U$ is a nonempty open subscheme of U; furthermore, if $V \subset U$ is any open subscheme, $V \cap X$ is nonempty and contained in $(X \cap U) \cap V$. Thus $X \cap U \to U$ is an open immersion with dense image and such that all points of $U \setminus X$ are normal hence reduced.

Let Y be a separated scheme and $u, v : U \to Y$ be two morphisms that agree on $U \cap X$. By [2, Lemma 01KM], there is a closed subscheme $U_1 \subset U$ containing $U \cap X$ such that u, v agree on U_1 . Thus the surjective closed immersion $U_1 \to X$ is an isomorphism above $U \cap X$. Since U is reduced at every point outside $X, U_1 = U$ thus u = v.

To construct \overline{f} , let Y' denote the relative normalization of $X' \setminus (j')^{-1}((Z' \cup Z)_{R'}) \to \mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$;

 $^{^{12}\}mathrm{Note}$ the R instead of R' just before.

since we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' \backslash (j')^{-1} ((Z' \cup Z)_{R'}) & \stackrel{j'}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^{1}_{R'} \\ & & \downarrow^{f} & & \downarrow \\ X \backslash j^{-1} (Z' \cup Z) & \stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^{1}_{R} \end{array}$$

we have a natural map $\overline{f}: Y' \to Y$ above $j^{-1}(Z' \cup Z)$. We can then glue it with $f: X' \to X$. **Corollary 3.1.5** Let $j: X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ be a finite map with X normal near infinity. Let Y be a finite R-scheme, and let $g: X \to Y$ be a R-morphism. Then g extends uniquely to a map $\overline{g}: \overline{X} \to Y$.

Proof. – The uniqueness follows from Proposition 3.1.4. Fix a closed subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R$ finite over R such that $X \setminus j^{-1}(Z)$ is normal. Suppose that, for any normal integral affine open subscheme $U \subset \overline{X} \setminus j^{-1}(Z), X \cap U \to Y$ extends to $U \to Y$. Then, if U, V are normal integral affine open subschemes of $\overline{X} \setminus j^{-1}(Z)$, these extensions coincide on $U \cap V$, because they coincide on $U \cap V \cap X$. All these extensions glue together, by construction, with $X \to Y$, so they all glue together and construct the extension $\overline{X} \to Y$.

So let $U \subset \overline{X} \setminus j^{-1}(Z)$ be a normal integral affine open subscheme. By definition, g defines a map $g^{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(X) \to \mathcal{O}(X \cap U)$. Because U is normal integral affine and $X \cap U$ is an open subscheme of $U, \mathcal{O}(U) \to \mathcal{O}(X \cap U)$ is injective between normal domains and is an isomorphism on function fields. The image of g^{\sharp} is thus made with elements in the fraction ring of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ which are integral over R, hence over $\mathcal{O}(U)$. So the image of g^{\sharp} lands in the subring $\mathcal{O}(U)$, that is, g extends to a map $U \to Y$ and we are done.

We now wish to show that the compactification previously constructed is canonical, and that a much wider class of morphisms can be extended.

Lemma 3.1.6 Let R be a ring (not necessarily Noetherian, regular or excellent) and $f: Z \to X$ be a finite morphism of finite type R-schemes. Suppose that $Y \subset X$ is a dense open subscheme such that $f^{-1}(Y) \to Y$ is an isomorphism, $f^{-1}(Y)$ is dense in Z, every $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ for $z \notin f^{-1}(Y)$ is reduced, and every $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for $x \notin Y$ is normal. Then f is an isomorphism.

Proof. – First, we easily reduce to the case where X and Z are affine.

Let $x \in X \setminus Y$, and let $z' \in Z$ be a point such that $x \in f(\{z'\})$. Then $f(\overline{\{z'\}})$ is closed in X and contains a point specializing to x; hence there exists a specialization $z \in Z$ of z' whose image in Z is x. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z'}$ is a localization of $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$, hence is reduced.

Thus, it is enough to check the claim after base change by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ where $x \notin Y$: we can assume that $Z = \operatorname{Spec} B$, $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ where A is a local normal domain, B is reduced, and Y is the open subscheme given by the complement of the vanishing locus of some nonzero ideal $I \subset A$. By assumption, for every non-zero $f \in I$, $A[f^{-1}] \to B[f^{-1}]$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $A \to B[f^{-1}]$ is injective, so that $A \to B$ is injective. Since $f^{-1}(Y)$ is dense in Z, we know that for every $g \in B \setminus \{0\}$, gI is nonzero in B.

Let now $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$: then the ideal xI is nonzero, so there is some $f \in I$ such that $fx \neq 0$. Then, if $r \geq 1$, $f^r x$ is a divisor of $(fx)^r$ which is nonzero (since B is reduced): thus the image of x in B_f is nonzero. Let now $x, y \in B$ be nonzero, then $\{t \in I \mid tx = 0\}, \{t \in I \mid ty = 0\}$ are two proper additive subgroups of I, hence their reunion does not contain I, and we can find $f \in I$ such that x, y have nonzero images in B_f . Since $B_f \simeq A_f$ is a domain, xy has nonzero image in B_f , so $xy \neq 0$. Thus B is a domain.

Therefore, $A \to B$ is finite injective and is an isomorphism on fraction fields. Since A is normal, $A \to B$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.1.7 Let $\alpha, \beta : X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ be two finite maps, where X is a R-scheme of finite type normal near infinity. By Proposition 3.1.4, α, β extend to finite maps $\overline{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$,

 $\overline{\beta}: X_{\beta} \to \mathbb{P}^{1}_{R}$. There is a unique R-isomorphism $\iota: X_{\alpha} \to X_{\beta}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{\mathrm{id}}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ & & & \downarrow_{\overline{\alpha}} & & \downarrow_{\overline{\beta}} \\ X_{\alpha} & \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} & X_{\beta} \end{array}$$

Proof. – First, note that it is enough to construct a R-map $\iota_{\alpha,\beta} : X_{\alpha} \to X_{\beta}$ making the diagram commute. Indeed, we can similarly construct $\iota_{\beta,\alpha}$, so $\iota_{\beta,\alpha} \circ \iota_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a morphism of X_{α} into itself which induces the identity on X; by Proposition 3.1.4, it is the identity. Similarly, $\iota_{\alpha,\beta} \circ \iota_{\beta,\alpha}$ is the identity automorphism of X_{β} , so that $\iota_{\alpha,\beta}$ is an isomorphism.

Next, we consider the diagonal inclusion $X \to X \times_R X \to X_\alpha \times_R X_\beta$. Its scheme-theoretic image Z is a closed subscheme of $X_\alpha \times_R X_\beta$, hence the first projection $p_1 : Z \to X_\alpha$ is proper.

If p_1 is an isomorphism, we are done, since we can consider the composition $p_2 \circ p_1^{-1}$, where p_2 is the second projection $Z \to X_{\alpha} \times_R X_{\beta} \to X_{\beta}$.

We will show that p_1 is finite and that the dense open subscheme X of X_{α} satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 3.1.6, whence the conclusion.

First, we know that X_{α} is normal at every point not in X. Moreover, by [2, Lemma 01R8], $Z \cap [X \times_R X_{\beta}]$ is the scheme-theoretic image of the diagonal inclusion $X \to X \times_R X_{\beta}$, which is a closed immersion (as a section of the proper morphism $X \times_R X_{\beta} \to X$). Thus $X \to Z \cap [X \times_R X_{\beta}]$ is an isomorphism of inverse $p_1^{-1}(X) \to X$. Note that the image of X in Z, which is $p_1^{-1}(X)$, is dense in Z by [2, Lemma 01RB].

Let $W \subset X$ be a closed subscheme, finite over R, such that every point of $X \setminus W$ is normal (hence reduced). Then $p_1^{-1}(X_{\alpha} \setminus W)$ is the scheme-theoretic image of $X \setminus W \to (X_{\alpha} \setminus W) \times_R X_{\beta}$. Since $X \setminus W$ is reduced, $Z \setminus p_1^{-1}(W)$ is reduced by [2, Lemma 056B]. In particular, Z is reduced at every point not in $p_1^{-1}(X)$.

All that remains to do now is prove that p_1 is finite. It is proper, so it is enough to show that it has finite fibres by [2, Lemma 02LS], so we only need to prove that for every $x \in X_{\alpha} \setminus X$, $p_1^{-1}(\{x\})$ is finite. To do this, we exhibit a closed subscheme $T \subset X_{\alpha} \times X_{\beta}$ such that the diagonal inclusion factors through T, and such that the projection $T \to X_{\alpha} \times_R X_{\beta} \to X_{\alpha}$ has finite fibres (since then $p_1 : Z \to X_{\alpha}$ is the composition of the closed immersion $Z \to T$ and of the map $T \to X_{\alpha}$).

The morphism α is finite, so X is affine. Let s denote the coordinate on \mathbb{A}^1_R . Let $a, b \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ denote the images of s under α, β . Since β is finite, there is an integer $n \geq 1$ and polynomials $Q_0, \ldots, Q_{n-1} \in R[t]$ such that $a^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Q_i(b)a^i = 0$. Let $d \geq 0$ be the maximum of the degrees of the Q_i , and define the homogeneous polynomials $\widetilde{Q}_i(v_0, v_1) = v_1^d Q_i(v_0/v_1) \in R[v_0, v_1]$ of degree d. Let $P \in R[u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1]$ be the bi-homogeneous polynomial of bi-degee (n, d) given by $P = u_0^n v_1^d + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{Q}_i(v_0, v_1) u_0^i u_1^{n-i}$. Then the image of X in $X_\alpha \times X_\beta \xrightarrow{(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta})} \mathbb{P}^1_R \times_R \mathbb{P}^1_R$ is contained in $(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta})^{-1}(V(P))$.

To conclude, since β is finite, it is enough to check that $V(P) \to \mathbb{P}^1_R \times_R \mathbb{P}^1_R \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbb{P}^1_R$ has finite fibres above points $x \in \mathbb{P}^1_R \setminus \mathbb{A}^1_R$. This is equivalent to asking that $P(1, 0, v_0, v_1) \in k[v_0, v_1]$ has finitely many zeroes in \mathbb{P}^1_k , for a *R*-algebra *k* which is a field, which is clear. \Box

Proposition 3.1.8 Let X, Y be two R-schemes normal near infinity and admitting finite maps $\alpha : X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R, \beta : Y \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$. Let $\overline{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R, \overline{\beta} : Y_{\beta} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ be the maps given by Proposition 3.1.4, where X (resp. Y) is an open subscheme of X_{α} (resp. Y_{β}). Let $f : X \to Y$ be any finite map of R-schemes. Then there is a unique map $\overline{f} : X_{\alpha} \to Y_{\beta}$ making the following diagram

commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\alpha} & \stackrel{\overline{f}}{\longrightarrow} Y_{\beta} \end{array}$$

Moreover, \overline{f} is finite, and maps any $x \in X_{\alpha} \setminus X$ into $Y_{\beta} \setminus Y$.

Proof. – Consider the finite map $\alpha' : X \to Y \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{A}^1_R$ of *R*-schemes and let $X_{\alpha'}$ be the associated compactification by Proposition 3.1.4. By Proposition 3.1.7, we have an isomorphism $\iota: X_{\alpha} \to X_{\alpha'}$ preserving X (thus mapping $X_{\alpha} \setminus X$ into $X_{\alpha'} \setminus X$), and by Proposition 3.1.4 we have a natural extension $\overline{f_{\alpha'}}: X_{\alpha'} \to Y_{\beta}$. It is a map of finite \mathbb{P}^1_R -schemes, so is finite and maps $X_{\alpha'} \setminus X$ into $Y_{\beta} \setminus Y$. Thus $\overline{f} = \overline{f_{\alpha'}} \circ \iota$ satisfies all the requested conditions. The uniqueness follows from Proposition 3.1.4 since Y_{β} is separated.

As a consequence of the previous results in this section, we obtain:

Proposition 3.1.9 Let \mathbf{ACrv}_R be the category whose objects are R-schemes of finite type, normal near infinity and admitting a finite map to \mathbb{A}^1_R , and whose morphisms are finite maps of R-schemes. Let \mathbf{PCrv}_R be the category of proper R-schemes that are normal near infinity, its morphisms being finite R-maps. There is a normal compactification functor $\mathbf{ACrv}_R \to \mathbf{PCrv}_R$, denoted by $X \mapsto \overline{X}, f \mapsto \overline{f}$, satisfying the following properties:

- Every object X of \mathbf{ACrv}_R has a natural open immersion of R-schemes $X \mapsto \overline{X}$ with dense image,
- For every object X of \mathbf{ACrv}_R , every separated scheme Y and every open subset $U \subset \overline{X}$, $Y(U) \to Y(X \cap U)$ is injective,
- For any morphism $f: X \to Y$ in ACrv_R , \overline{f} sends any point in $\overline{X} \setminus X$ into $\overline{Y} \setminus Y$,
- $\begin{array}{l} \ \overline{X} \text{ is normal at every point } x \notin X, \\ \ \overline{\mathbb{A}}_R^1 = \mathbb{P}_R^1, \end{array}$

Corollary 3.1.10 Let X be an object of ACrv_R and $f: X \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ be finite. Assume that the compactification $\overline{f}: \overline{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ of f is finite locally free. Let $\iota: X \to \overline{X}$ denote the open immersion given by the compactification. Then, for every R-scheme R', the map $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{R'}} \to \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{X_{R'}}$ induced by ι is injective. Moreover, for every open subscheme $U \subset \overline{X}_{R'}$ and every separated scheme Y, the restriction map $Y(U) \to Y(U \cap X_{R'})$ is injective.

Proof. – We have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{R'} & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{A}^{1}_{R'} \\ & & & \downarrow^{j} \\ \overline{X}_{R'} & \stackrel{\overline{f}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}^{1}_{R'} \end{array}$$

where j is the obvious quasi-compact open immersion and \overline{f} is flat. By cohomology and base change [2, Lemma 02KH], the natural map $\overline{f}^* j_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1_{R'}} \to \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{X_{R'}}$ is an isomorphism; since \overline{f} is flat, $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{R'}} \to \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{X_{R'}}$ is then injective if we can show that the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{R'}} \to j_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1_{R'}}$ induced by j is injective. This is clear by working over the standard affine cover of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Let now Y be any scheme and $U \subset \overline{X}_{R'}$ be an open subscheme. Let $u, v : U \to Y$ be two scheme morphisms that agree set-theoretically and agree scheme-theoretically when restricted to $U \cap X_{R'}$. Then the above implies that one must have u = v.

We are thus reduced to the following question: let Y be a separated scheme, $U \subset \overline{X}_{R'}$ be an open subscheme, and $u, v : U \to Y$ be two scheme morphisms that agree scheme-theoretically when restricted to $U \cap X_{R'}$, then show that u and v agree set-theoretically.

Because Y is separated, the locus $\{x \in U \mid u(x) \neq v(x)\}$ is open and contained in $U \setminus X_{R'}$. Its image under the finite locally free map \overline{f} is (by [7, §2.4 Proposition 3]) an open subscheme W of $\mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$ contained in $\mathbb{P}^1_{R'} \setminus \mathbb{A}^1_{R'}$. Thus, for every $x \in \operatorname{Spec} R'$, $W_x \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\kappa(x)}$ is an open subscheme containing at most one point, hence it is empty. Thus W is empty, thus u = v set-theoretically and we are done.

Lemma 3.1.11 Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in ACrv_R . Then $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \to \overline{Y}$ is the relative normalization of $X \to Y \subset \overline{Y}$.

Proof. – Let $f': \overline{X}' \to \overline{Y}$ be the relative normalization of $X \to Y \subset \overline{Y}$. Because X, Y are objects of ACrv_R , $f: X \to Y$ is finite and R is Noetherian, there is a closed subscheme $Z \subset Y$, finite over R, such that $X \setminus f^{-1}(Z), Y \setminus Z$ are normal schemes. Note by [2, Lemma 035K, Lemma 035L], $\overline{X}' \setminus (f')^{-1}(Z)$ is normal and $X \to \overline{X'} \times_{\overline{Y}} Y$ is an isomorphism. In particular, by [2, Lemma 03GR], f' is finite above $\overline{Y} \setminus Z$ and is finite above Y, hence is finite.

Since $\overline{X} \to \overline{Y}$ is finite, by the universal property of relative normalization, there is a unique \overline{Y} -morphism $g: \overline{X}' \to \overline{X}$, and this morphism is the identity above X. This morphism is finite (since $\overline{X}', \overline{X}$ are finite \overline{Y} -schemes), the points in $\overline{X} \setminus X$ (resp. their inverse images under g) are normal points of \overline{X} (resp. \overline{X}'). Finally, X is a dense open subscheme of \overline{X} by construction and of \overline{X}' by [2, Lemma 0AXP]. We conclude using Lemma 3.1.6.

3.2 Smoothness at infinity

Definition 3.2.1 Let R be a regular excellent Noetherian ring. An object Y of \mathbf{ACrv}_R is smooth at infinity if there exists a closed subscheme $Z \subset Y$, finite over Spec R, such that $\overline{Y} \setminus Z \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth of relative dimension one, and the scheme $(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red}$ is finite étale over Spec R.

Proposition 3.2.2 Let $R \to S$ be a morphism of regular excellent Noetherian rings, and Y be an object of ACrv_R which is smooth at infinity. Then Y_S is an object of ACrv_S which is smooth at infinity, and there is a canonical morphism $\overline{Y_S} \to \overline{Y} \times_R \operatorname{Spec} S$. This morphism is an isomorphism. Moreover, this isomorphism realizes an isomorphism $(\overline{Y_S} \setminus Y_S)^{red} \to (\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red} \times_R \operatorname{Spec} S$. Furthermore, $(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red}$ is a relative effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{Y} \to \operatorname{Spec} R$, in the sense of [2, Definition 062T].

Proof. – By definition, there is a closed subscheme $Z \subset Y$ finite over Spec R such that $Y \to \text{Spec } R$ is smooth outside Z, and a finite map $f: Y \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$. Hence $Y_S \to S$ is smooth outside Z_S , so that Y_S is normal outside Z_S , which is a closed subscheme of Y_S finite over Spec S. Moreover $f_S: Y_S \to \mathbb{A}^1_S$ is finite. Thus Y_S is an object in ACrv_S . By Proposition 3.1.4, there is a map $c: \overline{Y_S} \to \overline{Y} \times_R \operatorname{Spec} S$, which is an isomorphism above the dense open subset $Y \times_R \operatorname{Spec} S$. Moreover, the inverse image of $Y \times_R \operatorname{Spec} S$ is dense, and its complement is made of normal points of $\overline{Y_S}$ (by construction of the compactification). Since $\overline{Y} \times_R \operatorname{Spec} S \to \operatorname{Spec} S$ is smooth at every point not in Y_S , every such point is normal. Thus c is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.1.6.

In particular, c induces a surjective closed immersion of schemes $(\overline{Y_S} \setminus Y_S)^{red} \rightarrow [(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red}]_S$. In order to show that it is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that the domain and the target are reduced. For the domain, it is a tautology; for the target, it is because S is reduced and $(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red}$ is étale over R.

For the assertion about relative effective Cartier divisors, since $(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red} \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is finite étale, it is enough by [2, Lemma 062Y] to prove that for any *R*-algebra *k* which is a field, $[(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red}]_k$ is an effective Cartier divisor in Y_k . Using what we showed above, it is equivalent to proving the assertion assuming R = k.

In such a case, for every $y \in \overline{Y} \setminus Y$, since $\overline{Y} \setminus Z$ is normal, we can find an open subset $y \in U_y$ of \overline{Y} contained in $(Y \cup \{y\}) \setminus Z$, and some $t_y \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}(U_y)$ which is a uniformizer at y and a unit at every other point of U_y . Consider then the subsheaf \mathcal{L} of the sheaf of meromorphic functions $\mathcal{K}_{\overline{Y}}$ on \overline{Y} defined as follows: $\mathcal{L}_{|Y} = \mathcal{O}_Y$, $\mathcal{L}_{|U_y} = t_y^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{U_y}$. Then \mathcal{L} is an effective Cartier divisor, and the associated closed subscheme is exactly $(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red}$.

Proposition 3.2.3 Let R be a regular excellent Noetherian ring and Y be an object in \mathbf{ACrv}_R , smooth at infinity. Let $Z \subset Y$ be a closed subscheme, finite over $\operatorname{Spec} R$, such that $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth outside Z. Assume that there is a finite morphism $f : X \to Y$ of R-schemes which is étale above $Y \setminus Z$. Then X is an object of ACrv_R , f is a morphism of ACrv_R . If furthermore fis tamely ramified in codimension one¹³ over \overline{Y} , then $\overline{X} \setminus j^{-1}(Z) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth of relative dimension one, and X is smooth at infinity. Moreover, $\overline{X} \setminus f^{-1}(Z) \to \overline{Y} \setminus Z$ is finite locally free.

Proof. – For the first part, since $f^{-1}(Z)$ is finite over Spec R, and $X \setminus f^{-1}(Z) \to Y \setminus Z$ is finite étale, $X \setminus f^{-1}(Z)$ is normal, so that X (resp. f) is an object (resp. a morphism) of \mathbf{ACrv}_R .

By Lemma 3.1.11, $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \to \overline{Y}$ is the relative compactification of $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \to \overline{Y}$. We now apply Abhyankar's Lemma [2, Lemma 0EYG, 0EYH]: étale locally on $\overline{Y} \setminus Z$, the map $\overline{f}_Z : \overline{X} \setminus f^{-1}(Z) \to \overline{Y} \setminus Z$ is given by finitely many copies of $A \to A[x]/(x^e - \alpha)$, where *e* is an integer invertible in *A* and $\alpha \in A$ cuts exactly the inverse image in *A* of $(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)^{red}$: in particular, *A* is smooth of relative dimension one over Spec *R* and A/fA is étale over Spec *R*. ¹⁴

It implies that \overline{f}_Z is finite locally free, since these properties are étale local on the base. To establish that $\overline{X} \setminus f^{-1}(Z)$ is smooth of relative dimension one over Spec R, by [2, Lemma 036U], it is enough to show that under these assumptions, $R \to A' := A[x]/(x^e - \alpha)$ is smooth of relative dimension one. Since A' is free over A, A' is flat over R, so it is enough to show that for any algebraically closed field k endowed with a R-algebra structure, $A'_k := A' \otimes_R k$ is normal.

Let $A_k = A \otimes_R k$, it is smooth over k of relative dimension one, hence is a Dedekind ring, $A_k/\alpha A_k$ is étale over k, and $A'_k = A_k[x]/(x^e - \alpha)$. Since $A'_k[1/\alpha]$ is étale over $A_k[1/\alpha]$, it is enough to prove that, if **m** is maximal ideal of A_k containing α , then $(A'_k)_{\mathbf{m}} = (A_k)_{\mathbf{m}}[x]/(x^e - \alpha)$ is a normal ring. In this situation, $(A_k)_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a discrete valuation ring, and, since $A_k/\alpha A_k$ is a direct product of copies of k, α is a uniformizer. It is then a standard exercice to check that $(A'_k)_{\mathbf{m}}$ is normal.

This local description implies that étale-locally on $\overline{Y} \setminus Z$, $(\overline{X} \setminus X)^{red}$ is given by copies of $A[x]/\sqrt{(x^e - \alpha, \alpha A)} = A[x]/(x) \simeq A$. Thus, $(\overline{X} \setminus X)^{red}$ is smooth over Spec *R* by [2, Lemma 036U], and it is also finite over Spec *R*, hence it is finite étale.

3.3 Compactified moduli schemes

Recall that the base rings are always assumed to be regular excellent Noetherian, unless explicitly specified otherwise.

Definition 3.3.1 A finite representable moduli problem \mathscr{P} over \mathbf{Ell}_R is normal near infinity if $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is normal near infinity in the sense of Definition 3.3.1. Lemma 3.1.2 tells us that, under our assumptions, this is equivalent to the definition in [42, (8.6.2)].

In this case, we define the compactified moduli scheme $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ as the compactification of the *j*-invariant morphism $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathbb{A}_R^1$ in the sense of Proposition 3.1.9. It is endowed with a finite morphism $\overline{j}: \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathbb{P}_R^1$ extending the usual *j*-invariant. Its cuspidal subscheme $C_{\mathscr{P}}$ is the reduced scheme attached to the closed subspace $\{\overline{j} = \infty\}$ of $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})$. The proof of Proposition 3.1.9 shows that this is equivalent to the construction of [42, (8.6.3)], using [42, Proposition 8.2.2].

Proposition 3.1.9 implies immediately the following:

 $^{^{13}}$ In the sense of [2, Section 0BSE].

¹⁴These facts is not stated as such in the reference, but follow directly from the given proof.

Proposition 3.3.2 Let $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ be finite representable moduli problems over \mathbf{Ell}_R , normal near infinity. Let $f: \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}')$ be any finite map of *R*-schemes. Then f extends to a finite map $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}) \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}')$.

Definition 3.3.3 If R' is a R-algebra and \mathscr{P}' is a finite representable moduli problem over $\operatorname{Ell}_{R'}$, we say that \mathscr{P}' is normal near infinity over R if there exists a closed subscheme Z of \mathbb{A}^1_R , finite over R, such that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}')$ is normal outside $j^{-1}(Z_{R'})$, where j denotes the j-invariant morphism.

Corollary 3.3.4 Suppose that R' is a R-algebra and that we have finite representable moduli problems $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ over $\mathbf{Ell}_R, \mathbf{Ell}_{R'}$ respectively. Suppose that \mathscr{P} is normal near infinity, and that \mathscr{P}' is normal near infinity over R and that we have a morphism of moduli problems $\mathscr{P}' \Rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{R'}$. Then the base change map of moduli schemes $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}') \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}$ extends to a morphism of compactified moduli schemes $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}') \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$, and this maps sends $C_{\mathscr{P}'}$ to $(C_{\mathscr{P}})_{R'}$.

Definition 3.3.5 Suppose that we can take $\mathscr{P}' = \mathscr{P}_{R'}$ in the Corollary 3.3.4. The morphisms $\overline{m} : \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}') \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}$ and $c : C_{\mathscr{P}'} \to (C_{\mathscr{P}})_{R'}$ constructed by this Corollary are called the base change morphisms for \mathscr{P} and $R \to R'$. We then say that the formation of the compactified moduli scheme (resp. the cuspidal subscheme) for \mathscr{P} commutes with the base change $R \to R'$ if \overline{m} (resp. c) is an isomorphism.

Remark 3.3.6 In the situation of Definition 3.3.5, \overline{m} is finite and an isomorphism above $\overline{j}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1_{R'})$.

Corollary 3.3.7 In the situation of the Definition 3.3.5, let Y be a finite R-scheme. Any morphism $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to Y$ of R-schemes extends to $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}) \to Y$, and the extension $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}') \to Y_{R'}$ is the morphism $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}') \xrightarrow{\overline{m}} \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})_{R'} \to Y_{R'}$.

Proof. – The existence of the extensions is Corollary 3.1.5. The two morphisms agree on the open subscheme $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}')$, $Y_{R'}$ is separated, so they are equal.

Proposition 3.3.8 ([42, Proposition 8.6.7]) Let $R \to R'$ be a smooth morphism of R_0 -algebras (where R_0, R, R' are assumed regular excellent Noetherian) and \mathscr{P} be a moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R normal near infinity over R_0 . Then $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is normal near infinity over R_0 . Moreover, the formation of the compactified moduli scheme and the cuspidal subscheme commutes with the base change $R \to R'$.

Proof. – Let $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_{R_0}$ be a closed subscheme, finite over R_0 , such that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z_R)$ is normal. Since $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_{R'}) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is smooth, $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_{R'})$ is normal outside $j^{-1}(Z_{R'})$, hence $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is normal near infinity over R and we have well-defined base change morphisms. Since normalization commutes with smooth base change [2, Lemma 03GV], the formation of the compactified moduli scheme commutes with the base change $R \to R'$.

Let us now discuss the formation of the cuspidal subscheme. The isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$ -schemes $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{R'}) \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}$ induces a surjective closed immersion $\iota : C_{\mathscr{P}_{R'}} \to (C_{\mathscr{P}})_{R'}$. This map will be an isomorphism as long as $(C_{\mathscr{P}})_{R'}$ is reduced. Now, $(C_{\mathscr{P}})_{R'} \to C_{\mathscr{P}}$ is a smooth map to a reduced scheme, hence $(C_{\mathscr{P}})_{R'}$ is reduced, thus ι is an isomorphism. \Box

Lemma 3.3.9 Let $R \to R'$ be a finite étale morphism of regular excellent Noetherian rings, and \mathscr{P} be a finite representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R normal at infinity. Then the finite representable moduli problem $\mathscr{P}' = \mathscr{P} \times [\operatorname{Spec} R']$ is normal at infinity, and we have natural isomorphisms $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}') \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}) \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R', C_{\mathscr{P}'} \to C_{\mathscr{P}} \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R'.$

Proof. – First, note that by Proposition 1.2.1, \mathscr{P}' is finite representable with moduli scheme $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R' \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. If $Z \subset \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is a closed subscheme finite over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is normal outside Z, then $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}')$ is normal outside the closed subscheme $Z_{R'}$ which is finite over R. Hence \mathscr{P}' is normal at infinity. The isomorphism $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}') \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R'$

preserves the *j*-invariants, so it is an isomorphism of \mathbb{P}^1_R -schemes. Since relative normalization commutes with smooth base change [2, Lemma 03GV], the relative normalization of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$ is exactly $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})_{R'}$.

From the commutative diagram



we get a natural morphism $f: \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}) \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R' \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}')$, which is an isomorphism outside the cuspidal subschemes. Since f is a morphism of finite \mathbb{P}^1_R -schemes, f is finite. Furthermore, f is an isomorphism above $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}')$, which is dense and whose inverse image $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R'$, which is dense. Moreover, all the points of the target (resp. the source) of f in the cuspidal subscheme (resp. in $f^{-1}(C_{\mathscr{P}'})$, i.e. in $C_{\mathscr{P}} \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R'$) are normal: by definition for the target, by étale base change for the source. By Lemma 3.1.6, f is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.3.10 Let $R \to R'$ be a faithfully flat (resp. finite faithfully flat) morphism and \mathscr{P} a moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R . If $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is normal near infinity over some ring R_0 , where R is a R_0 -algebra, (resp. normal near infinity), then \mathscr{P} is normal near infinity over R_0 (resp. \mathscr{P} is normal near infinity).

Proof. – Suppose that $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is normal near infinity over R_0 : there is a finite subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_{R_0}$ such that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z_{R'})$ is normal. Then the map $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_{R'}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z_{R'}) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z_R)$ is faithfully flat from a normal scheme, hence its codomain is a normal scheme by [32, Corollaire (6.5.4)]. In particular, \mathscr{P} is normal at infinity over R_0 .

Suppose now that $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is normal near infinity and R' is finite faithfully flat over R. There is a closed subscheme $Z' \subset \mathbb{A}^1_{R'}$, finite over R' (thus affine), such that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_{R'}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z')$ is normal. Because R is Noetherian, the image Z of Z' in \mathbb{A}^1_R is a closed subscheme, finite over R; by definition, $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_{R'}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z_{R'})$ is contained in $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_{R'}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z')$, hence is normal. Thus $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is normal at infinity over R. The previous point shows that \mathscr{P} is normal at infinity over R. \Box

Definition 3.3.11 Let R' be a R-algebra. A moduli problem \mathscr{P} on $\mathbf{Ell}_{R'}$ is smooth at infinity over R if the following properties hold:

- \mathscr{P} is normal near infinity.
- There exists a closed subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R$, finite over R, such that $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R'$ is smooth outside $j^{-1}(Z_{R'})$.
- $-C_{\mathscr{P}}$ is finite étale over R'.

When R = R', we say that \mathscr{P} is smooth at infinity. This is then exactly the notion discussed in [42, Definition 8.6.5].

Remark 3.3.12 Since the *j*-invariant map $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ is finite, this definition for R' = R is equivalent to asking that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth at infinity in the sense of Definition 3.2.1.

Lemma 3.3.13 Let R' be an R-algebra. If \mathscr{P} is a moduli problem on $\mathbf{Ell}_{R'}$ which is smooth at infinity over R, then \mathscr{P} is normal near infinity over R.

Proof. – This is because $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z_{R'}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R'$ is smooth over a regular ring, hence $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \setminus j^{-1}(Z_{R'})$ is a regular scheme by Proposition A.1.7.
Proposition 3.3.14 ([42, Proposition 8.6.6]) Let $R_0 \to R \to R'$ be morphisms of rings. If \mathscr{P} is a moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R which is smooth at infinity over R_0 , then $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is smooth at infinity over R_0 , and the formation of the compactified moduli scheme and the cuspidal subscheme for \mathscr{P} commutes with the base change $R \to R'$. Moreover, the cuspidal subscheme of \mathscr{P} is a relative effective Cartier divisor in $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})$.

Proof. – This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2.2.

Proposition 3.3.15 Let $R_0 \to R \to R'$ be morphisms of rings with $R \to R'$ faithfully flat smooth. Let \mathscr{P} be a moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R such that $\mathscr{P}_{R'}$ is smooth at infinity over R_0 . Then \mathscr{P} is smooth at infinity over R_0 and the formation of the compactified moduli scheme and the cuspidal subscheme for \mathscr{P} commutes with the base change $R \to R'$.

Proof. – Let $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_{R_0}$ be a closed subscheme, finite over R_0 , such that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_{R'})$ is smooth over Spec R' outside the *j*-invariants in $Z_{R'}$. Now, \mathscr{P} is normal near infinity over R_0 by Proposition 3.3.10, and the formation of the compactified moduli scheme and the cuspidal subscheme commutes with base change by Proposition 3.3.8. In particular, after making the fpqc base change $R \to R', \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})_R \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth of relative dimension one outside the *j*-invariants in Z_R , therefore $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth of relative dimension one outside the *j*-invariants in Z_R .

We previously said that the isomorphism of compactified moduli schemes induces an isomorphism of cuspidal subschemes $\iota : C_{\mathscr{P}_{R'}} \to (C_{\mathscr{P}})_{R'}$. Hence $C_{\mathscr{P}} \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ becomes finite étale after the faithfully flat base change $R \to R'$, hence $C_{\mathscr{P}} \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is finite étale.

Proposition 3.3.16 Let R be a regular excellent Noetherian ring and let \mathscr{P} be a finite locally free representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R endowed with a level N structure, for some $N \ge 1$, and assume that \mathscr{P} is normal near infinity. Then the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ extends to an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})$. This action is natural with respect to \mathscr{P} (for morphisms of finite locally free representable moduli problems on \mathbf{Ell}_R normal near infinity and endowed with a level N structure).

Proof. – This is a direct application of Proposition 3.1.9.

Proposition 3.3.17 Let $N, m \geq 1$ be coprime integers, R be a regular excellent Noetherian ring, and \mathscr{P} be a finite locally free representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R endowed with a level Nstructure, such that $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is normal near infinity. Then, for every divisor $d \geq 1$ of m, the moduli problem $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(t)]_R$ is normal near infinity. Moreover,

- for any $d, t \geq 1$ such that $dt \mid m$, there is a finite map $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(t)])$ of R-schemes extending the morphism $D_{d,t}$ of Definition 2.1.7. We denote this extension by $D_{d,t}$.
- for any $d \ge 1$ dividing m and coprime to m/d, there is an automorphism of R-schemes of $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R)$ extending w_d . We denote this extension by w_d .
- the formation of $D_{d,t}$ and w_d is natural with respect to \mathscr{P} (for morphisms of representable moduli problems of level N such that their product with $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is normal near infinity).
- the relations between the various w_d (for $d \ge 1$ dividing m and coprime to m/d) and $D_{s,t}$ (for various $s, t \ge 1$ such that $st \mid m$) stated in Corollary 2.1.9 and Proposition 2.1.13 still hold.

Proof. – For any $t \geq 1$ dividing m, $D_{1,t} : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(t)]_R)$ is finite flat surjective. The rest is a direct application of the construction of Proposition 3.1.9, since these maps are defined on the (non-compactified) moduli schemes.

Proposition 3.3.18 In the situation of Proposition 3.3.2, assume furthermore that f is flat, that $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ are flat near infinity¹⁵ over \mathbf{Ell}_R , and that $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}), \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}')$ are respectively Cohen-Macaulay and regular at every point of the cuspidal subscheme. Then the compactification \overline{f} of f is finite locally free, and the j-invariants are finite locally free away from a closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}^1_R finite over R. These hypotheses are satisfied if f is flat and $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ are smooth at infinity.

Proof. – After taking a direct factor of R (since it is Noetherian), we can assume that R has no nontrivial idempotents. Since it is regular, R is a domain. We are then reduced to the following situation: we have a closed subscheme Z of \mathbb{A}^1_R containing the zero section, finite over Spec R and finite maps $g: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_R \backslash Z$ and $f: X \to Y$ of R-schemes such that Y is regular (resp. X is Cohen–Macaulay) at every point not in $g^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z)$ (resp. not in $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z)$), and f is flat above $\mathbb{A}^1_R \backslash Z$. We want to show that f is flat.

By construction, $\mathbb{A}_R^1 \setminus Z$ is the locus of nonvanishing of a global function $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}_R^1 \setminus Z)$. Let $y \in Y$ be a point not above $\mathbb{A}_R^1 \setminus Z$, $A = \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ and B be the ring of functions of Spec $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \times_Y X$. By Corollary 3.1.10, $A \to A_h$ and $B \to B_h$ are injective.

Then A is a regular local ring and B is a finite A-algebra; its maximal ideals are exactly the primes above the maximal ideal of A: in particular, h vanishes at every maximal ideal of B, so B is Cohen-Macaulay at every one of its maximal ideals. Our goal is to show that for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n} \subset B$, $B_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a flat A-algebra.

Let \mathfrak{m} denote the maximl ideal of A. Since A is regular, $B_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay and $B_{\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{m}$ is a localization of a finite A/\mathfrak{m} -algebra, it is enough to show by miracle flatness [2, Lemmas 00R4, 00OM] that dim $A \leq \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Since $A_h \to (B_n)_h$ is flat, one has by going down dim $B_n > \dim (B_n)_h \ge \dim A_h$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ be a prime ideal which is maximal for the property of not containing h, then $A' := A/\mathfrak{p}$ is a Noetherian local domain in which the open subscheme D(h') of Spec A' is reduced to $\{0\}$, so by [2, Lemma 02IG] A' has dimension at most one. Because A is regular, [2, Lemma 00NA] implies that dim $A_h \ge \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim A - \dim A' \ge \dim A - 1$, and we are done.

Corollary 3.3.19 Let $N, m \ge 1$ be coprime integers, R be a regular excellent Noetherian ring, \mathscr{P} be a finite locally free representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R endowed with a level N structure such that $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is normal near infinity. Suppose furthermore that, for any positive $k \mid m$ and every point $x \in X := \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(k)]_R)$ lying in the cuspidal subscheme, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular (for instance if every $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(k)]_R$ for $k \mid m$ is smooth at infinity). Then the $D_{d,t}$ defined in Proposition 3.3.17 are finite locally free.

Proof. – This follows from Proposition 3.3.18, since the moduli problems \mathscr{P} and $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ are finite locally free.

To apply Proposition 3.3.17, we thus need concrete criteria in order to decide when the moduli problems $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ are normal near infinity.

Proposition 3.3.20 Let \mathscr{P} be a finite representable moduli problem over Ell_R , where R is a Noetherian ring (without any extra assumptions). Let $m_0, m_1 \geq 1$ be coprime integers such that m_0 is square-free and $m_1 \in R^{\times}$, with $m = m_0 m_1$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R$ be an open subset such that its complement Z is finite over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ and $\mathscr{P}_{|U}$ is étale over U. Then:

 $-\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma_0(m)]_R]) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is Cohen–Macaulay of relative dimension one with geometrically reduced fibres at every point of $j^{-1}(U)$, and smooth at every point of $j^{-1}(U)$ which is not supersingular in residue characteristic $p \mid m_0$.

¹⁵ in the sense that there exists an open subscheme $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R$ whose complement is finite over Spec R and such that $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}'$ are flat over \mathbf{Ell}_R above U

- Assume furthermore that R is regular excellent Noetherian of generic characteristic zero. Then $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is smooth at infinity, the same statement as above holds for its compactified moduli scheme, and $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})$ is finite locally free of constant rank.
- If furthermore R' is any regular excellent Noetherian R-algebra, the conclusions of the previous point hold after replacing (R, \mathscr{P}) with $(R', \mathscr{P}_{R'})$.

Proof. – The last point follows from the second one using Proposition 3.3.14. We start with the first point: until said otherwise, we do not concern ourselves with questions of compactification.

We know that the map $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is finite locally free of constant rank (étale over $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$) and the same argument as Corollary 1.3.5 shows that $j^{-1}(U) \subset \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth of relative dimension one. Thus, $j^{-1}(U) \subset \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is Cohen– Macaulay of relative dimension one, and smooth above R[1/m].

To prove the claimed smoothness (and reducedness of the geometric fibres), we may therefore assume that R is an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \mid m_0$. After replacing \mathscr{P} with $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m_0m_1/p)]_R$, we may assume that $(m_0, m_1) = (p, 1)$.

Fix some prime $\ell \nmid 2m$, then $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_k, [\Gamma_0(p)]_k, \mathscr{P}) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(p)]_k)$ is finite étale of constant positive rank, hence it is enough to prove the statement for the moduli problem $[\Gamma(\ell)]_k \times [\Gamma_0(p)] \times \mathscr{P}$. The map $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_k, [\Gamma_0(p)]_k, \mathscr{P}) \to \mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_k, [\Gamma_0(p)]_k)$ is finite étale above $j^{-1}(U)$, so we are reduced to the case $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma(\ell)]_k$. This then follows from [42, Theorem 10.10.3 (5)] (with the same $p, N = \ell, \Gamma \leq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\ell\mathbb{Z})$ being the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices that are trivial mod ℓ), whose assumptions ((a), (b) and balancedness¹⁶) can be directly checked, and we come back from what *loc. cit.* calls the "canonical problems" to our setting using [42, Proposition 9.1.7].

Note that, to use this statement, we need to base change from $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ (we are dealing with a representable moduli problem, so there is no issue) and check that the moduli problem $[\Gamma_0(p)]_{R_0}$ is indeed the quotient of $[\Gamma(p)]_{R_0}$ by the subgroup of *lower*-triangular matrices (see Remark 4.2.9), which is [42, Theorem 7.4.2].

Now, we discuss the second point and will concern ourselves with matters of compactification: we thus assume for the rest of the proof that R is regular excellent Noetherian. Let us temporarily assume that $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is smooth at infinity. Then the required smoothness for the compactified moduli scheme follows directly from the first point. The morphism $f: \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P})$ is finite by assumption, flat away from the cusps because so is the moduli problem $[\Gamma_0(p)]_R$. Away from some finite R-subscheme containing $j^{-1}(Z)$ and the supersingular points in residue characteristic $p \mid m_0$ (using [42, Lemma 12.5.4]), f is a finite map of smooth R-schemes of relative dimension one, so it is flat by miracle flatness.

Thus only the smoothness at infinity remains to prove. After possibly shrinking it, we may assume that U is principal defined by a monic polynomial. If $m_0 = 1$, then the statement follows from [42, Theorem 8.6.8], so we assume $m_0 > 1$. Let $Y_0(m)^R$ be the coarse moduli scheme attached to $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$, $Y_0(m) := Y_0(m)^{\mathbb{Z}[1/m_1]}$. By [42, Theorem 5.1.1, Lemma 8.1.2], $Y_0(m)$ is normal. By [42, Proposition 8.1.6], we have a canonical morphism of \mathbb{A}^1_R -schemes $B: Y_0(m)^R \to Y_0(m) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/m_1]} \text{Spec } R$. Let V be a principal open subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[1/m_1]}$ defined by a monic polynomial which is a multiple of j(j - 1728), and such that V does not contain the supersingular j-invariants in residue characteristic $p \mid m_0$ (see [42, Lemma 12.5.4]). By [42, (8.5.4)], B is an isomorphism above $j^{-1}(V)$.

The open subspace $V' = U \cap V$ of \mathbb{A}^1_R is defined by a monic polynomial, hence it is the complement of a closed subscheme Z' finite over Spec R. Then $\pi : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to Y_0(m)^R$ is finite, and a morphism of smooth R-schemes of relative dimension one above $j^{-1}(V')$, hence by miracle flatness it is flat.

¹⁶This is the reason why m_0 had to be square-free.

Let us prove that $Y_0(m)$ is smooth at infinity in the sense of Definition 3.2.1: let $X_0(m)$ be its compactification. We apply [42, Theorem 10.10.3 (5)] to each prime $p \mid m_0$, with $N = m_0 m_1/p$, $\Gamma_1 \leq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\Gamma_2 \leq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ the subgroups consisting of upper-triangular matrices: the assumptions (a) and (b) are trivially satisfied and one checks directly that Γ_1 is balanced. By Proposition 3.2.2, for any regular excellent Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[m_1^{-1}]$ -algebra S, $Y_0(m)^S$ is smooth at infinity, and $X_0(m)^S := \overline{Y_0(m)^S} \to X_0(m)_S$ is an isomorphism away from the (inverse images under finite maps) of the finite R-scheme $j^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_R^1 \setminus V_R)$.

Let us temporarily assume that π is étale above $j^{-1}(V')$. Since R has generic residue characteristic zero, we could then apply Proposition 3.2.3 to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_0(m)]_R) \to Y_0(m)^R$, and the conclusion would follow.

Thus, all we need to do is prove that π is étale above $j^{-1}(V')$. Let k be a R-algebra which is an algebraically closed field. It is enough to show that the fibres of $\pi \times_R \operatorname{Spec} k$ above $j^{-1}(V')_k$ are étale. Let $BC : Y_0(m)^k \to Y_0(m)_k^R$ be the canonical base change morphism, which is an isomorphism above $j^{-1}(V')$.

Since, above $j^{-1}(V)$, the formation of the coarse moduli scheme for $[\Gamma_0(m)]$ commutes with base change, and since π is flat above $j^{-1}(V')$, we may assume that R is an algebraically closed field k of characteristic c. Let $U' \subset \mathbb{A}^1_k$ be the affine open subset on which j(j-1728) is invertible. If $c \neq 2$, consider the moduli problem [U', 1] of [42, Theorem 8.4.9], which yields the following commutative diagram of U'-schemes:

By [42, Corollary 8.4.10], α, δ are finite étale. By assumption, β is finite étale above $j^{-1}(U \cap U')$. Thus π' is étale above $j^{-1}(U \cap U')$: since $\pi \otimes_R \operatorname{Spec} k = BC \circ \pi'$, and BC is an isomorphism above $j^{-1}(V') \subset j^{-1}(U \cap U')$, $\pi \times_R \operatorname{Spec} k$ is étale above $j^{-1}(V')_k$.

When c = 2, the same argument applies by replacing the moduli problem [U', 1] with the moduli problem $[\mu_4]$ of [42, Theorem 8.4.11], and replacing the references to [42, Theorem 8.4.9, Corollary 8.4.10] with references to [42, Theorem 8.4.11, Corollary 8.4.12].

Corollary 3.3.21 Let \mathscr{P} be a finite étale representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R , for some regular excellent Noetherian ring R of generic characteristic zero. Then, for all coprime $m_0, m_1 \ge 1$ with m_0 square-free and $m_1 \in R^{\times}$, $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_0(m_0m_1)]_R$ is smooth at infinity.

Proposition 3.3.22 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer, $r \mid N$, and R be a regular excellent Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra, and \mathscr{P} be a finite locally free relatively representable (representable if $N \le 3$) moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_R with level prime to N, such that $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma'_1(N,r)]_R$ is normal near infinity. Then, for every divisor $d \ge 1$ of r, the moduli problem $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma'_1(N,d)]_R$ is normal near infinity. Moreover,

- for any $s,t \ge 1$ such that $st \mid r$, the morphism $D'_{s,t}$ of Definition 2.1.7 extends to a finite map $D'_{s,t} : \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N, r)]_R) \to \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N, t)])$ of *R*-schemes.
- $D'_{s,t}$ is finite locally free if for every $d \mid r$ and every $x \in X := \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma'_1(N, d)]_R)$ contained in the cuspidal subscheme, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular. This is in particular the case if every $[\mathscr{P}] \times [\Gamma'_1(N, d)]_R$ is smooth near infinity.
- for any $d \ge 1$ dividing N and coprime to N/d, there is an automorphism of R-schemes of $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma_1(N)]_R)$ extending w'_d . We denote this extension by w'_d .
- the formation of $D'_{s,t}$ and w'_d is natural with respect to \mathscr{P} (for morphisms of representable moduli problems of level N such that their product with $[\Gamma'_1(N,r)]_R$ or $[\Gamma_1(N)]_R$ is normal near infinity).

- the relations between the various w'_d and $D'_{s,t}$ stated in Lemmas 2.2.4, 2.2.13, 2.2.14 (with the suitable extension of scalars) and 2.2.15 still hold.

Proof. – The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.3.17 (and Corollary 3.3.19) using the results of Section 2.2. $\hfill \Box$

4 Application to our moduli problems

4.1 Representability results

Definition 4.1.1 Let G be a finite locally free commutative group over a ring R. The moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ on **Ell**_R is defined as follows:

- let S be a R-scheme and E/S be an elliptic curve, then $\mathscr{P}_G(N)(E/S)$ is the set of isomorphisms $\iota: G \times_R S \to E[N]$ of S-group schemes,
- for a morphism $F/T \to E/S$ in **Ell**_R, the morphism $\mathscr{P}_G(N)(E/S) \to \mathscr{P}_G(N)(F/T)$ is induced by the isomorphism $F[N] \to E[N] \times_S T$.

It is endowed with a natural structure of moduli problem of level N. In particular, for any $m \geq 1$ coprime to N, the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) := \mathscr{P}_G(N) \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is endowed with a natural level structure of level Nm.

Remark 4.1.2 Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra and G be the constant group scheme $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R^{\oplus 2}$. Let $e_1, e_2 \in G(R)$ be the standard basis of sections, then, for any object E/S of \mathbf{Ell}_R ,

$$f \in \mathscr{P}_G(N)(E/S) \mapsto (f(e_1), f(e_2))[\Gamma(N)]_R(E/S)$$

defines an isomorphism of functors $\mathscr{P}_G(N) \to [\Gamma(N)]_R$.

Lemma 4.1.3 Let S be a scheme and G, H be finite locally free S-schemes. Then the functors $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_T(G_T, H_T)$ and $T \mapsto \operatorname{Isom}_T(G_T, H_T)$ are representable by affine S-schemes of finite presentation.

Proof. – By Proposition A.1.2, the functor $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_T(G_T, H_T)$ is an fpqc sheaf, and its subfunctor $T \mapsto \mathrm{Isom}_T(G_T, H_T)$ is a subsheaf by Proposition A.1.5, so it is enough by Proposition A.1.4 to check this Zariski-locally on S. We may thus assume that S is the spectrum of a ring A, that G, H are affine A-schemes and that $\mathcal{O}(G), \mathcal{O}(H)$ are free A-modules. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_m), (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ be bases of $\mathcal{O}(G), \mathcal{O}(H)$ as A-modules respectively. We can find $\gamma_k^{i,j}, \eta_k^{i,j} \in A$ such that

$$e_i e_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_k^{i,j} e_k, \qquad f_i f_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k^{i,j} f_k.$$

It is thus enough to find finitely presented A-algebras R, R' such that for any A-algebra B, one has natural isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{B-Alg}(\mathcal{O}(H) \otimes_A B, \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_A B) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(R, B),$$

$$\operatorname{Isom}_{B-Alg}(\mathcal{O}(H) \otimes_A B, \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_A B) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(R', B).$$

Let B be an A-algebra. A B-linear map $\mathcal{O}(H) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_A B$ amounts to choosing coefficients $z_{i,j} \in B$ (where $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$): f_j is mapped to $\sum_i z_{i,j} e_i$. An elementary computation shows that such a B-linear map $\mathcal{O}(H) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_A B$ respects the multiplication if and only if

$$\forall 1 \le j, k \le n, \, \forall 1 \le \ell \le m, \, \sum_{p=1}^n \eta_p^{j,k} z_{i,p} = \sum_{1 \le i,i' \le m} z_{i,j} z_{i',k} \gamma_\ell^{i,i'}.$$

Therefore, we can choose for R the quotient of the free A-algebra in the variables $Z_{i,j}$ with $(i,j) \in [\![1,m]\!] \times [\![1,n]\!]$ by the ideal generated by $\sum_{p=1}^{n} \eta_p^{j,k} Z_{i,p} - \sum_{1 \le i,i' \le m} Z_{i,j} Z_{i',k} \gamma_{\ell}^{i,i'}$ for $1 \le j,k \le n$ and $1 \le \ell \le m$.

In order for a linear map $\mathcal{O}(H) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_A B$ thusly defined to be an isomorphism, it is necessary that n = m. So if $n \neq m$ we can set R' = 0; otherwise, we set $R' = R \left[(\det(Z_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n})^{-1} \right]$.

Lemma 4.1.4 Let S be a scheme and G, H be finite locally free commutative group schemes over S. The functors

$$T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(G_T, H_T), \qquad T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \mapsto \mathrm{Isom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(G_T, H_T)$$

are representable by affine S-schemes of finite presentation.

Proof. – Let I, J the affine S-schemes of finite presentation such that for any S-scheme T, one has the functorial isomorphisms

$$I(T) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_T}(G_T, H_T), \qquad J(T) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_T}((G \times_S G)_T, H_T).$$

There are two maps $\varphi, \psi: I \to J$ of S-schemes given by the following rule: given $f \in I(T)$, f corresponds to a map $G_T \to H_T$ of schemes, and $\varphi(f) \in J(T)$ corresponds to the map

$$(G \times_S G)_T \xrightarrow{m_G} G_T \xrightarrow{f} H_T,$$

while $\psi(f) \in J(T)$ corresponds to the map

$$(G \times_S G)_T \simeq G_T \times_T G_T \xrightarrow{f \times f} H_T \times_T H_T \xrightarrow{m_H} H_T.$$

It is clear that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(G_T, H_T)$ is represented by the collection of $f \in I(T)$ such that $\varphi(f) = \psi(f)$. In other words, the fpqc pre-sheaf $K : T \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(G_T, H_T)$ is exactly the equalizer of the two maps $\varphi, \psi : I \to J$. Therefore, K is a fpqc sheaf and is the fibre product $I \times_{(\varphi,\psi),J \times_S J,\Delta} J$, where Δ is the diagonal closed immersion, so K is represented by an affine S-scheme of finite presentation.

Finally, for any S-scheme T, one has the following natural identification

 $\operatorname{Isom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(G_T, H_T) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(G_T, H_T) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_T}(G_T, H_T)} \operatorname{Isom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_T}(G_T, H_T),$

where all three functors appearing on the right-hand side are representable by affine S-schemes of finite presentation, whence the conclusion. \Box

Corollary 4.1.5 Let R be a ring and G, H be finite locally free commutative R-group schemes. Assume that the automorphism scheme of H is flat. The following are equivalent:

- (i) for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R, there is a faithfully flat $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra R' such that $G_{R'}$ and $H_{R'}$ are isomorphic,
- (ii) there is a faithfully flat quasi-finite R-algebra of finite presentation R' such that $G_{R'}$ and $H_{R'}$ are isomorphic,
- (iii) the isomorphism scheme from G to H is a faithfully flat R-scheme.

Proof. – Clearly, (ii) implies (i), and (iii) implies (ii) by [33, Corollaire 17.16.2]. So we need to show that (i) implies (iii). Let X be the scheme parametrizing isomorphisms between G and H: we know that X is affine of finite presentation over R. Because (i) holds, for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R, there is a faithfully flat $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra R' such that $G_{R'} \simeq H_{R'}$. Then $X_{R'}$ is isomorphic to the automorphism scheme of $H_{R'}$, hence it is flat over R'. By descent, $X_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is flat over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we are done.

Proposition 4.1.6 Let G be a finite locally free commutative group scheme over a ring R and N be a positive integer. Then the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ on \mathbf{Ell}_R is relatively representable and affine of finite presentation. If $N \geq 3$, then $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is representable.

Proof. – By Lemma 4.1.4, this moduli problem is relatively representable, affine of finite presentation. When $N \ge 3$, $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is rigid by [42, Corollary 2.7.2], so it is representable by Proposition 1.2.2.

We will also freely use the following result:

Proposition 4.1.7 Let G be a finite locally free commutative group scheme over a ring R. Suppose that G is killed by some integer $N \ge 1$. Moreover, let $m_1, \ldots, m_r \ge 1$ be integers that are pairwise coprime and such that N equals the product of the m_i . Then the addition map $G[m_1] \times_R G[m_2] \times_R \ldots \times_R G[m_r] \to G$ is an isomorphism, and every $G[m_i]$ is a finite locally free commutative S-group scheme.

Proof. – This argument is essentially [42, Proposition 1.7.2]. For every $i, G[m_i]$ is the kernel of the morphism $m_i : G \to G$, so $G[m_i]$ is a closed subscheme of G, so it is a finite R-scheme. Therefore, it is a finite R-group scheme of finite presentation. The map $G[m_1] \times_R G[m_2] \times_R \ldots \times_R G[m_r] \to G$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism of R-group schemes through its functor of points, because the assumption implies that the projection $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \to \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/m_i\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism. This implies that $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is the tensor product over R of the $\mathcal{O}(G[m_i])$.

Now, for any *i*, the unit section of each $G[m_i]$ defines a morphism $\mathcal{O}(G[m_i]) \to R$ of *R*-algebras, so *R* is a direct summand of $\mathcal{O}(G[m_i])$. Therefore, for each *i*, *R* is a direct factor of $\bigotimes_{j\neq i} \mathcal{O}(G[m_j])$, so $\mathcal{O}(G[m_i])$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{O}(G)$. Since $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is a finite locally free *R*-module, it is projective by [2, Lemma 00NX]. Hence $\mathcal{O}(G)$, hence each $\mathcal{O}(G[m_i])$ is a summand of a finite free *R*-module, whence $\mathcal{O}(G[m_i])$ is projective and finitely generated as a *R*-module, hence finite locally free.

4.2 The étale case

If R is a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra and G is a finite locally free $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -group scheme, then G is étale. Let us assume for the sake of simplicity that R is connected. Then, there is a finite abelian group A such that étale-locally on R, G is isomorphic to the constant group scheme given by A. If there is a R-scheme S and an elliptic curve E/S such that $E[N] \simeq G_S$, it follows that A is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$. This explains the following definition.

Definition 4.2.1 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer, S be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -scheme. A *N*-torsion group over S is a group scheme G over S which is étale-locally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$. In particular, a *N*-torsion group is commutative, finite locally free and étale over S.

Suppose that G is a N-torsion group over some $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -scheme S and assume that we have an isomorphism $\varphi : G \to E[N]$ of S-group schemes for some elliptic curve E/S. Then $G \times_S G \xrightarrow{\varphi \times \varphi} E[N] \times E[N] \xrightarrow{We} (\mu_N)_S$ is a surjective bilinear alternated morphism between étale S-schemes.

Lemma 4.2.2 Let S be a scheme and G be a N-torsion group. The functor mapping $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S$ to the collection of bilinear alternating surjective pairings $G_T \times G_T \to (\mu_N)_T$ is representable by an étale $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ -torsor over S. In particular, this torsor is finite locally free and étale over S. *Proof.* – The last sentence follows from descent. Let us prove the rest of the claim. First, note that the functor carries an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, since it is the automorphism group of μ_N .

Second, when restricted to the subcategory of S_1 -schemes for some suitable étale faithfully flat S-scheme S_1 , G becomes isomorphic to the constant group scheme $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$, and it is then direct to check that the restricted functor is represented by the finite locally free and étale constant scheme $(\mu_N^{\times})_{S_1}$ (which is indeed a $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ -torsor for the natural action $(a, \zeta) \mapsto \zeta^a$).

So, by Proposition A.1.4, all we have to do is show that the functor is an fpqc sheaf. This functor is a sub-presheaf of the one mapping any S-scheme T to $\operatorname{Hom}_T(G_T \times G_T, (\mu_N)_T)$, which

is a fpqc sheaf. So all we need to do is to show that, given a S-scheme T and a morphism $f: G_T \times_T G_T \to (\mu_N)_T$, the conditions:

- (a) f is surjective,
- (b) f vanishes on the diagonal,
- (c) f is bilinear,

can be checked fpqc-locally over T.

For (a), this is easy by Proposition A.1.5. For (b), let H be the T-scheme fitting in the Cartesian diagram (where Δ denotes a diagonal)



Then *i* is a closed immersion (since $(\mu_N)_T$ is affine over *T*), and it can be checked that, for any *T*-scheme *T'*, $f_{T'}$ vanishes on the diagonal if and only if $i_{T'}$ is an isomorphism. This condition is fpqc-local on *T'* by Proposition A.1.5.

The argument for (c) is similar. Consider the two morphisms

$$\varphi_1, \varphi_2: G_T \times_T G_T \times_T G_T \to (\mu_N)_T \times_T (\mu_N)_T$$

given on sections by

$$\varphi_1(a,b,c) = (f(a+b,c), f(a,b+c)), \qquad \varphi_2(a,b,c) = (f(a,c) + f(b,c), f(a,b) + f(a,c)),$$

where + always denotes the group law (which group being clear on context). Consider the *T*-scheme *H* fitting in the Cartesian diagram (where Δ denotes again the diagonal):

As above, for any T-scheme T', $f_{T'}$ is a bilinear map if and only if $(\varphi_1)_{T'}$ and $(\varphi_2)_{T'}$ agree, if and only if $i_{T'}$ is an isomorphism, and this condition is fpqc-local on T'.

Definition 4.2.3 Let G be a N-torsion group over a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra. The torsor constructed in Lemma 4.2.2 is denoted Pol(G). A section of Pol(G) is a Weil pairing on G. If the N-torsion G admits a Weil pairing, we call it is *polarized*.

Remark 4.2.4 Let *E* be an elliptic curve over a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -scheme *S*. Then E[N] is a *N*-torsion group over *S*, and the usual Weil pairing is a canonical section of Pol(E[N]).

Definition 4.2.5 Let G be a N-torsion group over a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -scheme S. Then, for any elliptic curve E/T, where T is a S-scheme, there is a morphism of functors $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/T} \to \operatorname{Pol}(G) \times_S T$ defined as follows: any isomorphism of U-group schemes $\varphi : G_U \to E_U[N]$ induces the bilinear alternating surjective pairing det $\varphi : G_U \times G_U \xrightarrow{\varphi \times \varphi} E[N]_U \times E[N]_U \xrightarrow{We} (\mu_N)_U$.

Assume that G is polarized and that S is connected. Then $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is the constant S-scheme indexed by $\operatorname{Pol}(G)(S)$. For $u \in \operatorname{Pol}(G)(S)$ (resp. and $m \geq 1$ coprime to N), let $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ (resp. $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m))$) denote the subfunctor of $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ (resp. $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$) parametrizing isomorphisms ι (resp. couples (ι, C)) with det $\iota = u$. In more formal terms, this is equivalent to

$$\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m)) = \mathscr{P}_{G,u} \times_{\mathscr{P}_G(N)} \mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)).$$

Remark 4.2.6 The issue when G is a polarized N-torsion group over a disconnected base scheme S is that $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is not indexed any more by its S-sections. We can amend the definition in the above case (and the results below) by *fixing* a Weil pairing W on G, which yields an isomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S^{\times} \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)$.

Proposition 4.2.7 Let G be a N-torsion group over a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra R. Then the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) It is representable and finite étale of constant rank $r_N \ge 1$ over \mathbf{Ell}_R , by an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_G(N)/Y_G(N)$, with $Y_G(N) \to \text{Spec } R$ smooth affine of relative dimension one,
- (ii) Its j-invariant is finite locally free of constant rank $r'_N \ge 1$, étale on the locus where j(j-1728) is invertible,
- (iii) If R is regular excellent Noetherian, then $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is smooth at infinity over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$: the formation of its compactified moduli scheme $X_G(N)$ and cuspidal subscheme commutes with any base change,
- (iv) If R is regular excellent Noetherian, the compactified moduli scheme $X_G(N)$ attached to $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is smooth proper over Spec R of relative dimension one,
- (v) If R is regular excellent Noetherian, $X_G(N)$ is a regular scheme and the *j*-invariant map is finite locally free of rank r'_N ,
- (vi) The level N structure on $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ defines a natural action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on $Y_G(N)$ which preserves the *j*-invariant. When R is regular excellent Noetherian, it extends to an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on $X_G(N)$ (still denoted [·]) whose formation commutes with base change.

Proof. – When G is constant and $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$, (i)-(iv) (apart from the statement about base change) follow from Corollary 1.3.5 and [42, Theorem 5.1.1, Proposition 8.6.8]. Since $X_G(N)$ is smooth proper over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ of relative dimension one, it is regular (with every nonempty open subset of dimension two), hence Cohen–Macaulay, and the *j*-invariant $X_G(N) \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}$ is finite from a Cohen–Macaulay scheme to a regular scheme (every nonempty open subscheme of either having dimension 2), hence is flat by miracle flatness (the corresponding result in commutative algebra is [2, Lemma 00R4], see also the discussion in [42, Notes on Chap. 4]). Finally, (vi)(apart from the commutation with base change) follows from the results on level structures and Proposition 3.1.9.

When G is constant over a different base, the results (including the base change statements) follow from Lemma 1.1.7, Corollary 1.3.5, and Proposition 3.3.14.

For general G, there is a faithfully flat étale map $R \to R'$ such that $G_{R'}$ is constant. Since $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{R'}$ is representable and affine over $\operatorname{Ell}_{R'}$, and since $T \longmapsto \mathscr{P}_G(N)(E_T/T)$ is a fpqc sheaf on Sch_S for all objects E/S of Ell_R , Proposition 1.2.3 proves that \mathscr{P}_G is representable. By Lemma 1.1.7, $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is finite étale of rank r_N over Ell_R . Thus the moduli scheme is smooth of relative dimension one over R, with finite flat j-invariant of rank r'_N by Corollary 1.3.5 (and base changing to R' for the value of the rank). The j-invariant map $Y_G(N) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ is then étale above the invertible locus of j(j - 1728) by [42, Corollary 8.4.5].

We use Proposition 3.3.15 (with $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ and the base change $R \to R'$) to show that $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is smooth at infinity over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ and that the formation of its compactified moduli scheme and cuspidal subscheme commutes with the base change $R \to R'$ (and thus with any base change by Proposition 3.3.14). Thus the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{G_{R'}}(N) & \stackrel{j'}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^{1}_{R'} & \stackrel{\sigma'}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Spec} R' \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{G}(N) & \stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^{1}_{R} & \stackrel{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Spec} R \end{array}$$

Since j' is finite flat and étale away from the cusps and the vanishing locus of j'(j' - 1728), and $\sigma' \circ j'$ is smooth proper of relative dimension one, by fpqc descent, j is finite flat and étale away from the cusps and the vanishing locus of j(j - 1728), and $\sigma \circ j$ is proper smooth of relative dimension one.

(vi) follows from the fact that $Y_G(N) \to X_G(N)$ is an epimorphism (for separated *R*-schemes), the fact that $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ has a natural level *N* structure, and the fact that the formation of the compactified moduli scheme for $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$, as well as the cuspidal subscheme, commutes with base change.

Corollary 4.2.8 If \mathscr{P} is an étale representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R (where R is any ring), then its *j*-invariant $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ is étale on the locus where j(j-1728) is invertible.

Proof. – This statement is Zariski-local over Spec R, so we may assume that some odd prime ℓ is invertible in R. Consider the same commuting diagram as in Proposition 1.3.4:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, [\Gamma(\ell))]_R) & \stackrel{f_1}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_R) \\ & \downarrow^{\pi_1} & \downarrow^{j_\ell} \\ & \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}) \xrightarrow{j_{\mathscr{P}}} & \mathbb{A}^1_R \end{aligned}$$

We just proved that j_{ℓ} was étale above the locus where j(j - 1728) is invertible, and f_1 is étale because \mathscr{P} is. Since π_1 is finite étale, $j_{\mathscr{P}}$ is étale-locally étale above the locus where j(j - 1728) is invertible, hence it is étale above this locus by [2, Lemma 036W].

Notation We denote by $\mathcal{E}(N)/Y(N)$ the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}}(N)/Y_{(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}}(N)$. The action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on the right on $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ by $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mid M = M^T \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ induces a left action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ by automorphisms on $\mathscr{P}_{(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}}(N)$, hence on Y(N).

Recall that we can identify $\mathscr{P}_{(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}}(N)$ with $[\Gamma(N)]_R$. For any S-scheme, this fonctorially identifies Y(N)(S) with the set of S-isomorphism classes of triples (E, P, Q) where E/S is an elliptic curve, and (P,Q) is a $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of E[N](S). Under this identification, a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ maps a S-point (E, P, Q) of Y(N) to (E, aP + bQ, cP + dQ).

In particular, this procedure identifies [a] with the action of the matrix $aI_2 \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 4.2.9 In [42] (for instance (10.3)), the authors let $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2})$ act on X(N) on the *right*. Our definition of the action (which is on the *left*) of $g \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is exactly the action of the transpose g^T of g in *loc.cit*.

Proposition 4.2.10 Let (P^{univ}, Q^{univ}) be the basis of $\mathcal{E}(N)[N]$ as a finite group scheme over Y(N). Then We = $\langle P^{univ}, Q^{univ} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}(N)}$ defines a map We : $Y(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N$. It extends to a smooth proper map We : $X(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N$ with geometrically connected fibres. In particular, Y(N), X(N) are connected, and the geometric fibres of $X(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ (as well as those of its restriction to Y(N)) have exactly $\varphi(N)$ connected components.

Furthermore, the natural left action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on Y(N) extends uniquely to the compactified moduli scheme X(N), preserves the *j*-invariant, and the following diagram commutes, for any $M \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$:



Proof. – First, Spec $\mathcal{O}_N \to \text{Spec }\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ is finite étale. So the extension of We follows from Corollary 3.1.5. The extension of the action of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ follows from the previous results and

Proposition 3.1.9. Since $X(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ is smooth proper of relative dimension one, and \mathcal{O}_N is finite étale over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$, We : $X(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N$ is smooth proper of relative dimension one.

To check that the extension of the action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ preserves the *j*-invariant, it is enough to check it on Y(N), where it is obvious. Checking that the diagram commutes can also be done after restricting to Y(N) (by Proposition 3.1.9), where it is an easy computation.

To discuss the geometric fibres of We : $X(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N$, we see that We : $Y(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N$ is the scheme representing the moduli problem $[\Gamma(N)]_{\mathcal{O}_N}^{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]-can}$ (see [42, Propositions 9.3.1, (9.4.3.1)]), and apply [42, Corollary 10.9.2]¹⁷. The conclusion follows by using Lemma 4.2.11 below.

Lemma 4.2.11 Let X be a scheme of finite type over a field k, Cohen–Macaulay of relative dimension one. Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subspace consisting of finitely many regular points of X. Then $C \in \pi_0(X) \mapsto C \setminus Z \in \pi_0(X \setminus Z)$ is a bijection.

Proof. – Suppose that Y is any quasi-compact Noetherian scheme. Then we know that the connected components of Y identify with the connected components of the graph \mathcal{G}_Y , whose vertices are the irreducible components of Y, and where two vertices (corresponding to irreducible components $Z \neq Z'$) are linked if and only if they have a nonempty intersection.

Thus, it is enough to prove the exact same statement when "connected components" are replaced with "irreducible components", and show that, given two irreducible components $Y \neq Y'$ of X, Y and Y' meet if and only if $Y \setminus Z$ and $Y' \setminus Z$ meet. Note that since X and $X \setminus Z$ are pure of dimension one, any subspace of either of them is an irreducible component if and only if it is closed, irreducible, and of Krull dimension one.

In particular, given an irreducible component $Y \subset X$, $Y \setminus Z$ is closed in $X \setminus Z$ and is a nonempty open subset of Y (otherwise Y would be contained in the zero-dimensional closed subspace Z, a contradiction), hence is irreducible and of Krull dimension one (because Y is of finite type over a field). Moreover, the reunion of the $Y \setminus Z$, where Y runs through the finitely many irreducible components of X, is exactly $X \setminus Z$, hence the $Y \setminus Z$ are the irreducible components of $X \setminus Z$.

Given two irreducible components $Y, Y' \subset X$, if $Y \setminus Z = Y' \setminus Z$, then $Y \cap Y'$ is a closed subpace of Y (resp. Y') containing the dense open subspace $Y \setminus Z$ (resp. $Y' \setminus Z$), hence $Y \cap Y' = Y = Y'$.

Given two distinct irreducible components $Y, Y' \subset X$, a point $x \in Y \cap Y'$ has the property that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ contains two distinct minimal prime ideals, hence is not regular. Therefore $x \notin Z$. Thus Y meets Y' if and only if $Y \setminus Z$ meets $Y' \setminus Z$ and the conclusion follows. \Box

Proposition 4.2.12 Suppose that G is a N-torsion group over some $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra R with $N \geq 3$. Then the rule $(E/S, \iota) \mapsto \det \iota \in \operatorname{Pol}(G)(S)$ defines a morphism $\det : Y_G(N) \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)$, which extends to a morphism $\det : X_G(N) \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian. In either case, det is smooth proper with geometrically connected fibres, one has $\det \circ [u] = u^2 \cdot \det$ for $u \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. In particular, the geometric fibres of $Y_G(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $X_G(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ have $\varphi(N)$ connected components.

Moreover, the map $(j, \det) : Y_G(N) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \times \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ (or $(j, \det) : X_G(N) \to \mathbb{P}^1_R \times \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian) is finite locally free and étale above the locus where j is defined and j(j-1728) is invertible.

Proof. – It is clear that det is well-defined. By Corollary 3.1.5, it extends to $X_G(N)$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian, and the extension is proper. The compatibility with the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ can be checked on $Y_G(N)$, where it is formal.

¹⁷More directly, we can directly follow the argument given in [15]: by considering the complex uniformization, the base change to \mathbb{C} of We : $Y(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N$ is connected, whence X(N) is connected, and it follows that $\mathcal{O}(X(N)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_N} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathcal{O}(X(N))$ is a finite, normal, integral \mathcal{O}_N -algebra, it is exactly \mathcal{O}_N , and $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N} \to \operatorname{We}_* \mathcal{O}_{X(N)}$ is an isomorphism. It follows by cohomology and base change that the formation of We $_* \mathcal{O}_{X(N)}$ commutes with arbitrary base change, QED.

To check that that det is smooth and the geometric fibres of det are connected, we can replace R with a faithfully flat étale R-algebra R' such that $G_{R'}$ is constant: in this case, $Y_G(N)_{R'} \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)_{R'}$ identifies with a base change of We : $Y(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N$, which is smooth with geometrically connected fibres by Proposition 4.2.10. The same argument applies for $X_G(N)$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian.

To check the geometric fibres of $Y_G(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $X_G(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$, we can assume that R is an algebraically closed field where N is invertible and focus on $X_G(N)$ by Lemma 4.2.11. In this case, $X_G(N) \to (\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N)_R$ is smooth surjective with geometrically connected fibres, while $(\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N)_R$ is an étale R-scheme of rank $\varphi(N)$, so is a disjoint reunion $\varphi(N)$ copies of $\operatorname{Spec} R$, whence $X_G(N)$ has exactly $\varphi(N)$ connected components.

To show that the map (j, det) is finite locally free (in both the affine and compactified cases), by working étale-locally, we reduce to the compact case where $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ and G is constant. The map (j, det) is then finite between two regular schemes where every nonempty open subset has dimension 2, so it is flat, whence finite locally free.

Moreover, we have a factorization $Y_G(N) \xrightarrow{(j,\det)} \mathbb{A}^1_R \times \operatorname{Pol}(G) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$, where the second map is finite étale and the composition is étale above the locus where j(j-1728) is invertible, so, by a similar argument to [45, Lemma 3.3.15 (b)], that $Y_G(N) \xrightarrow{(j,\det)} \mathbb{A}^1_R \times \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is étale above the locus where j(j-1728) is invertible. \Box

Proposition 4.2.13 Assume now that G is a polarized N-torsion group over a connected $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra R, so that $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is the constant R-scheme indexed by $\operatorname{Pol}(G)(R)$. Let, for each $u \in \operatorname{Pol}(G)(R)$, $Y_{G,u}(N)$ (resp. $X_{G,u}(N)$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian) be the inverse image of u under det : $Y_G(N) \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ (resp. det : $X_G(N) \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)$), and

$$\mathcal{E}_{G,u}(N) = \mathcal{E}_G(N) \times_{Y_G(N)} Y_{G,u}(N).$$

Then $\mathcal{E}_{G,u}(N)/Y_{G,u}(N)$ represents $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$, and $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ is a finite étale surjective moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R .

If R is regular excellent Noetherian, $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ is normal near infinity and $X_{G,u}$ identifies with the compactified moduli scheme $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u})$; under this identification, the cuspidal subscheme of $X_{G,u}$ identifies with the inverse image of the cuspidal subscheme of $X_G(N)$ in $X_{G,u}(N)$.

Proof. – If $(\mathcal{E}_G(N)/Y_G(N), \alpha)$ represents $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$, the couple $(\mathscr{E}_{G,u}(N)/Y_{G,u}(N), \alpha_{|\det^{-1}(u)})$ tautologically represents $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$.

We need to show that $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ is finite étale over \mathbf{Ell}_R . To do that, consider an elliptic curve E/S where S is a R-scheme. Then we already constructed the morphism of S-schemes det : $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S} \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)_S$. It is clear that $(\mathscr{P}_{G,u})_{E/S} = \mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S} \times_{\operatorname{Pol}(G)} u$, where u is the closed open immersion $\operatorname{Spec} R \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ onto the component corresponding to u. Thus $(\mathscr{P}_{G,u})_{E/S} \to \mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S}$ is a closed open immersion of S-schemes into a finite étale S-scheme, whence the conclusion.

To show that $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ is surjective, it is enough to show that for any elliptic curve E/k over an algebraically closed field where N is invertible, then $(\mathscr{P}_{G,u})_{E/k}$ is not the empty scheme, that is, that $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(E/k)$ is not the empty set. Since G_k is constant, this follows from the fact that the Weil pairing $E[N](k) \times E[N](k) \to \mu_N(k)$ is surjective.

A consequence of the previous results is that, when R is regular excellent Noetherian, $Y_{G,u}(N)$ is a normal scheme, hence $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ is normal near infinity.

The closed open immersion $Y_{G,u} \to Y_G(N)$ extends to a finite map $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u}) \to X_G(N)$. It comes from a morphism of moduli problems, so it preserves the *j*-invariant. Since the image of $Y_{G,u}(N)$ is contained in $X_{G,u}(N)$ and $Y_{G,u}(N)$ is dense in $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u})$, the finite map $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u}) \to X_G(N)$ factors through the closed open subscheme $X_{G,u}(N)$. This finite map $f: \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u}) \to X_{G,u}(N)$ is thus between normal schemes, and is an isomorphism above the dense open subscheme $Y_{G,u}(N)$ whose pre-image is dense. By Lemma 3.1.6, f is an isomorphism. Since f clearly preserves the *j*-invariant, f also preserves the cuspidal subschemes. Since the equality $\det \circ [v] = v^2 \cdot \det$ holds for any $v \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, [v] induces an isomorphism $Y_{G,u}(N) \to Y_{G,v^2 \cdot u}(N)$ of \mathbb{A}^1_R -schemes, which extends by Proposition 3.1.9 to an isomorphism $X_{G,u}(N) \to X_{G,v^2 \cdot u}(N)$ of \mathbb{P}^1_R -schemes where R is regular excellent Noetherian. \Box

Corollary 4.2.14 Let G be a polarized N-torsion group over a connected $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra R. Then, for any $u \in \text{Pol}(G)(R)$:

- (i) $Y_{G,u}(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ (resp. $X_{G,u}(N) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian) is smooth of relative dimension one with connected geometric fibres (resp. proper smooth of relative dimension one with connected geometric fibres).
- (ii) The *j*-invariant $Y_{G,u}(N) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ (resp. $X_{G,u}(N) \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian) is finite locally free, étale on the locus where *j* is defined and j(j-1728) is invertible.
- (iii) If R is regular excellent Noetherian, then $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ is smooth at infinity over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ and the formation of its compactified moduli scheme and cuspidal subscheme commute with base change.

Proof. - This all follows from Propositions 4.2.7, 4.2.12 and 4.2.13.

4.3 Equations of $X_E^{\alpha}(N)$ over a regular excellent Noetherian base

In this section, we analyze to which extent the equations found for various $X_E^{\alpha}(N)$ over a field (if needed of characteristic zero) extend to any basis.

Lemma 4.3.1 Let S be a normal irreducible Noetherian scheme with generic point s and X be a smooth S-scheme. The map $\pi_0(X_s) \to \pi_0(X)$ is bijective.

Proof. – Because X_s, X are locally Noetherian hence locally connected, it is enough to show that X is connected if and only if X_s is. Because $X \to S$ is smooth, this map is open, so any nonempty open subscheme $U \subset X$ meets X_s , whence X_s is dense in X. Thus, if X_s is connected, so is X. Conversely, if X is connected, it is a normal scheme by Proposition A.1.7, so it is irreducible. Because X_s is dense in X, it follows that X_s is irreducible hence connected. \Box

Proposition 4.3.2 Let R be a normal Noetherian domain and $\eta \in \text{Spec } R$ be the generic point. Let X, Y, Z be three smooth R-schemes. Assume that there are finite dominant maps $f: X \to Z, g: Y \to Z$ of R-schemes such that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\eta} & \stackrel{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} & Y_{\eta} \\ \downarrow^{f} & & \downarrow^{g} \\ Z_{\eta} & \stackrel{=}{\longrightarrow} & Z_{\eta} \end{array}$$

Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} & Y \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ Z & \stackrel{=}{\longrightarrow} & Z \end{array}$$

Proof. – It is enough to show that the decomposition $X_{\eta} \to X \to Z$ is precisely the relative normalization of $X_{\eta} \to Z$. This can be checked affine-locally, so we are reduced to the following situation: let K be the fraction field of R and $f: A \to B$ be a finite map of smooth R-algebras with nilpotent kernel, then the integral closure of A in $B \otimes K$ is exactly B (since B is flat over $R, B \to B \otimes K$ is injective). Now, B is a smooth R-algebra and R is normal and Noetherian, whence B is Noetherian and normal by Proposition A.1.7, so is a product of finitely many normal Noetherian domains. Let $x \in B \otimes K$ be integral over A, then $x \in B_{reg}^{-1}B$ is integral over B, whence $x \in B$.

Lemma 4.3.3 Let S be a Noetherian integral scheme and X be a S-scheme of finite type whose nonempty fibres are pure of dimension d. Assume that the generic fibre of X is nonempty. The following are equivalent:

- X has dense generic fibre,
- the set-theoretic closure of the generic fibre of X meets every irreducible nonempty fibre of $X \to S$.

Proof. – Let $\eta \in S$ be the generic point. It is enough to show that if the set-theoretic closure of X_{η} meets every irreducible component of every non-empty fibre of $X \to S$, then X_{η} is set-theoretically dense in X.

Let H be the scheme-theoretic closure of the inclusion of the generic fibre of X into X. Then we have a closed immersion $j : H \to X$ which is an isomorphism after the base change Spec $\mathcal{O}_{S,\eta} \to S$. Since X is Noetherian, so is H. By [2, Lemma 01R8], $H \subset X$ is the set-theoretic closure of the generic fibre of $X \to S$. Our assumption then means that the image of j meets every irreducible component of every non-empty fibre of $X \to S$.

Let $s \in S$ be in the image of $X \to S$. Let $x \in H_s$: then x is a specialization of some $x_0 \in H_\eta = X_\eta$, so by [2, Lemma 02FZ], one has $\dim_x H_s \ge \dim_{x_0} H_\eta = \dim_{x_0} X_\eta \ge d$, i.e. the irreducible components of the fibre H_s have dimension at least d. Since X_s is a pure $\kappa(s)$ -scheme of dimension d, and the image of the fibre j_s of the closed immersion j meets every irreducible component of X_s , j_s is surjective. Therefore j is set-theoretically surjective, whence the conclusion.

Proposition 4.3.4 Let R be a normal Noetherian domain and X be a quasi-compact R-scheme of finite type. Assume that

- the non-empty fibres $X \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ are geometrically reduced and pure of dimension $d \ge 1$,
- the set-theoretic closure of the generic fibre of X meets every irreducible component of every fibre of $X \to \operatorname{Spec} R$,
- the generic fibre of X is irreducible,

Then X is flat over R.

Proof. – I am grateful to O. Gabber for telling me about the following proof.

By Lemma 4.3.3, X has dense generic fibre, so X is irreducible. The structure morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is then equidimensional in the sense of [33, (13.2.2)]. The scheme $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is normal, Noetherian and integral, so it is geometrically unibranch (by [31, (23.2.1)] and [32, (6.15.1)]), hence $X \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is universally open by [33, Corollaire 14.4.4], whence it is flat by [33, Corollaire 15.2.3].

Proposition 4.3.5 Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and R be a regular excellent Noetherian domain where N is invertible. Let E/R be an elliptic curve and fix $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Let $X' := X_E^{\alpha}(N)$. Suppose given a projective R-scheme S endowed with a map $j' : S \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ such that, for every morphism $R \to k$ with k a field, S_k is isomorphic (by an isomorphism ι_k) to X'_k and $j' \circ \iota_k^{-1}$ is the *j*-invariant.

Then the isomorphism $\iota_{\eta}: S_{\eta} \to X'_{\eta}$ extends to an isomorphism $\iota: S \to X'$ such that $j' \circ \iota^{-1}$ is the *j*-invariant.

Proof. – The fibres of $S \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ are isomorphic to those of X', so they are smooth connected of dimension one by Corollary 4.2.14, hence they are geometrically irreducible of dimension one. Let $Z \subset S$ be the set-theoretic closure of its generic fibre. Since S is projective, the image of Zin $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is closed and contains the generic point, so the continuous function $Z \subset S \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is surjective and Z meets every fibre of $S \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. By Proposition 4.3.4, S is flat over R, hence it is smooth over R. Because j' is proper and quasi-finite, it is finite [2, Lemma 02LS]. We can then use Proposition 4.3.2.

Corollary 4.3.6 Let R be a regular excellent Noetherian domain which is a Q-algebra. Let $n \in \{7, 8, 9, 11, 13\}$ and $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Let E/R be an elliptic curve with Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ and assume that, if $n \ge 11$, j(E)(j(E) - 1728) is invertible in R. Then the given equations for $X_E^{\alpha}(n)$ (over $\operatorname{Frac}(R)$) hold over R.

Proof. – Case where n = 7: We use the equations of [19, Theorem 1.1]. What needs to be done is show that the explicit *j*-invariant as defined by Fisher in Remark 4.3 of *op.cit*. extends to a map $S \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ (where S is the projective R-scheme given by the equation $\mathcal{F} = 0$ of Theorem 1.1 of *op.cit*.). We need to show that if R' is a R-algebra and $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in R'$ generate the unit ideal and one has $\mathcal{F}(x_0, y_0, z_0) = 0$, then $c_4(\mathcal{F})(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and $H(\mathcal{F})(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ generate the unit ideal of R' (this is because one explicitly has $\psi(\mathcal{F}) \in (R')^{\times}$ by p. 21 of *op.cit*.). It is enough to check this when R' is an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, in which case \mathcal{F} is deduced from the equation $F := x^3y + y^3z + z^3x$ by post-composing with a linear action of $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ on $kx \oplus ky \oplus kz$: we are thus reduced to showing that if k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, the common vanishing locus of F, H, c_4 (as defined in pp. 11–12 of *op.cit*.) in \mathbb{A}^3 is reduced to zero. This can be checked explicitly in Magma, so we are done.

Case where n = 8: We use the equations of [10, Theorem 1.7.2, 1.7.3, 1.7.4, 1.7.5] with the forgetful map to $X_E^{\alpha}(4)$ always given by Corollary 6.1.3 of *op.cit.*, so the *j*-invariant is always given by $[1728\widetilde{A}_4(t,s):\widetilde{A}_4(t,s)^3 - \widetilde{B}_4(t,s)^2]$, where $\widetilde{A}_4(t,s) = s^8 A_4(t/s), \widetilde{B}_4(t,s) = s^{12} B_4(t/s)$, and A_4, B_4 are defined in Theorem 3.3.1 of *op.cit.*. To check that this map is well-defined over R, we need to check

- that over the base ring $R_0 := \mathbb{Q}[c_4, c_6, (c_4^3 c_6^2)^{-1}]$, both t and s are in the radical of the ideal $(\widetilde{A_4}, \widetilde{B_4})$,
- that over the base ring $R_0 := \mathbb{Q}[a, b, (4a^3 + 27b^2)^{-1}]$, for $i \in \{1, 5, 7\}$, the radical of the homogeneous ideal of $R_0[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ generated by $x_0, 3x_4, f_i, g_i, h_i$ is $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, where f_1, g_1, h_1 (resp. f_5, g_5, h_5 , resp. f_7, g_7, h_7) are defined in Theorem 1.7.2 (resp. 1.7.4, resp. 1.7.5) of *op.cit.*, and $3x_4$ comes from the coordinate substitution between Corollary 6.1.3 and the end of the proof of Theorem 1.7.2 (resp. from the description of the forgetful map to $X_E^1(4)$ in Theorem 6.3.3, resp. the coordinate substitution at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.7.5),
- that over the same R_0 , the radical of the homogeneous ideal of $R_0[t, u_0, u_1, u_2, s]$ generated by t, s, F_3, G_3, H_3 (as in the proof of Theorem 1.7.2 of *op.cit*. which specifies the forgetful map to $X_E^3(4)$) is (t, u_0, u_1, u_2, s) .

All of this can easily be checked in Magma.

Case where n = 9: We use the equations of [20] over a regular excellent $\mathbb{Q}[a, b, (4a^3 + 27b^2)^{-1}]$ algebra R which is a domain and verify that the *j*-invariant is defined over R in a "two-step" strategy similar to the case n = 8. Indeed, we can first directly check in Magma that, if A^{\pm}, B^{\pm} are the polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[c_4, c_6, (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^{-1}, r, s]$ described in Theorem 1.1 of *op.cit.*, then for each $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$, one has $\sqrt{(A^{\epsilon}, B^{\epsilon})} \supset (r, s)$.

Fix a sign $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then we need to show that the map $\varphi_{\epsilon} : X_{\operatorname{Frac}(R)}^{\epsilon} \to \mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Frac}(R)}^{1}$ defined in Theorem 1.3 of *op.cit* comes from a morphism $X^{\epsilon} \to \mathbb{P}_{R}^{1}$, where X^{ϵ} is the subscheme of \mathbb{P}_{R}^{3} (with homogeneous coordinates x, y, z, t) cut out by the ideal $(F_{1}^{\epsilon}, F_{2}^{\epsilon})$ and $F_{i}^{\epsilon}(x, y, z, t)$ denotes the polynomial in 4 variables with coefficients in R defined in Theorem 1.2 of *op.cit*.).

This does not directly follow from the main results of *op.cit.*, because while the equation $[\gamma_2^{\epsilon}: 3\gamma_1^{\epsilon}]$ given in Theorem 1.3 of *op.cit.* can be explicitly computed, it is not globally defined. Indeed, it can be checked that $\gamma_2^{\epsilon}, \gamma_1^{\epsilon}$ vanish whenever $F_1^{\epsilon}, F_2^{\epsilon}$ and the D_{ϵ} at the bottom of p. 11 of *op.cit.* simultaneously vanish, which does happen. However, it is possible, using the results of *op.cit.*, to work out the following formulas that Fisher was happy to send me upon request [24]: one has $[\gamma_2^{\epsilon}: 3\gamma_1^{\epsilon}] = [c_2^{\epsilon}: 9c_1^{\epsilon}]$, where the $c_i^{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}[a, b, x, y, z, t]$ are the following polynomials:

$$\begin{array}{ll} c_1^{+1} = & 3x^2y - 9bxz^2 + 6a^2xzt + 9abxt^2 - 9ay^3 - 54by^2z \\ & + 18a^2y^2t + 9a^2yz^2 + 54abyzt - 9a^3yt^2 + 2a(a^3 + 9b^2)t^3, \\ c_2^{+1} = & -x^3 - 6ax^2z - 9axy^2 + 108bxyz - 9a^2xz^2 - 54abxzt \\ & - 3a^3xt^2 + 108by^3 - 162aby^2t + 27abyz^2 - 18a^3yzt \\ & + 81a^2byt^2 - 6(a^3 + 9b^2)z^3 - 24a^3bt^3, \\ c_1^{-1} = & 9bx^3 + 6a^2x^2z + 9abx^2t + 6(4a^3 + 27b^2)xyt - 9abxz^2 \\ & + 6(2a^3 + 9b^2)xzt + 3a^2bxt^2 + 6(4a^3 + 27b^2)y^3 + 9(4a^3 + 27b^2)y^2z \\ & + 6(4a^3 + 27b^2)yz^2 + 3(2a^3 + 15b^2)z^3 - 3a^2bz^2t \\ & + a(2a^3 + 9b^2)zt^2 + 3b(a^3 + 6b^2)t^3, \\ c_2^{-1} = & 6a^2x^3 - 27abx^2z - 18(a^3 + 9b^2)x^2t - 27(4a^3 + 27b^2)xy^2 \\ & - 18(4a^3 + 27b^2)xyz - 9(2a^3 + 9b^2)xz^2 - 18a^2bxzt + 3a(2a^3 + 9b^2)xt^2 \\ & + 9a(4a^3 + 27b^2)y^2t - 18b(4a^3 + 27b^2)yt^2 + 3a^2bz^3 - 3a(2a^3 + 9b^2)z^2t \\ & - 27b(a^3 + 6b^2)zt^2 - a^2(2a^3 + 15b^2)t^3. \end{array}$$

One checks furthermore in Magma that in the ring $\mathbb{Q}[a, b, (4a^3 + 27b^2)^{-1}, x, y, z, t]$, one has $\sqrt{(c_1^{\epsilon}, c_2^{\epsilon}, F_1^{\epsilon}, F_2^{\epsilon})} \supset (x, y, z, t)$.

Case where n = 11: We use the equations of [19, Theorem 1.2] and argue like in the case n = 7: all we need to show is that if F is the cubic form $v^2w + w^2x + x^2y + y^2z + z^2v$ and H is the determinant of its matrix of second derivatives, the radical of the ideal of k[v, w, x, y, z] generated by F, the partial derivatives of H, and the function c_4 (defined on p. 16 of *op.cit.*) is exactly the ideal (v, w, x, y, z), which is an easy computation with Magma.

Case where n = 13: We argue as in the case n = 7, and use the equations of [21, Theorem 5.10] (which are defined over $\mathbb{Q}[a, b]$ for the reduced Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, see p. 21 of *op.cit.*) with $\alpha = 1$ and those of [21, Theorem 5.14] where α is not a square modulo 13 (also defined over $\mathbb{Q}[a, b]$, see p. 24). What remains to be done is checking that in either case, the radical of the ideal of $R[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]$ generated by the cubic forms f_i (for $1 \le i \le 7$), \mathcal{Q} , \mathfrak{c}_6 , \mathcal{F} is exactly $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$. We can thus assume that R is an algebraically closed field.

These quantities are obtained by pre-composing an equation of $X(13) \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ (with its *j*-invariant) by a matrix in $\operatorname{GL}_2(k)$ (see proofs of Theorem 5.10 and 5.14 of *op.cit*.). It is therefore enough to show that, in the notation of §5.1 of *op.cit*., the common vanishing locus of the f_i , of Q, F and c_6 is trivial. This can be directly checked in Magma.¹⁸

Remark 4.3.7 The approach of the Proposition 4.3.5 seems like it should also apply when (n, α) is (6, 1). For $(n, \alpha) = (6, 1)$, the forgetful map $X_E(n) \to X_E(3) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ described in [10, Theorem 4.2.2] is not globally defined, as the numerator and the denominator of two functions have common zeros on the curve over $\mathbb{Q}(a, b)$.

When $(n, \alpha) = (6, -1)$, the equation given in [10, Theorem 1.7.1] (which only represents a birational model) is not smooth when e.g. a = b = 1.

¹⁸On the other hand, we have not been able to show the same result directly with the twisted forms using Magma.

4.4 Étale group schemes and degeneracy maps

Proposition 4.4.1 Let $m_0, m_1, N \ge 1$ be pairwise coprime integers with $N \ge 3$ and m_0 squarefree. Let $m = m_0 m_1$, G be a N-torsion group over some $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm_1)^{-1}]$ -algebra R. The moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ has a natural level Nm structure. The following properties hold:

- (i) the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is representable by a *R*-scheme $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$, it is finite locally free of constant rank $r_{N,m} \geq 1$ over **Ell**_R, étale above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$,
- (ii) the morphism $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to Y_G(N) \xrightarrow{\text{det}} \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is affine, Cohen-Macaulay of relative dimension one with reduced and connected geometric fibres, smooth outside the supersingular j-invariants in characteristic $p \mid m_0$, the j-invariant $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \times \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is finite locally free of rank $r'_{N,m} \geq 1$, étale on the locus where $m_0 j(j - 1728)$ is invertible,
- (iii) the morphism $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is Cohen–Macaulay of relative dimension one and smooth outside the supersingular j-invariants in characteristic $p \mid m_0$, it has reduced geometric fibres having $\varphi(N)$ connected components each.
- (iv) If R is regular excellent Noetherian, $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is smooth at infinity over $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm_1)^{-1}]$,
- (v) If R is regular excellent Noetherian, the compactified moduli scheme $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ of $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is Cohen–Macaulay of relative dimension one over $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$ (resp. Spec R), smooth outside the supersingular j-invariants in characteristic $p \mid m_0$; the geometric fibres of the morphism are reduced and connected (resp. are reduced and possess $\varphi(N)$ connected components each),
- (vi) If R is regular excellent Noetherian, the map $(j, \det) : X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \mathbb{P}^1_R \times \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is finite locally free of constant rank $r'_{N,m}$,
- (vii) The natural action of $(\mathbb{Z}/Nm\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ (as a moduli problem of level Nm) factors through the quotient to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ and is equivariant for the natural forgetful map $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \mathscr{P}_G$. If R is regular excellent Noetherian, it extends as an equivariant action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on the morphism $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to X_G(N)$.

Remark 4.4.2 When G is constant, we write $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) = Y(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ for short, and similarly for the compactified moduli scheme.

Proof. – The representability of the moduli problem follows from Proposition 1.2.1, using [42, Theorem 5.1.1] and Proposition 4.2.7, since we are taking the Cartesian product of $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ (representable) and $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ (relatively representable). It is finite locally free of constant positive rank (only depending on N, m) and étale above $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$ over \mathbf{Ell}_R , because $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ and $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ are. The results of (*iii*) (apart from the number of connected components of the geometric fibres and the "constant rank $r'_{N,m} \geq 1$ " part of the *j*-invariant) are the consequence of Corollary 1.3.5 and the first part of Proposition 3.3.20. In particular, $\mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is normal near infinity.

This also implies the results of (*ii*) (apart from the fact that the geometric fibres are connected) since Pol(G) is finite étale, the moduli problem $[\Gamma_0(m)]_{E/S}$ is finite locally free of constant rank, and we can use Proposition 4.2.12.

When R is regular excellent Noetherian of generic characteristic zero or G is constant, (iv), (v) (apart from the statement on the number of connected components of the geometric fibres) and (vi) follow from Proposition 3.3.20, Corollary 3.3.21 and Proposition 4.2.12.

If G be a N-torsion group over any regular excellent Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm_1)^{-1}]$ -algebra R, then there is a faithfully flat étale R-algebra R' such that $G_{R'}$ is constant. Thus, by Proposition $3.3.15 \mathscr{P}_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is smooth at infinity over $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm)^{-1}]$, and the formation of its compactified moduli scheme and cuspidal subscheme commutes with base change, thus (iv), (v) (without, once again, the statement on the connected components of the geometric fibres) and (vi) hold by descent.

The assertion (vii) is formal, using Proposition 3.1.9 and the definition of the natural level Nm structure on $\mathscr{P}_G \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$, since it is clear that $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ acts trivially on the moduli problem $[\Gamma_0(m)]_R$.

To conclude, we need discuss the geometric fibres. When G is constant over $R = \mathbb{Z}[(Nm_1)^{-1}]$, let us prove that $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to X(N)_R \xrightarrow{We} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N[m_1^{-1}]$ has connected geometric fibres (note that it is surjective by (vi)). Over $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm)^{-1}]$, this is a consequence of [42, Corollary 10.9.5], by setting $N_1 = N, K = Nm, N_2 = m$ and $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/Nm\mathbb{Z})$ the subgroup of uppertriangular matrices $M \equiv I_2 \mod N$, since the morphism is proper smooth. The claim with Rinstead of $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm)^{-1}]$ follows from [2, Lemma 0E0N].

By base change, it follows that $X(N, \Gamma_0(m))_R \to X(N)_R \xrightarrow{We} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N \otimes R$ has connected fibres for any regular excellent Noetherian $R[(Nm_1)^{-1}]$ -algebra R. The case of a non-constant G is étale-locally isomorphic to this one, so det : $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \operatorname{Pol}(G)$ has geometrically connected fibres for any N-torsion group G. Since $\operatorname{Pol}(G)$ is finite étale over R with degree $\varphi(N)$, the claim for the connected components of the geometric fibres of $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ follows. The affine situation follows from the previous parts of the proof and Lemma 4.2.11. \Box

Corollary 4.4.3 Let m_0, m_1, m, N, R, G be as in Proposition 4.4.1, and assume that R is Noetherian. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If R is (R_k) , $m_0 \in R$ is not a zero divisor, and $[k \leq 1 \text{ or } (R/pR \text{ is } (R_k) \text{ for all } p \mid m_0)]$, then $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is (R_k) ,
- (ii) If R is (S_k) , then so is $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$,
- (iii) If R is reduced (resp. normal) and $m_0 \in R$ is not a zero divisor, $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is reduced (resp. normal),
- (iv) If R is regular excellent Noetherian and $m_0 \in R$ is not a zero divisor, then $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is normal,
- (v) If R is regular excellent Noetherian and R/pR is (R_k) for all $p \mid m_0$, then $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is (R_k) .

Proof. – Regularity ascends along smooth maps by Proposition A.1.7, so $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is regular at every point of its cuspidal subscheme. Hence (v) (resp. (iv)) follows from (i) (resp. (iii)). The reunion of (i) and (ii) implies (iii) by, for instance, Serre's criterion for normality (and the analog for reducedness) [2, Lemmas 031R, 031S]. (ii) is a consequence of the fact that $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is Cohen–Macaulay of relative dimension one over Spec R.

Let us finally discuss (i): we assume that $m_0 \in R$ is not a zero divisor. After a faithfully flat étale base change, we can assume that G is constant. Since the kernel of $R \to R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is finitely generated, it is contained in the *d*-torsion of R for some integer $d \ge 1$ prime to Nm. Thus, after taking the Zariski-cover Spec R[1/m], Spec R[1/d], we can assume that either $m \in R^{\times}$ or R is flat over \mathbb{Z} . The first case follows from ascending properties of smooth maps, so from now on we assume that R is flat over \mathbb{Z} .

Let us first discuss the property (R_0) (resp. (R_1)). Let $U \subset Y(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ the open subset excluding the supersingular *j*-invariants in characteristic $p \mid m$. Then the complement of U is finite, hence by the going-down theorem [2, Lemma 00HS] (applied to the flat map $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to Y(N, \Gamma_0(m))$) the complement of U_R in $Y(N, \Gamma_0(m))_R$ cannot contain points of codimension zero or one. Since $U \to \text{Spec }\mathbb{Z}$ is smooth, U_R is (R_0) (resp. (R_1)) and we are done.

Now, we fix some $k \geq 0$ and assume that R and each R/pR (for $p \mid m_0$) is (R_k) . The map $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))_R \to Y(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is affine and flat to a regular base scheme, and the rings of fibres are of the form $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$, where F is a finitely generated field extension of a field k which is either finite or \mathbb{Q} . We need to prove that these $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ satisfy the property (R_k) . By considering the map $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k \to R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$, all we need to show is that the fibre rings of $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k \to R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ are (R_k) . These fibres are regular by [2, Lemma 0381] since k is perfect and F is finitely generated. \Box

Definition 4.4.4 The morphism of Proposition 4.4.1 (*ii*), is also denoted by det and called the determinant.

Corollary 4.4.5 In Corollary 2.1.15, the map $D_{d,t}$ is finite locally free of a constant rank which only depends on m, d, t.

Proof. – Using the same reduction than the proof of Corollary 2.1.15 (whose notations we keep), it is enough to prove the statement when $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma(k)]_{\mathbb{Z}[1/k]}$ for some integer $k \geq 3$ prime to m. Assume now that in this case, the morphism is finite locally free of a constant rank r_k also depending on k. For any integers $k, k' \geq 3$ prime to 2m, we can choose an integer $q \geq 3$ coprime to 2kk'm. In this case, by Proposition 2.1.10, we then have $r_k = r_{kq} = r_q = r_{qk'} = r_{k'}$, and the conclusion follows.

So we may assume that $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma(k)]_{\mathbb{Z}[1/k]}$ where $k \geq 3$ is any integer prime to m. Then $D_{d,t}$ is a finite locally free morphism $Y(k, \Gamma_0(m)) \to Y(k, \Gamma_0(t))$ between flat regular $\mathbb{Z}[1/k]$ -schemes, because \mathscr{P} is a modular family over \mathbb{Z} and $[\Gamma_0(m)], [\Gamma_0(t)]$ are finite flat regular moduli problems over $\mathbf{Ell}_{\mathbb{Z}}$; in particular, their generic fibre is dense, so we are done if we can show that $Y(k, \Gamma_0(t))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is connected. Indeed, the morphism $Y(k, \Gamma_0(t))_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ has connected geometric fibres and a connected base, so $Y(k, \Gamma_0(t))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is connected. \Box

Corollary 4.4.6 Let m_0, m_1, m, N, R, G be as in Proposition 4.4.1 and assume that G is polarized and R is connected. Let $u \in Pol(G)(R)$. Then the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m))$ over \mathbf{Ell}_R is representable by the closed open subscheme $\det^{-1}(u)$ of $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$, and it is finite locally free surjective over (\mathbf{Ell}_R) .

Moreover, if R is regular excellent Noetherian, $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m))$ is normal near infinity and the closed open subscheme $X_{G,u}(N,\Gamma_0(m)) = \det^{-1}(u)$ of $X_G(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ identifies with the compactified moduli scheme $\overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m)))$; under this identification, the cuspidal subscheme $C_{\mathscr{P}_{G,u}}$ identifies with the inverse image of the cuspidal subscheme of $X_G(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ in $X_{G,u}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$. The $Y_{G,u}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ (resp. the $X_{G,u}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$) seen as R-schemes, have geometrically connected fibres.

Proof. – The first part is completely formal apart from the surjectivity which we now prove. It is enough to show that for any elliptic curve E over an algebraically closed field k where Nm_1 is invertible, $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m))(E/k)$ is nonempty. This is clear since G is étale, hence constant, and the Weil pairing $E[N] \times E[N] \to \mu_N$ is onto, while, if some prime power $q \mid m$ is invertible in k, we can choose as $\Gamma_0(q)$ -structure any cyclic subgroup generated by a point of order q, and if there is a prime p that vanishes in k and divides m, then p prime to m/p and the kernel of the Frobenius (see [42, Lemma 12.2.1]) is a $\Gamma_0(p)$ -structure.

The moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_{G,u}$ is normal near infinity because $Y_{G,u}(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \to Y_G(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ is a closed open immersion. Its compactification in the sense of Proposition 3.1.9 is a finite map $f_u: \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m))) \to X_G(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ whose image is contained in the closed open subscheme $\det^{-1}(u)$. In other words, $f_u: \overline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m))) \to X_{G,u}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ is a finite morphism and is an isomorphism above the dense open subscheme $Y_{G,u}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ with dense inverse image. Both schemes are normal on the inverse image of the closed subspace $\{1/j = 0\}$. By Lemma 3.1.6, f_u is an isomorphism, and in particular it induces an isomorphism from $C_{\mathscr{P}_{G,u}(\Gamma_0(m))}$ to the restriction to $C_{\mathscr{P}_G(N,\Gamma_0(m))}$ to $\det^{-1}(u)$ (since the induced map is an isomorphism on the underlying topological spaces, while the two subschemes are reduced).

For the last part, by Proposition 4.4.1, the disjoint reunion of the connected components of the geometric fibres of $Y_{G,u}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ (resp. $X_{G,u}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$) over a geometric point \overline{x} of R (resp. assuming that R is regular excellent Noetherian) over all $u \in \text{Pol}(G)(R)$ has exactly $\varphi(N) = |(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}|$ elements. Since every $Y_{G,u}(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to \text{Spec } R$ is surjective, its geometric fibres have to be connected. \Box

Proposition 4.4.7 Let $N \geq 3$, R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra (resp. R is a regular excellent Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -algebra) and G be a N-torsion group over R. The collection of $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ (resp. $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$), for integers $m = m_0m_1$ coprime to N with $m_0, m_1 \geq 1$, m_0 square-free and $m_1 \in R^{\times}$, is endowed with degeneracy maps $D_{d,t}$ and Atkin–Lehner automorphisms as in Propositions 2.1.7 and 2.1.13 (resp. Proposition 3.3.17). They are finite locally free of constant rank,

and commute with the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. The relations stated in Corollary 2.1.9 and Proposition 2.1.13 still hold.

Proof. – This is a direct translation of what we previously did in this more concrete context. The specific statements are Propositions 2.1.7, 2.1.13, 3.3.17, as well as Corollaries 2.1.9, 3.3.19, 4.4.5.

Proposition 4.4.8 Let m_0, m_1, m, N, R, G be as in Proposition 4.4.1. Assume that G is constant.

- Then $Y(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ has a natural action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ which commutes to every degeneracy map and Atkin–Lehner automorphism. A matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ acts by

 $(E/S, (P,Q), C) \longmapsto (E/S, (aP + bQ, cP + dQ), C).$

Moreover, the action of aI_2 (for $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$) is exactly the action of [a'] for any $a' \in (\mathbb{Z}/Nm\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ congruent to a modulo N.

- If moreover R is regular excellent Noetherian, this action extends to $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ and commutes to the extensions of degeneracy maps and Atkin–Lehner automorphisms; moreover, the action of aI_2 is given by any [a'] for any $a' \in (\mathbb{Z}/Nm\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ congruent to a modulo N.

Proof. – This follows from the fact that $\mathscr{P}(N)$ has a natural level structure of level N, and that the natural action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathscr{P}(N)$ acts by morphisms of moduli problems of level N, as well as Corollary 2.1.9 and Proposition 2.1.13. The action of scalar matrices is an explicit computation. The second point follows from Proposition 3.1.9.

Proposition 4.4.9 Let m_0, m_1, m, N, R, G be as in Proposition 4.4.1.

- Let $d, t \ge 1$ be such that $dt \mid m$. Then $\det \circ D_{d,t} = \underline{d} \circ \det$ (resp. We $\circ w_d = \underline{d} \circ We$).
- Let $d \ge 1$ be a divisor of m coprime to m/d. Then $\det \circ w_d = \underline{d} \circ \det$.
- When R is regular excellent Noetherian, both identities extend to $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$.

Proof. – The first two points are direct computations. The third point follows from the previous two and the fact that $S(X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))) \to S(Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)))$ is injective for any separated scheme S by Proposition 3.1.9.

The methods of this section can be applied, *mutans mutandis*, to prove the following statement:

Proposition 4.4.10 Let $N \ge 5$ be an integer and $m = m_0m_1$ be coprime to N, with m_0 square-free and coprime to m_1 .

- For any $\mathbb{Z}[1/(Nm_1)]$ -algebra R, the moduli problem $[\Gamma_1(N)]_R \times [\Gamma_0(m)]_R$ is representable, finite flat of constant rank over \mathbf{Ell}_R , étale above $R[m_0^{-1}]$ and admits a natural level Nmstructure. Write $Y_1(N, \Gamma_0(m))_R$ for the moduli scheme. The natural action of $(\mathbb{Z}/Nm\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on $Y_1(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ factors through $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
- $Y_1(N, \Gamma_0(m))_R \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is affine, Cohen-Macaulay of relative dimension one, smooth outside the supersingular *j*-invariants in characteristic $p \mid m_0$, with geometrically connected fibres.
- When R is regular excellent Noetherian, this moduli problem is smooth at infinity over $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm_1)^{-1}]$, its compactified moduli scheme $X_1(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is finite locally free of constant rank over \mathbb{P}^1_R , proper Cohen–Macaulay of relative dimension one over Spec R with geometrically connected fibres, and smooth outside the supersingular *j*-invariants in characteristic $p \mid m_0$. The natural action of $(\mathbb{Z}/Nm\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ factors through $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.

• The formation of $X_1(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ and its cuspidal subscheme commutes with arbitrary base change of regular excellent Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[(Nm_1)^{-1}]$ -algebras.

The conclusions of Proposition 4.4.7 apply here after replacing $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ with $Y_1(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ (resp. $X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ with $X_1(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ if R is regular excellent Noetherian).

4.5 Hecke correspondences for étale polarized group schemes

In this section, R is a regular excellent Noetherian domain, $N \geq 3$ is an integer invertible in R, and G is a polarized N-torsion group over R. We could use Jacobians of smooth proper curves that need not have geometrically connected fibres as in [60, Section 1.5.2] to remove the assumption that G is polarized, but it is not needed for our purposes here. Before doing so, we need to recall some elementary facts about pushforward functoriality on Picard groups, and refer to e.g. [60, Appendix A.4] for the proofs.

Definition 4.5.1 Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite locally free morphism of schemes. The pushforward of f on Picard groups is given by the rule

$$f_*: \mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X) \mapsto \det(f_*\mathcal{L}) \otimes (\det f_*\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y).$$

Proposition 4.5.2 Let $f: X \to Y$ be a finite locally free morphism of schemes.

- The pushforward f_* on Picard groups is functorial with respect to f.
- The pushforward f_* on Picard groups is a group homomorphism.
- If X, Y are Noetherian and their rings of stalks are unique factorization domains, $x \in X$ is a point of codimension one, let y = f(x) and \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} the ideal sheaves of X, Y defining the reduced closed subschemes $\overline{\{x\}}$ of X, $\overline{\{f(x)\}}$ of Y. Then \mathcal{I} is a line bundle on X, \mathcal{J} is a line bundle on Y, and $f_*[\mathcal{I}] = [\mathcal{J}]$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes the projection in the Picard group.
- If X and Y are connected one-dimensional schemes of finite type over a field, f_* maps the subgroup $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$ into $\operatorname{Pic}^0(Y)$.

Proposition 4.5.3 Consider the following commutative diagram of schemes, where all the maps are finite locally free:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} X \\ \downarrow^v & \qquad \downarrow^u \\ Y' & \stackrel{f'}{\longrightarrow} X' \end{array}$$

We can construct two morphisms

$$u^* \circ (f')_*, f_* \circ v^* \operatorname{Pic}(Y') \to \operatorname{Pic}(X).$$

These morphisms are equal if, for any affine open subscheme $U \subset X'$ and any $b \in \mathcal{O}_{Y'}((f')^{-1}(U))$, one has $u^{\sharp}(N_{Y'/X'}(b)) = N_{Y/X}(v^{\sharp}(b))$.

The hypothesis is verified after applying any base change $X'_1 \to X'$ to the diagram if there exists an open subscheme $U \subset X'$ such that the diagram is Cartesian above U and $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \to \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{X'_U}$ is injective, where ι is the open immersion $U \to X'$.

Proposition 4.5.4 For any $\alpha \in Pol(G)(R)$, for any prime $q \nmid N$, there are degeneracy maps

$$D_{1,1}: X^{\alpha}_G(N, \Gamma_0(q)) \to X^{\alpha}_G(N), \qquad D_{q,1}: X^{\alpha}_G(N, \Gamma_0(q)) \to X^{q \cdot \alpha}_G(N).$$

For any R-scheme S, the morphism $(D_{q,1})_*D_{1,1}^*$: $\operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{\alpha}(N) \times_R S) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{q,\alpha}(N) \times_R S)$ extends to a morphism between the relative Picard group functors $\operatorname{Pic}_{X_G^{\alpha}(N)/R} \to \operatorname{Pic}_{X_G^{q,\alpha}(N)/R}$ preserving the subfunctors Pic^{0} , and thus induces a morphism of Abelian schemes

 $T_q: \operatorname{Jac}(X_G^{\alpha}(N)) \to \operatorname{Jac}(X_G^{q \cdot \alpha}(N)).$

Proof. – We have already seen in Proposition 4.4.1 and Corollary 4.4.6 that $X_G^{\alpha}(N), X_G^{q,\alpha}(N)$ are smooth proper *R*-schemes of relative dimension one with geometrically connected fibres, so their Pic⁰ functors are representable by Abelian schemes by [7, §9.4 Proposition 4]. It follows from Propositions 4.4.7 and 4.4.9 that $D_{1,1}, D_{q,1}$ were finite locally free morphisms, so the pull-back $(D_{1,1})^*$ and the push-forward $(D_{q,1})_*$ of line bundles are well-defined.

Moreover, since $X_G^{\alpha}(N, \Gamma_0(q)) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ also has geometrically connected fibres by Corollary 4.4.6, the morphisms of functors

$$(D_{1,1})^* : \operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{\alpha}(N) \times_R -) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{\alpha}(N, \Gamma_0(q)) \times_R -) \\ (D_{q,1})_* : \operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{\alpha}(N, \Gamma_0(q)) \times_R -) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{q \cdot \alpha}(N) \times_R -)$$

preserve the degree-zero subfunctors by the results of [2, Section 0AQY] and the behavior of sheaf cohomology with respect to pushforwards by finite maps, whence the conclusion.

Definition 4.5.5 The operator T_q of Proposition 4.5.4 is the *Hecke operator of index q*. It is a morphism of Abelian schemes.

Proposition 4.5.6 Let $\alpha \in Pol(G)(R)$, and $q, r \nmid N$ be two distinct primes. By applying twice the construction of Proposition 4.5.4, we can define the two operators

$$T_q \circ T_r, T_r \circ T_q : \operatorname{Jac}(X_G^{\alpha}(N)) \to \operatorname{Jac}(X_G^{qr \cdot \alpha}(N)).$$

One has $T_q \circ T_r = T_r \circ T_q$.

Proof. – Consider the following commutative diagram for any R-scheme S, where all maps are finite locally free:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\alpha}_{G}(N,\Gamma_{0}(qr))_{S} & \xrightarrow{D_{1,r}} & X^{\alpha}_{G}(N,\Gamma_{0}(r))_{S} \\ & & \downarrow^{D_{r,q}} & \downarrow^{D_{r,1}} \\ & & \chi^{r\cdot\alpha}_{G}(N,\Gamma_{0}(q))_{S} & \xrightarrow{D_{1,1}} & X^{r\cdot\alpha}_{G}(N)_{S} \end{array}$$

Assume that the two maps

$$(D_{1,1})^* (D_{r,1})_*, (D_{r,q})_* (D_{1,r})^* : \operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{\alpha}(N, \Gamma_0(r))_S) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_G^{r,\alpha}(N, \Gamma_0(q))_S)$$

are equal. Then, as morphisms between presheaves of Picard groups, one has

$$(D_{q,1})_*(D_{1,1})^*(D_{r,1})_*(D_{1,1})^* = (D_{q,1})_*(D_{r,q})_*(D_{1,r})^*(D_{1,1})^*$$
$$= (D_{q,1} \circ D_{r,q})_*(D_{1,1} \circ D_{1,r})^* = (D_{qr,1})_*(D_{1,1})^*$$

where the final $D_{1,1}$ is the degeneracy map $X^{\alpha}_{G}(N, \Gamma_{0}(qr)) \to X^{\alpha}_{G}(N)$. In particular, this map does not depend on the order of q, r and the conclusion follows.

Thus, it remains to show that the compositions $(D_{1,1})^*(D_{r,1})_*, (D_{r,q})_*(D_{1,r})^*$ described above are equal. We use the criterion of Proposition 4.5.3: since the diagram is Cartesian above $Y_G^{\alpha}(N)$ by Proposition 2.1.12, we only need to check that the map $\iota : Y_G^{\alpha}(N) \to X_G^{\alpha}(N)$ verifies that $\mathcal{O}_{X_G^{\alpha}(N)} \to \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_G^{\alpha}(N)}$ is injective. This follows from Corollary 3.1.10 since the *j*-invariant is finite locally free.

Remark 4.5.7 Let $N \ge 3$ be an integer and p, q be distinct primes not dividing N. Even for the constant group scheme $G = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}_{\mathbb{C}}$ over the base field \mathbb{C} (equipped with some choice of

polarization which we omit from the notation), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_G(N,\Gamma_0(pq)) & \xrightarrow{D_{1,p}} X_G(N,\Gamma_0(p)) \\ & & \downarrow^{D_{1,q}} & & \downarrow^{D_{1,1}} \\ X_G(N,\Gamma_0(q)) & \xrightarrow{f'} X_G(N) \end{array}$$

is not Cartesian, and this is what explains why Proposition 4.5.3 is needed. Indeed, with the complex uniformization, we can find a cusp $c_p \in X_G(N, \Gamma_0(p))(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $c_q \in X_G(N, \Gamma_0(q))(\mathbb{C})$) lying above $\infty \in X_G(N)(\mathbb{C})$ and $0 \in X_0(p)(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. and $0 \in X_0(q)(\mathbb{C})$), so that the ramification index of $D_{1,p}$ at c_p (resp. $D_{1,q}$ at c_q) is p (resp. q). The three rings $A = \mathcal{O}_{X_G(N),\infty}$, $B = \mathcal{O}_{X_G(N,\Gamma_0(p)),c_p}$, $C = \mathcal{O}_{X_G(N,\Gamma_0(q)),c_q}$ are discrete valuation rings, $A \to B$, $A \to C$ are quasifinite, but we claim that $B \otimes_A C$ is not normal, so that its stalks cannot be those of $\mathcal{O}_{X_G(N,\Gamma_0(pq))}$.

Indeed, let $\mathfrak{m}_A, \mathfrak{m}_B, \mathfrak{m}_C$ be the maximal ideals of A, B, C with uniformizers $\varpi_A, \varpi_B, \varpi_C$. The ring map $B \otimes_A C \to B/\mathfrak{m}_B \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{m}_A} C/\mathfrak{m}_C = \mathbb{C}$ is onto, so (ϖ_B, ϖ_C) defines the unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $B \otimes C$ above $(\mathfrak{m}_B, \mathfrak{m}_C)$. Because $B \otimes C$ is quasi-finite over B and over C, it is then a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} generated by (ϖ_B, ϖ_C) .

If $B \otimes C$ is normal, it is then a discrete valuation ring and either ϖ_B or ϖ_C is a uniformizer of this ring. Let v denote its valuation. Because $\varpi_A \in \varpi_B^p B^{\times}$, one has $v(\varpi_A) = pv(\varpi_B)$. Similarly, one has $v(\varpi_A) = qv(\varpi_C)$, so $v(\varpi_B)$ is divisible by q and $v(\varpi_C)$ is divisible by p. Hence neither is equal to 1, a contradiction.

Remark 4.5.8 A similar construction using the degeneracy maps of Section 2.2 let us define Hecke operators at primes dividing N for moduli problems of the form $\mathscr{P} \times [\Gamma_1(N)]$, where \mathscr{P} is a moduli problem of level L coprime to N. With the Cartesian diagrams proved in Section 2.2, one can prove in a similar way that these Hecke operators commute pairwise and commute to the Hecke operators in level prime to NL defined as above.

4.6 Some general remarks about the bad fibres

One of the achievements of [42] is to give moduli-theoretic definitions of classical modular curves such as $X_0(N), X_1(N)$ and X(N) that make sense in characteristic dividing N, and from there describe the geometry of these curves at their places of bad reduction. Our aim is rather less ambitious and we will not attempt to formulate a more subtle definition of the moduli problems; we will merely point out easy facts about what happens in the bad characteristics following the same definition.

The following claim summarizes the consequences of the previous subsection.

Proposition 4.6.1 Let K be a number field (resp. a complete discretely valued non-Archimedean field) and $N, m \ge 1$ be coprime integers with $N \ge 3$ (resp. and such that Nm is prime to the residue characteristic of K). Let E/K be an elliptic curve and G be the N-torsion group E[N]. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Then the smooth projective geometrically connected curve $X_{E,a}(N,\Gamma_0(m)) := X_{G,We^a}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ (where We denotes the Weil pairing $E[N] \times E[N] \to \mu_N$) over K has potentially good reduction at any place not dividing Nm (resp. has potentially good reduction). If in fact E has good reduction at some place v of K not dividing Nm, so does $X_{E,a}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$.

Proof. – Let L/K be a finite extension such that every N-torsion point of E over \overline{K} is defined over L, so that in particular $K(\mu_N) \subset L$. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset L$ be the subring of elements that are integral above every place of K except those dividing Nm: it is a Dedekind ring.

By construction, $(E[N]_L, We)$ is isomorphic as a polarized N-torsion group to $((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_L, \pi)$, where π is given as a composition

$$(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}_{\mathcal{O}} \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}_{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\text{det}} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\iota} (\mu_N)_{\mathcal{O}},$$

for some isomorphism ι of \mathcal{O} -group schemes, and therefore $X_{E_L,a}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ is isomorphic to the generic fibre of the smooth projective \mathcal{O} -scheme $X_{(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}_{\mathcal{O}},a\pi}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ with connected geometric fibres. \Box

Remark 4.6.2 Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve and $N \geq 3$ be an integer. It is not true that the smooth projective geometrically connected curve $X_{E,1}(N)$ over \mathbb{Q} has good reduction at places not dividing N.

To give an explicit example, we can for instance consider the case of the curve $X_{E,1}(7)$, whose explicit equation is determined by [37, Theorem 2.2]. Following [37, §6], take for E/\mathbb{Q} the elliptic curve with minimal Weierstrass equation $y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - 8x - 7$. It has conductor 105, rational 2-torsion, and LMFDB label [46] 105.a2 (in Cremona's tables [13], E/\mathbb{Q} is the curve 105A2).

By the LMFDB, E has the reduced Weierstrass equation $y^2 = (x+33)(x+78)(x-111)$, so that, by [37, Theorem 2.2], $X_{E,1}(7)$ admits the following projective plane quartic

$$144\left[(y+z)x^3 - 3x^2yz\right] - 189\left[(z+x)y^3 - 3y^2xz\right] + 45\left[(x+y)z^3 - 3z^2xy\right] = 0$$

as an equation.

A direct computation with Magma [8] shows that this smooth projective plane quartic is isomorphic over \mathbb{Q} to the one defined by the equation

$$x^{3}y - 3x^{2}y^{2} - 3x^{2}yz + 3x^{2}z^{2} + 4xy^{3} + 6xy^{2}z - 9xyz^{2} + 7xz^{3} - 4y^{4} + 9y^{3}z - 3y^{2}z^{2} - 2yz^{3} - 6z^{4} = 0,$$

which is minimal.

Another computation with Magma shows that the curve defined by this ternary quartic form is smooth over \mathbb{Q} and every \mathbb{F}_p with $p \nmid 105$, and that it is not smooth at p = 3, 5, 7.

Remark 4.6.3 In the setting of Proposition 4.6.1 with N > 5 prime and m = 1, the general calculation of the Tate module of the Jacobian of $X_{E,a}(N)$ done in the author's PhD dissertation [60] shows that, when this Jacobian has good reduction at some place v of K (not dividing N), then the action of the inertia subgroup at v of $\text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ on E[N] is given by $\{\pm id\}$. Thus, after replacing E if needed with a quadratic twist (which does not change $X_{E,a}(N)$, by Proposition 5.1.4 and the main results of Section 5), E[N] extends to a finite étale group scheme over the ring of v-integers of K by the results of [35, Exp. V, Théorème 4.1, §7,8].

Indeed, we may assume after applying a quadratic twist that the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K_v}/K_v)$ on $E[N](\overline{K_v})$ is unramified. Let \mathcal{O}_v be the ring of integers of K_v , \mathfrak{p}_v its maximal ideal, \mathbb{F}_v the residue field. By [2, Lemma 03QR], there is a finite étale commutative group scheme G_0 such that $G_0(K_v^{nr}/\mathfrak{p}_v)$ is isomorphic to the $\operatorname{Gal}(K_v^{nr}/K_v)$ -module $E[N](K_v^{nr}) = E[N](\overline{K_v})$. By [2, Lemmas 04GK, 04GM], G_0 is the special fibre of a finite étale commutative group scheme G over \mathcal{O}_v .

Now, for any finite extension L/K_v , the obvious map $G(\mathcal{O}_L) \to G(L)$ is bijective by the valuative criterion for properness [2, Lemma 0XB5]. Moreover, if $x, y \in G(\mathcal{O}_L)$, their equality locus (as an \mathcal{O}_L -scheme) is a base change of the diagonal $G \to G \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} G$ which is a closed open immersion: hence the scheme $\{x = y\}$ is either all of Spec \mathcal{O}_L or empty: as a consequence, the map $G(\mathcal{O}_L) \to G(\mathcal{O}_L/\sqrt{\mathfrak{p}_v \mathcal{O}_L})$ is injective. Then $G(\overline{K_v}) = G(K_v^{nr})$ is an unramified $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K_v}/K_v)$ module, which is isomorphic to $G_0(K_v^{nr}/\mathfrak{p}_v)$, which is isomorphic to $E[N](\overline{K_v})$. By [2, Lemma 03QR], this implies that E[N] and G_K are isomorphic K-group schemes, whence the conclusion.

4.7 Smoothness of $Y_G(N)$ when N is not invertible

Definition 4.7.1 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer and R be a ring. A finite locally free commutative R-group scheme G killed by N is an *elliptic N-torsion group* if the following conditions hold:

• For every $m \ge 1$ dividing N, its m-torsion subgroup G[m] is locally free of degree m^2 .

• Let Frob : $G[p]_{R/(p)} \to G[p]_{R/(p)}^{(p)}$, Ver : $G[p]_{R/(p)}^{(p)} \to G[p]_{R/(p)}$ be the relative Frobenius and Verschiebung respectively, as defined in [16, Exp. VII-A, §4]. Then the map Frob : $G[p]_{R/(p)} \to \text{ker Ver}$ (which is well-defined because Ver \circ Frob is the multiplication by p by *loc.cit.*) is faithfully flat.

Lemma 4.7.2 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer, R be a ring, and G be a finite locally free commutative R-group scheme killed by N.

- (i) If N is invertible in R, then G is an elliptic N-torsion group if and only if it is étale-locally isomorphic to (Z/NZ)^{⊕2}.
- (ii) If G is isomorphic to the N-torsion subgroup scheme of an elliptic curve E/R, then G is an elliptic N-torsion group.
- (iii) If G is an elliptic N-torsion group and R' is a R-algebra, then $G_{R'}$ is an elliptic N-torsion group over R'.
- (iv) G is an elliptic N-torsion group if, and only if, for every prime $p \mid N$, $G[p^{v_p(N)}]$ is an elliptic $p^{v_p(N)}$ -torsion group.
- (v) G is an elliptic N-torsion group if, and only if, for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$, $G_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is an elliptic N-torsion group.

Proof. – (i): When N is invertible in R, G is finite locally free and fibrewise étale by [61, (3.7) II], so it is étale by [7, §2.4 Proposition 8]. Therefore, it is étale-locally isomorphic to the constant group scheme given by some finite abelian group A killed by N. Now, for every $m \mid N$, we now that G[m] is finite locally free of degree m^2 , hence A[m] has cardinality m^2 . Therefore $A \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ and the first point follows.

(ii): The first condition of the definition follows from [42, Theorem 2.3.1] and the second one from the previous remark and [38, Exemple 1.2].

(iii) is direct, and (iv) is a formal consequence from Proposition 4.1.7. (v) follows from the usual properties of flatness. $\hfill\square$

Lemma 4.7.3 Let R be a ring, G be a finite locally free R-group scheme, H be a finite R-group scheme of finite presentation, $f: G \to H$ be a morphism of group schemes. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The morphism of sheaves (over the big fppf site of $\operatorname{Spec} R$) induced by f is surjective,
- (*ii*) f is faithfully flat,
- (iii) There is a faithfully flat H-scheme T of finite presentation such that the structure map $T \to H$ factors through f.

Any of these conditions implies that H is finite locally free over R.

Proof. – It is clear that (i) and (iii) are equivalent, by considering the tautological section of the sheaf attached to H (of which any other section is a base change). Assume that (ii) holds. By the fibre criterion for flatness [33, Corollaire 11.3.11], H is flat over R. Since it is finite of finite presentation, it is locally free over R. Then (iii) follows from [34, Corollaire 17.16.2 (i)]. Assume conversely that (iii) holds. Then f is surjective (since $T \to H$ is surjective). Moreover, let $R \to k$ be a morphism with k a field; then $G_k \to H_k$ is a morphism of k-group schemes of finite type which is scheme-theoretically dominant (since the faithfully flat map of finite presentation $T_k \to H_k$ factors through this map). The fibre criterion for flatness implies that f is flat, so it is faithfully flat.

Remark 4.7.4 The lemma shows, as alluded to in [38, Remarque 1.3 b)], that the second condition defining elliptic N-torsion groups is equivalent to requiring that the sequence of sheaves in the fppf topology $G[p]_{R/(p)} \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} G[p]_{R/(p)} \xrightarrow{\text{Ver}} G[p]_{R/(p)}$ is exact.

Proposition 4.7.5 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer, R be a ring, and G be a finite locally free commutative R-group scheme killed by N.

- (i) If there is a faithfully flat R-algebra R' such that $G_{R'}$ is an elliptic N-torsion group over R', then G is an elliptic N-torsion group over R.
- (ii) Suppose that G is an elliptic N-torsion group. Then, there exists a ring homomorphism $R_0 \to R$ and an elliptic N-torsion group G_0 over R_0 such that $G \simeq (G_0)_R$ and R_0 is Noetherian.
- (iii) Suppose that G is isomorphic to the N-torsion subscheme of some elliptic curve E/R. Then there is a finitely generated Z-subalgebra R_0 of R and an elliptic curve E_0/R_0 such that G is isomorphic to $(E_0)_R$.

Proof. – (i): both conditions follow directly from Proposition A.1.5 (since the formation of Frob and Ver commutes with base change, as explained in [16, Exp. VII-A, §4]).

(ii): This follows from the classical Noetherian approximation results [33, Théorème 8.8.2, Théorème 8.10.5 (v), Corollaire 11.2.6.1], since R is the directed direct limit of its finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -subalgebras (which are Noetherian).

(iii) By the same Noetherian approximation results [33, Théorème 8.8.2, Théorème 8.5.10 (xii), Corollaire 11.2.6.1 (ii)], we can find a finitely generated Z-subalgebra R_0 of R and a finitely presented proper flat commutative R_0 -group scheme E_0 (in particular, E_0 is surjective) such that $E \simeq (E_0)_R$ as group schemes. All we need to do is check that E_0/R_0 is a relative elliptic curve. It is enough by [7, §2.4 Proposition 8] to show that the fibres of $E_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } R_0$ are smooth, geometrically connected, of relative dimension one and of genus one. This is the case by Proposition A.1.5 for the fibre above any point in the image of $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Spec } R_0$ (we realize the descent for the fibres, i.e. we descend along a morphism of fields, which is automatically flat).

By [2, Lemmas 055F, 0E0N, Lemma 02V4], since E_0 is proper over R_0 , the locus U consisting of $s \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $(E_0)_s$ is smooth geometrically connected of dimension one is open. Let $I \subset R_0$ be an ideal attached to its complement; we know that the image of $\operatorname{Spec} R \to \operatorname{Spec} R_0$ does not meet V(I), hence IR = R. We can write $1 = \sum_{k=1}^{r} i_k a_k$ with $i_k \in I$ and $a_k \in R$. If $R_1 = R_0[a_1, \ldots, a_r] \subset R$, R_1 is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} and $(E_0)_{R_1} \to \operatorname{Spec} R_1$ is smooth of relative dimension one with connected geometric fibres, since it is a base change of $(E_0)_U \to U$. Thus, only the question of the genus remains: it is enough to show that any smooth proper connected commutative group scheme A of dimension one over an algebraically closed field khas genus one. It is a classical exercise: the sheaf of 1-forms is trivial by [2, Lemma 047I], so its degree is zero, whence the conclusion by [2, Lemma 0C1A].

Lemma 4.7.6 Let R be a ring and $I \subset R$ be an ideal nilpotent in R. Let p be a prime number which is nilpotent in R and let G be an elliptic p^r -torsion group over R. Let E' be an elliptic curve over R' := R/I and $\iota' : G_{R/I} \to E'[p^r]$ be an isomorphism of R'-group schemes. There exists an elliptic curve E/R and a morphism $\lambda : E'/R' \to E/R$ in the category \mathbf{Ell}_R such that $\lambda \circ \iota' : G_{R/I} \to E_{R/I}[p^r]$ lifts to an isomorphism $\iota : G \to F[p^r]$.

Proof. – We first prove that *G* is a BT_r over *R* in the sense of [38, Definition 1.1]. By Remarque 1.3 a) of *op.cit.* and Lemma 4.7.3, we are reduced to showing that, as fppf subsheaves of *G*, $p^{r-1}G = G[p]$. By Proposition 4.7.5 (iii), we may assume that *R* is Noetherian. Obviously, the inclusion ⊂ holds; it is an equality if we can show that the abelian fppf-subsheaf $p^{r-1}G$ of *G* can be represented by a morphism $H \to G$ with *H* locally free of rank p^2 over *R*. Indeed, $H \to G$ is a monomorphism of finite *R*-schemes, so it is a finite monomorphism hence a closed immersion by [2, Lemma 04XV]. The closed immersion $H \to G$ factors through G[p] because p^r kills *G*, so all that remains is to show that this closed immersion $H \to G[p]$ is an isomorphism. By assumption, G[p] is a finite locally free *R*-scheme of rank p^2 (the same as that of *H*), so we are done.

Now, the abelian fppf-sheaf $p^{r-1}G$ is by definition isomorphic to $G/G[p^{r-1}]$. Since $G[p^{r-1}]$ is a finite locally free *R*-scheme, this quotient is representable by a finite locally free *R*-scheme *H* by [61, §3.5], and one has an exact sequence $0 \to G[p^{r-1}] \to G \to H \to 0$ (as abelian fppf-sheaves) of finite locally free *R*-schemes. It follows that the rank of *H* is the quotient of the rank of *G* by the rank of $G[p^{r-1}]$ which is p^2 by assumption, so we are done. Consider the extension from R' to R of the truncated Barsotti–Tate group (in the sense of [38, Définition 1.1, Exemple 1.2]) $E'[p^r] \xrightarrow{(\iota')^{-1}} G_{R'} \to G_R$. By [38, Théorème 4.4 (f)] (in the notation of *loc.cit.*, one has $S_0 = \operatorname{Spec} R'/(p)$, $S = \operatorname{Spec} R'$ and $S' = \operatorname{Spec} R$), it comes from an extension from R' to R of the Barsotti–Tate group (in the sense of [38, Définition 1.5]) $E'[p^{\infty}]$. In other words, there is a Barsotti–Tate group D over R and isomorphisms $f : E'[p^{\infty}] \to D_{R'}$ of Barsotti–Tate groups over R', $j : G_R \to D[p^r]$ of fppf abelian sheaves over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that the following diagram commutes:

Note that every $D[p^r]$ is a finite locally free *R*-group scheme by [38, Sorites 1.6, Remarque 1.3 (c)]. By the Serre–Tate theorem [42, Theorem 2.9.1], there exists an elliptic curve E/R endowed with isomorphisms $\varphi : E' \to E_{R'}$ and $\delta : D \to E[p^{\infty}]$ such that $\varphi[p^{\infty}] = \delta_{R'} \circ f$. Then $\iota = \delta[p^r] \circ j : G \to E[p^r]$ is an isomorphism of group schemes and one has

$$\varphi^{-1} \circ \iota_{R'} = \varphi^{-1} \circ \delta_{R'}[p^r] \circ j_{R'} = \varphi^{-1} \circ \delta_{R'} \circ f[p^r] \circ \iota = \iota,$$

so we are done.

Lemma 4.7.7 Let X be a finitely presented R-scheme over a Noetherian ring. Then X is smooth (resp. flat) if, and only if, for every $n \ge 1$ and every prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$, $X_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^n} \to \operatorname{Spec} R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^n$ is smooth (resp. flat).

Proof. – The condition is necessary because flatness and smoothness are stable by base change. The condition for flatness is sufficient by a fact of commutative algebra [2, Lemma 0523]. The claim for smoothness follows from the criterion by fibres [7, §2.4 Proposition 8]. \Box

Proposition 4.7.8 Let R be a ring and $N \ge 1$ be an integer. Let G be a finite commutative R-group scheme killed by N. Let \mathscr{P} be an affine étale relatively representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_R , which is representable if $N \le 2$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) There is a flat surjective R-scheme S and an elliptic curve E/S such that G_S is isomorphic to E[N].
- (ii) There is a faithfully flat étale R-algebra R' and an elliptic curve E/R' such that $G_{R'}$ is isomorphic to E[N].
- (iii) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_G(N))$ is smooth surjective over R of relative dimension one.
- (iv) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_G(N))$ is flat surjective over R.
- (v) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_G(N))$ is surjective over R and G is an elliptic N-torsion group.

Proof. – By Propositions 1.2.1, 4.1.6 and Corollary 1.3.5, the moduli problem $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is representable and affine of finite presentation, so $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(N))$ is an affine *R*-scheme of finite presentation. Moreover, we know that:

- (ii) implies (i) and (iii) implies (iv),
- (iii) implies (ii) by [34, Corollaire 17.16.3 (ii)],
- (iv) implies (i) by considering the universal elliptic curve,

Suppose that (i) holds, and let S be a flat surjective R-scheme such that $G_S \simeq E[N]$ for some elliptic curve E[N]. The S-morphism $\mathscr{P}_{E/S} \to S$ is étale affine, so by [34, Corollaire 17.16.3] there exists an étale surjective morphism $S' \to S$ such that $\mathscr{P}_{E/S}(S')$ is not empty. Hence $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(N))$ has a S'-point, whence $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(N))$ is a surjective R-scheme. To check that G is an elliptic N-torsion group, we may assume that R is local by Lemma 4.7.2 (v). There exists $s \in S$ such that $R \to \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ is faithfully flat, so, by Proposition 4.7.5 (i) G is an elliptic N-torsion group.

Thus, we are done if we can show that (v) implies (iii).

Step 1: Apart from the relative dimension, the implication is true when (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local Noetherian of dimension zero, residue characteristic p, and N is a power of p.

By [7, §2.2 Proposition 6], it suffices to show that $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(N))$ is formally smooth, i.e. that for any *R*-algebra *A* and any ideal $I \subset A$ such that $I^2 = 0$, for any elliptic curve F/A' with A' = A/I, for any isomorphism $\iota' : G_{A/I} \to F[N]$, for any $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(F/A')$, there exist an elliptic curve E/A, a morphism $\varphi : F/A' \to E/A$ (in the category **Ell**_R), a class $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/A)$, and an isomorphism $\iota : G_A \to E[N]$ such that $\alpha' = (\mathscr{P}\varphi)(\alpha)$ and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{A/I} & \stackrel{\iota'}{\longrightarrow} & F[N] \\ & & & & & \downarrow \varphi \\ G_A & \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} & E[N] \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.7.6, we can find an elliptic curve E/A, a morphism $\varphi : F/A' \to E/A$ in \mathbf{Ell}_R and an isomorphism $\iota_p : G[p^r] \to E[p^r]$ such that the following diagram commutes.



Because \mathscr{P} is étale, $(\mathscr{P}\varphi) : \mathscr{P}'(E/A) \to \mathscr{P}'(F/A')$ is a bijection. Thus there exists $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E/A)$ such that $(\mathscr{P}\varphi)\alpha = \alpha'$. Then $(E/A, \varphi, \iota)$ satisfies the assumptions, whence the conclusion.

Step 2: Apart from the relative dimension, the implication is true when (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local Noetherian of dimension zero.

If N is invertible in R, so $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is étale by Proposition 4.2.7, so by Corollary 1.3.5 $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(N))$ is smooth and we are done. Thus, we may assume that there is a unique prime divisor p of N such that p is nilpotent in R. Let $N = N'p^r$ with $r \ge 1$ and N' prime to p. Then G[N'] is finite locally free and étale-locally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ by Lemma 4.7.2. Hence $\mathscr{P}' := \mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}_{G[N']}(N')$ is affine étale by Proposition 4.2.7. Since $\mathscr{P}' \times \mathscr{P}_{G[p^r]}(p^r) \simeq \mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}_G(N)$, the conclusion follows by applying Step 1.

Step 3: Apart from the relative dimension, the implication is true when R is Noetherian.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R, the assumption (v) remains true after the base change $R \to R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^d$ for any $d \ge 1$. Thus $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(N))_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^d}$ is smooth over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^d$ for every $d \ge 1$ by Step 2. The conclusion follows by Lemma 4.7.7.

Step 4: The implication holds when $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma(k)]_R$, if $k \geq 3$ is an integer invertible in R and prime to N.

By Proposition 4.7.5 (ii), there exists a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra R_0 of R where k is invertible and an elliptic N-torsion group G_0 over R_0 such that $G \simeq (G_0)_R$. By [33, Théorème 8.10.5 (vi)], since the affine finitely presented R_0 -scheme $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(k)]_{R_0}, \mathscr{P}_{G_0}(N)) \to \operatorname{Spec} R_0$ becomes surjective after the base change $R_0 \to R$, it becomes surjective after some base change to a finitely generated R_0 -subalgebra R_1 of R. Since R_1 is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra, $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(k)]_{R_1}, \mathscr{P}_{(G_0)_{R_1}}(N))$ is a smooth surjective R_1 -scheme of relative dimension 1 by Step 3, whence by base change $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(k)]_R, \mathscr{P}_G(N)) \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is smooth surjective.

Let us now discuss the dimension of the fibres. After a faithfully flat étale base change over R, we may assume that G is the N-torsion subgroup scheme of some elliptic curve E/R; after a further faithfully flat étale base change we may assume that E[k] is constant. Then E/R

is a base change of the universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}(k)/Y(k)_{\mathbb{Z}[1/k]}$, so we are brought back to the situation where $R = \mathcal{O}(Y(k))$ is a smooth faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}[1/k]$ -algebra (by Proposition 4.2.7) and G = E[N] for some elliptic curve E/R. Because $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(k)]_R, \mathscr{P}_G(N))$ is smooth over R, its generic fibre is dense by [7, §2.4 Propositions 3,8], and its generic fibre does not meet the open locus U of "smoothness of dimension not 1" by Proposition 4.2.7. Thus U is empty and the conclusion follows.

Step 5: The implication holds in general.

The claim is Zariski-local on Spec R, so we may assume that there is an integer $k \ge 3$ prime to N invertible in R. Consider the following diagram of R-schemes, where both maps are obvious:

$$\underbrace{\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(k)]_R,\mathscr{P}_G(N))}_{X(k)} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \underbrace{\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},[\Gamma(k)]_R,\mathscr{P}_G(N))}_{X_{\mathscr{P}}(k)} \xrightarrow{\beta} \underbrace{\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P},\mathscr{P}_G(N))}_{X_{\mathscr{P}}}$$

Since $[\Gamma(k)]_R$ is finite étale representable of constant positive rank by Proposition 1.3.3, α is étale and β is finite étale of constant positive rank by Proposition 1.2.1. Since β is surjective, $X_{\mathscr{P}}(k)$ is a surjective *R*-scheme, so X(k) is also a surjective *R*-scheme. By Step 4, X(k) is a smooth *R*-scheme of relative dimension one, thus $X_{\mathscr{P}}(k)$ is one as well, thus by [2, Lemma 036U] $X_{\mathscr{P}}$ is smooth over *R* of relative dimension one.

4.8 Quasi-finiteness of $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ and ordinary group schemes

Proposition 4.8.1 Let $N \ge 1$. Let X denote one of the schemes $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ or μ_N (over \mathbb{Z}). Then, for any scheme S, the ring homomorphism

$$a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})(S) \mapsto (\varphi : x \mapsto ax) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}(X_S, X_S)$$

is bijective.

For any scheme S, the group homomorphism

$$z \in \mu_N(S) \mapsto (\varphi : a \mapsto z^a) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S, (\mu_N)_S)$$

is bijective.

Finally, the functor $\operatorname{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \operatorname{Ab}$ given by $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GpSch}_S}((\mu_N)_S, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S)$ is an étale commutative group scheme μ_N^{\vee} over \mathbb{Z} and the unit section is an open immersion. Moreover, if N is a power of a prime $p, (\mu_N^{\vee})_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a point.

Proof. – If G is a commutative group scheme over any scheme S, for any $N \ge 1$, the function

 $x \in G[N](S) \mapsto (\varphi: a \mapsto a \cdot x) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S, G)$

is bijective, so the claim is clear when the morphisms come from $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

For any scheme S, the rings

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{GpSch}}_{S}}((\mu_{N})_{S},(\mu_{N})_{S}), \qquad \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{GpSch}}_{S}}((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_{S},(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_{S}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})(S)$

are opposite of each other by Cartier duality [52, I.2.9], so the functor

 $S \in \mathbf{Sch} \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}((\mu_N)_S, (\mu_N)_S) \in \mathbf{Ring}$

is represented by the constant ring scheme $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ as well.

The functor $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}((\mu_N)_S, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S)$ is represented by an affine finitely presented group scheme over Spec Z. Let R be any ring and I be any ideal of R with $I^2 = 0$. Let R' = R/I, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_R}((\mu_N)_R, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_{R'}}((\mu_N)_{R'}, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_{R'})$ is a group isomorphism by [2, Theorem 0BTY]. Therefore, by the infinitesimal criterion [35, Théorème I.5.5], $S \in \mathbf{Sch} \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_S}((\mu_N)_S, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S)$ is represented by an affine étale \mathbb{Z} -scheme μ_N^{\vee} . By [35, Corollaire I.5.3], the unit section of this group scheme is an open immersion onto a connected component of μ_N^{\vee} .

It remains to prove that if N is a power of the prime p, and k is any field of characteristic p, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{GpSch}}_k}((\mu_N)_k, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_k)$ is zero. Indeed, let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{GpSch}}_k}((\mu_N)_k, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_k)$. Then $(\mu_N)_k$ is the spectrum of the ring $k[x]/(x^{p^r}-1) \simeq k[x]/(x-1)^{p^r}$, so is reduced to a single point; $f^{-1}(0)$ is a nonempty open subscheme of $(\mu_N)_k$, since it contains the unit section, so it is all of $(\mu_N)_k$, whence f factors through $k \xrightarrow{0} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_k$, thus f is trivial.

Proposition 4.8.2 Let $N \ge 1$. For any scheme S, one has

$$\mu_N(S) \times \mu_N^{\vee}(S) \simeq \mu_N(S) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}((\mu_N)_S, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S).$$

Thus one can define two bilinear pairings $b_1, b_2 : \mu_N \times \mu_N^{\vee} \to \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for any scheme S,

$$b_1: (u,v) \in \mu_N(S) \times \mu_N^{\vee}(S) \mapsto v(u) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})(S),$$

$$b_2: (u,v) \in \mu_N(S) \times \mu_N^{\vee}(S) \mapsto u \circ v \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}((\mu_N)_S, (\mu_N)_S) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})(S).$$

These two pairings are equal.

Proof. – Because μ_N, μ_N^{\vee} are flat finitely presented affine Z-schemes, it is enough to establish the claim over the base ring $K := \mathbb{Q}(e^{2i\pi/N})$. The claim then reduces to the following assertion: for any K-scheme S, for any $f : (\mu_N)_S \to (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S$, for any $g : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S \to (\mu_N)_S$, $f \circ g$ is an endomorphism of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S$, so is associated to some $n \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})(S)$, and $g \circ f$ is the endomorphism of μ_N precisely defined by the multiplication by n.

In the above situation, the endomorphism of $(\mu_N)_S$ which is given by the multiplication by n is exactly the Cartier dual of $f \circ g$, i.e. $g' \circ f'$, where f', g' are the Cartier duals of f, g respectively. It is thus enough to show that f = f' and g = g'.

Consider the following diagram, for any S-scheme T, where μ is the multiplication map, and τ is the tautological map (both of them isomorphisms):

It is a direct verification that this diagram is commutative (because f is a group scheme homomorphism): this implies that f = f'.

The same argument applies to show that g = g'.

Corollary 4.8.3 The functor $M : S \in \mathbf{Sch} \mapsto \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}(\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is represented by the quasi-finite flat \mathbb{Z} -scheme of finite presentation $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} & \mu_N \\ \mu_N^{\vee} & \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}$ (with the obvious action and matrix operations given by Proposition 4.8.2).

Moreover, the rule det : $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M(S) \mapsto ad - bc \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})(S)$ maps a composition to a direct product.

Finally, the subfunctor $S \in \mathbf{Sch} \mapsto \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_S}(\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ of M is represented by its closed open subscheme $\{\det \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}\}.$

Proof. – This is a series of formal verifications using the two previous Propositions, as well as the facts that $id \in M(\mathbb{Z})$ is represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and that if $X := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M(S)$ is such

that $\delta := \det X \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}(S)$, then $X' := \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1}d & -\delta^{-1}b \\ -\delta^{-1}c & \delta^{-1}a \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $X' \circ X = X \circ X' = \mathrm{id}.\Box$

Proposition 4.8.4 Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p, r \ge 1$ be an integer and E/k be an elliptic curve.

- If E is ordinary, the scheme representing the ring functor $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k \mapsto \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_k}(E[p^r])$ is isomorphic (as a ring scheme) to $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} & \mu_{p^r} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}$.
- If E/k is supersingular, the group functor $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k \mapsto \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(E[p^r]_T)$ is represented by a one-dimensional group scheme F_r of finite type over k, and there is a monomorphism $\mathbb{A}^1_k \to F_r$ of group schemes such that the quotient scheme $\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus F_r$ is finite over k. Moreover, for any $r \geq 1$, the forgetful morphism $F_{r+1} \to F_r$ has finite image.

Proof. -

By [42, Theorem 2.9.3], if E is ordinary, then $E[p^r]$ is the group scheme $\mu_{p^r} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 4.8.1, $(\mu_{p^r}^{\vee})_k$ is the trivial k-scheme, whence the functor $S \mapsto \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{GpSch}}_S}(E[p^r]_S)$ is represented by $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} & \mu_{p^r} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}$. Now, let us assume that E is supersingular. Then the p-divisible group D attached to

Now, let us assume that \vec{E} is supersingular. Then the *p*-divisible group D attached to E has dimension and codimension 1, and E[p] contains a single copy of α_p because $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{E,0}}$ is a discrete valuation k-algebra with residue field k, so E has exactly one closed subscheme of degree p concentrated above the unit¹⁹. By [29, Theorem 1], the group scheme F_r is thus one-dimensional²⁰.

The morphism $F_{r+1} \to F_r$ has a finite image by [29, Corollary 3(a)].

To construct a group homomorphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \to F_r$, let α_p be the k-group scheme attached to the Hopf algebra $k[x]/(x^p)$ with comultiplication $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$. Recall that we have a nonsplit exact sequence $0 \to \alpha_p \xrightarrow{i} E[p] \xrightarrow{\pi} \alpha_p \to 0$ for a certain finite k-group scheme α_p of degree p.²¹

Denote the closed immersion $\alpha_p \to E[p] \to E[p^r]$ and the fppf morphism $E[p^r] \stackrel{p^{r-1}}{\to} E[p] \stackrel{\pi}{\to} \alpha_p$ by i' and π' respectively. In particular, one has $\pi' \circ i' = 0$.

Now, α_p is the kernel of the Frobenius acting on \mathbb{A}^1 , so, in the category \mathbf{Sch}_k , α_p is a \mathbb{A}^1 module (and no two k-points of \mathbb{A}^1 have the same action), so we can let \mathbb{A}^1 act on $E[p^r]$ as follows: for any k-scheme T, any $s \in \mathbb{A}^1(T)$, s induces an endomorphism \underline{s} of $(\alpha_p)_T$, and we let s act on $E[p^r]$ by id $+ i' \circ \underline{sp'}$. It is then a direct verification that this construction defines a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1_k \to F_r$ of group schemes.

Moreover, if T is a k-scheme and $s \in \mathbb{A}^1(T)$, then $i' \circ \underline{s} \circ \pi' = 0$ only if $\underline{s} = 0$ (since i' is a closed immersion and π' is finite faithfully flat); because one can directly check that no nonzero section of \mathbb{A}^1 acts trivially on α_p , this implies that $\mathbb{A}^1_k \to F_r$ is a monomorphism. By [16, Exp. VI-B, Proposition 1.2], its image is a closed irreducible one-dimensional subspace of F_r , so it is the connected component of unity by [16, Exp. VI-A, Proposition 2.4].

Thus, by [16, Exp. VI-A, Théorème 3.2], the quotient scheme $X := \mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus F_r$ exists and is of finite type over k, and moreover X(k) (which is equal to $\mathbb{A}^1(k) \setminus F_r(k) \simeq \pi_0(F_r)$) is a finite set, whence X is a finite k-scheme.

¹⁹This fact is well-known, but I am grateful to O. Gabber for bringing my attention to this argument.

²⁰This also directly implies that $n_D = 1$, although there is likely a more direct proof.

²¹This is classical, but, for lack of an obvious reference, here is an argument using freely the results of [42, (2.8), (12.2), (12.3)]: the kernel K of the Frobenius isogeny $F : E \to E^{(p)}$ is a subgroup scheme of E of degree p; it is contained in E[p] hence supported on a point. Its Cartier dual is the kernel of the dual isogeny V to F, which is a closed subscheme of $E^{(p)}[p]$; since $E^{(p)}$ is supersingular, K^{\vee} is also connected. By the Oort–Tate classification [62, Theorem 2, remarks 2, 3], it follows that K and K^{\vee} are both isomorphic to α_p . Now, by e.g. [9, 1.4.1.2], we have an fppf-exact sequence of k-group schemes $0 \to K \to E[p] \stackrel{q}{\to} E[p]/K \to 0$. By definition, $F : E[p]/K \to K^{\vee}$ is a monomorphism of finite k-schemes (because $[p]_E = V \circ F$), so by [2, Lemma 03BB] it is a closed immersion. Since the two k-schemes have the same k-rank $p, F : E[p]/K \to K^{\vee}$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 4.8.5 Let G be a finite commutative group scheme over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p, and \mathscr{P} denote the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(p^r)$ for some $r \geq 1$. Let E/k be an elliptic curve. Then $\mathscr{P}_{E/k}(k)$ is either empty, or

- finite if E is ordinary,
- infinite if E is supersingular.

Proof. – By definition, $P_{E/k}(k)$ is $\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_k}(G, E[p^r])$. If this set contains an element, then $G \simeq E[p^r]$, so $\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_k}(G, E[p^r])$ is in bijection with $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_k}(E[p^r])$, and the result follows from Proposition 4.8.4.

Definition 4.8.6 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer and R be a ring. A finite locally free commutative group scheme G over R killed by N is ordinary if, for any R-algebra k which is an algebraically closed field, $G_k \simeq \mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ (in particular, G is finite locally free of degree N^2).

Lemma 4.8.7 Let G be a finite locally free commutative group scheme over a ring R killed by some integer $N \ge 1$. Then G is ordinary if, and only if, for any prime $p \mid N$, $G[p^{v_p(N)}]$ is ordinary.

Remark 4.8.8 If G is the N-torsion subgroup scheme of an elliptic curve E/R of which every specialization in characteristic dividing N is ordinary, then G is ordinary by [42, (2.8.5), (2.8.7.1), Theorem 2.9.3]. Note also that, by Corollary 4.1.5 if G is a finite locally free commutative group scheme over R killed by N of degree N^2 , and R' is a R-algebra such that $\operatorname{Spec} R' \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is surjective and $G_{R'}$ is ordinary, then G is ordinary.

Proposition 4.8.9 Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a henselian Noetherian local ring with separably closed residue field k with characteristic p > 0. Let $r \ge 1$ and G be a finite flat commutative group scheme over R which is killed by p^r . Assume moreover that $G_{\overline{k}} \simeq \mu_{p^r} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$. Then there is an exact sequence (of abelian fppf sheaves) of finite flat R-group schemes $0 \to \mu_{p^r} \to G \to \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \to 0$, which splits over some finite free local R-algebra R'.

Proof. – Since (R, \mathfrak{m}) is henselian, any finite *R*-algebra is a product of finite local *R*-algebras [2, Lemma 04GG]. In particular, if X is a finite *R*-scheme, the closed immersion $X_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \to X$ induces a bijection on the sets of connected components.

Let X be a finite flat R-scheme such that $X_{\overline{k}}$ is étale. Then by fpqc descent, $X_{R/\mathfrak{m}}$ is étale over R/\mathfrak{m} , so by [7, §2.4 Proposition 8] (and the discussion below [7, §2.2 Definition 3]) the open étale locus of X contains its special fibre. Since X is finite, the projection on Spec R of the non-étale locus of X is a closed subspace of Spec R not containing the unique closed point, so it is empty and X is étale. By [2, Theorem 04DZ] and [2, Theorem 04GK], $Y \mapsto Y_{\overline{k}}$ is an equivalence of categories between finite étale R-schemes and finite étale (i.e. finite constant) \overline{k} -schemes: therefore, X is constant.

Because R is a henselian Noetherian local ring and G is a finite flat R-scheme, it has a connected-to-étale exact sequence $0 \to C \to G \to H \to 0$ (see [61, (3.7)]; the exactness is understood in the category of sheaves in abelian groups in the fppf topology).

In particular, since G is finite over the Noetherian ring R, it is Noetherian, hence locally connected, and C, being the connected component of unity, is a closed open subgroup scheme of G, hence is finite flat over R. Hence $\pi_0(C_k) \simeq \pi_0(C)$ has a single element, so C_k is connected. By purely inseparable base change, one then has an exact sequence $0 \to C_{\overline{k}} \to G_{\overline{k}} \to H_{\overline{k}} \to 0$, with $C_{\overline{k}}$ finite connected, $H_{\overline{k}}$ finite étale.

Hence this exact sequence is the connected-to-étale exact sequence for the finite flat \overline{k} -group scheme $G_{\overline{k}} \simeq \mu_{p^r} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $C_{\overline{k}} \simeq \mu_{p^r}$ and $H_{\overline{k}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, both C and Hhave rank p^r over R.

Thus, both the Cartier dual D and H are finite flat R-group schemes of rank p^r with étale special fibre, so by the beginning of the proof they are constant. Since their special fibres are

both isomorphic (as group schemes) to $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$, D and H are isomorphic as group schemes to $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$, hence $C \simeq \mu_{p^r}$.

Finally, we need to show that the exact sequence splits over a finite free local *R*-algebra. Let $\pi : G \to H \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ be the quotient map in the connected-to-étale exact sequence. It is clear that $\pi^{-1}(1)$ is a closed open subscheme of *G*, hence is a finite free *R*-scheme. Clearly, $\pi^{-1}(1)_{\overline{k}}$ is isomorphic to μ_{p^r} , so is connected, so the same holds for $\pi^{-1}(1)_k$, hence the same holds for $\pi^{-1}(1)$; since *R* is henselian and $\mathcal{O}(\pi^{-1}(1))$ is a finite free *R*-algebra, it is finite free local. Then $\pi^{-1}(1)$ has a (tautological) point over $\mathcal{O}(\pi^{-1}(1))$, so after making the base change $\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{O}(\pi^{-1}(1))$, the exact sequence splits. \Box

Proposition 4.8.10 Let G be a finite locally free group scheme over a ring R, killed by N of degree N^2 . The scheme X parametrizing isomorphisms from $\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ to G is affine over R and quasi-finite flat of finite presentation. In particular, if G is ordinary, there exists a faithfully flat R-algebra R' which is quasi-finite of finite presentation such that $G_{R'} \simeq \mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ for some $N \geq 1$, and, for every $m \geq 1$ dividing N, G[m] is finite locally free of degree m^2 and ordinary.

Proof. – The last sentence follows from [34, Corollaire 17.16.2] and fpqc descent, i.e. Proposition A.1.5. The fact that X exists and is affine of finite presentation over R is Lemma 4.1.4 (and it is clearly isomorphic to the scheme parametrizing group scheme isomorphisms from G to $\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$).

By approximation [34, Théorème 8.8.2, Théorème 8.10.5 (vi,x), Corollaire 11.2.6.1 (ii)], we may assume that $G \simeq (G_0)_R$ for some Noetherian subring R_0 of R and some finite locally free commutative R_0 -group scheme G_0 killed by N. If the result holds for G_0 , the result for G follows by base change, so we may assume that R is Noetherian. By Lemma 4.7.7, we may assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local Noetherian of dimension zero. Since the strict henselization R' of R is a Noetherian faithfully flat R-algebra by [34, Proposition 18.8.8], we may by fpqc descent assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local, Artinian, and strictly henselian.

In this case, if X is empty, X is flat over R and there is nothing to prove. If X is not empty, let k be the separably closed residue field of R and p its characteristic, then $X(\overline{k}) \neq \emptyset$, so $G_{\overline{k}} \simeq \mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Write $N = N'p^r$, where $r \ge 0$ and N' is prime to p. because k is separably closed of characteristic p, $G_{\overline{k}}[N']$ is isomorphic to $\mu_{N'} \times \mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z}$, so by [2, Theorem 0BTY] $G[N'] \simeq \mu_{N'} \times \mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 4.8.9, there is a finite free R-algebra R' such that $G[p^r] \simeq \mu_{p^r} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$, hence $G_{R'} \simeq \mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $X_{R'}$ is isomorphic to the automorphism scheme of $\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ (over R'), which is quasi-finite flat over R' by Corollary 4.8.3.

Corollary 4.8.11 Let R be a ring and G, H be two ordinary R-group schemes, killed by N of degree N^2 . The scheme X parametrizing isomorphisms $G \to H$ is a flat, quasi-finite affine R-scheme of finite presentation. It is finite if and only if, Zariski-locally over R, N is either nilpotent or invertible.

Proof. – By Proposition 4.8.10, after a faithfully flat finitely presented base change, G and H become isomorphic to $\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, we may assume by descent that $G = H = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_N$. Then X is affine of finite presentation over R and quasi-finite flat by Corollary 4.8.3. To prove the claim, it is enough to show the following:

- When N is invertible over R, then X is finite étale of rank $|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})|$,
- When N is a power of a prime p and N is nilpotent over R, then X is finite of rank $1 \leq r_N < |\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})|.$

Indeed, these claims suffice to show the "if" part because the finiteness of $X \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ can be tested Zariski-locally, and X is the product of the automorphism schemes of $\mu_q \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ over all prime powers $q \mid N$ such that q is prime to N/q.

Conversely, if X is finite, then it is finite locally free, so its degree is a locally constant function on Spec R. Therefore, the subset of points $x \in \text{Spec } R$ at which X has degree lower

than $|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})|$ is open. By prime factorization and the two bullet points, this subset is exactly the subset of points of Spec R of residue characteristic dividing N. Now, if U is an affine scheme on which every point has residue characteristic dividing N, then N is contained in every prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}(U)$, so it is nilpotent, and we are done.

When N is invertible over R, $\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is étale-locally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$, so X is étale-locally isomorphic to the constant group scheme $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, whence X is finite locally free over R of degree $|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})|$.

When N is a power of a prime p which is nilpotent over R, then $(\mu_N^{\vee})_R$ is the trivial group scheme by Proposition 4.8.1. Therefore, by Corollary 4.8.3, the automorphism scheme of $\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring scheme $\begin{pmatrix} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times} & \mu_N \\ 0 & (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \end{pmatrix}$ which is finite free of rank $N\varphi(N)^2$, and one has $1 \leq N\varphi(N)^2 < |\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})|$, whence the conclusion.

Proposition 4.8.12 Let G be an ordinary group scheme over a ring R, killed by N of degree N^2 . Then there exists an étale faithfully flat R-algebra R' such that $G_{R'}$ is isomorphic to the N-torsion subgroup scheme of an elliptic curve E/R' such that every base change of E to a field of characteristic dividing N is ordinary. In particular, the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_G(N)$ is flat and quasi-finite.

Proof. – Let k be a R-algebra which is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \mid N$ such that G_k is isomorphic to the N-torsion subscheme of some elliptic curve E/k. Then $E[p] \simeq \mu_p \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, so E is ordinary by [42, Proposition 12.3.6]. By Proposition 4.8.10, there is a faithfully flat R-algebra of finite presentation R_1 such that $G_{R_1} \simeq \mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Then, for any S-scheme and any elliptic curve E/S, $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S} \times_S S_{R_1} \simeq \mathscr{P}_{\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}(N)_{E_{R_1}/S_{R_1}}$ is affine, finitely presented and quasi-finite flat over S_{R_1} by Proposition 4.8.10. Hence $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S}$ is affine of finite presentation and quasi-finite flat over S by Proposition A.1.5.

All that remains to show is that, étale-locally over Spec R, G is isomorphic to the N-torsion subgroup scheme of some elliptic curve. This is Zariski-local over Spec R, so we may assume that some integer $m \ge 3$ coprime to N is invertible in R. It is standard that $R_1 = R[[q]][q^{-1}]$ is Noetherian and faithfully flat over R.

Let F/R_1 be the Tate curve (as defined in [42, §8.8]). By [42, Theorem 12.4.2], over $R_1/(p)$ for any prime $p \mid N$, F has Hasse invariant 1, so every specialization of F is ordinary by [42, Proposition 12.3.6, (12.4.1.1)]. Therefore F[N] and G_{R_1} are ordinary R_1 -group schemes of degree N^2 , so there is a faithfully flat R_1 -algebra R' such that $F[N]_{R'} \simeq G_{R'} \simeq \mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ by Proposition 4.8.10. The conclusion follows from Proposition 4.7.8.

Proposition 4.8.13 Let R be a ring, E/R be an elliptic curve, and $N \ge 1$ be an integer. Let \mathscr{P} be the moduli problem $\mathscr{P}_{E[N]}(N)$ over \mathbf{Ell}_R . The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{P} is quasi-finite,
- (ii) \mathcal{P} is flat,
- (iii) every specialization of E in characteristic dividing N is ordinary.

Proof. – If (iii) holds, then E[N] is an ordinary group scheme over R killed by N^2 . This implies (i) and (ii) by Proposition 4.8.12. To prove that (i) implies (iii), it is enough to do so when Eis an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \mid N$. Then $\mathscr{P}(E/k) \simeq \prod_{q \mid N} \operatorname{Aut}(E[q^{v_q(N)}])$ is finite. Therefore $E[p^{v_p(N)}]$ has finitely many automorphisms, so E is ordinary by Proposition 4.8.4.

Now, we prove that (ii) implies (iii). We may again assume that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \mid N$. Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer coprime to N (thus to p). By Corollary 1.3.5 and Proposition 1.3.3, the j-invariant $j : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}_G(N), [\Gamma(m)_k])(k) \to \mathbb{A}^1(k)$ is flat, so its image is infinite. In particular, there exists an ordinary elliptic curve F/k such that $F[N] \simeq E[N]$, hence $F[p] \simeq E[p]$. Therefore E[p] has a non-trivial k-point, hence E is ordinary by [42, Proposition 12.3.6]. **Proposition 4.8.14** Let G be an ordinary group scheme over a ring R, killed by N and of degree N^2 . Let $m \ge 1$ be a divisor of N: then G[m] is an ordinary group scheme over R of degree m^2 . For any R-scheme S and any elliptic curve E/S, the forgetful map $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S} \to \mathscr{P}_{G[m]}(m)_{E/S}$ sending an isomorphism $\iota : G_T \to E_T[N]$ to $\iota[m] : G[m]_T \to E_T[m]$ is affine and flat of finite presentation. The complement of its image consists exactly of the points above the $s \in S$ with residue characteristic $p \mid N$ not dividing m such that $E_{\kappa(s)}$ is not ordinary.

Proof. – The first part of the claim is contained in Proposition 4.8.10.

Note that the claim is Zariski-local over R, so we may assume that there is an integer $d \ge 3$ coprime to N which is invertible in R.

Let S be a R-scheme and E/S be an elliptic curve. By Propositions 4.1.6 and 4.8.12, the structure maps of the S-schemes $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{G[m]}(m)_{E/S}$ are affine of finite presentation, flat and quasi-finite. To prove that the forgetful map is flat, by the fibre criterion [33, Corollaire 11.3.11], it is enough to show that claim when S is the spectrum of a field k of characteristic p. By fpqc descent, we may assume that k is algebraically closed.

There are four cases to study:

- if N is invertible in k,
- if N vanishes in k and E is ordinary,
- if N vanishes in k, m does not, and E is supersingular,
- if m vanishes in k and E is supersingular.

If N is invertible in k, then $G[N] \simeq E[N] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$, whence $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S} \to \mathscr{P}_G(m)_{E/S}$ is the morphism of constant étale k-schemes $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ induced by the reduction modulo m, which is well-known to be surjective, and it is clearly étale as well. We now assume that p is prime and $p \mid N$, so that N vanishes in k.

If E is supersingular, then $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/k}$ is empty (thus it is flat over $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/k}$), because otherwise $E[p] \simeq G_k[p] \simeq \mu_p \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, so E[p] has a nontrivial k-point, a contradiction. Similarly, if furthermore m vanishes in k, then $\mathscr{P}_{G[m]}(m)_{E/k}$ is empty. On the other hand, if m does not vanish in k, then m is prime to p, so $E[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} \simeq \mu_m \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \simeq G_k[m]$, so $\mathscr{P}_G(m)_{E/k}$ is non-empty.

If E is ordinary, then G_k and E[N] are isomorphic to $\mu_N \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Write $N = N'p^r$ and $m = m'p^s$, where $N', m' \ge 1$ are prime to p and $r \ge s \ge 0$ are integers. By Corollary 4.8.3, $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/k} \to \mathscr{P}_{G[m]}(m)_{E/k}$ corresponds to the morphism of schemes

$$\underline{\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z})} \times \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^{\times} & \mu_{p^r} \\ 0 & (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \end{pmatrix} \to \underline{\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m'\mathbb{Z})} \times \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^{\times} & \mu_{p^s} \\ 0 & (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the reduction modulo m homomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \to (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ is surjective, it follows that the map is faithfully flat, so we are done.

Proposition 4.8.15 Let us keep the assumptions of Proposition 4.8.12, let S be a R-scheme and E/S be an elliptic curve. Then the S-scheme $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S}$ is finite if and only if the closed subspace of S corresponding to points of residue characteristic dividing N is open, and the closed subspace of S corresponding to points of residue characteristic dividing N at which E is supersingular is open.

Proof. – Recall that the morphism $\gamma : \mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S} \to S$ is affine and finitely presented by Proposition 4.1.6, and that it is quasi-finite flat by Proposition 4.8.12, so its image is an open subscheme S' of S by [32, Théorème 2.4.6].

Assume that γ is finite. Then, by [2, Lemma 01WM], S' is closed in S. By Proposition 4.8.14, the complement of S' in S is exactly the closed subspace of S consisting of the points of residue characteristic dividing N at which E is supersingular, so this subspace is open in S.

Let $U \subset S'$ be an affine open subscheme. By base change, $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E_U/U} \to U$ is also finite, and the U-group schemes G_U and $E_U[N]$ are ordinary. Then, by Corollary 4.8.11, Zariski-locally on U, N is either nilpotent or invertible. This implies that the condition is necessary.

Conversely, let us show that the condition is sufficient. Because the finiteness of γ can be treated Zariski-locally over S, we may assume that we are in one of the following three cases:

- S is affine, N is nilpotent over S and every specialization of E is supersingular,
- S is affine, N is nilpotent over S and every specialization of E is ordinary,
- S is affine and N is invertible over S.

In the first case, $\mathscr{P}_G(N)_{E/S}$ is empty, so γ is finite. In the second and third cases, G and E[N] are ordinary S-group schemes of degree N^2 , so the finiteness of γ follows from Corollary 4.8.11.

Remark 4.8.16 It would be tempting to use the above results to consider the geometry of the compactification $X_G(N)$ of $Y_G(N)$ when G is ordinary (in the sense of the previous Proposition) over a regular excellent Noetherian domain R of characteristic zero where N is not invertible. However, this is not straightforward, since the methods of [42, Chapter 8] apply to *finite* moduli problems. The issue is that the *j*-invariant does not define a finite map $Y_G(N) \to \mathbb{A}^1$. We could of course ignore this issue, since the *j*-invariant is at least quasi-finite, and simply consider the normalization $X_G(N)$ of the *j*-invariant $Y_G(N) \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$, but this only highlights the further difficulties that we are facing.

Assume for instance that R is a Jacobson Dedekind domain (say, the S-integers in a number field). If $X_G(N)$ is a normal scheme (which seems reasonable, as the normalization of a morphism of smooth R-schemes), then it is Cohen-Macaulay and every nonempty open subscheme has dimension two, so that $X_G(N) \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ is finite and flat, hence locally free of constant rank. But the rank of the *j*-invariant $Y_G(N)_{R/\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{R/\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$, is not a constant function of \mathfrak{p} , as can be deduced from the proof of Corollary 4.8.11. Therefore, the image of the map $Y_G(N) \to X_G(N)$ does not remain dense after certain base changes $R \to R/\mathfrak{p}$. This makes understanding the geometry of $X_G(N)$ more delicate, as there does not seem to be any clear moduli interpretation for the points of $X_G(N) \setminus Y_G(N)$ with finite ordinary *j*-invariant.

Another difficulty is that $X_G(N)$ contains *j*-invariants corresponding to supersingular elliptic curves in characteristic dividing N, which do not appear in $Y_G(N)$; understanding the normalization process at these points also seems a nontrivial question as well.

4.9 The curve $Y_{E[N]}(N)$ for a supersingular elliptic curve E

Proposition 4.9.1 Let k be a field of prime characteristic p and $r \ge 1$ be an integer. Let \mathscr{P} be an affine étale representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_k . Let G be a finite locally free k-group scheme such that $G_{\overline{k}}$ is isomorphic to the p^r -torsion subgroup scheme of some supersingular elliptic curve over \overline{k} . Then the map $f : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ factors through the reduced closed subscheme attached to the finite set of supersingular points of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$.

Proof. – Because \mathscr{P} is affine étale, $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is affine, smooth over k, and its j-invariant is quasifinite by Corollary 1.3.5, so by [42, Lemma 12.5.4] it contains finitely many supersingular points, all of which are closed. Moreover, by Propositions 4.1.6 and 4.7.8, $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r))$ is also smooth over k, hence reduced. So we only need to show that the set-theoretic image of f is contained in the supersingular points of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$.

Let E/\overline{k} be a supersingular elliptic curve such that $E[p^r] \simeq G_{\overline{k}}$. If $P \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r))(\overline{k})$, then P corresponds to an isomorphism $\iota : G_{\overline{k}} \to F[p^r]$ for some elliptic curve F/\overline{k} . Then $F[p] \simeq G_{\overline{k}} \simeq E[p]$ has no non-trivial k-point, so F is supersingular by [42, Proposition 12.3.6]. Hence the set-theoretic image of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ is contained in the supersingular locus.

Corollary 4.9.2 Consider the situation of Proposition 4.9.1 and let \mathscr{P} be represented by $(\mathscr{E}/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}), \alpha)$. Then the $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ -scheme $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r))$ is the disjoint reunion over all the
supersingular points $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathscr{P})$ of the schemes $I_x \to \operatorname{Spec} \kappa(x) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathscr{P})$, where I_x represents the functor $T \in \operatorname{Sch}_{\kappa(x)} \mapsto \operatorname{Isom}_{\operatorname{GpSch}_T}(G_T, (\mathcal{E}_{\kappa(x)})_T)$.

Proof. – Let $x \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})$ and $\mathscr{O} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}),x}$. By Proposition 4.9.1, the \mathscr{O} -scheme

 $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)) \times_{\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P})} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O}}$

is empty unless x is supersingular, in which case it factors through $\operatorname{Spec} \kappa(x) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$. The conclusion follows.

Corollary 4.9.3 Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and E be a supersingular elliptic curve. Let $r \ge 1$ be an integer. Then the group scheme F_r representing the functor $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k \mapsto \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(E[p^r]_T)$ is smooth. Moreover, the monomorphism from \mathbb{A}^1 into this group scheme defined in Proposition 4.8.4 is an open immersion onto the connected component of the identity, so that the quotient k-group scheme $\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus F_r$ is finite constant.

Proof. – Let $d \geq 3$ and $\mathscr{P} = [\Gamma(d)]_k$. By Proposition 4.7.8, $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_{E[p^r]}(p^r))$ is a smooth k-scheme of relative dimension one. It is also a disjoint union of copies of F_r (simply seen as a k-scheme) by Corollary 4.9.2. Thus F_r is smooth over k. Since $\mathbb{A}^1_k \to F_r$ is a closed immersion with open image (the connected component of the identity by Proposition 4.8.4) into a reduced scheme, it is an open immersion realizing an isomorphism from \mathbb{A}^1 to the connected component of unity in F_r . Therefore, the quotient k-group scheme $\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus F_r$ exists by [16, Exp. VI-A, Théorème 3.2], it is finite, and it is thus constant with underlying set $\pi_0(F_r)$.

Proposition 4.9.4 Let E be a supersingular elliptic curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. Then $\operatorname{End}(E)$ is a maximal order in the unique quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified at p and ∞ exactly, and $\mathcal{O} := \operatorname{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the maximal order in the division quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p , with unique two-sided maximal ideal \mathfrak{P} . Moreover, $\mathcal{O} \to \operatorname{End}(E[p^{\infty}])$ is an isomorphism.

Let $r \geq 1$ and F_r be the smooth k-group scheme representing the functor

$$T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_T}(E[p^r]_T).$$

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1 \to F_r$ be the open immersion of Proposition 4.8.4. For any $r \ge 1$, the natural map $(\mathcal{O}/p^r\mathcal{O})^{\times} \to \mathbb{A}^1(k) \setminus F_r(k)$ is surjective with kernel $(1 + p^{r-1}\mathfrak{P})$.

Proof. – The ring $\mathcal{O} = \text{End}(E)$ is a maximal order in a definite quaternion algebra B over \mathbb{Q} by [63, Proposition 42.1.6, Theorem 42.1.9], and $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a maximal order, which is a step in the proof of *loc.cit*.. We use freely the basic arithmetic properties of $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ given in [63, §13.1].

Note also that the obvious map $\mathcal{O} \to \operatorname{End}(E[p^{\infty}])$ is an injective homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ modules of rank four, which also preserves the (non commutative) product. If we can show that $\operatorname{End}(E[p^{\infty}])$ is isomorphic to an order of $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ as a (non-commutative) \mathbb{Z}_{p} -algebra, we will be done, since \mathcal{O} is equal to the set of elements in $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ that are integral over \mathbb{Z}_{p} (see [63, §13.1]).

Note also that because \mathcal{O} is a finite free \mathbb{Z}_p -module, a \mathbb{Z}_p -endomorphism of \mathcal{O} is an isomorphism if and only if it is injective (or surjective) modulo p. As a consequence, the group homomorphism $\mathcal{O}^{\times}/(1 + p^r \mathcal{O}) \to (\mathcal{O}/p^r)^{\times}$ is well-defined and bijective for every $r \geq 1$.

Our tool is Dieudonné theory as in [47]. Let W be the discrete valuation ring of Witt vectors of k with Frobenius automorphism φ , and let D(-) denote the contravariant Dieudonné module. Then $D(E[p^r])$ (resp. $D(\alpha_p)$) is a free (W/p^r) -module of rank two (resp. a free W/p-module of rank one). The Frobenius F and Verschiebung V vanish on $D(\alpha_p)$ (see [62, Remark 3 on Theorem 2]).

Moreover, by [47, §2.4, Cor. 1 to Lemma 3.9, Theorem 4.1], $D(E[p^{\infty}])$ is isomorphic to W[F,V]/(FV-p,VF-p,F-V)W[F,V], so that $D(E[p^{\infty}]) = W \cdot (Fe_1) \oplus W \cdot e_1$, where

 $Ve_1 = Fe_1$. As a consequence, one has

$$F(x \cdot (Fe_1) + ye_1) = \varphi(x)F(V(e_1)) + \varphi(y) \cdot Fe_1 = \varphi(y) \cdot Fe_1 + p\varphi(x) \cdot e_1,$$

$$V(x \cdot (Fe_1) + ye_1) = \varphi^{-1}(x)V(F(e_1)) + \varphi^{-1}(y) \cdot V(e_1) = \varphi^{-1}(y) \cdot Fe_1 + p\varphi^{-1}(x)e_1.$$

It follows that $D(E[p^r]) \simeq D(E[p^{\infty}])/(p^r)$, which gives us a complete description of this Dieudonné module. In particular, as rings, one has $\operatorname{End}(E[p^r]) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{W,F,V}(D(E[p^r]))^{op}$.

A direct calculation shows that, for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\operatorname{End}_{W,F,V}(D(E[p^r]))$ is given in the (W/p^r) basis (Fe_1, e_1) by the collection of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(b) & a \\ p\varphi(a) & b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2(W/p^r)$ such that $\varphi(b) = \varphi^{-1}(b)$ and $p(\varphi(a) - \varphi^{-1}(a)) = 0$, and this identification is compatible with the image under the Dieudonné correspondence of the inclusion $E[p^r] \to E[p^{r'}]$ for $r \leq r'$ (which is a morphism $D(E[p^r]) \to D(E[p^r]) \simeq D(E[p^r])/(p^r)).$

In particular, for $r = \infty$, a, b lie in the ring of integers \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} of the quadratic unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and it follows that $\operatorname{End}(E[p^{\infty}])$ is a finite free (non-commutative) \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra of rank 4 which admits an embedding in $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ by the description of [63, Corollary 13.3.14]. This implies (as discussed above) that $\mathcal{O} \to \operatorname{End}(E[p^{\infty}])$ is an isomorphism.

For any $s \ge 1$, let U be the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear map $t \in W \mapsto \varphi^s(t) - t \in W$. If U(t) = pu for some $t, u \in W$ such that $t \notin pW$, then the image of t in k is a $(p^s - 1)$ -th root of unity, so we can write $t = pt_1 + t_0$, where t_0 is the Teichmüller lift of the image of t in k (which is fixed by φ^s), and it follows that $U(t) = U(pt_1)$. In other words, $\operatorname{im}(U) \cap pW = U(pW)$, whence U has torsion-free cokernel. As a consequence, the kernel of $U \pmod{p^r} : W/p^rW \to W/p^rW$ is exactly $(\ker U)/p^r$.

This implies that, for any integer $r \geq 1$, in the W/p^r -basis (Fe_1, e_1) , $\operatorname{End}_{W,F,V}(D(E[p^r]))$ is given by the collection of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(b) & a \\ p\varphi(a) & b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2(W/p^r)$ such that $a \in W/p^r$ and $b, pa \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}/(p^r)$; in other words, the condition on a is that $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} + p^{r-1}W)/p^rW$.

Now, the tangent spaces of α_p and $E[p^r]$ at 0 both have dimension at most one (because they are closed subschemes of E, which is smooth of dimension one), and that of α_p is nonzero by direct calculation. The closed immersion $i: \alpha_p \to E[p^r]$ induces an injective linear map L on the tangent spaces at 0, whence L is an isomorphism and so is $D(i): D(E[p^r])/F \cdot D(E[p^r]) \to D(\alpha_p)$ by [9, 1.4.3.2 Theorem]. We also denote by $e_1 \in D(\alpha_p)$ the image of $e_1 \in D(E[p^r])$ by D(i), it is a k-basis of α_p . This argument also implies that the fppf map $\pi: E[p^r] \to \alpha_p$ vanishes on tangent spaces at 0, so $D(\pi)$ is an injection $D(\alpha_p) \to F \cdot D(E[p^r])$.

Thus $D(\pi)(e_1)$ is a nonzero vector in $D(E[p^r])$ killed by p, V, F, so it is of the form $c \cdot p^{r-1} \cdot Fe_1$ with $c \in W \setminus pW$. In other words, the automorphisms of $E[p^r]$ coming from the action of \mathbb{A}^1 are given, in the basis (Fe_1, e_1) of the Dieudonné module, by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^{r-1}x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2(W/p^r)$ for $x \in W/p$.

Given the explicit description of $\operatorname{End}(E[p^{\infty}])^{op}$ in the basis (Fe_1, e_1) of the Dieudonné module, we see that its unique maximal two-sided ideal \mathfrak{P} is precisely $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so taking the quotient by the automorphisms of torsion coming from \mathbb{A}^1 amounts to taking the quotient by the subgroup $1 + p^{r-1}\mathfrak{P}$.

Corollary 4.9.5 Let k be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic p and $r \ge 1$ be an integer. Let G be the p^r -torsion subgroup scheme of a supersingular elliptic curve over k. Fix a finite set I and, for each $i \in I$, a supersingular elliptic curve E_i/k representing every isomorphism class of supersingular elliptic curve over k exactly once and, for each i, an isomorphism $u_i: G \to E_i[p^r]$. For each $i \in I$, let $\mathcal{O}_i = \operatorname{End}(E_i)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_i = \mathcal{O}_i \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, with maximal ideal \mathfrak{P}_i .

Let \mathscr{P} be an affine étale relatively representable moduli problem on \mathbf{Ell}_k , representable if $p^r = 2$. Then:

- $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r))_k$ is a disjoint union of finitely many copies of \mathbb{A}^1_k ,
- every connected component of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r))_k$ contains a point of the form $(E_i, \alpha, \gamma_i^j \circ u_i)$, where $i \in I$, $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E_i/k)$, and the γ_i^j (for varying j) are a set of representatives for $(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_i)^{\times}/(1+p^{r-1}\mathfrak{P}_i)$,
- two points $(E_i, \alpha, \gamma_i^j \circ u_i)$ and $(E_{i'}, \alpha', \gamma_{i'}^{j'} \circ u_{i'})$ lie on the same connected component if and only if i = i' and there is an automorphism t of E_i such that $(\mathscr{P}t)(\alpha') = \alpha$ and $t \circ \gamma_{i'}^{j'} \equiv \gamma_i^j$ (mod $1 + p^{r-1}\mathfrak{P}$).

Assume furthermore that $p^r \geq 3$ and fix, for each $i \in I$, representatives $\beta_i^s \in (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_i)^{\times}$ for the elements of the double coset $\mathcal{O}_i^{\times} \setminus (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_i)^{\times} / (1 + p^{r-1} \mathfrak{P}_i)$. Then the points $(E_i, \alpha, \beta_i^j \circ u_i)$ (where $i \in I$, $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(E_i/k)$ and j runs through the possibly) all lie on pairwise distinct connected components of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, P_G(p^r))$, and every connected component of this scheme contains such a point.

Proof. – Let us first show the following elementary lemma: let $f : \mathbb{A}_k^1 \to X$ be a finite étale morphism of connected k-schemes, then f is an isomorphism. Indeed, X is a regular connected scheme of dimension one over k by [2, Lemma 036U], so admits a smooth compactification \overline{X} by [2, Theorem 0BY1], and f extends to a separable finite morphism $\overline{f} : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \to \overline{X}$ by [2, Theorem 0BY1, Lemma 0C1C]. Applying Hurwitz's formula [2, Lemma 0C1D], we see that $2g(\overline{X}) - 2 < 0$, so \overline{X} has genus 0 and is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 by [2, Proposition 06CU]. Since \overline{f} is surjective, one has $\{f(\infty)\} \supset \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus X$, so X is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 or to \mathbb{A}_k^1 . In the former case, f is finite so \mathbb{A}_k^1 is proper over k, a contradiction, so we may assume that $X = \mathbb{A}_k^1$, and f is given by a polynomial $g(t) \in k[t]$. Because f is étale, g' has no root, so it is constant: therefore g has degree one and f is an isomorphism.

This has the following consequence: if $f: X \to Y$ is a finite étale morphism of k-schemes and X is a disjoint reunion of copies of \mathbb{A}^1_k , then so is Y, and f is given by the following: for every connected component $C \subset X$, if $D \in \pi_0(Y)$ denotes the image of C under f, then $f: C \to D$ is an isomorphism.

Now, let us get back to the question at hand. The facts that we use about supersingular elliptic curves are recalled in Proposition 4.9.4. The moduli problem $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)$ is representable by Propositions 1.2.1 and 4.1.6. Moreover, when \mathscr{P} is representable, the first part of the claim follows from Corollary 4.9.2 and Propositions 4.9.3 and 4.9.4.

Now assume in addition to the representability of \mathscr{P} that $p^r \geq 3$. We thus need to show that any $(E_i, \alpha, \gamma_i^j \circ u_i)$ lies in the same connected component as a unique element of the form $(E_{i'}, \alpha', \beta_{i'}^{s} \circ u_{i'})$ for which i' = i.

Let's start with existence. By definition, we can write $\gamma_i^j = t\beta_i^s z$ with $z \in 1 + p^{r-1}\mathfrak{P}_i$ and $t \in \operatorname{Aut}(E_i) = \mathcal{O}_i^{\times}$. Then $(E_i, \alpha, \gamma_i^j \circ u_i)$ lies in the same connected component as

$$(E_i, \alpha, \gamma_i^j z^{-1} \circ u_i) = (E_i, \alpha, t \circ \beta_i^s \circ u_i) \simeq_t (E_i, (\mathscr{P}t)\alpha, t^{-1} \circ t\beta_i^s \circ u_i) = (E_i, (\mathscr{P}t)\alpha, \beta_i^s \circ u_i),$$

whence the conclusion.

For uniqueness, since the set-theoretic image of the *j*-invariant is a finite set of closed *k*-points, two *k*-points lying in the same connected component have the same *j*-invariant so correspond to isomorphic elliptic curves. So we are reduced to proving that, given $i \in I$, $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathscr{P}(E_i/k)$, $\beta_i^s, \beta_i^{s'}$, if the points the points $(E_i, \alpha, \beta_i^s \circ u_i)$ and $(E_i, \alpha', \beta_i^{s'} \circ u_i)$ lie on the same connected component, then $\beta_i^s = \beta_i^{s'}$ and $\alpha = \alpha'$. By Corollary 4.9.2, the couples (E_i, α) and (E_i, α') are isomorphic: for some unique $t \in \mathcal{O}_i^{\times}$ (since \mathscr{P} is rigid), one has $\alpha = (\mathscr{P}t)\alpha'$, and the same Corollary then implies that the *k*-points $\beta_i^s \circ u_i$ and $t^{-1}\beta_i^{s'} \circ u_i$ lie on the same connected component of the scheme representing functor parametrizing the group scheme homomorphisms $G \to E_i[p^r]$.

Therefore, β_i^s and $t^{-1}\beta_i^{s'}$ lie on the same connected component of the automorphism scheme of $E_i[p^r]$. By Proposition 4.9.4, $\beta_i^s \in t^{-1}\beta_i^{s'}(1+p^{r-1}\mathfrak{P}_i)$, whence by construction of these elements one has $\beta_i^s = \beta_i^{s'}$, and we are done.

Let us now only assume that $p^r \geq 3$ and that \mathscr{P} is relatively representable. Let $\ell \neq p$ be an odd prime and consider the finite étale surjective Galois (with group $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$) morphism $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_k, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r))$. Since the moduli problem $[\Gamma(\ell)]_k \times \mathscr{P}$ is representable, the source of the morphism is a disjoint reunion of copies of \mathbb{A}^1_k : by our lemma, so is its target, and furthermore $\pi_0(\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)))$ is the quotient of $\pi_0(\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_k, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)))$ by the action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

The connected components of $\mathscr{M}([\Gamma(\ell)]_k, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r))$ are represented by the finite collection of points $(E_i, \alpha, \sigma, \beta_i^s \circ u_i)$ (where the notations are as above and $\sigma \in [\Gamma(\ell)]_k(E/k)$) on which $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ acts freely, so we can simply take the quotient (which amounts to forgetting σ), whence the conclusion.

Corollary 4.9.6 Let k be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic p and $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Let \mathscr{P} be an affine étale relatively representable moduli problem over \mathbf{Ell}_k , which is representable if $p^r = 4$. Let G be a finite k-group scheme which is isomorphic to the p^r torsion subgroup scheme of any supersingular elliptic curve E/k. The (set-theoretic) image of the forgetful map $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^r)) \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^{r-1}))$ is finite and meets all the connected components of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_{G[p^{r-1}]}(p^{r-1}))$.

Proof. – The description of Corollary 4.9.5 implies that the forgetful map hits every connected component of $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_G(p^{r-1}))$. To check that the image is finite, it is enough to check that, for any supersingular elliptic curve E/k, only finitely many isomorphisms $G[p^{r-1}] \to E[p^{r-1}]$ come from isomorphisms $G \to E[p^r]$. Since $G \simeq E[p^r]$, it is enough to check that the group homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(E[p^r]) \to \operatorname{Aut}(E[p^{r-1}])$ has finite image. This follows from Proposition 4.8.4.

Remark 4.9.7 Let W be a regular excellent complete Noetherian local ring of characteristic zero with algebraically closed residue field k of characteristic p. Let \mathcal{E}/W be an elliptic curve with supersingular special fibre. It is natural to ask how to define a reasonable compactification $X_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)$ of $Y_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)$ over W. The construction of [42, Chapter 8], consisting in taking the normalization Y^{ν} in \mathbb{P}^1_W , is unsatisfactory for the following reason: the relative normalization Y^{ν} of \mathbb{P}^1_W in $Y_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)$ is integral over \mathbb{P}^1_W , so has discrete fibres. Therefore, the positive-dimensional fibres of $j: Y_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)_k \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ must be mapped to a finite set of points of Y_k^{ν} : the map $Y_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)_k \to Y^{\nu}$ factors through a closed subscheme of Y^{ν} with finite support, which we precisely want to avoid.

Suppose that a suitable compactification $X_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)$ exists with W of characteristic zero (for instance, assume that $X_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)$ contains a dense open subscheme Y' finite over $Y_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)$), the *j*-invariant $X_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p) \to \mathbb{P}^1_W$ is between proper W-schemes, so has closed image; then its image contains the generic fibre of \mathbb{P}^1_W (possibly deprived of finitely many points), so it contains its closure, whence $X_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)_k \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is proper surjective between k-schemes of pure dimension one. Now, $X_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)_k$ contains as an open subscheme Y'_k , whose image under the *j*-invariant is contained in the finitely many supersingular invariants. Thus, $X_{\mathcal{E}[p]}(p)_k$ has to contain irreducible components of dimension one that project onto \mathbb{P}^1_k , and thus only meet Y'_k at finitely many points.

There does not seem to be a clear moduli interpretation in the context of isomorphisms of torsion subschemes of elliptic curves for such hypothetical components. However, one possibility suggested by the approach of the book [42] is to replace the somewhat naïve notion of isomorphism of group schemes by something else, possibly closer to a Drinfeld level structure.

5 Galois twists of modular curves

In this section, we fix an integer $N \geq 3$ and a field k of characteristic not dividing N with separable closure k_s . We also fix a left representation $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

5.1 $Y_{\rho}(N)$ and its rational points

Definition 5.1.1 The group $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ acts on the following diagram of quasi-projective k-schemes (and trivially on the second row)



Twisting by ρ (in the sense of Propositions A.2.4 and A.2.6) thus gives a diagram of quasiprojective k-schemes:

$$Y_{\rho}(N)_{k} \xrightarrow{\text{in}} X_{\rho}(N) \xleftarrow{\text{cl}} C_{N,\rho}$$
$$\downarrow^{j} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\overline{j}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$
$$\mathbb{A}^{1}_{k} \xrightarrow{\text{in}} \mathbb{P}^{1}_{k} \xleftarrow{\infty} \operatorname{Spec} k$$

Moreover, the first cell is a Cartesian diagram, j, \bar{j} are finite flat of constant rank, and $C_{N,\rho}$ is finite étale over k. Moreover, $X_{\rho}(N)$ is smooth over Spec k.

Proof. – This follows from Propositions A.2.4 and A.2.6, as well as the properties of X(N)discussed in the previous section, and the fact that finiteness, flatness, étaleness, smoothness descend along fpqc covers by Proposition A.1.5.

Lemma 5.1.2 Let E/k be an elliptic curve and $P, Q \in E[N](k_s)$ be such that $E[N](k_s)$ is free over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ with basis (P,Q). For every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$, define $D(\sigma) \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ such that $D(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(P) \\ \sigma(Q) \end{pmatrix}$. Then $D(\sigma\sigma') = D(\sigma')D(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$. Proof. - Simple computation.

From this result, we obtain a description of the rational points of $Y_{\rho}(N)$.

Proposition 5.1.3 For any subextension L of k_s/k , $Y_o(N)(L)$ is in natural bijection with the L-isomorphism classes of triples (E, P, Q) satisfying the following properties:

- E is an elliptic curve defined over L,
- $P, Q \in E[N](k_s)$ and $E[N](k_s)$ is free over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ with basis (P, Q), For all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$, $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(P) \\ \sigma(Q) \end{pmatrix} = \rho^{-1}(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}$.

If $x \in Y_{\rho}(N)(L)$ is given by the triple (E, P, Q), then $j(x) \in \mathbb{P}^1(L)$ is the *j*-invariant of E.

Proof. – Let $k \subset L \subset k_s$ be a subextension. Then, by [42, (4.3.1)], $Y_{\rho}(N)(L)$ is in bijection with the triples (E, P, Q), where E is an elliptic curve over k_s , and (P, Q) is a basis of $E[N](k_s)$ such that, for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/L)$, the triples (E, P, Q) and $(\sigma(E), a\sigma(P) + b\sigma(Q), c\sigma(P) + d\sigma(Q))$, where $\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, are isomorphic over $\overline{k_s}$. In particular, $j(E) \in k_s$ is fixed by G_L , hence we

can assume that E is defined over L, and that, for all $\sigma \in G_L$ with $\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, there is a k_s -automorphism t_σ of E_{k_s} mapping (P,Q) to $(a\sigma(P) + b\sigma(Q), c\sigma(P) + d\sigma(Q))$.

We claim that $\sigma \mapsto t_{\sigma}^{-1}$ satisfies the cocycle property of Proposition A.2.4. Since the identity to check is the equality of two k_s -automorphisms of E_{k_s} , it is enough, by rigidity, to check that it holds on $E[N](k_s)$, and hence on P and Q. Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$ and

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \rho(\sigma') = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}.$$
 Then

$$t_{\sigma\sigma'}(P) = (aa' + bc')\sigma(\sigma'(P)) + (ab' + bd')\sigma(\sigma'(Q))$$

$$= a\sigma(a'\sigma'(P) + b'\sigma'(Q)) + b\sigma(c'\sigma'(P) + d'\sigma'(Q)) = a\sigma(t_{\sigma'}(P)) + b\sigma(t_{\sigma'}(Q))$$

$$= \sigma \circ t_{\sigma'} \circ \sigma^{-1}(a\sigma(P) + b\sigma(Q)) = \sigma t_{\sigma'}\sigma^{-1}t_{\sigma}(P),$$

and similarly for Q, hence the cocycle property holds.

Let $E' = E_{t^{-1}}$ be the twisted elliptic curve over L (it is a smooth geometrically connected curve of genus one over L, and the origin of E is fixed under every t_{σ} so defines a L-rational point of E' as well): we still have $P, Q \in E'[N](k_s)$, and (E', P, Q) corresponds to the same point of $Y(N)(k_s)$ as (E, P, Q). Let now $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$, and write $\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \rho(\sigma)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$. Then one has

$$\sigma_{E'}(P) = t_{\sigma}^{-1} \sigma_E(P) = t_{\sigma}^{-1} (a'(a\sigma(P) + b\sigma(Q)) + b'(c\sigma(P) + d\sigma(Q))) = a'P + b'Q,$$

hence $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{E'}(P) \\ \sigma_{E'}(Q) \end{pmatrix} = \rho(\sigma)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}.$

In other words, we proved that every point in $Y_{\rho}(N)(L)$ came from a triple satisfying the required conditions. Conversely, it is clear that every such triple produces a k_s -rational point on $Y_{\rho}(N)$ stable under $\text{Gal}(k_s/L)$, hence a *L*-rational point.

To conclude, all we need to do is show that any two triples (E, P, Q), (E', P', Q') satisfying the requested conditions and representing the same point in $Y(N)(k_s)$ are *L*-isomorphic. Indeed, these triples are isomorphic over k_s by [42, (4.3.1)], so let $f : E_{k_s} \to E'_{k_s}$ be a k_s -isomorphism mapping (P, Q) to (P', Q'). The induced map $f[N] : E[N](k_s) \to E'[N](k_s)$ commutes to $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$, hence by rigidity the same holds for f, so that f is defined over L by Corollary A.2.3, and f is by fpqc descent an isomorphism.

The last statement is clear from the construction and the definition of Y_{ρ} .

Proposition 5.1.4 Let χ : Gal $(k_s/k) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a character, and let

$$\rho' = \chi \otimes \rho : \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}).$$

The natural k_s -isomorphism between the following two diagrams

coming from the definition of a Galois twist is defined over k.

Moreover, for any finite subextension $L \subset k_s$ of k, the induced map $Y_{\rho}(N)(L) \to Y_{\rho'}(N)(L)$ is described, through the description of Proposition 5.1.3, by the rule $(E, P, Q) \mapsto (E \otimes \chi, P, Q)$, where $E \otimes \chi$ is the quadratic twist of E by $\chi_{|Gal(k_s/L)}$.

Proof. – By Corollary A.2.3, since it involves quasi-compact k-schemes, the isomorphism is defined over k as long as it commutes to $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$. Since $X_{\rho}(N), X_{\rho'}(N)$ are proper smooth over k, it is enough to check that the induced bijection $Y_{\rho}(N)(k_s) \to Y_{\rho'}(N)(k_s)$ commutes with the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$.

Now, given $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ and an elliptic curve E/k_s with a basis (P,Q) of E[N], let $x \in Y_{\rho}(N)(k_s), x' \in Y_{\rho'}(N)(k_s)$ be the geometric points attached to the triple (E, P, Q). If

 $\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then one has

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma(E), a\sigma(P) + b\sigma(Q), c\sigma(P) + d\sigma(Q)),$$

$$\sigma(x') = (\sigma(E), \chi(\sigma)(a\sigma(P) + b\sigma(Q)), \chi(\sigma)(c\sigma(P) + d\sigma(Q))),$$

The two triples are isomorphic (by the automorphism $\chi(\sigma)$ of $\sigma(E)$) and therefore equal as geometric points of $Y_o(N)$.

Let now L/k be a subextension of k_s/k and (E, P, Q) be a triple as in Proposition 5.1.3 parametrizing some L-point of $Y_{\rho}(N)$. Then its image in $Y_{\rho'}(N)(L)$ is parametrized by a triple (E', P', Q') which satisfies the conditions of Proposition 5.1.3 (but for the left representation ρ') and is isomorphic to (E, P, Q) over k_s . This Proposition shows that (E', P', Q') is uniquely determined up to L-isomorphism. It is enough to check that $(E \otimes \chi, P, Q)$ satisfies the requested conditions.

5.2Comparison with $X_G(N)$

The following result is well-known, and follows for instance from [35, Exp. V, Théorème 4.1, §7, Proposition 8.1].

Proposition 5.2.1 Let k be a field with separable closure k_s . The functor $G \mapsto G(k_s)$, from finite étale commutative group schemes over k to finite discrete $Gal(k_s/k)$ -modules, is an equivalence of categories.

Corollary 5.2.2 Let k be a field with separable closure k_s and N a positive integer invertible in k. Let G be a N-torsion group over k. Fix a basis (A, B) of $G(k_s)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and define, for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k), \ D_G(\sigma) \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ by $D_G(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(A) \\ \sigma(B) \end{pmatrix}$, so that $\sigma \longmapsto D_G(\sigma)$ is a group anti-homomorphism.

Let E be an elliptic curve over k. Let $\mathscr{B}_{D_G}(E)$ denote the collection of bases (P,Q) of $E[N](k_s)$ such that for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$, one has $D_G(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(P) \\ \sigma(Q) \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\varphi \in \operatorname{Iso}_{\mathbf{GpSch}_k}(G, E[N]) \mapsto (\varphi(A), \varphi(B)) \in \mathscr{B}_{D_G}(E)$$

is a bijection.

Proposition 5.2.3 Let $N \geq 3, m_0, m_1 \geq 1$ be integers such that m_0, m_1, N are pairwise coprime, m_0 is square-free and m_1N is invertible in k, and let $m = m_0m_1$. Let $X_{\rho}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ denote the twist of $X(N, \Gamma_0(m))_k$ by ρ (since $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $X(N, \Gamma_0(m))$).

Then, the j-invariant, the Atkin-Lehner automorphisms and the degeneracy maps (from Proposition 4.4.7) can be twisted to finite locally free morphisms between the $X_{\rho}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ (or with target \mathbb{P}^1_k) satisfying the same relations as Proposition 4.4.7. In particular, the *j*-invariant $X_{\rho}(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is well-defined and $X_{\rho}(N,\Gamma_0(m))$ is smooth over k at every point, except, when m_0 vanishes in k, the points whose *j*-invariant is supersingular.

For every subextension L/k of k_s/k , the points $x \in X_o(N, \Gamma_0(m))(L)$ with $j(x) \in \mathbb{A}^1_k(L)$ identify with the L-isomorphism classes of quadruples (E, P, Q, C) such that:

- E is an elliptic curve over L and $P, Q \in E(k_s)$ form a basis of $E[N](k_s)$,
- C is a cyclic subgroup of E of degree m, For all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$, $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(P) \\ \sigma(Q) \end{pmatrix} = \rho^{-1}(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}$.

Under this identification, the descriptions of the degeneracy maps (resp. the Atkin-Lehner automorphisms) in Proposition 2.1.7 (resp. Proposition 2.1.13) still apply.

Proof. – The $X(N, \Gamma_0(m))_k$ are proper k-schemes of dimension one hence projective [2, Lemma 0A26, and they have an action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ which commutes to the degeneracy maps and Atkin–Lehner involutions: the existence and regularity of $X_{\rho}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ and twisted degeneracy maps (as well as their relations) is a consequence of Propositions A.2.4, A.2.6, and the previous results on the $X(N, \Gamma_0(m))_k$.

To prove that we identified correctly the rational points of $X_{\rho}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ (as well as the claims about the behavior of the degeneracy maps and the Atkin–Lehner automorphisms), it is enough to show that every $(E, P, Q, C) \in Y_{\rho}(N, \Gamma_0(m))(k_s)$ fixed by $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$ is k_s -isomorphic to a unique quadruple (E', P', Q', C') (up to L-isomorphism) as in the statement of the Proposition. To this end, we argue as in Proposition 5.1.3.

Let L/k be a subextension of k_s/k . Let us call (E, P, Q, C) a good quadruple over L if the following conditions are satisfied:

- E is an elliptic curve over L and $P, Q \in E(k_s)$ form a basis of $E[N](k_s)$,
- C is a cyclic subgroup of E of degree m, For all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/L)$, $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(P) \\ \sigma(Q) \end{pmatrix} = \rho^{-1}(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}$.

In order to conclude the proof of the Proposition, we only need to show that every noncuspidal point $(E, P, Q, C) \in X_{\rho}(N, \Gamma_0(m))(k_s)$ stable under $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$ is represented by a unique L-isomorphism class of quadruple (E', P', Q', C') which is good for L. The argument mimics the proof of Proposition 5.1.3.

Let $(E_1, P_1, Q_1, C_1), (E_2, P_2, Q_2, C_2)$ be two good quadruples over L that are k_s -isomorphic. Then there is a k_s -isomorphism $\iota: (E_1)_{k_s} \to (E_2)_{k_s}$ mapping (P_1, Q_1, C_1) to (P_2, Q_2, C_2) . For any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$, the k_s -automorphism $\iota^{-1} \circ (\operatorname{id} \times \operatorname{Spec} \sigma^{-1}) \circ \iota \circ (\operatorname{id} \times \operatorname{Spec} \sigma)$ of $(E_1)_{k_s}$ agrees with the identity on $E_1[N]$ (by the assumptions on (P_1, Q_1) and (P_2, Q_2)), hence, by rigidity [42, Corollary 2.7.4], ι commutes with Gal (k_s/L) , hence ι is defined over L by Corollary A.2.3.

By Proposition 5.1.3, a non-cuspidal L-rational point with finite j-invariant on $X_{\rho}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ is given by a k_s -isomorphism class of (E, P, Q, C), where E/L is an elliptic curve, $P, Q \in E[N](k_s)$ form a basis of $E[N](k_s)$, one has $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(P) \\ \sigma(Q) \end{pmatrix} = \rho^{-1}(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}$ for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$, and $C \leq E_{k_s}$ is a cyclic subgroup of degree m.

Choose $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k_s)$ and let $\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$[(E, P, Q, C)] = \sigma([(E, P, Q, C)]) = [(E, a\sigma(P) + b\sigma(Q), c\sigma(P) + d\sigma(Q), \sigma(C))] = [(E, P, Q, \sigma(C))],$$

so there is a k_s -automorphism ι of E_{k_s} preserving (P,Q) and mapping C to $\sigma(C)$. By rigidity, ι is the identity, so $C = \sigma(C)$.

Hence, as a Weil divisor on E_{k_s} , C is invariant by $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L)$, so the Weil divisor attached to C comes from a Weil divisor on E_L (with degree m). Since E_L is a regular scheme, C comes from a Cartier divisor C_0 on E_L of degree m. Since $C = (C_0)_{k_s}$ is a subgroup scheme of E_{k_s} , Corollary A.2.3 implies that C_0 is a subgroup scheme of E of degree m. Since C is fppf-locally cyclic, it is cyclic over an algebraic closure of k: thus by approximation, C_0 is fppf-locally cyclic, whence (E, P, Q, C_0) is a good quadruple for L that represents (E, P, Q, C).

Proposition 5.2.4 Let G be a N-torsion group over k. Choose a basis (A, B) of $G(k_s)$ and define D_G as in Corollary 5.2.2, so that $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \longmapsto D_G(\sigma)^{-1} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is a group homomorphism. For each integer $m \geq 1$ coprime to N such that $m = m_0 m_1$ with $m_0, m_1 \geq 1$ pairwise coprime, m_0 square-free, m_1 invertible in k, there is a natural isomorphism $\iota_m : X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)) \to X_{D_G^{-1}}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$ of projective k-schemes. Moreover,

- This isomorphism preserves the *j*-invariant,

- This family of isomorphims commutes with the Atkin–Lehner automorphisms and all the degeneracy maps,
- Under this isomorphism, if L/k is a subextension of k_s/k and E is an elliptic curve over L, endowed with a cyclic subgroup C of order m and an isomorphism $\varphi : G_L \to E[N]$, the image under ι_m of the \overline{k} -point (E, φ, C) is the \overline{k} -point $(E, (\varphi(A), \varphi(B)), C)$ of $Y_{D_C^{-1}}(N, \Gamma_0(m))$.

Proof. – Since (A, B) is a $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of $G(k_s)$, the morphism of $\mathbb{A}^1_{k_s}$ -schemes (where the structure map is the *j*-invariant) $\tau_m : Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))_{k_s} \to Y(N, \Gamma_0(m))_{k_s}$ defined by

$$(E/S, \varphi, C) \longmapsto (E/S, \varphi(A), \varphi(B), C)$$

for any k_s -scheme S is an isomorphism. Moreover, τ_m is compatible with the Atkin–Lehner automorphisms and the degeneracy maps. By Proposition 3.1.9, it extends to an isomorphism $\overline{\tau}_m : X_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))_{k_s} \to X(N, \Gamma_0(m))_{k_s}$ which is compatible with the Atkin–Lehner automorphisms and the degeneracy maps.

Let us prove that, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_G(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s & \xrightarrow{\tau_m} X(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s \\ & & & \downarrow^{(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} & & \downarrow^{D_G^{-1}(\sigma)\circ(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} \\ X_G(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s & \xrightarrow{\overline{\tau}_m} X(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s \end{array}$$

Then the uniqueness of the Galois twist will yield the conclusion. It is enough to check that the diagram commutes when restricted to $Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m))$, because of the properties of compactified moduli schemes (see Proposition 3.1.9).

In other words, given two k-morphisms $f: S \to Y_G(N, \Gamma_0(m)), g: S \to \operatorname{Spec} k_s$, we need to prove that $D_G^{-1}(\sigma) \circ \operatorname{pr}_1 \circ \tau_m(f, g) = \tau_m(f, \underline{\sigma}^{-1} \circ g)$. Let us write $\tau = \tau_m$ for the sake of brevity.

Suppose that f corresponds to a triple (E, φ, C) , where E is an elliptic curve over $S, C \leq E[m]$ a cyclic locally free subgroup scheme of E of degree m, and $\varphi : G_S \to E[N]$ is an isomorphism. Then the first projection p_1 of $\tau(f,g) \in Y(N,\Gamma_0(m))(S)$ corresponds to the triple $(E/S, (\varphi(g^*A), \varphi(g^*B)), C)$. Thus $D_G^{-1}(\sigma)[p_1] \in Y(N,\Gamma_0(m))(S)$ is the triple

$$\left(E/S,\varphi\left[g^*\left(\sigma^{-1}(A),\sigma^{-1}(B)\right)\right],C\right) = \left(E/S,\left[\varphi(A\circ\underline{\sigma}^{-1}\circ g),\varphi(B\circ\underline{\sigma}^{-1}\circ g)\right],C\right),$$

which is exactly the triple given by $\tau(f, \underline{\sigma}^{-1} \circ g)$, and we are done.

Proposition 5.2.5 Consider the situation and notations of Proposition 5.2.4. Since, above $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$, $(\mu_N)^{\times}$ is an étale torsor for the commutative group scheme $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ acts on $(\mu_N^{\times})_k$ by automorphisms of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ -torsors. Thus the twist $[(\mu_N^{\times})_k]_{(\det D_G)^{-1}}$ of $(\mu_N^{\times})_k$ by the group homomorphism $(\det D_G)^{-1}$: $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ is still an étale $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ -torsor over k. Moreover, there is a unique isomorphism $\eta : \operatorname{Pol}(G) \to [(\mu_N^{\times})_k]_{(\det D_G)^{-1}}$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ -torsors over k such that, for any $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pol}(G)(k_s)$, one has

$$\eta(\alpha) = \alpha(A, B) \in \mu_N^{\times}(k_s) \simeq \left[(\mu_N^{\times})_k \right]_{(\det D_G)^{-1}} (k_s).$$

Furthermore,

(i) the following diagram commutes, where the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms and the bottom line is made with constant k-schemes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_G(N,\Gamma_0(m)) & \stackrel{\iota_m}{\longrightarrow} (X(N,\Gamma_0(m))_k)_{D_G^{-1}} \\ & & \downarrow^{(\mathrm{We})}_{D_G^{-1}} \\ & & \downarrow^{(\mathrm{We})}_{D_G^{-1}} \\ & & \mathrm{Pol}(G) & \stackrel{\eta}{\longrightarrow} (\mu_N)_{(\det D_G)^{-1}} \end{array}$$

(ii) let $d, t \ge 1$ be divisors of m and $D_{d,t}$ be the degeneracy map from Proposition 4.4.7. The following diagram commutes:



Proof. – We can check by a direct calculation that the rule that is claimed to define η is an isomorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -modules between $\operatorname{Pol}(G)(k_s)$ and $(\mu_N)_{(\det D_G)^{-1}}(k_s)$. This indeed defines η by Proposition 5.2.1.

In order to check that the two diagrams commute, it is enough by fpqc descent to do so after the base change $k \to k_s$. For the diagram of (i), the two bottom schemes are disjoint reunions of finitely many copies of Spec k_s and all the morphisms are morphisms of k_s -schemes, so whether the diagram commutes is a question about connected components. By Lemma 4.2.11, it is enough to show that the diagram commutes after replacing the top row with

$$Y_{G_{k_s}}(N,\Gamma_0(m)) \stackrel{\iota_m}{\to} \left((Y(N,\Gamma_0(m))_k)_{D_G^{-1}} \right)_{k_s}$$

In this case, the conclusion follows from the definitions of ι_m , η , We and det.

For (ii), the bottom cell of the diagram commutes by a direct calculation on Galois-modules, the top cell by Proposition 5.2.4, the outer and inner cells by (i), and the leftmost cell by Proposition 4.4.9, and the conclusion follows since all horizontal arrows in the diagram are isomorphisms. \Box

Proposition 5.2.6 Consider the situation and notations of Proposition 5.2.5, and assume furthermore that G is polarized. Fix a surjective bilinear alternating pairing $\lambda : G \times_k G \to \mu_N$. Then $\lambda(A, B) \in \mu_N(k_s)$ defines a morphism $\zeta_k : \mathcal{O}_N \to k_s$. Then

- (i) det D_G is the cyclotomic character mod N,
- (ii) the k-scheme $[\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N \otimes k]_{(\det D_G)^{-1}}$ is the disjoint union of copies of $\operatorname{Spec} k$ indexed by Hom (\mathcal{O}_N, k_s) as follows: the Galois twist identifies $[\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N \otimes k]_{(\det D_G)^{-1}}(k_s)$ by definition with $(\mu_N^{\times})_k(k_s) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_N, k_s)$.
- (iii) the following diagram commutes:

Proof. – Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$ and write $D_G(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\sigma(\lambda(A,B)) = \lambda(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) = \lambda(aA + bB, cA + dB) = (ad - bc) \cdot \lambda(A, B)$$

= det $D_G \cdot \lambda(A, B)$.

Now, λ is surjective, so $\lambda(A, B)$ is a primitive N-th root of unity, which implies (i). This fact implies (ii) by a direct calculation on the Galois-module $[\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N \otimes k]_{(\det D_G)^{-1}}(k_s)$, by Proposition 5.2.1 (since $[\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_N \otimes k]_{(\det D_G)^{-1}}$ is a finite étale k-scheme). Finally, (iii) is also a direct calculation.

A Descent and Galois twists

A.1 Sheaves in the fpqc topology

Recall the following definition [2, Definition 022B]: let T be a scheme, and $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of flat scheme morphisms to T, with $\pi_i : T_i \to T$. This family is a *fpqc covering* if for every affine open subscheme $U \subset T$, there is a finite set F, a map $j : F \to I$, and affine open subsets $W_f \subset T_{j(f)}$ for each $f \in F$, such that $U = \bigcup_{f \in F} \pi_{j(f)}(W_f)$.

Proposition A.1.1 (see [2, Lemma 023Q]) Let S be a scheme, and T be a S-scheme. Then the functor $V \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \longmapsto \mathrm{Mor}_S(V,T)$ satisfies the sheaf property for fpqc covers.

Proof. – Given a scheme A, let F_A be the pre-sheaf $T \in \mathbf{Sch} \mapsto \mathrm{Mor}(T, A)$. By loc. cit., it satisfies the sheaf property for fpqc covers. The forgetful functor $\omega_S : \mathbf{Sch}_S \to \mathbf{Sch}$ maps an fpqc cover to an fpqc cover, so the presheaves $F'_T := F_T \circ \omega_T, F'_S := F_S \circ \omega_S$ on \mathbf{Sch}_S satisfy the sheaf property for fpqc covers. Let G be the sheaf on \mathbf{Sch}_S given by the following: for all S-schemes T, G(T) has a single element (and the restriction map is the trivial one). There are natural morphisms of sheaves $G \to F'_S$ (sending the point of G(V) to the structure map $V \to S$), $F'_T \to F'_S$ (composing with the structure map $T \to S$), and the functor that we are studying is exactly $V \longmapsto F'_T(V) \times_{F'_S(V)} G(V)$, which formally satisfies the sheaf property for fpqc covers. \Box

Proposition A.1.2 Let S be a scheme, and U, V be two S-schemes. The functor

$$T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \longmapsto \mathrm{Mor}_T(U_T, V_T)$$

satisfies the sheaf property for fpqc covers. The subfunctor mapping a S-scheme T to the set of T-isomorphisms $U_T \rightarrow V_T$ also satisfies the sheaf property for fpqc covers.

Proof. – The first functor is isomorphic to $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_S(U_T, V)$. It is formal to check that the functor $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \longmapsto U \times_S T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S$ preserves fpqc covers, and we are done.

For the second functor, it is clear from the above that it is separated – two sections that agree on a fpqc cover are equal. Let $\pi_i : T_i \to T$ for $i \in I$ be an fpqc cover, and $\alpha : U_T \to V_T$ be a *T*-morphism such that for each $i \in I$, $\alpha \times_T T_i : U_{T_i} \to V_{T_i}$ is an isomorphism; it is enough to prove that α is an isomorphism. This is exactly [2, Lemma 02L4] since the $V_{T_i} \to V_T$ are a fpqc cover, and $\alpha \times_T T_i$ is the base change of α by $V_{T_i} \to V_T$.

Proposition A.1.3 Let S be a scheme, and U, V be two S-group schemes. The functors

$$\underline{Mor}_{S-Gp}(U,V): T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \longmapsto \mathrm{Mor}_{T-Gp}(U_T,V_T),$$

$$\underline{Iso}_{S-Gp}(U,V): T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S \longmapsto \mathrm{Iso}_{T-Gp}(U_T,V_T)$$

satisfy the sheaf property for fpqc covers.

Proof. – We deal with the first functor first. Let $F_1 = \underline{Mor}_S(U, V)$, $F_2 = \underline{Mor}_S(U \times U, V)$ be fpqc sheaves. We can define two morphisms $\alpha, \beta : F_1 \to F_2$ as follows: given a S-scheme T and a T-map $\varphi : U_T \to V_T$, $\alpha(\varphi) = m_{V_T} \circ (\varphi \times \varphi)$, where m_{V_T} is the multiplication of the group scheme V_T over T, and $\varphi \times \varphi : U_T \times_T U_T \to V_T \times_T V_T$. We define $\beta(\varphi) = \varphi \circ m_{U_T}$. Then given $u \in \underline{Mor}_S(U, V)(T)$, $u \in \underline{Mor}_{S-Gp}(U, V)(T)$ if and only if $\alpha(u) = \beta(u)$. The conclusion follows formally.

The second functor corresponds to the intersection in $\underline{Mor}_{S}(U, V)$ of the two subsheaves $\underline{Mor}_{S-Gp}(U, V)$ and $\underline{Iso}_{S}(U, V)$: it is thus a fpqc sheaf. \Box

Proposition A.1.4 Let S be a scheme, and $T \to S$ be a fpqc cover. Let F be a fpqc sheaf on \mathbf{Sch}_S such that its restriction to the category \mathbf{Sch}_T is representable by an affine T-scheme²² X. Then F is representable by an affine S-scheme X', and $X \simeq X' \times_S T$.

Proof. -

Step 1: $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$

After refining T, we may assume that $T = \operatorname{Spec} B$, where $A \to B$ is faithfully flat, and $X = \operatorname{Spec} C$, where C is some B-algebra. The hypothesis implies that there exists some $\alpha \in F(X)$ satisfying the following property: for every T-scheme Z with structure map $g: Z \to T$, the map $f \mapsto \operatorname{Mor}_T(Z, X) \to F(f) \alpha \in F(Z)$ is an isomorphism.

Let $f_1 \in \operatorname{Mor}_T(X \times_S T, X)$ (where $X \times_S T$ is a *T*-scheme by the second projection) be the unique *T*-homomorphism such that $F(f_1)\alpha = F(X \times_S T \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_1} X)\alpha$. This defines a map $\pi = (\sigma, f_1) : X \times_S T \to T \times_S X$ of $T \times_S T$ -schemes, where $\sigma : X \to T$ is the structure map.

We define the map of T-schemes $f_2: T \times_S X \to X$ (where $T \times_S X$ is a T-scheme by first projection) such that $F(f_2)\alpha = F(T \times_S X \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_2} X)\alpha$. We then define $\pi' = (f_2, \sigma): T \times_S X \to X \times_S T$, which is a map of $T \times_S T$ -schemes.

Then $\pi \circ \pi'$ is an endomorphism of the $T \times_S T$ -scheme $T \times_S X$, so its first component is the first projection $T \times_S X \to T$. Its second component p_2 is a morphism of T-schemes (on the source, through the T-scheme structure on X); furthermore, we have

$$F(p_2)\alpha = F(\pi')F(f_1)\alpha = F(\pi')F(\mathrm{pr}_1)\alpha = F(\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \pi')\alpha = F(f_2)\alpha = F(\mathrm{pr}_2)\alpha.$$

Since p_2 , p_2 are two maps of T-schemes $T \times_S X \to X$ for the same T-structure, it follows that $p_2 = p_2$, and $\pi \circ \pi'$ is the identity.

One similarly proves that $\pi' \circ \pi$ is the identity, hence π, π' are isomorphisms of $T \times_S T$ -schemes. Now, let us show that the following two compositions of $T^{\times_S 3}$ -morphisms are the same:

$$T \times_S T \times_S X \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} \times_S \pi'} T \times_S X \times_S T \times \xrightarrow{\pi' \times \operatorname{id}} X \times_S T \times_S T,$$

$$\pi'_{13} = (f_2 \circ \operatorname{pr}_{13}, \operatorname{id}, \sigma \circ \operatorname{pr}_{13}) : T \times_S T \times_S X \to X \times_S \times_S T$$

It is clear that the projections on the second and third components are the same (since these are morphisms of $T^{\times s^3}$ -schemes), so all we need to do is prove that the first components of the two morphisms are equal. It is simple to compute that these first components are respectively $f_2 \circ (\text{id} \times f_2)$ and $f_2 \circ \text{pr}_{13}$.

Since these morphisms are morphisms of *T*-schemes for the same structure (projection on the first component on the source), it is thus enough to prove that $F(f_2 \circ (\mathrm{id} \times f_2))\alpha = F(f_2 \circ \mathrm{pr}_{13})\alpha$. Now,

$$F(f_2 \circ \operatorname{pr}_{13})\alpha = F(\operatorname{pr}_{13})F(f_2)\alpha = F(\operatorname{pr}_{13})F(\operatorname{pr}_2)\alpha = F(\operatorname{pr}_2 \circ \operatorname{pr}_{13})\alpha$$
$$= F(\operatorname{pr}_3 : T \times_S \times_S X \to X)\alpha,$$
$$F(f_2 \circ (\operatorname{id} \times f_2))\alpha = F(\operatorname{id} \times f_2)F(f_2)\alpha = F(\operatorname{pr}_2 \circ (\operatorname{id} \times f_2))\alpha = F(f_2 \circ \operatorname{pr}_{23})\alpha$$
$$= F(\operatorname{pr}_{23})F(f_2)\alpha = F(\operatorname{pr}_{23})F(\operatorname{pr}_2)\alpha = F(\operatorname{pr}_3)\alpha.$$

We can thus apply [2, Proposition 023N]²³. This Proposition implies that we can assume that, for some *T*-isomorphism $(\chi, \sigma) : X \to Y \times_S T$ we have a commutative diagram of $T \times_S T$ -schemes:

²²That is, the map $X \to T$ is affine.

 $^{^{23}}$ This proposition is formulated for modules over rings, but the explicit construction shows that it works for algebras as long as the descent datum is also a ring homomorphism, hence it works for affine schemes as well.

$$T \times_S X \xrightarrow{\pi'} X \times_S T$$

$$\downarrow^{\mathrm{id} \times (\chi, \sigma)} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{(\sigma, \chi) \times \mathrm{id}}$$

$$T \times_S (Y \times_S T) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} (T \times_S Y) \times_S T$$

Let $\alpha' = F((\chi, \sigma)^{-1})\alpha \in F(Y \times_S T)$. Then the following statement holds: For any *T*-scheme $g: Z \to T$, $f \in \operatorname{Mor}_S(Z, Y) \longmapsto F((f, g))\alpha' \in F(Z)$ is a bijection. (*)

Moreover, the following diagram is easily checked to commute (the indices on T just describe what the lower arrows do):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & T \times_S X & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X \\ & \downarrow^{(\sigma,\chi)} & & \downarrow^{\mathrm{id}\times(\chi,\sigma)} & & \downarrow^{(\sigma,\chi)} \\ Y \times_S T_2 & \longleftarrow & T_1 \times_S (Y \times_S T_2) & \longrightarrow & Y \times_S T_1 \end{array}$$

Therefore, the pull-backs of α' under both projections $Y \times_S T \times_S T \to Y \times_S T$ are the same. Hence, α' is the pull-back of a class $\alpha_0 \in F(Y)$. Thus, we have a natural homomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Mor}_S(Z,Y) \longmapsto F(f)\alpha_0 \in F(Z)$ of fpqc sheaves on **Sch**_S. By (*), the application is an isomorphism whenever there is a map $g: Z \to T$ of S-schemes. Since every S-scheme has an fpqc cover by T-schemes, the above morphism is an isomorphism of fpqc sheaves.

Step 2: Glueing affine data

By Step 1, for every affine open subset $U \subset S$, there exists an affine U-scheme X'_U and some $\alpha_U \in F(X'_U)$ such that for every U-scheme V, $f \in \operatorname{Mor}_U(V, X'_U) \longmapsto F(f)\alpha_U \in F(V)$ is a bijection. Moreover, for every inclusion $V \subset U$ of affine open subsets of S, there is a unique map $j_{VU}: X'_V \to X'_U$ of U-schemes such that $F(j_{VU})\alpha_U = \alpha_V$.

Let us prove that every j_{VU} is an open immersion. There is a class $\beta_T \in F(X)$ such that for every *T*-scheme *Z*, $f \in \operatorname{Mor}_T(Z, X) \mapsto F(f)\beta_T \in F(Z)$ is an isomorphism. In particular, for any affine open $U \subset S$, there is a unique morphism of *T*-schemes $f_U : X'_U \times_S T \to X$ such that $F(f_U)\beta_T$ is $F(X'_U \times_S T \to X'_U)\alpha_U$. Since f_U factors through $f_U^0 : X'_U \times_S T \to X \times_S U$ which is an isomorphism of *T*-schemes (by relating its functor of *T*-points to *F*), hence f_U is an open immersion.

Given affine open subsets $V \subset U$ of S, one easily sees that $f_U \circ (j_{VU} \times id_T) = f_V$. Therefore $j_{VU} \times id_T$ is an open immersion, hence j_{VU} is one too by [2, Lemma 02L3].

Finally, the definition implies easily that, given affine open subsets $W \subset V \subset U$ of S, $j_{VU} \circ j_{WV} = j_{WU}$, so that the (X'_U, j_{VU}) is a glueing data in the sense of [2, Section 01JA]. Therefore, by *loc. cit.*, the X_U glue to an S-scheme X', the α_U to some $\alpha \in F(X')$, and the f_U to some morphism $f: X' \times_S T \to X$, which is an isomorphism after base changing to any affine open subset of S, hence is an isomorphism, and such that $F(f)\beta_T = F(X' \times_S T \to X')\alpha$.

Exactly like in Step 1, this α produces a homomorphism of fpqc sheaves $\phi : \underline{\mathrm{Mor}}_S(-, X') \to F$ on \mathbf{Sch}_S , and $\phi(Z)$ is an isomorphism for every S-scheme admitting a map to T. Since every S-scheme has a fpqc cover by a T-scheme, it follows that ϕ is an isomorphism. \Box

We conclude this section by discussing some examples of ascending and descending properties. **Proposition A.1.5** Let \mathcal{P} be one of the following properties of morphisms of schemes: isomorphism, surjective, affine, quasi-compact, closed immersion, open immersion, locally of finite type, locally of finite presentation, locally quasi-finite, finite, flat, étale, smooth of relative dimension d, Cohen-Macaulay of relative dimension d, proper.

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of schemes and assume that there is a fpqc cover $Y_i \to Y$ such that the base change of f by any $Y_i \to Y$ satisfies property \mathcal{P} . Then f satisfies property \mathcal{P} . *Proof.* – See [2, Section 02YJ]. **Proposition A.1.6** Let $R \to S$ be a faithfully flat morphism of local rings. Suppose that S is Noetherian (resp. Noetherian and (R_k) , resp. Noetherian and (S_k) , resp. reduced, resp. normal, resp. Cohen-Macaulay, resp. regular), then R is Noetherian (resp. Noetherian and (R_k) , resp. Noetherian and (S_k) , resp. reduced, resp. normal, resp. Cohen-Macaulay, resp. regular).

Proof. - See [2, Section 033D].

Proposition A.1.7 Let $R \to S$ be a flat morphism of rings. Then the following properties hold:

- If R, S are Noetherian, R is (R_k) (resp. (S_k) , resp. reduced, resp. normal) and every $S \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ (for $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$) is (R_k) (resp. (S_k) , resp. reduced, resp. normal), then S is (R_k) (resp. (S_k) , resp. reduced, resp. normal).
- If S is a smooth R-algebra and R is reduced (resp. normal, resp. regular), then S is reduced (resp. normal, resp. regular).

Proof. – See [2, Section 0336].

A.2 Galois twists of schemes

Lemma A.2.1 Let V be a vector space over a field L, and $\operatorname{Gal}(L/k)$ act semi-linearly on V, where L/k is finite Galois. Then the natural map $V^{\operatorname{Gal}(L/k)} \otimes_k L \to V$ is an isomorphism of L-vector spaces.

Proof. – To ease notation, write $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/k)$. Let $(w_i)_i$ be a finite family of vectors of V^G which is k-free. Let us show that this family is free over L in V. Indeed, suppose that we have $\lambda_i \in L$ such that $\sum_i \lambda_i w_i = 0$. Multiplying this equation by a fixed $\alpha \in L$ and applying $\sum_{g \in G} g$, we find that $\sum_i \tau(\alpha \lambda_i) w_i = 0$, where $\tau : L \to k$ is the trace map. Since the w_i are k-free, we find that for all i and all $\alpha \in L$, $\tau(\alpha \lambda_i) = 0$. This implies that every $\lambda_i = 0$, and we are done. Thus the map $V^G \otimes_k L \to V$ is injective.

By the normal basis theorem, we know that there are $\lambda_g \in L$ for each $g \in G$ such that for all $g, h \in G, g(\lambda_h) = \lambda_{gh}$, and such that $(\lambda_g)_g$ is a basis of L as a k-vector space. Let us show that the family of the vectors $w_h := (\lambda_{gh})_{g \in G}$ (for $h \in G$) is a basis of L^G . Since this family has the correct cardinality, it is enough to show that it is free. So let $\mu_h \in L$ for each $h \in G$ such that $\sum_h \mu_h \lambda_{gh} = 0$ for each $g \in G$. Then, for any $\alpha \in L$ and $g \in G$, we have $\sum_{h \in G} g^{-1}(\alpha \mu_h)\lambda_h = 0$. By taking the sum over $g \in G$, we find that $\sum_{h \in G} \tau(\alpha \mu_h)\lambda_h = 0$. Thus every $\tau(\alpha \mu_h)$ vanishes, thus every μ_h vanishes.

In particular, there is a *L*-linear combination of the w_h which is the indicator function of the unit element of *G*. It follows that there is a *L*-linear combination of the $v_h := \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{gh} g(v) \in V^G$ which is mapped to v. Hence the map is onto.

Lemma A.2.2 Let L/K be a finite Galois extension, and U, V be two K-schemes. The group $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ acts naturally on $\operatorname{Mor}_L(U_L, V_L)$. The base change map

$$f \in \operatorname{Mor}_{K}(U, V) \longmapsto f \times \operatorname{id}_{L} \in \operatorname{Mor}_{L}(U_{L}, V_{L})^{\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. – This map is clearly well-defined. Since, $\operatorname{Spec} L \to \operatorname{Spec} K$ is a fpqc cover, the base change map is injective by Proposition A.1.2. To prove surjectivity, it is enough to show that if $f \in \operatorname{Mor}_L(U_L, V_L)^{\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)}$, and $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \operatorname{Spec} L \times_k \operatorname{Spec} L \to \operatorname{Spec} L$ are the projections, then $\pi_1^* f = \pi_2^* f$. Let us assume that there is an isomorphism of *L*-algebras $L \otimes_k L \simeq L^{\oplus \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)}$ (where *L* acts on the left side by multiplication on the right factor), so it is enough to show that for any $\tau : \operatorname{Spec} L \to \operatorname{Spec} L \times_k \operatorname{Spec} L$, one has $\tau^* \pi_1^* f = \tau^* \pi_2^* f$. In other words, one only needs to show that given $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ inducing a map $\underline{\sigma} : \operatorname{Spec} L \to \operatorname{Spec} L, \underline{\sigma}^* f$ does not depend on the choice of σ . This is exactly the assumption.

So we only need to prove that there is a *L*-algebra isomorphism $\mu : L \otimes_k L \simeq L^{\oplus \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)}$. Indeed, for each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$, define $\mu_{\sigma} : a \otimes b \longmapsto \sigma(a)b$: this is clearly a surjective homomorphism of *L*-algebras for the given structure on $L \otimes_k L$: let us prove that $\mu = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)} \mu_{\sigma}$ works. By computing the dimensions, it is enough to show that μ is surjective.

It this wasn't the case, there would be nonzero scalars $\lambda_{\sigma} \in L$ for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ such that $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \mu_{\sigma} = 0$. In other words, one would have, for every $a \in L$ and $n \geq 0$, $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \sigma(a^n) = 0$. So, for every polynomial $P \in L[X]$ and every $a \in L$, we would have $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} P(\sigma(a)) = 0$. If $a \in L$ is chosen to be a primitive element, the $\sigma(a)$ are pairwise distinct, and it follows that all the λ_{σ} are zero.

Corollary A.2.3 Let L/K an algebraic Galois extension and U, V be two quasi-compact K-schemes. The group $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ acts naturally on $\operatorname{Mor}_L(U_L, V_L)$. The base change map

 $f \in \operatorname{Mor}_{K}(U, V) \longmapsto f \times \operatorname{id}_{L} \in \operatorname{Mor}_{L}(U_{L}, V_{L})^{\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)}$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. – As above, the map is well-defined and injective. Let $f : U \times_K L \to V \times_K L$ be a morphism of *L*-schemes which is Gal(*L*/*K*)-invariant. Because *V*, *U* are quasi-compact, there is a finite Galois subextension $K \subset L' \subset L$ such that *f* is a base change of some $f' \in \operatorname{Mor}_{L'}(U_{L'}, V_{L'})$. Since the base change map $\operatorname{Mor}_{L'}(U_{L'}, V_{L'}) \to \operatorname{Mor}_L(U_L, V_L)$ is injective by Proposition A.1.2 and equivariant for Gal(*L*/*K*), *f'* is fixed under the action of Gal(*L'*/*K*), so is the base change of some $f_0 \in \operatorname{Mor}_K(U, V)$. □

Proposition A.2.4 Let k be a field with separable closure k_s . For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$, denote by $\underline{\sigma}$ the associated automorphism of Spec k_s , so that $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ acts on the right on Spec k_s . Let X be a k-scheme and assume that X is quasi-projective over some k-algebra A^{24} . Let G be a finite group acting on X_{k_s} by k_s -scheme automorphisms, and $\rho : \text{Gal}(k_s/k) \to G$ be a continuous map satisfying the following cocycle condition: for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$,

$$\rho(\sigma\sigma') = \rho(\sigma) \circ (\mathrm{id}, \underline{\sigma^{-1}}) \circ \rho(\sigma') \circ (\mathrm{id}, \underline{\sigma}).$$

Then, there is a unique (up to unique isomorphism) couple (X_{ρ}, j_X) satisfying the following properties:

 $-X_{\rho}$ is a k-scheme,

- $-j_X: X_{\rho} \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s \to X \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s$ is an isomorphism of k_s -schemes,
- for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} & \xrightarrow{j_{X}} & X \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} \\ & & & \downarrow_{(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} & & \downarrow_{\rho(\sigma)\circ(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} \\ & X_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} & \xrightarrow{j_{X}} & X \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} \end{array}$$

In particular, the following diagram commutes, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\rho}(k_{s}) & \xrightarrow{j_{X}} & X(k_{s}) \\ & \downarrow^{\sigma} & \qquad \downarrow^{\rho(\sigma) \circ \sigma} \\ & X_{\rho}(k_{s}) & \xrightarrow{j_{X}} & X(k_{s}) \end{array}$$

Let \mathscr{C} be a property of morphisms of k-schemes which is étale-local on the base and stable by preand post-composition by isomorphisms (for instance, any of the properties listed in Proposition

²⁴By quasi-projective, we mean that there is a k-immersion $\iota: X \to \mathbb{P}^n_A$ for some $n \ge 1$.

A.1.5). If $X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ satisfies \mathscr{C} , then so does $X_{\rho} \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. If $X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ is projective (resp. quasi-projective), so is $X_{\rho} \to \operatorname{Spec} k$.

Remark A.2.5 When G acts in fact on X by k-automorphisms, the cocycle condition simply means that ρ : Gal $(k_s/k) \rightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism.

Proof. – Note that the last diagram follows formally from the assumptions. The second to last assertion is formal, and the last assertion follows from [2, Lemma 0BDE].

Step 1: Uniqueness up to isomorphism.

Assume that (U, j_U) and (V, j_V) are two pairs satisfying the requested conditions. Let $j = j_V^{-1} \circ j_U$: then $j : U_{k_s} \to V_{k_s}$ is an isomorphism of k_s -schemes such that for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s & \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{\longrightarrow} V \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s \\ & & & \downarrow^{(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} & & \downarrow^{(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} \\ U \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s & \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{\longrightarrow} V \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s \end{array}$$

Since U, V are quasi-compact, it follows from Corollary A.2.3 that j is in fact the base change of a map of k-schemes $j_1 : U \to V$. This j_1 becomes an isomorphism after the fpqc base change $V \times_k k_s \to V$, hence is an isomorphism.

Step 2: Uniqueness of the isomorphism.

Suppose now that u is an automorphism of a pair (X_{ρ}, j_X) satisfying the given conditions. Then, tautologically, $u \times id_{k_s}$ is the identity. By Proposition A.1.2, it follows that u is the identity.

Step 3: Existence and fonctoriality in the affine case.

Assume that X is the spectrum of some k-algebra A. Since ρ maps the unit element to the identity and acts through a finite quotient of $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$, there is a finite Galois extension L/k such that $\rho(\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/L))$ is trivial. After enlarging L, since G is finite and X is quasi-compact, we may assume that G acts by automorphisms of L-schemes on $X \times_k L$.

Thus, ρ induces a right action ρ^{\sharp} of G on $A \otimes_k L$ by *L*-automorphisms. Let τ_2 denote the natural left action of $\operatorname{Gal}(L/k)$ on $A \otimes_k L$. The cocycle condition is equivalent to

$$\rho^{\sharp}(\sigma\sigma') = \tau_2(\sigma)\rho^{\sharp}(\sigma')\tau_2(\sigma)^{-1}\rho^{\sharp}(\sigma).$$

For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/k)$, let $\tau(\sigma) = \rho^{\sharp}(\sigma)^{-1}\tau_2(\sigma) \in \operatorname{Aut}_k(L)$. It is straightforward to check that τ defines a semi-linear action of $\operatorname{Gal}[L/k)$ on $A \otimes_k L$. Let thus $B = (A \otimes_k L)^{\operatorname{im}(\tau)}$. By Lemma A.2.1, the natural homomorphism of L-algebras $c : B \otimes_k L \to A \otimes_k L$ is an isomorphism.

We then define $X_{\rho} = \operatorname{Spec} B$ and j_X by the map induced by $A \otimes_k k_s \xrightarrow{c^{-1} \otimes_L k_s} B \otimes_k k_s$; checking that the diagram commutes is then formal.

Note that this construction is functorial: if we have a morphism of affine k-schemes $f: X \to Y$ such that $f \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s$ is equivariant for the action of the group G, the definition produces a map $f_{\rho}: X_{\rho} \to Y_{\rho}$. Moreover, X_{ρ} (resp. f_{ρ}) acquires all the properties of X (resp. f) that are étale-local on the base (for instance, all those listed in Proposition A.1.5).

Step 4: The global twist exists if there are enough good open subsets

Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset; we say that U is good if it is affine and stable under G. Let GoodAff(X) be the partially ordered set of good affine opens of X. Let LocTw(X) be the category of pairs (T, j), where T is a k-scheme and $j : T \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s \to X \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s$ is an open immersion of k_s -schemes, in which the morphisms are open immersions.

Then there is a functor $\operatorname{Tw}_{\rho}: U \mapsto (U_{\rho}, j_U)$ from $\operatorname{GoodAff}(X)$ to $\operatorname{LocTw}(X)$. Assume that

X can be covered with good open subsets. Because Tw_{ρ} preserves Zariski-coverings, it defines a glueing data in the sense of [2, Section 01JA], and thus the U_{ρ} glue to a scheme X_{ρ} endowed with a k_s -isomorphism $j_X : X_{\rho} \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s \to X \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s$.

Step 5: Existence of good open subsets

Finally, it remains to see that X can be covered by good affine open subsets. Note that it is enough to prove that, for all $x \in X$, there is an affine open subset containing all the G-translates of X. Indeed, since X is separated, we can then take the intersection of the G-translates of this affine open subset.

So all we need to do is the following: show, given any finite $F \subset X$, that there is an affine open subset $U \subset X$ containing F. Indeed, consider the open subset $U' \subset \mathbb{P}^n_A$ such that $\iota : X \to U'$ is a closed immersion, and let I be a homogeneous ideal of $A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ (with $I \subset (x_0, \ldots, x_n)$) whose vanishing locus is exactly $\mathbb{P}^n_A \setminus U'$. It is enough to show that there is some homogeneous $f \in I$ of positive degree that does not vanish at any $x \in \iota(F)$. Every $x \in \iota(F)$ defines a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_x \subset (x_0, \ldots, x_n)A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$, and we thus seek to prove that $I_+ \not\subset \bigcup_{x \in \iota(F)} \mathfrak{p}_x$, where $I_+ \subset I$ is the subset of homogeneous elements of positive degree, given that we know that $I \not\subset \mathfrak{p}_x$ for all x. This is exactly the graded prime avoidance lemma [2, Lemma 00JS], so we are done. \Box

Proposition A.2.6 Let X, Y be two k-schemes that are quasi-projective over some k-algebras A, B, and G be a group acting on $X_{k_s} := X \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s, Y_{k_s} := Y \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s$ by k_s -isomorphisms, and $f : X \to Y$ be a map such that $f \times_k \operatorname{id}_{k_s}$ is G-equivariant. Let $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to G$ be a continuous map with the cocycle property of Proposition A.2.4 (when post-composed with the actions of G on X_{k_s} or Y_{k_s}). There is a unique map $f_{\rho} : X_{\rho} \to Y_{\rho}$ of k-schemes such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} & \xrightarrow{J_{X}} & X \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} \\ & & & \downarrow^{f_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{id}_{k_{s}}} & & \downarrow^{f \times_{k} \operatorname{id}_{k_{s}}} \\ Y_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} & \xrightarrow{j_{Y}} & Y \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} \end{array}$$

Moreover, f_{ρ} acquires all the properties of f that are étale-local on the base. The construction $X \mapsto (X_{\rho}, j_X), f \mapsto f_{\rho}$ is then functorial in the obvious meaning, and it preserves arbitrary limits.

Proof. – Suppose $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism of quasi-projective k-schemes, such that f is equivariant for the action of a finite group G, and that we are given a continuous $\rho: \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to G$.

Let $f_{\rho}^{0}: X_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} \to Y_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s}$ be given by $j_{Y}^{-1} \circ (f \times_{k} \operatorname{id}_{k_{s}}) \circ j_{X}$. Then it is formal to see that $f_{\rho}^{0} \in \operatorname{Mor}_{k_{s}}((X_{\rho})_{k_{s}}, (Y_{\rho})_{k_{s}})^{\operatorname{Gal}(k_{s}/k)}$. Since X_{ρ}, Y_{ρ} are quasi-projective over k, they are quasi-compact and we can apply Proposition A.2.3 to see that f_{ρ}^{0} is the base change of a k-homomorphism $f_{\rho}: X_{\rho} \to Y_{\rho}$.

Note that the diagram uniquely prescribes the image of f_{ρ} in $\operatorname{Mor}_{k_s}(X_{k_s}, Y_{k_s})$, which uniquely defines f_{ρ} by Proposition A.1.2.

The proof of fonctoriality is identical.

Let \mathscr{D} be a diagram of quasi-projective k-schemes (all the maps being equivariant for some action of G) and X be its limit in the category \mathbf{Sch}_k . Suppose that X is quasi-projective. Then, for any k_s -scheme T, the following diagram commutes, where the maps are isomorphisms:

Now, $F_{\mathscr{D}_{\rho}}: T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k \longmapsto \varprojlim_{D \in \mathscr{D}} \operatorname{Mor}_k(T, D_{\rho}), F_{X_{\rho}}: T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k \longmapsto \operatorname{Mor}_k(T, X_{\rho})$ are fpqc sheaves by Proposition A.1.1. By fonctoriality, we have a map $\lambda: F_{X_{\rho}} \to F_{\mathscr{D}_{\rho}}$; the diagram shows that $\lambda(T): F_{X_{\rho}}(T) \to F_{\mathscr{D}_{\rho}}(T)$ is an isomorphism for every k_s -scheme T. Since every k-scheme has an fpqc cover by k_s -schemes, it follows that λ is an isomorphism. \Box

Corollary A.2.7 Let k be a field with separable closure k_s , and X be a quasi-projective kscheme. Let ρ : $\operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k) \to \operatorname{Aut}(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s)$ satisfying the cocycle condition. Then the induced action of ρ on $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}(X) \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k_s)$ satisfies the cocycle condition of Proposition A.2.4. Moreover, the twist of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}(X)$ by ρ naturally identifies with $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}(X_{\rho})$.

Proof. – For each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(k_s/k)$, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} & \xrightarrow{j_{X}} & X \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} \\ & & & & \downarrow^{(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} & & \downarrow^{\rho(\sigma)\circ(\operatorname{id},\underline{\sigma}^{-1})} \\ X_{\rho} \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} & \xrightarrow{j_{X}} & X \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec} k_{s} \end{array}$$

induces, by taking the spectra of the rings of global functions (since k_s is flat over k), a commutative diagram



where the unlabeled arrows are canonical morphisms $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}(Y)$, and this is enough to conclude.

References

- S. Anantharaman, Schémas en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1, Sur les groupes algébriques, no. 33, Soc. Math. France, 1973, pp. 5–79.
- [2] The Stacks Project Authors, Stacks project.
- B. Bakker and J. Tsimerman, p-torsion monodromy representations of elliptic curves over geometric function fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 184 (2016), no. 3, 709–744.
- [4] J. Balakrishnan, N. Dogra, S. Müller, J. Tuitman, and J. Vonk, Quadratic Chabauty for modular curves: algorithms and examples, Compos. Math. 159 (2023), no. 6, 1111–1152.
- [5] Y. Bilu and P. Parent, Serre's uniformity problem in the split Cartan case, Ann. of Math. 173 (2011), no. 1, 569-584.
- [6] Y. Bilu, P. Parent, and M. Rebolledo, Rational points on $X_0^+(p^r)$, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **63** (2013), no. 3, 957–984.
- [7] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, Néron models, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [8] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). MR MR1484478
- [9] C.-L. Chai, B. Conrad, and F. Oort, Complex multiplication and lifting problems, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 195, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.

- [10] Z. Chen, Congruences of elliptic curves, PhD thesis, Clare College, University of Cambridge, 2016, Found online, last accessed 2025/02/11.
- [11] _____, Families of elliptic curves with the same mod 8 representations, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 165 (2018), no. 1, 137–162.
- [12] J. Cremona and N. Freitas, Global methods for the symplectic type of congruences between elliptic curves, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 38 (2022), no. 1, 1–32.
- [13] J. E. Cremona, Algorithms for modular elliptic curves, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [14] H. Darmon and L. Merel, Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat's last theorem, J. Reine Angew. Math. 490 (1997), 81–100.
- [15] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport, Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques, Modular Functions of One Variable II (Berlin, Heidelberg) (P. Deligne and W. Kuijk, eds.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1973, pp. 143–316.
- [16] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, Schémas en groupes. Tome I, Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes : Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962-64, SGA 3, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 151, Springer, 1962-64.
- [17] T. Fisher, The Hessian of a genus one curve, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 104 (2012), no. 3, 613-648.
- [18] _____, Invariant theory for the elliptic normal quintic, I. Twists of X(5), Math. Ann. **356** (2013), no. 2, 589–616.
- [19] _____, On families of 7- and 11-congruent elliptic curves, LMS J. Comput. Math. 17 (2014), no. 1, 536–564.
- [20] _____, On families of 9-congruent elliptic curves, Acta Arith. **171** (2015), no. 4, 371–387.
- [21] _____, On families of 13-congruent elliptic curves, 2019, arXiv preprint 1912.10777.
- [22] _____, Explicit moduli spaces for congruences of elliptic curves, Math. Z. 295 (2020), no. 3-4, 1337–1354.
- [23] _____, On pairs of 17-congruent elliptic curves, 2021, arXiv preprint 2106.02033.
- [24] Tom Fisher, Personal communication.
- [25] N. Freitas and A. Kraus, On the symplectic type of isomorphisms of the p-torsion of elliptic curves, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (2022), no. 1361, v+105.
- [26] S. Frengley, On 12-congruences of elliptic curves, Int. J. Number Theory 20 (2024), no. 2, 565-601.
- [27] G. Frey, On Ternary Equations of Fermat Type and Relations with Elliptic Curves, Modular forms and Fermat's Last Theorem (G. Cornell, J. H. Silverman, and G. Stevens, eds.), Springer-Verlag NY, 1997, pp. 527–548.
- [28] L. Furio and D. Lombardo, Serre's uniformity question and proper subgroups of $C_{ns}^+(p)$, 2023, arXiv preprint 2305.17780.
- [29] O. Gabber and A. Vasiu, Dimensions of Group Schemes of Automorphisms of Truncated Barsotti-Tate Groups, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013 (2012), no. 18, 4285–4333.
- [30] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, Éléments de géométrie algébrique : III. étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Seconde partie, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 17 (1963), 5–91 (fr). MR 163911
- [31] _____, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Première partie, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 20 (1964), 5–259.
- [32] _____, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Deuxième partie, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 24 (1965), 5–231.
- [33] _____, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 28 (1966), 5–255.
- [34] _____, Éléments de géométrie algébrique : IV. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 32 (1967), 5–361 (fr).
- [35] A. Grothendieck and M. Raynaud, Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental : Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie, 1960-61, SGA 1, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 224, Springer, 1960-61.
- [36] E. Halberstadt, Calculs explicites sur les courbes modulaires, Feb 2021.
- [37] E. Halberstadt and A. Kraus, Sur la courbe modulaire $X_E(7)$, Exp. Math. 12 (2003), no. 1, 27–40.
- [38] L. Illusie, Déformations de groupes de Barsotti-Tate, Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques : la conjecture de Mordell, Astérisque, no. 127, Soc. Math. France, 1985, pp. 151–198.

- [39] E. J. Kani and O. G. Rizzo, Mazur's question on mod 11 representations of elliptic curves, preprint.
- [40] E. J. Kani and W. Schanz, Modular diagonal quotient surfaces, Math. Z. 227 (1998), no. 2, 337-366.
- [41] N. Katz, p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms, Modular Functions of One Variable III (Berlin, Heidelberg) (W. Kuijk and J.-P. Serre, eds.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1973, pp. 69–190.
- [42] N. M. Katz and B. Mazur, Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves, no. 108, Princeton University Press, 1985.
- [43] A. Kraus and J. Oesterlé, Sur une question de B. Mazur., Math. Ann. 293 (1992), no. 2, 259–276.
- [44] S. Le Fourn and P. Lemos, Residual Galois representations of elliptic curves with image contained in the normaliser of a nonsplit Cartan, Algebra Number Theory 15 (2021), no. 3, 747–771.
- [45] Q. Liu and E. Reinie, Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, Oxf. Grad. Texts Math., Oxford Univ. Press, 2002.
- [46] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, https://www.lmfdb.org, 2023, [Online; last access 2025/01/16].
- [47] Y. Manin, Theory of commutative formal groups over fields of finite characteristic, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 18 (1963), no. 6(114), 3–90.
- [48] B. Mazur, Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 47 (1977), 33-186.
- [49] _____, Rational isogenies of prime degree, Invent. Math. 44 (1978), no. 2, 129–162, With an appendix by D. Goldfeld.
- [50] _____, Open problems regarding rational points on curves and varieties, Galois Representations in Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry (A. J. Scholl and R. L. Taylor, eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998, pp. 239–266.
- [51] L. Merel, Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1, 437–449.
- [52] F. Oort, Commutative Group Schemes, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966.
- [53] I. Papadopoulos, Courbes elliptiques ayant même 6-torsion qu'une courbe elliptique donnée, J. Number Theory 79 (1999), no. 1, 103–114.
- [54] P. Parent, Bornes effectives pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres, J. Reine Angew. Math. 506 (1999), 85–116.
- [55] B. Poonen, E. Schaefer, and M. Stoll, Twists of X(7) and primitive solutions to $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$, Duke Math. J. 137 (2007), no. 1, 103 158.
- [56] K. Rubin and A. Silverberg, Families of elliptic curves with constant mod p representations, Elliptic curves, modular forms, and Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), Ser. Number Theory, vol. I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 148–161.
- [57] _____, Mod 6 representations of elliptic curves, Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic (Fort Worth, TX, 1996), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 66, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 213– 220.
- [58] A. Silverberg, Explicit families of elliptic curves with prescribed mod N representations, Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (G. Cornell, J. H. Silverman, and G. Stevens, eds.), Springer-Verlag NY, 1997, pp. 447–461.
- [59] J. H. Silverman, Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, 1994, Second printing.
- [60] E. Studnia, L-functions and rational points for galois twists of modular curves, PhD thesis, Université Paris Cité, 2024, Main body in English; last accessed 2025/01/16.
- [61] J. Tate, Finite Flat Group Schemes, Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem (G. Cornell, J. Silverman, and G. Stevens, eds.), Springer New York, New York, NY, 1997, pp. 121–154.
- [62] J. Tate and F. Oort, Group schemes of prime order, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 3 (1970), 1–21.
- [63] J. Voight, Quaternion algebras, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 288, Springer, Cham, [2021] © 2021.
- [64] A. Wiles, Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem, Ann. of Math. 141 (1995), no. 3, 443-551.